

CALIFORNIA COUNTY, CITY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT
ELECTION OUTCOMES:
CANDIDATES AND BALLOT MEASURES, 2010 ELECTIONS

COUNTY OFFICES AND BALLOT MEASURES

Edward L. Lascher, Jr., Ph.D.,
Acting Director, Center for California Studies
Associate Dean, College of Social Sciences and Interdisciplinary Studies

Ernest Cowles, Ph.D.,
Director, Institute for Social Research

Valory Logsdon
Research Analyst, Institute for Social Research

Ted Ryan
Graduate Research Assistant, Institute for Social Research

Clint Swift
Graduate Research Assistant, Institute for Social Research

Center for California Studies
California State University, Sacramento
6000 J Street, Sacramento, CA 95819-6081
(916) 278-6906 FAX: (916) 278-5199

Institute for Social Research
California State University, Sacramento
6000 J Street, Sacramento, CA 95819-6101
(916) 278-5737 FAX: (916) 278-5150

Institute for Social Research Project Staff:

Dana Grossi
Kelly Nelson

Acknowledgements

The researchers would like to sincerely thank the county elections officials and staff throughout the State of California who took time to provide data to the project. Additionally, we are grateful to the Secretary of State's Office for its continuing support and interest in this project. Without their assistance, the completion of these yearly reviews and the California Elections Data Archive would not be possible.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION.....		i
2010 County, City and School District Election Dates by County		xv
Trend Table A	Number of Ballot Measures, Percent of Total Measures, and Percent Passing by Type, Jurisdiction and Year	xvii
Trend Table B	Number of Ballot Measures, Percent of Total Measures, and Percent Passing by Topic, Jurisdiction and Year.....	xx
Trend Table C	Community Service District and County Service Area Measures by County	xxiii
Trend Table D	Number of Community Service District and County Service Area Measures, Percent of Total County Measures, and Percent Passing by Type and Year	xxiv
Trend Table E	Comparison of Pass Rates for County-Wide and Community Service District/County Service Area Tax Measures, 1998-2010	xxv
Trend Table F	Number of Community Service District and County Service Area Measures, Percent of Total County Measures, and Percent Passing by Topic and Year	xxv
Trend Table G	Number of Candidates by Jurisdiction and Year	xxvi
Trend Table H	Number of Candidates for Major County Offices by Year.....	xxvi
Trend Table I	Percent of Incumbent Candidates and Percent of Prevailing Incumbents by Major Office, Jurisdiction and Year	xxvii
2010 ELECTION SERIES SUMMARY: ELECTION OUTCOMES FOR COUNTY, CITY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT BALLOT MEASURES AND CANDIDATES		1
Table A	Summary of Outcomes for <i>All</i> County, City and School District Ballot Measures by Type of Measure and County, 2010.....	3
Table B	Summary of Outcomes for <i>All</i> County, City and School District Ballot Measures by Topic of Measure and County, 2010	5
Table C	Summary of Election Outcomes for <i>All</i> County, City and School District Offices, 2010.....	7

PART 1 VOTE TOTALS, ELECTION OUTCOMES AND TEXT FOR COUNTY BALLOT MEASURES9

Table 1.1 Vote Totals for County Ballot Measures by County, 2010 11

Table 1.2 Text for County Ballot Measures by County, 2010 15

Table 1.3 Summary of Election Outcomes for County Ballot Measures
by Type of Measure and County, 201020

Table 1.4 Summary of Election Outcomes for County Ballot Measures
by Topic of Measure and County, 201021

PART 2 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES.....23

Table 2.1 Vote Totals for County Office Candidates
by County and Election Date, 2010.....25

Table 2.2 Summary of Election Outcomes for County Offices, 2010.....65

CALIFORNIA ELECTIONS DATA ARCHIVE

INTRODUCTION

The California Elections Data Archive (CEDA) is a joint project of the Center for California Studies and the Institute for Social Research, at the California State University, Sacramento and the office of the California Secretary of State. The purpose of CEDA is to provide researchers, citizens, public agencies and other interested parties with a single repository of local election data. With over 6,000 local jurisdictions in California, the task of monitoring local elections is nearly impossible for individuals. CEDA addresses this problem through the creation of a single, cost-effective and easily accessible source of local election data. CEDA includes candidate and ballot measure results for county, city, community college, and school district elections throughout the State. CEDA thus represents the only comprehensive repository of local election results in California and one of a very few such databases on local elections in the U.S.

How the CEDA Data is Collected and Reported

Election data are collected periodically throughout each calendar year. This enables CEDA to incorporate results from special elections as well as all regularly scheduled elections. Election results from counties, cities, and community college and school districts are entered in the CEDA database from which three standard CEDA reports are generated. These reports include:

- County Elections: Candidates, ballot designations, and vote totals for all elected county offices; vote totals and text for county ballot measures.
- City Elections: Candidates, ballot designations, and vote totals for all elected city offices; vote totals and text for all city ballot measures.
- Community College and School District Elections: Candidates, ballot designations, and vote totals for all elective community college and school district offices; vote totals and text for all district ballot measures.

Ballot measures for all jurisdictions are coded according to type (e.g., charter amendment, taxes, bond measure, initiative, etc.) and to topic (e.g., education, public safety, governance, etc.).

THE CEDA PARTNERSHIP

THE CENTER FOR CALIFORNIA STUDIES

Located at California State University, Sacramento, the Center for California Studies is a public policy, public service and curricular support unit of the California State University. The Center's location in the state Capital and its ability to draw upon the resources of the entire State University system give it a unique capacity for making contributions to public policy development and the public life of California. Center programs cover four broad areas: administration of the nationally known Assembly, Senate, Executive, and Judicial Administration Fellowship Programs; university-state government liaison and applied policy research; civic education and community service through forums, conferences and issue dialogues; and curricular support activity in the interdisciplinary field of California Studies.

INSTITUTE FOR SOCIAL RESEARCH

Established in 1989, the Institute for Social Research (ISR) is a multidisciplinary institute that is committed to advancing the understanding of the social world through applied research. The Institute offers research expertise and technical assistance serving as a resource to agencies, organizations, the University and the broader community. Services provided by the Institute include research and sampling design, measurement, coding and data entry, computer assisted telephone and field interviewing, mailed and Internet surveys, focus groups, data base management, statistical analysis and report production. ISR has completed numerous projects with more than 50 federal, state and community agencies, several private firms and many administrative units of the university. Faculty affiliates of the Institute offer specific content expertise in a wide variety of disciplines, including the social sciences, health and human services, engineering and education.

CALIFORNIA SECRETARY OF STATE

The Secretary of State is, among other duties, California's chief elections officer with the responsibility of administering the provisions of the Elections Code. The Secretary must compile state election returns and issue certificates of election to winning candidates; compile the returns and certify the results of initiative and referendum elections; certify acts delayed by referendum, and prepare and file a statement of vote. Recent legislation permits but does not mandate that the Secretary of State compile local election results.

TRENDS IN LOCAL ELECTIONS: 1995-2010

CEDA now encompasses 16 years of election data, including four gubernatorial election years (1998, 2002, 2006, and 2010), four presidential elections (1996, 2000, 2004, and 2008) and eight odd-numbered years devoted to local races. In addition to the 2010 election having a gubernatorial race, there were some 482 local ballot measures, and 6,022 candidates in races for local offices. This report begins with an overview of some of the multi-year election trends then continues to a discussion of the 2010 contests.

BALLOT MEASURES

Each year, California voters are asked to consider a number of governance issues and to choose among candidates vying for public office. Within local elections, there appear to be consistent features at all local election levels across elections over the 16 years of CEDA data collection. Other election characteristics seem to vary considerably from year to year, however. This variation is particularly noticeable between on-year and off-year election cycles. In the following section, the patterns and trends seen in local elections during the 16 years of CEDA data collection are summarized.

Trends in the Number, Types, and Topics of Local Election Measures


As noted in previous reports, the number of local ballot measures offered to voters clearly seems to “piggy-back” on state and national elections. From 1995 through 2010 there were, on average, 416 ballot measures per year. In even number years, the average was 588 measures, while odd years average 245. The percentage of ballot measures passing increased by four percent from 63 in 2009 to 67 percent in 2010; but the overall percentage of ballot measures passing remained at 63 percent.

- Among all the various types of ballot measures, *charter amendments* continued to have the largest percentage of measures passed, with more than three-quarters (77%) of *charter amendment* measures passing during the 16-year period. The second best success was among *bond* measures with two-thirds (67%) passing. Bonds were closely followed by *recall* measures with slightly less than two-thirds (66%) passing. However, during the past 16 years, this type of measure consistently had the second smallest number of actual measures (behind *initiatives*) compared with the other measure types. In terms of passage rates, following *recalls* were; *ordinances* (60%), *taxes* (54%), and *initiatives* (49%), respectively (See Trend Table A).
- Across the three government levels—county, city and school district—at which data is collected, the largest average yearly number of ballot measures were seen at the city level (207; 50%), followed by the school district (141; 34%) and county (68; 16%). However, following the trend of previous years, school districts had the largest percentage of measures passing (66%), followed by city (63%) and county (56%) (See Trend Table A).

During the 16 years of CEDA data collection, the number of ballot measures in even years peaked in 2004 with 712 measures. The current 2010 election saw 482 measures—the smallest in the history of the CEDA data collection in an even year. The passage rate for the 2010 elections cycle was 67 percent which is just above the average for even year elections and for the passage rate of measures overall.


- Among the eight topic areas for local ballot measures, education issues continue to be the most common ballot measure, with slightly more than one-third (34%) of all measures between 1995 and 2010 focused on this topic. The number of education measures has exceeded the number of measures dealing with other specific topics. (See Trend Table B).
- Earlier years have been re-coded to capture revenue measures. With this revision revenue represents 12 percent of the total ballot measures in local elections. In the 2009 election cycle revenue jumped to 29 percent of the local election ballot measures from the mid-teens seen in the previous two years. In 2010 revenue has returned to a lower rate of 20 percent (See Trend Table B).
 - In 2010, among all county measures, revenue issues accounted for about 17 percent; but among city's measures, revenue accounted for about 31 percent of the issues.
 - Since the 2005 election year, no revenue issues have been seen at the school district level.
- Figure 1 displays the trends for ballot measures and the percent of measures passing from 1995-2010. As can be seen in the green trend line representing the number of measures (See Figure 1), the actual number of ballot measures cyclically varies substantially between odd and even years; however, the dashed green trend line in the figure reveals a slight downward trend in the number of measures over the period. By contrast, the orange line representing the percentage of measures passed shows much more stability over time and the dashed trend line reveals a movement toward an increased percentage of measures passed during this timeframe. Thus, while we're seeing a jagged trend toward slightly fewer measures, we're also witnessing a gradual increase in the percentage of those measures passing.

Figure 1: Number of Measures and Percentage Passing, 1995-2010



- Figure 2 provides an overview of the average (mean) number of local ballot measures and the percent of those measures that passed in each of eight topic areas for the past 16 years (1995-2010). As discussed previously, ballot issues dealing with education and governance displayed the largest overall average number of measures, but also revealed the highest average passing rate among the eight topic areas (See Figure 2).

Figure 2: Average Number of Local Ballot Measures Per Year and Percent Passing by Topic for the Past 16 Years




- The level of ballot measures also appeared to have little overall impact on the passing rate for various governmental levels. County measures continue to show the lowest passing rate at 56 percent overall, with school district measures having the best passing rate about ten percent better than county measures at 66 percent (See Trend Table B).
- As reflected in previous reports, county measures showed the greatest disparity in passing rates between odd and even year elections, faring much better in odd year elections. For example, county elections witnessed a 16 percent better passing rate for *tax* propositions, a 34 percent better passing rate for *recall* measures, a 23 percent better passing rate for *bond* proposals (See Trend Table A).
- Among the six types of ballot measures identified in the CEDA data, *charter amendments*, *bonds* and *recalls* had the highest pass rates, 77 percent, 67 percent and 66 percent respectively, while *initiatives* and *taxes* had the lowest pass rates with 49 percent and 54 percent passing (See Trend Table A).

Trends in Bond and Tax Measures

Bonds and *tax measures* each make up slightly more than one-quarter of all the measures at 26 and 29 percent respectively, a little more than one-half (55%) of all ballot measures over the 16 years of election results tracked by CEDA. *Ordinances* and *charter amendments*, affecting policy shifts in local government, constituted another one-third (34%). *Initiatives* and *recalls* continue to account for only six percent of total local ballot measures (See Trend Table A).

- The overall percentage of measures devoted to taxes during the past 16 years has been gradually trending upward. The trend continued in 2010, although the percentage of tax measures decreased to 34 percent from a high of 51 percent in 2009 (See Figure 3).

Figure 3: Tax Measures as a Percentage of All Measures, with Trend Line for 1995-2010



- School districts remain responsible for the vast majority of the *bonds* placed before voters—about 93 percent over 16 years of data collection. Bonds continue to make up three-quarters (approximately 72%) of the six types of measures in school district elections.

In the 16 years that CEDA has been collecting data, bond measures had much higher rates of passage than did tax measures. With the 2010 election, the average pass rate for bonds remained unchanged, some 13 percent above taxes at 67 and 54 percent respectively.

- Another trend observed during the 16-year data collection is that pass rates for tax measures are consistently higher in odd-numbered years than in even-numbered years—an average of 59 percent in odd years compared with 52 percent for the even-numbered years. As noted above with regard to general pass rates, counties saw the biggest differences between pass rates for taxes in odd versus even years, with an average pass rate of 55 percent in odd years and 39 percent in even years. The discrepancy for odd and even years decreased slightly for cities with the 2010 election—an average 61 percent pass rate


in odd years and a 56 percent pass rate in even years. In contrast, tax measures for School Districts pass at the same rate for odd and even years—an average of 59 percent (See Trend Table A).

- On the other hand, on average, pass rates for bond measures appear better in even-numbered years than in odd-numbered years (72% vs. 53% respectively). However, while bond measures are considerably more likely to pass in even years versus odd years for cities (64% vs. 52%) and in school districts (73% vs. 52%); they are more likely to pass in odd numbered years rather than even years for counties (73% vs. 50% respectively) (See Trend Table A).

Trends in Community Services Districts and County Service Areas Measures

Community Service Districts (CSDs) and County Service Areas (CSAs) were introduced just before the turn of the new century as an accommodation to the tax restrictions posed by Proposition 13. Portions of a county could form a special district and agree to tax themselves to provide services that the population as a whole might not support. CEDA began tracking community service district ballot measures in 1998. Despite considerable fluctuation in the number of CSD/CSA measures during the subsequent 13-year period, speculation that the number would increase over time has not been supported by the trend data (see the dashed trend line in Figure 3 below). As seen in Figure 3, there have been a vacillating number of CSDs/CSAs measures during this period; however, there has been a gradual decrease in the number of measures from 1998 to 2010. With the exception of 2005, the number of CSD measures increases in even years and decreases in odd years.

Figure 4: Number of Measures and Trend Line for CSDs/CSAs, 1998-2010




- As discussed in previous trend summaries, one important question is whether CSD/CSA measures lose effectiveness in terms of their passage rate as they become a larger percentage of all county measures. This year's data provides additional information to

consider in this question. First, although the percentage of measures for CSDs/CSAs varied up and down through the 2005 election, the overall trend since 2006 has been downward—essentially these measures are accounting for a smaller and smaller percentage of all county measures. In 2010, they accounted for less than a quarter of county measures. This is in marked contrast to 2005 when they comprised more than one-half of county ballot measures. Moreover, the percentage of these measures and their passage rates seem to be synchronized (except for 2003 and 2007). (See Figure 4 below).

- In the 13 years of CEDA data on CSD/CSA elections (1998-2010), 276 ballot measures have presented CSD/CSA issues across the 58 counties. However, the use of CSD/CSA measures varied widely among these counties. Eight counties accounted for over two-thirds (70%) of CSD/CSA-related measures—Contra Costa (22), El Dorado (42), Kern (17), Marin (41), Riverside (19), San Diego (20), San Luis Obispo (20) and Siskiyou (12). By contrast, 47 counties have had 5 or fewer CSD/CSA measures on their ballots over the 13-year period (See Trend Table C).

Figure 5: CSD/CSA Measures as a Percentage of All County Measures and Percentage of CSD/CSA Measures Passing, 1998-2010



- In the years since their inception, the principal type of CSD/CSA measure has involved *taxes* (193; 70%). Interestingly, another funding mechanism, *bond measures*, has only appeared as CSD/CSA proposals five times (1% of the total measures). Behind *taxes*, *ordinances* (28; 10%) and *Gann Limit* issues (27; 10%) were a distant second and third in terms of prevalence on the ballot. *Recalls* (18), *bond measures* (5) and *advisory measures* (5) together only accounted for about 10 percent of the total number of measures during the 13-year period (See Trend Table D).
 - During the 13 years since their inception, CSD/CSA-related tax measures were passed slightly less than one-half (46%) of the time. As with other tax related ballot measures,

CSD/CSA measures in this area were more apt to pass in the odd-year elections (59% pass) and more apt to fail in even years (61% fail). Including this most recent year (2010) of data CSDs/CSAs have slightly higher passage rates in terms of tax measures than counties, 46 and 40 percent respectively. On the other hand, cities do slightly better than CSDs/CSAs, passing 53 percent of their tax measures, while school districts enjoy the greatest success with these measures a 57 percent passage rate (See Trend Tables A & D).

