

# CALIFORNIA COUNTY, CITY, AND SCHOOL DISTRICT ELECTION OUTCOMES

## 2016 ELECTIONS

---

---

### County Offices and Ballot Measures

---

---



Institute for Social Research  
Center For California Studies  
California State University,  
Sacramento





CALIFORNIA COUNTY, CITY, AND SCHOOL DISTRICT  
ELECTION OUTCOMES:  
CANDIDATES AND BALLOT MEASURES, 2016 ELECTIONS

COUNTY OFFICES AND BALLOT MEASURES

Steve Boilard, Ph.D.  
Executive Director, Center for California Studies

David Barker, Ph.D.  
Director, Institute for Social Research

Valory Messier, M.A.  
Research Specialist, Institute for Social Research

Mark Johnson, B.A.  
Graduate Research Assistant, Institute for Social Research

Center for California Studies  
California State University, Sacramento  
6000 J Street, Sacramento, CA 95819-6081  
(916) 278-6906 FAX: (916) 278-5199

Institute for Social Research  
California State University, Sacramento  
6000 J Street, Sacramento, CA 95819-6101  
(916) 278-5737 FAX: (916) 278-5150

## *Acknowledgements*

*The researchers would like to sincerely thank the county elections officials and staff throughout the State of California who took time to provide data to the project. Additionally, we are grateful to the Secretary of State's Office for its continuing support and interest in this project. Without their assistance, the completion of these yearly reviews and the California Elections Data Archive would not be possible.*

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

Introduction .....	i
2016 County, City, and School District Election Dates by County .....	iii
Trend Table A Number of Ballot Measures, Percent of Total Measures, and Percent Passing by Type, Jurisdiction, and Year .....	v
Trend Table B Number of Ballot Measures, Percent of Total Measures, and Percent Passing by Topic, Jurisdiction, and Year .....	x
Trend Table C Community Service District, and County Service Area Measures by County .....	xv
Trend Table D Number of Community Service District, and County Service Area Measures, Percent of Total County Measures, and Percent Passing by Type, and Year .....	xvii
Trend Table E Comparison of Pass Rates for County-Wide and Community Service District/County Service Area Tax Measures, 1998-2016.....	xviii
Trend Table F Number of Community Service District, and County Service Area Measures, Percent of Total County Measures, and Percent Passing by Topic, and Year .....	xix
Trend Table G Number of Candidates by Jurisdiction and Year .....	xx
Trend Table H Number of Candidates for Major County Offices by Year.....	xx
Trend Table I Percent of Incumbent Candidates, and Percent of Prevailing Incumbents by Major Office, Jurisdiction, and Year .....	xxii
2016 Election Series Summary: Election Outcomes for County, City, and School District Ballot Measures, and Candidates .....	1
Table A Summary of Outcomes for All County, City, and School District Ballot Measures by Type of Measure, and County, 2016 .....	3
Table B Summary of Outcomes for All County, City, and School District Ballot Measures by Type of Measure, and County, 2016 .....	5
Table C Summary of Election Outcomes for All County, City, and School District Offices, 2014 .....	7
Part 1 Vote Totals, Election Outcomes and Text for County Ballot Measures .....	9
Table 1.1 Vote Totals for County Ballot Measures by County, 2016 .....	11
Table 1.2 Text for County Ballot Measures by County, 2016 .....	17
Table 1.3 Summary of Election Outcomes for County Ballot Measures by Type of Measure, and County, 2016 .....	30
Table 1.4 Summary of Election Outcomes for County Ballot Measures by Topic of Measure, and County, 2016 .....	32
Part 2 Vote Totals for County Office Candidates .....	35
Table 2.1 Vote Totals for County Office Candidates by County, and Election Date, 2016.....	37
Table 2.2 Summary of Election Outcomes for County Offices, 2016 .....	65



# CALIFORNIA ELECTIONS DATA ARCHIVE

## INTRODUCTION

The California Elections Data Archive (CEDA) is a joint project of the Center for California Studies, and the Institute for Social Research (ISR), at the California State University, Sacramento, and the office of the California Secretary of State. The purpose of CEDA is to provide researchers, citizens, public agencies, and other interested parties with a single repository of local election data. With over 6,000 local jurisdictions in California, the task of monitoring local elections is nearly impossible for individuals. CEDA addresses this problem through the creation of a single, cost-effective, and easily accessible source of local election data. CEDA includes candidate, and ballot measure results for county, city, community college, and school district elections throughout the State. CEDA thus represents the only comprehensive repository of local election results in California and one of a very few such databases on local elections in the U.S.

### How the CEDA Data is Collected and Reported

ISR staff collects election data periodically throughout each calendar year. This enables CEDA to incorporate results from special elections as well as all regularly scheduled elections. ISR staff enters election results from counties, cities, community colleges, and school districts into the CEDA database and then uses this database to generate three standard CEDA reports. These reports include:

- County Elections: Candidates, ballot designations, and vote totals for all elected county offices; vote totals and text for county ballot measures.
- City Elections: Candidates, ballot designations, and vote totals for all elected city offices; vote totals, and text for all city ballot measures.
- Community College and School District Elections: Candidates, ballot designations, and vote totals for all elective community college and school district offices; vote totals and text for all district ballot measures.

ISR staff codes ballot measures for all jurisdictions according to type (e.g., charter amendment, taxes, bond measure, initiative, etc.) and to topic (e.g., education, public safety, governance, etc.).

## **THE CEDA PARTNERSHIP**

### **THE CENTER FOR CALIFORNIA STUDIES**

Located at California State University, Sacramento, the Center for California Studies is a public policy, public service, and curricular support unit of the California State University. The Center's location in the state Capital and its ability to draw upon the resources of the entire State University system give it a unique capacity for making contributions to public policy development, and the public life of California. Center programs cover four broad areas: administration of the nationally known Assembly, Senate, Executive, and Judicial Administration Fellowship Programs; university-state government liaison and applied policy research; civic education and community service through forums, conferences, and issue dialogues; and curricular support activity in the interdisciplinary field of California Studies.

### **INSTITUTE FOR SOCIAL RESEARCH**

Established in 1989, the Institute for Social Research (ISR) is a multidisciplinary institute that is committed to advancing the understanding of the social world through applied research. The Institute offers research expertise and technical assistance serving as a resource to agencies, organizations, the University, and the broader community. Services provided by the Institute include research and sampling design, measurement, coding and data entry, computer assisted telephone and field interviewing, mailed and Internet surveys, focus groups, data base management, statistical analysis, and report production. ISR has completed numerous projects with more than 50 federal, state and community agencies, several private firms, and many administrative units of the university. Faculty affiliates of the Institute offer specific content expertise in a wide variety of disciplines, including the social sciences, health and human services, engineering, and education.

### **CALIFORNIA SECRETARY OF STATE**

Among their other duties, the Secretary of State acts as California's chief elections officer with the responsibility of administering the provisions of the Elections Code. The Secretary must compile state election returns, and issue certificates of election to winning candidates; compile the returns and certify the results of initiative and referendum elections; certify acts delayed by referendum, and prepare and file a statement of vote. Recent legislation permits but does not mandate that the Secretary of State compile local election results.



2016 COUNTY, CITY, AND SCHOOL DISTRICT ELECTION DATES BY COUNTY

	2/2	2/9	2/23	3/1	4/12	4/19	5/3	6/7	6/28	8/16	8/23	8/30	10/11	11/8
Alameda								✓						✓
Alpine								✓						✓
Amador								✓						✓
Butte								✓						✓
Calaveras								✓						✓
Colusa								✓						✓
Contra Costa								✓						✓
Del Norte								✓						✓
El Dorado								✓						✓
Fresno					✓			✓						✓
Glenn								✓						✓
Humboldt								✓						✓
Imperial								✓						✓
Inyo								✓						✓
Kern								✓						✓
Kings								✓						✓
Lake								✓						✓
Lassen							✓	✓						✓
Los Angeles			✓	✓	✓			✓					✓	✓
Madera								✓						✓
Marin							✓	✓						✓
Mariposa								✓						✓
Mendocino								✓						✓
Merced								✓			✓			✓
Modoc							✓	✓						✓
Mono								✓						✓
Monterey					✓	✓		✓						✓
Napa								✓						✓
Nevada								✓						✓
Orange		✓		✓				✓						✓
Placer							✓	✓						✓
Plumas								✓	✓					✓
Riverside					✓			✓						✓
Sacramento								✓						✓

## 2016 COUNTY, CITY, AND SCHOOL DISTRICT ELECTION DATES BY COUNTY

	2/2	2/9	2/23	3/1	4/12	4/19	5/3	6/7	6/28	8/16	8/23	8/30	10/11	11/8
San Benito								✓						✓
San Bernardino	✓							✓						✓
San Diego			✓					✓						✓
San Francisco								✓						✓
San Joaquin								✓						✓
San Luis Obispo								✓						✓
San Mateo							✓	✓						✓
Santa Barbara								✓						✓
Santa Clara							✓	✓		✓				✓
Santa Cruz							✓	✓						✓
Shasta								✓						✓
Sierra								✓						✓
Siskiyou								✓						✓
Solano								✓						✓
Sonoma								✓				✓		✓
Stanislaus	✓							✓						✓
Sutter								✓						✓
Tehama								✓						✓
Trinity								✓						✓
Tulare								✓						✓
Tuolumne								✓						✓
Ventura								✓						✓
Yolo								✓						✓
Yuba								✓						✓

TREND TABLE A NUMBER OF BALLOT MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TYPE, JURISDICTION, AND YEAR

	All Measures			Bonds			Taxes			Ordinance			Recalls			Initiatives			Charter Amendment		
	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing
All Measures																					
1995-2016	412	100	66	111	27	72	124	30	59	85	21	62	15	4	73	10	2	48	49	12	76
Even Years	608	100	57	145	24	72	153	25	55	116	19	61	14	2	70	12	2	51	63	10	75
Odd Years	217	100	63	49	23	61	70	32	64	41	19	61	14	7	74	5	2	46	27	13	77
County																					
1995-2016	67	16	58	2	3	72	27	40	45	20	30	64	2	3	75	3	4	44	7	11	66
Even Years	105	17	47	2	2	65	37	36	41	29	28	62	2	2	50	4	4	49	10	10	66
Odd Years	28	13	67	2	5	76	10	37	54	8	29	71	2	9	89	1	3	50	2	8	71
City																					
1995-2016	204	49	65	5	2	60	72	35	63	59	29	60	7	3	73	7	4	49	42	21	78
Even Years	301	49	56	76	2	6	92	31	59	891	27	5	95	3	7	90	3	5	575	17	7
Odd Years	107	50	65	2	2	48	36	34	65	28	26	56	5	4	76	4	4	43	25	23	78
School District																					
1995-2016	142	34	71	103	73	72	25	18	65	6	4	80	6	4	71	0	0	50			
Even Years	172	28	72	136	79	72	24	14	63	7	4	81	4	2	76						
Odd Years	81	37	64	45	55	61	23	29	66	5	6	77	7	9	67	0	0	100			

TREND TABLE A NUMBER OF BALLOT MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TYPE, JURISDICTION, AND YEAR (CONTINUED)

	All Measures			Bonds			Taxes			Ordinance			Recalls			Initiatives			Charter Amendment			
	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	
All Measures	1995	283	100	37	91	36	47	26	10	35	46	18	61	8	3	88	8	3	50	55	22	93
	1996	573	100	57	64	11	59	142	25	40	176	31	58	32	6	72	18	3	39	115	20	73
	1997	342	100	60	127	37	59	100	29	56	45	13	69	29	8	38	7	2	71	31	9	81
	1998	572	100	61	144	25	58	162	28	48	115	20	58	19	3	74	9	2	56	94	16	77
	1999	283	100	60	107	38	59	54	19	57	68	24	57	14	5	71	10	4	40	20	7	50
	2000	559	100	58	135	24	60	122	22	39	154	28	58	11	2	100	21	4	67	79	14	67
	2001	233	100	70	73	31	75	68	29	72	33	14	58	21	9	71	1	0	100	25	11	60
	2002	657	100	68	245	37	76	155	24	54	136	21	54	8	1	63	10	2	40	77	12	77
	2003	178	100	63	22	12	55	62	35	48	47	26	70	9	5	89	5	3	40	24	13	75
	2004	712	100	63	179	25	75	258	36	47	144	20	64	11	2	73	14	2	29	72	10	79
	2005	295	100	64	57	19	74	111	38	58	59	20	54	11	4	82	7	2	43	35	12	89
	2006	556	100	62	185	33	59	142	26	56	123	22	63	17	3	29	22	4	36	39	7	82
	2007	179	100	72	22	12	55	61	34	74	40	22	58	13	7	100	1	1	0	38	21	79
	2008	593	100	75	201	34	82	188	32	67	123	21	65	12	2	58	11	2	91	39	7	90
	2009	193	100	63	6	3	33	99	51	67	35	18	63	13	7	69	3	2	33	20	10	60
	2010	482	100	67	97	20	70	164	34	60	117	24	67	27	6	78	11	2	55	50	10	76
	2011	172	100	72	10	6	80	75	44	67	29	17	72	16	9	75	2	1	0	31	18	81
2012	530	100	72	156	29	81	178	34	69	112	21	62	14	3	93	2	0	50	51	10	63	
2013	137	100	72	11	8	73	65	47	78	34	25	50	8	6	88	4	3	100	11	8	73	
2014	577	100	73	193	33	81	175	30	69	81	14	72	7	1	57	14	2	57	72	12	76	
2015	120	100	74	13	11	77	47	39	79	20	17	75	14	12	100	9	8	22	11	9	64	
2016	872	100	79	294	34	91	279	32	73	138	16	72	10	1	90	37	4	41	86	10	83	

TREND TABLE A NUMBER OF BALLOT MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TYPE, JURISDICTION, AND YEAR (CONTINUED)

	All Measures			Bonds			Taxes			Ordinance			Recalls			Initiatives			Charter Amendment			
	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	
County Measures	1995	17	7	53			6	35	33	2	12	0				6	35	83				
	1996	114	20	44	3	3	33	34	30	26	41	36	54	5	4	80	7	6	14	17	15	47
	1997	24	7	63	7	29	57	7	29	71	4	17	100	2	8	50				4	17	25
	1998	125	22	59	1	1	0	53	42	40	32	26	75				4	3	25	25	20	76
	1999	38	13	63	1	3	100	21	55	48	8	21	63							4	11	100
	2000	116	21	49	6	5	83	51	44	27	28	24	50				8	7	88	8	7	38
	2001	37	16	73	3	8	100	14	38	71	11	30	64	4	11	75				1	3	0
	2002	98	15	56	5	5	20	38	39	45	39	40	67	1	1	0	2	2	50	7	7	71
	2003	28	16	64				12	43	25	15	54	100	1	4	0						
	2004	140	20	54	0	2	0	60	43	45	47	34	62	1	1	0	4	3	25	18	13	56
	2005	57	19	63	3	5	67	24	42	67	16	28	56	3	5	100	3	5	67	2	4	50
	2006	95	17	52				45	47	40	30	32	60	4	4	25	2	2	50	6	6	83
	2007	29	16	76	1	3	100	3	10	67	16	55	63	8	28	100						
	2008	90	15	62	3	3	100	33	37	42	40	44	65	1	1	100	2	2	100	4	4	100
	2009	16	8	69				4	25	50	6	38	67	1	6	100				2	13	100
	2010	64	13	53	3	5	67	25	39	48	22	34	59	4	6	50	2	3	50	6	9	50
	2011	20	12	80	1	5	100	7	35	71	4	20	75	2	10	100				3	15	67
	2012	76	14	63	1	1	100	39	51	59	20	26	60	1	1	100	2	3	50	10	13	70
2013	12	9	75				5	42	40				1	8	100	3	25	100	1	8	100	
2014	84	15	57	4	5	100	33	39	36	19	23	74	1	1	0	8	10	50	12	14	92	
2015	34	28	62	1	3	100	11	32	45	8	24	88	5	15	100	4	12	0	1	3	100	
2016	151	17	59	4	3	100	68	45	56	38	25	66	3	2	100	12	8	25	17	11	59	

TREND TABLE A NUMBER OF BALLOT MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TYPE, JURISDICTION, AND YEAR (CONTINUED)

	All Measures			Bonds			Taxes			Ordinance			Recalls			Initiatives			Charter Amendment				
	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate		
City Measures	1995	119	47	71	4	3	75	7	6	29	38	32	58					7	6	43	49	41	94
	1996	374	65	60	10	3	30	100	27	43	115	31	59	24	6	79	11	3	55	98	26	78	
	1997	144	42	58	2	1	50	70	49	50	28	19	54	9	6	22	7	5	71	27	19	89	
	1998	283	49	60	9	3	78	99	35	47	78	28	53	7	2	43	5	2	80	69	24	77	
	1999	114	40	54	4	4	75	22	19	55	48	42	48	8	7	100	10	9	40	16	14	38	
	2000	297	53	60	11	4	82	65	22	45	113	38	56	6	2	100	13	4	54	71	24	70	
	2001	93	40	69	8	9	63	31	33	74	18	19	61	3	3	100	1	1	100	24	26	63	
	2002	309	47	60	12	4	83	102	33	58	94	30	48	5	2	60	8	3	38	70	23	77	
	2003	89	50	67	2	2	50	14	16	71	29	33	55	6	7	100	5	6	40	24	27	75	
	2004	337	47	59	7	2	43	147	44	46	92	27	63	6	2	67	10	3	30	54	16	87	
	2005	135	46	61	2	1	0	47	35	55	37	27	51	3	2	33	4	3	25	33	24	91	
	2006	253	46	64	10	4	50	82	32	70	85	34	61	6	2	17	20	8	35	33	13	82	
	2007	108	60	71	2	2	0	40	37	73	19	18	53	5	5	100	1	1	0	38	35	79	
	2008	258	44	73	5	2	100	111	43	71	80	31	65	8	3	38	9	3	89	35	14	89	
	2009	130	67	61	1	1	0	63	48	68	28	22	61	3	2	33	3	2	33	18	14	56	
	2010	270	56	71	2	1	0	95	35	69	91	34	67	16	6	94	8	3	63	44	16	80	
	2011	105	61	74				37	35	65	23	22	70	10	10	100	2	2	0	28	27	82	
2012	248	47	67	5	2	20	93	38	72	83	33	58	12	5	92				41	17	61		
2013	90	66	68				44	49	77	30	33	53	3	3	67	1	1	100	10	11	70		
2014	285	49	71	5	2	100	121	42	72	60	21	70	5	2	60	6	2	67	60	21	73		
2015	54	45	70	2	4	0	23	43	87	12	22	67				5	9	40	10	19	60		
2016	393	45	77	9	2	67	174	44	79	96	24	74	1	0	100	25	6	48	69	18	88		

TREND TABLE A NUMBER OF BALLOT MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TYPE, JURISDICTION, AND YEAR (CONTINUED)

	All Measures			Bonds			Taxes			Ordinance			Recalls			Initiatives			Charter Amendment			
	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	
School District Measures	1995	117	46	52	87	74	46	13	11	38	6	5	100	8	7	88	1	1	100	117	46	52
	1996	85	15	62	51	60	67	8	9	63	20	24	60	3	4	0				85	15	62
	1997	174	51	62	118	68	59	23	13	70	13	7	92	18	10	44				174	51	62
	1998	164	29	62	134	82	57	10	6	100	5	3	40	12	7	92				164	29	62
	1999	131	46	62	102	78	58	11	8	82	12	9	92	6	5	33				131	46	62
	2000	146	26	63	118	81	57	6	4	67	13	9	92	5	3	100				146	26	63
	2001	103	44	71	62	60	76	23	22	70	4	4	25	14	14	64				103	44	71
	2002	250	38	76	228	91	77	15	6	53	3	1	100	2	1	100				250	38	76
	2003	61	34	52	20	33	55	36	59	47	3	5	67	2	3	100				61	34	52
	2004	235	33	73	172	73	77	51	22	53	5	2	100	4	2	100				235	33	73
	2005	103	35	69	52	50	77	40	39	55	6	6	67	5	5	100				103	35	69
	2006	208	37	58	175	84	59	15	7	27	8	4	88	7	3	43				208	37	58
	2007	42	23	67	19	45	58	18	43	78	5	12	60							42	23	67
	2008	245	41	80	193	79	81	44	18	75	3	1	67	3	1	100				245	41	80
	2009	47	24	66	5	11	40	32	68	66	1	2	100	9	19	78				47	24	66
	2010	148	31	64	92	62	72	44	30	45	4	3	100	7	5	57	1	1	0	148	31	64
	2011	47	27	64	9	19	78	31	66	68	2	4	100	4	9	0				47	27	64
	2012	206	39	82	150	73	83	46	22	72	9	4	100	1	0	100				206	39	82
2013	35	26	80	11	31	73	16	46	94	4	11	25	4	11	100				35	26	80	
2014	208	36	83	184	88	80	21	10	100	2	1	100	1	0	100				208	36	83	
2015	32	27	94	10	31	90	13	41	92	0	0	0	9	28	100				32	27	94	
2016	328	38	90	281	86	92	37	11	78	4	0	0	6	2	83							

TREND TABLE B NUMBER OF BALLOT MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TOPIC, JURISDICTION, AND YEAR

	All Measures			Education			Governance			Land Use			Public Safety			Public Facilities			General Services			Transportation			Revenue		
	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing
All Measures																											
1995-2016	412	100	66	142	34	72	90	22	70	34	8	56	24	6	57	19	5	56	13	3	64	11	3	53	57	14	67
Even Years	608	100	67	203	33	74	131	22	69	51	8	58	37	6	57	29	5	54	17	3	65	17	3	55	88	14	64
Odd Years	217	100	65	81	37	64	49	23	73	17	8	50	11	5	56	9	4	63	10	5	63	5	2	48	27	12	74
County																											
1995-2016	67	16	58	1	1	82	18	27	69	8	12	44	8	12	46	7	11	52	4	6	65	7	11	55	8	12	50
Even Years	105	17	55	1	1	71	27	26	66	14	13	45	13	13	45	11	10	46	6	5	62	11	11	53	13	12	49
Odd Years	28	13	67	0	1	100	9	31	79	2	9	41	3	11	51	3	12	72	3	10	70	3	10	63	3	10	55
City																											
1995-2016	204	50	65	1	1	72	72	35	70	26	13	60	16	8	62	12	6	59	9	4	65	4	2	51	49	24	69
Even Years	301	49	66	2	1	78	104	35	70	37	12	64	24	8	64	18	6	59	11	4	68	6	2	58	74	25	67
Odd Years	108	50	65	1	1	57	39	37	72	15	14	52	8	7	58	5	5	59	7	7	60	2	2	27	24	22	76
School District																											
1995-2016	142	34	71	139	98	68	1	1	68							0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	50			
Even Years	202	33	74	197	98	70	0	0	40										0	0	0	0	0	50			
Odd Years	81	37	64	80	98	64	1	2	79							0	0	0									



TREND TABLE B NUMBER OF BALLOT MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TOPIC, JURISDICTION, AND YEAR (CONTINUED)

	All Measures			Education			Governance			Land Use			Public Safety			Public Facilities			General Services			Transportation			Revenue			
	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	
All Measures	1995	253	100	61	121	48	54	63	25	84	16	6	63	12	5	50	14	6	50				2	1	0	5	2	60
	1996	573	100	57	87	15	64	214	37	66	54	9	56	39	7	51	38	7	37	10	2	40	8	1	50	87	15	46
	1997	342	100	60	175	51	62	43	13	67	19	6	68	12	4	42	15	4	40	38	11	61	4	1	50	10	3	70
	1998	572	100	60	158	28	63	131	23	64	46	8	70	41	7	49	32	6	56	28	5	82	23	4	70	75	13	43
	1999	283	100	59	119	42	59	62	22	63	29	10	41	14	5	57	4	1	75	14	5	57	8	3	88	23	8	65
	2000	559	100	59	151	27	63	141	25	64	73	13	55	32	6	50	39	7	67	20	4	55	21	4	43	5	1	20
	2001	233	100	70	105	45	71	46	20	67	7	3	71	11	5	73	19	8	58	7	3	71	4	2	25	31	13	87
	2002	657	100	65	250	38	76	144	22	66	44	7	43	42	6	57	35	5	49	20	3	60	10	2	40	85	13	62
	2003	178	100	62	61	34	52	52	29	73	15	8	60	12	7	50	5	3	60	6	3	100	8	4	38	13	7	62
	2004	712	100	62	238	33	72	139	20	73	58	8	52	55	8	47	37	5	38	23	3	70	25	4	76	110	15	47
	2005	295	100	64	102	35	70	61	21	70	28	9	39	18	6	44	14	5	64	18	6	67	13	4	62	33	11	70
	2006	556	100	60	208	37	58	109	20	60	51	9	61	37	7	73	22	4	41	12	2	58	22	4	50	61	11	62
	2007	179	100	71	42	23	67	63	35	81	18	10	39	5	3	100	8	4	88	7	4	86	4	2	25	31	17	68
	2008	593	100	74	246	41	80	99	17	74	43	7	72	39	7	49	32	5	66	10	2	80	14	2	50	92	16	77
	2009	193	100	63	47	24	66	42	22	64	17	9	47	10	5	60	7	4	86	8	4	25	2	1	0	56	29	71
	2010	482	100	66	149	31	64	138	29	74	30	6	47	27	6	67	12	2	75	9	2	56	7	1	71	95	20	65
	2011	172	100	72	48	28	65	59	12	81	8	2	75	9	2	56	5	1	100	4	1	50	2	0	50	34	7	74
2012	530	100	72	209	39	82	98	20	71	23	5	57	13	3	38	23	5	57	11	2	73	12	2	50	99	21	79	
2013	137	100	72	35	26	80	28	6	71	14	3	50	9	2	67				4	1	50	4	1	50	39	8	79	
2014	577	100	73	208	36	83	114	24	75	45	9	60	33	7	70	20	4	85	13	3	85	19	4	47	104	22	64	
2015	120	100	74	32	27	94	23	5	83	17	4	35	8	2	50	5	1	60	2	0	100	3	1	33	21	4	86	
2016	872	100	79	331	38	90	116	24	78	99	21	61	53	11	70	30	6	50	26	5	54	29	6	48	150	31	85	

TREND TABLE B NUMBER OF BALLOT MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TOPIC, JURISDICTION, AND YEAR (CONTINUED)

	All Measures			Education			Governance			Land Use			Public Safety			Public Facilities			General Services			Transportation			Revenue					
	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing			
County Measures	1995	17	7	53						7	41	71	3	18	33				3	18	67				1	6	0	3	18	33
	1996	114	20	44	1	1	100	44	39	59	12	11	33	8	7	38	16	14	13	1	1	100	4	4	75	16	14	31		
	1997	24	7	63	1	4	100	5	21	60	3	13	100	2	8	0	5	21	40	5	21	80	1	4	100	1	4	0		
	1998	125	22	59				25	20	76	13	10	62	14	11	36	12	10	33	18	14	72	16	13	75	12	10	25		
	1999	38	13	63				5	13	80				3	8	33	3	8	67	7	18	29	8	21	88	7	18	86		
	2000	116	21	49	1	1	100	22	19	64	17	15	35	14	12	36	16	14	44	8	7	63	16	14	44	3	3	33		
	2001	37	16	73	2	5	100	12	32	58	1	3	100	7	19	100	6	16	67	4	11	75	1	3	0	4	11	75		
	2002	98	15	56				34	35	71	7	7	71	15	15	33	11	11	36	7	7	57	5	5	40	12	12	67		
	2003	28	16	64				10	36	90				5	18	40				2	7	100	2	7	50	6	21	17		
	2004	140	20	54	3	2	33	32	23	66	14	10	14	22	16	50	13	9	54	4	3	50	21	15	76	17	12	41		
	2005	57	19	63				12	21	67	6	11	33	6	11	33	8	14	75	9	16	78	9	16	78	4	7	50		
	2006	95	17	52				28	29	54	10	11	70	11	12	55	8	8	38	2	2	50	15	16	40	12	13	33		
	2007	29	16	76				14	48	93	5	17	0				5	17	100	2	7	100	2	7	50					
	2008	90	15	62	1	1	100	25	28	76	7	8	86	14	16	43	14	16	50	4	4	75	7	8	57	11	12	64		
	2009	16	8	69				7	44	86	3	19	33				3	19	100				1	6	0	2	13	50		
	2010	64	13	53				23	36	57	6	9	50	12	19	50	2	3	100	1	2	0	5	8	80	11	17	45		
	2011	20	12	80	1	5	100	10	50	80				5	25	60	1	5	100				1	5	100	2	10	100		
2012	76	14	63	1	1	100	19	25	74	7	9	43	7	9	43	12	16	67	1	1	100	5	7	40	18	24	72			
2013	12	2	75				4	33	100	2	17	100	2	17	50							3	25	33						
2014	84	16	57				20	24	85	15	18	60	10	12	50	9	11	78	3	4	33	11	13	27	9	11	22			
2015	34	28	62				12	35	83	4	12	25	5	15	40	2	6	50	1	3	100	3	9	33	2	6	50			
2016	151	17	59				28	19	54	44	29	34	21	14	57	7	5	57	12	8	58	18	12	33	19	13	74			

TREND TABLE B NUMBER OF BALLOT MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TOPIC, JURISDICTION, AND YEAR (CONTINUED)

