

# CALIFORNIA COUNTY, CITY, AND SCHOOL DISTRICT ELECTION OUTCOMES

## 2015 ELECTIONS

---

### City Offices and Ballot Measures

---



Institute for Social Research  
Center For California Studies  
California State University,  
Sacramento





CALIFORNIA COUNTY, CITY, AND SCHOOL DISTRICT  
ELECTION OUTCOMES:  
CANDIDATES AND BALLOT MEASURES, 2015 ELECTIONS

CITY OFFICES AND BALLOT MEASURES

Steve Boilard, Ph.D.  
Executive Director, Center for California Studies

David Barker, Ph.D.  
Director, Institute for Social Research

Valory Messier, M.A.  
Research Specialist, Institute for Social Research

Samantha Bielz, B.A.  
Graduate Research Assistant, Institute for Social Research

Center for California Studies  
California State University, Sacramento  
6000 J Street, Sacramento, CA 95819-6081  
(916) 278-6906 FAX: (916) 278-5199

Institute for Social Research  
California State University, Sacramento  
6000 J Street, Sacramento, CA 95819-6101  
(916) 278-5737 FAX: (916) 278-5150

### *Acknowledgements*

*The researchers would like to sincerely thank the county elections officials and staff throughout the State of California who took time to provide data to the project. Additionally, we are grateful to the Secretary of State's Office for its continuing support and interest in this project. Without their assistance, the completion of these yearly reviews and the California Elections Data Archive would not be possible.*

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

Introduction .....	i
2015 County, City and School District Election Dates by County .....	iii
Trend Table A Number of Ballot Measures, Percent of Total Measures, and Percent Passing by Type, Jurisdiction, and Year .....	iv
Trend Table B Number of Ballot Measures, Percent of Total Measures, and Percent Passing by Topic, Jurisdiction, and Year .....	ix
Trend Table C Community Service District and County Service Area Measures by County .....	xiv
Trend Table D Number of Community Service District and County Service Area Measures, Percent of Total County Measures, and Percent Passing by Type and Year .....	xvi
Trend Table E Comparison of Pass Rates for County-Wide and Community Service District/County Service Area Tax Measures, 1998-2015.....	xvii
Trend Table F Number of Community Service District and County Service Area Measures, Percent of Total County Measures, and Percent Passing by Topic & Year .....	xviii
Trend Table G Number of Candidates by Jurisdiction and Year .....	xix
Trend Table H Number of Candidates for Major County Offices by Year.....	xx
Trend Table I Percent of Incumbent Candidates and Percent of Prevailing Incumbents by Major Office, Jurisdiction and Year .....	xxi
<b>2015 Election Series Summary: Election Outcomes for County, City, and School District Ballot Measures and Candidates .....</b>	<b>1</b>
Table A Summary of Outcomes for All County, City, and School District Ballot Measures by Type of Measure and County, 2015 .....	3
Table B Summary of Outcomes for All County, City, and School District Ballot Measures by Topic of Measure and County, 2015 .....	4
Table C Summary of Election Outcomes for All County, City, and School District Offices, 2014 .....	5
<b>Part 1 Vote Totals, Election Outcomes and Text for City Ballot Measures .....</b>	<b>7</b>
Table 1.1 Vote Totals for City Ballot Measures by County, 2015 .....	9
Table 1.2 Text for City Ballot Measures by County, 2015.....	12
Table 1.3 Summary of Election Outcomes for City Ballot Measures by Type of Measure and County, 2015.....	17
Table 1.4 Summary of Election Outcomes for City Ballot Measures by Topic of Measure and County, 2015.....	18
<b>Part 2 Vote Totals for City Office Candidates .....</b>	<b>19</b>
Table 2.1 Vote Totals for City Office Candidates by County and Election Date, 2015 .....	21
Table 2.2 Summary of Election Outcomes for City Offices, 2015.....	42



# CALIFORNIA ELECTIONS DATA ARCHIVE

## INTRODUCTION

The California Elections Data Archive (CEDA) is a joint project of the Center for California Studies, and the Institute for Social Research (ISR), at the California State University, Sacramento, and the office of the California Secretary of State. The purpose of CEDA is to provide researchers, citizens, public agencies, and other interested parties with a single repository of local election data. With over 6,000 local jurisdictions in California, the task of monitoring local elections is nearly impossible for individuals. CEDA addresses this problem through the creation of a single, cost-effective, and easily accessible source of local election data. CEDA includes candidate, and ballot measure results for county, city, community college, and school district elections throughout the State. CEDA thus represents the only comprehensive repository of local election results in California and one of a very few such databases on local elections in the U.S.

### How the CEDA Data is Collected and Reported

ISR staff collects election data periodically throughout each calendar year. This enables CEDA to incorporate results from special elections as well as all regularly scheduled elections. ISR staff enters election results from counties, cities, community colleges, and school districts into the CEDA database and then uses this database to generate three standard CEDA reports. These reports include:

- County Elections: Candidates, ballot designations, and vote totals for all elected county offices; vote totals and text for county ballot measures.
- City Elections: Candidates, ballot designations, and vote totals for all elected city offices; vote totals, and text for all city ballot measures.
- Community College and School District Elections: Candidates, ballot designations, and vote totals for all elective community college and school district offices; vote totals and text for all district ballot measures.

ISR staff codes ballot measures for all jurisdictions according to type (e.g., charter amendment, taxes, bond measure, initiative, etc.) and to topic (e.g., education, public safety, governance, etc.).

## **THE CEDA PARTNERSHIP**

### **THE CENTER FOR CALIFORNIA STUDIES**

Located at California State University, Sacramento, the Center for California Studies is a public policy, public service, and curricular support unit of the California State University. The Center's location in the state Capital and its ability to draw upon the resources of the entire State University system give it a unique capacity for making contributions to public policy development, and the public life of California. Center programs cover four broad areas: administration of the nationally known Assembly, Senate, Executive, and Judicial Administration Fellowship Programs; university-state government liaison and applied policy research; civic education and community service through forums, conferences, and issue dialogues; and curricular support activity in the interdisciplinary field of California Studies.

### **INSTITUTE FOR SOCIAL RESEARCH**

Established in 1989, the Institute for Social Research (ISR) is a multidisciplinary institute that is committed to advancing the understanding of the social world through applied research. The Institute offers research expertise and technical assistance serving as a resource to agencies, organizations, the University, and the broader community. Services provided by the Institute include research and sampling design, measurement, coding and data entry, computer assisted telephone and field interviewing, mailed and Internet surveys, focus groups, data base management, statistical analysis, and report production. ISR has completed numerous projects with more than 50 federal, state and community agencies, several private firms, and many administrative units of the university. Faculty affiliates of the Institute offer specific content expertise in a wide variety of disciplines, including the social sciences, health and human services, engineering, and education.

### **CALIFORNIA SECRETARY OF STATE**

Among their other duties, the Secretary of State acts as California's chief elections officer with the responsibility of administering the provisions of the Elections Code. The Secretary must compile state election returns, and issue certificates of election to winning candidates; compile the returns and certify the results of initiative and referendum elections; certify acts delayed by referendum, and prepare and file a statement of vote. Recent legislation permits but does not mandate that the Secretary of State compile local election results.



2015 COUNTY, CITY, AND SCHOOL DISTRICT ELECTION DATES BY COUNTY

	1/6	1/27	2/17	2/24	3/3	3/10	3/24	3/31	4/7	4/14	4/21	5/5	5/12	5/19	6/2	6/16	6/23	8/25	9/1	10/6	11/3	11/17	12/8	
Contra Costa												✓										✓		
El Dorado								✓				✓											✓	
Fresno																							✓	
Humboldt																							✓	
Imperial																							✓	
Kern					✓																		✓	
Kings																							✓	
Lake																							✓	
Lassen												✓												
Los Angeles			✓	✓	✓	✓			✓	✓	✓		✓	✓	✓	✓							✓	
Madera															✓					✓				
Marin												✓											✓	
Mendocino																							✓	
Merced												✓												
Modoc												✓												
Mono																						✓		
Monterey																							✓	
Orange				✓										✓									✓	
Plumas	✓						✓																✓	
Riverside															✓								✓	
Sacramento									✓				✓											
San Bernardino							✓			✓					✓					✓			✓	
San Francisco																							✓	
San Joaquin																								✓
San Luis Obispo												✓												
San Mateo												✓							✓				✓	
Santa Barbara																							✓	
Santa Clara									✓			✓					✓						✓	
Santa Cruz																							✓	
Siskiyou					✓										✓								✓	
Sonoma															✓								✓	
Stanislaus																							✓	
Trinity																							✓	
Tulare																							✓	
Ventura												✓			✓								✓	

TREND TABLE A NUMBER OF BALLOT MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TYPE, JURISDICTION, AND YEAR

	All Measures			Bonds			Taxes			Ordinance			Recalls			Initiatives			Charter Amendment		
	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing
All Measures																					
1995-2015	390	100	65	102	26	69	117	30	58	83	21	61	15	4	72	9	2	49	47	12	76
Even Years	581	100	66	160	28	72	169	29	55	128	22	61	16	3	70	13	2	51	69	12	75
Odd Years	217	100	63	49	23	61	70	32	64	41	19	61	14	7	74	5	2	46	27	13	77
County																					
1995-2015	63	16	57	2	3	70	25	40	44	19	31	64	2	3	73	2	4	49	7	10	67
Even Years	100	17	54	3	3	65	41	41	41	32	32	62	2	2	50	4	4	49	11	11	66
Odd Years	28	13	67	2	5	76	10	37	54	8	29	71	2	9	89	1	3	50	2	8	71
City																					
1995-2015	195	50	64	5	3	59	67	35	61	57	29	59	7	4	73	6	3	49	41	21	77
Even Years	291	50	64	8	3	63	102	35	59	89	31	59	10	3	72	9	3	52	58	20	77
Odd Years	107	50	65	2	2	48	36	34	65	28	26	56	5	4	76	4	4	43	25	23	78
School District																					
1995-2015	133	34	69	95	71	70	25	19	65	6	5	79	6	4	70	0	0	50			
Even Years	190	33	72	150	79	72	26	14	63	7	4	81	5	2	76						
Odd Years	81	37	64	45	55	61	23	29	66	5	6	77	7	9	67	0	0	100			

TREND TABLE A NUMBER OF BALLOT MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TYPE, JURISDICTION, AND YEAR (CONTINUED)

	All Measures			Bonds			Taxes			Ordinance			Recalls			Initiatives			Charter Amendment			
	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	
All Measures	1995	283	100	37	91	36	47	26	10	35	46	18	61	8	3	88	8	3	50	55	22	93
	1996	573	100	57	64	11	59	142	25	40	176	31	58	32	6	72	18	3	39	115	20	73
	1997	342	100	60	127	37	59	100	29	56	45	13	69	29	8	38	7	2	71	31	9	81
	1998	572	100	61	144	25	58	162	28	48	115	20	58	19	3	74	9	2	56	94	16	77
	1999	283	100	60	107	38	59	54	19	57	68	24	57	14	5	71	10	4	40	20	7	50
	2000	559	100	58	135	24	60	122	22	39	154	28	58	11	2	100	21	4	67	79	14	67
	2001	233	100	70	73	31	75	68	29	72	33	14	58	21	9	71	1	0	100	25	11	60
	2002	657	100	68	245	37	76	155	24	54	136	21	54	8	1	63	10	2	40	77	12	77
	2003	178	100	63	22	12	55	62	35	48	47	26	70	9	5	89	5	3	40	24	13	75
	2004	712	100	63	179	25	75	258	36	47	144	20	64	11	2	73	14	2	29	72	10	79
	2005	295	100	64	57	19	74	111	38	58	59	20	54	11	4	82	7	2	43	35	12	89
	2006	556	100	62	185	33	59	142	26	56	123	22	63	17	3	29	22	4	36	39	7	82
	2007	179	100	72	22	12	55	61	34	74	40	22	58	13	7	100	1	1	0	38	21	79
	2008	593	100	75	201	34	82	188	32	67	123	21	65	12	2	58	11	2	91	39	7	90
	2009	193	100	63	6	3	33	99	51	67	35	18	63	13	7	69	3	2	33	20	10	60
	2010	482	100	67	97	20	70	164	34	60	117	24	67	27	6	78	11	2	55	50	10	76
	2011	172	100	72	10	6	80	75	44	67	29	17	72	16	9	75	2	1	0	31	18	81
2012	530	100	72	156	29	81	178	34	69	112	21	62	14	3	93	2	0	50	51	10	63	
2013	137	100	72	11	8	73	65	47	78	34	25	50	8	6	88	4	3	100	11	8	73	
2014	577	100	73	193	33	81	175	30	69	81	14	72	7	1	57	14	2	57	72	12	76	
2015	120	100	74	13	11	77	47	39	79	20	17	75	14	12	100	9	8	22	11	9	64	

TREND TABLE A NUMBER OF BALLOT MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TYPE, JURISDICTION, AND YEAR (CONTINUED)

	All Measures			Bonds			Taxes			Ordinance			Recalls			Initiatives			Charter Amendment			
	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	
County Measures	1995	17	7	53			6	35	33	2	12	0				6	35	83				
	1996	114	20	44	3	3	33	34	30	26	41	36	54	5	4	80	7	6	14	17	15	47
	1997	24	7	63	7	29	57	7	29	71	4	17	100	2	8	50				4	17	25
	1998	125	22	59	1	1	0	53	42	40	32	26	75				4	3	25	25	20	76
	1999	38	13	63	1	3	100	21	55	48	8	21	63							4	11	100
	2000	116	21	49	6	5	83	51	44	27	28	24	50				8	7	88	8	7	38
	2001	37	16	73	3	8	100	14	38	71	11	30	64	4	11	75				1	3	0
	2002	98	15	56	5	5	20	38	39	45	39	40	67	1	1	0	2	2	50	7	7	71
	2003	28	16	64				12	43	25	15	54	100	1	4	0						
	2004	140	20	54	0	2	0	60	43	45	47	34	62	1	1	0	4	3	25	18	13	56
	2005	57	19	63	3	5	67	24	42	67	16	28	56	3	5	100	3	5	67	2	4	50
	2006	95	17	52				45	47	40	30	32	60	4	4	25	2	2	50	6	6	83
	2007	29	16	76	1	3	100	3	10	67	16	55	63	8	28	100						
	2008	90	15	62	3	3	100	33	37	42	40	44	65	1	1	100	2	2	100	4	4	100
	2009	16	8	69				4	25	50	6	38	67	1	6	100				2	13	100
	2010	64	13	53	3	5	67	25	39	48	22	34	59	4	6	50	2	3	50	6	9	50
	2011	20	12	80	1	5	100	7	35	71	4	20	75	2	10	100				3	15	67
2012	76	14	63	1	1	100	39	51	59	20	26	60	1	1	100	2	3	50	10	13	70	
2013	12	9	75				5	42	40				1	8	100	3	25	100	1	8	100	
2014	84	15	57	4	5	100	33	39	36	19	23	74	1	1	0	8	10	50	12	14	92	
2015	34	28	62	1	3	100	11	32	45	8	24	88	5	15	100	4	12	0	1	3	100	

TREND TABLE A NUMBER OF BALLOT MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TYPE, JURISDICTION, AND YEAR (CONTINUED)

	All Measures			Bonds			Taxes			Ordinance			Recalls			Initiatives			Charter Amendment			
	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	
City Measures	1995	119	47	71	4	3	75	7	6	29	38	32	58				7	6	43	49	41	94
	1996	374	65	60	10	3	30	100	27	43	115	31	59	24	6	79	11	3	55	98	26	78
	1997	144	42	58	2	1	50	70	49	50	28	19	54	9	6	22	7	5	71	27	19	89
	1998	283	49	60	9	3	78	99	35	47	78	28	53	7	2	43	5	2	80	69	24	77
	1999	114	40	54	4	4	75	22	19	55	48	42	48	8	7	100	10	9	40	16	14	38
	2000	297	53	60	11	4	82	65	22	45	113	38	56	6	2	100	13	4	54	71	24	70
	2001	93	40	69	8	9	63	31	33	74	18	19	61	3	3	100	1	1	100	24	26	63
	2002	309	47	60	12	4	83	102	33	58	94	30	48	5	2	60	8	3	38	70	23	77
	2003	89	50	67	2	2	50	14	16	71	29	33	55	6	7	100	5	6	40	24	27	75
	2004	337	47	59	7	2	43	147	44	46	92	27	63	6	2	67	10	3	30	54	16	87
	2005	135	46	61	2	1	0	47	35	55	37	27	51	3	2	33	4	3	25	33	24	91
	2006	253	46	64	10	4	50	82	32	70	85	34	61	6	2	17	20	8	35	33	13	82
	2007	108	60	71	2	2	0	40	37	73	19	18	53	5	5	100	1	1	0	38	35	79
	2008	258	44	73	5	2	100	111	43	71	80	31	65	8	3	38	9	3	89	35	14	89
	2009	130	67	61	1	1	0	63	48	68	28	22	61	3	2	33	3	2	33	18	14	56
	2010	270	56	71	2	1	0	95	35	69	91	34	67	16	6	94	8	3	63	44	16	80
	2011	105	61	74	0	0	0	37	35	65	23	22	70	10	10	100	2	2	0	28	27	82
2012	248	47	67	5	2	20	93	38	72	83	33	58	12	5	92				41	17	61	
2013	90	66	68				44	49	77	30	33	53	3	3	67	1	1	100	10	11	70	
2014	285	49	71	5	2	100	121	42	72	60	21	70	5	2	60	6	2	67	60	21	73	
2015	54	45	70	2	4	0	23	43	87	12	22	67				5	9	40	10	19	60	

TREND TABLE A NUMBER OF BALLOT MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TYPE, JURISDICTION, AND YEAR (CONTINUED)

	All Measures			Bonds			Taxes			Ordinance			Recalls			Initiatives			Charter Amendment			
	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	
School District Measures	1995	117	46	52	87	74	46	13	11	38	6	5	100	8	7	88	1	1	100			
	1996	85	15	62	51	60	67	8	9	63	20	24	60	3	4	0						
	1997	174	51	62	118	68	59	23	13	70	13	7	92	18	10	44						
	1998	164	29	62	134	82	57	10	6	100	5	3	40	12	7	92						
	1999	131	46	62	102	78	58	11	8	82	12	9	92	6	5	33						
	2000	146	26	63	118	81	57	6	4	67	13	9	92	5	3	100						
	2001	103	44	71	62	60	76	23	22	70	4	4	25	14	14	64						
	2002	250	38	76	228	91	77	15	6	53	3	1	100	2	1	100						
	2003	61	34	52	20	33	55	36	59	47	3	5	67	2	3	100						
	2004	235	33	73	172	73	77	51	22	53	5	2	100	4	2	100						
	2005	103	35	69	52	50	77	40	39	55	6	6	67	5	5	100						
	2006	208	37	58	175	84	59	15	7	27	8	4	88	7	3	43						
	2007	42	23	67	19	45	58	18	43	78	5	12	60									
	2008	245	41	80	193	79	81	44	18	75	3	1	67	3	1	100						
	2009	47	24	66	5	11	40	32	68	66	1	2	100	9	19	78						
	2010	148	31	64	92	62	72	44	30	45	4	3	100	7	5	57	1	1	0			
	2011	47	27	64	9	19	78	31	66	68	2	4	100	4	9	0						
2012	206	39	82	150	73	83	46	22	72	9	4	100	1	0	100							
2013	35	26	80	11	31	73	16	46	94	4	11	25	4	11	100							
2014	208	36	83	184	88	80	21	10	100	2	1	100	1	0	100							
2015	32	27	94	10	31	90	13	41	92				9	28	100							