- With the addition of the 2010 election year data, when we separate out CSD/CSA measures from all county measures, we see that non-CSD/CSA and CSD/CSA measures passed at nearly identical rates, 58 and 57 percent respectively. However, CSDs/CSAs did much better than other county measures when the ballot measure involved taxes. County tax measures that are non-CSD/CSA measures had a 41 percent pass rate, while CSD/CSA tax measures enjoyed a 46 percent passage rate (See Trend Table E).
- *Public safety* remains the most common focus of CSD/CSA measures, comprising slightly less than one-third of all measures (86 of the 276 measures; 31%). *General services* (38) was the second most prevalent focus of CSD/CSA ballot measures, followed by *revenue* (36), *governance* (34), *transportation* (31), *public facilities* (30), *environment* (6), and *land use* (4) measures.
 - It is interesting to note that there were no *governance* measures in the first two years that CSDs/CSAs tracking was initiated (1998-99), but *governance* has appeared as a CSD/CSA issue in every election since then. *Public facilities* measures have appeared in all but four years (1999, 2003, 2008, and 2010). By contrast, *land use*, which also did not appear as CSD/CSA measures in 1998, has only appeared in two elections, 2000 and 2005. Also interesting is the fact that *public safety* measures, the most common CSD/CSA measure, has not appeared on the ballot in the last two odd year elections (2007 and 2009), their only absences from the ballot since 1998 (See Trend Table F).

TRENDS REGARDING CANDIDATES

The addition of the 2010 data reinforces previous findings that stable patterns have emerged with regard to the number of candidates seeking offices, and distribution of candidates across the various local offices that are tracked.


- The total number of candidates for local offices (county boards of supervisors, other county offices, city councils, and local school boards) is consistently more than twice as high in even-numbered as opposed to odd-numbered years (See Trend Table G).
- In the 16 years of CEDA data collection, school district candidates have comprised just under one half (48%) of all candidates for local offices. As might be expected given the raw number of offices at various levels of local government, candidates for city offices make up about 38 percent of the local candidates, while the smallest percentage of local election candidates reflects those seeking county offices (about 14%).
- In the on-year elections, city candidates and school district candidates are fairly similar in terms of the number of candidates. However, with the exception of the 2007 election, school district candidates have the largest number of candidates in the off-year election

- cycles where they make up more than half of the candidates on the ballot (See Trend Table G).
- In three of the prior even-year elections, 2000, 2004 and 2008, there were slightly greater percentages of city candidates than of school district candidates. However, in 2010 there were more school district candidates than city candidates.
 - The percentage of candidates running for county offices “pops” upward on on-cycle election years. In the 16 years of CEDA data collection, the county candidates averaged 18 percent of all local election candidates in the even years, but comprised only 6 percent of the candidates in the odd years (See Trend Table G).
- Over the 16 years of data collection, county candidates made up about 14 percent of all candidates in local elections (See Trend Table H).
 - Among candidates for county offices, 36 percent were running for County Supervisor positions, while 22 percent were seeking CSD/CSA seats.
 - On average, during the 16 years of CEDA data collection, slightly less than one-third (32%) of all candidates for local offices were incumbents (See Trend Table I).
 - About 34 percent of those seeking school district seats were incumbents.
 - Approximately 26 percent of those seeking city council positions were incumbents.
 - About 29 percent of those seeking county supervisor seats were incumbents, however, with the exception of 1997 when there were 5 County Supervisor seat races open, there are typically no races for County Supervisor in odd-year elections.
 - During the 16 year period, four out of every five (80%) incumbents running for local reelection win their respective offices (See Figure 5 and Trend Table I).
 - Eighty four percent (84%) of those winning county supervisor¹ seats held the office.
 - About 79 percent of incumbent city council office holders win their elections.
 - Seventy-seven percent (77%) of incumbent school district candidates win their elections (See Trend Table I).
 - In local elections, during the past 16 years, a little more than half (54%) of winning candidates are incumbents. This means that the local political area is seeing a fresh mixture of individuals comprising local elected offices and bodies with each election cycle. Conversely, this also suggests that fears of control of these institutions by a group of long-term political incumbents may be overstated.

¹ This percentage is calculated on those years in which county supervisors were normally up for election. In off years there were either no candidates or a very small number running for vacated seats.

- Sixty-two percent (62%) of winning candidates for county supervisor positions are incumbents.
- About 50 percent of candidates for city council who win are incumbents.
- Fifty-three percent (53%) of winning school district candidates are previous office holders (See Trend Table I).

Figure 6: Percent of Local Contests Won and Lost by Incumbent Candidates



*Runoffs are excluded from totals.

2010 ELECTION DATA

BALLOT MEASURES

California voters faced a total of 482 county, city and school district local ballot measures in 2010 of which 322 or 67% were enacted. The number of measures for 2010 is below the average of 588 measures in even numbered years since 1995, but the pass rate in 2010 was above average for even numbered years. Tax measures were the most common type, accounting for 164 or 34% of all measures. Frequent topics included *education* (149 measures 31%), *governance* (138 measures or 29% of all), *revenue* (95 measures or 20%) and *land use* (30 measures or 6%) (See Trend Table A and Trend Table B).

Bond mania was not as prominent in 2010 as in some prior years, but even in difficult fiscal times local voters were asked to consider many substantial bond measures, most of which were approved. More specifically, voters faced 97 separate local bond measures, approving 70 (72%) and rejecting 27 (See Trend Table A). Examples of prominent approved bond measures included a \$413,000,000 fire, earthquake, and emergency response measure in the City and County of San Francisco, a \$210,000,000 proposal to improve student safety and facilities in the Berkeley, and a \$270,000,000 measure for a variety of purposes in the Fresno Unified School District.

The 2009 CEDA Report noted the unusual number and high success rates of local tax measures. That year a total of 99 tax measures faced local voters of which 66 or 67% were approved. This pattern of approval for local tax measures continued in 2010. Sixty percent of tax measures were approved in 2010 (See Trend Table A). For example, voters in Mono County extended a prior utility users tax to fund mobility, recreation, and arts and culture programs. Voters in the Southern Coachella Valley Community Services District in Riverside County increased the annual special taxes per residential unit to \$85.00 to fund supplemental law enforcement services. Additionally San Mateo-Foster City School District voters increased the expiring school parcel tax by \$96 for seven years. But not all measures were so successful; for instance, a Mendocino County measure to enact the "County Vital Services Transactions and Use Tax" managed to garner only 30% approval.

As always, local voters faced ballot measures consistent with California's tradition of eclectic, entertaining and sometimes eccentric politics. In 2010 these included:

- A San Francisco proposal to amend the charter to require establishment of a film commission, which failed;
- A City of Berkeley proposal to allow residential medical cannabis collectives, with up to 200 square feet of cultivation, which passed;
- A City of Richmond measure to require every person engaged in a marijuana business pay a general business license tax of five percent of its gross receipts, which passed;
- A Crescent City proposal prohibiting the public water system to deliver any product, substance, device, element, medicine or preventative agent, including fluoride; that measure failed.

County Measures. In 2010 there were a total of 64 county ballot measures across 23 counties. Of the 64 county measures, 34 or 53% passed while 30 or 47% failed. The bulk of county measures were tax measures (25) and ordinances (22). The most common topic was governance, with 23 such measures appearing on county ballots.

City Measures. Voters cast ballots on a total of 270 city measures of which 192 or 71% passed. The overall total of city measures is less than the average in even numbered year election since 1996 of 298 measures, though the 71% pass rate is the second highest in any even numbered year since 1995. The majority of city measures dealt with governance (115 or 43%) and revenue (84 or 31%). Common types of city measures were taxes (94 or 35% of all city measures) and ordinances (91 or 34%). There were also 42 proposed charter amendments, most of which passed.

School District Measures. The year 2010 saw a total of 148 school district ballot measures of which 96 or 65% were approved. In comparison, the average for even numbered years since 1995 is 185 with a 72% pass rate and for all years 141 with a 66% pass rate. Therefore the passage rate for 2010 was below average for an even numbered year and comparable to the overall average. Bond measures accounted for 92 of the 148 school district measures.

CANDIDATE ELECTIONS

A total of 6,022 Californians ran for local elected office in 2010, which is the highest number for any year since CEDA has been active. Candidate elections took place at all levels of local government: counties, states, and school districts.

County Races. California counties generally elect their officials in even-numbered years. Counties elect five-member board of supervisors (their four year terms are staggered so not all seats are up each year) except the City and County of San Francisco which has an eleven member board. Counties also elect judges, sheriffs, clerks, district attorneys and members of the governing boards of community service districts (CSD), which are agencies of the county.

Incumbent county supervisors were usually successful in seeking reelection with 83% of incumbents winning their races. However, incumbents accounted for only 61% of all 126 winners: 49 non-incumbents were elected, 16 of who beat incumbents. Boards of Supervisors thus experienced significant turnover in 2010 through a combination of voluntary retirements and incumbent losses.

Tax collectors and treasurers were the county officials most likely to be reelected; all 27 such people who sought reelection won. By contrast, incumbent directors of community service districts, community service areas, and community planning areas were most likely to lose; fully 25% of incumbents in these positions who sought reelection were unsuccessful.

City Races. California cities elected mayors, council members, treasurers, clerks, and a handful of other officials in 2010. By far the most common contests were races for city council.

A total of 569 incumbent city council members sought reelection of which 469 or 82% were victorious. This is a rate comparable to the portion in prior years.

School District Races. More than 1,300 Californians were elected to school boards in 2010. Of these 784 or about 59% were incumbents. Incumbent board candidates won at a rate of 79%

which was lower than the incumbent reelection rate for county supervisors and city council members.

Recall Elections

The California Constitution allows voters the possibility to remove a local elected official if a sufficient number of voters sign a recall petition and a majority approves the recall in a subsequent election. In 2010 voters passed judgment on 27 local officials facing a recall election; 21 (78%) of these recall efforts were successful. Over the years most recalls have been successful; it is relatively rare for local office holders to face a recall effort, but when sufficient signatures are gathered to place the decision on the ballot the official is most commonly replaced.

2010 COUNTY, CITY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT ELECTION DATES BY COUNTY

	2/2	2/23	3/9	4/13	6/8	8/24	8/31	11/2
Alameda	✓				✓			✓
Alpine					✓			✓
Amador					✓			✓
Butte					✓			✓
Calaveras					✓			✓
Colusa					✓			✓
Contra Costa					✓			✓
Del Norte					✓			✓
El Dorado					✓		✓	✓
Fresno					✓			✓
Glenn					✓			✓
Humboldt					✓			✓
Imperial					✓			✓
Inyo					✓			✓
Kern			✓		✓			✓
Lake					✓			✓
Lassen					✓			✓
Los Angeles		✓		✓	✓		✓	✓
Madera					✓			✓
Marin					✓			✓
Mariposa					✓			✓
Mendocino					✓			✓
Merced					✓		✓	✓
Modoc					✓			✓
Mono					✓			✓
Monterey					✓			✓
Napa					✓			✓
Nevada					✓			✓
Orange	✓				✓			✓

2010 COUNTY, CITY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT ELECTION DATES BY COUNTY

	2/2	2/23	3/9	4/13	6/8	8/24	8/31	11/2
Placer					✓			✓
Plumas					✓			✓
Riverside		✓		✓	✓			✓
Sacramento					✓			✓
San Benito					✓			✓
San Bernardino					✓			✓
San Diego					✓			✓
San Francisco					✓			✓
San Joaquin					✓			✓
San Luis Obispo					✓			✓
San Mateo				✓	✓			✓
Santa Barbara					✓			✓
Santa Clara					✓			✓
Santa Cruz					✓			✓
Shasta					✓			✓
Siskiyou					✓			✓
Solano					✓			✓
Sonoma					✓			✓
Stanislaus					✓	✓		✓
Sutter					✓			✓
Tehama					✓			✓
Trinity					✓			✓
Tulare					✓			✓
Tuolumne					✓			✓
Ventura					✓			✓
Yolo					✓			✓
Yuba					✓			✓

TREND TABLE A NUMBER OF BALLOT MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TYPE, JURISDICTION AND YEAR

	ALL MEASURES			BONDS			TAXES			ORDINANCE			RECALLS			INITIATIVES			CHARTER AMENDMENT		
	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing
ALL MEASURES																					
1995-2010	416	100	63	110	26	67	120	29	54	91	22	60	16	4	66	10	2	49	51	12	77
EVEN YEARS	588	100	64	156	27	72	167	28	52	136	23	61	17	3	69	15	2	50	71	12	76
ODD YEARS	245	100	61	63	26	53	73	0	59	47	19	60	15	6	64	5	2	45	31	13	77
COUNTY																					
1995-2010	68	16	56	2	3	61	27	40	40	22	33	57	2	3	57	2	3	47	7	10	64
EVEN YEARS	105	18	53	3	3	50	42	40	39	35	33	62	2	2	50	4	4	48	11	11	63
ODD YEARS	31	13	66	2	6	73	11	37	55	10	32	69	2	8	84	0	1	67	2	8	68
CITY																					
1995-2010	207	50	63	6	3	57	68	33	53	62	30	51	7	4	53	8	4	44	44	21	79
EVEN YEARS	298	51	63	8	3	64	100	34	56	94	31	59	10	3	70	11	4	51	59	20	79
ODD YEARS	117	48	64	3	3	52	37	32	61	31	26	54	5	4	70	5	4	45	29	25	78
SCHOOL DISTRICT																					
1995-2010	141	34	66	102	72	65	24	17	57	7	5	65	7	5	62	0	0	50			
EVEN YEARS	185	31	72	145	79	73	24	13	59	8	4	79	5	3	74						
ODD YEARS	97	40	55	58	60	52	25	25	59	6	6	72	8	8	53	0	0	100			

TREND TABLE A NUMBER OF BALLOT MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TYPE, JURISDICTION AND YEAR

	ALL MEASURES			BONDS			TAXES			ORDINANCE			RECALLS			INITIATIVES			CHARTER AMENDMENT			
	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	
ALL MEASURES	1995	253	100	37	91	36	3	26	10	15	46	18	48	8	3	0	8	3	38	55	22	93
	1996	573	100	57	64	11	59	141	25	41	176	31	58	33	6	70	18	3	39	115	20	73
	1997	342	100	60	127	37	58	100	29	56	45	13	69	29	8	38	7	2	71	31	9	81
	1998	572	100	61	144	25	61	162	28	48	115	20	58	19	3	74	9	2	56	94	16	77
	1999	283	100	60	107	38	62	54	19	57	68	24	57	14	5	71	10	4	40	20	7	50
	2000	559	100	58	135	24	59	122	22	39	154	28	58	11	2	100	21	4	67	79	14	67
	2001	233	100	70	73	31	75	68	29	72	33	14	58	21	9	71	1	0	100	25	11	60
	2002	657	100	68	245	37	82	155	24	54	136	21	54	8	1	63	10	2	40	77	12	77
	2003	178	100	63	22	12	59	62	35	48	47	26	72	9	5	89	5	3	40	24	13	75
	2004	712	100	63	179	25	78	258	36	47	144	20	64	11	2	73	14	2	29	72	10	79
	2005	295	100	64	57	19	74	111	38	58	59	20	54	11	4	82	7	2	43	35	12	89
	2006	556	100	62	185	33	65	142	26	56	123	22	63	17	3	29	22	4	36	39	7	82
	2007	180	100	71	23	13	52	61	34	74	40	22	60	13	7	100	1	1	0	38	21	79
	2008	593	100	75	201	34	84	188	32	67	123	21	65	12	2	67	11	2	91	39	7	90
	2009	193	100	63	6	3	50	99	51	67	35	18	63	13	7	69	3	2	33	20	10	60
2010	482	100	67	97	20	72	164	34	60	117	24	67	27	6	78	11	2	55	50	10	76	
COUNTY MEASURES	1995	17	7	53				6	35	33	2	12	0						6	35	83	
	1996	114	20	44	3	3	33	34	30	26	41	36	54	5	4	80	7	6	14	17	15	47
	1997	24	7	63	7	29	57	7	29	71	4	17	100	2	8	50				4	17	25
	1998	125	22	59	1	1	0	53	42	40	32	26	75				4	3	25	25	20	76
	1999	38	13	63	1	3	100	21	55	48	8	21	63							4	11	100
	2000	116	21	49	6	5	83	51	44	27	28	24	50				8	7	88	8	7	38
	2001	37	16	73	3	8	100	14	38	71	11	30	64	4	11	75				1	3	0
	2002	98	15	56	5	5	20	38	39	45	39	40	67	1	1	0	2	2	50	7	7	71
	2003	28	16	64				12	43	25	15	54	100	1	4	0						
	2004	140	20	54	0	2	0	60	43	45	47	34	62	1	1	0	4	3	25	18	13	56
	2005	57	19	63	3	5	67	24	42	67	16	28	56	3	5	100	3	5	67	2	4	50
	2006	95	17	52				45	47	40	30	32	60	4	4	25	2	2	50	6	6	83
	2007	29	16	76	1	3	100	3	10	67	16	55	63	8	28	100						
	2008	90	15	62	3	3	100	33	37	42	40	44	65	1	1	100	2	2	100	4	4	100
	2009	16	8	69				4	25	50	6	38	67	1	6	100				2	13	100
2010	64	13	53	3	5	67	25	39	48	22	34	59	4	6	50	2	3	50	6	9	50	