	All Measures			Education			Governance			Land Use			Public Safety			Public Facilities			General Services			Transportation			Revenue			
	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	
City Measures	1995	119	47	71	4	3	100	56	47	86	13	11	69	12	10	50	11	9	45				1	1	0	2	2	100
	1996	374	65	60	2	1	100	170	45	68	42	11	62	31	8	55	22	6	55	8	2	38	4	1	25	71	19	49
	1997	144	42	58				38	26	68	16	11	63	10	7	50	10	7	40	33	23	58	3	2	33	9	6	78
	1998	283	49	60				101	36	62	33	12	73	27	10	56	20	7	70	10	4	100	7	2	57	62	22	47
	1999	114	40	54				45	39	53	29	25	41	11	10	64	1	1	100	7	6	86				16	14	56
	2000	297	53	60	7	2	71	119	40	64	56	19	61	18	6	61	23	8	83	12	4	50	5	2	40	2	1	0
	2001	93	40	69	3	3	0	33	35	73	6	6	67	4	4	25	11	12	64	3	3	67	3	3	33	27	29	89
	2002	309	47	60	1	0	0	110	36	65	37	12	38	27	9	70	24	8	54	13	4	62	5	2	40	72	23	63
	2003	89	50	67				42	47	69	15	17	60	7	8	57	5	6	60	4	4	100	6	7	33	7	8	100
	2004	337	47	59	2	1	100	107	32	75	44	13	64	33	10	45	24	7	29	19	6	74	4	1	75	91	27	47
	2005	135	46	61				48	36	73	22	16	41	12	9	50	6	4	50	9	7	56	4	3	25	29	21	72
	2006	253	46	64				81	32	62	41	16	59	26	10	81	14	6	43	10	4	60	7	3	71	49	19	69
	2007	108	60	71				49	45	78	13	12	54	5	5	100	3	3	67	5	5	80	2	2	0	31	29	68
	2008	258	44	73				74	29	73	36	14	69	25	10	52	18	7	78	6	2	83	7	3	43	81	31	79
	2009	130	67	61				35	27	60	14	11	50	10	8	60	4	3	75	8	6	25	1	1	0	54	42	72
	2010	270	56	71	1	0	100	115	43	77				15	6	80	10	4	70	8	3	63	2	1	50	84	31	68
	2011	107	62	75				51	48	82	8	7	75	4	4	50	4	4	100	4	4	50	1	1	0	32	30	72
	2012	248	47	67	2	1	100	89	36	63	16	6	63	6	2	33	11	4	45	10	4	70	7	3	57	81	33	80
2013	90	17	68				24	10	67	12	5	42	7	3	71				4	2	50	1	0	100	39	16	79	
2014	285	54	71				94	38	72	30	12	60	23	9	78	11	4	91	10	4	100	8	3	75	95	38	68	
2015	54	45	70				11	4	82	13	5	38	3	1	67	3	1	67	1	0	100				19	8	89	
2016	393	45	77	3	0	67	88	35	85	70	28	64	32	13	78	23	9	48	14	6	50	11	0	73	131	53	86	



TREND TABLE C COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT, AND COUNTY SERVICE AREA MEASURES BY COUNTY

	1998			1999			2000			2001			2002			2003			2004			2005			2006			2007			
	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	
Butte	2	67	100				1	100	100																						
Calaveras																					3	100	100				1	50	0		
Contra Costa	2	67	50				4	80	25	1	100	100	2	100	50				3	60	67	3	100	100	1	33	100	2	100	100	
El Dorado	2	12	50				7	78	29				1	50	100	6	100	17	2	20	100	14	88	64	2	100	0	3	100	100	
Fresno	1	50	100																												
Humboldt																			1	25	100	2	100	0							
Imperial																					1	50	100								
Inyo							1	50	100																						
Kern	6	100	50				2	100	0	4	100	75									3	100	33			1	33	100			
Lake																					1	100	0								
Lassen							1	33	0				4	80	25						1	100	100			1	100	0			
Los Angeles																															
Marin				4	100	100	5	100	80	10	91	90	3	100	100	1	100	100				2	100	100	4	100	100	1	100	100	
Mendocino				1	100	0							1	100	0						1	50	100								
Modoc																															
Monterey													1	100	0																
Nevada							1	100	100																						
Orange	1	100	100													1	100	100													
Placer	1	33	100																												
Plumas							1	100	100	2	100	100				1	50	100													
Riverside	2	40	50	8	100	38				2	100	0	2	67	50	2	100	0	2	100	100										
Sacramento	2	40	100				3	75	33																						
San Bernardino	2	100	50				3	100	67				1	100	0	1	100	0	1	50	0				1	33	100				
San Diego	10	83	30				3	60	33	1	100	100	2	40	0				3	33	33				1	20	100				
San Joaquin																											1	100	100		
San Luis Obispo				5	100	100	1	33	0				1	50	100				4	67	50	4	100	100	5	83	40				
San Mateo																					1	14	100								
Santa Barbara										1	100	0													1	25	0				
Santa Cruz																						1	100	0							
Shasta							1	100	0																						
Siskiyou							2	100	100												5	83	0			2	100	0			
Sonoma							1	20	100	1	100	100				1	100	100							1	50	0				
Stanislaus																									1	50	0				
Sutter				1	100	0																			1	100	0				
Trinity																									2	100	100				
Tulare																					1	100	100	1	100	100					
Tuolumne				1	100	0	1	33	0																						
Yolo																															
Yuba							2	67	50												1	25	0								
Total for CSD/CSA Measure Over All Counties	31	25	55	20	53	60	40	34	48	22	59	77	18	18	44	13	46	38	30	21	50	31	54	74	24	25	50	8	28	88	

TREND TABLE D COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT, AND COUNTY SERVICE AREA MEASURES BY COUNTY (CONTINUED)

	2008			2009			2010			2011			2012			2013			2014			2015			2016			1998-2016				
	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing		
Butte																														3	33	100
Calaveras												1	50	100												1	20	0	6	43	67	
Contra Costa	3	100	67				1	50	100	2	100	100	1	100	100											1	33	100	26	74	73	
El Dorado	1	50	0	1	100	0	3	75	67				1	50	0	2	100	50	5	45	60	5	83	40	4	50	0	59	58	46		
Fresno										2	100	100																	3	21	100	
Humboldt	1	100	0							1	100	100				1	100	100											6	46	50	
Imperial																		2	100	0									3	30	33	
Inyo																													1	13	100	
Kern							1	100	0						1	25	0					1	50	100	1	50	0	20	69	45		
Lake	1	100	100																										2	33	50	
Lassen												1	100	0				3	75	33	2	100	100	2	67	100	15	71	47			
Los Angeles															1	2	100									4	67	100	5	11	100	
Marin	3	75	100	1	100	100	7	88	43	3	100	100	1	50	100	1	11	100	1	25	100	2	22	100				49	63	88		
Mendocino													1	33	0														4	33	25	
Modoc																		2	0	0	2	100	0	2	100	100	6	0	50			
Monterey																													1	9	0	
Nevada													1	100	0				1	50	0								3	38	33	
Orange																													2	11	100	
Placer	1	33	0										1	100	0														3	25	33	
Plumas										1	100	0										4	100	100	1	100	100	10	83	90		
Riverside							1	25	0																				20	77	40	
Sacramento	1	100	100																										6	35	67	
San Bernardino	1	50	100	1	100	100				1	100	100				1	9	0				2	40	0	3	100	67	18	51	50		
San Diego																			1	100	0				1	33	0	22	43	32		
San Joaquin																													2	33	100	
San Luis Obispo													1	50	100								1	100	100				22	76	73	
San Mateo	1	25	100										1	100	100											1	33	100	4	14	100	
Santa Barbara				1	100	100							1	14	100											2	67	50	5	29	40	
Santa Cruz																													1	11	0	
Shasta				1	100	100	2	100	0																				4	100	25	
Siskiyou	3	75	33																1	33	0				2	29	50	16	59	25		
Sonoma													1	100	0														4	22	75	
Stanislaus	1	25	0																										2	25	0	
Sutter																													2	22	0	
Trinity																													2	40	100	
Tulare																													3	75	100	
Tuolumne	1	100	100										1	100	100														4	40	25	
Yolo				1	100	100							1	100	0														1	33	100	
Yuba																													3	25	33	
Total for CSD/CSA Measure Over All Counties	18	20	61	6	38	83	15	23	40	10	50	90	14	18	50	7	58	57	16	19	38	19	56	12	25	17	60	368	47	57		

TREND TABLE D NUMBER OF COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT, AND COUNTY SERVICE AREA MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL COUNTY MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TYPE, AND YEAR

	ALL CSD/CSA			TAXES			BONDS			ADVISORY			RECALLS			GANN LIMIT			ORDINANCE		
	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate
1998	31	25	55	22	18	45									1	1	0	8	6	88	
1999	20	53	60	16	42	56									3	8	100	1	3	0	
2000	40	34	48	28	24	29	1	1	100	3	3	67			6	5	100	2	2	100	
2001	22	59	77	12	32	75	2	5	100				3	8	100	3	8	100	2	5	0
2002	18	18	44	14	14	36									4	4	75				
2003	13	46	38	11	39	27												2	7	100	
2004	30	21	50	24	17	42				1	1	100			2	1	100	3	2	67	
2005	31	54	74	23	40	65	2	4	100				3	5	100	1	2	100	2	4	100
2006	24	25	50	15	16	47							4	4	25	1	1	100	4	4	75
2007	8	28	88	3	10	67							3	10	100	1	3	100	1	3	100
2008	18	20	61	11	12	45				1	1	0			4	4	100	2	2	100	
2009	6	38	83	3	19	67							1	6	100	1	6	100	1	6	100
2010	15	23	40	11	17	36							4	6	50						
2011	10	50	90	4	20	75				1	5	100	2	10	100	2	10	100	1	5	100
2012	14	18	50	11	14	36							1	1	100				2	3	100
2013	7	58	57	5	42	40				1	0	100			1	8	100				
2014	16	19	38	13	15	31	2	0	100						1	1	0				
2015	19	56	63	9	26	56				2	0	0	5	15	100	1	3	100	2	6	50
2016	25	17	60	17	11	47				1	1	0	2	1	100	1	1	100	4	3	100
1998-2016	369	32	57	254	22	46	7	1	100	10	1	50	28	2	82	33	3	91	37	3	81

TREND TABLE E COMPARISON OF PASS RATES FOR COUNTY-WIDE, AND COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT/ COUNTY SERVICE AREA TAX MEASURES, 1998-2016

	Total Number of County Measures	NON-CSD/CSA COUNTY-WIDE MEASURES		CSD/CSA MEASURES		NON-CSD/CSA COUNTY-WIDE TAX MEASURES		CSD/CSA COUNTY TAX MEASURES	
		Number of Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	Percent Passing
1998	125	94	61	31	55	31	35	22	45
1999	38	18	67	20	60	5	20	16	56
2000	116	76	50	40	48	23	26	28	29
2001	37	15	67	22	77	2	50	12	75
2002	98	80	59	18	44	24	50	14	36
2003	28	15	87	13	38	1	0	11	27
2004	140	110	55	30	50	36	47	24	42
2005	57	26	50	31	74	1	100	23	65
2006	95	71	52	24	50	30	37	15	47
2007	29	21	71	8	88	0	0	3	67
2008	90	72	63	18	61	22	0	11	45
2009	16	10	60	6	83	1	0	3	67
2010	64	49	57	15	40	14	57	11	36
2011	20	10	70	10	90	3	67	4	75
2012	76	62	66	14	50	28	68	11	36
2013	12	5	100	7	57	0	0	5	40
2014	84	68	62	16	38	20	40	13	31
2015	34	15	60	19	63	2	0	9	56
2016	151	126	59	25	60	51	59	17	47
1998-2016	1,310	943	59	369	57	294	46	254	46



TREND TABLE F NUMBER OF COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT, AND COUNTY SERVICE AREA MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL COUNTY MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TOPIC, AND YEAR

YEAR	ALL CSD/CSA			LAND USE			PUBLIC SAFETY			GOVERNANCE			ENVIRONMENT			TRANSPORTATION			PUBLIC FACILITIES			GENERAL SERVICES			REVENUE		
	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Percent Passing
1998	31	25	55				12	10	42				3	2	67	2	2	50	1	1	0	8	6	88	4	3	25
1999	20	53	60				2	5	50				3	8	0	5	13	100				5	13	40	5	13	80
2000	40	34	48	2	2	0	10	9	30	2	2	100				6	5	17	5	4	40	5	4	60	1	1	100
2001	22	59	77				6	16	100	4	11	75				1	3	0	5	14	60	4	11	75	2	5	100
2002	18	18	44				11	11	45	3	3	67							3	3	33	1	1	0			
2003	13	46	38				5	18	40	2	7	100													6	21	17
2004	30	21	50				17	12	47	1	1	0				4	3	50	4	3	50	1	1	0	2	1	100
2005	31	54	74	2	4	0	1	2	100	3	5	100				6	11	100	6	11	67	9	16	78	4	7	50
2006	24	25	50				7	7	71	7	7	43				2	2	50	3	3	0	2	2	50	3	3	67
2007	8	28	88							4	14	100				1	3	0	1	3	100	2	7	100			
2008	18	20	61				8	9	50	2	2	100				2	2	50				1	1	0	5	6	80
2009	6	38	83							2	13	100				1	6	0	2	13	100				1	6	100
2010	15	23	40				7	11	43	4	6	50				1	2	0							3	5	33
2011	10	50	90				4	20	75	4	20	100													2	10	100
2012	14	18	50	1	1	100	6	8	33	2	3	100				2	3	50	3	4	33						
2013	7	58	57				2	17	50	2	17	100				3	25	33									
2014	16	19	38				7	8	29	2	2	100				3	4	0	2	2	100				2	2	0
2015	19	56	63	1	3	0	4	12	50	7	21	86				3	9	33	2	6	50	1	3	100	1	3	100
2016	25	17	60	1	1	0	10	7	70	6	4	100				3	2	0				1	1	100	4	3	25
1998-2016	369	28	57	7	1	14	119	9	50	57	4	82	6	0	33	45	3	44	38	3	53	40	3	68	46	4	57

## TREND TABLE G NUMBER OF CANDIDATES BY JURISDICTION, AND YEAR

	NUMBER OF CANDIDATES			
	ALL CANDIDATES	COUNTY CANDIDATES	CITY CANDIDATES	SCHOOL DISTRICT CANDIDATES
1995	2,354	0	732	1,622
1996	5,330	667	2,141	2,522
1997	2,476	23	736	1,717
1998	5,354	1,037	1,893	2,424
1999	2,274	135	724	1,415
2000	5,012	796	2,166	2,050
2001	2,505	189	688	1,628
2002	5,896	1,266	2,188	2,442
2003	2,086	205	566	1,315
2004	5,035	782	2,212	2,041
2005	2,546	167	979	1,400
2006	5,498	1,136	2,132	2,230
2007	2,021	207	811	1,003
2008	5,237	782	2,282	2,173
2009	2,066	143	863	1,060
2010	6,022	1,177	2,321	2,524
2011	1,602	138	734	730
2012	5,208	776	2,332	2,100
2013	1,688	152	818	768
2014	5,675	1,204	2,172	2,299
2015	1,321	114	607	600
2016	5,118	723	2,361	2,034
Total	82,324	11,819	32,458	38,097

\*We excluded runoffs from totals.

TREND TABLE H NUMBER OF CANDIDATES FOR MAJOR COUNTY OFFICES BY YEAR

	Total Number of Candidates	Number of County Candidates	County Supervisor Candidates		CSD/CSA Candidates	
			Number of Candidates	% of County Candidates	Number of Candidates	% of County Candidates
1995	2,354	0	0	0	*	*
1996	5,330	667	470	70	*	*
1997	2,476	23	19	83	*	*
1998	5,354	1,037	309	30	22	2
1999	2,274	135	5	4	109	81
2000	5,012	796	441	55	174	22
2001	2,505	189	0	0	186	98
2002	5,896	1,266	306	24	127	10
2003	2,086	205	10	5	175	85
2004	5,035	782	447	57	125	16
2005	2,546	167	4	2	155	93
2006	5,498	1,136	310	27	160	14
2007	2,021	207	10	5	161	78
2008	5,237	782	441	56	174	22
2009	2,066	143	0	0	141	99
2010	6,022	1,177	331	28	170	14
2011	1,602	138	6	4	103	75
2012	5,208	776	460	59	200	26
2013	1,688	152	11	7	138	91
2014	5,675	1,204	317	26	244	20
2015	1,321	114	5	4	94	82
2016	5,118	723	431	60	158	22
Total	82,324	11,819	4,333	37	2,816	24

\*The California Elections Data Archive did not collect information on CSD/CSA candidates until 1998.

\*\*We excluded runoffs from totals.

TREND TABLE I PERCENT OF INCUMBENT CANDIDATES, AND PERCENT OF PREVAILING INCUMBENTS BY MAJOR OFFICE, JURISDICTION, AND YEAR

	PERCENT OF CANDIDATES WHO ARE INCUMBENTS				PERCENTAGE OF INCUMBENTS WHO WIN				PERCENTAGE OF WINNING CANDIDATES WHO ARE INCUMBENTS			
	% of All Candidates	% of County Supervisor Candidates	% of City Council Candidates	% of School District Candidates	% of All Candidates	% of County Supervisor Candidates	% of City Council Candidates	% of School District Candidates	% of All Candidates	% of County Supervisor Candidates	% of City Council Candidates	% of School District Candidates
1995	27	--	18	30	79	--	79	78	50	--	41	51
1996	27	24	23	28	79	75	74	78	48	51	41	47
1997	30	5	23	33	76	0	79	74	49	0	45	50
1998	32	30	26	32	86	87	82	83	57	63	48	53
1999	30	0	23	32	78	--	81	77	51	0	45	52
2000	30	30	27	32	79	90	80	74	52	73	51	49
2001	30	--	24	32	78	--	80	77	50	--	51	50
2002	34	34	27	36	82	81	79	79	57	63	50	56
2003	31	0	22	35	78	--	72	79	51	0	40	55
2004	33	28	28	37	81	81	81	76	55	59	51	57
2005	31	0	23	36	80	--	80	78	52	0	50	52
2006	35	29	29	36	82	90	78	78	56	68	51	55
2007	31	0	27	33	77	--	79	75	50	0	54	48
2008	34	30	30	38	76	86	80	70	56	61	55	54
2009	34	--	26	39	78	--	79	76	54	--	51	55
2010	35	28	29	39	82	83	82	79	59	61	56	59
2011	29	0	24	34	82	--	82	82	49	0	47	51
2012	27	28	25	30	74	78	74	71	43	58	41	43
2013	30	0	27	31	77	--	82	74	47	0	52	44
2014	35	31	30	38	77	89	77	71	54	64	51	51
2015	29	0	26	31	71	--	74	67	45	0	46	43
2016	32	31	28	34	74	82	75	68	49	63	47	46
1995-2016	32	29	27	34	79	83	79	76	53	62	49	52

\*We excluded runoffs from totals.





---

2016 ELECTION SERIES SUMMARY:  
ELECTION OUTCOMES FOR  
COUNTY, CITY, AND SCHOOL DISTRICT  
BALLOT MEASURES, AND CANDIDATES

---





TABLE A SUMMARY OF OUTCOMES FOR ALL COUNTY, CITY, AND SCHOOL DISTRICT BALLOT MEASURES BY TYPE OF MEASURE, AND COUNTY, 2016

	TAXES		BONDS		CHARTER AMENDMENT		ADVISORY		INITIATIVE		RECALL		GANN LIMIT		ORDINANCE		POLICY/POSITION		ALL MEASURES		
	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	TOTAL
Alameda	17	1	13	0	8	2			1	0			1	0	6	3			46	6	52
Butte	0	4	3	0	3	0			1	1					2	0			9	5	14
Calaveras	1	1							0	1	1	0			1	0			3	2	5
Colusa	0	1	3	0															3	1	4
Contra Costa	8	6	12	1			2	0	1	2			1	0	1	2			25	11	36
Del Norte															0	1			0	1	1
El Dorado	2	4	2	0	2	0	1	3	1	1					1	0			9	8	17
Fresno	5	1	12	1	1	2					3	1			1	0			22	5	27
Glenn	1	0	3	0															4	0	4
Humboldt	5	1	6	1	1	0									3	2			15	4	19
Imperial	1	1	9	0															10	1	11
Inyo	1	0					2	0											3	0	3
Kern	3	3	13	3											0	1			16	7	23
Kings	0	2	8	1															8	3	11
Lake	3	0	4	0											1	1			8	1	9
Lassen	1	0	1	0			0	1							1	0			3	1	4
Los Angeles	13	5	31	1	6	2	1	0	0	1	1	0			10	3			62	12	74
Madera	1	0	3	0															4	0	4
Marin	11	2	2	0									1	0					14	2	16
Mariposa			1	0															1	0	1
Mendocino	5	1					4	0	0	3					1	1			10	5	15
Merced	1	0	4	0							1	0							6	0	6
Modoc	2	0	1	0											1	0			4	0	4
Mono	1	0																	1	0	1
Monterey	12	1	6	2	3	0			3	0									24	3	27
Napa	2	2	3	0					0	1					1	0			6	3	9
Nevada	3	0	1	0					0	1					0	1			4	2	6
Orange	6	4	9	2	6	0			3	1					5	3			29	10	39
Placer	1	3	3	4			1	0							1	0			6	7	13

TABLE A SUMMARY OF OUTCOMES FOR ALL COUNTY, CITY, AND SCHOOL DISTRICT BALLOT MEASURES BY TYPE OF MEASURE, AND COUNTY, 2016

	TAXES		BONDS		CHARTER AMENDMENT		ADVISORY		INITIATIVE		RECALL		GANN LIMIT		ORDINANCE		POLICY/POSITION		ALL MEASURES		
	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	TOTAL
Plumas			1	0											1	0			2	0	2
Riverside	13	2	7	0	1	2	1	3							5	0			27	7	34
Sacramento	3	3	5	0	2	0													10	3	13
San Benito	1	1	3	2															4	3	7
San Bernardino	3	3	8	0	1	1					3	0			5	2			20	6	26
San Diego	3	4	8	2	17	0			0	1					7	3			35	10	45
San Francisco	4	1	3	0	6	4									7	5			20	10	30
San Joaquin	4	1	4	0	2	0									1	0			11	1	12
San Luis Obispo	1	1	4	0											2	1			7	2	9
San Mateo	10	3	4	1	1	1			0	1					1	1	0	1	16	8	24
Santa Barbara	2	1	9	0											4	0			15	1	16
Santa Clara	11	2	9	0	6	0			0	3					9	1			35	6	41
Santa Cruz	7	1	3	1	2	0	1	0							2	0			15	2	17
Shasta	0	1	6	0			1	0											7	1	8
Sierra							0	1							0	1			0	2	2
Siskiyou	2	3							0	4					3	0			5	7	12
Solano	10	1	3	0			2	0							3	0			18	1	19
Sonoma	9	2	6	0											6	2			21	4	25
Stanislaus	1	0	6	1	1	0													8	1	9
Sutter			2	0															2	0	2
Tehama	1	0	3	1	0	1													4	2	6
Trinity			2	0															2	0	2
Tulare	1	0	8	1															9	1	10
Tuolumne															1	0			1	0	1
Ventura	6	1	6	0	2	0			5	0					7	1			26	2	28
Yolo	5	0	3	0											0	1			8	1	9
Yuba	2	0	2	1					0	1					0	2			4	4	8
All Counties	205	74	268	26	71	15	16	8	15	22	9	1	3	0	100	38	0	1	687	185	872

TABLE B SUMMARY OF OUTCOMES FOR ALL COUNTY, CITY, AND SCHOOL DISTRICT BALLOT MEASURES BY TOPIC OF MEASURE AND COUNTY, 2016

	EDUCATION		LAND USE		SAFETY		GOVERNANCE		ENVIRONMENT		TRANSPORT		FACILITIES		HOUSING		GENERAL SERVICES		REVENUE		OTHER SERVICES		ALL MEASURES		
	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	TOTAL
Alameda	14	1	3	1	2	0	0	2	1	0	1	0	2	0	6	2			9	0			46	6	52
Butte	3	0	2	1	0	3	3	0	1	0									0	1			9	5	14
Calaveras			0	1			2	0											1	1			3	2	5
Colusa	3	0																	0	1			3	1	4
Contra Costa	13	0	1	1	2	2	0	3	1	0	2	1	0	2	1	0	1	0	4	2			25	11	36
Del Norte																	0	1					0	1	1
El Dorado	2	0	3	2			1	0			2	3	1	1	0	1			0	1			9	8	17
Fresno	14	2	1	0	3	0	2	2					0	1					2	0			22	5	27
Glenn	3	0			1	0																	4	0	4
Humboldt	7	1	1	0			1	2			0	1			2	0			4	0			15	4	19
Imperial	9	0																	1	1			10	1	11
Inyo			2	0															1	0			3	0	3
Kern	13	3			1	1	0	1					0	1					2	1			16	7	23
Kings	8	1			0	2																	8	3	11
Lake	4	0					1	0			1	0	0	1					2	0			8	1	9
Lassen	1	0			1	0	1	1															3	1	4
Los Angeles	32	1	4	5	1	1	11	2			1	0			1	0	3	1	9	2			62	12	74
Madera	3	0																	1	0			4	0	4
Marin	4	1			5	0			1	0			1	0			1	1	2	0			14	2	16
Mariposa	1	0																					1	0	1
Mendocino			0	1	2	0	0	1			2	0	2	0			0	3	4	0			10	5	15
Merced	5	0									1	0											6	0	6
Modoc	1	0			1	0	1	0											1	0			4	0	4
Mono	1	0																					1	0	1
Monterey	6	2	2	0			3	0			1	0							11	1	1	0	24	3	27
Napa	3	0							1	0			0	1			1	1	1	1			6	3	9
Nevada	1	0	0	2	1	0							1	0					1	0			4	2	6
Orange	11	2	4	4			9	0											5	4			29	10	39
Placer	3	4					1	0			0	1	2	0					0	2			6	7	13

TABLE B SUMMARY OF OUTCOMES FOR ALL COUNTY, CITY, AND SCHOOL DISTRICT BALLOT MEASURES BY TOPIC OF MEASURE AND COUNTY, 2016

	EDUCATION		LAND USE		SAFETY		GOVERNANCE		ENVIRONMENT		TRANSPORT		FACILITIES		HOUSING		GENERAL SERVICES		REVENUE		OTHER SERVICES		ALL MEASURES		
	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	TOTAL
Plumas	1	0					1	0															2	0	2
Riverside	7	0	3	0	1	1	4	2			0	3	0	1					12	0			27	7	34
Sacramento	5	1			2	0	2	0			0	1					0	1	1	0			10	3	13
San Benito	3	2									0	1							1	0			4	3	7
San Bernardino	8	0	2	2	0	1	4	1					0	1			1	0	5	1			20	6	26
San Diego	9	2	4	4	2	0	12	0			0	1	2	2	1	0			5	1			35	10	45
San Francisco	2	0	3	0	2	1	4	6	1	0			1	0	2	1	3	1	2	1			20	10	30
San Joaquin	4	0	1	0	1	0	2	0					1	1					2	0			11	1	12
San Luis Obispo	4	0					1	1			0	1					1	0	1	0			7	2	9
San Mateo	8	2	0	1	2	1	0	1	1	0			0	1	1	2	0	0	4	0			16	8	24
Santa Barbara	9	0					4	0											2	1			15	1	16
Santa Clara	14	2	6	4			5	0	1	0			1	0	3	0	1	0	4	0			35	6	41
Santa Cruz	5	2	2	0			2	0			1	0							5	0			15	2	17
Shasta	6	0			1	0													0	1			7	1	8
Siskiyou			2	2	1	0	1	0											1	0			5	2	2
Sierra			0	2	0	3											0	2					0	7	12
Solano	4	0	1	0	1	0	2	0	1	0	1	1					2	0	6	0			18	1	19
Sonoma	8	1	2	1	2	0			3	0			1	1	1	0	0	1	4	0			21	4	25
Stanislaus	7	0									1	0	0	1									8	1	9
Sutter	2	0																					2	0	2
Tehama	3	1			1	0	0	1															4	2	6
Trinity	2	0																					2	0	2
Tulare	8	1																	1	0			9	1	10
Tuolumne															1	0							1	0	1
Ventura	8	0	11	1	1	0	2	0			0	1							4	0			26	2	28
Yolo	4	0	0	1															4	0			8	1	9
Yuba	2	1	0	3															2	0			4	4	8
All Counties	298	33	60	39	37	16	90	26	12	0	14	15	15	15	19	6	14	12	127	23	1	0	687	185	872

TABLE B SUMMARY OF ELECTION OUTCOMES FOR ALL COUNTY, CITY, AND SCHOOL DISTRICT OFFICES, 2016

		City Council		County Supervisor		Director, CSD*		Other City Offices		Other County Offices		School Board Member		Total**	
		Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N
Incumbent Candidates	Win	74.7	402	82.1	110	61.2	41	88.2	165	100.0	14	67.7	464	73.6	1,196
	Lose	25.3	136	17.9	24	38.8	26	11.8	22	0.0	0	32.3	221	26.4	429
	Total	100.0	538	100.0	134	100.0	67	100.0	187	100.0	14	100.0	685	100.0	1,625
Non-Incumbent Candidates	Win	32.8	448	21.5	64	55.8	72	26.5	72	40.2	33	40.2	542	35.2	1,231
	Lose	67.2	919	78.5	233	44.2	57	73.5	197	59.8	49	59.8	807	64.8	2,262
	Total	100.0	1,367	100.0	297	100.0	129	100.0	269	100.0	82	100.0	1,349	100.0	3,493
Winning Candidates	Incumbent	47.3	402	63.2	110	36.3	41	69.6	165	29.8	14	46.1	464	49.3	1,196
	Non-Incumbent	52.7	448	36.8	64	63.7	72	30.4	72	70.2	33	53.9	542	50.7	1,231
	Total	100.0	850	100.0	174	100.00	113	100.0	237	100.0	47	100.0	1,006	100.0	2,427
Losing Candidates	Incumbent	12.9	136	9.3	24	31.3	26	10.0	22	0.0	0	21.5	221	15.5	429
	Non-Incumbent	87.1	919	90.7	233	68.7	57	90.0	197	100.0	49	78.5	807	84.5	2,262
	Total	100.0	1,055	100.0	257	100.0	83	100.0	219	100.0	49	100.0	1,028	100.0	2,691
All Candidates	Incumbent	28.2	538	31.1	134	34.2	67	41.0	187	14.6	14	33.7	685	31.8	1,625
	Non-Incumbent	71.8	1,367	68.9	297	65.8	129	59.0	269	85.4	82	66.3	1,349	68.2	3,493
	Total	100.0	1,905	100.0	431	100.0	196	100.0	456	100.0	96	100.0	2,034	100.0	5,118

\*Directors of Community Service Districts, and Community Service Areas, also includes Members of Community Planning Areas and Community Councils.

\*\*We excluded runoffs from the totals.