TREND TABLE B NUMBER OF BALLOT MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TOPIC, JURISDICTION, AND YEAR

	All Measures			Education			Governance			Land Use			Public Safety			Public Facilities			General Services			Transportation			Revenue		
	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing
All Measures																											
1995-2015	390	100	65	133	34	69	89	23	70	31	8	55	23	6	55	18	5	56	13	3	66	10	3	54	53	14	64
Even Years	581	100	65	190	33	72	133	23	68	47	8	57	36	6	55	29	5	54	16	3	67	16	3	56	81	14	61
Odd Years	217	100	65	81	37	64	49	23	73	17	8	50	11	5	56	9	4	63	10	5	63	5	2	48	27	12	74
County																											
1995-2015	61	16	57	1	1	82	18	29	70	6	11	47	8	13	45	7	12	52	4	6	66	7	11	58	7	12	47
Even Years	100	17	54	1	1	71	27	27	67	11	11	49	13	13	43	11	11	45	5	5	63	11	10	56	12	12	45
Odd Years	28	13	67	0	1	100	9	31	79	2	9	41	3	11	51	3	12	72	3	10	70	3	10	63	3	10	55
City																											
1995-2015	193	49	64	1	1	73	71	37	69	24	12	60	15	8	61	11	6	60	9	5	66	4	2	47	45	24	67
Even Years	291	50	64	2	1	80	106	36	68	34	11	64	23	8	62	18	6	60	11	4	70	6	2	55	69	24	64
Odd Years	108	50	65	1	1	57	39	37	72	15	14	52	8	7	58	5	5	59	7	7	60	2	2	27	24	22	76
School District																											
1995-2015	131	34	69	130	99	66	1	1	68							0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	50			
Even Years	190	33	72	184	97	66	1	0	40										0	0	0	0	0	50			
Odd Years	81	37	64	80	98	64	1	2	79							0	0	0									

TREND TABLE B NUMBER OF BALLOT MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TOPIC, JURISDICTION, AND YEAR (CONTINUED)

	All Measures			Education			Governance			Land Use			Public Safety			Public Facilities			General Services			Transportation			Revenue			
	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	
All Measures	1995	253	100	61	121	48	54	63	25	84	16	6	63	12	5	50	14	6	50				2	1	0	5	2	60
	1996	573	100	57	87	15	64	214	37	66	54	9	56	39	7	51	38	7	37	10	2	40	8	1	50	87	15	46
	1997	342	100	60	175	51	62	43	13	67	19	6	68	12	4	42	15	4	40	38	11	61	4	1	50	10	3	70
	1998	572	100	60	158	28	63	131	23	64	46	8	70	41	7	49	32	6	56	28	5	82	23	4	70	75	13	43
	1999	283	100	59	119	42	59	62	22	63	29	10	41	14	5	57	4	1	75	14	5	57	8	3	88	23	8	65
	2000	559	100	59	151	27	63	141	25	64	73	13	55	32	6	50	39	7	67	20	4	55	21	4	43	5	1	20
	2001	233	100	70	105	45	71	46	20	67	7	3	71	11	5	73	19	8	58	7	3	71	4	2	25	31	13	87
	2002	657	100	65	250	38	76	144	22	66	44	7	43	42	6	57	35	5	49	20	3	60	10	2	40	85	13	62
	2003	178	100	62	61	34	52	52	29	73	15	8	60	12	7	50	5	3	60	6	3	100	8	4	38	13	7	62
	2004	712	100	62	238	33	72	139	20	73	58	8	52	55	8	47	37	5	38	23	3	70	25	4	76	110	15	47
	2005	295	100	64	102	35	70	61	21	70	28	9	39	18	6	44	14	5	64	18	6	67	13	4	62	33	11	70
	2006	556	100	60	208	37	58	109	20	60	51	9	61	37	7	73	22	4	41	12	2	58	22	4	50	61	11	62
	2007	179	100	71	42	23	67	63	35	81	18	10	39	5	3	100	8	4	88	7	4	86	4	2	25	31	17	68
	2008	593	100	74	246	41	80	99	17	74	43	7	72	39	7	49	32	5	66	10	2	80	14	2	50	92	16	77
	2009	193	100	63	47	24	66	42	22	64	17	9	47	10	5	60	7	4	86	8	4	25	2	1	0	56	29	71
	2010	482	100	66	149	31	64	138	29	74	30	6	47	27	6	67	12	2	75	9	2	56	7	1	71	95	20	65
	2011	172	100	72	48	28	65	59	12	81	8	2	75	9	2	56	5	1	100	4	1	50	2	0	50	34	7	74
2012	530	100	72	209	39	82	98	20	71	23	5	57	13	3	38	23	5	57	11	2	73	12	2	50	99	21	79	
2013	137	100	72	35	26	80	28	6	71	14	3	50	9	2	67				4	1	50	4	1	50	39	8	79	
2014	577	100	73	208	36	83	114	24	75	45	9	60	33	7	70	20	4	85	13	3	85	19	4	47	104	22	64	
2015	120	100	74	32	27	94	23	5	83	17	4	35	8	2	50	5	1	60	2	0	100	3	1	33	21	4	86	



TREND TABLE B NUMBER OF BALLOT MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TOPIC, JURISDICTION, AND YEAR (CONTINUED)

	All Measures			Education			Governance			Land Use			Public Safety			Public Facilities			General Services			Transportation			Revenue			
	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	
County Measures	1995	17	7	53			7	41	71	3	18	33				3	18	67				1	6	0	3	18	33	
	1996	114	20	44	1	1	100	44	39	59	12	11	33	8	7	38	16	14	13	1	1	100	4	4	75	16	14	31
	1997	24	7	63	1	4	100	5	21	60	3	13	100	2	8	0	5	21	40	5	21	80	1	4	100	1	4	0
	1998	125	22	59				25	20	76	13	10	62	14	11	36	12	10	33	18	14	72	16	13	75	12	10	25
	1999	38	13	63				5	13	80				3	8	33	3	8	67	7	18	29	8	21	88	7	18	86
	2000	116	21	49	1	1	100	22	19	64	17	15	35	14	12	36	16	14	44	8	7	63	16	14	44	3	3	33
	2001	37	16	73	2	5	100	12	32	58	1	3	100	7	19	100	6	16	67	4	11	75	1	3	0	4	11	75
	2002	98	15	56				34	35	71	7	7	71	15	15	33	11	11	36	7	7	57	5	5	40	12	12	67
	2003	28	16	64				10	36	90				5	18	40				2	7	100	2	7	50	6	21	17
	2004	140	20	54	3	2	33	32	23	66	14	10	14	22	16	50	13	9	54	4	3	50	21	15	76	17	12	41
	2005	57	19	63				12	21	67	6	11	33	6	11	33	8	14	75	9	16	78	9	16	78	4	7	50
	2006	95	17	52				28	29	54	10	11	70	11	12	55	8	8	38	2	2	50	15	16	40	12	13	33
	2007	29	16	76				14	48	93	5	17	0				5	17	100	2	7	100	2	7	50			
	2008	90	15	62	1	1	100	25	28	76	7	8	86	14	16	43	14	16	50	4	4	75	7	8	57	11	12	64
	2009	16	8	69				7	44	86	3	19	33				3	19	100				1	6	0	2	13	50
	2010	64	13	53				23	36	57	6	9	50	12	19	50	2	3	100	1	2	0	5	8	80	11	17	45
	2011	20	12	80	1	5	100	10	50	80				5	25	60	1	5	100				1	5	100	2	10	100
	2012	76	14	63	1	1	100	19	25	74	7	9	43	7	9	43	12	16	67	1	1	100	5	7	40	18	24	72
	2013	12	2	75				4	33	100	2	17	100	2	17	50							3	25	33			
	2014	84	16	57				20	24	85	15	18	60	10	12	50	9	11	78	3	4	33	11	13	27	9	11	22
2015	34	28	62				12	35	83	4	12	25	5	15	40	2	6	50	1	3	100	3	9	33	2	6	50	

TREND TABLE B NUMBER OF BALLOT MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TOPIC, JURISDICTION, AND YEAR (CONTINUED)

	All Measures			Education			Governance			Land Use			Public Safety			Public Facilities			General Services			Transportation			Revenue			
	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	
City Measures	1995	119	47	71	4	3	100	56	47	86	13	11	69	12	10	50	11	9	45				1	1	0	2	2	100
	1996	374	65	60	2	1	100	170	45	68	42	11	62	31	8	55	22	6	55	8	2	38	4	1	25	71	19	49
	1997	144	42	58				38	26	68	16	11	63	10	7	50	10	7	40	33	23	58	3	2	33	9	6	78
	1998	283	49	60				101	36	62	33	12	73	27	10	56	20	7	70	10	4	100	7	2	57	62	22	47
	1999	114	40	54				45	39	53	29	25	41	11	10	64	1	1	100	7	6	86				16	14	56
	2000	297	53	60	7	2	71	119	40	64	56	19	61	18	6	61	23	8	83	12	4	50	5	2	40	2	1	0
	2001	93	40	69	3	3	0	33	35	73	6	6	67	4	4	25	11	12	64	3	3	67	3	3	33	27	29	89
	2002	309	47	60	1	0	0	110	36	65	37	12	38	27	9	70	24	8	54	13	4	62	5	2	40	72	23	63
	2003	89	50	67				42	47	69	15	17	60	7	8	57	5	6	60	4	4	100	6	7	33	7	8	100
	2004	337	47	59	2	1	100	107	32	75	44	13	64	33	10	45	24	7	29	19	6	74	4	1	75	91	27	47
	2005	135	46	61				48	36	73	22	16	41	12	9	50	6	4	50	9	7	56	4	3	25	29	21	72
	2006	253	46	64				81	32	62	41	16	59	26	10	81	14	6	43	10	4	60	7	3	71	49	19	69
	2007	108	60	71				49	45	78	13	12	54	5	5	100	3	3	67	5	5	80	2	2	0	31	29	68
	2008	258	44	73				74	29	73	36	14	69	25	10	52	18	7	78	6	2	83	7	3	43	81	31	79
	2009	130	67	61				35	27	60	14	11	50	10	8	60	4	3	75	8	6	25	1	1	0	54	42	72
	2010	270	56	71	1	0	100	115	43	77	0	0		15	6	80	10	4	70	8	3	63	2	1	50	84	31	68
	2011	107	62	75				51	48	82	8	7	75	4	4	50	4	4	100	4	4	50	1	1	0	32	30	72
2012	248	47	67	2	1	100	89	36	63	16	6	63	6	2	33	11	4	45	10	4	70	7	3	57	81	33	80	
2013	90	17	68				24	10	67	12	5	42	7	3	71				4	2	50	1	0	100	39	16	79	
2014	285	54	71				94	38	72	30	12	60	23	9	78	11	4	91	10	4	100	8	3	75	95	38	68	
2015	54	45	70				11	4	82	13	5	38	3	1	67	3	1	67	1	0	100				19	8	89	

TREND TABLE B NUMBER OF BALLOT MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TOPIC, JURISDICTION, AND YEAR (CONTINUED)

	All Measures			Education			Governance			Land Use			Public Safety			Public Facilities			General Services			Transportation			Revenue			
	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	
School District Measures	1995	117	46	52	117	100	52																					
	1996	85	15	62	84	99	63											1	1	0								
	1997	174	51	62	174	100	62																					
	1998	164	29	62	158	96	63	5	3	40											1	1	0					
	1999	131	46	62	119	91	59	12	9	92																		
	2000	146	26	63	143	98	62																					
	2001	103	44	71	100	97	73	1	1	0				2	2	0												
	2002	250	38	76	249	100	76														1	0	0					
	2003	61	34	52	61	100	52																					
	2004	235	33	73	233	99	73														2	1	100					
	2005	103	35	69	102	99	70	1	1	0																		
	2006	208	37	58	208	100	58																					
	2007	42	23	67	42	100	67																					
	2008	245	41	80	245	100	80																					
	2009	47	24	66	47	100	66																					
	2010	148	31	64	148	100	64																					
2011	47	27	64	47	100	64																						
2012	206	39	82	168	82	23																						
2013	35	7	80	35	17	80																						
2014	208	39	83	208	101	83																						
2015	32	27	94	32	16	94																						

TREND TABLE C COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT, AND COUNTY SERVICE AREA MEASURES BY COUNTY

	1998			1999			2000			2001			2002			2003			2004			2005			2006			2007			
	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	
Butte	2	67	100				1	100	100																						
Calaveras																				3	100	100					1	50	0		
Contra Costa	2	67	50				4	80	25	1	100	100	2	100	50				3	60	67	3	100	100	1	33	100	2	100	100	
El Dorado	2	12	50				7	78	29				1	50	100	6	100	17	2	20	100	14	88	64	2	100	0	3	100	100	
Fresno	1	50	100																												
Humboldt																			1	25	100	2	100	0							
Imperial																						1	50	100							
Inyo							1	50	100																						
Kern	6	100	50				2	100	0	4	100	75								3	100	33				1	33	100			
Lake																				1	100	0									
Lassen							1	33	0				4	80	25				1	100	100				1	100	0				
Los Angeles																															
Marin				4	100	100	5	100	80	10	91	90	3	100	100	1	100	100				2	100	100	4	100	100	1	100	100	
Mendocino				1	100	0							1	100	0				1	50	100										
Modoc																															
Monterey													1	100	0																
Nevada							1	100	100																						
Orange	1	100	100													1	100	100													
Placer	1	33	100																												
Plumas							1	100	100	2	100	100				1	50	100													
Riverside	2	40	50	8	100	38				2	100	0	2	67	50	2	100	0	2	100	100										
Sacramento	2	40	100				3	75	33																						
San Bernardino	2	100	50				3	100	67				1	100	0	1	100	0	1	50	0				1	33	100				
San Diego	10	83	30				3	60	33	1	100	100	2	40	0				3	33	33				1	20	100				
San Joaquin																											1	100	100		
San Luis Obispo				5	100	100	1	33	0				1	50	100				4	67	50	4	100	100	5	83	40				
San Mateo																				1	14	100									
Santa Barbara										1	100	0													1	25	0				
Santa Cruz																						1	100	0							
Shasta							1	100	0																						
Siskiyou							2	100	100											5	83	0				2	100	0			
Sonoma							1	20	100	1	100	100				1	100	100							1	50	0				
Stanislaus																									1	50	0				
Sutter				1	100	0																			1	100	0				
Trinity																										2	100	100			
Tulare																				1	100	100	1	100	100						
Tuolumne				1	100	0	1	33	0																						
Yolo																															
Yuba							2	67	50											1	25	0									
Total for CSD/CSA Measure Over All Counties	31	25	55	20	53	60	40	34	48	22	59	77	18	18	44	13	46	38	30	21	50	31	54	74	24	25	50	8	28	88	

TREND TABLE C COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT, AND COUNTY SERVICE AREA MEASURES BY COUNTY (CONTINUED)

	2008			2009			2010			2011			2012			2013			2014			2015			1998-2015		
	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing
Butte																								3	33	100	
Calaveras												1	50	100										5	56	80	
Contra Costa	3	100	67				1	50	100	2	100	100	1	100	100									25	78	72	
El Dorado	1	50	0	1	100	0	3	75	67				1	50	0	2	100	50	5	45	60	5	83	40	55	59	49
Fresno										2	100	100												3	21	100	
Humboldt	1	100	0							1	100	100				1	100	100						6	46	50	
Imperial																		2	100	0				3	30	33	
Inyo																								1	13	100	
Kern							1	100	0						1	25	0				1	50	100	19	70	47	
Lake	1	100	100																					2	33	50	
Lassen												1	100	0				3	75	33	2	100	100	13	72	38	
Los Angeles															1	2	100							1	2	100	
Marin	3	75	100	1	100	100	7	88	43	3	100	100	1	50	100	1	11	100	1	25	100	2	22	100	49	63	88
Mendocino												1	33	0										4	33	25	
Modoc																		2	0	0	2	100	0				
Monterey																								1	9	0	
Nevada												1	100	0				1	50	0				3	38	33	
Orange																								2	11	100	
Placer	1	33	0									1	100	0										3	25	33	
Plumas										1	100	0									4	100	100	9	82	89	
Riverside							1	25	0															20	77	40	
Sacramento	1	100	100																					6	35	67	
San Bernardino	1	50	100	1	100	100				1	100	100			1	9	0				2	40	0	15	47	47	
San Diego																		1	100	0				21	44	33	
San Joaquin																								2	33	100	
San Luis Obispo												1	50	100							1	100	100	22	76	73	
San Mateo	1	25	100									1	100	100										3	12	100	
Santa Barbara				1	100	100						1	14	100										3	21	33	
Santa Cruz																								1	11	0	
Shasta				1	100	100	2	100	0															4	100	25	
Siskiyou	3	75	33																	1	33	0			14	70	21
Sonoma												1	100	0										4	22	75	
Stanislaus	1	25	0																					2	25	0	
Sutter																								2	22	0	
Trinity																								2	40	100	
Tulare																								3	75	100	
Tuolumne	1	100	100									1	100	100										4	40	25	
Yolo				1	100	100						1	100	0										1	33	100	
Yuba																								3	25	33	
Total for CSD/CSA Measure Over All Counties	18	20	61	6	38	83	15	23	40	10	50	90	14	18	50	7	58	57	16	19	38	19	56	12	343	47	57

TREND TABLE D NUMBER OF COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT, AND COUNTY SERVICE AREA MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL COUNTY MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TYPE, AND YEAR

	ALL CSD/CSA			TAXES			BONDS			ADVISORY			RECALLS			GANN LIMIT			ORDINANCE		
	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate
1998	31	25	55	22	18	45							1	1	0	8	6	88			
1999	20	53	60	16	42	56							3	8	100	1	3	0			
2000	40	34	48	28	24	29	1	1	100	3	3	67				6	5	100	2	2	100
2001	22	59	77	12	32	75	2	5	100				3	8	100	3	8	100	2	5	0
2002	18	18	44	14	14	36							4	4	75						
2003	13	46	38	11	39	27										2	7	100			
2004	30	21	50	24	17	42				1	1	100				2	1	100	3	2	67
2005	31	54	74	23	40	65	2	4	100				3	5	100	1	2	100	2	4	100
2006	24	25	50	15	16	47							4	4	25	1	1	100	4	4	75
2007	8	28	88	3	10	67							3	10	100	1	3	100	1	3	100
2008	18	20	61	11	12	45				1	1	0				4	4	100	2	2	100
2009	6	38	83	3	19	67							1	6	100	1	6	100	1	6	100
2010	15	23	40	11	17	36							4	6	50						
2011	10	50	90	4	20	75				1	5	100	2	10	100	2	10	100	1	5	100
2012	14	18	50	11	14	36							1	1	100				2	3	100
2013	7	58	57	5	42	40				1	0	100				1	8	100			
2014	16	19	38	13	15	31	2	0	100							1	1	0			
2015	19	56	63	9	26	56				2	0	0	5	15	100	1	3	100	2	6	50
1998-2015	344	30	57	237	20	46	7	1	100	9	1	56	26	2	81	32	3	91	33	3	79

TREND TABLE E COMPARISON OF PASS RATES FOR COUNTY-WIDE AND COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT/ COUNTY SERVICE AREA TAX MEASURES, 1998-2015