TREND TABLE A NUMBER OF BALLOT MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TYPE, JURISDICTION AND YEAR

	ALL MEASURES			BONDS			TAXES			ORDINANCE			RECALLS			INITIATIVES			CHARTER AMENDMENT			
	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	
CITY MEASURES	1995	119	47	71	4	3	75	7	6	29	38	32	58				7	6	43	49	41	94
	1996	374	65	60	10	3	30	99	26	43	115	31	59	25	7	76	11	3	55	98	26	78
	1997	144	42	58	2	1	50	70	49	50	28	19	54	9	6	22	7	5	71	27	19	89
	1998	283	49	60	9	3	78	99	35	47	78	28	53	7	2	43	5	2	80	69	24	77
	1999	114	40	54	4	4	75	22	19	55	48	42	48	8	7	100	10	9	40	16	14	38
	2000	297	53	60	11	4	82	65	22	45	113	38	56	6	2	100	13	4	54	71	24	70
	2001	93	40	69	8	9	63	31	33	74	18	19	61	3	3	100	1	1	100	24	26	63
	2002	309	47	60	12	4	83	102	33	58	94	30	48	5	2	60	8	3	38	70	23	77
	2003	89	50	67	2	2	50	14	16	71	29	33	55	6	7	100	5	6	40	24	27	75
	2004	337	47	59	7	2	43	147	44	46	92	27	63	6	2	67	10	3	30	54	16	87
	2005	135	46	61	2	1	0	47	35	55	37	27	51	3	2	33	4	3	25	33	24	91
	2006	253	46	64	10	4	50	82	32	70	85	34	61	6	2	17	20	8	35	33	13	82
	2007	108	60	71	2	2	0	40	37	73	19	18	53	5	5	100	1	1	0	38	35	79
	2008	258	44	73	5	2	100	111	43	71	80	31	65	8	3	50	9	3	89	35	14	89
	2009	130	67	61	1	1	0	63	48	68	28	22	61	3	2	33	3	2	33	18	14	56
2010	270	56	71	2	1	0	95	35	69	91	34	67	16	6	94	8	3	63	44	16	80	
SCHOOL DISTRICT MEASURES	1995	117	46	0	87	74	0	13	11	0	6	5	0	8	7	0	1	1	100			
	1996	85	15	64	51	60	67	8	9	75	20	24	60	3	4	0						
	1997	174	51	61	118	68	58	23	13	70	13	7	92	18	10	44						
	1998	164	29	65	134	82	60	10	6	100	5	3	40	12	7	92						
	1999	131	46	64	102	78	61	11	8	82	12	9	92	6	5	33						
	2000	146	26	62	118	81	55	6	4	67	13	9	100	5	3	100						
	2001	103	44	71	62	60	76	23	22	70	4	4	25	14	14	64						
	2002	250	38	81	228	91	83	15	6	53	3	1	100	2	1	100						
	2003	61	34	56	20	33	60	36	59	47	3	5	100	2	3	100						
	2004	235	33	74	172	73	79	51	22	53	5	2	100	4	2	100						
	2005	103	35	69	52	50	77	40	39	55	6	6	67	5	5	100						
	2006	208	37	64	175	84	66	15	7	33	8	4	88	7	3	43						
	2007	43	24	67	20	47	55	18	42	78	5	12	80									
	2008	245	41	82	193	79	83	44	18	75	3	1	67	3	1	100						
	2009	47	24	68	5	11	60	32	68	66	1	2	100	9	19	78						
2010	148	31	65	92	62	74	44	30	45	4	3	100	7	5	57	1	1	0				

TREND TABLE B NUMBER OF BALLOT MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TOPIC, JURISDICTION AND YEAR

	ALL MEASURES			EDUCATION			GOVERNANCE			LAND USE			PUBLIC SAFETY			PUBLIC FACILITIES			GENERAL SERVICES			TRANSPORTATION			REVENUE			
	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	
ALL MEASURES	1995	253	100	37	121	48	3	63	25	84	16	6	63	12	5	50	14	6	50				2	1	0	5	2	60
	1996	573	100	57	84	15	68	217	38	65	54	9	56	39	7	51	38	7	37	10	2	40	8	1	50	87	15	46
	1997	342	100	60	175	51	62	43	13	67	19	6	68	12	4	42	15	4	40	38	11	61	4	1	50	10	3	70
	1998	572	100	61	158	28	66	130	23	64	46	8	70	41	7	49	33	6	58	28	5	82	23	4	70	75	13	43
	1999	283	100	60	119	42	61	62	22	63	29	10	41	14	5	57	4	1	75	14	5	57	8	3	88	23	8	65
	2000	559	100	58	151	27	62	141	25	64	73	13	55	32	6	50	39	7	67	20	4	55	21	4	43	5	1	20
	2001	233	100	70	105	45	71	46	20	67	7	3	71	11	5	73	19	8	58	7	3	71	4	2	25	31	13	87
	2002	657	100	68	250	38	81	144	22	66	44	7	43	42	6	57	35	5	49	20	3	60	10	2	40	85	13	62
	2003	178	100	63	61	34	56	52	29	73	15	8	60	12	7	50	5	3	60	6	3	100	8	4	38	13	7	62
	2004	712	100	63	234	33	74	143	20	73	58	8	52	55	8	47	37	5	38	23	3	70	25	4	76	110	15	47
	2005	295	100	64	102	35	70	61	21	70	28	9	39	18	6	44	14	5	64	18	6	67	13	4	62	33	11	70
	2006	556	100	62	208	37	64	109	20	60	51	9	61	37	7	73	22	4	41	12	2	58	22	4	50	61	11	62
	2007	180	100	71	43	24	67	63	35	81	18	10	39	5	3	100	8	4	88	7	4	86	4	2	25	31	17	68
	2008	593	100	75	246	41	82	99	17	75	43	7	72	39	7	49	32	5	66	10	2	80	14	2	50	92	16	77
	2009	193	100	63	47	24	68	42	22	64	17	9	47	10	5	60	7	4	86	8	4	25	2	1	0	56	29	71
2010	482	100	67	149	31	65	138	29	74	30	6	47	27	6	67	12	2	75	9	2	56	7	1	71	95	20	65	
COUNTY MEASURES	1995	17	7	53				7	41	71	3	18	33				3	18	67				1	6	0	3	18	33
	1996	114	20	44	1	1	100	44	39	59	12	11	33	8	7	38	16	14	13	1	1	100	4	4	75	16	14	31
	1997	24	7	63	1	4	100	5	21	60	3	13	100	2	8	0	5	21	40	5	21	80	1	4	100	1	4	0
	1998	125	22	59				24	19	75	13	10	62	14	11	36	13	10	38	18	14	72	16	13	75	12	10	25
	1999	38	13	63				5	13	80	0	0	0	3	8	33	3	8	67	7	18	29	8	21	88	7	18	86
	2000	116	21	49	1	1	100	22	19	64	17	15	35	14	12	36	16	14	44	8	7	63	16	14	44	3	3	33
	2001	37	16	73	2	5	100	12	32	58	1	3	100	7	19	100	6	16	67	4	11	75	1	3	0	4	11	75
	2002	98	15	56				34	35	71	7	7	71	15	15	33	11	11	36	7	7	57	5	5	40	12	12	67
	2003	28	16	64				10	36	90	0	0	0	5	18	40				2	7	100	2	7	50	6	21	17
	2004	140	20	54	3	2	33	32	23	66	14	10	14	22	16	50	13	9	54	4	3	50	21	15	76	17	12	41
	2005	57	19	63				12	21	67	6	11	33	6	11	33	8	14	75	9	16	78	9	16	78	4	7	50
	2006	95	17	52				28	29	54	10	11	70	11	12	55	8	8	38	2	2	50	15	16	40	12	13	33
	2007	29	16	76				14	48	93	5	17	0				5	17	100	2	7	100	2	7	50			
	2008	90	15	62	1	1	100	25	28	76	7	8	86	14	16	43	14	16	50	4	4	75	7	8	57	11	12	64
	2009	16	8	69				7	44	86	3	19	33				3	19	100				1	6	0	2	13	50
2010	64	13	53				23	36	57	6	9	50	12	19	50	2	3	100	1	2	0	5	8	80	11	17	45	

TREND TABLE C COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT AND COUNTY SERVICE AREA MEASURES BY COUNTY

	1998			1999			2000			2001			2002			2003			2004			2005			2006			2007			2008			2009			2010			1998-2010							
	N	%	% Pas-sing																																												
Butte	2	67	100				1	100	100																											3	38	100									
Calaveras																3	100	100				1	50	0														4	67	75							
Contra Costa	2	67	50				4	80	25	1	100	100	2	100	50				3	60	67	3	100	100	1	33	100	2	100	100	3	100	67			1	50	100	22	79	68						
El Dorado	2	12	50				7	78	29				1	50	100	6	100	17	2	20	100	14	88	64	2	100	0	3	100	100	1	50	0	1	100	0	3	75	67	42	74	50					
Fresno	1	50	100																																				1	9	100						
Humboldt																			1	25	100	2	100	0				1	100	0										4	40	25					
Imperial																						1	50	100																	1	13	100				
Inyo							1	50	100																																1	13	100				
Kern	6	100	50				2	100	0	4	100	75							3	100	33				1	33	100													1	100	0	17	81	47		
Lake																												1	100	100											2	33	50				
Lassen							1	33	0				4	80	25				1	100	100				1	100	0														7	64	29				
Marin				4	100	100	5	100	80	10	91	90	3	100	100	1	100	100				2	100	100	4	100	100	1	100	100	3	75	100	1	100	100	7	88	43	41	84	85					
Mendocino				1	100	0							1	100	0				1	50	100																				3	33	33				
Monterey													1	100	0																											1	10	0			
Nevada							1	100	100																																	1	25	100			
Orange	1	100	100													1	100	100																								2	11	100			
Placer	1	33	100																									1	33	0												2	22	50			
Plumas							1	100	100	2	100	100							1	50	100																						4	67	100		
Riverside	2	40	50	8	100	38				2	100	0	2	67	50	2	100	0	2	100	100																					1	25	0	19	83	37
Sacramento	2	40	100				3	75	33																		1	100	100														6	43	67		
San Bernardino	2	100	50				3	100	67				1	100	0	1	100	0	1	50	0			1	33	100			1	50	100	1	100	100									11	73	55		
San Diego	10	83	30				3	60	33	1	100	100	2	40	0				3	33	33				1	20	100																20	44	35		
San Joaquin																											1	100	100															1	33	100	
San Luis Obispo				5	100	100	1	33	0				1	50	100							4	67	50	4	100	100	5	83	40													20	77	70		
San Mateo																						1	14	100					1	25	100													2	11	100	
Santa Barbara										1	100	0												1	25	0																	1	100	100		
Santa Cruz																						1	100	0																			1	11	0		
Shasta							1	100	0																																		1	100	100		
Siskiyou							2	100	100													5	83	0			2	100	0																3	75	33
Sonoma							1	20	100	1	100	100																																	4	27	75

TREND TABLE E COMPARISON OF PASS RATES FOR COUNTY-WIDE AND COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT/ COUNTY SERVICE AREA TAX MEASURES, 1998-2010

	NON-CSD/CSA COUNTY-WIDE MEASURES			CSD/CSA MEASURES		NON-CSD/CSA COUNTY-WIDE TAX MEASURES		CSD/CSA COUNTY TAX MEASURES	
	Total Number of County Measures	Number of Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	Percent Passing
1998	125	94	61	31	55	31	35	22	45
1999	38	18	67	20	60	5	20	16	56
2000	116	76	50	40	48	23	26	28	29
2001	37	15	67	22	77	2	50	12	75
2002	98	80	59	18	44	24	50	14	36
2003	28	15	87	13	38	1	0	11	27
2004	140	110	55	30	50	36	47	24	42
2005	57	26	50	31	74	1	100	23	65
2006	95	71	52	24	50	30	37	15	47
2007	29	21	71	8	88			3	67
2008	90	72	63	18	61	22	0	11	45
2009	16	10	60	6	83	1	0	3	67
2010	64	49	57	15	40	14	57	11	36
1998-2010	933	657	58	276	57	190	41	193	46

TREND TABLE F NUMBER OF COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT AND COUNTY SERVICE AREA MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL COUNTY MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TOPIC AND YEAR

	ALL CSD/CSA			LAND USE			PUBLIC SAFETY			GOVERNANCE			ENVIRONMENT			TRANSPORTATION			PUBLIC FACILITIES			GENERAL SERVICES			REVENUE		
	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Percent Passing
1998	31	25	55				12	10	42				3	2	67	2	2	50	1	1	0	8	6	88	4	3	25
1999	20	53	60				2	5	50				3	8	0	5	13	100				5	13	40	5	13	80
2000	40	34	48	2	2	0	10	9	30	2	2	100				6	5	17	5	4	40	5	4	60	1	1	100
2001	22	59	77				6	16	100	4	11	75				1	3	0	5	14	60	4	11	75	2	5	100
2002	18	18	44				11	11	45	3	3	67							3	3	33	1	1	0			
2003	13	46	38				5	18	40	2	7	100													6	21	17
2004	30	21	50				17	12	47	1	1	0				4	3	50	4	3	50	1	1	0	2	1	100
2005	31	54	74	2	4	0	1	2	100	3	5	100				6	11	100	6	11	67	9	16	78	4	7	50
2006	24	25	50				7	7	71	7	7	43				2	2	50	3	3	0	2	2	50	3	3	67
2007	8	28	88							4	14	100				1	3	0	1	3	100	2	7	100			
2008	18	20	61				8	9	50	2	2	100				2	2	50				1	1	0	5	6	80
2009	6	38	83							2	13	100				1	6	0	2	13	100				1	6	100
2010	15	23	40				7	11	43	4	6	50				1	2	0							3	5	33
1998-2010	276	30	57	4	0	0	86	9	50	34	4	74	6	1	33	31	3	55	30	3	50	38	4	66	36	4	58

TREND TABLE G NUMBER OF CANDIDATES BY JURISDICTION AND YEAR

	NUMBER OF CANDIDATES			
	ALL CANDIDATES	COUNTY CANDIDATES	CITY CANDIDATES	SCHOOL DISTRICT CANDIDATES
1995	2,354	0	732	1,622
1996	5,330	667	2,141	2,522
1997	2,476	23	736	1,717
1998	5,354	1,037	1,893	2,424
1999	2,274	135	724	1,415
2000	5,012	796	2,166	2,050
2001	2,505	189	688	1,628
2002	5,896	1,266	2,188	2,442
2003	2,086	205	566	1,315
2004	5,035	782	2,212	2,041
2005	2,546	167	979	1,400
2006	5,498	1,136	2,132	2,230
2007	2,021	207	811	1,003
2008	5,237	782	2,282	2,173
2009	2,066	143	863	1,060
2010	6,022	1,177	2,321	2,524
Total	61,712	8,712	23,434	29,566

*Runoffs are excluded from totals.

TREND TABLE H NUMBER OF CANDIDATES FOR MAJOR COUNTY OFFICES BY YEAR

	TOTAL NUMBER OF CANDIDATES	NUMBER OF COUNTY CANDIDATES	COUNTY SUPERVISOR CANDIDATES		CSD/CSA CANDIDATES	
			NUMBER OF CANDIDATES	% OF COUNTY CANDIDATES	NUMBER OF CANDIDATES	% OF COUNTY CANDIDATES
1995	2,354	0	0	0	*	*
1996	5,330	667	470	70	*	*
1997	2,476	23	19	83	*	*
1998	5,354	1,037	309	30	22	2
1999	2,274	135	5	4	109	81
2000	5,012	796	441	55	174	22
2001	2,505	189	0	0	186	98
2002	5,896	1,266	306	24	127	10
2003	2,086	205	10	5	175	85
2004	5,035	782	447	57	125	16
2005	2,546	167	4	2	155	93
2006	5,498	1,136	310	27	160	14
2007	2,021	207	10	5	161	78
2008	5,237	782	441	56	174	22
2009	2,066	143	0	0	141	99
2010	6,022	1,177	331	28	170	14
TOTAL	61,712	8,712	3,103	36	1,879	22

*The California Elections Data Archive did not collect information on CSD/CSA candidates until 1998.

**Runoffs are excluded from totals.

TREND TABLE I PERCENT OF INCUMBENT CANDIDATES AND PERCENT OF PREVAILING INCUMBENTS BY MAJOR OFFICE, JURISDICTION AND YEAR

	% OF ALL CANDIDATES		% OF COUNTY SUPERVISOR CANDIDATES	% OF CITY COUNCIL CANDIDATES	% OF SCHOOL DISTRICT CANDIDATES
	Year	Percentage	Percentage	Percentage	Percentage
PERCENT OF CANDIDATES WHO ARE INCUMBENTS	1995	27	0	18	30
	1996	27	24	23	28
	1997	30	5	23	33
	1998	32	30	26	32
	1999	30	0	23	32
	2000	30	30	27	32
	2001	30	0	24	32
	2002	34	34	27	36
	2003	31	0	22	35
	2004	33	28	28	37
	2005	31	0	23	36
	2006	35	29	29	36
	2007	31	0	27	33
	2008	34	30	30	38
	2009	34	0	26	39
	2010	35	28	29	39
1995-2010	32	29	26	34	
PERCENTAGE OF INCUMBENTS WHO WIN	1995	79	0	79	78
	1996	79	75	74	78
	1997	76	0	79	74
	1998	86	87	82	83
	1999	78	0	81	77
	2000	79	90	80	74
	2001	78	0	80	77
	2002	82	81	79	79
	2003	78	0	72	79
	2004	81	81	81	76
	2005	80	0	80	78
	2006	82	90	78	78
	2007	77	0	79	75
	2008	76	86	80	70
	2009	78	0	79	76
	2010	82	83	82	79
1995-2010	80	84	79	77	
PERCENTAGE OF WINNING CANDIDATES WHO ARE INCUMBENTS	1995	50	0	41	51
	1996	48	51	41	47
	1997	49	0	45	50
	1998	57	63	48	53
	1999	51	0	45	52
	2000	52	73	51	49
	2001	50	0	51	50
	2002	57	63	50	56
	2003	51	0	40	55
	2004	55	59	51	57
	2005	52	0	50	52
	2006	56	68	51	55
	2007	50	0	54	48
	2008	56	61	55	54
	2009	54	0	51	55
	2010	59	61	56	59
1995-2010	54	62	50	53	

*Runoffs are excluded from totals.