---

PART 1  
VOTE TOTALS, ELECTION OUTCOMES,  
AND TEXT FOR COUNTY BALLOT MEASURES

---





TABLE 1.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2016

COUNTY	DATE	MEASURE	TITLE	TYPE OF MEASURE	TOPIC OF MEASURE	VOTE IN FAVOR	TOTAL VOTE	PERCENT OF VOTE	PASS OR FAIL*
ALAMEDA	6/7/2016	Measure	AA <sup>1</sup>	Property Tax	Environment: Regulation/Mitigation	250,735	335,402	74.8%	Pass <sup>T</sup>
	11/8/2016	Measure	A1	GO Bond	Housing: Affordable	456,705	623,025	73.3%	Pass <sup>T</sup>
ALPINE	No County Measures								
AMADOR	No County Measures								
BUTTE	6/7/2016	Measure	E	Initiative	Environment: Regulation/Mitigation	45,988	63,979	71.9%	Pass
		Measure	G	Ordinance	Land Use: Zoning	36,074	61,875	58.3%	Pass
		Measure	H	Ordinance	Land Use: Zoning	36,290	63,391	57.2%	Pass
	11/8/2016	Measure	L	Initiative	Land Use: Zoning	40,320	90,399	44.6%	Fail
		Measure	M1	Property Tax	Safety: Emergency Medical/Paramedic	1,177	2,333	50.5%	Fail <sup>T</sup>
CALAVERAS	6/7/2016	Measure	A	Ordinance	Governance: Incorporation/Formation/Annexation	7,642	12,890	59.3%	Pass
	11/8/2016	Measure	C	Business Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	15,302	22,790	67.1%	Pass
		Measure	D	Initiative	Land Use: Zoning	10,722	23,087	46.4%	Fail
		Measure	E	Property Tax	Revenues: Tax Repeal/Reduction/Limit	25	49	51.0%	Fail <sup>T</sup>
		Recall	5	Recall	Governance: Recall	2,536	4,181	60.7%	Pass
COLUSA	No County Measures								
CONTRA COSTA	6/7/2016	Measure	AA <sup>2</sup>	Property Tax	Environment: Regulation/Mitigation	169,024	257,273	65.7%	Pass <sup>T</sup>
	11/8/2016	Measure	X	Sales Tax	Transport	278,098	438,263	63.5%	Fail <sup>T</sup>
		Measure	Y	Gann Limit	Revenues	5,721	8,055	71.0%	Pass
DEL NOTRE	No County Measures								
EL DORADO	6/7/2016	Measure	A	Charter Amendment	Governance	28,454	53,714	53.0%	Pass
		Measure	B	Advisory	Land Use: Zoning	33	415	8.0%	Fail
		Measure	E	Initiative	Land Use: Growth Cap/Boundary	31,406	59,989	52.4%	Pass
		Measure	G	Initiative	Land Use: Zoning	29,489	59,731	49.4%	Fail
		Measure	J	Charter Amendment	Land Use: Voter Approval	33,665	53,194	63.3%	Pass
	11/8/2016	Measure	K	Property Tax	Transport: Roads	273	462	59.1%	Fail <sup>T</sup>
		Measure	M	Property Tax	Transport: Roads	32	76	42.1%	Fail <sup>T</sup>
		Measure	N	Property Tax	Transport: Roads	72	110	65.5%	Fail <sup>T</sup>
FRESNO	11/8/2016	Measure	T	Charter Amendment	Governance: Organization	113,664	240,559	47.2%	Fail
		Measure	U	Charter Amendment	Governance: Organization	104,288	236,389	44.1%	Fail

\*Pass or Fail results based on multi-county outcomes.

<sup>T</sup>Indicates measure required two-thirds of the vote to pass. <sup>F</sup>Indicates measure required 55% of the vote to pass. All other county measures required a majority vote.

<sup>1</sup>Multi-county measure. Votes for Contra Costa, Marin, Napa, San Francisco, San Mateo, Santa Clara, Solano, and Sonoma counties are reported separately.

<sup>2</sup>Multi-county measure. Votes for Alameda, Marin, Napa, San Francisco, San Mateo, Santa Clara, Solano, and Sonoma counties are reported separately.

TABLE 1.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2016

COUNTY	DATE	MEASURE TITLE	TYPE OF MEASURE	TOPIC OF MEASURE	VOTE IN FAVOR	TOTAL VOTE	PERCENT OF VOTE	PASS OR FAIL*
GLENN	No County Measures							
HUMBOLDT	11/8/2016	Measure Q	Ordinance	Governance: Incorporation/Formation/Annexation	23,907	51,700	46.2%	Fail
		Measure R	Ordinance	Governance: Organization	24,964	51,657	48.3%	Fail
		Measure S	Business Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	37,523	57,028	65.8%	Pass
		Measure U	Sales Tax	Transport	27,046	55,390	48.8%	Fail <sup>T</sup>
		Measure V	Ordinance	Housing: Rent Control	30,250	55,386	54.6%	Pass
IMPERIAL	No County Measures							
INYO	11/8/2016	Measure G	Advisory	Land Use: Zoning	4,250	7,789	54.6%	Pass
		Measure H	Advisory	Land Use: Zoning	4,129	7,715	53.5%	Pass
		Measure I	Sales Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	4,849	7,528	64.4%	Pass
KERN	6/7/2016	Measure F	Sales Tax	Facilities: Libraries	69,375	134,235	51.7%	Fail <sup>T</sup>
		Measure G	Property Tax	Safety: Police	1,049	2,554	41.1%	Fail <sup>T</sup>
		Measure K	Sales Tax	Safety: Multiple Emergency Services	12,583	18,981	66.3%	Fail <sup>T</sup>
KINGS	11/8/2016	Measure K	Sales Tax	Safety: Multiple Emergency Services	21,177	32,596	65.0%	Fail <sup>T</sup>
LAKE	11/8/2016	Measure C	Business Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	14,812	23,625	62.7%	Pass
LASSEN	5/3/2016	Measure E <sup>3</sup>	Ordinance	Governance: Incorporation/Formation/Annexation	226	313	72.2%	Pass
		Measure F <sup>3</sup>	Property Tax	Safety: Emergency Medical/Paramedic	220	313	70.3%	Pass <sup>T</sup>
	6/7/2016	Measure G	Advisory	Governance: Incorporation/Formation/Annexation	3,094	7,058	43.8%	Fail
LOS ANGELES	11/8/2016	Measure A	Development Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	2,363,405	3,155,344	74.9%	Pass <sup>T</sup>
		Measure M	Sales Tax	Transport	2,259,654	3,176,029	71.1%	Pass <sup>T</sup>
MADERA	No County Measures							
MARIN	6/7/2016	Measure AA <sup>3</sup>	Property Tax	Environment: Regulation/Mitigation	71,862	97,768	73.5%	Pass <sup>T</sup>
	11/8/2016	Measure A	Sales Tax	General Services: Social/Welfare	84,271	133,216	63.3%	Fail <sup>T</sup>
		Measure L	Property Tax	Safety: Fire	226	277	81.6%	Pass <sup>T</sup>
		Measure M	Property Tax	Safety: Police	639	950	67.3%	Pass <sup>T</sup>
		Measure N	Property Tax	Safety: Police	685	959	71.4%	Pass <sup>T</sup>
		Measure O	Property Tax	General Services: Maintenance	168	188	89.4%	Pass <sup>T</sup>
MARIPOSA	No County Measures							
MENDOCINO	6/7/2016	Measure V	Ordinance	Safety: Civil Fines/Criminal Penalties	16,300	26,170	62.3%	Pass

<sup>3</sup>Multi-county measure. Votes for Modoc counties are reported separately

<sup>3</sup>Multi-county measure. Votes for Alameda, Contra Costa, Napa, San Francisco, San Mateo, Santa Clara, Solano, and Sonoma counties are reported separately.

TABLE 1.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2016

COUNTY	DATE	MEASURE	TITLE	TYPE OF MEASURE	TOPIC OF MEASURE	VOTE IN FAVOR	TOTAL VOTE	PERCENT OF VOTE	PASS OR FAIL*
MENDOCINO	6/7/2016	Measure V	Ordinance		Safety: Civil Fines/Criminal Penalties	16,300	26,170	62.3%	Pass
	11/8/2016	Measure W	Initiative		Governance	11,368	24,503	46.4%	Fail
		Measure AF	Initiative		Land Use: Zoning	13,772	36,306	37.9%	Fail
		Measure AG	Sales Tax		General Services: Social/Welfare	24,190	36,532	66.2%	Fail <sup>T</sup>
		Measure AH	Ordinance		General Services: Social/Welfare	22,958	35,899	64.0%	Fail <sup>T</sup>
		Measure AI	Business Tax		Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	22,375	35,496	63.0%	Pass
		Measure AJ	Advisory		Safety: Multiple Emergency Services	23,971	34,975	68.5%	Pass
MERCED	11/8/2016	Measure V	Sales Tax		Transport: Roads	48,996	68,767	71.2%	Pass <sup>T</sup>
MODOC	5/3/2016	Measure E <sup>3</sup>	Ordinance		Governance: Incorporation/Formation/Annexation	211	311	67.8%	Pass
		Measure F <sup>3</sup>	Property Tax		Safety: Emergency Medical/Paramedic	207	312	66.3%	Pass <sup>T</sup>
MONO	No County Measures								
MONTEREY	11/8/2016	Measure X	Sales Tax		Transport: Roads	87,915	129,839	67.7%	Pass <sup>T</sup>
		Measure Y	Business Tax		Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	95,978	130,266	73.7%	Pass
		Measure Z	Initiative		Land Use: Zoning	73,877	131,760	56.1%	Pass
NAPA	6/7/2016	Measure Y	Sales Tax		Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	18,733	41,198	45.5%	Fail
	11/8/2016	Measure AA <sup>4</sup>	Property Tax		Environment: Regulation/Mitigation	24,598	41,598	59.1%	Pass <sup>T</sup>
Measure A		Ordinance		General Services: Social/Welfare	39,862	55,996	71.2%	Pass <sup>T</sup>	
Measure B		Initiative		General Services: Social/Welfare	26,172	54,957	47.6%	Fail <sup>T</sup>	
NEVADA	6/7/2016	Measure W	Ordinance		Land Use: Zoning	17,994	44,377	40.5%	Fail
	11/8/2016	Measure Z	Sales Tax		Facilities: Parks & Recreation	37,670	58,222	64.7%	Fail <sup>T</sup>
		Measure A	Sales Tax		Facilities: Libraries	38,423	54,296	70.8%	Pass <sup>T</sup>
ORANGE	6/7/2016	Measure A	Charter Amendment		Governance: Political Reform/Term Limits	400,470	575,469	69.6%	Pass
		Measure B	Charter Amendment		Governance: Elections	505,640	588,255	86.0%	Pass
PLACER	11/8/2016	Measure M	Sales Tax		Transport: Roads	114,920	180,119	63.8%	Fail <sup>T</sup>
PLUMAS	6/28/2016	Measure A	Ordinance		Governance: Organization	21	21	100.0%	Pass
RIVERSIDE	No County Measures								
SACRAMENTO	11/8/2016	Measure B	Sales Tax		Transport	342,084	520,627	65.7%	Fail <sup>T</sup>
SAN BENITO	6/7/2016	Measure P	Sales Tax		Transport	7,861	13,153	59.8%	Fail <sup>T</sup>
		Measure E	Property Tax		Safety: Fire	425	1,076	39.5%	Fail <sup>T</sup>
		Recall 1	Recall		Governance: Recall	171	280	61.1%	Pass
		Recall 2	Recall		Governance: Recall	179	286	62.6%	Pass

<sup>3</sup>Multi-county measure. Votes for Lassen counties are reported separately

<sup>4</sup>Multi-county measure. Votes for Alameda, Contra Costa, San Francisco, Marin, San Mateo, Santa Clara, Solano, and Sonoma counties are reported separately.

TABLE 1.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2016

COUNTY	DATE	MEASURE	TITLE	TYPE OF MEASURE	TOPIC OF MEASURE	VOTE IN FAVOR	TOTAL VOTE	PERCENT OF VOTE	PASS OR FAIL*
SAN DIEGO	11/8/2016	Measure A	Sales Tax		Transport	720,158	1,233,804	58.4%	Fail <sup>T</sup>
		Measure B	Initiative		Land Use: Zoning	422,322	1,158,216	36.5%	Fail
		Measure KK	Property Tax		Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	44	80	55.0%	Fail <sup>T</sup>
SAN FRANCISCO	6/7/2016	Measure A	GO Bond		Safety	190,708	240,607	79.3%	Pass <sup>T</sup>
		Measure B	Charter Amendment		Facilities: Parks & Recreation	143,113	238,336	60.0%	Pass
		Measure C	Charter Amendment		Housing: Affordable	161,324	237,531	67.9%	Pass
		Measure D	Ordinance		Safety: Police	194,462	240,300	80.9%	Pass
		Measure E	Ordinance		Governance: Personnel/Labor Relations	186,199	234,191	79.5%	Pass
	11/8/2016	Measure AA <sup>5</sup>	Property Tax		Environment: Regulation/Mitigation	186,674	240,440	77.6%	Pass <sup>T</sup>
		Measure C	GO Bond		Housing: Affordable	270,113	352,148	76.7%	Pass <sup>T</sup>
		Measure D	Charter Amendment		Governance: Organization	163,642	344,011	47.6%	Fail
		Measure E	Charter Amendment		General Services: Maintenance	283,009	360,087	78.6%	Pass
		Measure F	Charter Amendment		Governance: Elections	172,744	360,604	47.9%	Fail
		Measure G	Charter Amendment		Governance: Organization	281,776	348,872	80.8%	Pass
		Measure H	Charter Amendment		Governance: Organization	167,114	349,921	47.8%	Fail
		Measure I	Charter Amendment		General Services: Social/Welfare	245,962	371,186	66.3%	Pass
		Measure J	Charter Amendment		General Services: Social/Welfare	251,699	374,703	67.2%	Pass
		Measure K	Sales Tax		Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	131,286	378,233	34.7%	Fail
		Measure L	Ordinance		Governance: Organization	159,830	355,605	44.9%	Fail
		Measure M	Charter Amendment		Governance: Organization	155,993	352,888	44.2%	Fail
		Measure N	Ordinance		Governance: Elections	203,413	373,983	54.4%	Pass
		Measure O	Ordinance		Land Use: Military Base	187,281	360,861	51.9%	Pass
		Measure P	Ordinance		Governance: Contracting/Bidding/Leasing	117,787	360,639	32.7%	Fail
Measure Q	Ordinance		Land Use	194,410	375,548	51.8%	Pass		
Measure R	Ordinance		Safety: Police	165,723	366,782	45.2%	Fail		
Measure S	Ordinance		General Services: Social/Welfare	233,099	365,868	63.7%	Fail <sup>T</sup>		
Measure T	Ordinance		Governance: Political Reform/Term Limits	313,411	359,149	87.3%	Pass		
Measure U	Ordinance		Housing: Affordable	126,760	359,291	35.3%	Fail		
Measure V	Sales Tax		Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	237,168	379,515	62.5%	Pass		
Measure W	Transient Occupancy Tax		Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	225,145	363,559	61.9%	Pass		
Measure X	Ordinance		Land Use	211,168	352,746	59.9%	Pass		

<sup>5</sup>Multi-county measure. Votes for Alameda, Contra Costa, Marin, Napa, San Mateo, Santa Clara, Solano, and Sonoma counties are reported separately.

TABLE 1.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2016

COUNTY	DATE	MEASURE	TITLE	TYPE OF MEASURE	TOPIC OF MEASURE	VOTE IN FAVOR	TOTAL VOTE	PERCENT OF VOTE	PASS OR FAIL*
SAN JOAQUIN	No County Measures								
SAN LUIS OBISPO	11/8/2016	Measure J	Sales Tax		Transport	86,038	129,752	66.3%	Fail <sup>T</sup>
SAN MATEO	6/7/2016	Measure G	Property Tax		Safety: Multiple Emergency Services	1,153	1,513	76.2%	Pass <sup>T</sup>
		Measure AA <sup>6</sup>	Property Tax		Environment: Regulation/Mitigation	126,943	176,365	72.0%	Pass <sup>T</sup>
	11/8/2016	Measure K	Sales Tax		Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	206,910	294,027	70.4%	Pass
SANTA BARBARA	11/8/2016	Measure B2016	Transient Occupancy Tax		Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	82,498	160,713	51.3%	Pass
		Measure E2016	Ordinance		Governance: Incorporation/Formation/Annexation	5,651	6,485	87.1%	Pass
		Measure F2016	Utility Tax		Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	4,039	6,598	61.2%	Fail <sup>T</sup>
SANTA CLARA	6/7/2016	Measure A	Ordinance		Facilities: Parks & Recreation	310,794	398,034	78.1%	Pass
		Measure AA <sup>7</sup>	Property Tax		Environment: Regulation/Mitigation	279,259	398,513	70.1%	Pass <sup>T</sup>
	11/8/2016	Measure A	GO Bond		Housing: Affordable	454,284	669,252	67.9%	Pass <sup>T</sup>
		Measure D	Sales Tax		Transport: Mass Transit	83,816	123,655	67.8%	Pass <sup>T</sup>
		Measure E	Ordinance		Land Use: Zoning	95,016	118,159	80.4%	Pass
SHASTA	No County Measures								
SIERRA	6/7/2016	Measure A	Advisory		Land Use: Zoning	578	1,491	38.8%	Fail
	11/8/2016	Measure B	Ordinance		Land Use: Zoning	775	1,828	42.4%	Fail
SISKIYOU	6/7/2016	Measure R	Property Tax		Safety: Emergency Medical/Paramedic	4,355	9,240	47.1%	Fail <sup>T</sup>
		Measure S	Sales Tax		Safety: Jails/Courts	8,081	16,211	49.8%	Fail <sup>T</sup>
		Measure T	Ordinance		Land Use: Zoning	9,741	16,214	60.1%	Pass
		Measure U	Ordinance		Land Use: Zoning	9,572	16,254	58.9%	Pass
	11/8/2016	Measure B	Property Tax		Safety: Police	815	1,221	66.7%	Pass <sup>T</sup>
		Measure G	Sales Tax		Safety: Jails/Courts	8,081	20,205	40.0%	Fail
		Measure H	Initiative		Land Use: Zoning	8,828	19,830	44.5%	Fail
SOLANO	6/7/2016	Measure G	Advisory		Transport: Roads	60,811	94,260	64.5%	Pass
		Measure H	Sales Tax		Transport: Roads	42,120	95,102	44.3%	Fail
		Measure AA <sup>8</sup>	Property Tax		Environment: Regulation/Mitigation	51,482	94,730	54.3%	Pass <sup>T</sup>
	11/8/2016	Measure A	Sales Tax		General Services: Social/Welfare	84,010	156,739	53.6%	Pass

<sup>6</sup>Multi-county measure. Votes for Alameda, Contra Costa, Marin, San Francisco Napa, Santa Clara, Solano, and Sonoma counties are reported separately.

<sup>7</sup>Multi-county measure. Votes for Alameda, Contra Costa, Marin, San Francisco Napa, San Mateo, Solano, and Sonoma counties are reported separately.

<sup>8</sup>Multi-county measure. Votes for Alameda, Contra Costa, Marin, San Francisco Napa, San Mateo, Santa Clara and Sonoma counties are reported separately.

TABLE 1.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2016

COUNTY	DATE	MEASURE	TITLE	TYPE OF MEASURE	TOPIC OF MEASURE	VOTE IN FAVOR	TOTAL VOTE	PERCENT OF VOTE	PASS OR FAIL*
SONOMA	6/7/2016	Measure AA <sup>9</sup>	Property Tax	Environment: Regulation/Mitigation		95,663	148,777	64.3%	Pass <sup>T</sup>
	11/8/2016	Measure J	Sales Tax	Facilities: Parks & Recreation		45,444	69,790	65.1%	Fail <sup>T</sup>
		Measure K	Ordinance	Land Use: Voter Approval		173,309	212,905	81.4%	Pass
		Measure L	Transient Occupancy Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation		149,472	219,138	68.2%	Pass
		Measure M	Ordinance	Safety: Emergency Medical/Paramedic		122,399	213,346	57.4%	Pass
		Measure Y	Sales Tax	Facilities: Libraries		159,857	222,065	72.0%	Pass <sup>T</sup>
		Measure L	Sales Tax	Transport		121,527	168,899	72.0%	Pass <sup>T</sup>
SUTTER	No County Measures								
TEHAMA	11/8/2016	Measure M	Amendment	Governance: Personnel/Labor Relations		4,379	23,151	18.9%	Fail <sup>F</sup>
TRINITY	No County Measures								
TULARE	No County Measures								
TUOLUMNE	11/8/2016	Measure K	Ordinance	Housing: Affordable		11,959	22,752	52.6%	Pass
VENTURA	11/8/2016	Measure AA	Sales Tax	Transport		193,449	334,049	57.9%	Fail <sup>T</sup>
		Measure C	Ordinance	Land Use: Voter Approval		183,394	317,611	57.7%	Pass
		Measure F	Ordinance	Land Use: Voter Approval		149,054	321,805	46.3%	Fail
YOLO	No County Measures								
YUBA	6/7/2016	Measure A	Ordinance	Land Use: Zoning		5,514	14,601	37.8%	Fail
		Measure B	Ordinance	Land Use: Zoning		6,495	14,525	44.7%	Fail
	11/8/2016	Measure E	Initiative	Land Use: Zoning		9,871	21,831	45.2%	Fail

<sup>11</sup>Multi-county measure. Votes for Alameda, Contra Costa, Marin, San Francisco Napa, San Mateo, Santa Clara and Solano counties are reported separately.

## TABLE 1.2 TEXT FOR COUNTY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2016

ALAMEDA	6/7/2016	Measure AA	Pass (2/3 required)
To protect San Francisco Bay for future generations by reducing trash, pollution and harmful toxins, improving water quality, restoring habitat for fish, birds and wildlife, protecting communities from floods, and increasing shoreline public access, shall the San Francisco Bay Restoration Authority authorize a parcel tax of \$12 per year, raising approximately \$25 million annually for twenty years with independent citizen oversight, audits, and all funds staying local?			
ALAMEDA	11/8/2016	Measure A1	Pass (2/3 required)
To provide affordable local housing and prevent displacement of vulnerable populations, including low- and moderate-income households, veterans, seniors, and persons with disabilities; provide supportive housing for homeless people countywide; and help low- and middle-income households purchase homes and stay in their communities; shall the County of Alameda issue up to \$580 million in general obligation bonds to acquire or improve real property, subject to independent citizen oversight and regular audits?			
BUTTE	6/7/2016	Measure E	Pass
(INITIATIVE) Shall the ordinance entitled "Ordinance Imposing a Ban on Hydraulic Fracturing Within Butte County" be adopted?			
BUTTE	6/7/2016	Measure G	Pass
Shall Ordinance No. 4106, an Ordinance of the County of Butte amending Sections 35-2 and 35-5 to Chapter 35 of the Butte County Code entitled the "Right to Farm Ordinance" be adopted?			
BUTTE	6/7/2016	Measure H	Pass
Shall Ordinance No. 4107, an Ordinance of the County of Butte amending Sections 34A-2, 34A-3, 34A-4, 34A-13, 34A-16 AND 34A-19 of Chapter 34A of the Butte County Code, entitled "Restrictions on Cultivation of Medical Marijuana" be adopted?			
BUTTE	11/8/2016	Measure L	Fail
(INITIATIVE) Shall the ordinance entitled "Medical Cannabis Cultivation and Commerce" be adopted?			
BUTTE	11/8/2016	Measure M1	Fail (2/3 required)
Shall an existing special property tax to fund standby costs of providing emergency room services at the Orchard Hospital, in the amount of \$70 per residential equivalent unit per year for an additional ten years (vacant parcels and parcels without residential units shall not be subject to the tax) to raise approximately \$330,000.00 per year, as enacted by Biggs Resolution 2016-14, and a corresponding increase in the City's spending limit, be approved?			
CALAVERAS	6/7/2016	Measure A	Pass
Shall the order adopted on January 25, 2016 by the Calaveras Local Agency Formation Commission ordering the formation of a District in the territory described, known as the Calaveras County Resource Conservation District, be approved?			
CALAVERAS	11/8/2016	Measure C	Pass
Shall the Ordinance establishing a cannabis cultivation tax of \$2.00 per square foot of licensed outdoor/mixed canopy area, increasing to \$45.00 per pound maximum; and \$5.00 per square foot of licensed indoor canopy area, increasing to \$70.00 per pound maximum; and a gross proceeds tax of (7%) on the manufacturing of cultivated cannabis; and a gross proceeds tax of (7%) on medicinal or legal cannabis storefronts and collectives for general governmental purposes be adopted?			
CALAVERAS	11/8/2016	Measure D	Fail
(INITIATIVE) Shall an initiative measure to regulate the cultivation of cannabis for commercial and non-commercial activities within authorized zones in the unincorporated areas of Calaveras County be adopted?			
CALAVERAS	11/8/2016	Measure E	Fail (2/3 required)
Shall the parcel tax for parcels within the Middle River Community Service District increase from \$100.00 to \$200.00 per year for developed parcels and from \$75.00 to \$100.00 per year for undeveloped parcels?			
CALAVERAS	11/8/2016	Recall 5	Pass
Shall Steven Wayne Kearney be recalled (removed) from the office of County Supervisor?			
CONTRA COSTA	6/7/2016	Measure AA	Pass (2/3 required)
To protect San Francisco Bay for future generations by reducing trash, pollution and harmful toxins, improving water quality, restoring habitat for fish, birds and wildlife, protecting communities from floods, and increasing shoreline public access, shall the San Francisco Bay Restoration Authority authorize a parcel tax of \$12 per year, raising approximately \$25 million annually for twenty years with independent citizen oversight, audits, and all funds staying local?			
CONTRA COSTA	11/8/2016	Measure X	Fail (2/3 required)
To implement a Transportation Expenditure Plan to continue: Repairing potholes/fixing roads; Improving BART capacity/reliability; Improving Highways 680, 80, 24, and 4; Enhancing bus/transit including for seniors and people with disabilities; Increasing bicycle/pedestrian safety; Improving air quality; Reducing traffic; shall voters adopt the ordinance augmenting the sales tax by ½%, raising ninety-seven million dollars for transportation improvements annually for 30 years with independent oversight, audits, and all money benefitting local residents?			

## TABLE 1.2 TEXT FOR COUNTY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2016

CONTRA COSTA	11/8/2016	Measure Y	Pass
Shall the appropriations limit under California Article XIII-B for County Service Area R-7A (Alamo Parks and Recreation) be increased to \$1,650,000 and adjusted for changes in the cost-of-living and population, with the increase effective for the Fiscal Years 2015/2016 through 2018/2019 (inclusive) to provide for the expenditure of funds that will be available to the Service Area during the stated fiscal years?			
EL DORADO	6/7/2016	Measure A	Pass
Shall the Preamble of the El Dorado County Charter, be amended, to change the wording of the introductory statement of the County Charter, the Preamble, to reflect a new statement of intent and purpose of the Charter?			
EL DORADO	6/7/2016	Measure B	Fail
(ADVISORY) Should the General Plan be amended to change the zoning of approximately 3100 acres adjacent to Cameron Estates, known as Marble Valley and Lime Rock Valley, to increase the maximum number of allowable dwelling units from 400 to approximately 4,035 dwelling units?			
EL DORADO	6/7/2016	Measure E	Pass
(INITIATIVE) Shall the ordinance be adopted amending the El Dorado County General Plan to (1) change when and how El Dorado County mitigates impacts to traffic levels of service, (2) impose restrictions on use of tax revenue and mitigation fees and on formation of infrastructure financing districts, and (3) require El Dorado County to make findings of compliance with those policies prior to approving any residential development project of five or more units, as more fully described in the proposed ordinance?			
EL DORADO	6/7/2016	Measure G	Fail
(INITIATIVE) Shall the ordinance be adopted to (1) add, amend, or delete fifteen distinct policies in the El Dorado County General Plan concerning land use, agriculture, mixed use, cultural and historical resources, and water supply and (2) preclude El Dorado County from approving any future discretionary project until it implements twelve enumerated General Plan policies related to community design guidelines, cultural and historical resources, water supply, and scenic corridors, as more fully described in the proposed ordinance?			
EL DORADO	6/7/2016	Measure J	Pass
Shall section 210 c. of the El Dorado County Charter be deleted from the Charter because the substance of the section is now covered by general law after passage of Proposition 218 in November 1996?			
EL DORADO	11/8/2016	Measure K	Fail (2/3 required)
Shall the Cameron Estates Community Services District levy a special tax for the purpose of providing needed road improvements, upgrades, and maintenance at an annual rate not to exceed an increase of \$150.00 per year parcel over and above the current rate, for a total not to exceed \$400.00 per parcel year?			
EL DORADO	11/8/2016	Measure M	Fail (2/3 required)
Shall the Hickock Road Community Services district increase the current annual special tax to fund maintaining, upgrading, and improving District roads by \$100 per parcel year such that the total tax will increase from \$200 to \$300 per parcel year?			
EL DORADO	11/8/2016	Measure N	Fail (2/3 required)
Shall the Board of Directors of the Marble Mountain Community Services District be authorized to annually assess each parcel of real property located within the District which is not included within the boundaries of Road Improvement Zone "1" a special tax in an amount up to, but not exceeding, the amount of \$400 per parcel to be extended for the maintenance and improvement of roadways located in those areas of the District lying outside of Road Improvement Zone '1'?			
FRESNO	11/8/2016	Measure T	Fail
Shall the Section 21 of the Fresno County Charter be amended to provide that the Chief Probation Officer shall be appointed by and serve at the pleasure of the County Administrative Officer?			
FRESNO	11/8/2016	Measure U	Fail
Shall the Fresno County Charter be amended as follows: Amend section 16 to remove the Director of Public Works as county surveyor and authorizing Director of Public Works to appoint a county surveyor; Amend sections 14 and 16 to change the Public Administrator from an elected position to one appointed by the County Administrative Officer; Amend section 12(h) regarding a local preference for Fresno County goods, services and supplies; and Repeal section 32 obsolete language?			
HUMBOLDT	11/8/2016	Measure Q	Fail
Shall the Humboldt County Board of Supervisors establish by ordinance the Department of Finance and consolidate therewith the offices of the county Auditor-Controller and county Treasurer-Tax Collector?			
HUMBOLDT	11/8/2016	Measure R	Fail
Shall the Humboldt County Board of Supervisors create an elective office for the Department of Finance?			