	Total Number of County Measures	NON-CSD/CSA COUNTY-WIDE MEASURES		CSD/CSA MEASURES		NON-CSD/CSA COUNTY-WIDE TAX MEASURES		CSD/CSA COUNTY TAX MEASURES	
		Number of Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	Percent Passing
1998	125	94	61	31	55	31	35	22	45
1999	38	18	67	20	60	5	20	16	56
2000	116	76	50	40	48	23	26	28	29
2001	37	15	67	22	77	2	50	12	75
2002	98	80	59	18	44	24	50	14	36
2003	28	15	87	13	38	1	0	11	27
2004	140	110	55	30	50	36	47	24	42
2005	57	26	50	31	74	1	100	23	65
2006	95	71	52	24	50	30	37	15	47
2007	29	21	71	8	88	0	0	3	67
2008	90	72	63	18	61	22	0	11	45
2009	16	10	60	6	83	1	0	3	67
2010	64	49	57	15	40	14	57	11	36
2011	20	10	70	10	90	3	67	4	75
2012	76	62	66	14	50	28	68	11	36
2013	12	5	100	7	57	0	0	5	40
2014	84	68	62	16	38	20	40	13	31
2015	34	15	60	19	63	2	0	9	56
1998-2015	1,159	817	59	344	57	243	44	237	46

TREND TABLE F NUMBER OF COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT AND COUNTY SERVICE AREA MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL COUNTY MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TOPIC AND YEAR

	ALL CSD/CSA			LAND USE			PUBLIC SAFETY			GOVERNANCE			ENVIRONMENT			TRANSPORTATION			PUBLIC FACILITIES			GENERAL SERVICES			REVENUE		
	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Percent Passing
1998	31	25	55				12	10	42				3	2	67	2	2	50	1	1	0	8	6	88	4	3	25
1999	20	53	60				2	5	50				3	8	0	5	13	100				5	13	40	5	13	80
2000	40	34	48	2	2	0	10	9	30	2	2	100				6	5	17	5	4	40	5	4	60	1	1	100
2001	22	59	77				6	16	100	4	11	75				1	3	0	5	14	60	4	11	75	2	5	100
2002	18	18	44				11	11	45	3	3	67							3	3	33	1	1	0			
2003	13	46	38				5	18	40	2	7	100													6	21	17
2004	30	21	50				17	12	47	1	1	0				4	3	50	4	3	50	1	1	0	2	1	100
2005	31	54	74	2	4	0	1	2	100	3	5	100				6	11	100	6	11	67	9	16	78	4	7	50
2006	24	25	50				7	7	71	7	7	43				2	2	50	3	3	0	2	2	50	3	3	67
2007	8	28	88							4	14	100				1	3	0	1	3	100	2	7	100			
2008	18	20	61				8	9	50	2	2	100				2	2	50				1	1	0	5	6	80
2009	6	38	83							2	13	100				1	6	0	2	13	100				1	6	100
2010	15	23	40				7	11	43	4	6	50				1	2	0							3	5	33
2011	10	50	90				4	20	75	4	20	100													2	10	100
2012	14	18	50	1	1	100	6	8	33	2	3	100				2	3	50	3	4	33						
2013	7	58	57				2	17	50	2	17	100				3	25	33									
2014	16	19	38				7	8	29	2	2	100				3	4	0	2	2	100				2	2	0
2015	19	56	63	1	3	0	4	12	50	7	21	86				3	9	33	2	6	50	1	3	100	1	3	100
1998-2015	344	30	57	6	1	17	109	9	49	51	4	80	6	1	33	42	4	48	38	3	53	39	3	67	42	4	60



## TREND TABLE G NUMBER OF CANDIDATES BY JURISDICTION AND YEAR

	NUMBER OF CANDIDATES			
	ALL CANDIDATES	COUNTY CANDIDATES	CITY CANDIDATES	SCHOOL DISTRICT CANDIDATES
1995	2,354	0	732	1,622
1996	5,330	667	2,141	2,522
1997	2,476	23	736	1,717
1998	5,354	1,037	1,893	2,424
1999	2,274	135	724	1,415
2000	5,012	796	2,166	2,050
2001	2,505	189	688	1,628
2002	5,896	1,266	2,188	2,442
2003	2,086	205	566	1,315
2004	5,035	782	2,212	2,041
2005	2,546	167	979	1,400
2006	5,498	1,136	2,132	2,230
2007	2,021	207	811	1,003
2008	5,237	782	2,282	2,173
2009	2,066	143	863	1,060
2010	6,022	1,177	2,321	2,524
2011	1,602	138	734	730
2012	5,208	776	2,332	2,100
2013	1,688	152	818	768
2014	5,675	1,204	2,172	2,299
2015	1,321	114	607	600
Total	77,206	11,096	30,097	36,063

\*We excluded runoffs from totals.

TREND TABLE H NUMBER OF CANDIDATES FOR MAJOR COUNTY OFFICES BY YEAR

	Total Number of Candidates	Number of County Candidates	County Supervisor Candidates		CSD/CSA Candidates	
			Number of Candidates	% of County Candidates	Number of Candidates	% of County Candidates
1995	2,354	0	0	0	*	*
1996	5,330	667	470	70	*	*
1997	2,476	23	19	83	*	*
1998	5,354	1,037	309	30	22	2
1999	2,274	135	5	4	109	81
2000	5,012	796	441	55	174	22
2001	2,505	189	0	0	186	98
2002	5,896	1,266	306	24	127	10
2003	2,086	205	10	5	175	85
2004	5,035	782	447	57	125	16
2005	2,546	167	4	2	155	93
2006	5,498	1,136	310	27	160	14
2007	2,021	207	10	5	161	78
2008	5,237	782	441	56	174	22
2009	2,066	143	0	0	141	99
2010	6,022	1,177	331	28	170	14
2011	1,602	138	6	4	103	75
2012	5,208	776	460	59	200	26
2013	1,688	152	11	7	138	91
2014	5,675	1,204	317	26	244	20
2015	1,321	114	5	4	94	82
Total	77,206	11,096	3,902	35	2,658	24

\*The California Elections Data Archive did not collect information on CSD/CSA candidates until 1998.

\*\*We excluded runoffs from totals.

TREND TABLE I PERCENT OF INCUMBENT CANDIDATES AND PERCENT OF PREVAILING INCUMBENTS BY MAJOR OFFICE, JURISDICTION, AND YEAR

	PERCENT OF CANDIDATES WHO ARE INCUMBENTS				PERCENTAGE OF INCUMBENTS WHO WIN				PERCENTAGE OF WINNING CANDIDATES WHO ARE INCUMBENTS			
	% of All Candidates	% of County Supervisor Candidates	% of City Council Candidates	% of School District Candidates	% of All Candidates	% of County Supervisor Candidates	% of City Council Candidates	% of School District Candidates	% of All Candidates	% of County Supervisor Candidates	% of City Council Candidates	% of School District Candidates
1995	27	--	18	30	79	--	79	78	50	--	41	51
1996	27	24	23	28	79	75	74	78	48	51	41	47
1997	30	5	23	33	76	0	79	74	49	0	45	50
1998	32	30	26	32	86	87	82	83	57	63	48	53
1999	30	0	23	32	78	--	81	77	51	0	45	52
2000	30	30	27	32	79	90	80	74	52	73	51	49
2001	30	--	24	32	78	--	80	77	50	--	51	50
2002	34	34	27	36	82	81	79	79	57	63	50	56
2003	31	0	22	35	78	--	72	79	51	0	40	55
2004	33	28	28	37	81	81	81	76	55	59	51	57
2005	31	0	23	36	80	--	80	78	52	0	50	52
2006	35	29	29	36	82	90	78	78	56	68	51	55
2007	31	0	27	33	77	--	79	75	50	0	54	48
2008	34	30	30	38	76	86	80	70	56	61	55	54
2009	34	--	26	39	78	--	79	76	54	--	51	55
2010	35	28	29	39	82	83	82	79	59	61	56	59
2011	29	0	24	34	82	--	82	82	49	0	47	51
2012	27	28	25	30	74	78	74	71	43	58	41	43
2013	30	0	27	31	77	--	82	74	47	0	52	44
2014	35	31	30	38	77	89	77	71	54	64	51	51
2015	29	0	26	31	71		74	67	45	0	46	43
1995-2015	32	29	26	34	79	84	79	76	53	61	49	52

\*We excluded runoffs from totals.



---

2015 ELECTION SERIES SUMMARY:  
ELECTION OUTCOMES FOR  
COUNTY, CITY, AND SCHOOL DISTRICT  
BALLOT MEASURES AND CANDIDATES

---



TABLE A SUMMARY OF OUTCOMES FOR ALL COUNTY, CITY, AND SCHOOL DISTRICT BALLOT MEASURES BY TYPE OF MEASURE, AND COUNTY, 2015

	TAXES		BONDS		CHARTER AMENDMENT		ADVISORY		INITIATIVE		RECALL		GANN LIMIT		ORDINANCE		POLICY/POSITION		ALL MEASURES		
	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	TOTAL
Contra Costa	3	0																	3	0	3
El Dorado	0	2	1	0			0	1			2	0							3	3	6
Fresno											6	0							6	0	6
Humboldt	1	0																	1	0	1
Imperial			1	0															1	0	1
Kern	1	1																	1	1	2
Lassen	0	1													1	0			1	1	2
Los Angeles	11	1	1	1	5	4									3	3			20	9	29
Madera											3	0							3	0	3
Marin	3	0	3	0									1	0	2	0			9	0	9
Mendocino			1	0															1	0	1
Modoc	0	1													1	0			1	1	2
Mono									1	0									1	0	1
Monterey	2	0																	2	0	2
Orange															0	1			0	1	1
Plumas	1	0									3	0							4		4
Riverside									0	1									0	1	1
San Bernardino	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	1									2	3	5
San Francisco			1	0	1	0			0	4					4	0	1	0	7	4	11
San Joaquin									1	0									1	0	1
San Luis Obispo	1	0																	1	0	1
San Mateo	3	0	2	1											3	0			8	1	9
Santa Clara	3	0	0	1															3	1	4
Santa Cruz	2	0																	2	0	2
Siskiyou	2	0																	2	0	2
Sonoma	1	2																	1	2	3
Stanislaus	1	1					1	0	0	1					1	0			3	2	5
Trinity	0	1																	0	1	1
Ventura	1	0													1	0			2	0	2
All Counties	36	11	11	3	7	4	1	2	2	7	14	0	1	0	16	4	1		89	31	120

TABLE B SUMMARY OF OUTCOMES FOR ALL COUNTY, CITY, AND SCHOOL DISTRICT BALLOT MEASURES BY TOPIC OF MEASURE AND COUNTY, 2015

	EDUCATION		LAND USE		SAFETY		GOVERNANCE		ENVIRONMENT		TRANSPORT		FACILITIES		HOUSING		GENERAL SERVICES		REVENUE		ALL MEASURES		
	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	TOTAL
Contra Costa	1	0																	2	0	3	0	3
El Dorado	1	0	0	1			2	0			0	2									3	3	6
Fresno	6	0																			6	0	6
Humboldt																			1	0	1	0	1
Imperial	1	0																			1	0	1
Kern					1	0													0	1	1	1	2
Lassen					0	1	1	0													1	1	2
Los Angeles	3	2	1	3	2	0	7	2	0	1			1	0					6	1	20	9	29
Madera	3	0																			3	0	3
Marin	3	0	2	0									1	0			1	0	2	0	9	0	9
Mendocino	1	0																			1	0	1
Modoc					0	1	1	0													1	1	2
Mono			1	0																	1	0	1
Monterey																			2	0	2	0	2
Orange			0	1																	0	1	1
Plumas							3	0					1	0							4	0	4
Riverside			0	1																	0	1	1
San Bernardino	1	0	1	1	0	1							0	1							2	3	5
San Francisco			1	2			2	1	1	1					3	0					7	4	11
San Joaquin															1	0					1	0	1
San Luis Obispo											1	0									1	0	1
San Mateo	3	0	0	1			3	0											2	0	8	1	9
Santa Clara	3	0											0	1							3	1	4
Santa Cruz	2	0																			2	0	2
Siskiyou																			2	0	2	0	2
Sonoma	1	1																		1	1	2	3
Stanislaus			0	1	0	1	1	0									1	0	1	0	3	2	5
Trinity					0	1															0	1	1
Ventura	1	0					1	0													2	0	2
All Counties	30	3	6	11	3	5	21	3	1	2	1	2	3	2	4	0	2		18	3	89	31	120



TABLE C SUMMARY OF ELECTION OUTCOMES FOR ALL COUNTY, CITY, AND SCHOOL DISTRICT OFFICES, 2015

		City Council		County Supervisor		Director, CSD*		Other City Offices		Other County Offices		School Board Member		Total**	
		Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N
Incumbent Candidates	Win	73.9	99	0.0	0	75.0	21	82.1	23	71.4	5	67.4	126	71.4	274
	Lose	26.1	35	0.0	0	25.0	7	17.9	5	28.6	2	32.6	61	28.6	110
	Total	100.0	134	0.0	0	100.0	28	100.0	28	100.0	7	100.0	187	100.0	384
Non-Incumbent Candidates	Win	30.3	118	20.0	1	53.0	35	32.7	18	12.5	1	40.2	166	36.2	339
	Lose	69.7	272	80.0	4	47.0	31	67.3	37	87.5	7	59.8	247	63.8	598
	Total	100.0	390	100.0	5	100.0	66	100.0	55	100.0	8	100.0	413	100.0	937
Winning Candidates	Incumbent	45.6	99	0.0	0	37.5	21	56.1	23	83.3	5	43.2	126	44.7	274
	Non-Incumbent	54.4	118	100.0	1	62.5	35	43.9	18	16.7	1	56.8	166	55.3	339
	Total	100.0	217	100.0	1	100.00	56	100.0	41	100.0	6	100.0	292	100.0	613
Losing Candidates	Incumbent	11.4	35	0.0	0	18.4	7	11.9	5	22.2	2	19.8	61	15.5	110
	Non-Incumbent	88.6	272	100.0	4	81.6	31	88.1	37	77.8	7	80.2	247	84.5	598
	Total	100.0	307	100.0	4	100.0	38	100.0	42	100.0	9	100.0	308	100.0	708
All Candidates	Incumbent	25.6	134	0.0	0	29.8	28	33.7	28	46.7	7	31.2	187	29.1	384
	Non-Incumbent	74.4	390	100.0	5	70.2	66	66.3	55	53.3	8	68.8	413	70.9	937
	Total	100.0	524	100.0	5	100.0	94	100.0	83	100.0	15	100.0	600	100.0	1,321

\*Directors of Community Service Districts, and Community Service Areas,

\*\*We excluded runoffs from the totals.



---

PART 1  
VOTE TOTALS, ELECTION OUTCOMES,  
AND TEXT FOR CITY BALLOT MEASURES

---



TABLE 1.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2015

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	MEASURE	TITLE	TYPE OF MEASURE	TOPIC OF MEASURE	VOTE IN FAVOR	TOTAL VOTE	PERCENT OF VOTE	PASS OR FAIL
ALAMEDA	No City Measures									
ALPINE	No City Measures									
AMADOR	No City Measures									
BUTTE	No City Measures									
CALAVERAS	No City Measures									
COLUSA	No City Measures									
CONTRA COSTA	11/3/2015	Hercules	Measure B	Sales Tax		Revenues: Tax Creation/Incr./Contin.	2,021	2,681	75.4%	Pass
			Measure C	Utility Tax		Revenues: Tax Creation/Incr./Contin.	1,929	2,671	72.2%	Pass
DEL NORTE	No City Measures									
EL DORADO	No City Measures									
FRESNO	No City Measures									
GLENN	No City Measures									
HUMBOLDT	11/3/2015	Ferndale	Measure C	Transient Occupancy Tax		Revenues: Tax Creation/Incr./Contin.	254	335	75.8%	Pass
IMPERIAL	No City Measures									
INYO	No City Measures									
KERN	11/3/2015	Delano	Measure A	Sales Tax		Revenues: Tax Creation/Incr./Contin.	861	1,964	43.8%	Fail
KINGS	No City Measures									
LAKE	No City Measures									
LASSEN	No City Measures									
LOS ANGELES	3/3/2015	Azusa	Measure A	Transient Occupancy Tax		Revenues: Tax Creation/Incr./Contin.	944	1,672	56.5%	Pass
		Bell	Measure C	Charter Amendment		Governance: Personnel/Labor Relations	1,390	1,726	80.5%	Pass
		Carson	Measure A	Utility Tax		Revenues: Tax Creation/Incr./Contin.	4,257	8,940	47.6%	Fail
		Cerritos	Measure A	Transient Occupancy Tax		Revenues: Tax Creation/Incr./Contin.	5,255	7,464	70.4%	Pass
		Cudahy	Measure A	Ordinance		Governance: Political Reform/Term Limits	672	805	83.5%	Pass
		Hermosa Beach	Measure O	Ordinance		Land Use: Zoning	1,538	7,506	20.5%	Fail
		La Habra Heights	Measure A	Ordinance		Environment: Regulation/Mitigation	802	1,863	43.0%	Fail
		Los Angeles	Measure 1	Charter Amendment		Governance: Elections	139,292	180,373	77.2%	Pass
			Measure 2	Charter Amendment		Governance: Elections	160,601	209,981	76.5%	Pass
		Paramount	Measure P	Utility Tax		Revenues: Tax Creation/Incr./Contin.	700	930	75.3%	Pass
Redondo Beach	Measure B	Charter Amendment		Land Use: Zoning	6,072	12,756	47.6%	Fail		

<sup>†</sup>Indicates measure required 2/3's vote to pass. All other city measures required a majority vote.