2010 ELECTION SERIES SUMMARY:
ELECTION OUTCOMES FOR
COUNTY, CITY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT
BALLOT MEASURES AND CANDIDATES

TABLE A SUMMARY OF OUTCOMES FOR ALL COUNTY, CITY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT BALLOT MEASURES BY TYPE OF MEASURE AND COUNTY, 2010

	TAXES		BONDS		CHARTER AMENDMENT		ADVISORY		INITIATIVE		RECALL		GANN LIMIT		ORDINANCE		ALL MEASURES		
	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	TOTAL
Alameda	11	4	4	1	1	0			0	1					4	1	20	7	54
Amador	0	1					1	0							1	0	2	1	6
Butte	1	0															1	0	2
Colusa	0	1													0	1	0	2	4
Contra Costa	5	5	4	1			0	1	0	1					0	1	9	9	36
Del Norte									0	1							0	1	2
El Dorado	1	1			0	1					2	0			0	1	3	3	12
Fresno	0	4	2	2	1	0									3	1	6	7	26
Glenn			0	1													0	1	2
Humboldt	1	0	4	0	1	0									2	0	8	0	16
Imperial	1	0	1	1													2	1	6
Inyo			1	0											1	0	2	0	4
Kern	0	2	1	0							0	3			3	0	4	5	18
Kings			0	1													0	1	2
Los Angeles	9	7	4	3	6	2	1	0			2	0			4	3	26	15	82
Madera															1	0	1	0	2
Marin	12	5	1	0													13	5	36
Mendocino	0	1	3	0													3	1	8
Merced											2	0			1	1	3	1	8
Modoc	1	0													1	0	2	0	4
Mono	1	0													0	1	1	1	4
Monterey	2	1	4	0	1	0			1	0							8	1	18
Napa	2	1	2	0									1	0			5	1	12
Nevada															1	0	1	0	2
Orange	2	0	3	0	4	1	0	1	3	1	3	0			7	2	22	5	54
Placer	0	2	0	1	5	2			1	0							6	5	22

TABLE A SUMMARY OF OUTCOMES FOR ALL COUNTY, CITY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT BALLOT MEASURES BY TYPE OF MEASURE AND COUNTY, 2010

	TAXES		BONDS		CHARTER AMENDMENT		ADVISORY		INITIATIVE		RECALL		GANN LIMIT		ORDINANCE		ALL MEASURES		
	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	TOTAL
Plumas			0	1													0	1	2
Riverside	5	3	1	1			2	0			4	1			16	1	28	6	68
Sacramento	5	1	0	1											2	3	7	5	24
San Benito			2	0													2	0	4
San Bernardino	0	2	1	2	2	2	0	1	0	1					1	3	4	11	30
San Diego	0	6	4	0	7	0	0	1	1	0	1	0			2	0	15	7	44
San Francisco	2	2	1	1	1	2									6	5	10	10	40
San Joaquin	2	0	1	0	1	0					1	0			3	0	8	0	16
San Luis Obispo			1	1											4	3	5	4	18
San Mateo	8	2	4	0	1	0									6	0	19	2	42
Santa Barbara	1	2	3	0											0	3	4	5	18
Santa Clara	13	5	7	0	3	1									2	2	25	8	66
Santa Cruz	2	1	1	0													3	1	8
Shasta			0	1							0	2			2	0	2	3	10
Sierra			0	1													0	1	2
Siskiyou	0	1	0	1			0	1			2	0			0	2	2	5	14
Solano	0	2	0	1	1	0											1	3	8
Sonoma	3	0	9	0											5	3	17	3	40
Stanislaus	0	1	0	2	2	0	1	0			3	0			0	1	6	4	20
Sutter	0	0									1	0			0	1	1	1	4
Tulare	1	2	0	2	1	1											2	5	14
Tuolumne	2	0	1	1													3	1	8
Ventura	1	1															1	1	4
Yolo	3	0					5	0									8	0	16
Yuba	1	0															1	0	2
All Counties	98	66	70	27	38	12	10	5	6	5	21	6	1	0	78	39	322	160	482

TABLE B SUMMARY OF OUTCOMES FOR ALL COUNTY, CITY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT BALLOT MEASURES BY TOPIC OF MEASURE AND COUNTY, 2010

	EDUCATION		LAND USE		SAFETY		GOVERNANCE		ENVIRONMENT		TRANSPORT		FACILITIES		HOUSING		GAMBLING		GENERAL SERVICES		REVENUE		OTHER		ALL MEASURES		
	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	TOTAL
Alameda	7	2	2	2	2	1	1	0			1	0	0	1							7	1			20	7	54
Amador			1	0															1	0	0	1			2	1	6
Butte																					1	0			1	0	2
Colusa								1													0	1			0	2	4
Contra Costa	5	3	0	3	1	0															3	3			9	9	36
Del Norte																			0	1					0	1	2
El Dorado							2	1			0	1							1	0	0	1			3	3	12
Fresno	3	4					3	1													0	2			6	7	26
Glenn	0	1																							0	1	2
Humboldt	4	0	1	0			1								1	0					1	0			8	0	16
Imperial	1	1																			1	0			2	1	6
Inyo	1	0																					1	0	2	0	4
Kern	1	3					1	0											2	1	0	1			4	5	18
Kings	0	1																							0	1	2
Los Angeles	4	7	1	1	1	0	7	3							1	0					11	4	1	0	26	15	82
Madera							1	0																	1	0	2
Marin	1	0			7	5							3	0							2	0			13	5	36
Mendocino	3	0																			0	1			3	1	8
Merced			1	1			2	0																	3	1	8
Modoc							1	0	1	0															2	0	4
Mono			0	1									1	0											1	1	4
Monterey	4	0					2	0					0	1							2	0			8	1	18
Napa	2	0																			3	1			5	1	12
Nevada							1	0																	1	0	2
Orange	7	1	1	2			8	1	1	0	1	0	0	1							3	0	1	0	22	5	54
Placer	0	2					6	2													0	1			6	5	22
Plumas	0	1																							0	1	2
Riverside	0	1			2	0	22	2			1	0									3	3			28	6	68
Sacramento	0	1					2	3													5	1			7	5	24
San Benito	2	0																							2	0	4

TABLE B SUMMARY OF OUTCOMES FOR ALL COUNTY, CITY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT BALLOT MEASURES BY TOPIC OF MEASURE AND COUNTY, 2010

	EDUCATION		LAND USE		SAFETY		GOVERNANCE		ENVIRONMENT		TRANSPORT		FACILITIES		HOUSING		GAMBLING		GENERAL SERVICES		REVENUE		OTHER		ALL MEASURES		
	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	TOTAL
San Bernardino	1	2	0	1			1	4					2	0							0	2	0	2	4	11	30
San Diego	4	2	2	0			9	0			0	1									0	4			15	7	44
San Francisco	1	0			3	1	4	5			1	0			0	1					1	2	0	1	10	10	40
San Joaquin	3	0					2	0							1	0					2	0			8	0	16
San Luis Obispo	1	0	0	2	0	1	4	1																	5	4	18
San Mateo	10	1	1	0			5	0			1	0			1	0					1	1			19	2	42
Santa Barbara	3	0	0	2	0	1	0	1													1	1			4	5	18
Santa Clara	15	4	0	1			4	2					1	0			1	0	0	1	4	0			25	8	66
Santa Cruz	2	1																			1	0			3	1	8
Shasta	0	1					2	2																	2	3	10
Sierra	0	1																							0	1	2
Siskiyou	0	1					2	2													0	1	0	1	2	5	14
Solano	0	3					1	0																	1	3	8
Sonoma	9	0	3	0			2	2											0	1	3	0			17	3	40
Stanislaus	0	2					5	1											1	0	0	1			6	4	20
Sutter	1	0					0	1																	1	1	4
Tulare	1	4					1	1																	2	5	14
Tuolumne	1	1																			2	0			3	1	8
Ventura	0	1																			1	0			1	1	4
Yolo			1	0	2	0						2	0								3	0			8	0	16
Yuba																					1	0			1	0	2
All Counties	97	52	14	16	18	9	102	36	2	0	5	2	9	3	4	1	1	0	5	4	62	33	3	4	322	160	482

TABLE C. SUMMARY OF ELECTION OUTCOMES FOR ALL COUNTY, CITY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT OFFICES, 2010

		County Supervisor		Director, CSD*		Other County Offices		City Council		Other City Offices		School Board Member		Total	
		Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N
Incumbent Candidates	Win	82.8	77	75.0	33	93.8	255	82.4	469	87.8	101	79.1	784	82.5	1,719
	Lose	17.2	16	25.0	11	6.3	17	17.6	100	12.2	14	20.9	207	17.5	365
	Total	100.0	93	100.0	44	100.0	272	100.0	569	100.0	115	100.0	991	100.0	2,084
Non-Incumbent Candidates	Win	20.6	49	52.4	66	37.1	150	25.7	363	24.8	56	34.9	535	31.0	1,219
	Lose	79.4	189	47.6	60	62.9	254	74.3	1,048	75.2	170	65.1	998	69.0	2,719
	Total	100.0	245	100.0	126	100.0	404	100.0	1,411	100.0	226	100.0	1,533	100.0	3,938
Winning Candidates	Incumbent	61.1	77	33.3	33	63.0	255	56.4	469	64.3	101	59.4	784	58.5	1,719
	Non-Incumbent	38.9	49	66.7	66	37.0	150	43.6	363	35.7	56	40.6	535	41.5	1,219
	Total	100.0	126	100.0	99	100.0	405	100.0	832	100.0	157	100.0	1,319	100.0	2,938
Losing Candidates	Incumbent	7.8	16	15.5	11	6.3	17	8.7	100	7.6	14	17.2	207	11.8	365
	Non-Incumbent	92.2	189	84.5	60	93.7	254	91.3	1,048	92.4	170	82.8	998	88.2	2,719
	Total	100.0	205	100.0	71	100.0	271	100.0	1,148	100.0	184	100.0	1,205	100.0	3,084
All Candidates	Incumbent	28.1	93	25.9	44	40.2	272	28.7	569	33.7	115	39.3	991	34.6	2,084
	Non-Incumbent	71.9	238	74.1	126	59.8	404	71.3	1,411	66.3	226	60.7	1,533	65.4	3,938
	Total	100.0	331	100.0	170	100.0	676	100.0	1,980	100.0	341	100.0	2,524	100.0	6,022

*Directors of Community Service Districts, and Community Service Areas

**Runoffs are excluded from totals.

PART 1
VOTE TOTALS, ELECTION OUTCOMES
AND TEXT FOR COUNTY BALLOT MEASURES

TABLE 1.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2010

COUNTY	DATE	MEASURE TITLE	TYPE OF MEASURE	TOPIC OF MEASURE	VOTE IN FAVOR	TOTAL VOTE	PERCENT OF VOTE	PASS OR FAIL
ALAMEDA	11/2/2010	Measure F	Miscellaneous Tax	Transport	245,582	388,278	63.2%	Pass
ALPINE	No County Measures							
AMADOR	No County Measures							
BUTTE	No County Measures							
CALAVERAS	No County Measures							
COLUSA	No County Measures							
CONTRA COSTA	6/8/2010	Measure F	Initiative	Land Use: Growth Cap/Boundary	4,251	9,953	42.7%	Fail
		Measure G	Property Tax	Safety: Police	1,478	2,155	68.6%	Pass ^T
DEL NORTE	No County Measures							
EL DORADO	8/31/2010	Recall 1	Recall	Governance: Recall	252	313	80.5%	Pass
		Recall 2	Recall	Governance: Recall	253	312	81.1%	Pass
	11/2/2010	Measure C	Property Tax	Transport: Roads	252	453	55.6%	Fail ^T
		Measure G	Charter Amendment	Governance: Contracting/Bidding/Leasing	33,621	68,852	48.8%	Fail
FRESNO	No County Measures							
GLENN	No County Measures							
HUMBOLDT	No County Measures							
IMPERIAL	No County Measures							
INYO	No County Measures							
KERN	11/2/2010	Measure F	Property Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	1,459	2,752	53.0%	Fail ^T
KINGS	No County Measures							
LAKE	No County Measures							
LASSEN	No County Measures							
LOS ANGELES	No County Measures							
MADERA	No County Measures							
MARIN	6/8/2010	Measure A	Property Tax	Facilities: Libraries	30,782	41,122	74.9%	Pass ^T
		Measure E	Property Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	129	151	85.4%	Pass ^T
	11/2/2010	Measure K	Miscellaneous Tax	Safety: Emergency Medical/Paramedic	1,766	2,666	66.2%	Fail ^T
		Measure L	Miscellaneous Tax	Safety: Emergency Medical/Paramedic	549	804	68.3%	Pass ^T
		Measure M	Miscellaneous Tax	Safety: Emergency Medical/Paramedic	3,860	5,278	73.1%	Pass ^T
		Measure N	Property Tax	Safety: Fire	3,935	6,317	62.3%	Fail ^T
		Measure J	Miscellaneous Tax	Safety: Emergency Medical/Paramedic	548	854	64.2%	Fail ^T
		Measure Q	Miscellaneous Tax	Safety: Emergency Medical/Paramedic	1,054	2,239	47.1%	Fail ^T

^TIndicates measure required two-thirds vote to pass. ^FIndicates measure required 55% majority to pass. All other city measures required a majority vote.

TABLE 1.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2010

COUNTY	DATE	MEASURE TITLE	TYPE OF MEASURE	TOPIC OF MEASURE	VOTE IN FAVOR	TOTAL VOTE	PERCENT OF VOTE	PASS OR FAIL
MARIPOSA	No County Measures							
MENDOCINO	11/2/2010	Measure C	Sales Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	8,983	29,718	30.2%	Fail
MERCED	11/2/2010	Measure C	Ordinance	Land Use: Voter Approval	19,438	44,391	43.8%	Fail
		Measure D	Ordinance	Land Use: Voter Approval	27,446	43,150	63.6%	Pass
MODOC	6/8/2010	Measure O	Utility Tax	Environment: Regulation/Mitigation	771	970	79.5%	Pass
	8/31/2010	Measure Q	Ordinance	Governance: Incorporation/Formation/Annexation	1,636	2,332	70.2%	Pass
MONO	6/8/2010	Measure M	Ordinance	Land Use: Zoning	890	1,583	56.2%	Fail ^T
		Measure U	Utility Tax	Facilities	1,062	1,573	67.5%	Pass ^T
MONTEREY	No County Measures							
NAPA	11/2/2010	Measure P	Gann Limit	Revenues	1,103	1,391	79.3%	Pass
NEVADA	11/2/2010	Measure A	Ordinance	Governance: Formation/Annexation/Consolidation	580	785	73.9%	Pass
ORANGE	6/8/2010	Measure C	Ordinance	Land Use: Voter Approval	13,000	14,829	87.7%	Pass
PLACER	No County Measures							
PLUMAS	No County Measures							
RIVERSIDE	8/24/2010	Measure J	Miscellaneous Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	174	513	33.9%	Fail ^T
	11/2/2010	Measure K	Bonds	Transport	275,388	439,410	62.7%	Pass
		Measure L	Ordinance	Governance: Budget Processes	230,552	437,319	52.7%	Pass
		Measure M	Ordinance	Governance: Budget Processes	261,972	430,613	60.8%	Pass
SACRAMENTO	No County Measures							
SAN BENITO	No County Measures							
SAN BERNARDINO	No County Measures							
SAN DIEGO	6/8/2010	Measure A	Initiative	Land Use: Zoning	399,127	472,420	84.5%	Pass
		Measure B	Charter Amendment	Governance: Political Reform/Term Limits	336,416	492,790	68.3%	Pass
	11/2/2010	Measure A	Charter Amendment	Governance: Personnel/Labor Relations	614,744	811,059	75.8%	Pass
SAN FRANCISCO	6/8/2010	Measure B	GO Bond	Safety: Multiple Emergency Services	117,553	148,037	79.4%	Pass ^T
		Measure C	Charter Amendment	Governance: Organization	66,125	142,959	46.3%	Fail
		Measure D	Ordinance	Governance: Personnel/Labor Relations	112,100	142,322	78.8%	Pass
		Measure E	Ordinance	Safety: Police	80,364	143,964	55.8%	Pass
		Measure F	Ordinance	Housing: Rent Control	62,239	147,310	42.3%	Fail
		Measure G	Ordinance	Transport: Mass Transit	120,788	144,209	83.8%	Pass
		11/2/2010	Measure A	GO Bond	Other	162,266	256,590	63.2%
	Measure B		Ordinance	Governance: Personnel/Labor Relations	113,894	264,628	43.0%	Fail