## TABLE 1.2 TEXT FOR COUNTY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2016

HUMBOLDT	11/8/2016	Measure S	Pass
To maintain and improve essential services, including public safety, job creation; crime investigation/prosecution; environmental cleanup/restoration; children/family mental health; drug rehabilitation; other County services, shall Humboldt County establish a \$1 - \$3 per square foot, based upon type of grow, annual commercial marijuana cultivation tax generating approximately \$7.3 million annually until ended by voters, with all revenue for the County, none for the State, annual audits, and public review?			
HUMBOLDT	11/8/2016	Measure U	Fail (2/3 required)
To supplement existing funding for maintenance, rehabilitation, and reconstruction of existing transportation including trails and transit; local match leveraging of state/federal funds for road, trail, and transit infrastructure; and retention of existing commercial air service, shall the Humboldt County voters adopt an ordinance establishing a 1/2¢ per dollar sales tax throughout Humboldt County for 20 years, raising approximately \$10,000,000 annually, requiring independent audits, separate accounts, public oversight and local revenue control?			
HUMBOLDT	11/8/2016	Measure V	Pass
Shall an ordinance be adopted to preserve mobile home parks in unincorporated areas of Humboldt County as important sources of affordable housing by: regulating pass-through fees, regulating fee spikes when a home is sold, and regulating monthly lot rents, which would be limited to annual increases pegged to the consumer price index; and shall government administrative costs be offset by a \$5 monthly fee charged to mobile home park residents?			
INYO	11/8/2016	Measure G	Pass
(ADVISORY) Should the County of Inyo adopt regulations which would allow COMMERCIAL cannabis businesses within the County, including but not limited to, cultivation, processing, manufacturing, sales, distribution, warehousing and transportation of MEDICAL cannabis?			
INYO	11/8/2016	Measure H	Pass
(ADVISORY) If Proposition 64, the California Marijuana Legalization Initiative Statute, passes, should the County of Inyo adopt regulations which would allow COMMERCIAL cannabis businesses within the County, including but not limited to, cultivation, processing, manufacturing, sales, distribution, warehousing and transportations of RECREATIONAL cannabis?			
INYO	11/8/2016	Measure I	Pass
Shall the County ordinance adding Chapter 3.50 to the Inyo County Code imposing a 5% gross receipts tax on COMMERCIAL cannabis businesses (but no less than \$1,250 per growing cycle for cultivation BUSINESSES) in the unincorporated area of Inyo County, and authorizing the Board of Supervisors to increase the tax to a maximum of 12.5% over time, be adopted?			
KERN	6/7/2016	Measure F	Fail (2/3 required)
Shall the Kern County Board of Supervisors enact a 0.125 percent (1/8 cent) transactions and use tax on all qualified retail sales within the County of Kern, for the benefit of the Kern County Public Library system, in accordance with California Revenue and Taxation Code §7286.59?			
KERN	6/7/2016	Measure G	Fail (2/3 required)
To maintain police services, may the Bear Valley Community Services District increase the voter-approved annual special tax on each parcel within the District, for the limited purpose of paying salaries and benefits to Bear Valley Police Department personnel, by \$167, from \$80 to \$247 beginning on July 1, 2016, subject to an annual cost of living adjustment not to exceed 3% as provided in Board Resolution 15/16-27?			
KINGS	6/7/2016	Measure K	Fail (2/3 required)
Shall the Public Safety 1/4 cent Transactions and Use Tax Ordinance be adopted? The County and its four cities anticipate the measure will permanently generate approximately \$4 million annually to be used exclusively for the police and fire departments of these five municipalities. The tax would not be levied if the 1/4 cent Proposition 30 sales tax is extended by the state beyond January 1, 2017.			
KINGS	11/8/2016	Measure K	Fail (2/3 required)
Shall the Public Safety ¼ cent Transactions and Use Tax Ordinance be adopted? The County and its four cities anticipate the measure will permanently generate approximately \$4 million annually to be used exclusively for the police and fire departments of these five municipalities. The tax would not be levied if the ¼ cent Proposition 30 sales tax is extended by the state beyond January 1, 2017.			
LAKE	11/8/2016	Measure C	Pass
Shall an annual general purpose tax be imposed on legally-authorized cannabis cultivation, with an exemption for personal medicinal use, occurring in unincorporated areas of the County of Lake of \$1.00 per square foot of an outdoor cultivation site, \$2.00 per square foot of a mixed-light cultivation site, and \$3.00 per square foot of an indoor cultivation site, subject to annual Consumer Price Index increases, and generating annual revenue of approximately \$8 million per average year?			
LASSEN	5/3/2016	Measure E	Pass
Shall the order adopted on December 14th, 2015 by the Local Agency Formation Commission of the County of Lassen ordering the formation of a District in the territory described, known as the Southern Cascades Community Services District, be approved?			

## TABLE 1.2 TEXT FOR COUNTY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2016

LASSEN	5/3/2016	Measure F	Pass (2/3 required)
Shall a measure be adopted to assess a special tax of \$65 per annum per parcel regardless of the size for up to two parcels owned by each unique landowner regardless of which county the parcels are located, the special tax will be authorized only if the Southern Cascades Community Services District is formed and is collected in the same manner as taxes on real property, commencing in the fiscal year after which the voters have authorized the special tax, with the revenue to be used for local ambulance, emergency medical response and training, and any lawful purpose of the Southern Cascades Community Services District? (NOTE: Passage of a special tax shall be required for the district to be formed.)			
LASSEN	6/7/2016	Measure G	Fail
(ADVISORY) Should Lassen County separate from the State of California and become part of a new State of Jefferson?			
LOS ANGELES	11/8/2016	Measure A	Pass (2/3 required)
To replace expiring local funding for safe, clean neighborhood/ city/county parks; increase safe playgrounds, reduce gang activity; keep neighborhood recreation/ senior centers, drinking water safe; protect beaches, rivers, water resources, remaining natural areas/ open space; shall 1.5 cents be levied annually per square foot of improved property in Los Angeles County, with bond authority, requiring citizen oversight, independent audits, and funds used locally?			
LOS ANGELES	11/8/2016	Measure M	Pass (2/3 required)
To improve freeway traffic flow/safety; repair potholes/sidewalks; repave local streets; earthquake retrofit bridges; synchronize signals; keep senior/disabled/student fares affordable; expand rail/subway/bus systems; improve job/school/airport connections; and create jobs; shall voters authorize a Los Angeles County Traffic Improvement Plan through a ½ ¢ sales tax and continue the existing ½ ¢ traffic relief tax until voters decide to end it, with independent audits/oversight and funds controlled locally?			
MARIN	6/7/2016	Measure AA	Pass (2/3 required)
To protect San Francisco Bay for future generations by reducing trash, pollution and harmful toxins, improving water quality, restoring habitat for fish, birds and wildlife, protecting communities from floods, and increasing shoreline public access, shall the San Francisco Bay Restoration Authority authorize a parcel tax of \$12 per year, raising approximately \$25 million annually for twenty years with independent citizen oversight, audits, and all funds staying local?			
MARIN	11/8/2016	Measure A	Fail (2/3 required)
To support the health, education and safety of underserved children with approximately \$12,000,000 in annual local funding the State cannot take away, shall County of Marin expand access to quality preschool education; provide healthcare including early screenings, vision, dental and behavioral/mental health services; expand afterschool/summer learning programs promoting reading/writing/math achievement; and provide affordable childcare for infants, toddlers and young children by enacting a ¼% sales tax for 9 years with independent oversight/audits?			
MARIN	11/8/2016	Measure L	Pass (2/3 required)
Adoption of Ordinance No. 2016-07-13 to impose a special tax for fire protection, including fuel abatement and emergency preparedness, in the Muir Beach Community Services District. To provide critical funding for fire protection services, including fuel abatement, emergency preparedness and equipment upgrades, shall Muir Beach CSD Ordinance No. 2016-07-13, which imposes an annual \$213.00 parcel tax for 10 years with annual CPI adjustment, be approved and shall the Muir Beach CSD appropriations limit be increased by the amount of this voter approved tax?			
MARIN	11/8/2016	Measure M	Pass (2/3 required)
Shall the special tax for Sheriff deputy patrol services, levied annually upon living units located within CSA 17 (Kent Woodlands), be increased from \$260.00 per year to \$360.00 per year, subject to an annual inflation adjustment not to exceed 3% per year, for each living unit within that area commencing with the 2016-2017 fiscal year?			
MARIN	11/8/2016	Measure N	Pass (2/3 required)
Shall a special tax to purchase and install License Plate Readers (LPR) that record the license plates of vehicles traveling through the Kent Woodlands neighborhood so as to deter criminal activities, such as burglary, be levied in the amount of \$100.00 for the 2016-2017 fiscal year and then in the amount of \$11.00 annually each fiscal year thereafter for maintenance of the LPR upon living units located within CSA 17 (Kent Woodlands area)?			
MARIN	11/8/2016	Measure O	Pass (2/3 required)
For ongoing maintenance dredging in the principal waterways of Paradise Cay along with the north and south entry channels to San Francisco Bay, shall the existing parcel tax of \$1,200 on each original lot be increased to \$1,500, providing \$204,000 annually for 10 years, commencing in fiscal year beginning July 2017 in County Service Area No. 29, with increases limited to 3% per year?			
MENDOCINO	6/7/2016	Measure V	Pass
Shall the people of Mendocino county declare intentionally killed and left standing trees a public nuisance?			
MENDOCINO	6/7/2016	Measure W	Fail
(INITIATIVE) Shall a charter commission be elected to propose a Mendocino County charter?			

## TABLE 1.2 TEXT FOR COUNTY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2016

MENDOCINO (INITIATIVE)	11/8/2016	Measure AF	Fail
Shall an Initiative of the People of the County of Mendocino to Regulate Medical Cannabis Cultivation, Processing, Testing, Distribution, Transportation, Delivery and Dispensing be approved?			
MENDOCINO (INITIATIVE)	11/8/2016	Measure AG	Fail (2/3 required)
Shall an initiative of the people of the County of Mendocino to add a temporary half-cent sales tax to fund facilities in Mendocino County to assist in the diagnosis and treatment of mental health and drug dependence be approved?			
MENDOCINO	11/8/2016	Measure AH	Fail (2/3 required)
Shall an ordinance be adopted containing specific enactment language that will allow for the collection of taxes pursuant to the initiative to add a temporary half-cent sales tax to fund facilities in Mendocino County to assist in the diagnosis and treatment of mental health and drug dependency, if said initiative is passed by the voters at the November 8, 2016 election, without which, no taxes will be collected pursuant to said initiative?			
MENDOCINO	11/8/2016	Measure AI	Pass
Shall Chapter 6.32 be added to the Mendocino County Code, placing a business tax on cannabis cultivation and dispensaries (not to exceed 10% of gross receipts) and cannabis distribution, delivery, manufacturing, nurseries, testing laboratories and transportation businesses (\$2,500.00 per year, to be adjusted in accordance with consumer price index increases) of medical and nonmedical cannabis where legalized by state law, potentially generating millions of dollars annually to help fund county services be approved?			
MENDOCINO (ADVISORY)	11/8/2016	Measure AJ	Pass
If Mendocino County adopts business license taxes on cannabis businesses by the adoption of the measure adopting Chapter 6.32, Measure AI, should the County use a majority of that revenue for funding enforcement of marijuana regulations, enhanced mental health services, repair of county roads, and increase fire and emergency medical services?			
MERCED	11/8/2016	Measure V	Pass (2/3 required)
To provide funding for local transportation improvements including fixing potholes and maintaining local roads; reducing traffic congestion on highways and local roads; providing seniors, disabled and veterans with mobility options; improving pedestrian and bike travel; shall the Merced County Transportation Authority enact a 1/2 cent sales tax, providing \$15 million dollars annually for transportation projects for 30 years, that cannot be taken by the State, with citizens' oversight, and requiring all money to be spent entirely in Merced County?			
MODOC	5/3/2016	Measure E	Pass
Shall the order adopted on December 14th, 2015 by the Local Agency Formation Commission of the County of Lassen ordering the formation of a District in the territory described, known as the Southern Cascades Community Services District, be approved?			
MODOC	5/3/2016	Measure F	Pass (2/3 required)
Shall a measure be adopted to assess a special tax of \$65 per annum per parcel regardless of the size for up to two parcels owned by each unique landowner regardless of which county the parcels are located, the special tax will be authorized only if the Southern Cascades Community Services District is formed and is collected in the same manner as taxes on real property, commencing in the fiscal year after which the voters have authorized the special tax, with the revenue to be used for local ambulance, emergency medical response and training, and any lawful purpose of the Southern Cascades Community Services District? (NOTE: Passage of a special tax shall be required for the district to be formed.)			
MONTEREY	11/8/2016	Measure X	Pass (2/3 required)
Shall the Transportation Agency for Monterey County fund a transportation safety and investment plan to: improve safety on local roads and highways; repair potholes; maintain streets and roads; reduce traffic congestion; improve transportation for seniors, young people, and people with disabilities; and make walking and biking safer, by enacting a three-eighths' percent sales tax, raising approximately twenty million dollars annually over 30 years, plus state and federal matching funds, with citizen oversight and annual independent audits?			
MONTEREY	11/8/2016	Measure Y	Pass
Shall the ordinance imposing a tax on commercial marijuana businesses in the unincorporated area of Monterey County only (not cities) up to a maximum of: \$25 per square foot on cultivation with an annual adjustment by Consumer Price Index (CPI) thereafter; \$5 per square foot on nurseries with annual CPI adjustment thereafter; and 10% of gross receipts on other marijuana business activities with no CPI, potentially generating millions of dollars annually to help fund County services, be adopted?			
MONTEREY (INITIATIVE)	11/8/2016	Measure Z	Pass
Shall an initiative amending the Monterey County General Plan, Local Coastal Program, and Fort Ord Master Plan to: (1) prohibit the use of land within the County's unincorporated (non-city) areas for hydraulic fracturing treatments ("fracking"), acid well stimulation treatments, and other well stimulation treatments; (2) prohibit new and phase out existing land uses that utilize oil and gas wastewater injection and impoundment; and, (3) prohibit the drilling of new oil and gas wells in the County's unincorporated areas be adopted?			
NAPA	6/7/2016	Measure Y	Fail
Shall the ordinance increasing the Transactions and Use Tax by .25% be adopted?			

## TABLE 1.2 TEXT FOR COUNTY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2016

NAPA	6/7/2016	Measure AA	Pass (2/3 required)
To protect San Francisco Bay for future generations by reducing trash, pollution and harmful toxins, improving water quality, restoring habitat for fish, birds and wildlife, protecting communities from floods, and increasing shoreline public access, shall the San Francisco Bay Restoration Authority authorize a parcel tax of \$12 per year, raising approximately \$25 million annually for twenty years with independent citizen oversight, audits, and all funds staying local?			
NAPA	11/8/2016	Measure A	Pass (2/3 required)
Shall Napa County Ordinance No. 2016-04 be adopted? (Compromise ordinance requiring the Animal Shelter to do the following in a cost effective way: (i) prepare behavioral and medical evaluations for impounded or surrendered dogs, cats and rabbits before destruction, (ii) work with non-profit animal organizations, (iii) provide veterinary care, socialization, and exercise, and (v) publish a list of nonprofit animal organizations and a list of statistical release rates of dogs, cats, and rabbits.)			
NAPA	11/8/2016	Measure B	Fail (2/3 required)
(INITIATIVE) Shall Napa County Ordinance No. 2016-03 be adopted? (Amends the Napa County Code requiring the Animal Shelter to (i) prepare behavioral and medical evaluations for impounded or surrendered dogs, cats and rabbits before destruction, (ii) work with non-profit animal organizations, (iii) provide veterinary care, socialization, and exercise using all resources available, and (v) publish a list of nonprofit animal organizations and a list of statistical release rates of dogs, cats, and rabbits.			
NAPA	11/8/2016	Measure Z	Fail (2/3 required)
To protect drinking water by preserving and restoring watersheds, rivers, creeks; protect natural open spaces and wildlife habitat; reduce wildfire risk; and maintain parks and trails; shall Napa County enact a 1/4 percent sales tax for the Napa County Regional Park and Open Space District raising an estimated eight million dollars annually for fourteen years with citizen oversight, annual audits, and funds that cannot be taken by the State?			
NEVADA	6/7/2016	Measure W	Fail
Shall an ordinance be adopted which (a) bans outdoor cultivation, commercial cultivation and other commercial cannabis activities, (b) limits indoor cultivation to 12 plants per parcel in residential and rural areas, (c) prohibits indoor marijuana cultivation in unpermitted structures and areas used or intended for human occupancy, and (d) allows marijuana cultivation only by qualified patients and primary caregivers and only for medicinal purposes?			
NEVADA	11/8/2016	Measure A	Pass (2/3 required)
To maintain, restore and enhance library services including: (1) Providing children/teen services, such as homework help, computer classes, and school outreach; (2) Enhancing senior/disabled services; (3) Maintaining/restoring Library hours at all branches; (4) Keeping materials and services current, shall an ordinance be adopted extending the existing voter-approved sales tax at the adjusted rate of 1/4 cent, raising approximately \$4 million annually, for 15 years, with citizen oversight, and requiring that all funds benefit Nevada County libraries?			
ORANGE	6/7/2016	Measure A	Pass
Shall the Ordinance amending the County Charter to establish the Campaign Finance and Ethics Commission to provide administrative oversight and enforcement of the Orange County Campaign Reform Ordinance and County ethics ordinances and amending the Orange County Campaign Reform Ordinance to remove unlawful provisions, allow for establishing an additional campaign bank account, under specified circumstances and repeal provisions pertaining to civil enforcement by the California Fair Political Practices Commission be adopted?			
ORANGE	6/7/2016	Measure B	Pass
Shall the Charter for the County of Orange be amended to require the Auditor-Controller to prepare a fiscal impact statement for any countywide measure placed on the ballot?			
PLACER	11/8/2016	Measure M	Fail (2/3 required)
Shall Placer County reconfigure the 80/65 Interchange to relieve congestion; better maintain roads countywide; provide dedicated funding for rural road pothole repair; widen roadways/expand transit; expand Highway 65 in each direction; provide safe routes to school; add seniors/disabled persons transit; widen Baseline Road and build Placer Parkway creating I-80 alternatives, by establishing a one-half cent sales tax, limited to 30 years, raising \$53 million annually, with independent audits, citizens' oversight, and increasing eligibility for state/federal matching?			
PLUMAS	6/28/2016	Measure A	Pass
Upon adoption by the majority of registered voters in the Grizzly Ranch Community Services District, shall the Board of Directors for the Grizzly Ranch Community Services District (currently the County Board of Supervisors) be changed to a Board of Directors elected at large by registered voters of the district with such directors taking office if the measure is passed by a majority vote?			
SACRAMENTO	11/8/2016	Measure B	Fail (2/3 required)
To: Fill potholes and repave streets; Repair deteriorating bridges; Relieve traffic on roads and freeways; Build a new expressway between Elk Grove, Rancho Cordova and Folsom; Extend Light Rail toward the Airport and Elk Grove; Support Light Rail and bus operations, maintenance, and security; and Improve bicycle and pedestrian safety; Shall the ordinance enacting a 30-year countywide one half cent sales tax, raising approximately \$100 million annually, with independent oversight and audits, be adopted?			

## TABLE 1.2 TEXT FOR COUNTY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2016

SAN BENITO	6/7/2016	Measure P	Fail (2/3 required)
Shall the voters authorize implementing the San Benito County Transportation Safety and Investment Plan to: (1) Repair and maintain roads; (2) Improve safety and relieve congestion on Highway 25; (3) Improve safety and traffic flow on local roads; (4) Increase bicycle and pedestrian safety; and (5) Improve transit services for youth, seniors, and people with disabilities by enacting a half cent sales tax, raising approximately \$8 million annually over 30 years, with annual audits by an independent oversight committee?			
SAN BERNARDINO	6/7/2016	Measure E	Fail (2/3 required)
Shall the Morongo Valley Fire and Rescue Assessment be converted into a special parcel tax of \$350 per year, adjusted for inflation, to use in funding fire protection and paramedic services provided by the Morongo Valley Community Services District?			
SAN BERNARDINO	6/7/2016	Recall 1	Pass
Shall GEOFFREY BERNER be recalled (removed) from the office of Director?			
SAN BERNARDINO	6/7/2016	Recall 2	Pass
Shall ROBERT W. SMITH be recalled (removed) from the office of Director?			
SAN DIEGO	11/8/2016	Measure A	Fail (2/3 required)
Shall an ordinance be adopted to: repair roads, deteriorating bridges; relieve congestion; provide every community funds for pothole/street repairs; expand public transit, including improved services for seniors, disabled, students, veterans; reduce polluted runoff; preserve open space to protect water quality/reduce wildfires by enacting, with independent oversight/audits, a 40-year, half-cent local sales tax (\$308 million annually) that Sacramento cannot take away?			
SAN DIEGO	11/8/2016	Measure B	Fail
(INITIATIVE) Shall this Initiative be adopted for the purpose of amending the County General Plan, Zoning Ordinance and Code of Regulatory Ordinances and approving the Lilac Hills Ranch Specific Plan ("Plan")? The Plan provides for the development of a 608-acre master-planned community including 1,746 dwelling units, three commercial centers, a public park, 10 private parks and 16 miles of trails. The project site is generally located north of Escondido and east of I-15 in the unincorporated area of North San Diego County.			
SAN DIEGO	11/8/2016	Measure KK	Fail (2/3 required)
Shall the Rincon Ranch Community Services District be authorized to establish and levy a special tax override, on all taxable real property within its boundaries for the purpose of providing funds for repair and resurface of the roads maintained by the District, with this special tax not to exceed five dollars and twenty cents (\$5.20) per acre, or portion thereof, plus one hundred fifty dollars (\$150) per parcel of land per year with a provision for an annual increase not to exceed the annual Engineering News Record (ENR) for the prior year?			
SAN FRANCISCO	6/7/2016	Measure A	Pass (2/3 required)
To protect public health and safety, improve community medical and mental health care services, earthquake safety, and emergency medical response; to seismically improve, and modernize neighborhood fire stations and vital public health and homeless service sites; to construct a seismically safe and improved San Francisco Fire Department ambulance deployment facility; and to pay related costs, shall the City and County of San Francisco issue \$350,000,000 in general obligation bonds, subject to citizen oversight and regular audits?			
SAN FRANCISCO	6/7/2016	Measure B	Pass
Shall the City amend the Charter to extend the Park, Recreation and Open Space Fund until 2046 and give the Recreation and Park Department each year a minimum baseline amount from the General Fund in addition to the Fund set-aside of 2½ cents for each \$100 of assessed property value?			
SAN FRANCISCO	6/7/2016	Measure C	Pass
Shall the City amend the Charter to increase affordable housing requirements for private developers of new market-rate housing projects of 25 or more units until the Board of Supervisors passes an ordinance changing those requirements and also authorize the Board of Supervisors to change affordable housing requirements by ordinance?			
SAN FRANCISCO	6/7/2016	Measure D	Pass
Shall the Office of Citizen Complaints investigate any incident occurring within the City in which a San Francisco police officer fires a gun killing or physically injuring someone?			
SAN FRANCISCO	6/7/2016	Measure E	Pass
Shall the City amend the Paid Sick Leave Ordinance to parallel broader state law provisions without reducing the Paid Sick Leave Ordinance's coverage and allow employees to use paid sick leave hours for the broader purposes authorized by state law?			
SAN FRANCISCO	6/7/2016	Measure AA	Pass (2/3 required)
To protect San Francisco Bay for future generations by reducing trash, pollution and harmful toxins, improving water quality, restoring habitat for fish, birds and wildlife, protecting communities from floods, and increasing shoreline public access, shall the San Francisco Bay Restoration Authority authorize a parcel tax of \$12 per year, raising approximately \$25 million annually for twenty years with independent citizen oversight, audits, and all funds staying local?			

## TABLE 1.2 TEXT FOR COUNTY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2016

SAN FRANCISCO	11/8/2016	Measure C	Pass (2/3 required)
To Amend 1992 voter approved measure Proposition A, to allow as an additional purpose the incurrence of bonded indebtedness to finance the acquisition, improvement, and rehabilitation of at-risk multi-unit residential buildings and to convert such structures to permanent affordable housing; shall the City and County of San Francisco issue up to \$260,700,000 in general obligation bonds, subject to independent citizen oversight and regular audits?			
SAN FRANCISCO	11/8/2016	Measure D	Fail
Shall the City amend the Charter to require the Mayor to make a temporary appointment to fill a vacancy in a local elected office within 28 days of the date of the vacancy; provide that the person who temporarily fills a vacancy on the Board of Supervisors cannot run in the election held to fill that vacancy for the remainder of the term; and require the City to hold an election to fill a vacancy on the Board of Supervisors within 126 to 154 days if there is no City election scheduled, within 180 days if another election is already scheduled within that period, or more than 180 days later if requested by the Director of Elections and approved by the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors			
SAN FRANCISCO	11/8/2016	Measure E	Pass
Shall the City amend the Charter to transfer responsibility from property owners to the City for maintaining trees on sidewalks around their property as well as sidewalks damaged by the trees, and pay for this by setting aside \$19 million per year from its General Fund, adjusted annually based on City revenues?			
SAN FRANCISCO	11/8/2016	Measure F	Fail
Shall the City amend the Charter to allow San Francisco residents to vote on local candidates and local ballot measures if they are U.S. citizens, at least 16 years old and registered to vote?			
SAN FRANCISCO	11/8/2016	Measure G	Pass
Shall the City amend the Charter to rename the Office of Citizen Complaints as the Department of Police Accountability (DPA); require the DPA to review the San Francisco Police Department's use-of-force policies and its handling of claims of police misconduct; allow the DPA to audit or review any SFPD policy, procedure or practice; specify the City records that the DPA may access to perform its duties; and provide that the DPA would separately submit its budget to the Mayor?			
SAN FRANCISCO	11/8/2016	Measure H	Fail
Shall the City amend the Charter to create the position of Public Advocate, responsible for investigating and attempting to resolve public complaints concerning City services and programs; and shall it be City policy to provide the Public Advocate with sufficient funding and a support staff of at least three and up to 25 city employees?			
SAN FRANCISCO	11/8/2016	Measure I	Pass
Shall the City amend the Charter to establish the Dignity Fund and set aside at least \$38 million a year, plus scheduled increases, from the General Fund until June 30, 2037, to pay for programs and services to assist seniors and adults with disabilities?			
SAN FRANCISCO	11/8/2016	Measure J	Pass
Shall the City amend the Charter to create a Homeless Housing and Services Fund, which would provide services to the homeless including housing and Navigation Centers, programs to prevent homelessness and assistance in transitioning out of homelessness by allocating \$50 million per year for 24 years, adjusted annually; and create a Transportation Improvement Fund, which would be used to improve the City's transportation network by allocating \$101.6 million per year for 24 years, adjusted annually?			
SAN FRANCISCO	11/8/2016	Measure K	Fail
Shall the City increase its sales tax by 0.75%, for a total tax of 9.25%?			
SAN FRANCISCO	11/8/2016	Measure L	Fail
Shall the City allow the Mayor to nominate four members to the SFMTA Board of Directors, subject to approval by the Board of Supervisors; allow the Board of Supervisors to appoint three members; reduce from seven to six the number of votes needed for the Board of Supervisors to reject the SFMTA's proposed budget; and require the SFMTA to respond to the Board's findings and submit a revised budget if the Board of Supervisors rejected the original budget?			
SAN FRANCISCO	11/8/2016	Measure M	Fail
Shall the City amend the Charter to create the Housing and Development Commission to oversee two new departments (the Department of Economic and Workforce Development and the Department of Housing and Community Development) that would take over the duties of the Office of Economic and Workforce Development and the Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development, which would cease to exist?			
SAN FRANCISCO	11/8/2016	Measure N	Pass
Shall the City allow a non-citizen resident of San Francisco who is of legal voting age and the parent, legal guardian or legally recognized caregiver of a child living in the San Francisco Unified School District to vote for members of the Board of Education?			

## TABLE 1.2 TEXT FOR COUNTY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2016

SAN FRANCISCO	11/8/2016	Measure O	Pass
Shall the City permanently exempt new office space on Candlestick Point and most of the former Navy shipyard at Hunters Point from the City's annual 950,000-square-foot limit, and provide that any new office space in this project area would not count toward the annual limit that applies in the rest of the City?			
SAN FRANCISCO	11/8/2016	Measure P	Fail
Shall the City be prohibited from proceeding with an affordable housing project on City-owned property unless the Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development receives at least three proposals; and shall the City incorporate into City law most current criteria for selecting a developer for affordable housing projects on City-owned property?			
SAN FRANCISCO	11/8/2016	Measure Q	Pass
Shall the City prohibit the placement of tents on public sidewalks without a City permit and allow the City to remove unauthorized tents if the City provides 24-hour advance notice, offers shelter for all tent residents and stores the residents' personal property for up to 90 days?			
SAN FRANCISCO	11/8/2016	Measure R	Fail
Shall the City create a Neighborhood Crime Unit to prevent and investigate crimes that affect neighborhood safety and quality of life when the City has at least 1,971 full-duty uniformed police officers?			
SAN FRANCISCO	11/8/2016	Measure S	Fail (2/3 required)
Shall the City use the money raised by the current 8% base tax on the rental of hotel rooms to provide specific funding for two different areas: arts programs and family homeless services?			
SAN FRANCISCO	11/8/2016	Measure T	Pass
Shall the City prohibit any lobbyist from making campaign contributions to a City elected official or bundling contributions for the official, if the lobbyist was registered to lobby the official's agency; generally prohibit lobbyists from providing gifts of any value to City officials; and require lobbyists to identify the City agencies they plan to lobby?			
SAN FRANCISCO	11/8/2016	Measure U	Fail
Shall the City increase the income eligibility limit for on-site rental units for all new and existing affordable housing units to make them affordable for households earning up to 110% of the area median income?			
SAN FRANCISCO	11/8/2016	Measure V	Pass
Shall the City collect a tax of one cent per ounce from the distributors of sugar-sweetened beverages?			
SAN FRANCISCO	11/8/2016	Measure W	Pass
Shall the City increase the transfer tax rate for sales of residential and commercial properties from 2% to 2.25% for sales from \$5 million up to \$10 million; from 2.5% to 2.75% for sales from \$10 million up to \$25 million; and from 2.5% to 3% for sales of \$25 million or more?			
SAN FRANCISCO	11/8/2016	Measure X	Pass
Shall the City require developers of projects in parts of the Mission and South of Market neighborhoods to build replacement space if they remove production, distribution and repair (PDR) uses of 5,000 square feet or more, institutional community (IC) uses of 2,500 square feet or more, or arts activities uses of any size, and to obtain a conditional use authorization before changing the property's use?			
SAN LUIS OBISPO	11/8/2016	Measure J	Fail (2/3 required)
To improve our region's transportation system by: Fixing potholes, repaving local streets, relieving traffic congestion; Improving street, highway and bridge safety; Making bike and transit improvements within and between communities; Increasing senior, veterans, disabled and student transit; and Providing safe routes to school. Shall San Luis Obispo County voters enact a half cent transportation sales tax, providing \$25,000,000 annually for nine (9) years, requiring independent citizens' oversight, where all funds stay local and cannot be taken by the State?			
SAN MATEO	6/7/2016	Measure G	Pass (2/3 required)
Shall Resolution No. 074361 of the County of San Mateo continuing the levy of a special tax for four years at a maximum rate of \$65 per parcel per year for extended police and structural fire protection services be approved?			
SAN MATEO	6/7/2016	Measure AA	Pass (2/3 required)
To protect San Francisco Bay for future generations by reducing trash, pollution and harmful toxins, improving water quality, restoring habitat for fish, birds and wildlife, protecting communities from floods, and increasing shoreline public access, shall the San Francisco Bay Restoration Authority authorize a parcel tax of \$12 per year, raising approximately \$25 million annually for twenty years with independent citizen oversight, audits, and all funds staying local?			