TABLE 1.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2015

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	MEASURE	TITLE	TYPE OF MEASURE	TOPIC OF MEASURE	VOTE IN FAVOR	TOTAL VOTE	PERCENT OF VOTE	PASS OR FAIL
LOS ANGELES (continued)	4/7/2015	Glendale	Measure C	Charter Amendment		Governance: Personnel/Labor Relations	4,008	17,144	23.4%	Fail
			Measure D	Charter Amendment		Governance: Organization	6,508	17,219	37.8%	Fail
			Measure E	Charter Amendment		Education: Districts	5,557	15,906	34.9%	Fail
			Measure O	Transient Occupancy Tax		Revenues: Tax Creation/Incr./Contin.	9,934	16,651	59.7%	Pass
	4/14/2015	Vernon	Measure O	Charter Amendment		Governance: Elections	29	33	87.9%	Pass
			Measure P	Charter Amendment		Governance: Personnel/Labor Relations	27	33	81.8%	Pass
	11/3/2015	Calabasas	Measure O	Ordinance		Land Use: Voter Approval	3,506	3,942	88.9%	Pass
		Claremont	Measure PS	Property Tax		Safety	4,134	5,506	75.1%	Pass <sup>T</sup>
		Hermosa Beach	Measure H	Transient Occupancy Tax		Revenues: Tax Creation/Incr./Contin.	3,027	3,564	84.9%	Pass
		Malibu	Measure W	Ordinance		Land Use: Zoning	1,479	3,450	42.9%	Fail
		San Marino	Measure SA	Miscellaneous Tax		Safety: Multiple Emergency Services	2,195	2,971	73.9%	Pass <sup>T</sup>
			Measure U	Utility Tax		Revenues: Tax Repeal/Reduction/Limit	2,110	2,939	71.8%	Pass
	South Pasadena	Measure L	Property Tax		Facilities: Libraries	2,933	3,586	81.8%	Pass <sup>T</sup>	
	West Covina	Measure C	Ordinance		Governance: Personnel/Labor Relations	4,692	6,405	73.3%	Pass	
MADERA	No City Measures									
MARIN	11/3/2015	Novato	Measure C	Sales Tax		Revenues: Tax Repeal/Reduction/Limit	8,121	11,018	73.7%	Pass
			Measure D	Ordinance		Land Use: Voter Approval	2,425	4,249	57.1%	Pass
		San Anselmo	Measure E	Ordinance		Land Use: Voter Approval	2,414	4,217	57.2%	Pass
		Sausalito	Measure F	Revenue Bond		Facilities: Parks & Recreation	1,474	2,321	63.5%	Pass
MARIPOSA	No City Measures									
MENDOCINO	No City Measures									
MERCED	No City Measures									
MODOC	No City Measures									
MONO	10/6/2015	Mammoth Lakes	Measure Z	Initiative		Land Use: Voter Approval	910	1,321	68.9%	Pass
MONTEREY	11/3/2015	Greenfield	Measure V	Sales Tax		Revenues: Tax Creation/Incr./Contin.	681	977	69.7%	Pass
			Measure W	Sales Tax		Revenues: Tax Creation/Incr./Contin.	588	971	60.6%	Pass
NAPA	No City Measures									
NEVADA	No City Measures									
ORANGE	5/19/2015	Buena Park	Measure A	Ordinance		Land Use: Voter Approval	3,480	7,781	44.7%	Fail
PLACER	No City Measures									
PLUMAS	No City Measures									
RIVERSIDE	6/2/2015	Riverside	Measure A	Initiative		Land Use: Zoning	9,779	24,426	40.0%	Fail
SACRAMENTO	No City Measures									
SAN BENITO	No City Measures									

TABLE 1.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2015

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	MEASURE	TITLE	TYPE OF MEASURE	TOPIC OF MEASURE	VOTE IN FAVOR	TOTAL VOTE	PERCENT OF VOTE	PASS OR FAIL
SAN BERNARDINO	3/24/2015	Chino	Measure V	Charter Amendment		Land Use: Zoning	1,717	3,082	55.7%	Pass
	6/2/2015	Yucca Valley	Measure X	Initiative		Land Use: Zoning	1,620	3,723	43.5%	Fail
SAN DIEGO	No City Measures									
SAN FRANCISCO	County and City Measures included in County Report									
SAN JOAQUIN	12/8/2015	Tracy	Measure K	Initiative		Housing	4,535	5,797	78.2%	Pass
SAN LUIS OBISPO	No City Measures									
SAN MATEO	11/3/2015	San Bruno	Measure R	Ordinance		Governance: Organization	2,725	5,377	50.7%	Pass
			Measure U	Ordinance		Governance: Organization	2,736	5,364	51.0%	Pass
		San Carlos	Measure V	GO Bond		Land Use: Open Space	3,834	9,708	39.5%	Fail <sup>†</sup>
		San Mateo	Measure S	Sales Tax		Revenues: Tax Creation/Incr./Contin.	11,037	15,787	69.9%	Pass
		South San Francisco	Measure W	Sales Tax		Revenues: Tax Creation/Incr./Contin.	4,494	7,501	59.9%	Pass
SANTA BARBARA	No City Measures									
SANTA CLARA	11/3/2015	Los Altos	Measure A	GO Bond		Facilities: Museum/Cultural/Comm Ctrs	2,724	9,428	28.9%	Fail <sup>†</sup>
SANTA CRUZ	No City Measures									
SHASTA	No City Measures									
SIERRA	No City Measures									
SISKIYOU	3/3/2015	Weed	Measure O	Sales Tax		Revenues: Tax Creation/Incr./Contin.	196	275	71.3%	Pass
	11/3/2015	Dunsmuir	Measure P	Sales Tax		Revenues: Tax Creation/Incr./Contin.	206	337	61.1%	Pass
SOLANO	No City Measures									
SONOMA	No City Measures									
STANISLAUS	11/3/2015	Ceres	Measure D	Ordinance		Governance: Organization	1,846	2,713	68.0%	Pass
			Measure E	Transient Occupancy Tax		Revenues: Tax Creation/Incr./Contin.	1,571	2,717	57.8%	Pass
		Modesto	Measure H	Advisory		General Services: Wastewater/Sewage	12,769	22,533	56.7%	Pass
	Measure I		Initiative		Land Use: Voter Approval	11,311	22,873	49.5%	Fail	
	Measure G		Sales Tax		Safety: Multiple Emergency Services	13,078	23,380	55.9%	Fail <sup>†</sup>	
SUTTER	No City Measures									
TEHAMA	No City Measures									
TRINITY	No City Measures									
TULARE	No City Measures									
TUOLUMNE	No City Measures									
VENTURA	No City Measures									
YOLO	No City Measures									
YUBA	No City Measures									

## TABLE 1.2 TEXT FOR CITY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2015

CONTRA COSTA	11/3/2015	Hercules	Measure B	Pass
To maintain Hercules' financial stability; enhance fiscal accountability; prevent cuts to police services/crime prevention programs, street/pothole repair, youth/senior programs, and other essential City services; and maintain the number of police officers patrolling neighborhood streets and the local Hercules Police Department, shall the City of Hercules continue its existing, voter-approved one-half cent sales tax, with citizens' oversight, audits, and funding that cannot be taken by Sacramento, and without increasing the current tax rate?				
CONTRA COSTA	11/3/2015	Hercules	Measure C	Pass
To maintain Hercules' financial stability; enhance fiscal accountability; prevent cuts to police services/crime prevention programs, street/pothole repair, youth/senior programs, and other essential City services; and maintain the number of police officers patrolling neighborhood streets and the local Hercules Police Department, shall the City of Hercules continue its existing, voter-approved utility users' tax, with no tax increase, including low-income exemptions, independent citizens' oversight, annual audits, and funding that cannot be taken by Sacramento?				
HUMBOLDT	11/3/2015	Ferndale	Measure C	Pass
Shall the Transit Occupancy Tax, TOT, charged to persons who stay at a hotel within the Ferndale City limits be increased by 2% from the present 8% rate to 10%?				
KERN	11/3/2015	Delano	Measure A	Fail
To maintain the City of Delano's current level of essential general services, including, but not limited to, police and fire services, street improvements, parks and recreations, and other General Fund services, shall the City of Delano continue collecting its existing voter-approved one percent (1%) sales tax for an additional ten (10) years, with all proceeds to be used for essential City general services, with no increase in tax rate?				
LOS ANGELES	3/3/2015	Azusa	Measure A	Pass
Shall an ordinance amending the Azusa Municipal Code be YES adopted to increase the rate of the Transient Occupancy (Room) Tax from 7.5% to 10%, and to apply the Tax to all rent charged by hotel operators, including online travel companies, for the transient occupancy of any hotel room, to help maintain general City services such as police and fire protection, street operations and maintenance, and library, parks and recreation services?				
LOS ANGELES	3/3/2015	Bell	Measure C	Pass
Shall the City Charter be amended to: revise Councilmember candidate residency requirements to 30 days, limit Councilmember compensation for sitting on multiple City bodies, require written expense reimbursement policies, limit indemnification of elected officials, establish a citizen planning commission, changing recall procedures, eliminate the assistant chief administrative officer position, prohibiting conflicts of interest or financial interests in City contracts, and prohibit franchises of indefinite duration, all consistent with state law?				
LOS ANGELES	3/3/2015	Carson	Measure A	Fail
Shall Ordinance 14-1544 be adopted extending the 2% Utility Users' Tax on electricity & gas, and adding water, cable, telephone & cellular utilities to continue essential services, including police, park enforcement teams, gang intervention, youth recreation programs, graffiti removal, Meals on Wheels, senior programs & stroke recovery, sidewalk & street repair, city special events, staffing parks, and addressing blight caused by loss of redevelopment revenue, while exempting senior and low-income households?				
LOS ANGELES	3/3/2015	Cerritos	Measure A	Pass
To assist in the recovery of expenses for providing general city services, including police, library and park services, shall the City of Cerritos adopt an ordinance increasing the existing Transient Occupancy Tax (which is a hotel bed tax) from a rate of six percent (6%) to twelve percent (12%), which is a rate closer to the average for other Southern California cities?				
LOS ANGELES	3/3/2015	Cudahy	Measure A	Pass
Shall the ordinance adopting term limits for Cudahy City Council Members be adopted? These term limits provide that no person shall serve more than eight years, or two four-year terms as a member of the Cudahy City Council, with any portion of a term, whether by election or appointment, counting as a full term.				
LOS ANGELES	3/3/2015	Hermosa Beach	Measure O	Fail
Shall E&B Corporation's 34-well oil and gas drilling/ production project at the City's 555 Sixth Street maintenance yard be approved by 1) amending the General Plan and Municipal Code to exempt the project from the City's oil drilling ban and repeal the restriction on City's use of project royalties, 2) awarding a pipeline franchise to transport oil/gas underground, 3) approving a 34-year development agreement, and 4) determining that project financial benefits outweigh its unavoidable environmental impacts?				
LOS ANGELES	3/3/2015	La Habra Heights	Measure A	Fail
Shall an ordinance be adopted that prohibits land use for certain treatments of oil or gas wells that are designed to enhance production or recovery, any new oil and gas wells, and reactivation of idle wells?				



## TABLE 1.2 TEXT FOR CITY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2015

LOS ANGELES	3/3/2015	Los Angeles	Measure 1	Pass
Shall the City Charter be amended to: 1) change the City's primary and general election dates to June and November of even-numbered years beginning in 2020 so that City elections are held on the same dates as Federal and State elections; 2) provide that, in 2015 and 2017 only, candidates be elected for a term of 5/12 years to transition to the new election dates; 3) adjust vacancy election schedules and allow temporary appointments to fill vacant offices until an election is held; 4) enable initiative and referendum elections to be scheduled at either the next City or next State election; and 5) allow initiative proponents to withdraw their measure prior to scheduling an election?				
LOS ANGELES	3/3/2015	Los Angeles	Measure 2	Pass
Shall the City Charter be amended to: 1) change the LAUSD Board of Education's primary and general election dates to June and November of even numbered years beginning in 2020 so that LAUSD elections are held on the same dates as Federal and State elections; 2) provide that, in 2015 and 2017 only, candidates be elected for a term of 5 ½ to transition to the new election dates; and 3) adjust vacancy election schedules and allow temporary appointments to fill vacant offices until an election held?				
LOS ANGELES	3/3/2015	Paramount	Measure P	Pass
To preserve youth after-school educational programs; 9-1-1 emergency response; neighborhood/school Sheriff patrols and Sheriff's station; drug/gang prevention; street repairs; seniors programs; parks and general services, shall Ordinance No. 1052 be adopted to update the City's utility users tax and increase the rate by two and a half percent; with all funds used only in Paramount, subject to independent financial audits, and requiring equal treatment of taxpayers regardless of technology?				
LOS ANGELES	3/3/2015	Redondo Beach	Measure B	Fail
Shall Redondo Beach phase-out power generation from a 50-acre Site currently occupied by the Redondo Beach Generating Plant and establish new land use and development standards for the Site by amending the General Plan, Coastal Land Use Plan, Harbor/Civic Center Specific Plan, Coastal Zoning, Zoning, and City Charter; with the new land use allowing 600 residential units; 85,000 square feet of commercial development; 250 hotel rooms and requiring 10 acres of public open space?				
LOS ANGELES	4/7/2015	Glendale	Measure C	Fail
Shall City Charter Article IV, Section 3 be amended to require the city council to establish by ordinance the method of the council compensation?				
LOS ANGELES	4/7/2015	Glendale	Measure D	Fail
Shall the City institute by-district elections for city council, by amending the Charter Article IV, Section 1 and adopting an ordinance requiring the city to prepare a district plan subject to voter approval?				
LOS ANGELES	4/7/2015	Glendale	Measure E	Fail
Shall City Charter Article IV, Section 1 and Article XII, Section 1 be amended and Article XII Section 3 be added to require the Board of Education to establish the method of electing the members of the Board of Education and, if the Board of Education establishes trustee areas as the method for electing its members, require that the Board arrange said trustee areas pursuant to the California Education Code?				
LOS ANGELES	4/7/2015	Glendale	Measure O	Pass
Shall the Glendale Municipal Code be amended to increase the rate of the Transient Occupancy Tax (a hotel bed tax paid when overnight visitors rent a room) by 2% to preserve and enhance funding for essential public services and maintain public areas and support quality of life activities?				
LOS ANGELES	4/14/2015	Vernon	Measure O	Pass
Shall Chapter 3.7 of Article III of the Vernon City Charter be amended to clarify that the candidate(s) with the next subsequent highest number of votes in an election with multiple seats, shall be elected to fill the unexpired term or terms after all full terms of office are filled by candidate(s) who have received a higher number of votes?				
LOS ANGELES	4/14/2015	Vernon	Measure P	Pass
Shall Chapter 7.4 of Article VII of the Vernon City Charter be amended to allow the City Council to fix the duties, tenure and compensation of officers by any means it deems appropriate?				
LOS ANGELES	11/3/2015	Calabasas	Measure O	Pass
Shall Ordinance No. 2015-325 be adopted to remove the expiration date of November 8, 2030 in Ordinance No. 2005-225, and thereby make permanent the requirement to protect and preserve the existing areas of open space in Calabasas unless in a future election 2/3 of the voters choose to redesignate the open space for another purpose?				
LOS ANGELES	11/3/2015	Claremont	Measure PS	Pass (2/3 required)
Shall the Claremont City Council adopt the ordinance levying a new special parcel tax of \$286.00 per parcel per year commencing on July 1, 2016 and ending on June 30, 2056, for the purpose of funding the site acquisition, design, construction and furnishing of a new public safety facility?				
LOS ANGELES	11/3/2015	Hermosa Beach	Measure H	Pass
Shall an ordinance be adopted that would increase the Hermosa Beach transient occupancy tax (hotel bed tax) from 10 percent to 12 percent of room revenue?				

## TABLE 1.2 TEXT FOR CITY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2015

LOS ANGELES	11/3/2015	Malibu	Measure W	Fail
Shall an ordinance be adopted approving the Civic Center-Northeast Specific Plan for property located at the northwest corner of Cross Creek and Civic Center Way that regulates development and limits uses to allow construction of a commercial shopping center up to 38,425 square feet, proposed to include a grocery store, retail and recreational/educational uses?				
LOS ANGELES	11/3/2015	San Marino	Measure SA	Pass (2/3 required)
Shall an ordinance be adopted to continue a Special Public Safety Tax for Paramedic Services, Fire Protection and Prevention and Police Protection in the City of San Marino?				
LOS ANGELES	11/3/2015	San Marino	Measure U	Pass
Shall an ordinance be adopted to update and extend for a period of ten years the Utility User Tax and reduce the maximum rate of the tax from 6% to 5%?				
LOS ANGELES	11/3/2015	South Pasadena	Measure L	Pass (2/3 required)
To keep the South Pasadena Public Library open every day and to help update its technology, shall an ordinance be adopted extending the Library Special Tax for 8 years; setting the rate for homeowners at \$32-\$64/year depending on residential square footage; for non-residential property owners at \$32-\$128/year based on lot size and for multi-family property owners at \$16/unit; and adding an annual Los Angeles Consumer Price Index adjustment?				
LOS ANGELES	11/3/2015	West Covina	Measure C	Pass
Shall Ordinance No. 2279 be approved to amend the city's municipal code to eliminate illegal qualification requirements, require professional recruitment for the city manager, require that hiring and retention of the city manager be based on skills and experience, eliminate requirement that interim city manager be selected only from department heads, eliminate most restrictions on removal of the city manager, and provide that any appointment which violates state or local law is null and void?				
MARIN	11/3/2015	Novato	Measure C	Pass
To continue funding, that cannot be taken by the State, for general city services including, but not limited to, protecting neighborhood police patrols, 9-1-1 emergency response, crime prevention/gang intervention services; fixing potholes, streets, parks; attracting/retaining qualified police officers; supporting the local economy/increasing jobs; and providing youth, senior, recreation programs, shall the City of Novato extend the existing voter approved sales tax, reducing the rate from ½ cent to ¼ cent, requiring oversight and audits?				
MARIN	11/3/2015	Novato	Measure D	Pass
Shall an ordinance be adopted to amend the San Anselmo General Plan to do the following: prohibit the use of Memorial Park as a flood detention basin; prevent any non-recreational uses that adversely affect or reduce Memorial Park's current recreational amenities; and require voter approval before the park can be sold or transferred by the Town?				
MARIN	11/3/2015	San Anselmo	Measure E	Pass
Shall an ordinance be adopted to amend the Town's General Plan to affirm continued use of Memorial Park as primarily a recreational facility and to permit accessory use of Memorial Park for flood control by way of a detention basin only if a majority of voters approves a specific plan detailing development of the park for flood control following environmental review?				
MARIN	11/3/2015	Sausalito	Measure F	Pass
To bring Robin Sweeny Park, Southview Park, Dunphy Park and the MLK site to current health/safety standards; repair unsafe children's playground equipment, upgrade safety lighting, pathways and play courts; add needed restrooms; restore wetlands/natural areas, shall the City of Sausalito enter into an agreement with the Sausalito Financing Authority and permit revenue from the MLK site to be used as revenue financing, with no increase in taxes?				
MONO	10/6/2015	Mammoth Lakes	Measure Z	Pass
(INITIATIVE) Shall the ordinance to require voter approval to alter transient rental zoning in certain residential neighborhoods be adopted?				
MONTEREY	11/3/2015	Greenfield	Measure V	Pass
To preserve the health, safety and welfare of Greenfield, and maintain/protect general City services, including 9-1-1 emergency response; building protection; neighborhood police patrols; gang/sex offender enforcement; animal control; street maintenance/pothole repair; park maintenance; and other essential services, shall the City of Greenfield continue a voter approved 1 cent transaction and use tax, subject to annual audits and public review?				
MONTEREY	11/3/2015	Greenfield	Measure W	Pass
To enhance the health, safety and welfare of Greenfield, and provide greater general City services, such as strengthened public safety; increased youth recreation programs; re-establishment of code enforcement services; and other essential services, shall the City of Greenfield enact a voter approved 0.75 cent transaction and use tax, subject to annual audits and public review?				
ORANGE	5/19/2015	Buena Park	Measure A	Fail
Shall an ordinance be adopted amending the Buena Park General Plan to prohibit, without a vote of the electorate, construction of any residential, commercial, or industrial building or structure on private or public property designated as open space on the general plan land use map?				
RIVERSIDE	6/2/2015	Riverside	Measure A	Fail
(INITIATIVE) Should "An Initiative Measure to Rescind the City of Riverside's Ban on Storefront and Mobile Marijuana Dispensaries" be adopted?				