TABLE 1.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2010

COUNTY	DATE	MEASURE TITLE	TYPE OF MEASURE	TOPIC OF MEASURE	VOTE IN FAVOR	TOTAL VOTE	PERCENT OF VOTE	PASS OR FAIL
SAN FRANCISCO	11/2/2010	Measure C	Charter Amendment	Governance: Organization	154,776	258,449	59.9%	Pass
		Measure D	Ordinance	Governance: Elections	118,608	263,026	45.1%	Fail
		Measure E	Charter Amendment	Governance: Elections	118,217	250,406	47.2%	Fail
		Measure G	Ordinance	Governance: Personnel/Labor Relations	164,234	252,905	64.9%	Pass
		Measure H	Ordinance	Governance: Political Reform/Term Limits	103,141	243,019	42.4%	Fail
		Measure I	Ordinance	Governance: Elections	150,701	254,187	59.3%	Pass
		Measure J	Transient Occupancy Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	116,313	255,519	45.5%	Fail
		Measure K	Transient Occupancy Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	95,357	247,516	38.5%	Fail
		Measure L	Ordinance	Safety: Police	142,601	262,624	54.3%	Pass
		Measure M	Ordinance	Safety: Police	117,608	252,416	46.6%	Fail
		Measure N	Property Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	149,350	255,329	58.5%	Pass
SAN JOAQUIN	No County Measures							
SAN LUIS OBISPO	No County Measures							
SAN MATEO	11/2/2010	Measure M	Miscellaneous Tax	Transport	110,549	201,289	54.9%	Pass
SANTA BARBARA	6/8/2010	Measure K	Transient Occupancy Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	54,169	75,697	71.6%	Pass
	11/2/2010	Measure S	Sales Tax	Safety	46,673	118,954	39.2%	Fail ¹
SANTA CLARA	11/2/2010	Measure A	Property Tax	General Services: Social/Welfare	279,844	476,241	58.8%	Fail ¹
SANTA CRUZ	No County Measures							
SHASTA	11/2/2010	Recall 1	Recall	Governance: Recall	353	717	49.2%	Fail
		Recall 2	Recall	Governance: Recall	346	705	49.1%	Fail
SIERRA	No County Measures							
SISKIYOU	11/2/2010	Measure D	Transient Occupancy Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	4,471	10,696	41.8%	Fail
		Measure G	Advisory	Other	3,640	17,204	21.2%	Fail
SONOMA	6/8/2010	Measure D	Ordinance	Governance: Organization	50,406	107,023	47.1%	Fail
	11/2/2010	Measure N	Ordinance	Governance: Organization	87,550	146,990	59.6%	Pass
STANISLAUS	No County Measures							
SUTTER	11/2/2010	Measure V	Ordinance	Governance	7,294	23,555	31.0%	Fail
TEHAMA	No County Measures							
TRINITY	No County Measures							
TULARE	No County Measures							
TUOLUMNE	6/8/2010	Measure A	Utility Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	8,085	14,609	55.3%	Pass
VENTURA	No County Measures							
YOLO	No County Measures							

TABLE 1.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2010

COUNTY	DATE	MEASURE TITLE	TYPE OF MEASURE	TOPIC OF MEASURE	VOTE IN FAVOR	TOTAL VOTE	PERCENT OF VOTE	PASS OR FAIL
YUBA		No County Measures						

TABLE 1.2 TEXT FOR COUNTY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2010

ALAMEDA	11/2/2010	Measure F	Pass
To repair and maintain local streets and roads; improve traffic flow and bicyclist, pedestrian and driver safety; improve public transportation; and encourage green transportation options; shall a local vehicle registration fee of ten dollars be established in Alameda County with expenditures subject to strict monitoring and with all revenues staying in Alameda County?			
CONTRA COSTA	6/8/2010	Measure F	Fail
(INITIATIVE) Shall the Initiative Adopting a City of Brentwood Voter Approved Urban Limit Line; Permitting Mixed Use Development; and Providing Funds for jobs, Sports and Recreational Fields and Public Safety be adopted?			
CONTRA COSTA	6/8/2010	Measure G	Pass (2/3 required)
Shall Kensington Police Protection and Community Services District Ordinance No.2010-01, which imposes a Supplemental Special Tax for Kensington Police Department services in an initial annual amount of not to exceed \$200.00 for single family residential parcels, subject to CPI, with amounts for properties in other use categories identified in the ordinance, commencing FY 2010/11, be approved and shall the District appropriations limit be increased by the amount of this voter-approved tax?			
EL DORADO	8/31/2010	Recall 1	Pass
Shall Mike Kraft be recalled (removed) from the office of Director of Fallen Leaf Lake Community Services District?			
EL DORADO	8/31/2010	Recall 2	Pass
Shall Eric Thaden be recalled (removed) from the office of Director of Fallen Leaf Lake Community Services District?			
EL DORADO	11/2/2010	Measure C	Fail (2/3 required)
Shall a special tax be levied within the Cameron Estates Community Services District pursuant to Board Resolution No. 2010-03 for providing road improvements, upgrades, and maintenance according to the following schedule: A. Residential property: an increase of \$125.00 over the current assessment of \$250.00 for a total amount of \$375.00 per year per residential parcel? B. Commercial property, described as parcels 109-111-14-100, 109-111-15-100, 109-111-16-100, 109-111-17-100 and 109-111-18-100: an amount of \$1,125.00 per parcel per year?			
EL DORADO	11/2/2010	Measure G	Fail
Shall Section 602 of the El Dorado County Charter, which currently requires the procurement of all services to be pursuant to a written contract, be amended to provide the Board of Supervisors with the authority to allow the procurement of services for less than \$15,000.00 without the need for a written contract; provided, that the Board of Supervisors does so by ordinance which specifies alternate procedures for processing and documenting the purchases?			
KERN	11/2/2010	Measure F	Fail (2/3 required)
To maintain public safety, shall the Bear Valley Community Services District replace the existing non-lockable mailboxes with lockable mailboxes (cluster mail box units) by levying a one-time special tax of \$140 on each parcel of land and providing a comprehensive audit to ensure proper use of the tax revenue. The tax will be levied through a one-time property tax on each privately owned parcel within the district.			
MARIN	6/8/2010	Measure A	Pass (2/3 required)
To provide critical funding to: bring local libraries up to date, prevent closures, and maintain library hours; provide children, teen, senior and disabled programs including adult and family literacy; maintain collections of books, CDs DVDs. Materials and services; provide ADA accessibility; and ensure local funding that can't be taken by the State, shall Marin County Free Library be authorized to levy \$49 per parcel annually for 5 years, including independent citizen oversight and senior citizen exemptions?			
MARIN	6/8/2010	Measure E	Pass (2/3 required)
Shall Muir Beach CSD Ordinance No. 2010-1, which imposes an annual special tax of \$3,250.00 on each improved commercially zoned parcel, \$300.00 per separate habitable structure on each residentially zoned parcel and \$300.00 on all other parcels for a period of four (4) years, commencing FY 2010-2011 and terminating in FY 2014-2015, be approved and shall the Muir Beach CSD appropriations limit be increased by the amount of this voter approved tax?			
MARIN	11/2/2010	Measure K	Fail (2/3 required)
To protect lifesaving paramedic services by maintaining rapid response times, providing advanced heart attack treatment, and keeping trained paramedics on fire engine companies, shall Ordinance No.3546 be approved to increase up to \$23.00 annually the current rate on non-residential units and up to \$0.03 per square foot annually the current rate on non-residential structures; and shall the County of Marin's appropriation limit be correspondingly increased?			
MARIN	11/2/2010	Measure L	Pass (2/3 required)
Shall there be a continuation of the four year special tax for paramedic services in the amount of \$42.50 not exceeding \$51.50 in the fourth year per taxable living unit or per 1,500 square feet of structure developed parcel in non-residential use, to be collected in addition to transport fees charged to insurance providers for Ross Valley residents, with the appropriations limit increased by the amount of said tax for the next four years?			
MARIN	11/2/2010	Measure M	Pass (2/3 required)
To protect lifesaving paramedic services by maintaining rapid response times, providing advanced heart attack treatment, and keeping trained paramedics on fire engine companies, shall a special tax be approved to permit an increase of \$24 annually above the current rate on residential units and shall the County of Marin's appropriation limit be correspondingly increased?			

TABLE 1.2 TEXT FOR COUNTY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2010

MARIN	11/2/2010	Measure N	Fail (2/3 required)
To maintain current fire suppression services and staffing, shall a special tax be approved to permit increases: \$38 annually above the current rate on living units, \$19 annually above the current rate on unimproved parcels and \$57 above the current rate for improved commercial or industrial parcels; and shall the County of Marin's appropriation limit be correspondingly increased?			
MARIN	11/2/2010	Measure J	Fail (2/3 required)
To protect lifesaving paramedic services by maintaining rapid response times, providing advanced heart attack treatment, and keeping trained paramedics on fire engine companies, shall Ordinance No.3545 be approved to increase up to \$23.00 annually the current rate on residential units, and up to \$0.03 per square foot annually the current rate on non-residential structures; and shall the County of Marin's appropriation limit be correspondingly increased?			
MARIN	11/2/2010	Measure Q	Fail (2/3 required)
To maintain paramedic services in Marinwood and keep trained paramedics, shall Ordinance No. 20 be adopted to permit phased increases up to \$23.00 annually above the current rate on residential units, and up to \$.03 per square foot annually above the current rate on non-residential structures; and, shall Marinwood Community Services District appropriation limit be correspondingly increased?			
MENDOCINO	11/2/2010	Measure C	Fail
Shall the ordinance titled "County Vital Services Transactions and Use Tax" be approved?			
MERCED	11/2/2010	Measure C	Fail
Shall the ordinance, which would amend the County's General Plan to require a confirming vote of the County electorate when the Board of Supervisors approves conversion of agricultural land to residential use, be adopted?			
MERCED	11/2/2010	Measure D	Pass
Shall the ordinance correcting and clarifying the Citizens' Right to Vote on Expansion of Residential Areas Initiative be adopted?			
MODOC	6/8/2010	Measure O	Pass
Shall the Alturas City Council be authorized to continue a supplemental charge of \$1.50 per meter to minimum water rates to fund mosquito abatement in the City of Alturas through June 30, 2014?			
MODOC	8/31/2010	Measure Q	Pass
Shall the order adopted on November 13, 2008 by the Local Agency Formation Commission of Modoc County ordering the formation of a hospital district in the territory described, known as the Last Frontier Healthcare District, be approved: (NOTE: Formation of the District is contingent on an accompanying special tax measure.)			
MONO	6/8/2010	Measure M	Fail (2/3 required)
Shall the Ordinance which permits up to two medical marijuana cooperatives in either the general commercial or industrial zones of the town be approved?			
MONO	6/8/2010	Measure U	Pass (2/3 required)
Shall the Ordinance which extends the Utility Users Tax with no Increase to the current rate of 2.5%, and which restricts the use of funds to Mobility, Recreation, & Arts & Culture, be approved?			
NAPA	11/2/2010	Measure P	Pass
In order to maintain and preserve vital public services, street maintenance and police and fire emergency medical service programs, shall the appropriations limit set pursuant to Article 13B of the California Constitution be increased by the amount of \$900,000 in additional funds each year for a four year period commencing with fiscal year 2010/11? By approving this appropriation limit, no new taxes will be create nor will any existing tax be increased.			
NEVADA	11/2/2010	Measure A	Pass
Shall the order adopted on May 12, 2010, by the Local Agency Formation Commission of Nevada County ordering the formation of the Oak Tree Community Park and Recreation District in the territory described, be approved subject to the terms and conditions specified in the order?			
ORANGE	6/8/2010	Measure C	Pass
Should an ordinance be adopted confirming the General Plan Designation for the Orange County Fair and Event Center as it is set forth in the General Plan as amended by GP-09-01 which amended the fairground land use designation in the Land Use Element of the 2000 general Plan to describe and maintain current fair and event uses on the site and requiring that further amendment be made only upon majority vote of the electorate?			
RIVERSIDE	8/24/2010	Measure J	Fail (2/3 required)
Shall the Southern Coachella Valley Community Services District increase the annual special taxes of \$66.00 per residential habitable unit to \$85.00 (\$19.00 annual increase) and \$88.00 per commercial unit to \$110.00 (\$22.00 annual increase) to fund supplemental law enforcement services such as those relating to agricultural crime, graffiti abatement, sobriety checkpoints, illegal dumping, and code enforcement, subject to an annual increase equal to the increase in the Consumer Price Index, which increase shall not become effective for two years?			

TABLE 1.2 TEXT FOR COUNTY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2010

RIVERSIDE	11/2/2010	Measure K	Pass
Shall Ordinance No. 10-002, amending RCTC'S existing 2002 voter-approved ordinance to expedite construction of the following transportation projects: Widen freeways including State Routes 91, 71, 79, and Interstates 10, 15, 215 - Widen and improve major streets - Expand Metrolink commuter train services by increasing bonded indebtedness from \$500 to \$975 million of estimated receipts from the Measure A program, without increasing taxes, be approved?			
RIVERSIDE	11/2/2010	Measure L	Pass
Shall the proposed Ordinance, requiring voter approval for increases or decreases in public safety employee retirement or pre-retirement death benefits and requiring that the County of Riverside continue the current CALPERS (California Public Employee Retirement System) retirement formula, be adopted?			
RIVERSIDE	11/2/2010	Measure M	Pass
Shall Ordinance No. 899, requiring voter approval for increases in public safety employee retirement benefits or decreases in job related pre-retirement death benefits, and allowing decreases in retirement benefits, be adopted?			
SAN DIEGO	6/8/2010	Measure A	Pass
(INITIATIVE) Shall this initiative be adopted for the purpose of siting a new recycling center and class III solid waste landfill on the East Otay Mesa area of unincorporated San Diego County?			
SAN DIEGO	6/8/2010	Measure B	Pass
Shall the San Diego County Charter be amended to impose a limit of two terms for persons serving on the San Diego County Board of Supervisors?			
SAN DIEGO	11/2/2010	Measure A	Pass
Shall the Can Diego County Charter be amended to prohibit the County from requiring the use of project labor agreements on County construction projects except where required by State or federal law?			
SAN FRANCISCO	6/8/2010	Measure B	Pass (2/3 required)
To improve fire, earthquake and emergency response and ensure firefighters a reliable water supply for fires and disasters, through projects including: improving deteriorating pipes, hydrants, reservoirs, water cisterns and pumps built after the 1906 earthquake; improving neighborhood fire stations; replacing the seismically-unsafe emergency command center with an earthquake-safe building; and to pay related costs, shall the City and County of San Francisco issue \$412,300,000 in general obligation bonds, subject to citizen oversight and regular audits?			
SAN FRANCISCO	6/8/2010	Measure C	Fail
Shall the Charter be amended to require the City to have a Film Commission, consisting of five members appointed by the Board of Supervisors and six members appointed by the Mayor, with final authority to issue permits to film in San Francisco?			
SAN FRANCISCO	6/8/2010	Measure D	Pass
Shall the City: calculate retirement benefits for new City employees using average monthly compensation over two years instead of over one year; increase the retirement benefit employee contribution for new safety employees and new employees in positions covered by the State retirement system; and require that savings from reduced employer contributions to the City's retirement system be deposited in the Retiree Health Care Trust Fund?			
SAN FRANCISCO	6/8/2010	Measure E	Pass
Shall the City require that the Police Department's annual budget include a line item for the cost of security provided to City officials and visiting dignitaries?			
SAN FRANCISCO	6/8/2010	Measure F	Fail
Shall the City amend its Residential Rent Ordinance to add provisions for tenants to apply to the Rent Board to postpone most rent increases if they become unemployed, their wages decrease by 20% or more, or they do not receive a cost of living increase in their government benefits and those benefits are their sole income?			
SAN FRANCISCO	6/8/2010	Measure G	Pass
Shall it be City policy that the northern end of the planned San Francisco-to-Los Angeles high-speed rail line be located at the Transbay Transit Center at First and Mission streets?			
SAN FRANCISCO	11/2/2010	Measure A	Fail (2/3 required)
To provide deferred loans and grants to pay the costs for seismic retrofits of certain multi-story wood-frame buildings with vulnerable soft-story construction at significant risk of substantial damage and collapse during a major earthquake and funded by a qualified governmental housing finance agency for permanent or long-term affordability, or single room occupancy buildings owned by private parties, and pay related costs, shall the City issue up to \$46,150,000 of general obligation bonded indebtedness, subject to citizen oversight and regular audits?			
SAN FRANCISCO	11/2/2010	Measure B	Fail
Shall the City increase employee contributions to the Retirement System for retirement benefits; decrease employer contributions to the Health Service System for health benefits for employees, retirees and their dependents; change rules for arbitration proceedings about City collective bargaining agreements; and prohibit any increase in employee compensation for affected City employees for five years if a court invalidates any part of this measure?			