## TABLE 1.2 TEXT FOR COUNTY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2016

SAN MATEO	11/8/2016	Measure K	Pass
To ensure San Mateo County quality of life by retaining/improving critical facilities/services, such as: providing affordable homes for seniors, people with disabilities, veterans, families; enhancing public transit; combatting human trafficking; addressing sea level rise; maintaining safe schools and neighborhoods; high-quality preschool and reading programs; park maintenance; and low-income healthcare, shall San Mateo County extend the existing half-cent sales tax, without increasing the rate, providing \$85,000,000 annually for 20 years that the State cannot take away?			
SANTA BARBARA	11/8/2016	Measure B2016	Pass
In order to have uniformity with local cities' hotel tax rates of 12% (Buellton, Carpinteria, Goleta, Solvang, and Santa Barbara) and for general county purposes such as law enforcement, parks, recreation, facility and road maintenance, and others, shall an ordinance amending Santa Barbara County Code Section 32-12 increasing the transient occupancy tax rate (paid by persons renting accommodations for 30 days or less) in the unincorporated areas of the County from 10% to 12% be adopted?			
SANTA BARBARA	11/8/2016	Measure E2016	Pass
Shall the formation of the Isla Vista Community Services District pursuant to Government Code Section 61250 with a provisional appropriations limit of \$640,000 be approved?			
SANTA BARBARA	11/8/2016	Measure F2016	Fail (2/3 required)
If the Isla Vista Community Services District is formed, shall a utility user tax to be imposed by the District on the utilities of gas, water, electricity, sewage, and/or garbage disposal services at the rate of 8% of the total cost of an individual's service charge for the utility being taxed be approved?			
SANTA CLARA	6/7/2016	Measure A	Pass
To continue protecting and preserving local parks; improving access to natural areas, open space, trails and recreational opportunities; keeping restrooms and facilities clean and safe; and maintaining, operating, acquiring and improving local parks, shall the Santa Clara County Park Charter Fund, established in 1972, be extended by an annual general fund transfer estimated to equal 1.5 cents per \$100 of assessed valuation of all property for 15 years, without increasing taxes and all funds benefitting Santa Clara County parks?			
SANTA CLARA	6/7/2016	Measure AA	Pass (2/3 required)
To protect San Francisco Bay for future generations by reducing trash, pollution and harmful toxins, improving water quality, restoring habitat for fish, birds and wildlife, protecting communities from floods, and increasing shoreline public access, shall the San Francisco Bay Restoration Authority authorize a parcel tax of \$12 per year, raising approximately \$25 million annually for twenty years with independent citizen oversight, audits, and all funds staying local?			
SANTA CLARA	11/8/2016	Measure A	Pass (2/3 required)
To provide affordable local housing for vulnerable populations including veterans, seniors, the disabled, low and moderate income individuals or families, foster youth, victims of abuse, the homeless and individuals suffering from mental health or substance abuse illnesses, which housing may include supportive mental health and substance abuse services, shall the County of Santa Clara issue up to \$950 million in general obligation bonds to acquire or improve real property subject to independent citizen oversight and regular audits?			
SANTA CRUZ	11/8/2016	Measure D	Pass (2/3 required)
In order to: improve children's safety around schools; repair potholes; repave streets; improve traffic flow on Highway 1; maintain senior/disabled transit; reduce global warming pollution by providing transportation options like sidewalks, buses, bike lanes, trails; preserve rail options; shall Santa Cruz County voters adopt an ordinance establishing a half-cent sales tax for 30 years, raising approximately \$17 million annually, requiring citizen oversight, independent audits, and funds spent locally?			
SANTA CRUZ	11/8/2016	Measure E	Pass
To protect the quality of life in Santa Cruz County and to fund essential County services such as police, fire, emergency response, health services, youth and senior programs, job creation, housing, environmental protection, and animal shelter/adoption, shall Measure K be amended to revise the definition of cannabis to conform to State law and revise the definition of cannabis business or medical marijuana business to include all cannabis businesses with all funds staying local?			
SIERRA	6/7/2016	Measure A	Fail
(ADVISORY) Should the County of Sierra adopt an ordinance which would allow commercial marijuana activities within the County, including but not limited to cultivation, processing, distribution, warehousing and transportation of marijuana?			
SIERRA	11/8/2016	Measure B	Fail
Shall an ordinance be adopted amending chapter 8.01 of the Sierra County Code banning commercial cultivation of marijuana, regulating outdoor cultivation and indoor cultivation of medical marijuana for qualified patients and primary caregivers only, limiting cultivation per parcel to 10 plants for one qualified caregiver or patient and 20 plants for two or more qualified caregivers or patients, and regulating the location and conditions under which marijuana may be grown within Sierra County?			
SISKIYOU	6/7/2016	Measure R	Fail (2/3 required)
To provide a method to finance increased emergency medical services shall an annual special tax be levied of \$5.00 per Legal Secured Parcel, excluding agricultural secured parcels not improved with a residential dwelling unit located in County Service Area No. 6, commencing Fiscal Year 2017/2018?			



## TABLE 1.2 TEXT FOR COUNTY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2016

SISKIYOU	6/7/2016	Measure S	Fail (2/3 required)
To pay for the servicing of the construction loan liability funding the construction of the new County jail, shall the County of Siskiyou enact a half-cent sales tax for the term of the construction loan, requiring an expenditure plan and annual audit review to ensure funds are spent locally as promised for the benefit of constructing the new County jail?			
SISKIYOU	6/7/2016	Measure T	Pass
Shall Ordinance 15-19 which establishes new limits, conditions, and restrictions related to the cultivation of medical marijuana be adopted?			
SISKIYOU	6/7/2016	Measure U	Pass
Shall Ordinance 15-18 which establishes new procedures for code enforcement related to the cultivation of medical marijuana be adopted?			
SISKIYOU	11/8/2016	Measure B	Pass (2/3 required)
Shall the Lake Shastina Community Services District increase their special tax for police services to \$110 per year? This reflects an increase of \$3.75 per month on each parcel and is the first increase in twenty years. This measure provides for recruiting and retaining qualified law enforcement personnel, furnish, operate and maintain vehicles and essential safety equipment, and police related services for the protection of life and property in the Lake Shastina Community Services District.			
SISKIYOU	11/8/2016	Measure G	Fail
To go toward the Siskiyou County General Fund and be used to pay for the servicing of the construction loan liability funding the construction of the new County jail, shall the County of Siskiyou enact a quarter-percent (0.25%) sales tax for the term of the construction loan for the benefit of the County General Fund and constructing the new County jail?			
SISKIYOU	11/8/2016	Measure H	Fail
(INITIATIVE) Shall the County of Siskiyou amend Articles 1 through 3 of Chapter 13 of Title 3 of the Siskiyou County Code to extend the requirement to obtain a groundwater extraction permit to all other groundwater sources in the County not currently defined as a groundwater basin when groundwater is extracted for use outside the County, and to remove the permitting exemption for commercial water bottling enterprises?			
SOLANO	6/7/2016	Measure G	Pass
(ADVISORY) Shall Solano County allocate 100% of proceeds of any new voter approved taxes on the June 2016 ballot to transportation improvements, including road safety repairs, filling potholes, maintaining local streets and roads, and protecting transportation for seniors and disabled persons, keeping revenues in local communities and requiring that a citizen oversight committee approve all projects before funds are spent?			
SOLANO	6/7/2016	Measure H	Fail
Shall the people of Solano County enact a one-half percent sales tax for general governmental purposes such as maintenance and repair of local streets and roads, pothole repairs, road safety projects, senior and disabled mobility and other essential services within the seven cities and unincorporated area for 5 years with annual audits made available to the public showing how all revenue was spent the previous year?			
SOLANO	6/7/2016	Measure AA	Pass (2/3 required)
To protect San Francisco Bay for future generations by reducing trash, pollution and harmful toxins, improving water quality, restoring habitat for fish, birds and wildlife, protecting communities from floods, and increasing shoreline public access, shall the San Francisco Bay Restoration Authority authorize a parcel tax of \$12 per year, raising approximately \$25 million annually for twenty years with independent citizen oversight, audits, and all funds staying local?			
SOLANO	11/8/2016	Measure A	Pass
Shall the people of Solano County enact a one-quarter of one percent sales tax for general governmental purposes such as improving children's services to homeless children, preventing child abuse, improving access to high quality childcare and preventative child health care programs, providing employment opportunities for youths and other essential services for ten years and assure public accountability by means of a citizens' oversight committee and annual audits?			
SOLANO	11/8/2016	Measure B	Pass
(ADVISORY) Shall the Board of Supervisors provide prevention oriented children's services promoting and improving Solano County children's health, safety and educational success and require oversight and accountability by allocating 100% of the tax revenue collected from any transactions and use tax measure authorized in the incorporated and unincorporated areas of Solano County on the November 8, 2016 ballot?			
SOLANO	11/8/2016	Measure C	Pass
Shall the people of Solano County adopt Ordinance No. 2016 – 1773 to amend Article XII of Chapter 11 of the Solano County Code to establish a general business license tax on marijuana businesses within the County of no more than 15% of annual gross receipts of the business, and which will continue until repealed by the Board of Supervisors or County voters?			

## TABLE 1.2 TEXT FOR COUNTY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2016

SONOMA	6/7/2016	Measure AA	Pass (2/3 required)
To protect San Francisco Bay for future generations by reducing trash, pollution and harmful toxins, improving water quality, restoring habitat for fish, birds and wildlife, protecting communities from floods, and increasing shoreline public access, shall the San Francisco Bay Restoration Authority authorize a parcel tax of \$12 per year, raising approximately \$25 million annually for twenty years with independent citizen oversight, audits, and all funds staying local?			
SONOMA	11/8/2016	Measure J	Fail (2/3 required)
To expand, maintain, and restore Sonoma County parks for future generations; protect drinking water sources, streams, and rivers; protect natural areas; preserve fish and wildlife habitat; reduce wildfire risk and; increase walking, hiking, and biking trails, shall Sonoma County establish a half cent sales tax in the unincorporated areas of Sonoma County for ten years providing \$9.5 million annually, with citizens' oversight and annual audits?			
SONOMA	11/8/2016	Measure K	Pass
In order to preserve rural open space and agricultural land, maintain community identities, and prevent sprawl, shall the "Community Separators Protection Ordinance" be adopted to amend the Sonoma County General Plan to require voter approval of changes to the General Plan that increase the allowed density or intensity of development within Community Separators until December 31, 2036, and to repeal Ordinance 5145R?			
SONOMA	11/8/2016	Measure L	Pass
To address the impacts of tourists by investing in roads, emergency response, workforce housing for families and veterans, water quality protection, and other County services, shall Sonoma County increase the transient occupancy tax from 9% to 12%, until repealed, and paid only by guests staying overnight at lodging facilities within the unincorporated area, in line with many neighboring cities and counties, providing \$4 million annually to local County services, subject to annual audits?			
SONOMA	11/8/2016	Measure M	Pass
Shall the "Sonoma County Transgenic Contamination Prevention Ordinance," which would 1) prohibit the propagation, cultivation, raising, or growing of genetically engineered organisms, as defined in the ordinance, in the unincorporated County; 2) require the Sonoma County Agricultural Commissioner to enforce the ordinance by assessing a civil monetary penalty or pursuing an injunction against violators; and 3) provide for fines and fees for each violation, be adopted?			
SONOMA	11/8/2016	Measure Y	Pass (2/3 required)
To maintain, restore/enhance Library services including: maintaining children's/teen books, materials/ services; providing educational services, including homework help/computer labs; expanding senior/disabled services; keeping qualified librarians; restoring library hours; shall the Sonoma County Library increase the sales tax by 1/8 of a percent, providing \$12,000,000 annually for ten years, and increase the spending limit to allow use of the revenue, with independent audits, citizen oversight, requiring all funds go to Sonoma County Library/no money for Sacramento?			
STANISLAUS	11/8/2016	Measure L	Pass (2/3 required)
To provide funding for local transportation improvements including: fixing potholes/maintaining streets; improving emergency response; providing safe routes to schools; providing senior, veterans and disabled shuttle services; and improving safety/reducing traffic congestion on Highway 99/major streets; shall the Stanislaus County Transportation Authority enact a ½ cent sales tax, generating \$38,000,000 annually for transportation projects, funds that cannot be taken by the State, requiring all money to be spent in Stanislaus County with citizens' oversight for 25 years?			
TEHAMA	11/8/2016	Measure M	Fail (55% required)
Shall the Tehama County Charter be amended so that the salary of each member of the Board of Supervisors is increased by an additional \$600 per month on July 1st of each year, 2017, 2018, 2019, and 2020, and shall not otherwise be changed except by a vote of the people at the time of a general election			
TUOLUMNE	11/8/2016	Measure K	Pass
May affordable rental housing be developed, constructed or acquired with public funds within the unincorporated area of the County of Tuolumne in an amount that does not exceed 60 units annually, with any units not used carrying over to the next year's allotment, and only after satisfying the public review process?			
VENTURA	11/8/2016	Measure C	Pass
Shall the current ordinance requiring a vote of the people for changes to Open Space, Agricultural and Rural General Plan land use designations, goals and policies in the unincorporated area of the County be extended from 2020 through 2050, with specified modifications, such as permitting changes without a vote to allow for up to 12 acres of land to be used for food processing?			
VENTURA	11/8/2016	Measure F	Fail
Shall the current ordinance requiring a vote of the people for changes to Open Space, Agricultural and Rural General Plan land use designations, goals and policies in the unincorporated area of the County be extended from 2020 through 2036, with specified modifications, such as permitting changes without a vote to allow for re-designation of land adjacent to certain school sites and up to 225 acres of land to be used for food processing?			

## TABLE 1.2 TEXT FOR COUNTY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2016

VENTURA	11/8/2016	Measure AA	Fail (2/3 required)
To help preserve Ventura County's quality of life by: fixing potholes, repaving streets, repairing bridges; improving traffic flow and safety on 101 and 118; keeping senior, veteran, disabled, and student bus fares affordable; increasing bicycle and pedestrian safety; protecting waterways and beaches from polluted runoff and restoring watersheds; Shall Ventura County's sales tax be increased by one-half cent for thirty years, raising \$70 million annually, with independent oversight and audits, and with all funds benefiting local residents?			
YUBA	6/7/2016	Measure A	Fail
Shall the ordinance that would increase the number of medical marijuana plants that may be cultivated on parcels of land greater than one acre and allow for cultivation of medical marijuana outdoors and within residences be adopted?			
YUBA	6/7/2016	Measure B	Fail
Shall the ordinance that authorizes licensed medical marijuana dispensaries that will provide medical marijuana to qualified patients and primary caregivers in a retail setting be adopted?			
YUBA	11/8/2016	Measure E	Fail
(INITIATIVE) Shall the ordinance that would allow for commercial medical cannabis activity in Yuba County and establish regulations to generally regulate the cultivation, manufacturing, distribution, and transportation of medical cannabis within the County be adopted?			

TABLE 1.3 SUMMARY OF ELECTION OUTCOMES FOR COUNTY BALLOT MEASURES BY TYPE OF MEASURE, AND COUNTY, 2016

	TAXES		BONDS		CHARTER AMENDMENT		ADVISORY		INITIATIVE		RECALL		GANN LIMIT		ORDINANCE		ALL MEASURES		
	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	TOTAL
Alameda	1	0	1	0													2	0	2
Butte	0	1							1	1					2	0	3	2	5
Calaveras	1	1							0	1	1	0			1	0	3	2	5
Contra Costa	1	1											1	0			2	1	3
El Dorado	0	3			2	0	0	1	1	1							3	5	8
Fresno	0	0			0	2											0	2	2
Humboldt	1	1													1	2	2	3	5
Inyo	1	0					2	0									3	0	3
Kern	0	2															0	2	2
Kings	0	2															0	2	2
Lake	1	0															1	0	1
Lassen	1	0					0	1							1	0	2	1	3
Los Angeles	2	0															2	0	2
Marin	5	1															5	1	6
Mendocino	1	1					1	0	0	2					1	1	3	4	7
Merced	1	0															1	0	1
Modoc	1	0													1	0	2	0	2
Monterey	2	0							1	0							3	0	3
Napa	1	2							0	1					1	0	2	3	5
Nevada	1	0													0	1	1	1	2
Orange					2	0											2	0	2
Placer	0	1															0	1	1
Plumas	0	0													1	0	1	0	1
Sacramento	0	1															0	1	1
San Benito	0	1															0	1	1
San Bernardino	0	1									2	0					2	1	3
San Diego	0	2							0	1							0	3	3
San Francisco	3	1	2	0	6	4									7	5	18	10	28

TABLE 1.3 SUMMARY OF ELECTION OUTCOMES FOR COUNTY BALLOT MEASURES BY TYPE OF MEASURE, AND COUNTY, 2016

	TAXES		BONDS		CHARTER AMENDMENT		ADVISORY		INITIATIVE		RECALL		GANN LIMIT		ORDINANCE		ALL MEASURES		
	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	TOTAL
San Luis Obispo	0	1															0	1	1
San Mateo	3	0															3	0	3
Santa Barbara	1	1													1	0	2	1	3
Santa Clara	1	0	1	0											1	0	3	0	3
Santa Cruz	1	0													1	0	2	0	2
Sierra							0	1							0	1	0	2	2
Siskiyou	1	3							0	1					2	0	3	4	7
Solano	3	1					2	0									5	1	6
Sonoma	3	1													2	0	5	1	6
Stanislaus	1	0															1	0	1
Tehama					0	1											0	1	1
Tuolumne															1	0	1	0	1
Ventura	0	1													1	1	1	2	3
Yuba									0	1					0	2	0	3	3
All Counties	38	30	4	0	10	7	5	3	3	9	3	0	1	0	25	13	89	62	151

TABLE 1.4 SUMMARY OF ELECTION OUTCOMES FOR COUNTY BALLOT MEASURES BY TOPIC OF MEASURE, AND COUNTY, 2016

	LAND USE		SAFETY		GOVERNANCE		ENVIRONMENT		TRANSPORT		FACILITIES		HOUSING		GENERAL SERVICES		REVENUE		ALL MEASURES		
	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	TOTAL		
Alameda							1	0					1	0					2	0	2
Butte	2	1	0	1			1	0											3	2	5
Calaveras	0	1			2	0										1	0		3	2	5
Contra Costa							1	0	0	1							1	0	2	1	3
El Dorado	2	2			1	0			0	3									3	5	8
Fresno					0	2													0	2	2
Humboldt					0	2			0	1			1	0			1	0	2	3	5
Inyo	2	0															1	0	3	0	3
Kern			0	1							0	1							0	2	2
Kings			0	2															0	2	2
Lake																	1	0	1	0	1
Lassen			1	0	1	1													2	1	3
Los Angeles									1	0							1	0	2	0	2
Marin			3	0			1	0						1	1	0	1		5	1	6
Mendocino	0	1	2	0	0	1								0	2	1	2		3	4	7
Merced									1	0									1	0	1
Modoc			1	0	1	0													2	0	2
Monterey	1	0							1	0							1	0	3	0	3
Napa							1	0			0	1		1	1	0	1		2	3	5
Nevada	0	1									1	0							1	1	2
Orange					2	0													2	0	2
Placer									0	1									0	1	1
Plumas					1	0													1	0	1
Sacramento									0	1									0	1	1
San Benito									0	1									0	1	1
San Bernardino			0	1	2	0													2	1	3
San Diego	0	1							0	1									0	3	3

TABLE 1.4 SUMMARY OF ELECTION OUTCOMES FOR COUNTY BALLOT MEASURES BY TOPIC OF MEASURE, AND COUNTY, 2016

	LAND USE		SAFETY		GOVERNANCE		ENVIRONMENT		TRANSPORT		FACILITIES		HOUSING		GENERAL SERVICES		REVENUE		ALL MEASURES		
	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	TOTAL
San Francisco	3	0	2	1	4	6	1	0			1	0	2	1	3	1	2	1	18	10	28
San Luis Obispo									0	1									0	1	1
San Mateo			1	0			1	0									1	0	3	0	3
Santa Barbara					1	0											1	0	2	1	3
Santa Clara							1	0			1	0	1	0					3	0	3
Santa Cruz	1	0							1	0									2	0	2
Sierra	0	2																	0	2	2
Siskiyou	2	1	1	3															3	4	7
Solano							1	0	1	1					2	0	1	0	5	1	6
Sonoma	1	0	1	0			1	0			1	1					1	0	5	1	6
Stanislaus									1	0									1	0	1
Tehama					0	1													0	1	1
Tuolumne													1	0					1	0	1
Ventura	1	1							0	1									1	2	3
Yuba	0	3																	0	3	3
All Counties	15	29	12	9	15	13	10	0	6	12	4	3	6	1	7	5	14	5	89	62	151





---

PART 2  
VOTE TOTALS FOR  
COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES

---



TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2016

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DISTRICT/ OFFICE	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	INC- UMB- ENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATES	TOTAL VOTES CAST <sup>1</sup>	PERCENT OF VOTE	ELEC- TED	
ALAMEDA	6/7/2016	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	1	Full	Haggerty	Scott Patrick	County Supervisor, District 1	Yes	1	44,296	45,466	97.4%	Yes	
			4	Full	Miley	Nate	County Supervisor, District 4	Yes	2	43,507	70,168	62.0%	Yes	
					Parker	Bryan	Businessman/University Trustee	No	2	26,174	70,168	37.3%	No	
			5	Full	Carson	Keith	County Supervisor, District 5	Yes	1	67,556	68,839	98.1%	Yes	
			SUPERIOR JUDGE	1	Full	Thomas	Barbara	Victims' Rights Attorney	No	3	153,845	320,646	48.0%	Runoff
						Jackson	Scott	Law Professor	No	3	90,360	320,646	28.2%	Runoff
						Lim	David G.	Prosecutor/ City Councilmember	No	3	74,601	320,646	23.3%	No
				2	Full	Madden	Jennifer	Human Trafficking Prosecutor	No	2	251,310	315,415	79.7%	Yes
						Van Ee	Jonathan	Public Interest Attorney	No	2	61,759	315,415	19.6%	No
			14	Full	Fujioka	Margaret	Administrative Hearing Officer	No	1	248,987	255,240	97.6%	Yes	
	11/8/2016	SUPERIOR JUDGE	1	Full	Thomas	Barbara	Victims' Rights Attorney	No	2	283,396	543,231	52.2%	Yes	
				Jackson	Scott	Discrimination Attorney/Law Professor	No	2	256,107	543,231	47.1%	No		
ALPINE	6/7/2016	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	2	Full	Hames	Ron	Alpine County Supervisor	Yes	2	75	121	62.0%	Yes	
					Holdridge, Jr.	James	Retired Fire Officer	No	2	46	121	38.0%	No	
			3	Full	Rakow	Katherine	Incumbent	Yes	1	33	38	86.8%	Yes	
			5	Full	Griffith	David Julius	Geologist	No	3	55	135	40.7%	Runoff	
					Sweeney	Tom	Retired College Professor	No	3	43	135	31.9%	Runoff	
			Garrity	Michael	Law Enforcement Officer	No	3	37	135	27.4%	No			
			SUPERIOR JUDGE		Full	Kolpacoff	Thomas D.	Incumbent	Yes	1	389	397	98.0%	Yes
	11/8/2016	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	5	Full	Griffith	David Julius	Geologist	No	2	86	141	61.0%	Yes	
				Sweeney	Tom	Retired College Professor	No	2	55	141	39.0%	No		
AMADOR	6/7/2016	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	1	Full	Crew	Patrick	Jackson City Council	No	1	1,682	1,755	95.8%	Yes	
			2	Full	Forster	Richard M.	Amador County District 2 Supervisor	Yes	2	1,761	2,434	72.4%	Yes	
					Hoiska	Amber	Financial Risk Analyst	No	2	667	2,434	27.4%	No	
			4	Full	Boitano	Louis D.	Incumbent Supervisor District 4	Yes	3	1,251	2,595	48.2%	Runoff	
					Axe	Frank	Teacher/Manager	No	3	1,085	2,595	41.8%	Runoff	
			Clayton	Gwen	Administrative Assistant	No	3	253	2,595	9.7%	No			
		11/8/2016	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	4	Full	Axe	Frank	Teacher/Manager	No	2	1,872	3,669	51.0%	Yes
				Boitano	Louis D.	Incumbent Supervisor District 4	Yes	2	1,785	3,669	48.7%	No		

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2016

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DISTRICT/ OFFICE	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	INC- UMB- ENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATES	TOTAL VOTES CAST <sup>1</sup>	PERCENT OF VOTE	ELEC- TED			
BUTTE	6/7/2016	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	1	Full	Connelly	Bill	Small Businessman	Yes	3	6,795	11,214	60.6%	Yes			
					Warner	Tom	Employment Eligibility Supervisor	No	3	2,610	11,214	23.3%	No			
					Stoker	J.R. "Ron"	Retired	No	3	1,758	11,214	15.7%	No			
			4	Full	Lambert	Steve	Rancher/County Supervisor	Yes	2	6,740	9,392	71.8%	Yes			
					Jensen	Mark	Retired	No	2	2,578	9,392	27.4%	No			
			5	Full	Teeter	Doug	County Supervisor District 5	Yes	4	6,990	15,133	46.2%	Runoff			
					Huffman	Maurice "Big Mo"	Small Business Owner	No	4	3,377	15,133	22.3%	Runoff			
					Wright	Dianna	Business Owner	No	4	3,062	15,133	20.2%	No			
					Grumbles	Dwight "DH"	Businessowner/Flooring Contractor	No	4	1,667	15,133	11.0%	No			
	11/8/2016	COUNTY SUPERVISOR			Full	Teeter	Doug	County Supervisor District 5	Yes	2	12,093	20,832	58.1%	Yes		
						Huffman	Maurice "Big Mo"	Small Business Owner	No	2	8,696	20,832	41.7%	No		
CALAVERAS	6/7/2016	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	1	Full	Tofanelli	Gary N.	Business Owner	No	3	1,089	2,785	39.1%	Runoff			
					Edson	Cliff	Incumbent	Yes	3	1,083	2,785	38.9%	Runoff			
					Romano	Sharon	Retired Business Consultant	No	3	605	2,785	21.7%	No			
			2	Full	Garamendi, Jr.	John "Jack"	Business Owner/Rancher	No	1	2,262	2,380	95.0%	Yes			
					4	Full	Mills	Dennis E.	Calaveras County Water Director	No	3	1,758	3,186	55.2%	Yes	
							Smith	Sherri	Restaurateur	No	3	867	3,186	27.2%	No	
			Radford	Ann			Family Nurse Practitioner	No	3	551	3,186	17.3%	No			
			11/8/2016	COUNTY SUPERVISOR		1	Full	Tofanelli	Gary N.	Business Owner	No	2	2,247	4,206	53.4%	Yes
								Edson	Cliff	County Supervisor/Business Owner	Yes	2	1,933	4,206	46.0%	No
	COUNTY SUPERVISOR <sup>R</sup>			5	Short	Clapp	Clyde	Retired General Contractor	No	4	1,186	3,587	33.1%	Yes		
						Bowerman	Bob	Retired Advertising Executive	No	4	835	3,587	23.3%	No		
Giudici						Bruce	Non-Profit Fiscal Officer	No	4	821	3,587	22.9%	No			
Tunno						David	Trial Consultant	No	4	669	3,587	18.7%	No			
COLUSA	6/7/2016	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	2	Full	Loudon	John D.	Appointed Incumbent	Yes	3	463	958	48.3%	Runoff			
					Moriconi	Robert	Farmer/Businessman	No	3	340	958	35.5%	Runoff			
					Bressler	Terry	Reclamation District Manager	No	3	152	958	15.9%	No			
			3	Full	Marshall	Mark D.	Incumbent	Yes	3	349	718	48.6%	Runoff			
					Boes	Kent	Transit Manager/Councilmember	No	3	227	718	31.6%	Runoff			
					Plachek-Fulcher	Angela	Businesswoman	No	3	138	718	19.2%	No			

<sup>R</sup>Candidate to be elected if the recall measure passes.