## TABLE 1.2 TEXT FOR CITY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2015

SAN BERNARDINO	3/24/2015	Chino	Measure V	Pass
Shall the General Plan of the City of Chino be amended to change the land use designation of 12.75 acres of vacant land from General Commercial to Residential-RD12, generally located on the west side of Central Avenue, north of Francis Avenue, for a maximum of 113 single-family dwelling units?				
SAN BERNARDINO	6/2/2015	Yucca Valley	Measure X	Fail
(INITIATIVE) Shall the Medical Marijuana Dispensary Authorization and Regulation Initiative Measure be enacted to allow the operation of medical marijuana dispensaries in the jurisdictional boundaries of the Town of Yucca Valley at a rate of one dispensary per every 10,000 residents, and attendant provisions regulating such operations?				
SAN JOAQUIN	12/8/2015	Tracy	Measure K	Pass
(INITIATIVE) Shall an ordinance be adopted establishing a new Active Adult Residential Allotment Program in the City's Municipal Code; exempting such program from the City's Growth Management Ordinance; and allocating 600 Active Adult Residential Allotments to property located at the southeast corner of Corral Hollow and Valpico Roads?				
SAN MATEO	11/3/2015	San Bruno	Measure R	Pass
Shall the position of City Treasurer of the City of San Bruno be appointive?				
SAN MATEO	11/3/2015	San Bruno	Measure U	Pass
Shall the position of City Clerk of the City of San Bruno be appointive?				
SAN MATEO	11/3/2015	San Carlos	Measure V	Fail (2/3 required)
To permanently protect and preserve rare San Carlos open space from development, protect a local water source, prevent increased traffic congestion, and improve access to tranquil natural areas and parks, including walking trails, recreation, and restrooms/facilities, shall the City of San Carlos issue \$45 million in bonds to acquire, create, and enhance Black Mountain Park located along Alameda de las Pulgas between Madera Avenue and Melendy Drive, with citizen oversight and funds restricted to these specific purposes only?				
SAN MATEO	11/3/2015	San Mateo	Measure S	Pass
To continue locally controlled funding to maintain services and improve infrastructure, including: police patrols and gang prevention; street, sidewalk, and pothole repair; 9-1-1 response times and emergency medical services, fire prevention; senior, teen, and children's programs; parks, paths and playfields, library hours; and other city services; shall San Mateo extend the existing voter-approved quarter-cent sales tax for 30 years without increasing current tax rates with citizen oversight of how proceeds are spent?				
SAN MATEO	11/3/2015	South San Francisco	Measure W	Pass
To maintain South San Francisco services, including maintaining neighborhood police patrols/9-1-1 response, programs for seniors/disabled residents, crime/gang suppression programs, repairing potholes/streets, maintaining youth/teen educational/recreational programs, providing a police operations center that meets earthquake safety codes, and other city services; shall South San Francisco levy a 1/2 cent sales tax for 30 years, with authority to incur debt to accelerate projects, annual audits, citizens' oversight, no funds for Sacramento and all funds for South San Francisco?				
SANTA CLARA	11/3/2015	Los Altos	Measure A	Fail (2/3 required)
To replace the nearly 70-year old Hillview Community Center with a new facility to provide needed recreation and activity space and meeting rooms; to meet current handicapped and earthquake safety codes; to build community pool facilities; and to improve Hillview Park, open space and sports fields, shall the City of Los Altos issue \$65,000,000 of bonds at the lowest available legal interest rates with all funds staying in Los Altos to benefit local residents, seniors and children?				
SISKIYOU	3/3/2015	Weed	Measure O	Pass
Shall the City of Weed impose a Transactions and Use Tax of 0.25% of the gross receipts of any sale or use pursuant to Revenue and Taxation Code Section 7285.9 to fund the operation, maintenance, and improvement of a public library, to fund a portion of the costs of providing Senior Nutrition Meals within the city limit of the City of Weed and other senior programs and services, and for general municipal purposes including, police, fire protection and street maintenance and improvements?				
SISKIYOU	11/3/2015	Dunsmuir	Measure P	Pass
Shall the City of Dunsmuir impose a Transactions and Use Tax of one-half of one percent (0.50%) of the gross receipts of any sale or use pursuant to Revenue and Taxation Code Section 7285.9 to fund general operations of the City of Dunsmuir including supporting the Dunsmuir Library, Dunsmuir Recreation and Parks District activities including the Community Center, and other eligible projects and/or services within the City of Dunsmuir?				
STANISLAUS	11/3/2015	Ceres	Measure D	Pass
Should the city institute "by-district" elections whereby four (4) council members would have to live in the district they represent, and be elected only by the voters living in that district, with a Mayor elected "at-large" by all of the voters in the city?				
STANISLAUS	11/3/2015	Ceres	Measure E	Pass
Shall an ordinance be adopted amending the City's Municipal Code to increase the City's existing Transient Occupancy Tax, which is charged to occupants of hotels and motels, from five percent (5%) to ten percent (10%) at the rent charged by the hotel or motel?				

## TABLE 1.2 TEXT FOR CITY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2015

STANISLAUS	11/3/2015	Modesto	Measure H	Pass
(ADVISORY) Do you want the City Council of the City of Modesto to extend sewer improvements to ten (10) specified residentially developed unincorporated areas, which may include undeveloped parcels, to which Measure M applies and for which an advisory vote has not yet been conducted? The areas that are the subject of this advisory measure are described in and depicted on a map included in the sample ballot / voter information pamphlet.				
STANISLAUS	11/3/2015	Modesto	Measure I	Fail
(INITIATIVE): Shall the City of Modesto 2008 Urban Area General Plan be amended to establish limit lines (boundaries) for nonresidential and medium and large lot residential development, outside of which areas new development subject to the limits would require voter approval?				
STANISLAUS	11/3/2015	Modesto	Measure G	Fail (2/3 required)
To implement the Safer Neighborhoods Initiative by restoring police patrols, crime prevention, gang suppression and youth development efforts; removing tagging; reducing nuisance properties; strengthening fire/emergency services; increasing neighborhood collaboration; and to maintain other general city services, shall the City of Modesto enact a ½ percent general sales tax that cannot be taken by the State, expires in 8 years, and requires audits, citizen oversight board reports, and all funds be spent in Modesto?				

TABLE 1.2 SUMMARY OF ELECTION OUTCOMES FOR CITY BALLOT MEASURES BY TYPE OF MEASURE AND COUNTY, 2015

	TAXES		BONDS		CHARTER AMENDMENT		ADVISORY		INITIATIVE		ORDINANCE		ALL MEASURES		
	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	TOTAL
Contra Costa	2	0											2	0	2
Humboldt	1	0											1	0	1
Kern	0	1											0	1	1
Los Angeles	9	1			5	4					3	3	17	8	25
Marin	1	0	1	1							2	0	4	0	4
Mono	0	0							1	0			1	0	1
Monterey	2	0											2	0	2
Orange											0	1	0	1	1
Riverside									0	1			0	1	1
San Bernardino					1	0			0	1			1	1	2
San Joaquin									1	0			1		1
San Mateo	2	0	0	1							2	0	4	1	5
Santa Clara			0	1									0	1	1
Siskiyou	2	0											2	0	2
Stanislaus	1	1					1	0	0	1	1	0	3	2	5
All Counties	20	3	1	2	6	4	1	0	2	3	8	4	38	16	54

TABLE 1.3 SUMMARY OF ELECTION OUTCOMES FOR CITY BALLOT MEASURES BY TOPIC OF MEASURE AND COUNTY, 2015

	EDUCATION		LAND USE		SAFETY		GOVERNANCE		ENVIRONMENT		FACILITIES		HOUSING		GENERAL SERVICES		REVENUE		ALL MEASURES		
	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	TOTAL
Contra Costa																	2	0	2		2
Humboldt																	1	0	1		1
Kern																	0	1		1	1
Los Angeles	0	1	1	3	2	0	7	2	0	1	1	0					6	1	17	8	25
Marin			2	0							1	0					1	0	4		4
Mono			1	0															1		1
Monterey																	2	0	2		2
Orange			0	1																1	1
Riverside			0	1																1	1
San Bernardino			1	1															1	1	2
San Joaquin													1	0					1		1
San Mateo			0	1			2	0									2	0	4	1	5
Santa Clara											0	1								1	1
Siskiyou																	2	0	2		2
Stanislaus			0	1	0	1	1	0							1	0	1	0	3	2	5
All Counties	0	1	5	8	2	1	10	2	0	1	2	1	1	0	1	0	17	2	38	16	54

---

PART 2  
VOTE TOTALS FOR  
CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES

---





TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2015

COUNTY	Date	CITY	OFFICE	DISTRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	INC- UMB- ENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATES	TOTAL VOTES CAST <sup>1</sup>	PERCENT OF VOTE	ELEC- TED
ALAMEDA	No City Contests													
ALPINE	No City Contests													
AMADOR	No City Contests													
BUTTE	No City Contests													
CALAVERAS	No City Contests													
COLUSA	No City Contests													
CONTRA COSTA	No City Contests													
DEL NORTE	No City Contests													
EL DORADO	No City Contests													
FRESNO	No City Contests													
GLENN	No City Contests													
HUMBOLDT	No City Contests													
IMPERIAL	11/3/2015	Brawley	CITY CLERK		Full	Benavides	Alma	Incumbent	Yes	1	2,571	2,571	100.0%	Yes
			CITY COUNCIL		Full	Nava	George A.	Incumbent	Yes	4	1,980	7,028	28.2%	Yes
						Wharton	Donald L.	Appointed Incumbent	Yes	4	1,808	7,028	25.7%	Yes
						Jauregui	Norma Kastner	Retired Manager	No	4	1,644	7,028	23.4%	Yes
						Campbell	Don C.	Incumbent	Yes	4	1,596	7,028	22.7%	No
						CITY TREASURER	Full	Miranda	Miguel C.	Case Manager	No	1	2,324	2,324
		El Centro	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Jackson	Jason	Incumbent	Yes	7	1,544	9,157	16.9%	Yes
						Garcia	Edgard	Attorney at Law	No	7	1,525	9,157	16.7%	Yes
						Silva	Efrain	Incumbent	Yes	7	1,419	9,157	15.5%	Yes
						Sanders	Sedalia	Incumbent	Yes	7	1,275	9,157	13.9%	No
						Olivia	Tomas	Regional Affairs Officer	No	7	1,154	9,157	12.6%	No
						Rodriguez	Pete	County Employee	No	7	1,131	9,157	12.4%	No
						Smith	Les	Business Man	No	7	1,109	9,157	12.1%	No
INYO	No City Contests													
KERN	No City Contests													
KINGS	No City Contests													
LAKE	No City Contests													

<sup>1</sup>Write-in candidates, when reported by the county, have been included in the total votes cast. For these contests, the sum of the candidates' votes is less than the total votes cast.

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2015

COUNTY	Date	CITY	OFFICE	DISTRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	INC- UMB- ENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATES	TOTAL VOTES CAST <sup>1</sup>	PERCENT OF VOTE	ELEC- TED	
LOS ANGELES	2/17/2015	Vernon	CITY COUNCIL		Short	Ybarra	Melissa	Operations Manager	No	1	25	25	100.0%	Yes	
	2/24/2015	Burbank	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Gabel-Luddy	Emily	Burbank City Councilmember	Yes	7	4,170	17,353	24.0%	Yes	
						Rogers	Will	Investigative Journalist	No	7	3,563	17,353	20.5%	Yes	
						Rizzotti	Christopher John	Small Business Owner	No	7	2,378	17,353	13.7%	Yes	
						Guillen. Jr.	Juan J.	Small Business Owner	No	7	2,324	17,353	13.4%	Yes	
						Nos	David (Dave) A.	Business Owner	No	7	2,151	17,353	12.4%	No	
						Sterns-Niesen	Elise	Photographer	No	7	1,464	17,353	8.4%	No	
						Springer	Sharon A.	Nonprofit Administrator	No	7	1,303	17,353	7.5%	No	
	3/3/2015	Azusa	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Macias	Uriel Edward	Councilmember	Yes	4	1,114	3,722	29.9%	Yes	
						Carrillo	Angel A.	Council Member	Yes	4	916	3,722	24.6%	Yes	
						Bibles-Vogel	Jeri	Small Business Owner	No	4	900	3,722	24.2%	No	
						Williams	Diana Reyes	Executive Administrative Assistant	No	4	792	3,722	21.3%	No	
				MAYOR		Full	Rocha	Joseph Romero	Mayor of Azusa	Yes	1	1,731	1,731	100.0%	Yes
		Bell	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Saleh	Ali	Councilmember/ Business Owner	No	11	1,080	4,654	23.2%	Yes	
						Gallardo	Fidencio Joel	High School Teacher	No	11	1,029	4,654	22.1%	Yes	
						Valencia	Nestor Enrique	Councilman/ Healthcare Administrator	No	11	817	4,654	17.6%	Yes	
						Alvarez	Violeta	Incumbent	Yes	11	520	4,654	11.2%	No	
						Arambula	Isamar	Community Youth Organizer	No	11	319	4,654	6.9%	No	
						Gannon	Donna I.	Retired	No	11	284	4,654	6.1%	No	
						Mourad	Yamel (Jimmy)	Businessman	No	11	208	4,654	4.5%	No	
						Oliva	Marcos A.	Programmer Analyst	No	11	138	4,654	3.0%	No	
						Tavares	Donald H.	Truck Driver	No	11	93	4,654	2.0%	No	
						Fiffe	Jorge	Nonprofit Manager	No	11	90	4,654	1.9%	No	
					Areyan	Alfred	Carpenter	No	11	76	4,654	1.6%	No		
	Carson	CITY CLERK		Full	Dear	Jim	Carson Mayor / Teacher	No	2	5,171	9,831	52.6%	Yes		
					Gause	Donesia	Appointed Carson City Clerk	No	2	4,660	9,831	47.4%	No		
					Davis-Holmes	Lula	Councilmember, City of Carson	Yes	8	5,953	16,875	35.3%	Yes		
					Santarina	Elito M.	Educator / Councilmember	Yes	8	4,062	16,875	24.1%	Yes		
					Hilton	Jawane	Teacher / Senior Pastor	No	8	2,376	16,875	14.1%	No		
					Boggs	Rita R.	Chemist / Business Owner	No	8	1,678	16,875	9.9%	No		
					Harris	Alene B.	City Commissioner	No	8	1,273	16,875	7.5%	No		
					Hernandez	Margaret I.	Retired Port Director	No	8	771	16,875	4.6%	No		
					Gonzalez	Elisa	Communication Assistant	No	8	438	16,875	2.6%	No		
					Anyaka	Stephen C.	Educator / Life Coach	No	8	324	16,875	1.9%	No		

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2015

COUNTY	Date	CITY	OFFICE	DISTRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	INC- UMB- ENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATES	TOTAL VOTES CAST <sup>1</sup>	PERCENT OF VOTE	ELEC- TED
LOS ANGELES (continued)	3/3/2015	Carson	CITY TREASURER		Full	Cooper	Monica	Carson UUTCBOC Member	No	5	3,361	9,519	35.3%	Yes
					Full	Duque	Eddie	Finance / Investment Manager	No	5	2,499	9,519	26.3%	No
					Full	Avilla	Karen	Incumbent	No	5	1,542	9,519	16.2%	No
					Full	Merton	Joe	Businessman	No	5	1,313	9,519	13.8%	No
					Full	Loyola	Emilio Ramos	Retired U.S. Navy	No	5	804	9,519	8.4%	No
		Cerritos	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Pulido	Mark E.	Councilmember, City of Cerritos	Yes	10	3,997	21,400	18.7%	Yes
	Full			Edwards	Jim	Retired Educator/Administrator	No	10	3,459	21,400	16.2%	Yes		
	Full			Solanki	Naresh	Business Owner	No	10	2,949	21,400	13.8%	Yes		
	Full			Yokoyama	Frank Aurelio	Attorney/Broker	No	10	2,922	21,400	13.7%	No		
	Full			Hu	Grace	Business Owner	No	10	2,839	21,400	13.3%	No		
	Full			Vo	Chuong	Police Officer	No	10	2,092	21,400	9.8%	No		
	Full			Tse	Sophia	Nurse Practitioner/Educator	No	10	1,973	21,400	9.2%	No		
	Full			Ahmad	Sam Sultan	Local Businessman	No	10	602	21,400	2.8%	No		
	Full			Kang	James	Businessman	No	10	331	21,400	1.5%	No		
	Full			Sethi	Manny Maninder	Businessman	No	10	236	21,400	1.1%	No		
		Commerce	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Argumedo	Hugo	Computer/Network Technician	No	6	599	2,622	22.8%	Yes
	Full			Rebollo	Oralia	Teacher	No	6	556	2,622	21.2%	Yes		
	Full			Robles	Denise	Councilmember	Yes	6	497	2,622	19.0%	No		
	Full			Diaz	John	Planning Commissioner	No	6	404	2,622	15.4%	No		
	Full			Rodriguez	Sonia	Education Administrator/Commissioner	No	6	294	2,622	11.2%	No		
	Full			Soria	John	Law Enforcement Professional	No	6	272	2,622	10.4%	No		
		Cudahy	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Sanchez	Baru A.	Councilmember/CPA/Auditor	Yes	5	516	2,114	24.4%	Yes
	Full			Hernandez	Christian	Account Executive	No	5	470	2,114	22.2%	Yes		
	Full			Markovich	Cristian	Appointed Incumbent	No	5	445	2,114	21.1%	Yes		
	Full			Olivia	Diane A.	Appointed Incumbent/Accountant	No	5	348	2,114	16.5%	No		
	Full			Ochoa	Adam Alexander	Community Volunteer	No	5	335	2,114	15.8%	No		
		Gardena	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Medina	Dan	Businessman	Yes	4	1,607	4,935	32.6%	Yes
	Full			Henderson	Mark E.	Educator/Technology Director	No	4	1,364	4,935	27.6%	Yes		
	Full			Kaskanian	Harout (Art)	Businessman/Commissioner	No	4	1,093	4,935	22.1%	No		
	Full			Ross	Ron	Financial Consultant	No	4	871	4,935	17.6%	No		

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2015

COUNTY	Date	CITY	OFFICE	DISTRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	INC- UMB- ENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATES	TOTAL VOTES CAST <sup>1</sup>	PERCENT OF VOTE	ELEC- TED	
LOS ANGELES (continued)	3/3/2015	Glendora	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Boyer	Gary	Small Business Owner	No	6	3,338	15,537	21.5%	Yes	
					Full	Thompson	Mendell L.	Credit Union President	No	6	2,787	15,537	17.9%	Yes	
					Full	Nelson	Judy M.	Glendora Council Member	Yes	6	2,609	15,537	16.8%	Yes	
					Full	Santoro	Joe	Glendora Council Member	Yes	6	2,341	15,537	15.1%	No	
					Full	Allawos	Michael	Chief Executive Officer	No	6	2,314	15,537	14.9%	No	
					Full	Landman	Erica	Educator	No	6	2,148	15,537	13.8%	No	
			Huntington Park	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Ortiz	Graciela	Educator/School Counselor	No	10	1,048	6,204	16.9%	Yes
					Full	Pineda	Jhonny	Legislative Assistant	No	10	925	6,204	14.9%	Yes	
					Full	Sanabria	Marilyn	Supportive Services Coordinator	No	10	898	6,204	14.5%	Yes	
					Full	Perez	Rosa	Mayor, City of Huntington Park	No	10	627	6,204	10.1%	No	
					Full	Romo	Elba	Teacher/Business owner/Advocate	No	10	613	6,204	9.9%	No	
					Full	Reynoso	Alex	CEO/Law Enforcer	No	10	590	6,204	9.5%	No	
					Full	Molina	Andy	Executive Director	No	10	454	6,204	7.3%	No	
					Full	Martinez	Leticia	Executive Director/CEO	No	10	424	6,204	6.8%	No	
			La Habra Heights	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Francis	Roy R.	Incumbent	Yes	3	1,030	2,920	35.3%	Yes
					Full	Williams	Jane	Appointed Incumbent	No	3	955	2,920	32.7%	Yes	
					Full	Villanueva	Alex	Sheriff's Lieutenant	No	3	935	2,920	32.0%	No	
			La Mirada	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Eng	Ed	Business Executive	No	7	304	1,697	17.9%	Yes
					Full	Sarega	John	Business Owner	No	7	294	1,697	17.3%	Yes	
					Full	De Ruse	Steve	Incumbent	Yes	7	285	1,697	16.8%	Yes	
					Full	Mowles	Larry	Councilmember, City of La Mirada	Yes	7	272	1,697	16.0%	No	
					Full	Nowicki	Dale G.	Attorney/Professor/Businessman	No	7	271	1,697	16.0%	No	
					Full	Aiello	Tony	Auditor/Businessman/Editor	No	7	185	1,697	10.9%	No	
					Full	Saenz	Michael (Mik)	Consultant/Businessman	No	7	86	1,697	5.1%	No	
			La Verne	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Hepburn	Tim	Businessman	No	4	2,268	7,293	31.1%	Yes
					Full	Rosales	Charlie	Retired Police Sergeant	No	4	1,905	7,293	26.1%	Yes	
					Full	Ivey	Gilbert F.	Water Industry Executive	No	4	1,716	7,293	23.5%	No	
	Full	Lyons			Matthew	CA State Assembly/Senior Field Rep.	No	4	1,404	7,293	19.3%	No			
		MAYOR		Full	Kendrick	Don	Business Owner/Incumbent	Yes	1	3,396	3,396	100.0%	Yes		
	Los Angeles	CITY COUNCIL	10		Full	Wesson Jr.	Herb J.	Los Angeles City Council member	Yes	3	8,889	14,048	63.3%	Yes	
				Full	Yoo	Grace	Community Organizer/ Attorney	No	3	4,174	14,048	29.7%	No		
				Full	Smith	Delaney (Doc)	Physician/ Lecturer/ Theologian	No	3	985	14,048	7.0%	No		