TABLE 1.2 TEXT FOR COUNTY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2010

SAN FRANCISCO	11/2/2010	Measure C	Pass
Shall the Charter be amended to require the Mayor to appear in person at one regularly scheduled meeting of the Board of Supervisors each month to engage in formal policy discussions with the Board?			
SAN FRANCISCO	11/2/2010	Measure D	Fail
Shall the City allow non-citizen residents of San Francisco who are 18 years of age or older and have children living in the San Francisco Unified School District to vote for members of the Board of Education?			
SAN FRANCISCO	11/2/2010	Measure E	Fail
Shall the Charter be amended to establish Election Day voter registration specifically for municipal elections?			
SAN FRANCISCO	11/2/2010	Measure G	Pass
Shall the City eliminate the formula for setting minimum MUNI operator wages and instead set MUNI operator wages through collective bargaining and binding arbitration; add rules for arbitration proceedings regarding MTA's transit employees; and make other changes to terms of employment for MTA employees?			
SAN FRANCISCO	11/2/2010	Measure H	Fail
Shall the City prohibit elected City officials from serving on San Francisco political party county central committees?			
SAN FRANCISCO	11/2/2010	Measure I	Pass
Shall the City open polling places on the Saturday before the November 2011 election if donors contribute enough money to pay for the costs?			
SAN FRANCISCO	11/2/2010	Measure J	Fail
Shall the City increase the hotel tax rate from 14% to 16% for the next three years, confirm that anyone collecting rent from a hotel guest must also collect tax on room rental and related charges, and define "permanent resident" so that only an individual could qualify for the "permanent resident" exemption?			
SAN FRANCISCO	11/2/2010	Measure K	Fail
Shall the City keep the hotel tax rate at 14%, confirm that anyone collecting rent from a hotel guest must also collect tax on room rental and related charges, and define "permanent resident" so that only an individual could qualify for the "permanent resident" exemption?			
SAN FRANCISCO	11/2/2010	Measure L	Pass
Shall the City amend its Police Code to prohibit sitting or lying on a public sidewalk in San Francisco between 7 a.m. and 11 p.m., with certain exceptions?			
SAN FRANCISCO	11/2/2010	Measure M	Fail
Shall the City require the Police Commission to adopt a written community policing policy, require the Chief of Police to establish a comprehensive Foot Beat Patrol Program, and not amend its Police Code to prohibit sitting or lying on sidewalks?			
SAN FRANCISCO	11/2/2010	Measure N	Pass
Shall the City increase its real property transfer tax rate to 2.0% for sales and long-term leases of real property valued at \$5 million to \$10 million and to 2.5% for sales and long-term leases of real property valued at \$10 million or more?			
SAN MATEO	11/2/2010	Measure M	Pass
To help maintain neighborhood streets, fix potholes, provide transportation options, improve traffic circulation, provide transit options including senior and disabled services, reduce congestion, reduce water pollution from oil and gas runoff, and provide safe routes to schools, shall the Congestion Management Agency for San Mateo County levy a \$10 registration fee, for 25 years, on vehicles registered in San Mateo County, requiring annual audits and all funds be spent for programs and projects in San Mateo County?			
SANTA BARBARA	6/8/2010	Measure K	Pass
Shall an ordinance to continue Section 32-12 of the Santa Barbara County Code to sustain the current transient occupancy tax rate or ten percent (10%) upon transients occupying defined hotels located only within the unincorporated area of Santa Barbara County be approved?			
SANTA BARBARA	11/2/2010	Measure S	Fail (2/3 required)
Shall the County of Santa Barbara Ordinance to strengthen front-line law enforcement and fire protection within the County of Santa Barbara and Buellton, Carpinteria, Goleta, Guadalupe, Lompoc, Santa Barbara, Santa Maria, and Solvang and Carpinteria-Summerland, Montecito and County Fire District, construct and operate a 304-bed jail, repair existing correctional infrastructure, and fund repeat offender reduction efforts and alternatives-to-incarceration, with a 1/2 percent Transactions (Sales) and Use Tax increase beginning 7/01/2011 through 6/30/2025, and advisory committee oversight be approved?			
SANTA CLARA	11/2/2010	Measure A	Fail (2/3 required)
To protect and maintain children's health and prevent serious illnesses through regular medical checkups, immunizations, and early detection; to reduce costs from unnecessary emergency room use; and to prevent elimination of insurance coverage for low-income children of working families; shall Santa Clara County enact a \$29 dollar annual parcel tax; limited to 10 years; with independent citizens oversight, full public disclosure of all spending, and subject to audits?			

TABLE 1.2 TEXT FOR COUNTY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2010

SHASTA	11/2/2010	Recall 1	Fail
Shall GREG PETERSON be recalled (removed) from the office of Director of Mountain Gate Community Services District?			
SHASTA	11/2/2010	Recall 2	Fail
Shall CARY PARK be recalled (removed) from the office of Director of Mountain Gate Community Services District?			
SISKIYOU	11/2/2010	Measure D	Fail
Shall there be an increase of two percent (2%) to the general tax for the privilege of transient occupancy in any hotel as defined in Title 8, Chapter 4 of the Siskiyou County Code, which increase would set the total transient occupancy tax at ten percent (10%) of the rent charged by the operator.			
SISKIYOU	11/2/2010	Measure G	Fail
(ADVISORY) Should the Klamath River dams (Iron Gate, Copco 1, and Copco 2) and associated hydroelectric facilities be removed?			
SONOMA	6/8/2010	Measure D	Fail
Shall the Sonoma County Civil Service Ordinance be amended to: change the appointing responsibility for the director of human resources from the Civil Service Commission to the Board of Supervisors; add the director of human resources to the list of unclassified employees; and allow the Board of Supervisors to amend the Ordinance without voter approval so long as amendments do not nullify the basic principles of the Civil Service System?			
SONOMA	11/2/2010	Measure N	Pass
Shall the Sonoma County Civil Service Ordinance be amended to provide that the director of human resources be appointed by the Board of Supervisors and to add the director of human resources to the list of unclassified employees?			
SUTTER	11/2/2010	Measure V	Fail
Shall the ordinance titled "An Ordinance of the County of Sutter Amending Section 1500-1750, Section III, of Division 17 of the Sutter County Ordinance Code relating to the FPARC Food Processing, Agricultural and Recreation Combining District" allowing repeal or amendment of the FPARC Ordinance by the Board of Supervisors without a vote of the people be approved?			
TUOLUMNE	6/8/2010	Measure A	Pass
Shall Ordinance No. 3106, which amends Chapter 3.32 of the Tuolumne County Ordinance Code to increase the Transient Occupancy Tax rate from eight percent (8%) to ten percent (10%), be adopted?			

TABLE 1.3 SUMMARY OF ELECTION OUTCOMES FOR COUNTY BALLOT MEASURES BY TYPE OF MEASURE AND COUNTY, 2010

	TAXES		BONDS		CHARTER AMENDMENT		ADVISORY		INITIATIVE		RECALL		GANN LIMIT		ORDINANCE		ALL COUNTY MEASURES		
	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	TOTAL
Alameda	1	0															1	0	1
Contra Costa	1	0							0	1							1	1	2
El Dorado	0	1			0	1					2	0					2	2	4
Kern	0	1															0	1	1
Marin	4	4															4	4	8
Mendocino	0	1															0	1	1
Merced															1	1	1	1	2
Modoc	1	0													1	0	2	0	2
Mono	1	0													0	1	1	1	2
Napa													1	0			1	0	1
Nevada															1	0	1	0	1
Orange															1	0	1	0	1
Riverside	0	1	1	0											2	0	3	1	4
San Diego					2	0			1	0							3	0	3
San Francisco	1	2	1	1	1	2									6	5	9	10	19
San Mateo	1	0															1	0	1
Santa Barbara	1	1															1	1	2
Santa Clara	0	1															0	1	1
Shasta											0	2					0	2	2
Siskiyou	0	1					0	1									0	2	2
Sonoma															1	1	1	1	2
Sutter															0	1	0	1	1
Tuolumne	1	0															1	0	1
All Counties	12	13	2	1	3	3	0	1	1	1	2	2	1	0	13	9	34	30	64

TABLE 1.4 SUMMARY OF ELECTION OUTCOMES FOR COUNTY BALLOT MEASURES BY TOPIC OF MEASURE AND COUNTY, 2010

	LAND USE		SAFETY		GOVERNANCE		ENVIRONMENT		TRANSPORT		FACILITIES		HOUSING		GENERAL SERVICES		REVENUES		OTHER		ALL COUNTY MEASURES		
	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	TOTAL
Alameda									1	0											1	0	1
Contra Costa	0	1	1	0																	1	1	2
El Dorado					2	1			0	1											2	2	4
Kern																0	1				0	1	1
Marin			2	4							1	0					1	0			4	4	8
Mendocino																0	1				0	1	1
Merced	1	1																			1	1	2
Modoc					1	0	1	0													2	0	2
Mono	0	1									1	0									1	1	2
Napa																1	0				1	0	1
Nevada					1	0															1	0	1
Orange	1	0																			1	0	1
Riverside					2	0			1	0							0	1			3	1	4
San Diego	1	0			2	0															3	0	3
San Francisco			3	1	4	5			1	0			0	1		1	2	0	1		9	10	19
San Mateo									1	0											1	0	1
Santa Barbara			0	1												1	0				1	1	2
Santa Clara														0	1						0	1	1
Shasta					0	2															0	2	2
Siskiyou																0	1	0	1		0	2	2
Sonoma					1	1															1	1	2
Sutter					0	1															0	1	1
Tuolumne															0	1	0				1	0	1
All Counties	3	3	6	6	13	10	1	0	4	1	2	0	0	1	0	1	5	6	0	2	34	30	64

PART 2
VOTE TOTALS FOR
COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2010

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM- BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED
ALAMEDA	6/8/2010	ASSESSOR		Full	Thomsen	Ron	Incumbent	Yes	1	176,894	179,406	98.6%	Yes
		AUDITOR/CLERK/CONT./RECORDER		Full	O'Connell	Patrick	Incumbent	Yes	1	179,944	182,006	98.9%	Yes
		CORONER/SHERIFF		Full	Ahern	Gregory J.	Incumbent	Yes	1	178,084	180,146	98.9%	Yes
		COUNTY SUPERVISOR	2	Full	Lockyer	Nadia	County Manager/Attorney	No	4	13,249	34,602	38.3%	Runoff
					Figueroa	Liz	CA Unemployment Insur. Appeals Brd Mbr	No	4	8,337	34,602	24.1%	Runoff
					Green	Mark	Mayor, Union City	No	4	8,231	34,602	23.8%	No
					Dowling	Kevin	Hayward City Councilmember	No	4	4,651	34,602	13.4%	No
			3	Full	Chan	Wilma	Educational Healthcare Director	No	4	22,348	39,405	56.7%	Yes
					Johnson	Bev	Mayor, Alameda	No	4	11,627	39,405	29.5%	No
					Lowe	Harold	Financial Planner/Parent	No	4	2,865	39,405	7.3%	No
	Filipovich				Lou	Businessman	No	4	2,407	39,405	6.1%	No	
	DISTRICT ATTORNEY		Full	O'Malley	Nancy E.	Appointed Incumbent	No	1	177,888	180,276	98.7%	Yes	
	SUPERINTENDENT OF SCHOOLS		Full	Jordan	Sheila	Incumbent	Yes	1	177,602	180,395	98.5%	Yes	
	SUPERIOR JUDGE	9	Full	Kolakowski	Victoria S.	Administrative Law Judge	No	3	92,449	201,876	45.8%	Runoff	
				Creighton	John	Deputy District Attorney	No	3	63,900	201,876	31.7%	Runoff	
				Goodman	Louis	Attorney	No	3	44,055	201,876	21.8%	No	
	TAX COLLECTOR/TREASURER		Full	White	Donald R.	Incumbent	Yes	1	182,195	184,348	98.8%	Yes	
	11/2/2010	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	2	Full	Lockyer	Nadia	County Manager/Attorney	No	2	37,086	58,189	63.7%	Yes
					Figueroa	Liz	Unemployment Caseworker/Educator	No	2	20,737	58,189	35.6%	No
		DIRECTOR, Dublin-San Ramon CSD	Full	Vonheeder-Leopold	Georgean	Appointed Incumbent	No	4	4,907	14,959	32.8%	Yes	
Benson				Dawn L.	Water Agency Administration	No	4	4,872	14,959	32.6%	Yes		
Shand				James A.	Retired Manufacturing Supervisor	No	4	3,037	14,959	20.3%	No		
Rogoff				Rosalind "Roz"	Online College Instructor	No	4	2,070	14,959	13.8%	No		
SUPERIOR JUDGE		9	Full	Kolakowski	Victoria S.	Administrative Law Judge	No	2	162,089	317,926	51.0%	Yes	
				Creighton	John	Deputy District Attorney	No	2	152,554	317,926	48.0%	No	
ALPINE	6/8/2010	ASSESSOR		Full	Peets	David	Incumbent	Yes	1	353	369	95.7%	Yes
		AUDITOR		Full	McLelland	Michelle H.	Finance Manager	No	1	344	351	98.0%	Yes
		CLERK		Full	Howard	Barbara	Incumbent	Yes	1	433	435	99.5%	Yes
		CORONER/SHERIFF		Full	Crawford	John M.	Incumbent	Yes	1	402	414	97.1%	Yes
		COUNTY SUPERVISOR	1	Full	Jardine	Donald	Incumbent	Yes	2	73	114	64.0%	Yes
					Jackson	John	No Ballot Designation	No	2	41	114	36.0%	No
			4	Full	Woodrow	Terry	Incumbent	Yes	1	92	96	95.8%	Yes
					DISTRICT ATTORNEY		Full	Drabec	Terese	Deputy District Attorney	Yes	1	342
SUPERINTENDENT OF SCHOOLS		Full	Fontana	Lisa	Superintendent of Schools	Yes	1	358	373	96.0%	Yes		

¹Write-in candidate votes, when reported by the county, have been included in the total votes cast. For these contests, the sum of the candidates vote is less than the total votes cast.

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2010

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DIS- TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM- BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED
ALPINE (continued)	6/8/2010	SUPERIOR JUDGE		Full	Kolpacoff	Thomas D.	Alpine County Public Defender	No	3	287	482	59.5%	Yes
					Richmond	William A.	Alpine County District Attorney	No	3	104	482	21.6%	No
					Henderson	Robert	Attorney at Law	No	3	91	482	18.9%	No
		TAX COLLECTOR/TREASURER		Full	McElroy	Carol R.	Incumbent	Yes	1	419	421	99.5%	Yes
AMADOR	6/8/2010	ASSESSOR		Full	Rooney	Jim	Assessor	Yes	2	8,536	10,837	78.8%	Yes
					Elias	Jay	Advanced Appraiser	No	2	2,270	10,837	20.9%	No
		AUDITOR		Full	Lowe	Joe	Auditor	Yes	1	8,942	9,023	99.1%	Yes
		CLERK/RECORDER		Full	Johnson	Sheldon D.	County Clerk-Recorder	Yes	1	9,493	9,570	99.2%	Yes
		CORONER/SHERIFF		Full	Ryan	Martin A.	Amador County Sheriff	Yes	1	9,649	9,768	98.8%	Yes
		COUNTY SUPERVISOR	3	Full	Novelli	Theodore Frank	County Supervisor	Yes	1	1,721	1,774	97.0%	Yes
			5	Full	Oneto	Brian	County Supervisor/Rancher	Yes	1	1,859	1,977	94.0%	Yes
		DISTRICT ATTORNEY		Full	Riebe	Todd D.	District Attorney	Yes	1	8,581	8,878	96.7%	Yes
		SUPERINTENDENT OF SCHOOLS		Full	Glock	Richard F.	Appointed Incumbent	No	1	7,256	7,567	95.9%	Yes
		TAX COLLECTOR/TREASURER		Full	Ryan	Michael E.	Treasurer-Tax Collector	Yes	1	9,479	9,571	99.0%	Yes
BUTTE	6/8/2010	ASSESSOR		Full	Holland	Fred	Appointed Assessor	No	1	40,742	41,072	99.2%	Yes
		AUDITOR/CONTROLLER		Full	Houser	David A.	Incumbent	Yes	1	40,162	40,600	98.9%	Yes
		CLERK/RECORDER		Full	Grubbs	Candace J.	County Clerk-Recorder	Yes	1	43,038	43,430	99.1%	Yes
		CORONER/SHERIFF		Full	Smith	Jerry W.	Appointed Sheriff-Coroner	No	1	41,597	41,864	99.4%	Yes
		COUNTY SUPERVISOR	2	Full	Wahl	Larry	Businessowner/Chico Councilmember	Yes	2	3,731	7,203	51.8%	Yes
					Dolan	Jane	County Supervisor/Business Owner	Yes	2	3,454	7,203	48.0%	No
			3	Full	Kirk	Maureen	Butte County Supervisor	Yes	2	7,625	11,792	64.7%	Yes
					Spears	Ernest D.	Local Businessman	No	2	4,143	11,792	35.1%	No
			DISTRICT ATTORNEY		Full	Ramsey	Michael L.	District Attorney	Yes	3	26,610	49,668	53.6%
					Daniel	Lance	Attorney at Law	No	3	16,753	49,668	33.7%	No
					Rasmussen	Dale Patrick	Child Dependency Attorney	No	3	6,239	49,668	12.6%	No
		SUPERINTENDENT OF SCHOOLS		Full	McNelis	Don	County Superintendent of Schools	Yes	1	39,449	39,897	98.9%	Yes
TAX COLLECTOR/TREASURER		Full	Barnes	C. Linda	Appointed Treasurer-Tax Collector	No	1	41,503	41,782	99.3%	Yes		
CALAVERAS	6/8/2010	ASSESSOR		Full	Davis	Leslie	Acting Assessor	No	2	8,880	13,311	66.7%	Yes
					Reesman	Kristi A. "Borean"	Broker/Business Owner	No	2	4,394	13,311	33.0%	No
		AUDITOR/CONTROLLER		Full	Callen	Rebecca L.	Business Analyst	No	2	8,652	12,143	71.3%	Yes
			Stoughton	Carl	Businessman	No	2	3,437	12,143	28.3%	No		