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2016

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DISTRICT/ OFFICE	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	INC- UMB- ENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATES	TOTAL VOTES CAST <sup>1</sup>	PERCENT OF VOTE	ELEC- TED		
COLUSA (continued)	6/7/2016	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	4	Full	Evans	Gary J.	Contractor	Yes	3	329	731	45.0%	Runoff		
					Meeker	Susan	Writer/Journalist	No	3	271	731	37.1%	Runoff		
					Lazarus	Ed	Road Maintenance/Businessman	No	3	129	731	17.6%	No		
	11/8/2016	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	2	Full	Loudon	John D.	Appointed Incumbent	Yes	2	790	1,417	55.8%	Yes		
					Moriconi	Robert	Farmer/Businessman	No	2	627	1,417	44.2%	Yes		
			3	Full	Boes	Kent	Transit Manager/Councilmember	No	2	560	1,066	52.5%	Yes		
					Marshall	Mark D.	Incumbent	Yes	2	506	1,066	47.5%	No		
			4	Full	Evans	Gary J.	Contractor	Yes	2	595	1,061	56.1%	Yes		
					Meeker	Susan	Writer/Journalist	No	2	466	1,061	43.9%	No		
CONTRA COSTA	6/7/2016	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	2	Full	Andersen	Candace	County Supervisor, District 2	Yes	1	44,686	45,503	98.2%	Yes		
					Barr	Steve	Council Member, City of Brentwood	No	6	11,490	40,870	28.1%	Runoff		
					Burgis	Diane	East Bay Regional PD Board Member	No	6	9,383	40,870	23.0%	Runoff		
					Hardcastle	Douglas F.	Small Businessman/Councilman	No	6	7,516	40,870	18.4%	No		
					Harper	Wade	Mayor/Retired Policeman	No	6	6,080	40,870	14.9%	No		
					Wilson	Monica E.	Councilmember/Workforce Rep.	No	6	3,827	40,870	9.4%	No		
					LeFrancois	Odessa	Respiratory Therapist	No	6	2,487	40,870	6.1%	No		
					5	Full	Glover	Federal	Supervisor, 5th District	Yes	5	13,101	38,252	34.2%	Runoff
							Farias	Anamarie Avila	Vice Mayor City of Martinez	No	5	12,125	38,252	31.7%	Runoff
							Menesini	Michael	Assistant District Attorney	No	5	5,541	38,252	14.5%	No
							Romero	Dan	Mayor City of Hercules	No	5	5,217	38,252	13.6%	No
					11/8/2016	DIRECTOR, Discovery Bay CSD	Full	Pease	Bill	Town of Discovery Bay CSD Director	Yes	4	3,178	9,110	34.9%
	Mayer	Bill	Business Owner	No				4	2,120	9,110	23.3%	Yes			
	Simon	Mark	Incumbent	Yes				4	2,015	9,110	22.1%	No			
	Wiesen	Mariane B.	Nursing Home Administrator	No				4	1,766	9,110	19.4%	No			
	11/8/2016	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	3	Full	Burgis	Diane	East Bay Regional PD Board Director	No	2	45,413	75,391	60.2%	Yes		
					Barr	Steve	City of Brentwood	No	2	29,783	75,391	39.5%	No		
			5	Full	Glover	Federal	Supervisor, 5th District	Yes	2	36,371	68,538	53.1%	Yes		
					Farias	Anamarie Avila	Vice Mayor City of Martinez	No	2	31,856	68,538	46.5%	No		

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2016

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DISTRICT/ OFFICE	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	INC- UMB- ENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATES	TOTAL VOTES CAST <sup>1</sup>	PERCENT OF VOTE	ELEC- TED		
DEL NORTE	6/7/2016	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	1	Full	Gitlin	Roger	Del Norte County Supervisor	Yes	3	434	874	49.7%	Runoff		
					Murray	Kathryn	City Council Person	No	3	370	874	42.3%	Runoff		
					Derego	Robert	No Ballot Designation	No	3	70	874	8.0%	No		
			2	Full	Cowan	Lori	Business Person	No	2	680	1,048	64.9%	Yes		
					McClure	Martha	Incumbent Supervisor/Retired Educator	Yes	2	368	1,048	35.1%	No		
			5	Full	Finigan	David	Incumbent	Yes	5	491	1,306	37.6%	Runoff		
					Berkowitz	Bob	Business Man	No	5	469	1,306	35.9%	Runoff		
					Colton	Terri	Business Woman	No	5	169	1,306	12.9%	No		
					Sutter	Linda	Paralegal/Process Server	No	5	95	1,306	7.3%	No		
	Webb	Michelle			No Ballot Designation	No	5	82	1,306	6.3%	No				
	11/8/2016	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	1	Full	Gitlin	Roger	Del Norte County Supervisor	Yes	2	719	1,377	52.2%	Yes		
					Murray	Kathryn	City Council Person	No	2	658	1,377	47.8%	No		
			5	Full	Berkowitz	Bob	Business Man	No	2	1,014	1,979	51.2%	Yes		
					Finigan	David	Incumbent	Yes	2	965	1,979	48.8%	No		
	EL DORADO	6/7/2016	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	1	Full	Gaines	Beth	Small Business Owner	No	5	3,181	12,430	25.6%	Runoff	
Hidahl						John W.	El Dorado Hills Fire Board Member	No	5	2,924	12,430	23.5%	Runoff		
Mattock						Noelle	Director, El Dorado Hills CSD	No	5	2,553	12,430	20.5%	No		
Ferry						Steve	Real Estate Businessperson	No	5	2,344	12,430	18.9%	No		
Day						Alan	Local Business Owner	No	5	1,428	12,430	11.5%	No		
2				Full	Frentzen	Shiva	El Dorado County Supervisor District II	Yes	2	7,618	12,441	61.2%	Yes		
					Pratt	Dave	Winery Owner/Businessman	No	2	4,823	12,441	38.8%	No		
3				Full	Veerkamp	Brian K.	Business Owner/Instructor	No	2	8,453	11,793	71.7%	Yes		
					Louis	C.J.	Entrepreneur	No	2	3,340	11,793	28.3%	No		
SUPERIOR JUDGE				Full	Brooks	Nelson K.	Superior Court Judge	Yes	2	31,958	54,927	58.2%	Yes		
					Tiemann	Roland	Attorney/Business Owner	No	2	22,969	54,927	41.8%	No		
					Melikian	Kenneth J.	Superior Court Judge	Yes	1	41,157	41,157	100.0%	Yes		
11/8/2016				COUNTY SUPERVISOR	1	Full	Hidahl	John W.	El Dorado Hills Fire Board Member	No	2	10,833	18,956	57.1%	Yes
							Gaines	Beth	Small Business Owner	No	2	8,123	18,956	42.9%	No

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2016

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DISTRICT/ OFFICE	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	INC- UMB- ENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATES	TOTAL VOTES CAST <sup>1</sup>	PERCENT OF VOTE	ELEC- TED
EL DORADO (continued)	11/8/2016	DIRECTOR, Cameron Park CSD		Full	Blackmon	Amy	Incumbent	Yes	4	3,856	12,532	30.8%	Yes
					Mohr	Margaret	Appointed Incumbent	Yes	4	3,383	12,532	27.0%	Yes
					Lillpop	Gerald	Retired Electronics Salesman	No	4	2,810	12,532	22.4%	No
					Zurawski	Tucker	Student	No	4	2,483	12,532	19.8%	No
		DIRECTOR, El Dorado Hills CSD		Full	Mattock	Noelle	Director	Yes	4	10,703	26,029	41.1%	Yes
					Paulsen	Benjamin L.	Local Business Owner	No	4	7,013	26,029	26.9%	Yes
					Klein	Larry	Property Manager	No	4	4,575	26,029	17.6%	No
					Scotch	Anthony	Realtor/Developer	No	4	3,738	26,029	14.4%	No
FRESNO	6/7/2016	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	2	Full	Borgeas	Andreas	Law Professor/Supervisor	Yes	1	35,739	36,500	97.9%	Yes
					3	Full	Quintero	Sal	Fresno City Councilmember	No	3	11,806	20,276
			Ronquillo	Dan	Businessman		No	3	5,223	20,276	25.8%	No	
			Gastelum	Antonio	Businessman/Business Executive		No	3	3,147	20,276	15.5%	No	
			5	Full	Magsig	Nathan	Clovis Councilman/Businessman	No	3	25,887	41,528	62.3%	Yes
					Ott	Alex	Agricultural Small Businessman	No	3	11,529	41,528	27.8%	No
					Stephens	Lauren	Businessperson	No	3	3,949	41,528	9.5%	No
			GLENN	6/7/2016	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	1	Full	Viegas	John K.	Incumbent	Yes	2	596
Irvin	Bill	Business Owner						No	2	589	1,185	49.7%	No
3	Full	Minto				Vince T.	Appointed Incumbent	Yes	1	1,164	1,165	99.9%	Yes
5	Full	McDaniel				Leigh W.	Farmer/Engineer	Yes	2	594	1,079	55.1%	Yes
		Anderson				Arthur T. (Tom)	Retired	No	2	485	1,079	44.9%	No
HUMBOLDT	6/7/2016	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	1	Full	Bohn	Rex H.	Incumbent	Yes	1	6,136	6,651	92.3%	Yes
					2	Full	Fennell	Estelle	Second District Supervisor (Incumbent)	Yes	2	5,430	7,096
			Rogers	Glen (Bud)	Luthier/Instrument Maker		No	2	1,602	7,096	22.6%	No	
			3	Full	Wilson	Mike	Commission/Environmental Engineer	No	2	7,393	9,044	81.7%	Yes
					Driscoll	Uri	Professional Farrier/Husband	No	2	1,587	9,044	17.5%	No
IMPERIAL	6/7/2016	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	2	Full	Viegas-Walker	Cheryl	Retired Banker	No	4	1,682	5,239	32.1%	Runoff
					Plancarte	Luis A.	Businessman	No	4	1,474	5,239	28.1%	Runoff
					Camarena	Claudia	Program Manager	No	4	1,151	5,239	22.0%	No
					Tabarez, Jr.	Pompeyo	Senior Deputy Sheriff	No	4	932	5,239	17.8%	No
			3	Full	Kelley	Michael W.	Incumbent	Yes	1	3,614	3,614	100.0%	Yes
			4	Full	Kelley	Ryan E.	Incumbent	Yes	1	3,597	3,597	100.0%	Yes

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2016

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DISTRICT/ OFFICE	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	INC- UMB- ENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATES	TOTAL VOTES CAST <sup>1</sup>	PERCENT OF VOTE	ELEC- TED
IMPERIAL (continued)	11/8/2016	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	2	Full	Plancarte	Luis A.	Businessman	No	2	5,919	10,157	58.3%	Yes
					Viegas-Walker	Cheryl	Retired Banker	No	2	4,238	10,157	41.7%	No
INYO	6/7/2016	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	2	Full	Griffiths	Jeff	Incumbent	Yes	2	667	946	70.5%	Yes
					Aldridge	Russ	No Ballot Designation	No	2	279	946	29.5%	No
			4	Full	Tillemans	Mark	Supervisor District 4	Yes	1	815	815	100.0%	Yes
			5	Full	Kingsley	Matt	Incumbent	Yes	1	650	650	100.0%	Yes
KERN	6/7/2016	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	1	Full	Gleason	M. "Mick"	Kern County First District Supervisor	Yes	1	22,292	22,292	100.0%	Yes
			4	Full	Couch	David	Kern County Supervisor, District 4	Yes	2	23,166	30,901	75.0%	Yes
					Owen	Robert	Deputy District Attorney	No	2	7,735	30,901	25.0%	No
			5	Full	Perez	Leticia	Kern County Supervisor	Yes	1	11,086	11,086	100.0%	Yes
			20	Full	Wolf	David	Deputy DA Kern County	No	2	84,249	122,749	68.6%	Yes
					Shayer	W. Steven	Commissioner Superior Court	No	2	38,500	122,749	31.4%	No
					33	Full	Green	Kenneth R.	Homicide Prosecutor/Supervisor	No	1	105,784	105,784
	34	Full	Organ-Bowles	Tiffany	Deputy District Attorney	No	3	49,920	120,124	41.6%	Runoff		
			Woodward	Cory J.	Superior Court Judge	Yes	3	48,858	120,124	40.7%	Runoff		
	11/8/2016	DIRECTOR, Arvin CSD		Full	Alvarez	Maria M.	Incumbent	Yes	3	1,193	3,277	36.4%	Yes
					Rodriguez	Robert	Retired Plant Operator	No	3	1,066	3,277	32.5%	Yes
					Reyna	Aurelio	Incumbent	Yes	3	1,018	3,277	31.1%	No
				Short	Urueta	Jude A.	Water Operator/Businessman	No	2	1,226	2,305	53.2%	Yes
					Esquivel	Karina	No Ballot Designation	No	2	1,079	2,305	46.8%	No
Full				Carlyn	Jay	Strategic Account Director	No	4	1,414	4,824	29.3%	Yes	
				Muell	Larry	Retired	No	4	1,383	4,824	28.7%	Yes	
				Zanutto	Rick	Incumbent	Yes	4	1,218	4,824	25.2%	No	
				Jimenez	Hilda	Business Manager	No	4	809	4,824	16.8%	No	
				Short	Baron	Jane O.	Civil Engineer	No	2	1,425	2,842	50.1%	Yes
Ritchie	Catherine D.	Business/Finance Manager	No	2	1,417	2,842	49.9%	No					



TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2016

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DISTRICT/ OFFICE	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	INC- UMB- ENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATES	TOTAL VOTES CAST <sup>1</sup>	PERCENT OF VOTE	ELEC- TED
KERN (continued)	11/8/2016	DIRECTOR, Golden Hills CSD		Full	Shaw	David	Operations Manager	No	6	1,633	7,418	22.0%	Yes
					King	Joe	Engineer/Manufacturing Manager	No	6	1,539	7,418	20.7%	Yes
					Cassil	Kathy	Retired Teacher	No	6	1,237	7,418	16.7%	Yes
					Barrett	Larry	Realtor/Computer Teacher	No	6	1,154	7,418	15.6%	No
					Baumgarten	Al	Retired	No	6	1,028	7,418	13.9%	No
					Langon	Canaan R.	Local Business Owner	No	6	827	7,418	11.1%	No
	DIRECTOR, Rosamond CSD	Full	Glennan	Byron	Retired Educator	No	5	1,783	7,087	25.2%	Yes		
			Williford	J. Russell	Retired Mine Supervisor	No	5	1,713	7,087	24.2%	Yes		
			Wallis	Alfred Eugene	Incumbent	Yes	5	1,229	7,087	17.3%	No		
			Vincelette, Jr.	Robert	Retired	No	5	1,219	7,087	17.2%	No		
			Ojan	Uriah E.	Alternative Energy Instructor	No	5	1,143	7,087	16.1%	No		
	MEMBER, Rosamond MAC	Full	Hernandez	David E.	Senior Pastor	No	4	2,851	10,222	27.9%	Yes		
			Morris	Gerald	Gun Store Salesman	No	4	2,753	10,222	26.9%	Yes		
			Morris	Donna	Bookkeeper/Tax Preparer	No	4	2,468	10,222	24.1%	No		
			Landsgaard	Olaf	Civil Justice Attorney	No	4	2,150	10,222	21.0%	No		
	SUPERIOR JUDGE	34	Full	Organ-Bowles	Tiffany	Superior Court Judge	Yes	2	132,258	218,290	60.6%	Yes	
				Woodward	Cory J.	Deputy District Attorney	No	2	86,032	218,290	39.4%	No	
	KINGS	6/7/2016	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	2	Full	Valle	Richard	County Supervisor District 2	Yes	2	1,570	2,399	65.4%
Kwast						Debra	Business Owner	No	2	812	2,399	33.8%	No
Fagundes						Richard L.	Incumbent	Yes	2	3,156	5,148	61.3%	Yes
Strickland						Greg	Retired Military/Prosecutor	No	2	1,955	5,148	38.0%	No
11/8/2016		DIRECTOR, Armona CSD	Full	Chavarin	Victor	Community Volunteer	No	4	348	1,268	27.4%	Yes	
				Maciel	James	Incumbent	Yes	4	328	1,268	25.9%	Yes	
				Clemons	Donna Lynn	Retired School Employee	No	4	317	1,268	25.0%	Yes	
				Quinn	Norman	Utilities Manager	No	4	267	1,268	21.1%	No	
LAKE	6/7/2016	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	1	Full	Rosenthal	Monica	Business Owner/Farmer	No	4	912	3,253	28.0%	Runoff
					Simon, III	Jose "Moke"	Tribal Chairman/Businessman	No	4	894	3,253	27.5%	Runoff
					Brumfield	Voris M.	Community Volunteer	No	4	827	3,253	25.4%	No
					Ryan	Jim	Public Safety Supervisor	No	4	620	3,253	19.1%	No

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2016

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DISTRICT/ OFFICE	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	INC- UMB- ENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATES	TOTAL VOTES CAST <sup>1</sup>	PERCENT OF VOTE	ELEC- TED
LAKE (continued)	6/7/2016	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	4	Full	Scott	Tina	School Board Member	No	5	1,253	3,813	32.9%	Runoff
					Scheel	Martin	Lakeport City Councilmember	No	5	962	3,813	25.2%	Runoff
					Mandrone	Ted	Retired Business Manager	No	5	726	3,813	19.0%	No
					Murphy	Phil	Walnut Grower	No	5	514	3,813	13.5%	No
					Rose	Ron	Retired Engineering Contractor	No	5	358	3,813	9.4%	No
	11/8/2016	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	5	Full	Brown	Robert	County Supervisor/Businessman	Yes	3	2,281	3,616	63.1%	Yes
					Reimers	Philip	Retired General Contractor	No	3	851	3,616	23.5%	No
					Stoddard	John Wesley	Retired Contractor/Developer	No	3	484	3,616	13.4%	No
	11/8/2016	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	1	Full	Simon, III	Jose "Moke"	Tribal Chairman/Businessman	No	2	2,560	4,893	52.3%	Yes
					Rosenthal	Monica	Business Owner/Farmer	No	2	2,316	4,893	47.3%	No
Scott					Tina	School Board Member	No	2	3,232	5,429	59.5%	Yes	
Scheel					Martin	Lakeport City Councilmember	No	2	2,170	5,429	40.0%	No	
LASSEN	6/7/2016	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	1	Full	De Martimprey	Alex	Retail Business Owner	No	4	612	1,638	37.4%	Runoff
					Gallagher	Chris	Retired Police Chief	No	4	577	1,638	35.2%	Runoff
					Statti	Paul E.	Retired Law Enforcement	No	4	390	1,638	23.8%	No
					Noyes	Marlo	No Ballot Designation	No	4	54	1,638	3.3%	No
					Teeter	David	Business Owner	No	3	613	1,064	57.6%	Yes
	11/8/2016	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	2	Full	Montgomery	Chris	No Ballot Designation	No	3	310	1,064	29.1%	No
					Stovall	Connie E.	Retired	No	3	138	1,064	13.0%	No
					Albaugh	Aaron	Rancher	Yes	2	884	1,330	66.5%	Yes
	11/8/2016	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	4	Full	Dixon	Stacy	No Ballot Designation	No	2	442	1,330	33.2%	No
					Gallagher	Chris	Retired Police Chief	No	2	1,154	2,244	51.4%	Yes
LOS ANGELES	6/7/2016	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	1	Full	De Martimprey	Alex	Retail Business Owner	No	2	1,083	2,244	48.3%	No
					Ridley-Thomas	Mark	Los Angeles County Supervisor	Yes	1	237,100	237,100	100.0%	Yes
					Hahn	Janice	United States Representative	No	3	166,298	352,867	47.1%	Runoff
					Napolitano	Steve	Supervisor's Senior Deputy	No	3	129,313	352,867	36.6%	Runoff
					Pacheco	Ralph	Gov. Board Member, Whittier UHSD	No	3	57,256	352,867	16.2%	No

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2016

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DISTRICT/ OFFICE	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	INC- UMB- ENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATES	TOTAL VOTES CAST <sup>1</sup>	PERCENT OF VOTE	ELEC- TED
LOS ANGELES (continued)	6/7/2016	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	5	Full	Barger	Kathryn	Chief Deputy Supervisor	No	8	105,520	356,040	29.6%	Runoff
					Park	Darrell	Educator/Budget Specialist	No	8	55,185	356,040	15.5%	Runoff
					Huff	Bob	Businessowner/State Senator	No	8	52,359	356,040	14.7%	No
					Najarian	Ara James	Mayor of Glendale	No	8	46,587	356,040	13.1%	No
					Englander	Mitchell	Councilmember	No	8	42,823	356,040	12.0%	No
					Carr	Elan	Criminal Gang Prosecutor	No	8	40,580	356,040	11.4%	No
					Malone	Billy	Town Council Member	No	8	8,701	356,040	2.4%	No
					Kahlon	Rajpal	Real Estate Investor	No	8	4,285	356,040	1.2%	No
		DISTRICT ATTORNEY		Full	Lacey	Jackie	Los Angeles County District Attorney	Yes	1	1,311,161	1,311,161	100.0%	Yes
		SUPERIOR JUDGE	11	Full	Archuleta	Debra R.	Violent Crimes Prosecutor	No	4	714,214	1,509,059	47.3%	Runoff
					Schreiner	Steven	Gang Homicide Prosecutor	No	4	395,454	1,509,059	26.2%	Runoff
					Kim	Paul	Gang Murder Prosecutor	No	4	222,831	1,509,059	14.8%	No
					Malek	Jonathan Alexander	Civil Litigator	No	4	176,560	1,509,059	11.7%	No
			42	Full	Molina	Alicia	Domestic Violence Attorney	No	4	488,289	1,487,445	32.8%	Runoff
					Aceves	Ethan Matthew	Child Molestation Prosecutor	No	4	441,357	1,487,445	29.7%	Runoff
					Zuzga	Cyndy	Superior Court Commissioner	No	4	405,233	1,487,445	27.2%	No
					Ribons	Michael P.	Arbitrator/Attorney	No	4	152,566	1,487,445	10.3%	No
			60	Full	Kaddo	James A.	Judge of the Superior Court	Yes	2	1,060,461	1,401,454	75.7%	Yes
					Baghdassarian	Stepan W.	Attorney at Law	No	2	340,993	1,401,454	24.3%	No
			84	Full	Townsend	Susan Jung	Criminal Fraud Prosecutor	No	4	531,349	1,464,397	36.3%	Runoff
					Perez	Javier	Supervising Criminal Prosecutor	No	4	455,403	1,464,397	31.1%	Runoff
					Yun	Hubert S.	Gang Murder Prosecutor	No	4	261,413	1,464,397	17.9%	No
					Weissman	Aaron J.	Small Business Attorney	No	4	216,232	1,464,397	14.8%	No
			120	Full	Santana	Ray	Superior Court Judge	Yes	2	1,074,622	1,383,611	77.7%	Yes
Ibisi	Eric O.				Attorney at Law	No	2	308,989	1,383,611	22.3%	No		
	158	Full	Nguyen	Kim L.	Deputy Attorney General	No	5	515,020	1,505,063	34.2%	Runoff		
			Berger	David A.	Violent Crimes Prosecutor	No	5	411,775	1,505,063	27.4%	Runoff		
			Mesropi	Fred	Child Molestation Prosecutor	No	5	270,074	1,505,063	17.9%	No		
			Khoury	Naser "Nas"	Law Professor/Attorney	No	5	189,575	1,505,063	12.6%	No		
			Cole	Onica Valle	Prosecutor	No	5	118,619	1,505,063	7.9%	No		
	165	Full	Solorzano	Kathryn Ann	Superior Court Judge	Yes	2	1,063,122	1,454,099	73.1%	Yes		
			Warren	Tami L.	Violent Crimes Counsel	No	2	390,977	1,454,099	26.9%	No		

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2016

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DISTRICT/ OFFICE	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	INC- UMB- ENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATES	TOTAL VOTES CAST <sup>1</sup>	PERCENT OF VOTE	ELEC- TED	
LOS ANGELES (continued)	11/8/2016	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	4	Full	Hahn	Janice	United States Representative	No	2	355,530	631,101	56.3%	Yes	
					Napolitano	Steve	Supervisor's Senior Deputy	No	2	275,571	631,101	43.7%	No	
			5	Full	Barger	Kathryn	Chief Deputy Supervisor	No	2	350,998	606,163	57.9%	Yes	
					Park	Darrell	Educator/Budget Specialist	No	2	255,165	606,163	42.1%	No	
			SUPERIOR JUDGE	11	Full	Archuleta	Debra R.	Violent Crimes Prosecutor	No	2	1,647,847	2,726,525	60.4%	Yes
						Schreiner	Steven	Gang Homicide Prosecutor	No	2	1,078,678	2,726,525	39.6%	No
		42		Full	Aceves	Efrain Matthew	Child Molestation Prosecutor	No	2	1,646,612	2,717,450	60.6%	Yes	
					Molina	Alica	Domestic Violence Attorney	No	2	1,070,838	2,717,450	39.4%	No	
		84	Full	Townsend	Susan Jung	Criminal Fraud Prosecutor	No	2	1,608,759	2,692,238	59.8%	Yes		
				Perez	Javier	Supervising Criminal Prosecutor	No	2	1,083,479	2,692,238	40.2%	No		
158	Full	Nyugen	Kim L.	Deputy Attorney General	No	2	1,421,318	2,720,895	52.2%	Yes				
		Berger	David A.	Violent Crimes Prosecutor	No	2	1,299,577	2,720,895	47.8%	No				
MADERA	6/7/2016	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	3	Full	Poythress	Robert L.	Mayor/Businessman/Farmer	No	2	3,185	5,099	62.5%	Yes	
					Farinelli	Rick	Incumbent	Yes	2	1,890	5,099	37.1%	No	
			4	Full	Rodriguez	Max	Madera County Supervisor	Yes	1	1,563	1,633	95.7%	Yes	
MARIN	6/7/2016	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	2	Full	Rice	Katie	Marin County Supervisor	Yes	3	11,071	20,604	53.7%	Yes	
					Egger	Frank	Director, Ross Valley Sanitary District	No	3	4,953	20,604	24.0%	No	
					Haroff	Kevin	Environmental Attorney/Vice-Mayor	No	3	4,534	20,604	22.0%	No	
			3	Full	Sears	Katie	Marin County Supervisor	Yes	2	11,068	19,129	57.9%	Yes	
					Kirsch	Susan	Businesswoman/Nonprofit Executive	No	2	8,018	19,129	41.9%	No	
			4	Full	Rodoni	Dennis	Director, North Marin Water District	No	8	4,001	13,882	28.8%	Runoff	
					Grossi	Dominic	Rancher/Business Owner	No	8	3,787	13,882	27.3%	Runoff	
					Kallins	Wendi	Safe Routes Director	No	8	2,471	13,882	17.8%	No	
					Dugan	Al	Risk Management Consultant	No	8	1,272	13,882	9.2%	No	
					Easton-Brown	Alex	Sociologist	No	8	738	13,882	5.3%	No	
		SUPERIOR JUDGE	2	Full	Kaselionis	Tomas P.	Disaster Operations Supervisor	No	8	630	13,882	4.5%	No	
					Staley	Brian	Planner/Designer/Builder	No	8	511	13,882	3.7%	No	
					Tamburo	Mari	Singer/Songwriter/Homemaker	No	8	443	13,882	3.2%	No	
SUPERIOR JUDGE	2	Full	Lichtblau	Sheila	Deputy County Counsel	No	9	16,674	78,006	21.4%	Runoff			
			Coffino	Michael	Deputy Public Defender	No	9	16,028	78,006	20.5%	Runoff			
			Pantaleo	Nicole	Marin County Prosecutor	No	9	12,962	78,006	16.6%	No			
			McCallister	Thomas	Deputy District Attorney	No	9	12,387	78,006	15.9%	No			
			Jordan	Beth S.	Attorney	No	9	5,273	78,006	6.8%	No			

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2016

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DISTRICT/ OFFICE	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	INC- UMB- ENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATES	TOTAL VOTES CAST <sup>1</sup>	PERCENT OF VOTE	ELEC- TED
MARIN (cOntinued)	6/7/2016	SUPERIOR JUDGE	2	Full	McCarthy	Nancy P.	Litigation Attorney	No	9	5,069	78,006	6.5%	No
					Bruce	Otis	Deputy District Attorney	No	9	4,948	78,006	6.3%	No
					Shane	David	Civil Litigation Attorney	No	9	2,542	78,006	3.3%	No
					Marcelle	Renee M.	No Ballot Designation	No	9	1,923	78,006	2.5%	No
	11/8/2016	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	4	Full	Rodoni	Dennis	Director, North Marin Water District	No	2	10,324	19,724	52.3%	Yes
					Grossi	Dominic	Rancher/Business Owner	No	2	9,368	19,724	47.5%	No
		SUPERIOR JUDGE	2	Full	Lichtblau	Sheila	Deputy County Counsel	No	2	60,513	115,929	52.2%	Yes
					Coffino	Michael	Deputy Public Defender	No	2	55,083	115,929	47.5%	No
MARIPOSA	6/7/2016	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	2	Full	Jones	Merlin	Incumbent	Yes	3	609	1,141	53.4%	Yes
					Cook	Debbie	Business Owner/Publisher	No	3	477	1,141	41.8%	No
					Suderman	Thane	Retired Goat Herder	No	3	55	1,141	4.8%	No
			4	Full	Cann	Kevin	Incumbent	Yes	1	838	838	100.0%	Yes
			5	Full	Menetrey	Miles	General Contractor	No	2	860	1,466	58.7%	Yes
					Carrier	John	District 5 Supervisor	Yes	2	606	1,466	41.3%	No
MENDOCINO	6/7/2016	CHARTER COMMISSIONER <sup>P</sup>		Full	Cooperrider	Els	Biologist	No	17	8,213	101,439	8.1%	Yes
					Boecker	Ali	Small Farmer	No	17	7,482	101,439	7.4%	Yes
					Sunbeam	Robin	Registered Nurse	No	17	7,451	101,439	7.3%	Yes
					de Vall	Norman L.	Retired County Supervisor	No	17	6,957	101,439	6.9%	Yes
					McClure	Lynda J.	Retired Union Representative	No	17	6,709	101,439	6.6%	Yes
					Tarbell	James	Journalist / Educator	No	17	6,502	101,439	6.4%	Yes
					Woolsey	Agnes S.	Retired Teacher, Artist	No	17	6,443	101,439	6.4%	Yes
					Wyner	Keith	Retired Educator	No	17	5,966	101,439	5.9%	Yes
					Scalmanini	Steve	Mayor, City of Ukiah	No	17	5,947	101,439	5.9%	Yes
					St. John	Michael	Economist	No	17	5,823	101,439	5.7%	Yes
					Davis	Jed	Aquaponic	No	17	5,765	101,439	5.7%	Yes
					Zellachild	Mary	Grant Writer	No	17	5,551	101,439	5.5%	Yes
					Burgess	Michael S.	Retired Electronics Engineer	No	17	4,877	101,439	4.8%	Yes
					Rosser	Ellen	Retired English Professor	No	17	4,596	101,439	4.5%	Yes
					McKenty	Douglas	Internet Media Producer	No	17	4,235	101,439	4.2%	Yes
					Dalton	Govinda	Radio Broadcast Consultant	No	17	3,871	101,439	3.8%	No
Sowder	David Paul	Caretaker / Writer	No	17	3,122	101,439	3.1%	No					