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2015

COUNTY	Date	CITY	OFFICE	DISTRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	INC- UMB- ENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATES	TOTAL VOTES CAST <sup>1</sup>	PERCENT OF VOTE	ELEC- TED			
LOS ANGELES (continued)	3/3/15	Los Angeles	CITY COUNCIL	12	Full	Englander	Mitchell	Council member/ Police Officer	Yes	1	13,836	13,836	100.0%	Yes			
				14	Full	Huizar	Jose	Los Angeles City Council member	Yes	5	13,704	20,973	65.3%	Yes			
					Full	Molina	Gloria	Los Angeles County Supervisor	No	5	5,099	20,973	24.3%	No			
					Full	Diaz	Nadine Momoyo	Social Worker/ Researcher/ Health Advocate	No	5	913	20,973	4.4%	No			
					Full	Chavez	Mario	Activist/Union Organizer	No	5	774	20,973	3.7%	No			
					Full	O'Neill	John	Community Political Consultant	No	5	483	20,973	2.3%	No			
				2	Full	Krekorian	Paul	Member of the City Council	Yes	2	8,312	11,020	75.4%	Yes			
						Preven	Eric	Writer/ Producer/ Consultant	No	2	2,708	11,020	24.6%	No			
				4	Full	Ramsay	Carolyn	No Ballot Designation	No	14	3,719	24,378	15.3%	Runoff			
						Ryu	David	Community Health Director	No	14	3,634	24,378	14.9%	Runoff			
						O'Grady	Tomas	Non-Profit Director/ Entrepreneur	No	14	3,427	24,378	14.1%	No			
						Knox	Wally	Attorney	No	14	2,669	24,378	10.9%	No			
						Davis	Teddy	Attorney/ Educator	No	14	2,631	24,378	10.8%	No			
						Veres	Steve	Los Angeles Community College Trustee	No	14	2,332	24,378	9.6%	No			
						Irani	Sheila	Businesswoman /Community Leader	No	14	1,990	24,378	8.2%	No			
						Pelico	Joan	Chief of Staff, LA City Council	No	14	1,418	24,378	5.8%	No			
						Beeber	Jay	Community Advocate/ Businessman	No	14	1,164	24,378	4.8%	No			
						Sarkissian	Rostom (Ross)	Small Business Owner	No	14	530	24,378	2.2%	No			
						Bannister	Tara	Associate Director/ Educator	No	14	309	24,378	1.3%	No			
						Schaefer	Mike	Public Interest Advocate	No	14	268	24,378	1.1%	No			
						Mariscal	Fred	Marketing Executive	No	14	182	24,378	0.7%	No			
						Jones	Step	Owner, XOXO Vapor Bar	No	14	105	24,378	0.4%	No			
				6	Full	Martinez	Nury	City Councilwoman	Yes	2	6,625	10,844	61.1%	Yes			
						Montanez	Cindy	Community Advocate	No	2	4,219	10,844	38.9%	No			
				8	Full	Harris-Dawson	Marqueece	Community Coalition Director	No	4	7,681	12,323	62.3%	Yes			
						Cole Jr.	Robert L.	Commissioner/ Educator/ Businessperson	No	4	1,735	12,323	14.1%	No			
						Hogan-Rowles	Forescee	Community Development CEO	No	4	1,574	12,323	12.8%	No			
						Anderson	Bobbie Jean	California State Commissioner	No	4	1,333	12,323	10.8%	No			
						Manhattan Beach	CITY COUNCIL	Full	Lesser	David	Councilmember/Attorney	Yes	3	3,553	8,220	43.2%	Yes
								Howorth	Amy	Councilmember	Yes	3	2,845	8,220	34.6%	Yes	
								Victor	Bill	Attorney	No	3	1,802	8,220	21.9%	No	

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2015

COUNTY	Date	CITY	OFFICE	DISTRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	INC- UMB- ENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATES	TOTAL VOTES CAST <sup>1</sup>	PERCENT OF VOTE	ELEC- TED	
LOS ANGELES (continued)	3/3/2015	Monterey Park	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Ing	Mitchell	Councilmember / Bank Manager	Yes	6	3,330	12,972	25.7%	Yes	
						Sebastian	Teresa Real	Councilmember / Attorney	Yes	6	3,080	12,972	23.7%	Yes	
						Lam	Stephen	Business Owner	No	6	2,741	12,972	21.1%	Yes	
						Wong	Anthony	Councilmember / Business Owner	Yes	6	2,540	12,972	19.6%	No	
						Robinson	Delario	Postal Clerk	No	6	687	12,972	5.3%	No	
						Avila	Joe Ray	Handyman	No	6	594	12,972	4.6%	No	
		Paramount	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Martinez	Diane J.	School teacher/Councilmember	Yes	3	778	1,860	41.8%	Yes	
						Hofmeyer	Daryl	Member of the City Council	Yes	3	573	1,860	30.8%	Yes	
						Hansen	Tom	Councilmember/Chiropractor	Yes	3	509	1,860	27.4%	Yes	
		Redondo Beach	CITY CLERK	3	Full	Manzano	Eleanor	Redondo Beach City Clerk	Yes	1	9,807	9,807	100.0%	Yes	
						CITY COUNCIL	Nafissi	Candace Allen	Early Education Advocate	No	5	928	2,626	35.3%	Runoff
							Horvath	Christian A.	Businessman / Harbor Commissioner	No	5	901	2,626	34.3%	Runoff
							Kartounian	Sam	Local Businessman / Commissioner	No	5	404	2,626	15.4%	No
							Marchese	Sandy	Retired Business Executive	No	5	225	2,626	8.6%	No
							Coleman	Eric J.	Teacher	No	5	168	2,626	6.4%	No
							5	Full	Emdee	Laura	School Board Member	No	1	1,647	1,742
			CITY TREASURER	Full	Diels	Steven	Redondo Beach City Treasurer	Yes	1	9,605	9,605	100.0%	Yes		
		Rolling Hills	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Mirsch	Leah	Retired Telecommunications Manager	No	8	375	1,650	22.7%	Yes	
						Black	James	Incumbent	Yes	8	332	1,650	20.1%	Yes	
						Wilson	Patrick	Business Owner	No	8	239	1,650	14.5%	Yes	
Bhumitra	Arun					Businessman	No	8	213	1,650	12.9%	No			
Karpf	Spencer					Business Owner / CEO	No	8	171	1,650	10.4%	No			
Patterson	Clint					Real Estate Broker	No	8	132	1,650	8.0%	No			
Aichele	James					Retired Police Officer	No	8	123	1,650	7.5%	No			
Reiter	Terry					Engineer / Businessman / Inventor	No	8	65	1,650	3.9%	No			
Rosemead	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Low	Polly	Incumbent/Software Engineer	Yes	4	1,636	4,144	39.5%	Yes			
				Alarcon	William	Mayor/Engineer	No	4	1,451	4,144	35.0%	Yes			
				Chin	Janet	Business Owner/Communication Director	No	4	800	4,144	19.3%	No			
				Placencia	Rosendo	Access Compliance Specialist	No	4	257	4,144	6.2%	No			
San Dimas	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Badar	Emmett G.	Councilmember/Retired Detective	Yes	6	1,731	5,347	32.4%	Yes			
				Ebner	John	Councilmember / Software Analyst	Yes	6	1,522	5,347	28.5%	Yes			
				Higgins	Casey C.	Deputy District Attorney	No	6	1,176	5,347	22.0%	No			
				Higgins	Dina	Engineer	No	6	711	5,347	13.3%	No			
				Fischella	Tyler R.	Political Science Student	No	6	207	5,347	3.9%	No			

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2015

COUNTY	Date	CITY	OFFICE	DISTRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	INC- UMB- ENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATES	TOTAL VOTES CAST <sup>1</sup>	PERCENT OF VOTE	ELEC- TED
LOS ANGELES	3/3/2015	San Dimas	MAYOR		Full	Morris	Curtis W.	Incumbent	Yes	1	2,398	2,398	100.0%	Yes
(continued)		San Fernando	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Soto	Jaime	Community College Professor	No	6	566	2,972	19.0%	Yes
						Ballin	Sylvia	Retired Principal Analyst	Yes	6	550	2,972	18.5%	Yes
						Lopez	Antonio	Incumbent	Yes	6	519	2,972	17.5%	Yes
						Avila	Jesse H.	Retired Probation Officer	Yes	6	517	2,972	17.4%	No
						Enriquez	Pilar	Executive Administrative Assistant	No	6	424	2,972	14.3%	No
						haro	Yolanda	Insurance Broker	No	6	396	2,972	13.3%	No
		San Gabriel	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Harrington	John R.	Incumbent	Yes	3	1,504	3,685	40.8%	Yes
						Costanzo	Juli Lynn	Incumbent	Yes	3	1,102	3,685	29.9%	Yes
						Menchaca	Denise	No Ballot Designation	No	3	1,079	3,685	29.3%	No
		Signal Hill	CITY CLERK		Full	Copeland	Robert	Appointed Incumbent	No	1	698	698	100.0%	Yes
						Hanson	Tina L.	Councilmember / Criminal Prosecutor	Yes	3	540	1,402	38.5%	Yes
						Forester	Larry	Retired Engineer	Yes	3	458	1,402	32.7%	Yes
						benson	Thomas S.	Business Owner / Commissioner	No	3	404	1,402	28.8%	No
			CITY TREASURER		Full	Blunden	Larry	Appointed Incumbent	No	1	691	691	100.0%	Yes
		Temple City	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Sternquist	Cynthia A.	Councilmember/Educator	No	5	1,755	5,973	29.4%	Yes
						Man	William	Civil Engineer	No	5	1,681	5,973	28.1%	Yes
						Fish	Nanette (Nan) G.	Director	Yes	5	1,551	5,973	26.0%	Yes
						Chang	Richard J.	Director/Senior Instructor	Yes	5	606	5,973	10.1%	No
						Cleary	James	Plasterer	Yes	5	380	5,973	6.4%	No
		West Hollywood	CITY COUNCIL		Full	D'Amico	John	West Hollywood City Councilmember	Yes	12	2,312	13,087	17.7%	Yes
						Meister	Lauren	Businesswoman/Market Researcher	No	12	2,138	13,087	16.3%	Yes
						Horvath	Lindsey	Small Business Owner	No	12	2,135	13,087	16.3%	Yes
						Heilman	John	Councilmember	No	12	2,066	13,087	15.8%	No
						Guardarrama	Joseph	Government Ethics Attorney	No	12	1,881	13,087	14.4%	No
						Block	Larry	Local Business Owner	No	12	1,033	13,087	7.9%	No
						Mason	James Duke	Writer	No	12	376	13,087	2.9%	No
						Ralston	Matthew	Journalist	No	12	357	13,087	2.7%	No
						Landavazo	Christopher T.	Deputy Sheriff	No	12	333	13,087	2.5%	No
						Schukraft	Tristan	Media Executive	No	12	278	13,087	2.1%	No
						Funnagan	Brian	Apartment Manager	No	12	97	13,087	0.7%	No
						Allendorfer	John	Resident Manager	No	12	81	13,087	0.6%	No





TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2015

COUNTY	Date	CITY	OFFICE	DISTRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	INC- UMB- ENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATES	TOTAL VOTES CAST <sup>1</sup>	PERCENT OF VOTE	ELEC- TED
LOS ANGELES (continued)	4/14/2015	Monrovia	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Shevlin	Becky	Incumbent	Yes	9	1,945	8,715	22.3%	Yes
						Crudgington	Gloria	Businesswoman	No	9	1,882	8,715	21.6%	Yes
						Austin	Scott	Firefighter	No	9	1,653	8,715	19.0%	No
						Parry	Robert C.J.	Businessman	No	9	1,471	8,715	16.9%	No
						Ziegler	Chris	Businessman	No	9	683	8,715	7.8%	No
						Kittleson	Jordan	Server	No	9	529	8,715	6.1%	No
						DeCosta	Clifford	Retired Peace Officer	No	9	365	8,715	4.2%	No
						Grollnek	Stephen	Executive Vice President	No	9	99	8,715	1.1%	No
						Gulley	Tom	Small Business Owner	No	9	88	8,715	1.0%	No
	MAYOR	Full	Adams	Tom	City Council Member	No	3	2,286	4,625	49.4%	Yes			
			Lutz	Mary Ann	Mayor / Business Owner	Yes	3	2,182	4,625	47.2%	No			
			Holen	Leonard	No Ballot Designation	No	3	157	4,625	3.4%	No			
	Vernon	CITY COUNCIL	Full	Woodruff-Perez	Yvette	No Ballot Designation	No	2	21	34	61.8%	Yes		
				Roberts	Dennis E.	Retiree	No	2	13	34	38.2%	No		
	4/21/2015	Compton	CITY COUNCIL	1	Full	Zurita	Janna	Councilwoman District 1, City of Compton	Yes	6	512	1,628	31.4%	Runoff
						Alatorre	Richard	Businessman	No	6	454	1,628	27.9%	Runoff
						Monk	Kevin	Associate Pastor	No	6	361	1,628	22.2%	No
						Calhoun	Barbara Jean	Community Action Boardmember	No	6	132	1,628	8.1%	No
						Thomas	Mae	Compton School Boardmember	No	6	129	1,628	7.9%	No
						Scott	Otha Ray	Educator/Businessperson	No	6	34	1,628	2.1%	No
4		Full	Jones	Willie O.	City Councilman	Yes	6	293	1,029	28.5%	Runoff			
			Sharif	Emma	Boardmember, CUSD	No	6	208	1,029	20.2%	Runoff			
			Parker	Bryan O.	Government Employee	No	6	199	1,029	19.3%	No			
			Hernandez	Mario	Accountant/City Employee	No	6	143	1,029	13.9%	No			
			Davis	Charles	Boardmember, CUSD	No	6	113	1,029	11.0%	No			
			Cumby	Terrance	Community Organizer	No	6	67	1,029	6.5%	No			
Pasadena		CITY COUNCIL	1	Full	Hampton	Tyron	Business Owner	No	2	1,238	2,423	51.1%	Yes	
					Wells	Calvin E.	Fire Chief	No	2	1,185	2,423	48.9%	No	
		MAYOR	Tornek	Terry	City Councilmember/Businessperson	No	2	8,549	15,719	54.4%	Yes			
5/12/2015		Redondo Beach	CITY COUNCIL	3	Full	Robinson	Jacque	Vice Mayor/Councilmember	No	2	7,170	15,719	45.6%	No
						Horvath	Christian A.	Businessman / Harbor Commissioner	No	2	1,424	2,835	50.2%	Yes
Los Angeles		CITY COUNCIL	4	Full	Nafissi	Candace Allen	Early Education Advocate	No	2	1,411	2,835	49.8%	No	
	Ryu				David	Community Health Director	No	2	13,161	24,005	54.8%	Yes		
						Ramsay	Carolyn	Councilmember's Staff Chief	No	2	10,844	24,005	45.2%	No

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2015

COUNTY	Date	CITY	OFFICE	DISTRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	INC- UMB- ENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATES	TOTAL VOTES CAST <sup>1</sup>	PERCENT OF VOTE	ELEC- TED		
LOS ANGELES (continued)	6/2/2015	Carson	CITY COUNCIL		Short	Hilton	Jawane R.	Teacher/Senior Pastor	No	6	2,557	7,218	35.4%	Yes		
						Cainglet	Jesus-Alex	Businessman/City Commissioner	No	6	2,539	7,218	35.2%	No		
						Boggs	Rita R.	Chemist/Business Owner	No	6	968	7,218	13.4%	No		
						Randle	Stephen John	Commissioner/Minister	No	6	437	7,218	6.1%	No		
						Obiora	Emmanuel Chuma	Accountant/Realtor/Planner	No	6	418	7,218	5.8%	No		
						Gordon	Joseph	Planning Commissioner/Business Person	No	6	299	7,218	4.1%	No		
		Compton	CITY COUNCIL	1	Full	Zurita	Janna	Councilwoman District 1, City of Compton	Yes	2	892	1,687	52.9%	Yes		
						Alatorre	Richard	Businessman	No	2	795	1,687	47.1%	No		
						4	Full	Sharif	Emma	Boardmember, CUSD	No	2	507	911	55.7%	Yes
								Jones	Willie O.	City Councilman	Yes	2	404	911	44.3%	No
		Industry	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Ruggles	Newell W.	Businessman	No	5	67	252	26.6%	Yes		
						Moss	Cory C.	Bookkeeper	No	5	56	252	22.2%	Yes		
						Radecki	Mark D.	Operations Supervisor	No	5	54	252	21.4%	Yes		
						Spohn	Tim	Incumbent	Yes	5	40	252	15.9%	No		
						Marcellin	Patricia	Appointed Incumbent	No	5	35	252	13.9%	No		
		West Hollywood	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Heilman	John	Law School Professor	No	4	2,315	4,967	46.6%	Yes		
						Shink	Heidi	Small Business Owner	No	4	1,403	4,967	28.2%	No		
						Block	Larry	Self-Employed Businessman	No	4	763	4,967	15.4%	No		
						Ettman	Cole	Chief Operating Officer	No	4	486	4,967	9.8%	No		
		11/3/2015	Agoura Hills	CITY COUNCIL	Full	Weber	Illece Buckley	Councilmember/ Attorney	Yes	6	1,695	5,491	30.9%	Yes		
						Northrup	Linda L.	Attorney/Planning Commissioner	No	6	1,425	5,491	26.0%	Yes		
						Anstead	Chris	Businessman/Planning Commissioner	No	6	1,017	5,491	18.5%	No		
						O'Meara	John	Attorney/Planning Commissioner	No	6	785	5,491	14.3%	No		
						Platzer	Meril S.	Doctor of Medicine	No	6	317	5,491	5.8%	No		
						Gerstel	Jan	Businessman	No	6	252	5,491	4.6%	No		
		Baldwin Park	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Pacheco	Ricardo	Councilmember/Engineer	Yes	4	2,289	6,669	34.3%	Yes		
						Garcia	Monica	Baldwin Park City Councilmember	Yes	4	2,091	6,669	31.4%	No		
Damian	Daniel					Business Owner	No	4	1,454	6,669	21.8%	No				
Rodriguez	Roman					Recreation Coordinator	No	4	835	6,669	12.5%	No				
	MAYOR		Full	Lozano	Manuel	Mayor	Yes	3	1,819	4,151	43.8%	Yes				
				Baca	Cruz Herrera	Mayor Pro Tem	No	3	1,771	4,151	42.7%	No				
				Armendariz	Joe	Laborers Union Instructor	No	3	561	4,151	13.5%	No				
Calabasas	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Weintraub	Alicia	Planning Commissioner/Businesswoman	No	3	2,289	6,708	34.1%	Yes				
				Gaines	Fred	Calabasas City Councilmember	Yes	3	2,163	6,708	32.2%	Yes				
				Roseman	Steve	HOA Attorney/Commissioner	No	3	2,090	6,708	31.2%	No				