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2010

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM- BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED	
CALAVERAS (continued)	6/8/2010	CLERK/RECORDER		Full	Krska	Madaline R.	Board Clerk	No	6	5,174	12,798	40.4%	Runoff	
					Miller	Mike	Retired	No	6	1,925	12,798	15.0%	Runoff	
					McDaniel	Carol Ann	Business Owner	No	6	1,627	12,798	12.7%	No	
					Paque	Anita	Attorney	No	6	1,604	12,798	12.5%	No	
					Al-Rafiq	Talibah	Manager/Business Owner	No	6	1,393	12,798	10.9%	No	
					Hirsch	Michael A.	Attorney at Law	No	6	1,050	12,798	8.2%	No	
			CORONER/PUBLIC ADMINISTRATOR		Full	Raggio	Kevin J.	Incumbent	Yes	1	10,844	11,049	98.1%	Yes
			COUNTY SUPERVISOR	3	Full	Callaway	Merita	County Supervisor District 3	Yes	3	1,325	2,592	51.1%	Yes
	McManus	Bill				Business Owner	No	3	1,108	2,592	42.7%	No		
	Boblet	Mary E.				Community Volunteer	No	3	155	2,592	6.0%	No		
			COUNTY SUPERVISOR	5	Full	Spellman	Darren	History Teacher/Businessman	No	3	1,255	2,990	42.0%	Runoff
	Thomas	Russell L.				Incumbent	Yes	3	1,066	2,990	35.7%	Runoff		
	Singer	David				Attorney	No	3	657	2,990	22.0%	No		
			DISTRICT ATTORNEY		Full	Tuttle	Jeffrey E.	Incumbent	Yes	1	8,485	8,994	94.3%	Yes
			SHERIFF		Full	Kuntz	Gary	Calaveras Sheriff Lieutenant	No	2	7,040	13,750	51.2%	Yes
						Ballard	Ed	Sheriff's Captain	No	2	6,680	13,750	48.6%	No
			SUPERINTENDENT OF SCHOOLS		Full	Northington	Kathy A.	District Superintendent	Yes	1	10,642	10,918	97.5%	Yes
			TAX COLLECTOR/TREASURER		Full	Sullivan	Barbara	Assistant Treasurer-Tax Collector	No	2	9,109	12,787	71.2%	Yes
						German	Jessamy "Jessie"	Escrow Officer/Notary	No	2	3,647	12,787	28.5%	No
		11/2/2010	CLERK/RECORDER		Full	Krska	Madaline R.	Board Clerk	No	2	11,211	16,578	67.6%	Yes
						Miller	Mike	Retired	No	2	5,302	16,578	32.0%	No
				COUNTY SUPERVISOR	5	Full	Spellman	Darren	History Teacher/Businessman	No	2	2,409	4,317	55.8%
			Thomas				Russel L.	Incumbent	Yes	2	1,882	4,317	43.6%	No
COLUSA	6/8/2010	ASSESSOR		Full	Zoller	Wayne	Colusa County Assessor	Yes	1	2,999	3,031	98.9%	Yes	
		AUDITOR/CONTROLLER		Full	Hickel-Scroggins	Peggy	Auditor/Controller	Yes	1	2,832	2,882	98.3%	Yes	
		CLERK/RECORDER		Full	Moran	Kathleen	County Clerk/Recorder	Yes	1	2,986	3,000	99.5%	Yes	
		CORONER/SHERIFF		Full	Marshall	Scott D.	Sheriff/Coroner	Yes	1	2,916	2,957	98.6%	Yes	
		COUNTY SUPERVISOR	1	Full	Vann	Kimberly D.	Supervisor, District 1	Yes	1	511	522	97.9%	Yes	
			5	Full	Carter	Denise	Appointed Incumbent/Farmer	No	1	598	607	98.5%	Yes	
		DISTRICT ATTORNEY		Full	Poyner	John R.	District Attorney	Yes	1	2,654	2,716	97.7%	Yes	
		SUPERINTENDENT OF SCHOOLS	Full	Spurgeon	Kay C.	Superintendent of Schools	Yes	4	1,266	3,495	36.2%	Runoff		
				Myers	Jamie	Educator	No	4	788	3,495	22.5%	Runoff		
				Struckmeyer	Julie	Principal/Educator/Administrator	No	4	753	3,495	21.5%	No		
Poyner	Becky Van Kleek			School Administrator	No	4	682	3,495	19.5%	No				
TAX COLLECTOR/TREASURER		Full	Charter	Daniel A.	Treasurer/Tax Collector	Yes	1	2,795	2,838	98.5%	Yes			

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2010

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DIS- TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED
COLUSA	11/2/2010	SUPERINTENDENT OF SCHOOLS		Full	Spurgeon	Kay Campbell	Superintendent of Schools	Yes	2	2,494	4,997	49.9%	Yes
(continued)					Myers	Jamie	Educator	No	2	2,482	4,997	49.7%	No
CONTRA COSTA	6/8/2010	ASSESSOR		Full	Kramer	Gus S.	Contra Costa County Assessor	Yes	4	100,490	180,172	55.8%	Yes
					Nejedly	John T.	Trustee, Contra Costa CCD	No	4	43,848	180,172	24.3%	No
					Brooks	Bob	Assessment Appeals Commissioner	No	4	26,098	180,172	14.5%	No
					Butler	Ross E.	Investment Advisor	No	4	9,197	180,172	5.1%	No
		AUDITOR/CONTROLLER		Full	Campbell	Robert R.	Deputy Auditor	No	1	146,312	148,044	98.8%	Yes
		CLERK/RECORDER		Full	Weir	Stephen L.	County Clerk-Recorder	Yes	1	150,516	152,169	98.9%	Yes
		CORONER/SHERIFF		Full	Livingston	David	Chief of Police	No	2	95,676	169,371	56.5%	Yes
					Kalinowski	Brian	Contra Costa County Sheriff's Lieutenant	No	2	73,003	169,371	43.1%	No
		COUNTY SUPERVISOR	1	Full	Gioia	John Joya	Member, Contra Costa County Brd of Sup.	Yes	2	21,079	26,516	79.5%	Yes
					Phillips	Mister	Civil Attorney/Reservist	No	2	5,269	26,516	19.9%	No
			4	Full	Mitchoff	Karen	Mayor/Legislative Coordinator	No	2	17,801	33,357	53.4%	Yes
					McGill	Michael	University Trustee, Engineer	No	2	15,376	33,357	46.1%	No
		DISTRICT ATT./PUBLIC ADMINISTRATOR		Full	Peterson	Mark	Assistant District Attorney	No	3	82,774	174,487	47.4%	Runoff
					O'Malley	William "Dan"	Attorney at Law	No	3	63,700	174,487	36.5%	Runoff
					Falahat	Elle B.	Attorney	No	3	27,403	174,487	15.7%	No
		SUPERINTENDENT OF SCHOOLS		Full	Ovick	Joseph A.	County Superintendent of Schools	Yes	1	134,361	136,945	98.1%	Yes
		TAX COLLECTOR/TREASURER		Full	Watts	Russell V.	Chief Deputy Treasurer-Tax Collector	No	2	89,278	160,946	55.5%	Yes
					Thibodeau	Karen A.	Finance Professional/Banker	No	2	70,924	160,946	44.1%	No
	11/2/2010	DIRECTOR, Discovery Bay CSD		Full	Steele	Chris	Local Business Owner	No	5	2,589	9,316	27.8%	Yes
					Graves	Kevin	Appointed Incumbent	No	5	2,257	9,316	24.2%	Yes
					Dawson	Brian	Appointed Incumbent	No	5	1,692	9,316	18.2%	Yes
					MacVittie	Walter J.	Telecommunication Solution Manager	No	5	1,510	9,316	16.2%	No
					Silver	Jonathan S.	Business Executive	No	5	1,241	9,316	13.3%	No
		DIRECTOR, Dublin-San Ramon CSD		Full	Benson	Dawn L.	Water Agency Administration	No	4	4,267	11,815	36.1%	Yes
					Vonheeder-Leopold	Georgean	Appointed Incumbent	No	4	3,525	11,815	29.8%	Yes
					Rogoff	Rosalind "Roz"	Online College Instructor	No	4	2,093	11,815	17.7%	No
					Shand	James A.	Retired Manufacturing Supervisor	No	4	1,885	11,815	16.0%	No

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2010

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM- BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED		
CONTRA COSTA (continued)	11/2/2010	DIRECTOR, Kensington CSD		Full	Lipscomb	Linda G.	Realtor	No	7	1,215	6,045	20.1%	Yes		
					Metcalf	Mari	Attorney	No	7	1,025	6,045	17.0%	Yes		
					Lloyd	Richard "Tony"	Management Consultant	No	7	992	6,045	16.4%	Yes		
					Dorroh	Paul	Businessman	No	7	917	6,045	15.2%	No		
					Zvik	Kim Manning	IT Project Manager	No	7	866	6,045	14.3%	No		
					Nadolny	Eyleen	Legal Secretary	No	7	541	6,045	8.9%	No		
					Kaiser	Nicki Boye	Community Volunteer	No	7	470	6,045	7.8%	No		
					DISTRICT ATT./PUBLIC ADMINISTRATOR	Full	Peterson	Mark	Deputy District Attorney	No	2	163,810	284,218	57.6%	Yes
						Full	O'Malley	Dan	Managing Law Partner	No	2	120,408	284,218	42.4%	No
					DEL NORTE	6/8/2010	CLERK/RECORDER		Full	Northrup	Alissia D.	Acting Clerk/Recorder	No	2	4,416
Winogradov	Allen	Information Technology	No	2						1,486	5,902	25.2%	No		
CORONER/SHERIFF	Full	Wilson	Dean D.	Sheriff/Coroner		Yes	2	3,283	5,928	55.4%	Yes				
		Clemann	Brian	Law Enforcement Officer		No	2	2,645	5,928	44.6%	No				
COUNTY SUPERVISOR	3	Full	Sullivan	Mike		Incumbent	Yes	2	1,092	1,507	72.5%	Yes			
			Sanford	Tomm		No Designation	No	2	415	1,507	27.5%	No			
DISTRICT ATTORNEY	Full	Alexander	Jon M.	Attorney at Law		No	4	2,321	6,008	38.6%	Runoff				
		Drossel	Robert J.	Retired District Attorney		No	4	1,682	6,008	28.0%	Runoff				
		Riese	Michael D.	District Attorney		Yes	4	1,582	6,008	26.3%	No				
		Liddicote, Jr.	Harry	Attorney		No	4	423	6,008	7.0%	No				
SUPERINTENDENT OF SCHOOLS	Full	Moorehouse	Jan	Incumbent		Yes	2	3,344	5,901	56.7%	Yes				
		Zuber	David P.	Principal Educational Options		No	2	2,557	5,901	43.3%	No				
11/2/2010	AUDITOR/CONTROLLER	Full	Schaad	Clinton C.		Financial Officer	No	2	3,970	6,884	57.7%	Yes			
			Leighton	Jeff		Financial Consultant/CPA	No	2	2,914	6,884	42.3%	No			
DISTRICT ATTORNEY	Full	Alexander	Jon M.	Attorney at Law	No	2	3,556	7,019	50.7%	Yes					
		Drossel	Robert J.	Retired District Attorney	No	2	3,463	7,019	49.3%	No					
EL DORADO	6/8/2010	ASSESSOR	Full	Weiland	Karl	Assistant Assessor	No	2	27,310	41,216	66.3%	Yes			
				Gordon	Michael	El Dorado County Senior Appraiser	No	2	13,906	41,216	33.7%	No			
	AUDITOR/CONTROLLER	Full	Ham	Joe	El Dorado County Auditor-Controller, CPA	Yes	1	36,810	36,810	100.0%	Yes				
	CLERK/RECORDER	Full	Schultz	William E.	County of El Dorado Recorder-Clerk	Yes	1	37,333	37,333	100.0%	Yes				
	CORONER/PUBLIC ADMIN./SHERIFF	Full	D'Agostini	John	District Attorney Investigator	No	6	11,970	46,495	25.7%	Runoff				
			Therkildsen	Craig	El Dorado County Sheriff's Captain	No	6	10,670	46,495	22.9%	Runoff				
			Luca	Bob	Retired Investigation Chief	No	6	8,950	46,495	19.2%	No				
			Nielsen	George	Chief of Police	No	6	5,889	46,495	12.7%	No				
			Hillman	Ernie	Retired Sheriff's Captain	No	6	4,859	46,495	10.5%	No				
	Hennick	Larry	Retired Sheriff's Sergeant	No	6	4,157	46,495	8.9%	No						

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2010

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM- BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED			
EL DORADO (continued)	6/8/2010	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	4	Full	Briggs	Ron	County Supervisor/Farmer	Yes	4	5,960	10,970	54.3%	Yes			
					Schwenk	Todd	Business Owner	No	4	2,232	10,970	20.3%	No			
					Smith	Wendell	Business Consultant	No	4	1,801	10,970	16.4%	No			
					Gulling	Shawn	Engineering Technician	No	4	977	10,970	8.9%	No			
		8/31/2010	DISTRICT ATTORNEY	5	Full	Santiago	Norma	El Dorado County Supervisor District V	Yes	1	3,283	3,283	100.0%	Yes		
	Pierson					Vern	El Dorado County District Attorney	Yes	1	37,537	37,537	100.0%	Yes			
	Barber					Vicki L.	El Dorado Cnty. Superintendent of Schools	Yes	1	36,132	36,132	100.0%	Yes			
	SUPERIOR JUDGE					9	Full	Brooks	Nelson Keith	Judge, El Dorado County Superior Court	No	2	32,461	42,686	76.0%	Yes
								Huckaby	Robert P.	Attorney	No	2	10,225	42,686	24.0%	No
	SURVEYOR						Full	Briner	Richard	Deputy County Surveyor	No	1	36,481	36,481	100.0%	Yes
	TAX COLLECTOR/TREASURER						Full	Raffety	C. L.	El Dorado Co. Treasurer-Tax Collector CPA	Yes	1	37,822	37,822	100.0%	Yes
	DIRECTOR, Fallen Leaf Lake CSD					Short	Barber	Thomas	Orthopedic Surgeon	No	2	240	308	77.9%	Yes	
							Zanetell	Michael V.	General Contractor	No	2	66	308	21.4%	No	
	DIRECTOR, Fallen Leaf Lake CSD ^R					1	Short	Bacchetti	Tom	Retired Executive	No	1	248	252	98.4%	Yes
2		Short	Kownacki	Wanda	Healthcare Administrator	No	1	245	250	98.0%	Yes					
11/2/2010	CORONER/PUBLIC ADMIN./SHERIFF	Full	Agostini	John D.	District Attorney Investigator	No	2	36,472	70,327	51.9%	Yes					
			Therkildsen	Craig	El Dorado County Sheriff's Captain	No	2	33,855	70,327	48.1%	No					
	DIRECTOR, Cameron Park CSD	Full	McNeil	Scott	Small Business Owner	No	5	4,112	13,392	30.7%	Yes					
			Frentzen	Shiva	Local Business Owner	No	5	3,744	13,392	28.0%	Yes					
			Stanton	Greg	County Deputy Director	No	5	2,617	13,392	19.5%	Yes					
			Rogers	Barbara J.	Retired Administrative Assistant	No	5	1,719	13,392	12.8%	No					
			Gerger	Dale	Director, Student Finance	No	5	1,200	13,392	9.0%	No					
	DIRECTOR, El Dorado Hills CSD	Full	Rogozinski	Tony	Business Manager	No	6	5,926	29,585	20.0%	Yes					
			Lowery	Wayne	Parks Recreation Director	No	6	5,857	29,585	19.8%	Yes					
			Vandegrift	Bill "Billy V"	Local Businessman	No	6	4,856	29,585	16.4%	Yes					
			Hammond	Chuck	Businessman/Youth Coach	No	6	4,836	29,585	16.3%	No					
			Masters	Justin	Incumbent	Yes	6	4,269	29,585	14.4%	No					
	DIRECTOR, Greenstone Country CSD	Full	Keneller	Dave	Retired Police Captain	No	6	3,841	29,585	13.0%	No					
			Caldwell	Bob	Incumbent	Yes	4	270	761	35.5%	Yes					
Kaufmann			Ed	Retired	No	4	196	761	25.8%	Yes						
Beutler			Jamie L.	Retired Educator	No	4	162	761	21.3%	No						
			Ziolkowski	Charles	Businessman	No	4	133	761	17.5%	No					

^RTo be elected if recall measure passes.