<sup>P</sup> Proposed Charter Commission

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2016

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DISTRICT/ OFFICE	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	INC- UMB- ENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATES	TOTAL VOTES CAST <sup>1</sup>	PERCENT OF VOTE	ELEC- TED	
MENDOCINO (continued)	6/7/2016	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	1	Full	Brown	Carre	First District Supervisor	Yes	2	3,409	4,528	75.3%	Yes	
					Podva	Montana James	Constitutional Rights Attorney	No	2	1,090	4,528	24.1%	No	
			2	Full	McCowen	John	Elected County Supervisor	Yes	1	2,983	3,097	96.3%	Yes	
		SUPERIOR JUDGE	4	Full	Gjerde	Dan	Fourth District Supervisor	Yes	1	4,281	4,412	97.0%	Yes	
			5	Full	Faulder	Keith	Attorney at Law	No	2	12,408	24,751	50.1%	Yes	
				Pekin	Patrick	Attorney, Volunteer Firefighter	No	2	12,254	24,751	49.5%	No		
MERCED	6/7/2016	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	1	Full	Espinoza	Rodrigo	Livingston Mayor/Farmer	No	2	2,743	4,935	55.6%	Yes	
					Pedrozo	John	Incumbent	Yes	2	2,182	4,935	44.2%	No	
			2	Full	Lor	Lee	Executive Director/Assistant	No	3	4,027	9,933	40.5%	Runoff	
				Walsh	Hubert "Hub"	County Supervisor	Yes	3	3,717	9,933	37.4%	Runoff		
				Steed	Casey	Business Owner	No	3	2,183	9,933	22.0%	No		
		COUNTY SUPERVISOR	4	Full	Pareira	Lloyd	Farmer/School Trustee	No	5	2,759	6,636	41.6%	Runoff	
					Ford	Rich	Owner/Farm Supply	No	5	1,499	6,636	22.6%	Runoff	
				Cervantes	Fidel	School Board President	No	5	1,086	6,636	16.4%	No		
				Mobley	Jack	Business Owner	No	5	815	6,636	12.3%	No		
					Prado	Ramon	Educator/MAC Member	No	5	473	6,636	7.1%	No	
	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	11/8/2016	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	2	Full	Lor	Lee	Executive Director/Assistant	No	2	9,480	17,535	54.1%	Yes
						Walsh	Hubert "Hub"	County Supervisor	Yes	2	8,033	17,535	45.8%	No
			4	Full	Pareira	Lloyd	Farmer/School Trustee	No	2	7,749	11,628	66.6%	Yes	
				Ford	Rich	Owner/Farm Supply	No	2	3,876	11,628	33.3%	No		
MODOC	6/7/2016	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	2	Full	Cullins	Patricia	Appointed Incumbent	Yes	2	355	503	70.6%	Yes	
					Bullock	Jeffrey Dean	Retired Business Owner	No	2	146	503	29.0%	No	
			3	Full	Rhoads	Kathie	Incumbent	Yes	1	347	358	96.9%	Yes	
			4	Full	Cavasso	Elizabeth D.	Business Owner	No	1	410	431	95.1%	Yes	
MONO	6/7/2016	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	2	Full	Stump	Fred	Incumbent County Supervisor	Yes	1	814	837	97.3%	Yes	
					Gardner	Bob	Nonprofit Executive	No	1	556	589	94.4%	Yes	
			COUNTY SUPERVISOR	4	Full	Peters	John	Local Business Operator	No	3	364	779	46.7%	Runoff
	Fesko	Tim				Supervisor District 4	Yes	3	299	779	38.4%	Runoff		
	Tems	Bob				Retired Aerospace Manager	No	3	109	779	14.0%	No		
	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	11/8/2016	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	4	Full	Peters	John	Local Business Operator	No	2	607	1,120	54.2%	Yes
Fesko						Tim	Supervisor District 4	Yes	2	513	1,120	45.8%	No	

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2016

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DISTRICT/ OFFICE	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	INC- UMB- ENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATES	TOTAL VOTES CAST <sup>1</sup>	PERCENT OF VOTE	ELEC- TED
MONTEREY	6/7/2016	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	1	Full	Alejo	Luis	State Assemblymember/Attorney	No	3	4,189	8,345	50.2%	Yes
					Armenta	Fernando	Monterey County Supervisor	Yes	3	2,099	8,345	25.2%	No
					Barrera	Tony	Councilmember/Counselor	No	3	2,057	8,345	24.6%	No
			4	Full	Parker	Jane	Monterey County Supervisor, District 4	Yes	3	9,612	17,220	55.8%	Yes
					Donohue	Dennis	Father/Local Businessman	No	3	6,450	17,220	37.5%	No
					Miller	Alex	Independent Contractor	No	3	1,158	17,220	6.7%	No
			5	Full	Adams	Mary L.	Retired Nonprofit CEO	No	2	16,616	29,793	55.8%	Yes
					Potter	Dave	Monterey County Supervisor	Yes	2	13,177	29,793	44.2%	No
			NAPA	6/7/2016	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	2	Full	Luce	Mark	Napa County Supervisor	Yes	4	3,953
Gregory	Ryan	Business Owner/Engineer						No	4	3,731	8,994	41.5%	Runoff
Anderson	Derek	Business Executive						No	4	776	8,994	8.6%	No
Hinton	James	Consultant						No	4	534	8,994	5.9%	No
4	Full	Pedroza				Alfredo	Appointed Napa County Supervisor	Yes	3	4,340	7,764	55.9%	Yes
		Shepp				Diane	Nonprofit Consultant/Educator	No	3	2,350	7,764	30.3%	No
		Malan				Chris	Counselor	No	3	1,074	7,764	13.8%	No
5	Full	Ramos				Belia	Councilmember/Educator	No	1	5,234	5,234	100.0%	Yes
		2				Full	Gregory	Ryan	Business Owner/Engineer	No	2	6,834	12,551
							Luce	Mark	Napa County Supervisor	Yes	2	5,717	12,551
NEVADA	6/7/2016	COUNTY SUPERVISOR				1	Full	Hall	Heidi	Water Resources Manager	No	2	5,762
			Strawser	Duane	Nevada City Councilman			No	2	4,549	10,311	44.1%	No
			2	Full	Scofield	Ed	Incumbent	Yes	2	4,959	8,195	60.5%	Yes
					Harris	Richard W.	Business Man	No	2	3,236	8,195	39.5%	No
			5	Full	Anderson	Richard	Incumbent	Yes	1	3,816	3,816	100.0%	Yes
			ORANGE	6/7/2016	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	1	Full	Martinez	Michele	City Councilwoman/Educator	No	4	34,235
Do	Andrew	Orange County Supervisor, 1st district						Yes	4	30,888	89,955	34.3%	Runoff
Bui	Phat	Councilman/Business Owner						No	4	16,677	89,955	18.5%	No
Rocco	Steve	Retired Educator/Writer						No	4	8,155	89,955	9.1%	No
3	Full	Spitzer				Todd	Orange County Supervisor	Yes	1	100,467	100,467	100.0%	Yes
3	Full	Wagner				Megan L.	Deputy District Attorney	No	3	351,939	533,343	66.0%	Yes
		Stein				Andrew M.	Attorney at Law	No	3	121,655	533,343	22.8%	No
		Philips				Wayne	Trial Lawyer/Businessman	No	3	59,749	533,343	11.2%	No
		SUPERIOR JUDGE											

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2016

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DISTRICT/ OFFICE	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	INC- UMB- ENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATES	TOTAL VOTES CAST <sup>1</sup>	PERCENT OF VOTE	ELEC- TED			
ORANGE (continued)	6/7/2016	SUPERIOR JUDGE	40	Full	Yellin	Larry	Deputy District Attorney	No	2	360,943	528,838	68.3%	Yes			
					Pham	Thuy D.	Homicide Prosecutor	No	2	167,895	528,838	31.7%	No			
			48	Full	Steiner	Scott	Judge of the Superior Court	Yes	2	288,719	523,016	55.2%	Yes			
					Schatzle	Karen Lee	Deputy District Attorney	No	2	234,297	523,016	44.8%	No			
			49	Full	Murray	Mike	Homicide District Attorney	No	2	375,773	508,867	73.8%	Yes			
					Martin	Thomas E.	Attorney at Law	No	2	133,094	508,867	26.2%	No			
	11/8/2016	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	1	Full	Do	Andrew	Orange County Supervisor, 1st district	Yes	2	76,211	151,774	50.2%	Yes			
					Marlinez	Michele	City Councilwoman/Educator	No	2	75,563	151,774	49.8%	No			
		DIRECTOR, Rossmoor CSD	Full	Demarco	Tony	No Ballot Designation	Yes	5	2,945	11,078	26.6%	Yes				
				Casey	Ron	No Ballot Designation	Yes	5	2,431	11,078	21.9%	Yes				
Maynard				Michael	No Ballot Designation	Yes	5	2,318	11,078	20.9%	Yes					
Kalish				Paul	No Ballot Designation	No	5	2,162	11,078	19.5%	No					
Umer				Shaz	No Ballot Designation	No	5	1,222	11,078	11.0%	No					
DIRECTOR, Surfside Colony CSD		Full	Lalonde	Rudy	Retired Business Owner	No	2	142	220	64.5%	Yes					
			Garofalo	Linda	No Ballot Designation	No	2	78	220	35.5%	No					
PLACER		6/7/2016	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	3	Full	Holmes	Jim	Retired Business Owner	Yes	2	13,571	18,173	74.7%	Yes		
	Grigas					Robert	Investor/Businessman	No	2	4,474	18,173	24.6%	No			
	4			Full	Uhler	Kirk	Businessman/Placer County Supervisor	Yes	2	11,833	19,784	59.8%	Yes			
					Bekhet	Victor	Small Business Owner	No	2	7,846	19,784	39.7%	No			
	5			Full	Montgomery	Jennifer	Placer County Supervisor	Yes	2	13,763	21,781	63.2%	Yes			
					Babich	Michael	Entrepreneur/Educator/Businessman	No	2	7,922	21,781	36.4%	No			
	PLUMAS			6/7/2016	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	1	Full	Sanchez	Michael	Retired Health Administrator	No	4	424	1,165	36.4%	Runoff
								Oels	Phil	Retired Timber Faller	No	4	321	1,165	27.6%	Runoff
Powers		Bill	Mayor of Portola					No	4	228	1,165	19.6%	No			
Pearson		B.J.	Real Estate Broker					No	4	191	1,165	16.4%	No			
2		Full	Goss			Kevin	Incumbent	Yes	2	1,118	1,402	79.7%	Yes			
			Admire			Mina	Indian Valley CSD Director	No	2	270	1,402	19.3%	No			
4		Full	Simpson			Lori	Incumbent	Yes	1	1,124	1,185	94.9%	Yes			



TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2016

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DISTRICT/ OFFICE	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	INC- UMB- ENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATES	TOTAL VOTES CAST <sup>1</sup>	PERCENT OF VOTE	ELEC- TED				
PLUMAS (continued)	6/28/2016	DIRECTOR, Grizzly Ranch CSD		Full	Miller	James	Retired	No	3	21	63	33.3%	No				
					Reynolds	John	Retired	No	3	21	63	33.3%	No				
					Saefke	John Henry	No Ballot Designation	No	3	21	63	33.3%	No				
					Short	Downing	Vici	Retired	No	2	21	42	50.0%	No			
					Hickman	R. Alex	Resort Owner	No	2	21	42	50.0%	No				
RIVERSIDE	11/8/2016	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	1	Full	Sanchez	Michael	Retired Health Administrator	No	2	825	1,644	50.2%	Yes				
					Oels	Phil	Retired Timber Faller	No	2	812	1,644	49.4%	No				
					Jeffries	Kevin D.	County Supervisor/Businessman	Yes	3	39,840	68,446	58.2%	Yes				
					Walsh	Debbie	Small Businesswoman	No	3	18,869	68,446	27.6%	No				
					Holmstrom	Britt	Retired RN	No	3	9,737	68,446	14.2%	No				
	11/8/2016	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	3	Full	Washington	Chuck	County Supervisor/Businessman	No	3	31,083	77,740	40.0%	Runoff				
					Milne	Shellie	Small Business Owner	No	3	24,220	77,740	31.2%	Runoff				
					Lane	Randon	Businessman/Murrieta Mayor	No	3	22,437	77,740	28.9%	No				
					Strunsky	Burke E.	Homicide Prosecutor	No	3	182,693	332,980	54.9%	Yes				
					Cormell	Shaffer	Court Appointed Attorney	No	3	80,779	332,980	24.3%	No				
					Duke	D.W.	Attorney/Author	No	3	69,508	332,980	20.9%	No				
11/8/2016	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	3	Full	Washington	Chuck	County Supervisor/Businessman	Yes	2	86,442	147,517	58.6%	Yes					
				Milne	Shellie	Small Business Owner	No	2	61,075	147,517	41.4%	No					
				DIRECTOR, Jurupa CSD	4	Full	Simmons	Richard "Dickie"	Councilman/Retired Detective	No	2	22,565	31,150	72.4%	Yes		
							Blais	Chad	Incumbent	Yes	2	8,585	31,150	27.6%	No		
				DIRECTOR, Rubidoux CSD		Full	Muniz	Armando H.	Incumbent	Yes	4	2,876	10,450	27.5%	Yes		
							Murphy	Bernard William	Civil Engineer	Yes	4	2,786	10,450	26.7%	Yes		
Barajas	Chris "Andy"	Support Senior Supervisor	No				4	2,736	10,450	26.2%	Yes						
Trueba, Jr.	Hank	Appointed Incumbent	Yes				4	2,052	10,450	19.6%	No						
SACRAMENTO	6/7/2016	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	3	Full	Peters	Susan	County Supervisor/Businesswoman	Yes	2	44,201	59,653	74.1%	Yes				
					Dillon	Shaun	Merchandising Supervisor	No	2	15,342	59,653	25.7%	No				
			4	Full	Frost	Sue	Businesswoman/City Councilwoman	No	5	17,083	57,171	29.9%	Runoff				
					Kozlowski	Mike	Businessman/Track Coach	No	5	11,603	57,171	20.3%	Runoff				
					Howell	Kerri M.	Councilmember/Small Businesswoman	No	5	11,458	57,171	20.0%	No				
					Blenner	Gary N.	Teacher	No	5	10,304	57,171	18.0%	No				
					Stanley	Teresa	Businesswoman/Board Member	No	5	6,632	57,171	11.6%	No				

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2016

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DISTRICT/ OFFICE	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	INC- UMB- ENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATES	TOTAL VOTES CAST <sup>1</sup>	PERCENT OF VOTE	ELEC- TED	
SACRAMENTO (continued)	11/8/2016	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	4	Full	Frost	Sue	Businesswoman/City Councilwoman	No	2	53,851	98,647	54.6%	Yes	
					Kozlowski	Mike	Businessman/Track Coach	No	2	44,581	98,647	45.2%	No	
		DIRECTOR, Cosumnes CSD	Full	Albiani	Gil A.	Director, Cosumnes CSD	Yes	5	33,646	109,839	30.6%	Yes		
				Luttrell	James "Jim"	Retired Fire Captain	No	5	22,938	109,839	20.9%	Yes		
				Fuentes	Orlando	Educator/Youth Counselor	No	5	22,591	109,839	20.6%	Yes		
				Orrock	Michelle K.	Small Business Owner	Yes	5	20,891	109,839	19.0%	No		
				Beales	David	Retired Government Attorney	No	5	9,574	109,839	8.7%	No		
		DIRECTOR, Rancho Murieta CSD	Full	Merchant	John	Retired Business Owner	No	4	1,712	5,426	31.6%	Yes		
				Clark	Les	Professional Civil Engineer	No	4	1,578	5,426	29.1%	Yes		
				Martel	Michael	Incumbent	Yes	4	1,201	5,426	22.1%	No		
				Ferraro	Betty	Incumbent	Yes	4	929	5,426	17.1%	No		
		SAN BENITO	6/7/2016	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	1	Full	Medina	Mark	Businessman	No	2	1,498	2,645	56.6%
Starritt	Mark						Flight Instructor/Contractor	No	2	1,132	2,645	42.8%	No	
	2			Full	Botelho	Anthony J.	Incumbent	Yes	1	2,017	2,090	96.5%	Yes	
					5	Full	De La Cruz	Jaime	Member, Board of Supervisors	Yes	1	1,276	1,348	94.7%
SAN BERNARDINO	6/7/2016	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	1	Full	Lovingood	Robert A.	County Supervisor/Businessman	Yes	5	20,772	56,837	36.5%	Runoff	
					Valles	Angela	Director of Finance	No	5	14,809	56,837	26.1%	Runoff	
					Roelle	Rick	Retired Sheriff's Lieutenant	No	5	8,273	56,837	14.6%	No	
					Russ	Paul	Councilman/Businessman	No	5	6,519	56,837	11.5%	No	
					Holland	Bill	Hesperia City Councilmember	No	5	6,464	56,837	11.4%	No	
			3	Full	Ramos	James C.	County Supervisor/Businessman	Yes	2	45,838	70,980	64.6%	Yes	
					Munoz	Donna	General Manager	No	2	25,142	70,980	35.4%	No	
			5	Full	Gonzales	Josie	County Supervisor District 5	Yes	1	38,671	38,671	100.0%	Yes	
					DIRECTOR, Yermo CSD <sup>R</sup>	1	Short	Loehr	Clarissa	No Ballot Designation	No	2	106	197
			Souza	Tim				No Ballot Designation	No	2	91	197	46.2%	No
			2	Short	Cint	Michael	EMT	No	1	182	182	100.0%	Yes	
					SUPERIOR JUDGE	24	Full	Dvorak	Denise Trager	Supervising District Attorney	No	4	112,770	273,131
			Slater	Susan				Trial Attorney	No	4	74,122	273,131	27.1%	Runoff
Azizi	Sohelia	Trial Lawyer/Mediator	No	4				44,288	273,131	16.2%	No			
Dammeier	Dieter Carlos	Law Enforcement Attorney	No	4				41,951	273,131	15.4%	No			

<sup>R</sup> Candidates to be elected if the recall measure passes.

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2016

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DISTRICT/ OFFICE	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	INC- UMB- ENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATES	TOTAL VOTES CAST <sup>1</sup>	PERCENT OF VOTE	ELEC- TED	
SAN BERNARDINO (continued)	11/8/2016	COUNTY SUPERVISOR		Full	Lovingood	Robert A.	County Supervisor/Businessman	Yes	2	57,962	111,988	51.8%	Yes	
					Valles	Angela	Director of Finance	No	2	54,026	111,988	48.2%	No	
		DIRECTOR, Big Bear CSD		Full	Walsh	Lawrence		Incumbent	Yes	3	2,314	6,606	35.0%	Yes
					Russo	John		Retired	No	3	2,200	6,606	33.3%	Yes
					Terry	Paul E.		Retired	Yes	3	2,092	6,606	31.7%	No
		SUPERIOR JUDGE	24	Full	Dvorak	Denise Trager		Supervising District Attorney	No	2	290,309	541,031	53.7%	Yes
Slater	Susan					Trial Attorney	No	2	250,722	541,031	46.3%	No		
SAN DIEGO	6/7/2016	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	1	Full	Cox	Greg	San Diego County Board of Supervisors	Yes	1	88,495	88,495	100.0%	Yes	
			2	Full	Jacob	Dianne	San Diego County Supervisor	Yes	2	104,308	142,612	73.1%	Yes	
					Reyes	Rudy	Archaeologist/Educator	No	2	38,304	142,612	26.9%	No	
		SUPERIOR JUDGE	25	Full	Roberts	Dave		County Supervisor, District No. 3	Yes	3	53,148	137,032	38.8%	Runoff
					Gaspar	Kristin		Encinitas Mayor/Businesswoman	No	3	46,985	137,032	34.3%	Runoff
					Abed	Sam		Mayor/Business Owner	No	3	36,899	137,032	26.9%	No
	SUPERIOR JUDGE	38	Full	Mangione	James A.		Superior Court Judge	Yes	2	362,627	587,288	61.7%	Yes	
				Ware	Paul		Justice Department Attorney	No	2	224,661	587,288	38.3%	No	
		38	Full	Katz	Keri G.		Superior Court Judge	Yes	2	368,249	572,447	64.3%	Yes	
				Keehn	Carla		Federal Prosecutor	No	2	204,198	572,447	35.7%	No	
	11/8/2016	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	3	Full	Gaspar	Kristin		Encinitas Mayor/Businesswoman	No	2	115,295	229,318	50.3%	Yes
					Roberts	Dave		County Supervisor, District 3	Yes	2	114,023	229,318	49.7%	No
		DIRECTOR, Jacumba CSD	Full	Fauble	Patricia A.		Retired	No	3	79	190	41.6%	Yes	
Alcorn				Richard Henry		Self Employed	No	3	67	190	35.3%	Yes		
Moss				G. David		Business Owner	No	3	44	190	23.2%	No		
DIRECTOR, Pauma Valley CSD		Full	Schultz	Bill J.		Businessman	No	3	236	576	41.0%	Yes		
			Person	Heidi		Incumbent	Yes	3	194	576	33.7%	Yes		
			Mathews	Charles		Incumbent	Yes	3	146	576	25.3%	No		
DIRECTOR, Whispering Palms CSD		Full	Hanchett	Byron L.		Businessman/Lawyer	No	5	563	2,395	23.5%	Yes		
	Manatt		Douglas		Nuclear Scientist/Engineer	No	5	539	2,395	22.5%	Yes			
	McHenry		Kathy		Incumbent	Yes	5	529	2,395	22.1%	Yes			
	Thatcher		Valerie		Retired Educator	No	5	426	2,395	17.8%	No			
	Martin		Ross A.		No Ballot Designation	No	5	338	2,395	14.1%	No			

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2016

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DISTRICT/ OFFICE	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	INC- UMB- ENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATES	TOTAL VOTES CAST <sup>1</sup>	PERCENT OF VOTE	ELEC- TED		
SAN DIEGO (continued)	11/8/2016	MEMBER, Fallbrook CPA		Full	Moosa	Roy	Businessman	No	9	8,681	58,876	14.7%	Yes		
					De Meo	Lee J.	Incumbent	Yes	9	7,283	58,876	12.4%	Yes		
					Gebhart	Donna T.	Incumbent	Yes	9	7,246	58,876	12.3%	Yes		
					Leach	Willam	Parent	No	9	6,924	58,876	11.8%	Yes		
					O'Connor	Willam A.	Retired	No	9	6,317	58,876	10.7%	Yes		
					Wood	Jack F.	Incumbent	Yes	9	6,212	58,876	10.6%	Yes		
					Loge	James "Jim"	Project Manager	No	9	6,106	58,876	10.4%	Yes		
					Hanson	Karel	Retired	No	9	5,461	58,876	9.3%	Yes		
					Billburg	Richard	California State Employee	No	9	4,646	58,876	7.9%	No		
	MEMBER, Ramona CPA		Full	Holloway	Christopher	Pilot, U.S. Marine	No	14	6,243	61,633	10.1%	Yes			
				Summers	Dan	Retired Businessman/Paramedic	No	14	6,004	61,633	9.7%	Yes			
				Lynch	Casey Robert	Professional Land Surveyor	No	14	5,242	61,633	8.5%	Yes			
				Tomlinson	Richard S.	Civil Engineer	No	14	5,091	61,633	8.3%	Yes			
				Cooper	Jim	Incumbent	Yes	14	4,811	61,633	7.8%	Yes			
				Myers	Donna F.	Retired Educator	No	14	4,763	61,633	7.7%	Yes			
				Stykel	Paul	Incumbent	Yes	14	4,185	61,633	6.8%	Yes			
				Brean	Torry	Incumbent	Yes	14	4,107	61,633	6.7%	Yes			
				Maxson	Robin Joy	Manager/Community Advocate	No	14	4,092	61,633	6.6%	No			
				Reiling	Rick	Self-Employed Contractor	No	14	3,961	61,633	6.4%	No			
				Leclair	Jeffrey R.	Facility Engineer	No	14	3,695	61,633	6.0%	No			
				Westbrook	Sally	Realtor/Mobile Notary	No	14	3,667	61,633	5.9%	No			
				Webster	Dwight	Director, Business Development	No	14	3,403	61,633	5.5%	No			
				Wallace	Kevin F.	Retired	No	14	2,369	61,633	3.8%	No			
				MEMBER, Valley Center CPA		Full	Quinley	Ann G.	Incumbent	Yes	9	4,018	29,149	13.8%	Yes
							Smith	Oliver	Incumbent	Yes	9	3,929	29,149	13.5%	Yes
Boulos	Jeana	Appointed Incumbent	Yes				9	3,602	29,149	12.4%	Yes				
Del Pilar	William	Internet Business Entrepreneur	No				9	3,521	29,149	12.1%	Yes				
Janisch	Sue	Appointed Incumbent	Yes				9	3,262	29,149	11.2%	Yes				
Mellor	Ashly Jean	Design Board Member	No				9	3,121	29,149	10.7%	Yes				
Gaines	Mary	Attorney	No				9	2,942	29,149	10.1%	Yes				
Mackenzie	Kathy	Advertising Manager/Broker	No				9	2,528	29,149	8.7%	Yes				
Blobe	Michael	Assistant Restaurant Manager	No				9	2,226	29,149	7.6%	No				

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2016

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DISTRICT/ OFFICE	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	INC- UMB- ENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATES	TOTAL VOTES CAST <sup>1</sup>	PERCENT OF VOTE	ELEC- TED		
SAN FRANCISCO	6/7/2016	SUPERIOR JUDGE	7	Full	Hwang	Victor	Civil Rights Attorney	No	3	99,114	204,508	48.5%	Runoff		
					Henderson	Paul	Prosecutor/Public Servant	No	3	68,783	204,508	33.6%	Runoff		
					Irias	Sigrid Elizabeth	Civil Attorney/Adjunct Law Professor	No	3	35,288	204,508	17.3%	No		
SAN FRANCISCO	11/8/2016	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	1	Full	Fewer	Sandra Lee	Commissioner, San Francisco BOE	No	10	12,550	31,662	39.6%	Yes		
					Philhour	Marjan	Business Owner/Mother	No	10	11,067	31,662	35.0%	No		
					Lee	David	Teacher/Nonprofit Executive	No	10	3,396	31,662	10.7%	No		
					Greenberg	Richie	Businessman/Advisor	No	10	974	31,662	3.1%	No		
					Larkin	Brian J.	Professional Engineer	No	10	747	31,662	2.4%	No		
					Kwong	Samuel	Architect/Business Owner	No	10	740	31,662	2.3%	No		
					Jungreis	Jason	Attorney	No	10	611	31,662	1.9%	No		
					Lyens	Jonathan	Budget Analyst	No	10	609	31,662	1.9%	No		
					D'Silva	Sherman R.	Operations Manager	No	10	557	31,662	1.8%	No		
					Thornley	Andy	No Ballot Designation	No	10	359	31,662	1.1%	No		
					3	Full	Peskin	Aaron	Supervisor, District 3	Yes	2	19,093	26,769	71.3%	Yes
							Donnelly	Time E.	Residential Property Manager	No	2	7,395	26,769	27.6%	No
					5	Full	Breed	London	President, Board of Supervisors	Yes	2	21,318	41,070	51.9%	Yes
							Preston	Dean	Director, Housing Nonprofit	No	2	19,534	41,070	47.6%	No
					7	Full	Yee	Norman	Member, Board of Supervisors	Yes	5	14,154	35,274	40.1%	Yes
							Engardio	Joel	Journalist/Business Manager	No	5	7,630	35,274	21.6%	No
							Matranga	Ben	Street Safety Director	No	5	6,475	35,274	18.4%	No
							Farrell	John	Small Business Owner	No	5	4,927	35,274	14.0%	No
							Young	Mike	Business Owner	No	5	1,995	35,274	5.7%	No
					9	Full	Ronen	Hillary	Legislative Advisor/Mother	No	4	18,335	32,043	57.2%	Yes
Arce	Joshua	Civil Rights Attorney	No	4			9,612	32,043	30.0%	No					
San Miguel	Melissa	Technology/Education Advisor	No	4			3,439	32,043	10.7%	No					
Espana	Iswari	Training Officer	No	4			539	32,043	1.7%	No					
11	Full	Safai	Ahsha	Labor Organizer	No	5	9,422	25,370	37.1%	Yes					
		Alvarenga	Kim	Union Political Director	No	5	8,640	25,370	34.1%	No					
		De Guzman	Magdalena	Multicultural Educator	No	5	3,001	25,370	11.8%	No					
		Herrera	Francisco	Educator/Musician	No	5	2,598	25,370	10.2%	No					
		Hernandez	Berta	Community Health Educator	No	5	1,599	25,370	6.3%	No					
SUPERIOR JUDGE	7	Full	Hwang	Victor	Civil Rights Attorney	No	2	217,689	334,626	65.1%	Yes				
			Henderson	Paul	Prosecutor/Public Servant	No	2	116,937	334,626	34.9%	No				

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2016

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DISTRICT/ OFFICE	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	INC- UMB- ENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATES	TOTAL VOTES CAST <sup>1</sup>	PERCENT OF VOTE	ELEC- TED		
SAN JOAQUIN	6/7/2016	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	1	Full	Villapudua	Miguel	Planning Commissioner/Businessman	No	5	6,085	15,720	38.7%	Runoff		
					Medina	Gustavo	Policy Director	No	5	2,837	15,720	18.0%	Runoff		
					Stebbins	C. Jennet	Delta College Trustee	No	5	2,600	15,720	16.5%	No		
					White	Ralph L.	Entrepreneur/Businessman	No	5	2,230	15,720	14.2%	No		
					Flores	Angelann	Substitute Teacher	No	5	1,898	15,720	12.1%	No		
			3	Full	Zapien	Moses	Appointed County Supervisor	No	3	10,803	27,274	39.6%	Runoff		
					Patti	Tom	Businessman/Nonprofit Director	No	3	8,369	27,274	30.7%	Runoff		
					Holman, Jr.	Elbert H.	Retired Criminal Investigator	No	3	8,028	27,274	29.4%	No		
			5	Full	Elliott	Bob	County Supervisor/Retired Colonel	Yes	1	20,231	20,761	97.4%	Yes		
	11/8/2016	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	1	Full	Villapudua	Miguel	Planning Commissioner/Businessman	Yes	2	15,845	26,590	59.6%	Yes		
					Medina	Gustavo	Policy Director	No	2	10,745	26,590	40.4%	No		
			3	Full	Patti	Tom	Businessman/Non-Profit President	No	2	25,290	45,807	55.2%	Yes		
					Zapien	Moses	Appointed County Supervisor	Yes	2	20,517	45,807	44.8%	No		
		DIRECTOR, Mountain House CSD				Full	Su	Andy K.	Incumbent	Yes	4	2,827	8,231	34.3%	Yes
Tingle		Bernice King	Incumbent	Yes	4		2,014	8,231	24.5%	Yes					
Harrison		Daniel E.	Appointed Incumbent	Yes	4		1,802	8,231	21.9%	Yes					
Moreno		Manuel "Manny"	Commercial Property Manager	No	4		1,528	8,231	18.6%	No					
SAN LUIS OBISPO	6/7/2016	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	1	Full	Peschong	John	Small Independent Businessman	No	4	7,287	16,007	45.5%	Runoff		
					Martin	Steven W.	Paso Robles Mayor	No	4	5,606	16,007	35.0%	Runoff		
					Hamon, Jr.	John R.	Businessman/City Councilmember	No	4	2,585	16,007	16.1%	No		
					Gustin	Dale	Retired Attorney	No	4	467	16,007	2.9%	No		
			3	Full	Hill	Adam	County Supervisor	Yes	3	7,687	18,655	41.2%	Runoff		
					Carpenter	Dan	Businessman/City Councilman	No	3	5,900	18,655	31.6%	Runoff		
					Peterson	Debbie	Business Owner	No	3	5,008	18,655	26.8%	No		
			5	Full	Arnold	Debbie	County Supervisor/Rancher	Yes	2	9,152	17,216	53.2%	Yes		
					Michielssen	Eric	Organic Farmer/Broker	No	2	8,016	17,216	46.6%	No		
			11/8/2016	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	1	Full	Peschong	John	Small Independent Businessman	No	2	12,963	23,354	55.5%	Yes
							Martin	Steven W.	Paso Robles Mayor	No	2	10,353	23,354	44.3%	No
					3	Full	Carpenter	Dan	Businessman/City Councilman	No	2	11,547	13,080	88.3%	Yes
	Hill	Adam	County Supervisor	Yes			2	1,449	13,080	11.1%	No				