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2015

COUNTY	Date	CITY	OFFICE	DISTRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	INC- UMB- ENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATES	TOTAL VOTES CAST <sup>1</sup>	PERCENT OF VOTE	ELEC- TED		
LOS ANGELES (continued)	11/3/2015	Diamond Bar	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Low	Ruth	Criminal Prosecutor	No	4	2,266	7,361	30.8%	Yes		
						Herrera	Carol	Incumbent	Yes	4	2,216	7,361	30.1%	Yes		
						Nishimura	Robert	Retired Police Officer	No	4	2,149	7,361	29.2%	No		
						Velker	Robert (Bob)	Ambassador, Chino Airport	No	4	730	7,361	9.9%	No		
		El Monte	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Velasco	Jerry	Treasurer/Businessman	No	4	2,396	8,410	28.5%	Yes		
						Martinez	Victoria	Councilwoman/Businesswoman	No	4	2,375	8,410	28.2%	Yes		
						Patel	Bart	Councilmember/Accountant/	No	4	2,108	8,410	25.1%	No		
						Barrios	Art	Planning Commissioner	No	4	1,531	8,410	18.2%	No		
			MAYOR		Full	Quintero	Andre	Mayor/Criminal Prosecutor	Yes	2	2,526	5,024	50.3%	Yes		
						Macias	Norma	Councilmember/Facilities Director	No	2	2,498	5,024	49.7%	No		
		Hawaiian Gardens	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Trimble	Hank	Pastor	No	4	453	1,648	27.5%	Yes		
						Maravilla	Myra	Senior Administrative Assistant	No	4	445	1,648	27.0%	Yes		
						Farfan	Victoria	Incumbent	Yes	4	385	1,648	23.4%	No		
		Hawaiian Gardens	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Macias	Teresa	Billing Supervisor	No	4	365	1,648	22.1%	No		
		Hawthorne	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Michelin	Nilo	City Councilmember/Teacher	Yes	8	1,533	8,008	19.1%	Yes		
						Awad	Haidar	Businessman/Financial Specialist	No	8	1,504	8,008	18.8%	Yes		
						Manning	Katrina	Small Business Owner	No	8	1,470	8,008	18.4%	No		
						Valentine	Olivia	City Councilmember/Mediator	Yes	8	1,373	8,008	17.1%	No		
						Patterson	L. David	Hawthorne City Treasurer	No	8	850	8,008	10.6%	No		
						Shepard	Amie	Business Owner	No	8	561	8,008	7.0%	No		
						Ramirez	Osvaldo	Political Science Major	No	8	363	8,008	4.5%	No		
						Stiglich	Frances	Retired County Receptionist	No	8	354	8,008	4.4%	No		
						MAYOR	Full	Vargas	Alex	Councilmember	No	4	2,682	4,480	59.9%	Yes
								Brown	Chris	Mayor, City of Hawthorne/Businessman	Yes	4	965	4,480	21.5%	No
								English	Angie R.	Councilmember / Education Deputy	No	4	428	4,480	9.6%	No
								Joya	Anallilia	Teacher/Disability Advocate	No	4	405	4,480	9.0%	No
						Hermosa Beach	CITY CLERK		Full	Doerfling	Elaine C.	City Clerk	Yes	1	1,793	1,793
		CITY COUNCIL	Full	Massey	Justin					Environmental Lawyer	No	5	2,082	6,802	30.6%	Yes
				Duclos	Jeff	College Professor	No	5	1,966	6,802	28.9%	No				
				Tucker	Peter C.	Senior Building Inspector	No	5	1,168	6,802	17.2%	No				
Hartley	Kenneth A.			Small Business Owner	No	5	961	6,802	14.1%	No						
Larson	Trent			Major Account Manager Office Depot	No	5	625	6,802	9.2%	No						
	CITY TREASURER		Full	Nowicki	Karen S.	City Treasurer/CPA	Yes	1	1,782	1,782	100.0%	Yes				

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2015

COUNTY	Date	CITY	OFFICE	DISTRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	INC- UMB- ENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATES	TOTAL VOTES CAST <sup>1</sup>	PERCENT OF VOTE	ELEC- TED
LOS ANGELES (continued)	11/3/2015	Irwindale	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Brededa	Mark A.	Incumbent	Yes	5	264	1,106	23.9%	Yes
						Garcia	Manuel R.	Incumbent	Yes	5	223	1,106	20.2%	Yes
						Burrola	Larry G.	No Ballot Designation	No	5	213	1,106	19.3%	Yes
						Barbosa	Frederick S.	Retired	No	5	210	1,106	19.0%	No
						Roman	Carmen M.	Retired	No	5	196	1,106	17.7%	No
	Lynwood	CITY CLERK	Full		Quinonez	Maria	Lynwood City Clerk	Yes	2	1,296	2,330	55.6%	Yes	
					Nunez	Andrew	No Ballot Designation	No	2	1,034	2,330	44.4%	No	
		CITY COUNCIL	Full		Alatorre	Salvador	Councilmember/State Inspector	Yes	6	1,092	4,395	24.8%	Yes	
					Castro	Aide	Councilwoman/Business Owner	Yes	6	1,061	4,395	24.1%	Yes	
					Aguilar	Domitila	Teacher	No	6	824	4,395	18.7%	No	
					Espinoza	Omar	Teacher	No	6	657	4,395	14.9%	No	
					Jacinto	Edwin R.	Realtor/Businessman	No	6	635	4,395	14.4%	No	
					Castro	Rebecca	No Ballot Designation	No	6	126	4,395	2.9%	No	
		CITY TREASURER	Full		Camacho	Gabriela	Teacher	No	5	1,138	2,494	45.6%	Yes	
					Pygatt	Iris	Real Estate Broker	No	5	673	2,494	27.0%	No	
					Rodriguez	Denise	Sales Associate	No	5	344	2,494	13.8%	No	
					Sanchez	Nicholas	Public Safety Officer	No	5	202	2,494	8.1%	No	
					Dixon	Antoinette (Toni)	No Ballot Designation	No	5	137	2,494	5.5%	No	
		Maywood	CITY CLERK	Full		Mayagoitia	Gerardo	No Ballot Designation	No	1	588	588	100.0%	Yes
			CITY COUNCIL	Full		Calderon	Sergio J.	Teacher/City Clerk	No	4	654	2,259	29.0%	Yes
Medina	Ramon					Business Owner	No	4	628	2,259	27.8%	Yes		
Magana	Oscar					Educator/Councilmember	Yes	4	504	2,259	22.3%	No		
Guardado	Veronica					Analyst/Councilmember	Yes	4	473	2,259	20.9%	No		
CITY TREASURER	Full			Viramontes	Gloria	Business Bookkeeper	No	2	517	940	55.0%	Yes		
				Contreras	Salvador	Incumbent	Yes	2	423	940	45.0%	No		
Montebello	CITY CLERK	Full		Barajas	Irma	Office Manager	No	3	2,002	4,648	43.1%	Yes		
				Gonzales	Christina	College Student	No	3	1,800	4,648	38.7%	No		
				Ramirez	Annette	Marketing Coordinator	No	3	846	4,648	18.2%	No		

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2015

COUNTY	Date	CITY	OFFICE	DISTRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	INC- UMB- ENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATES	TOTAL VOTES CAST <sup>1</sup>	PERCENT OF VOTE	ELEC- TED	
LOS ANGELES (continued)	11/3/2015	Montebello	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Hadjinian	Jack	City Councilmember/Businessman	Yes	8	2,111	9,062	23.3%	Yes	
						Delgado	Vanessa	Businesswoman/County Commissioner	No	8	1,899	9,062	21.0%	Yes	
						Cortez	Christina	Councilmember	Yes	8	1,383	9,062	15.3%	No	
						Cobos	Kimberly Ann	City Commissioner/Doctoral	No	8	1,092	9,062	12.1%	No	
						Chacon	Fernando	Administrative Director	No	8	950	9,062	10.5%	No	
						Samarin-Popoff	M W	Businessman	No	8	704	9,062	7.8%	No	
						Paolisso	Bill	Sales Executive	No	8	584	9,062	6.4%	No	
			Smith	Randy	Businessman/Realtor	No	8	339	9,062	3.7%	No				
			CITY TREASURER			Full	Pell	Charles	U.S. Federal Prosecutor	No	3	2,555	5,063	50.5%	Yes
							Mooradian	Ashod	Attorney	No	3	1,429	5,063	28.2%	No
							Vasquez	Rosemarie	Retired Businesswoman	No	3	1,079	5,063	21.3%	No
			Pico Rivera	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Archuleta	Bob J.	Councilmember/County Commissioner	Yes	4	2,302	8,175	28.2%	Yes
							Salcido	Gregory	Teacher/Councilman	No	4	2,294	8,175	28.1%	Yes
							Tercero	Brent A.	Incumbent	Yes	4	1,988	8,175	24.3%	Yes
							Moreno	Joey Arnold	Accountant/Nonprofit Coordinator	No	4	1,591	8,175	19.5%	No
			Rancho Palos Verdes	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Duhovic	Jerry	Business Executive/Councilman	Yes	5	3,099	12,735	24.3%	Yes
							Brooks	Susan	Councilwoman/Businesswoman	Yes	5	2,861	12,735	22.5%	Yes
							Dyda	Ken	Community Volunteer	No	5	2,734	12,735	21.5%	Yes
							Knight	Jim	Council Member	Yes	5	2,294	12,735	18.0%	No
							Royston	Greg	Attorney/Business Owner	No	5	1,747	12,735	13.7%	No
			Rolling Hills Estates	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Mitchell	Judith (Judy)	Incumbent	Yes	4	702	2,305	30.5%	Yes
							Zerunyan	Frank V.	Incumbent	Yes	4	646	2,305	28.0%	Yes
							Schmitz	Velveth	Planning Commissioner	No	4	483	2,305	21.0%	Yes
							Southwell	Carl	Planning Commissioner/Business owner	No	4	474	2,305	20.6%	No
			San Marino	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Talt	Steve	Attorney	No	5	2,001	5,155	38.8%	Yes
							Huang	Steven	San Marino Parent /Businessman	No	5	1,445	5,155	28.0%	Yes
							Sun	Eugene C.	Incumbent	Yes	5	757	5,155	14.7%	No
					Kneier	Dennis B.	Incumbent	Yes	5	521	5,155	10.1%	No		
					Kwong	Scott T.	Business Owner	No	5	431	5,155	8.4%	No		

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2015

COUNTY	Date	CITY	OFFICE	DISTRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	INC- UMB- ENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATES	TOTAL VOTES CAST <sup>1</sup>	PERCENT OF VOTE	ELEC- TED
LOS ANGELES (continued)	11/3/2015	Santa Fe Springs	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Rounds	Bill	Incumbent	Yes	10	646	3,649	17.7%	Yes
						Zamorano	Joe Angel	Teacher/Planning Commissioner	No	10	615	3,649	16.9%	Yes
						Gonzales	Louie	Elementary School Teacher	No	10	609	3,649	16.7%	No
						Rodriguez	Annette	Human Resources Manager	No	10	537	3,649	14.7%	No
						Romo	Nancy	Santa Fe Springs Realtor	No	10	350	3,649	9.6%	No
						Mora	John M.	Chiropractor	No	10	315	3,649	8.6%	No
						Hayes	Albert	Traffic Commissioner	No	10	259	3,649	7.1%	No
						Beilke	Ron	Businessman/Association President	No	10	213	3,649	5.8%	No
						Madrid III	Edward	Business Consultant	No	10	79	3,649	2.2%	No
						Tovar	Carlos	No Ballot Designation	No	10	26	3,649	0.7%	No
South El Monte		CITY COUNCIL		Full	Delgado	Hector	High School Educator	No	5	618	2,206	28.0%	Yes	
					Olmos	Gloria	Volunteer Soroptimist President	No	5	545	2,206	24.7%	Yes	
					Ili	Willhans	City Councilmember/ Educator	Yes	5	540	2,206	24.5%	No	
					Zepeda	Gilbert	Regional Manager	No	5	295	2,206	13.4%	No	
					Acosta	Manuel (Manny)	Inventory Control Manager	No	5	208	2,206	9.4%	No	
		MAYOR		Full	Aguinaga	Luis (Louie)	Business Owner/Mayor	Yes	1	920	920	100.0%	Yes	
South Pasadena		CITY COUNCIL		Full	Joe	Robert	Mayor	Yes	3	2,568	6,957	36.9%	Yes	
					Khubesrian	Marina	Councilmember/Physician	Yes	3	2,213	6,957	31.8%	Yes	
					Schneider	Richard D.	Councilmember/Physician	Yes	3	2,176	6,957	31.3%	Yes	
West Covina		CITY COUNCIL		Full	Johnson	Lloyd A.	Veteran	No	6	2,627	12,308	21.3%	Yes	
					Wu	Tony	Small Business Owner	No	6	2,544	12,308	20.7%	Yes	
					Sykes	Fredrick	Mayor, City of West Covina	No	6	2,496	12,308	20.3%	No	
					Caceres	Kimberly	Public Affairs Director	No	6	2,247	12,308	18.3%	No	
					Lara-Gardner	Joe	Retired Police Sergeant	No	6	1,374	12,308	11.2%	No	
					Gutierrez	Brian	Retired State Councilmember	No	6	1,020	12,308	8.3%	No	
Westlake Village		CITY COUNCIL		Full	Davis	Ned E.	Incumbent	Yes	3	1,009	2,301	43.9%	Yes	
					Honig	Kelly	Community Volunteer	No	3	815	2,301	35.4%	Yes	
					Kehaly	William	Entrepreneur	No	3	477	2,301	20.7%	No	
MADERA	No City Contests													
MARIN	11/3/2015	Corte Madera	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Bailey	Sloan C.	Appointed Councilmember/Attorney	Yes	3	1,081	3,011	35.9%	Yes
						Andrews	James H.	Councilmember/Business Owner	No	3	984	3,011	32.7%	Yes
						Ravasio	Bob	Accountant/Financial Analyst	Yes	3	933	3,011	31.0%	No

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2015

COUNTY	Date	CITY	OFFICE	DISTRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	INC- UMB- ENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATES	TOTAL VOTES CAST <sup>1</sup>	PERCENT OF VOTE	ELEC- TED
MARIN (continued)	11/3/2015	Mill Valley	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Moulton-Peters	Stephanie	Incumbent	Yes	5	2,523	8,636	29.2%	Yes
						Wickham	Jim	Public Safety Specialist	No	5	2,130	8,636	24.7%	Yes
						McEntee	Sashi	Local Business Owner	No	5	1,720	8,636	19.9%	Yes
						Knauer	Kirk	Businessperson/Parent	No	5	1,574	8,636	18.2%	No
						Brooks	Kenneth Ian	Local Businessman	No	5	682	8,636	7.9%	No
	Novato	CITY COUNCIL	Full	Fryday	Josh	Chief Operating Officer	No	8	5,322	27,957	19.0%	Yes		
				Drew	Pam	Retired Math Teacher	No	8	4,975	27,957	17.8%	Yes		
				Lucan	Eric	City Councilmember/Businessman	Yes	8	4,364	27,957	15.6%	Yes		
				Butler	Gary G.	Novato Business Owner	No	8	3,927	27,957	14.0%	No		
				MacLeamy	Jeanne	Novato Councilmember/Architect	Yes	8	3,906	27,957	14.0%	No		
				Jordan	Steven	Businessperson/Baker	No	8	3,114	27,957	11.1%	No		
				Sluis	Elenor	Retired	No	8	1,367	27,957	4.9%	No		
	San Anselmo	CITY CLERK	Full	Kacmar	Carla	Administrative Services Technician	No	1	2,558	2,585	99.0%	Yes		
				CITY COUNCIL	Full	Brown	Matt	Business Owner	Yes	4	2,185	7,236	30.2%	Yes
						Greene	Ford	City Councilmember	Yes	4	1,916	7,236	26.5%	Yes
						Kelly	Doug	Incumbent	Yes	4	1,748	7,236	24.2%	No
						Torme	Lise Stampfli	Non-profit Graphic Designer	Yes	4	1,379	7,236	19.1%	No
	CITY TREASURER	Full	Fraser	Elizabeth D.	Consultant	No	1	2,435	2,455	99.2%	Yes			
	Tiburon	CITY COUNCIL	Full	Tollini	Erin	City Councilmember	Yes	3	1,175	2,857	41.1%	Yes		
				Doyle	Frank X.	Tiburon City Councilman	Yes	3	1,166	2,857	40.8%	Yes		
McCullough				Brian	Private Investor	No	3	506	2,857	17.7%	No			
MARIPOSA	No City Contests													
MENDOCINO	No City Contests													
MERCED	No City Contests													
MODOC	No City Contests													
MONO	No City Contests													
MONTEREY	No City Contests													
NAPA	No City Contests													
NEVADA	No City Contests													
ORANGE	No City Contests													
PLACER	No City Contests													
PLUMAS	No City Contests													

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2015

COUNTY	Date	CITY	OFFICE	DISTRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	INC- UMB- ENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATES	TOTAL VOTES CAST <sup>1</sup>	PERCENT OF VOTE	ELEC- TED							
RIVERSIDE	6/2/2015	Riverside	CITY COUNCIL	1	Full	Gardner	Mike	Councilmember	Yes	2	2,490	3,548	70.2%	Yes							
						Podgorski	Tom	Economics Teacher	No	2	1,058	3,548	29.8%	No							
				3	Full	Souburous	Mike	Councilmember/Businessman	Yes	1	3,665	3,665	100.0%	Yes							
				5	Full	MacArthur	Chris	Business Owner/Councilman	Yes	1	2,712	2,712	100.0%	Yes							
				7	Full	Burnard	John (JP)	Small Business Owner	No	2	2,100	2,579	81.4%	Yes							
				7	Full	Webb	Alysia	Businesswoman	No	2	479	2,579	18.6%	No							
	11/3/2015	Blythe	CITY COUNCIL			Full	Reynolds	Dale S.	Administrative Supervisor	No	4	514	1,846	27.8%	Yes						
							Egan	Eric	Small Business Owner	No	4	505	1,846	27.4%	Yes						
							Crain	Robert	Local Businessman	No	4	419	1,846	22.7%	No						
							Contreras	Richard	Correctional Stationary Engineer	No	4	408	1,846	22.1%	No						
		Desert Hot Springs	CITY COUNCIL				Full	Betts	Russell	Small Business Owner	No	7	1,088	4,971	21.9%	Yes					
								Zavala	Anayeli	Health Intern Coordinator	No	7	1,036	4,971	20.8%	Yes					
								Parks	Yvonne	Retired Employment Manager	No	7	753	4,971	15.1%	No					
								Buchanan	Larry	Community Volunteer	No	7	713	4,971	14.3%	No					
Jaime								Jeanette	Community Service Director	Yes	7	675	4,971	13.6%	No						
Duffle								Richard B.	Planning Commissioner	Yes	7	357	4,971	7.2%	No						
Horton								Asia	Tax Preparer	No	7	349	4,971	7.0%	No						
MAYOR								Matas	Scott	Director of Marketing	No	2	1,402	2,741	51.1%	Yes					
								Sanchez	Adam	Mayor Desert Hot Springs	Yes	2	1,339	2,741	48.9%	No					
Menifee								CITY COUNCIL			3	Full	Sobek	Lesia A.	Planning Commissioner	Yes	4	784	1,655	47.4%	Yes
		Winter	Neil R.	Retired Business Person	No	4	361						1,655	21.8%	No						
		Blaze	Stu R.	Businessman	No	4	308						1,655	18.6%	No						
		Kuenzi	Darcy	Government Affairs Manager	No	4	202						1,655	12.2%	No						
Norco		CITY COUNCIL				Full	Hoffman	Ted	Retired Sheriff Sergeant	No	7	1,371	6,733	20.4%	Yes						
	Grundmeyer						Robin (Mrs. G)	Educator	No	7	1,257	6,733	18.7%	Yes							
	Hanna						Berwin	Retired Horseshoer	No	7	1,157	6,733	17.2%	Yes							
	Gregory						Lance	Business Owner	No	7	1,096	6,733	16.3%	No							
	Higgins						Herb	Councilman/Personnel manager	Yes	7	884	6,733	13.1%	No							
	Campbell						Lisa	Control Center Dispatcher	No	7	556	6,733	8.3%	No							
	Bemowski						Jason	No Ballot Designation	No	7	412	6,733	6.1%	No							



TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2015

COUNTY	Date	CITY	OFFICE	DISTRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	INC- UMB- ENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATES	TOTAL VOTES CAST <sup>1</sup>	PERCENT OF VOTE	ELEC- TED
RIVERSIDE (continued)	11/3/2015	Palm Springs	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Kors	Geoff	Legislative Policy Director	No	6	7,377	20,186	36.5%	Yes
						Roberts	J.R.	Planning Commissioner/Entrepreneur	No	6	4,785	20,186	23.7%	Yes
						Lewin	Paul	Palm Springs City Councilmember	Yes	6	4,709	20,186	23.3%	No
						Nevenic	Anna	Registered Nurse/Author	No	6	1,533	20,186	7.6%	No
						King	Jim	Retired Business Executive	No	6	981	20,186	4.9%	No
						Brown	David	Dry Cleaning Manager	No	6	801	20,186	4.0%	No
			MAYOR	Full	Moon	Robert (Bob)	Retired Military Officer	No	8	4,197	11,489	36.5%	Yes	
			Foat		Ginny	Councilmember/Senior Administrator	Yes	8	2,901	11,489	25.3%	No		
			Oden		Ron	Non-Profit Organization Executive	No	8	1,496	11,489	13.0%	No		
			Gunasti		Bill	Executive Producer	No	8	810	11,489	7.1%	No		
			Wright		Ricky B.	Retired Educator	No	8	791	11,489	6.9%	No		
			Weinstein		Bob	Mediator/Attorney/Businessman	No	8	731	11,489	6.4%	No		
			Burrows		Guy T.	Medical Doctor/Neurologist	No	8	439	11,489	3.8%	No		
			Schaefer	Mike	Asset Manager	No	8	124	11,489	1.1%	No			
			Perris	CITY COUNCIL	Short	Yarbrough	Raul Mar	Small Business Owner	No	6	814	2,294	35.5%	Yes
						Corona	Malcolm	Teacher	No	6	731	2,294	31.9%	No
						Balderrama	Olivia	Legislative Assistant	No	6	363	2,294	15.8%	No
						Espinoza	Cindy	CEO/Business Owner	No	6	248	2,294	10.8%	No
						Arras	Ruben	Mechanical Technician	No	6	99	2,294	4.3%	No
Islam	Sharmin (Riti)	Health Administrator/Manager				No	6	39	2,294	1.7%	No			
SACRAMENTO	4/7/2015	Sacramento	CITY COUNCIL	6	Short	Guerra	Eric	Legislative Policy Director	No	2	4,359	6,938	62.8%	Yes
						Pomer	Bruce	Health Safety Advisor	No	2	2,556	6,938	36.8%	Yes
SAN BENITO	No City Contests													
SAN BERNARDINO	11/3/2015	San Bernardino	CITY ATTORNEY		Full	Saenz	Gary D.	San Bernardino City Attorney	Yes	1	7,601	7,601	100.0%	Yes
			CITY CLERK		Full	Hanna	Georgeann (Gigi)	San Bernardino City Clerk	No	1	7,607	7,607	100.0%	Yes
			CITY COUNCIL	3	Full	Valdivia	John	Incumbent	Yes	1	719	719	100.0%	Yes
				5	Full	Nickel	Henry	Small Business Owner	No	2	1,192	1,775	67.2%	Yes
						Davison	Brian	Council Member, 5th Ward	Yes	2	583	1,775	32.8%	No
			6	Full	Littlefield-Richard	Bessine	Workforce Development Supervisor	No	4	434	1,160	37.4%	Yes	
					Williams	Roxanne	Educator	No	4	410	1,160	35.3%	No	
					Jones	Anthony	Broker/Radiology Assistant	No	4	189	1,160	16.3%	No	
			Rawls	Rafael	Business Owner	No	4	127	1,160	10.9%	No			

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2015

COUNTY	Date	CITY	OFFICE	DISTRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	INC- UMB- ENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATES	TOTAL VOTES CAST <sup>1</sup>	PERCENT OF VOTE	ELEC- TED		
SAN BERNARDINO (continued)	11/3/2015	San Bernardino	CITY COUNCIL	7	Full	Mulvihill	Jim	Councilman/Professor/Businessman	Yes	5	501	1,782	28.1%	Yes		
						Beard	Scott C.	Businessman	No	5	384	1,782	21.5%	No		
						Robel	Kim	Program Facilitator/Teacher	No	5	344	1,782	19.3%	No		
						Alexander	Damon	Law Enforcement Professional	No	5	338	1,782	19.0%	No		
						Garcia	Leticia	Businesswoman/Educator	No	5	215	1,782	12.1%	No		
						CITY TREASURER	Full	Kennedy	David C.	Treasurer/CPA	Yes	2	5,967	8,465	70.5%	Yes
						Roe	Karmel	Financial Advisor	No	2	2,498	8,465	29.5%	No		
SAN DIEGO	No City Contests															
SAN FRANCISCO	City and County Contests included in County Report															
SAN JOAQUIN	No City Contests															
SAN LUIS OBISPO	No City Contests															
SAN MATEO	11/3/2015	Belmont	CITY CLERK		Full	Cook	Terri	Belmont City Clerk	Yes	1	3,587	3,587	100.0%	Yes		
						CITY COUNCIL	Full	Kim	Doug	Transportation/Environmental Planner	No	3	3,239	7,477	43.3%	Yes
								Hurt	Davina	Mother/Lawyer	No	3	3,147	7,477	42.1%	Yes
								Looi	Dwight	Director, Product Development	No	3	1,091	7,477	14.6%	No
				CITY TREASURER	Full	Violet	John	Belmont City Treasurer	Yes	1	3,596	3,596	100.0%	Yes		
		Brisbane	CITY COUNCIL	Full	O'Connell	Terry	Incumbent	Yes	6	579	2,164	26.8%	Yes			
					Davison	Madison	Account Executive	No	6	522	2,164	24.1%	Yes			
					Munir	Jameel	Planning Commissioner	No	6	414	2,164	19.1%	No			
					Do	Tuongvan	Planning Commissioner	No	6	337	2,164	15.6%	No			
					Louie	Alvin	Restaurant Owner	No	6	159	2,164	7.3%	No			
					Heinz	Tom	Educator	No	6	153	2,164	7.1%	No			
		Burlingame	CITY COUNCIL	Full	Beach	Emily	Nonprofit Executive/Parent	No	4	3,921	10,414	37.7%	Yes			
					Colson	Donna	Burlingame Business Owner	No	4	3,565	10,414	34.2%	Yes			
					Bandrapalli	Nirmala	Mother/Entrepreneur	No	4	2,297	10,414	22.1%	No			
					Storey	Eric	Community Volunteer	No	4	631	10,414	6.1%	No			
		Foster	CITY COUNCIL	Full	Hindi	Sam	Small Business Owner	No	4	3,667	13,514	27.1%	Yes			
					Perez	Herb	Incumbent	Yes	4	3,514	13,514	26.0%	Yes			
					Mahanpour	Catherine	Attorney	No	4	3,484	13,514	25.8%	Yes			
					Sullivan	Patrick	Business Owner	No	4	2,849	13,514	21.1%	No			
		Millbrae	CITY COUNCIL	Full	Papan	Gina	Deputy Attorney General	No	4	2,605	9,115	28.6%	Yes			
					Schneider	Ann	Environmental Manager/Educator	No	4	2,600	9,115	28.5%	Yes			
					Lee	Wayne J.	Incumbent	Yes	4	2,146	9,115	23.5%	Yes			
					Gottschalk	Robert G.	Incumbent	Yes	4	1,764	9,115	19.4%	No			

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2015

COUNTY	Date	CITY	OFFICE	DISTRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	INC- UMB- ENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATES	TOTAL VOTES CAST <sup>1</sup>	PERCENT OF VOTE	ELEC- TED		
SAN MATEO (continued)	11/3/2015	Redwood	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Bain	Ian	Incumbent	Yes	6	6,664	31,392	21.2%	Yes		
						Masur	Shelly	Redwood City School District Trustee	Yes	6	5,967	31,392	19.0%	Yes		
						Aguirre	Alicia	Councilmember/Professor	Yes	6	5,896	31,392	18.8%	Yes		
						Borgens	Janet B.	Small Business Owner	No	6	5,601	31,392	17.8%	Yes		
						Foust	Rosanne	Council Member	Yes	6	4,597	31,392	14.6%	No		
						Sole	Tania	Mother	No	6	2,667	31,392	8.5%	No		
		San Bruno	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Medina	Marty	No Ballot Designation	No	3	3,381	8,949	37.8%	Yes		
						O'Connell	Irene	Incumbent	Yes	3	2,963	8,949	33.1%	Yes		
						Salazar	Michael	Appointed Incumbent	Yes	3	2,605	8,949	29.1%	No		
			MAYOR		Full	Ruane	Jim	Mayor	Yes	1	4,466	4,466	100.0%	Yes		
		San Carlos	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Collins	Ron	Local Business Owner	No	2	5,394	10,934	49.3%	Yes		
						Olbert	Mark	Member, San Carlos City Council	Yes	2	5,114	10,934	46.8%	Yes		
						CITY TREASURER	Full	Galvin	Michael	Incumbent	Yes	1	5,794	5,794	100.0%	Yes
		San Mateo	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Papan	Diane	Businesswoman/Nonprofit Director	No	4	9,123	24,341	37.5%	Yes		
						Freschet	Maureen	Councilmember/University Director	Yes	4	8,627	24,341	35.4%	Yes		
						Morgan, II	Thomas Royal	Certified Public Accountant	No	4	3,991	24,341	16.4%	No		
						Schmidt	Karen	No Ballot Designation	No	4	2,600	24,341	10.7%	No		
						Short	Bonilla	Rick	Appointed Incumbent	Yes	1	10,580	10,580	100.0%	Yes	
		So. San Francisco	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Garbarino	Rich	City Council Member	Yes	2	5,607	10,992	51.0%	Yes		
						Matsumoto	Karyl	City Council Member	Yes	2	5,385	10,992	49.0%	Yes		
		Woodside	CITY COUNCIL		Full	1	Yost	Daniel	Attorney	No	1	915	915	100.0%	Yes	
						3	Shaw	Chris	Write-in Candidate, No Ballot Designation	No	2	663	1,248	53.1%	Yes	
							Reyering	Nancy	Businesswoman	No	2	585	1,248	46.9%	No	
						5	Livermore	Tom	Retired Businessman	No	1	939	939	100.0%	Yes	
						7	Mason	Peter	Incumbent	Yes	1	893	893	100.0%	Yes	
		SANTA BARBARA	11/3/2015	Santa Barbara	CITY COUNCIL	1	Full	Dominguez	Jason	Non-Profit Founder/Businessman	No	5	1,103	2,078	53.1%	Yes
								Cohen	Andria Martinez	Small Business Lender	No	5	496	2,078	23.9%	No
								Inda	Jacqueline	Business Consultant	No	5	359	2,078	17.3%	No
Cruz	Cruzito Herrera							Community Social Worker	No	5	91	2,078	4.4%	No		
Merenda	Michael							Entrepreneurial Educator	No	5	29	2,078	1.4%	No		
2	Full					Rowse	Randy	Incumbent	Yes	4	2,130	3,079	69.2%	Yes		
						Esparza	Luis	Attorney	No	4	451	3,079	14.6%	No		
						McSweeney-Zeitsoff	K. Missy	Fire Commissioner/Teacher	No	4	390	3,079	12.7%	No		
						Burke	Robert W.	Community Volunteer	No	4	108	3,079	3.5%	No		

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2015

COUNTY	Date	CITY	OFFICE	DISTRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	INC- UMB- ENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATES	TOTAL VOTES CAST <sup>1</sup>	PERCENT OF VOTE	ELEC- TED		
SANTA BARBARA (continued)	11/3/2015	Santa Barbara	CITY COUNCIL	3	Full	Murillo	Cathy	City Councilmember	Yes	3	941	1,506	62.5%	Yes		
						Byrne	Sharon	Non-Profit Executive Director	No	3	445	1,506	29.5%	No		
						Cardoso	Cristina	Life Coach	No	3	120	1,506	8.0%	No		
SANTA CLARA	4/7/2015	San Jose	CITY COUNCIL	4	Full	Orozco	Tim	Legislative Aide/Educator	No	10	2,243	10,191	22.0%	Runoff		
						Nguyen	Manh	Journalist/Publisher	No	10	1,947	10,191	19.1%	Runoff		
						Diep	Lan	Public Interest Attorney	No	10	1,934	10,191	19.0%	No		
						Dhillon	Bob	Retired Planning Commissioner	No	10	1,157	10,191	11.4%	No		
						Nguyen	Khoa	Governing Board Member, BUSD	No	10	794	10,191	7.8%	No		
						Torres	Alex	Economic Development Consultant	No	10	579	10,191	5.7%	No		
						Nasol	Rudy	Governing Board Member, SJECCD	No	10	504	10,191	4.9%	No		
						Lee	Johnny	Owner/Father	No	10	388	10,191	3.8%	No		
						Chiu	Allen Ming	Community Policy Aide	No	10	325	10,191	3.2%	No		
						Boac	Thelma	Governing Board Member, BUSD	No	10	320	10,191	3.1%	No		
	6/23/2015	San Jose	CITY COUNCIL	4	Full	Nguyen	Manh	Journalist/Publisher	No	2	6,111	10,906	56.0%	Yes		
						Orozco	Tim	Legislative Aide/Educator	No	2	4,795	10,906	44.0%	No		
SANTA CRUZ	No City Contests															
SHASTA	No City Contests															
SIERRA	No City Contests															
SISKIYOU	6/2/2015	Mt. Shasta	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Morter	Kathy	Retired District Ranger	No	3	365	693	52.7%	Yes		
						McCoy	Roslyn	No Ballot Designation	No	3	211	693	30.4%	No		
						Abbasi	Ross	Real Estate Broker	No	3	110	693	15.9%	No		
SOLANO	No City Contests															
SONOMA	No City Contests															
STANISLAUS	11/3/2015	Ceres	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Durossette	Bret	Incumbent	Yes	4	1,807	4,634	39.0%	Yes		
						Kline	Mike	Incumbent	Yes	4	1,713	4,634	37.0%	Yes		
						Cool	Don	Retired	No	4	714	4,634	15.4%	No		
						Yeakley	Melvin E.	Retired	No	4	375	4,634	8.1%	No		
						CITY TREASURER	Full	Herbert	Harry	City Treasurer	Yes	1	2,182	2,224	98.1%	Yes
						MAYOR	Full	Vierra	Chris	Mayor, City of Ceres	Yes	1	2,441	2,510	97.3%	Yes
						Modesto	CITY COUNCIL	1	Full	Grewal	Mani	Business Owner/Farmer	No	3	2,792	4,009
						Gunderson	John Lane	Councilmember/Teacher	No	3	646	4,009	16.1%	No		
						Hurst	Ron	Restaurant Manager	No	3	565	4,009	14.1%	No		

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2015

COUNTY	Date	CITY	OFFICE	DISTRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	INC- UMB- ENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATES	TOTAL VOTES CAST <sup>1</sup>	PERCENT OF VOTE	ELEC- TED
STANISLAUS (continued)	11/3/2015	Modesto	CITY COUNCIL	3	Full	Ah You	Kristi	Business Manager	No	3	2,419	4,728	51.2%	Yes
						Gillum	Patricia	Certified Public Accountant	No	3	1,827	4,728	38.6%	No
						Williams	Joe	Small Business Owner	No	3	464	4,728	9.8%	No
				6	Full	Ridenour, Sr.	Doug	Retired Police Sergeant	No	2	2,336	3,929	59.5%	Yes
						Wright	David	Business Owner	No	2	1,586	3,929	40.4%	No
			MAYOR	Full	Marsh	Garrad	Mayor/Businessman	Yes	5	9,053	23,169	39.1%	Yes	
					Brandvold	Ted	Architect/Small Businessman	No	5	7,027	23,169	30.3%	No	
					Lopez	Dave	Councilmember/Business Owner	No	5	4,667	23,169	20.1%	No	
					Sabatino	Carmen	Businessman	No	5	2,115	23,169	9.1%	No	
					Arreola	Armando	No Ballot Designation	No	5	255	23,169	1.1%	No	
SUTTER	No City Contests													
TEHAMA	No City Contests													
TRINITY	No City Contests													
TULARE	No City Contests													
TUOLUMNE	No City Contests													
VENTURA	6/2/2015	Thousand Oaks	CITY COUNCIL	Short	Roundtree	Dan	Commissioner/Financial Planner	No	4	7,415	21,019	35.3%	Yes	
					McCoy	Rob	Pastor/Educator/Businessman	No	4	6,468	21,019	30.8%	No	
					Jones	Ed	Board Member, CRPD	No	4	6,416	21,019	30.5%	No	
					Rasheed	Chaise Maguire	Retail Support Associate	No	4	520	21,019	2.5%	No	
YOLO	No City Contests													
YUBA	No City Contests													

TABLE 2.2 SUMMARY OF ELECTION OUTCOMES FOR CITY OFFICES, 2015

		City Attorney*		City Clerk		City Council		City Treasurer		Mayor		Total**	
		Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N
Incumbent Candidates	Win	100.0	1	100.0	6	73.9	99	87.5	7	69.2	9	75.3	122
	Lose	0.0	0	0.0	0	26.1	35	12.5	1	30.8	4	24.7	40
	Total	100.0	1	100.0	6	100.0	134	100.0	8	100.0	13	100.0	162
Non-Incumbent Candidates	Win	0.0	0	54.5	6	30.3	118	38.9	7	19.2	5	30.6	136
	Lose	0.0	0	45.5	5	69.7	272	61.1	11	80.8	21	69.4	309
	Total	0.0	0	100.0	11	100.0	390	100.0	18	100.0	26	100.0	445
Winning Candidates	Incumbent	100.0	1	50.0	6	45.6	99	50.0	7	64.3	9	47.3	122
	Non-Incumbent	0.0	0	50.0	6	54.4	118	50.0	7	35.7	5	52.7	136
	Total	100.0	1	100.0	12	100.0	217	100.0	14	100.0	14	100.0	258
Losing Candidates	Incumbent	0.0	0	0.0	0	11.4	35	8.3	1	16.0	4	11.5	40
	Non-Incumbent	0.0	0	100.0	5	88.6	272	91.7	11	84.0	21	88.5	309
	Total	0.0	0	100.0	5	100.0	307	100.0	12	100.0	25	100.0	349
All Candidates	Incumbent	100.0	1	35.3	6	25.6	134	30.8	8	33.3	13	26.7	162
	Non-Incumbent	0.0	0	64.7	11	74.4	390	69.2	18	66.7	26	73.3	445
	Total	10.00	1	100.0	17	100.0	524	100.0	26	100.0	39	100.0	607

\*Includes City Prosecutor.

\*\*We excluded runoffs from totals.

\*\*\*Percent may not sum to 100 due to rounding.