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2016

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DISTRICT/ OFFICE	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	INC- UMB- ENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATES	TOTAL VOTES CAST <sup>1</sup>	PERCENT OF VOTE	ELEC- TED		
SAN LUIS OBISPO (continued)	11/8/2016	DIRECTOR, California Valley CSD		Full	Legaspi	Ruth Joyce	Stay-at-home Mom	No	9	62	311	19.9%	Yes		
					McGibney	Patrick	Farmer/Teacher	No	9	59	311	19.0%	Yes		
					Lothrop	Luke	Retired	No	9	49	311	15.8%	Yes		
					McVicar	Stephen D.	Security Guard	No	9	40	311	12.9%	No		
					Webb	RO	Incumbent	Yes	9	27	311	8.7%	No		
					Ramirez	Donna	Accounting Manager	No	9	24	311	7.7%	No		
					Marrone	Lisa	Incumbent	Yes	9	23	311	7.4%	No		
					Link	Vedaa Joyce	Homemaker/Primary Caregiver	No	9	18	311	5.8%	No		
		Lambert	Misty	Incumbent	Yes	9	8	311	2.6%	No					
		DIRECTOR, Cambria CSD				Full	Rice	Amanda	Incumbent	Yes	7	1,654	8,807	18.8%	Yes
							Sanders	Greg	Appointed Incumbent	Yes	7	1,556	8,807	17.7%	Yes
							Farmer	Harry	Gardener/Astrologer	No	7	1,438	8,807	16.3%	Yes
							Robinette	Gail R.	Incumbent	Yes	7	1,405	8,807	16.0%	No
							Lee	Dewayne	Retired Business Owner	No	7	1,184	8,807	13.4%	No
							Kirkey	R. Thomas	Retired Businessman	No	7	1,053	8,807	12.0%	No
DIRECTOR, Los Osos CSD				Full	Walters	Jeff	Care Giver	No	7	373	8,807	4.2%	No		
					Ochylski	Marshall	Incumbent	Yes	5	4,147	12,432	33.4%	Yes		
					Milledge	Vicki	Retired Business Consultant	No	5	2,917	12,432	23.5%	Yes		
					Best	Steve	Green Energy Consultant	No	5	2,382	12,432	19.2%	No		
					Tacker	Julie	Enrichment Coordinator	No	5	2,023	12,432	16.3%	No		
DIRECTOR, Oceano CSD				Full	Staggers	Tim	No Ballot Designation	No	5	869	12,432	7.0%	No		
					Austin	Linda M.	Realtor/Business Owner	No	7	1,077	4,690	23.0%	Yes		
					Brunet	Andrew	Project Analyst/Educator	No	7	765	4,690	16.3%	Yes		
					Coalwell	James D.	Retired Attorney	No	7	760	4,690	16.2%	Yes		
					Clemons	John L.	Wastewater Plant Superintendent	No	7	708	4,690	15.1%	No		
					Amokrane	Ariles	Small Business Owner	No	7	498	4,690	10.6%	No		
					Naylor	Giselle	Contract Paralegal/Instructor	No	7	434	4,690	9.3%	No		
DIRECTOR, San Simeon CSD				Full	Holmes	Joseph	Chef/Filmmaker	No	7	416	4,690	8.9%	No		
					Fields	Alan M.	Incumbent	Yes	4	76	243	31.3%	Yes		
					McGuire	Mary M.	Retired Educator	No	4	59	243	24.3%	Yes		
					Williams	Daniel	Incumbent	Yes	4	56	243	23.0%	Yes		
					Price	Leroy	Incumbent	Yes	4	51	243	21.0%	No		

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2016

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DISTRICT/ OFFICE	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	INC- UMB- ENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATES	TOTAL VOTES CAST <sup>1</sup>	PERCENT OF VOTE	ELEC- TED		
SAN LUIS OBISPO (continued)	11/8/2016	DIRECTOR, Templeton CSD		Full	Jardini	Pamela	Small Business Owner	No	4	1,995	6,146	32.5%	Yes		
					Fardanesh	Navid	Dentist	No	4	1,541	6,146	25.1%	Yes		
					Logan	Debra	Retired Healthcare Executive	No	4	1,314	6,146	21.4%	Yes		
					Rosales	Rob	Real Estate Broker	No	4	1,257	6,146	20.5%	No		
SAN MATEO	6/7/2016	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	1	Full	Pine	Dave	County Supervisor, 1st District	Yes	1	25,250	25,250	100.0%	Yes		
			4	Full	Slocum	Warren	County Supervisor, 4th District	Yes	1	21,811	21,811	100.0%	Yes		
			5	Full	Canepa	David	Daly City Vice Mayor	No	4	11,579	24,901	46.5%	Runoff		
					Guingona	Michael	Councilmember/Teacher	No	4	5,537	24,901	22.2%	Runoff		
					Lentz	Cliff	Mayor of Brisbane	No	4	4,304	24,901	17.3%	No		
			Fiscaro	Helen	Councilmember/Businesswoman	No	4	3,481	24,901	14.0%	No				
	11/8/2016	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	5	Full	Canepa	David	Councilmember/Teacher	No	2	27,837	43,484	64.0%	Yes		
					Guingona	Michael	Daly City Vice Mayor	No	2	15,647	43,484	36.0%	No		
		MEMBER, Midcoast CC	Short	Kwan	Brandon	Coastside Advocate	No	2	3,233	5,043	64.1%	Yes			
				Mathewson	Barbra A.	Community Volunteer	No	2	1,810	5,043	35.9%	No			
SUPERIOR JUDGE		7	Full	Dabel	Sean	Deputy District Attorney	No	1	207,886	207,886	100.0%	Yes			
SANTA BARBARA	6/7/2016	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	1	Full	Williams	Das	Santa Barbara Legislator	No	2	15,531	26,250	59.2%	Yes		
					Christensen	Jennifer	Santa Barbara County Investment Officer	No	2	10,647	26,250	40.6%	No		
			3	Full	Hartmann	Joan	County Planning Commissioner	No	5	9,879	22,958	43.0%	Runoff		
					Porter	Bruce	Businessperson/School Trustee	No	5	8,002	22,958	34.9%	Runoff		
					Freeman	Jay	Software Developer/Teacher	No	5	2,769	22,958	12.1%	No		
					Jones	Karen	Homemaker	No	5	1,228	22,958	5.3%	No		
					Field	Bob	Retired Technology Executive	No	5	1,008	22,958	4.4%	No		
			4	Full	Adam	Peter	County Supervisor/Farmer	Yes	2	13,542	19,789	68.4%	Yes		
					Ozeta	Eduardo "Eddie"	County Eligibility Worker	No	2	6,120	19,789	30.9%	No		
			11/8/2016	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	3	Full	Hartmann	Joan	County Planning Commissioner	No	2	19,425	36,332	53.5%	Yes
							Porter	Bruce	Businessperson/School Trustee	No	2	16,812	36,332	46.3%	No
				DIRECTOR, Mission Hills CSD	Full	Heavin	Myron G.	Retired Aerospace Engineer	No	5	985	3,576	27.5%	Yes	
						Dietrich	Steve	Project Development/Consultant	No	5	918	3,576	25.7%	Yes	
Fasold	Walt	Incumbent				Yes	5	619	3,576	17.3%	Yes				
Hayes	Danny R.	Incumbent				Yes	5	524	3,576	14.7%	No				
Warnstrom	Susan	No Ballot Designation	No	5	521	3,576	14.6%	No							



TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2016

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DISTRICT/ OFFICE	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	INC- UMB- ENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATES	TOTAL VOTES CAST <sup>1</sup>	PERCENT OF VOTE	ELEC- TED	
SANTA BARBARA (continued)	11/8/2016	DIRECTOR, Santa Ynez CSD		Full	Jones	Karen	Homemaker	No	4	533	1,870	28.5%	Yes	
					Mueller	Frank	Appointed Incumbent	Yes	4	482	1,870	25.8%	Yes	
					Maler	Carl	Incumbent	Yes	4	436	1,870	23.3%	Yes	
					Marks	Barry	Incumbent	Yes	4	415	1,870	22.2%	No	
			DIRECTOR, Vandenberg Village CSD		Full	Brooks	Christopher C.	Incumbent	Yes	4	2,053	6,932	29.6%	Yes
					Wyckoff	Robert A.	Incumbent	Yes	4	1,772	6,932	25.6%	Yes	
					Bumpass	Robert	Federal Contract Administrator	No	4	1,646	6,932	23.7%	Yes	
					Redmon	Dan	Incumbent	Yes	4	1,435	6,932	20.7%	No	
SANTA CLARA	6/7/2016	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	2	Full	Chavez	Cindy	County Supervisor	Yes	1	40,377	40,377	100.0%	Yes	
			3	Full	Cortese	Dave	County Supervisor	Yes	1	57,088	57,088	100.0%	Yes	
			5	Full	Simitian	Joe	County Supervisor	Yes	2	71,383	79,847	89.4%	Yes	
					Mumy	John	No Ballot Designation	No	2	8,464	79,847	10.6%	No	
SANTA CRUZ	6/7/2016	AUD/CON/TREAS/TAX COLLECTOR		Short	Driscoll	Edith	Appointed Incumbent	Yes	1	58,365	59,889	97.5%	Yes	
		COUNTY SUPERVISOR	1	Full	Leopold	John	Santa Cruz County Supervisor	Yes	2	14,548	18,527	78.5%	Yes	
					Cogan	Benjamin	Lead Diagnostic Technician	No	2	3,864	18,527	20.9%	No	
		COUNTY SUPERVISOR	2	Full	Friend	Zach	County Supervisor	Yes	3	12,930	17,988	71.9%	Yes	
					Steinbruner	Becky	Mother/Gardener	No	3	3,262	17,988	18.1%	No	
					McInnis	Rich	Small Business Owner	No	3	1,683	17,988	9.4%	No	
		COUNTY SUPERVISOR	5	Full	McPherson	Bruce	Santa Cruz County Supervisor	Yes	3	13,730	18,872	72.8%	Yes	
					Smallman	Bill	Civil Engineer	No	3	3,741	18,872	19.8%	No	
Kaylor	Roy				Electrical Engineer	No	3	1,268	18,872	6.7%	No			
	DISTRICT ATTY/PUBLIC ADMIN		Short	Rosell	Jeff	Appointed District Attorney	Yes	1	58,699	60,274	97.4%	Yes		
SHASTA	6/7/2016	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	2	Full	Moty	Leonard	Incumbent	Yes	2	6,205	8,588	72.3%	Yes	
					Venus	Jerome	No Ballot Designation	No	2	2,274	8,588	26.5%	No	
			3	Full	Rickert	Mary E.	Rancher/Business Owner	No	3	4,274	9,520	44.9%	Runoff	
					Giacomini	Pamela Webb	Incumbent	Yes	3	3,492	9,520	36.7%	Runoff	
					Chandler	Janet	Retired Secondary Teacher	No	3	1,584	9,520	16.6%	No	
			4	Full	Schappell	Bill	Incumbent	Yes	4	3,477	8,523	40.8%	Runoff	
					Morgan	Steve	Electrical Contractor	No	4	1,984	8,523	23.3%	Runoff	
					St. Clair	Wally	Retired Retail Management	No	4	1,876	8,523	22.0%	No	
		Albert	Walter	Small Business Consultant	No	4	1,119	8,523	13.1%	No				

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2016

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DISTRICT/ OFFICE	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	INC- UMB- ENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATES	TOTAL VOTES CAST <sup>1</sup>	PERCENT OF VOTE	ELEC- TED
SHASTA (continued)	11/8/2016	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	3	Full	Rickert	Mary E.	Rancher/Business Owner	No	2	9,246	14,715	62.8%	Yes
					Giacomini	Pamela Webb	Incumbent	Yes	2	5,389	14,715	36.6%	No
			DIRECTOR, Mountain Gate CSD	4	Full	Morgan	Steve	Electrical Contractor	No	2	7,585	14,220	53.3%
		Schappell				Bill	Incumbent	Yes	2	6,548	14,220	46.0%	No
		Full		Kobe	Kay	Doctor of Chiropractic	No	6	431	1,984	21.7%	Yes	
				Stierfli	Michael	Contractor	No	6	340	1,984	17.1%	Yes	
				Gunter	Gary M.	Incumbent	Yes	6	337	1,984	17.0%	Yes	
				Selby	David	Incumbent	Yes	6	329	1,984	16.6%	No	
				Anderson	Joan	Incumbent	Yes	6	322	1,984	16.2%	No	
		Mynatt	Debra	Homemaker	No	6	221	1,984	11.1%	No			
SIERRA	6/7/2016	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	1	Full	Adams, III	Leland C. "Lee"	Incumbent	Yes	1	202	293	68.9%	Yes
					Roen	Paul	Incumbent	Yes	2	261	336	77.7%	Yes
			3	Full	Crowder	Ormond	Business Owner	No	2	72	336	21.4%	No
					4	Full	Beard	Jim	Incumbent	Yes	1	185	198
SISKIYOU	6/7/2016	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	1	Full	Criss	Brandon	County Supervisor/Farmer	Yes	1	2,225	2,232	99.7%	Yes
					2	Full	Valenzuela	Edward P.	Incumbent	Yes	2	2,573	3,217
			Bell	Lawrence A.			No Ballot Designation	No	2	641	3,217	19.9%	No
			4	Full	Nixon	Lisa McMurry	No Ballot Designation	No	2	1,937	2,847	68.0%	Yes
					Simmen	David	Yreka City Council Member	No	2	905	2,847	31.8%	No
			11/8/2016	DIRECTOR, Lake Shastina CSD	Full	Graves	Michael L.	Retired Army Officer	No	3	761	1,907	39.9%
	Cupp	Carol L.				Siskiyou Joint CC Gov. Board Member	No	3	633	1,907	33.2%	Yes	
	Mitchell	Paula				Incumbent	Yes	3	512	1,907	26.8%	No	
	DIRECTOR, McCloud CSD	Full				Ott	Chuck	Incumbent	Yes	3	436	914	47.7%
			Rorke	Michael	No Ballot Designation	No	3	258	914	28.2%	Yes		
Simons			Annie	Incumbent	Yes	3	220	914	24.1%	No			
SOLANO	6/7/2016	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	1	Full	Hannigan	Erin	Solano County Supervisor	Yes	1	13,448	13,831	97.2%	Yes
					2	Full	Brown	Monica	Retired Teacher	No	5	6,443	20,580
			Ioakimedes	Mike			Retired Restaurant Owner	No	5	5,244	20,580	25.5%	Runoff
			Honeychurch	Denis			College Trustee/Attorney	No	5	4,357	20,580	21.2%	No
			Coan	Michael			Law Firm Manager	No	5	2,628	20,580	12.8%	No
			Total	Tamer	Businessman/Community Volunteer	No	5	1,832	20,580	8.9%	No		

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2016

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DISTRICT/ OFFICE	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	INC- UMB- ENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATES	TOTAL VOTES CAST <sup>1</sup>	PERCENT OF VOTE	ELEC- TED		
SOLANO (continued)	6/7/2016	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	5	Full	Thomson	Skip	Solano County Supervisor	Yes	2	11,262	20,623	54.6%	Yes		
					Reagan	Mike	Businessman/Retired Air Force	No	2	9,306	20,623	45.1%	No		
	11/8/2016	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	2	Full	Brown	Monica	Retired Teacher	No	2	18,844	33,991	55.4%	Yes		
				Full	Ioakimedes	Mike	Retired Restaurant Owner	No	2	15,026	33,991	44.2%	No		
SONOMA	6/7/2016	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	1	Full	Gorin	Susan	First District Supervisor	Yes	3	18,988	35,576	53.4%	Yes		
					Cuclis	Gina	President, Sonoma County BOE	No	3	12,782	35,576	35.9%	No		
					Rhinehart	Keith	Full-time Substitute Teacher	No	3	3,806	35,576	10.7%	No		
				3	Full	Zane	Shirlee	Sonoma County Supervisor, Third District	Yes	1	19,087	19,087	100.0%	Yes	
				5	Full	Hopkins	Lynda	Organic Farmer/Businesswoman	No	5	12,666	31,969	39.6%	Runoff	
						Evans	Noreen	Environmental Attorney	No	5	12,229	31,969	38.3%	Runoff	
						Sergent	Tim	Special Education Teacher	No	5	3,355	31,969	10.5%	No	
						Lynch	Tom	Father/Small Businessman	No	5	3,244	31,969	10.1%	No	
						Chase	Mary E.	Economic Eligibility Chase	No	5	475	31,969	1.5%	No	
		11/8/2016	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	5	Full	Hopkins	Lynda	Organic Farmer/Businesswoman	No	2	23,259	42,869	54.3%	Yes	
					Evans	Noreen	Environmental Attorney	No	2	19,610	42,869	45.7%	No		
STANISLAUS	6/7/2016	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	1	Full	Olsen	Kristin	Assemblywoman	No	1	15,332	15,807	97.0%	Yes		
			2	Full	Chiesa	Vito	Farmer/County Supervisor	Yes	1	13,717	14,051	97.6%	Yes		
			5	Full	DeMartini	Jim	Farmer/County Supervisor	Yes	3	6,759	13,333	50.7%	Yes		
					Molina	Luis I.	Mayor/County Employee	No	3	4,215	13,333	31.6%	No		
					Stokman	Eileen Wyatt	Education Liaison	No	3	2,333	13,333	17.5%	No		
SUTTER	6/7/2016	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	1	Full	Espindola	Grace	Educator	No	4	1,308	3,893	33.6%	Runoff		
					Sullenger	Ron	Incumbent	Yes	4	1,176	3,893	30.2%	Runoff		
					Hodges	Diane	Live Oak City Councilwoman	No	4	914	3,893	23.5%	No		
					Dukes	John	Insurance Broker	No	4	477	3,893	12.3%	No		
					4	Full	Whiteaker	Jim	Incumbent	Yes	1	2,972	3,109	95.6%	Yes
					5	Full	Conant	Mat	Farmer	No	3	1,868	4,263	43.8%	Runoff
							LeVake	Barbara	County Supervisor/Businessowner	Yes	3	1,409	4,263	33.1%	Runoff
						Cervantes	Liz	Executive Assistant	No	3	952	4,263	22.3%	No	
	11/8/2016	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	1	Full	Sullenger	Ron	Incumbent	Yes	2	3,203	6,221	51.5%	Yes		
					Espindola	Grace	Educator	No	2	2,998	6,221	48.2%	No		
5					Full	Conant	Mat	Farmer	No	2	3,918	6,720	58.3%	Yes	
					LeVake	Barbara	County Supervisor/Businessowner	Yes	2	2,759	6,720	41.1%	No		

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2016

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DISTRICT/ OFFICE	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	INC- UMB- ENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATES	TOTAL VOTES CAST <sup>1</sup>	PERCENT OF VOTE	ELEC- TED		
TEHAMA	6/7/2016	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	1	Full	Chamblin	Stever	Incumbent	Yes	2	1,890	3,288	57.5%	Yes		
					Lawrence	Richard	Retired Teacher/Rancher	No	2	1,398	3,288	42.5%	No		
			2	Full	Carlson	Kathlene "Candy"	Incumbent	Yes	2	1,408	2,726	51.7%	Yes		
					Bruce	Sandy	County Fiscal Employee	No	2	1,318	2,726	48.3%	No		
			5	Full	Bundy	Burt	Incumbent	Yes	1	2,027	2,027	100.0%	Yes		
TRINITY	6/7/2016	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	2	Full	Morris	Judy	Incumbent	Yes	2	577	813	71.0%	Yes		
					Fox	Thomas	Retired	No	2	225	813	27.7%	No		
			3	Full	Chadwick	Bobbi	Ranch Owner/ Caregiver	No	3	450	848	53.1%	Yes		
		Fisher			Karl	Incumbent	Yes	3	346	848	40.8%	No			
		Walker			Lloyal	Locksmith/Business Owner	No	3	47	848	5.5%	No			
		5	Full	Fenley	John	Incumbent	Yes	2	380	746	50.9%	Yes			
				Richards	Diane	Rancher/Editor/Entrepreneur	No	2	352	746	47.2%	No			
		SUPERIOR JUDGE		Full	Harper	Michael B.	Incumbent	Yes	2	2,902	4,262	68.1%	Yes		
					Underwood	James "Jim"	Attorney	No	2	1,347	4,262	31.6%	No		
TULARE	6/7/2016	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	1	Full	Smith	Dennis	Small Business Owner	No	8	2,650	12,767	20.8%	Runoff		
					Crocker	Kuyler	Farmer/Energy Advisor	No	8	2,585	12,767	20.2%	Runoff		
					Poochigian	Brian	Logistics Manager	No	8	1,606	12,767	12.6%	No		
					Elliott	John F.	Newspaper Owner/Publisher	No	8	1,580	12,767	12.4%	No		
					Macaulay	Ted	Insurance Agent	No	8	1,580	12,767	12.4%	No		
					Sanchez	Rosaena (Arevalo)	Self Sufficiency Counselor	No	8	1,117	12,767	8.7%	No		
					Salinas	Vincent B.	Business Consultant	No	8	858	12,767	6.7%	No		
					Galvez	Angel	Administrative Specialist	No	8	722	12,767	5.7%	No		
					2	Full	Poel	Pete Vander	Tulare County Supervisor	Yes	1	8,845	9,006	98.2%	Yes
					3	Full	Shuklian	Amy	Visalia City Councilwoman	No	2	9,400	16,775	56.0%	Yes
	Cox	Phil	Incumbent	Yes			2	7,303	16,775	43.5%	No				
	11/8/2016	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	1	Full	Crocker	Kuyler	Farmer/Energy Advisor	No	2	10,885	21,642	50.3%	Yes		
					Smith	Dennis	Small Business Owner	No	2	10,590	21,642	48.9%	No		
				DIRECTOR, Goshen CSD		Full	Palermo	Stephen J.	Correctional Officer	No	3	295	796	37.1%	Yes
					Gonzalez	Helen	Incumbent	Yes	3	275	796	34.5%	Yes		
					Garza	Katheryn	Incumbent	Yes	3	217	796	27.3%	No		

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2016

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DISTRICT/ OFFICE	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	INC- UMB- ENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATES	TOTAL VOTES CAST <sup>1</sup>	PERCENT OF VOTE	ELEC- TED		
TULARE (continued)	11/8/2016	DIRECTOR, Poplar CSD		Full	Leon	Arturo Rodriguez	Mental Health Supervisor	No	4	106	227	46.7%	Yes		
					Cano	Paul M.	Construction Worker	No	4	47	227	20.7%	Yes		
					Talamantez	Janie	Homemaker	No	4	38	227	16.7%	No		
					Ramirez	Arno	Machine Operator	No	4	31	227	13.7%	No		
TUOLUMNE	6/7/2016	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	1	Full	Brennan	Sherri	Incumbent	Yes	3	1,844	3,167	58.2%	Yes		
					Fuccillo	Jerry	Civil Engineer	No	3	746	3,167	23.6%	No		
					Kiel	Chuck	Businessman	No	3	566	3,167	17.9%	No		
			4	Full	Gray	John L.	Incumbent	Yes	2	2,105	3,333	63.2%	Yes		
					Matter	Don	Contractor/Business Owner	No	2	1,210	3,333	36.3%	No		
			5	Full	Rodefer	Karl	Incumbent	Yes	2	1,755	3,227	54.4%	Yes		
Shier	Sarah	College Instructor			No	2	1,459	3,227	45.2%	No					
VENTURA	6/7/2016	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	1	Full	Bennett	Steve	County Supervisor First District	Yes	2	26,633	45,430	58.6%	Yes		
					Grau	Dave	Business Owner	No	2	18,604	45,430	41.0%	No		
			3	Full	Castilla	Carla	Senator's Chief Deputy	No	7	9,512	37,688	25.2%	Runoff		
					Long	Kelly	PVSD School Board Trustee	No	7	8,393	37,688	22.3%	Runoff		
					Kildee	Kevin	Local Business Owner	No	7	6,832	37,688	18.1%	No		
					Morgan	Mike	Camarillo City Councilman	No	7	6,152	37,688	16.3%	No		
					Hernandez	Martin F.	Chief of Staff	No	7	3,421	37,688	9.1%	No		
					Torres	Jesus	Businessman	No	7	1,819	37,688	4.8%	No		
			5	Full	Goldberg	Dan	Small Business Employee	No	7	1,448	37,688	3.8%	No		
					Zaragoza	John C.	Ventura County Supervisor	Yes	1	22,276	23,040	96.7%	Yes		
	11/8/2016	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	3	Full	Long	Kelly	PVSD School Board Trustee	Yes	2	30,834	60,128	51.3%	Yes		
					Castilla	Carla	Senator's Chief Deputy	No	2	28,959	60,128	48.2%	No		
				DIRECTOR, CI Beach CSD	Full	Nast	Bob	Retired Navy Logistician	No	4	770	2,246	34.3%	Yes	
Brewer	Kristina	Property Manager	No	4		523	2,246	23.3%	Yes						
Estomo	Jim	Incumbent	Yes	4		509	2,246	22.7%	No						
YOLO	6/7/2016	COUNTY SUPERVISOR		Full	Moore	Keith A.	Incumbent	Yes	4	441	2,246	19.6%	No		
					1	Full	Villegas	Oscar E.	Yolo County Supervisor	Yes	1	6,920	6,920	100.0%	Yes
					4	Full	Provenza	Jim	Yolo County Supervisor	Yes	1	10,706	10,706	100.0%	Yes
					5	Full	Chamberlain	Duane	Farmer/County Supervisor	Yes	1	6,381	6,381	100.0%	Yes

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2016

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DISTRICT/ OFFICE	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	INC- UMB- ENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATES	TOTAL VOTES CAST <sup>1</sup>	PERCENT OF VOTE	ELEC- TED
YUBA	6/7/2016	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	2	Full	Leahy	Mike	Small Business Owner	No	2	1,448	2,416	59.9%	Yes
					Nicoletti	John	Incumbent	Yes	2	968	2,416	40.1%	No
			3	Full	Lofton	Doug	Water District Manager	No	2	1,301	2,257	57.6%	Yes
					Griego	Mary Jane	Incumbent	Yes	2	956	2,257	42.4%	No
			4	Full	Abe	Roger	Incumbent	Yes	4	1,320	2,843	46.4%	Runoff
					Bradford	Gary N.	Olivehurst Public Utilities District Director	No	4	1,022	2,843	35.9%	Runoff
					Pendergraph	James "Jay"	DOD Employee	No	4	408	2,843	14.4%	No
					Trudell	Josh	Storekeeper/Healthcare/Service	No	4	93	2,843	3.3%	No
	11/8/2016	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	4	Full	Bradford	Gary N.	Data Security Specialist	No	2	2,453	4,855	50.5%	Yes
					Abe	Roger	Incumbent	Yes	2	2,402	4,855	49.5%	No

TABLE 2.2 SUMMARY OF ELECTION OUTCOMES FOR COUNTY OFFICES, 2016

		County Supervisor		Director, CSD <sup>1</sup>		Superior Judge		Other County		Total <sup>2,3</sup>	
		Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N
Incumbent Candidates	Win	82.1	110	61.2	41	100.0	11	100.0	3	76.7	165
	Lose	17.9	24	38.8	26	0.0	0	0.0	0	23.3	50
	Total	100.0	134	100.0	67	100.0	11	100.0	3	100.0	215
Non-Incumbent Candidates	Win	21.5	64	55.8	72	27.7	18	88.2	15	27.7	169
	Lose	78.5	233	44.2	57	72.3	47	11.8	2	72.3	339
	Total	100.0	297	100.0	129	100.0	65	100.0	17	100.0	508
Winning Candidates	Incumbent	63.2	110	36.3	41	37.9	11	16.7	3	49.4	165
	Non-Incumbent	36.8	64	63.7	72	62.1	18	83.3	15	50.6	169
	Total	100.0	174	100.0	113	100.0	29	100.0	18	100.0	334
Losing Candidates	Incumbent	9.3	24	31.3	26	0.0	0	0.0	0	12.9	50
	Non-Incumbent	90.7	233	68.7	57	100.0	47	100.0	2	87.1	339
	Total	100.0	257	100.0	83	100.0	47	100.0	2	100.0	389
All Candidates	Incumbent	31.1	134	34.2	67	14.5	11	15.0	3	29.7	215
	Non-Incumbent	68.9	297	65.8	129	85.5	65	85.0	17	70.3	508
	Total	100.0	431	100.0	196	100.0	76	100.0	20	100.0	723

<sup>1</sup>Includes Members of Community Planning Areas and Community Councils.

<sup>2</sup>Percent may not sum to 100 due to rounding.

<sup>3</sup>Runoffs are excluded from totals.