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2010

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM- BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED
FRESNO	6/8/2010	ASSESSOR/RECORDER		Full	Dictos	Paul	Certified Public Accountant	No	4	29,472	102,108	28.9%	Runoff
					Laval	Carole T.	State Certified Appraiser	No	4	26,757	102,108	26.2%	Runoff
					Filippi	Peter	Fresno County Appraiser/Businessman	No	4	23,135	102,108	22.7%	No
					Leming	Timothy	Assistant Assessor-Recorder	No	4	22,510	102,108	22.0%	No
	AUDITOR/CONTROLLER/TREASURER	Full	Crow	Vicki	Auditor Controller-Treasurer Tax Collector	No	1	95,623	96,307	99.3%	Yes		
	CLERK	Full	Salazar	Victor E.	Fresno County Clerk	Yes	1	94,706	95,400	99.3%	Yes		
	CORONER/PUBLIC ADMINISTRATOR	Full	Hadden	David M.	Incumbent	Yes	1	91,420	92,112	99.2%	Yes		
	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	1	Full	Larson	Phil	Fresno County Supervisor/Farmer	Yes	3	8,059	16,194	49.8%	Runoff	
				Sterling	Cynthia A.	Fresno City Councilwoman	No	3	5,376	16,194	33.2%	Runoff	
				Cervantes	Gabriel	Businessman/Mailman	No	3	2,724	16,194	16.8%	No	
		4	Full	Case	Judith G.	County Supervisor	Yes	2	11,102	16,226	68.4%	Yes	
	Villarreal	Walter	Firefighter/Sanger Trustee	No	2	5,091	16,226	31.4%	No				
	DISTRICT ATTORNEY	Full	Egan	Elizabeth A.	District Attorney	Yes	1	94,329	95,304	99.0%	Yes		
	SHERIFF	Full	Mims	Margaret	Sheriff	Yes	1	91,383	93,975	97.2%	Yes		
	SUPERINTENDENT OF SCHOOLS	Full	Powell	Larry L.	Incumbent	Yes	1	90,605	90,605	100.0%	Yes		
	SUPERIOR JUDGE	7	Full	Petrucelli	Jim	Judge, Superior Court	Yes	2	73,497	103,494	71.0%	Yes	
				Shinaver	Gary	Chief Public Defender	No	2	29,687	103,494	28.7%	No	
	11/2/2010	ASSESSOR/RECORDER	Full	Dictos	Paul	Certified Public Accountant	No	2	89,169	171,830	51.9%	Yes	
				Laval	Carole T.	State Certified Appraiser	No	2	82,099	171,830	47.8%	No	
		COUNTY SUPERVISOR	1	Full	Larson	Phil	Fresno County Supervisor/Farmer	Yes	2	16,212	29,402	55.1%	Yes
Sterling					Cynthia A.	Fresno City Councilwoman	No	2	13,096	29,402	44.5%	No	
DIRECTOR, Del Rey CSD		Full	Carrillo	Luis	Operations Branch Manager	No	6	67	299	22.4%	Yes		
			Flores	Zacarias	Machine Operator	No	6	58	299	19.4%	Yes		
			Reyna	Maria	Appointed Incumbent	No	6	56	299	18.7%	Yes		
			Rodriguez	Eduardo	Incumbent	Yes	6	51	299	17.1%	No		
			Villarreal	Walter	Incumbent	Yes	6	44	299	14.7%	No		
			Diaz	Leonel	No Ballot Designation	No	6	17	299	5.7%	No		
6/8/2010	ASSESSOR/CLERK/RECORDER	Full	Thur	Sheryl J.	Apnt. Glenn Co. Assessor-Clerk-Recorder	No	2	3,872	6,261	61.8%	Yes		
			Whitsett	Jolleen	Title Escrow Manager	No	2	2,372	6,261	37.9%	No		
	CORONER/SHERIFF	Full	Jones	Larry Lee	Incumbent	Yes	1	5,635	5,744	98.1%	Yes		
	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	2	Full	Foltz	Dwight Richard	Business Owner	No	5	338	1,168	28.9%	Runoff	
				Elliot	Wade S.	Orland Councilman/Mayor	No	5	320	1,168	27.4%	Runoff	
				Yalow	Mike	Retired	No	5	300	1,168	25.7%	No	
				Nord	Sharon E.	Real Estate Broker	No	5	128	1,168	11.0%	No	
				Cooper	Stanley R.	No Ballot Designation	No	5	81	1,168	6.9%	No	

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2010

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN-CUM-BENT	NUMBER OF CAN-DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN-DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER-CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED	
GLENN (continued)	6/8/2010	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	4	Full	Murray	Michael	Incumbent	Yes	2	755	1,196	63.1%	Yes	
					Corum	Raymond Keith	No Ballot Designation	No	2	438	1,196	36.6%	No	
		DISTRICT ATTORNEY		Full	Maloney	Robert Joseph	Assistant District Attorney	No	2	3,860	6,251	61.8%	Yes	
					Holzapfel	Robert S.	District Attorney	Yes	2	2,370	6,251	37.9%	No	
	SUPERINTENDENT OF SCHOOLS		Full	Quarne	Tracey J.	Educator/County Supervisor	No	2	3,589	6,172	58.1%	Yes		
				Barrera	Arturo	County Superintendent of Schools	Yes	2	2,548	6,172	41.3%	No		
	11/2/2010	COUNTY SUPERVISOR		2	Full	Foltz	Dwight Richard	Business Owner	No	2	862	1,419	60.7%	Yes
						Elliot	Wade S.	Local Business Manager	No	2	547	1,419	38.5%	No
HUMBOLDT	6/8/2010	ASSESSOR		Full	Wilson	Mari	Assistant County Assessor	No	3	13,052	33,614	38.8%	Runoff	
					Rodoni	Johanna	Businesswoman/Rancher	No	3	11,582	33,614	34.5%	Runoff	
					Brooks	Jon	Real Estate Appraiser	No	3	8,935	33,614	26.6%	No	
		AUDITOR/CONTROLLER		Full	Mellet	Joseph	Deputy Auditor-Controller	No	2	18,140	26,607	68.2%	Yes	
					Lorig	Mike	Accountant/Auditor	No	2	8,340	26,607	31.3%	No	
		CLERK/RECORDER		Full	Crnich	Carolyn Wilson	Cnty. Clerk, Recorder & Registrar of Voters	Yes	1	28,269	28,426	99.4%	Yes	
		CORONER/PUBLIC ADMINISTRATOR		Full	Parris	Dave	Appointed Incumbent	No	1	27,684	27,915	99.2%	Yes	
		COUNTY SUPERVISOR	4	Full	Bass	Virginia	Eureka Mayor	No	3	2,720	5,741	47.4%	Runoff	
					Neely	Bonnie	Humboldt County Supervisor	Yes	3	1,819	5,741	31.7%	Runoff	
	Leonard				Jeff	Eureka City Council Member	No	3	1,182	5,741	20.6%	No		
	5		Full	Sundberg	Ryan	Local Business Owner	No	4	2,739	7,020	39.0%	Runoff		
				Cleary	Patrick	Local Business Owner	No	4	2,169	7,020	30.9%	Runoff		
				Higgins	Pat	Fisheries Biologist and Watershed Scientist	No	4	1,865	7,020	26.6%	No		
	DISTRICT ATTORNEY		Full	Gallegos	Paul V.	Humboldt County District Attorney	Yes	4	13,800	34,459	40.0%	Runoff		
				Jackson	Allison	Trial Attorney	No	4	12,774	34,459	37.1%	Runoff		
				Hagen	Paul	Attorney	No	4	6,331	34,459	18.4%	No		
				Bryson	Kathleen	Business/Defense Attorney	No	4	1,510	34,459	4.4%	No		
	SHERIFF		Full	Downey	Mike	Undersheriff	No	2	22,028	32,980	66.8%	Yes		
				Hislop	Michael R.	Chief Investigator	No	2	10,855	32,980	32.9%	No		
	SUPERINTENDENT OF SCHOOLS		Full	Eagles	Garry T.	Incumbent	Yes	1	25,859	26,322	98.2%	Yes		
TAX COLLECTOR/TREASURER		Full	Bartholomew	John Austin	Assistant Treasurer-Tax Collector	No	1	26,403	26,570	99.4%	Yes			
11/2/2010	ASSESSOR		Full	Wilson	Mari	Assistant County Assessor	No	2	20,704	34,730	59.6%	Yes		
				Rodoni	Johanna	Businesswoman/Rancher	No	2	14,026	34,730	40.4%	No		
	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	4	Full	Bass	Virginia	Eureka Mayor	No	2	4,181	7,537	55.5%	Yes		
				Neely	Bonnie	Humboldt County Supervisor	Yes	2	3,356	7,537	44.5%	No		

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2010

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DISTRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	INCUMBENT	NUMBER OF CANDIDATES	VOTES FOR CANDIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PERCENT OF VOTE	ELECTED		
HUMBOLDT (continued)	11/2/2010	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	5	Full	Sundberg	Ryan	Local Business Owner	No	2	5,088	10,022	50.8%	Yes		
					Cleary	Patrick	Local Business Owner	No	2	4,934	10,022	49.2%	No		
		DISTRICT ATTORNEY	Full	Gallegos	Paul V.	Humboldt County District Attorney	Yes	2	18,059	35,514	50.9%	Yes			
				Jackson	Allison	Trial Attorney	No	2	17,455	35,514	49.1%	No			
IMPERIAL	6/8/2010	ASSESSOR	Full	Buckner	Roy D.	Appointed Incumbent	No	2	7,375	14,681	50.2%	Yes			
				Zaragoza	Carlos	Senior Auditor/Appraiser	No	2	7,306	14,681	49.8%	No			
		AUDITOR/CONTROLLER	Full	Newland	Douglas	Incumbent	Yes	1	11,984	11,984	100.0%	Yes			
		CLERK/RECORDER	Full	Storey	Chuck	Realtor/Business Owner	No	3	6,957	14,537	27.1%	Runoff			
				Colon	Jacklin	Retired Deputy Clerk	No	3	3,943	14,537	47.9%	Runoff			
				Rodarte	Aziel	Recordable Document Examiner	No	3	3,637	14,537	25.0%	No			
		CORONER/SHERIFF	Full	Loera	Raymond	Incumbent	Yes	1	13,055	13,055	100.0%	Yes			
		COUNTY SUPERVISOR	1	Full	Renison	John R.	Director of Advancement	No	4	1,098	3,443	31.9%	Runoff		
					Fuentes	Louis A.	Appointed Incumbent/Businessman	No	4	863	3,443	25.1%	Runoff		
					Durazo	Carmen	Educator	No	4	779	3,443	22.6%	No		
					Castro	Luis J.	Bus Services Operator	No	4	703	3,443	20.4%	No		
					5	Full	Leimgruber	Wally	Incumbent	Yes	3	1,184	2,502	47.3%	Runoff
							Castillo	Raymond R.	Retired Law Enforcement	No	3	670	2,502	26.8%	Runoff
							Vasquez	Esteban "Steve"	Customer Representative	No	3	648	2,502	25.9%	No
		DISTRICT ATTORNEY	Full	Otero	Gilbert G.	Incumbent	Yes	1	12,541	12,541	100.0%	Yes			
		PUBLIC ADMINISTRATOR	Full	Saikhon	Norma	Incumbent	Yes	2	8,168	14,440	56.6%	Yes			
				Legaspi	Christian	Attorney At Law	No	2	6,272	14,440	43.4%	No			
		SUPERINTENDENT OF SCHOOLS	Full	Mallory	Anne Johnson	Appointed Incumbent	No	1	12,400	12,400	100.0%	Yes			
		TAX COLLECTOR/TREASURER	Full	Vogel	Karen	Incumbent	Yes	1	12,957	12,957	100.0%	Yes			
			11/2/2010	CLERK/RECORDER	Full	Storey	Chuck	Realtor/Business Owner	No	2	12,530	24,505	51.1%	Yes	
Colon	Jacklin					Retired Deputy Clerk	No	2	11,736	24,505	47.9%	No			
COUNTY SUPERVISOR	1			Full	Renison	John R.	Director of Advancement	No	2	2,679	4,880	54.9%	Yes		
					Fuentes	Louis A.	Appointed Incumbent/Businessman	No	2	2,118	4,880	43.4%	No		
5	Full	Castillo	Raymond R.	Retired Law Enforcement	No	2	2,368	4,190	56.5%	Yes					
		Leimgruber	Wally	Incumbent	Yes	2	1,800	4,190	43.0%	No					
INYO	6/8/2010	ASSESSOR	Full	Lanshaw	Thomas W.	Assessor	Yes	1	4,061	4,061	100.0%	Yes			
		AUDITOR	Full	Chapman	Leslie L.	Incumbent	Yes	1	4,230	4,230	100.0%	Yes			
		CLERK/RECORDER	Full	Foote	Kammi R.	Assistant County Clerk/Recorder	Yes	2	4,054	4,830	83.9%	Yes			
				Hannah	Merie	Recording Technician	No	2	776	4,830	16.1%	No			
		CORONER	Full	Brune	Leon B.	Incumbent	Yes	1	4,397	4,397	100.0%	Yes			
COUNTY SUPERVISOR	1	Full	Arcularius	Linda	Incumbent	Yes	1	986	986	100.0%	Yes				

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2010

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DIS- TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED
INYO (continued)	6/8/2010	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	3	Full	Pucci	Rick	Retired City Administrator	Yes	3	706	1,373	51.4%	Yes
					Brown	Beverly Cashbaugh	Incumbent	Yes	3	338	1,373	24.6%	No
					Summers	Karen Ball	Mother/Social Worker	No	3	329	1,373	24.0%	No
		DISTRICT ATTORNEY	Full	Maillet	Arthur J.	Incumbent/Vietnam Veteran	Yes	2	3,610	4,949	72.9%	Yes	
				Harvey	Gerard	Attorney	No	2	1,339	4,949	27.1%	No	
		PUBLIC ADMINISTRATOR	Full	Barton	Patricia	Incumbent	Yes	1	4,035	4,035	100.0%	Yes	
		SHERIFF	Full	Lutze	William R.	Sheriff	Yes	1	4,386	4,386	100.0%	Yes	
		SUPERINTENDENT OF SCHOOLS	Full	McAteer	Terence K.	Apnt. Inyo Co. Superintendent of Schools	No	1	3,774	3,774	100.0%	Yes	
		TAX COLLECTOR/TREASURER	Full	McMurtrie	Alisha	Treasurer/Tax Collector	Yes	2	2,918	4,795	60.9%	Yes	
				Buss	Jeff	Community Banker	No	2	1,877	4,795	39.1%	No	
KERN	6/8/2010	ASSESSOR/RECORDER	Full	Fitch	James "Jim" W.	Assessor-Recorder	Yes	1	82,606	82,606	100.0%	Yes	
		AUDITOR/CLERK/CONTROLLER	Full	Barnett	Ann K.	Auditor-Controller-County Clerk	Yes	1	82,304	82,304	100.0%	Yes	
		CORONER/PUBLIC ADMIN./SHERIFF	Full	Youngblood	Donny	Sheriff	Yes	1	85,112	85,112	100.0%	Yes	
		COUNTY SUPERVISOR	2	Full	Scrivner	Zack	Councilman/Vice-Mayor	No	6	5,614	20,084	28.0%	Runoff
					Perez	Steve A.	Business Owner	No	6	5,385	20,084	26.8%	Runoff
					Garrison	Mary Beth	Special Projects Manager	No	6	5,203	20,084	25.9%	No
					Jenkins	Bernita M.	Field Representative	No	6	1,441	20,084	7.2%	No
					Welling	James	Self Employed	No	6	1,393	20,084	6.9%	No
					Beckham	Stanley A.	City Councilman	No	6	1,048	20,084	5.2%	No
			3	Full	Maggard	Mike	Supervisor Third District	Yes	1	18,637	18,637	100.0%	Yes
		DISTRICT ATTORNEY	Full	Green	Lisa S.	Deputy District Attorney	No	1	82,832	82,832	100.0%	Yes	
		SUPERINTENDENT OF SCHOOLS	Full	Frazier	Christine Lizardi	Appointed Incumbent	No	1	76,329	76,329	100.0%	Yes	
		TAX COLLECTOR/TREASURER	Full	Denney	Jackie	Treasurer-Tax Collector	Yes	1	82,796	82,796	100.0%	Yes	
		COUNTY SUPERVISOR	2	Full	Scrivner	Zack	Councilman/Vice-Mayor	No	2	17,261	34,309	50.3%	Yes
					Perez	Steve A.	Business Owner	No	2	17,048	34,309	49.7%	No
DIRECTOR, Arvin CSD	Full				Rodriguez	Robert	Incumbent	Yes	5	814	2,839	28.7%	Yes
					Moreno	Cesar	Appointed Incumbent	No	5	772	2,839	27.2%	Yes
					Urueta	Jude Anthony	Water Distribution Operator	No	5	535	2,839	18.8%	Yes
					Denney	Donald Gene	Home Inspector	Yes	5	465	2,839	16.4%	No
Uphoff	Michael T.	Retired	No	5	253	2,839	8.9%	No					

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2010

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM- BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED		
KERN (continued)	11/2/2010	DIRECTOR, Bear Valley CSD		Full	Romano	Al	Incumbent	Yes	6	1,342	6,462	20.8%	Yes		
					Shea	Bonnie	Retired Business Woman	No	6	1,222	6,462	18.9%	Yes		
					Mason, Jr.	William T.	Retired Engineer	No	6	1,168	6,462	18.1%	Yes		
					Keesey	Victor D.	Deputy Sheriff	No	6	965	6,462	14.9%	No		
					Bryant	John	Retired	No	6	886	6,462	13.7%	No		
					Ritchie	Walter	Special Education Teacher	No	6	879	6,462	13.6%	No		
				DIRECTOR, Golden Hills CSD		Full	Wyatt	Laura Lynne	Realtor	No	3	1,307	3,747	34.9%	Yes
					Sargent	Ernest "Bud"	Incumbent	Yes	3	1,257	3,747	33.5%	Yes		
					Barrett	Larry F.	Realtor/Teacher	No	3	1,183	3,747	31.6%	No		
				DIRECTOR, Rosamond CSD		Full	Wood	Greg	Water Reclamation Supervisor	No	6	1,699	7,072	24.0%	Yes
					Spoor	Kathleen S.	Business Person	Yes	6	1,410	7,072	19.9%	Yes		
					Webb	Rick L.	Public Safety Officer	No	6	1,283	7,072	18.1%	Yes		
					Scherer	Robert C.	Incumbent	Yes	6	1,028	7,072	14.5%	No		
					Wallis	Alfred E.	Minister/Electrician	No	6	931	7,072	13.2%	No		
					Karper	Bill	Operations Manager	No	6	721	7,072	10.2%	No		
				DIRECTOR, Stallion Springs CSD		Full	Young	Sandy	Incumbent	Yes	4	729	2,185	33.4%	Yes
					Mueller	Susan M.	Incumbent	Yes	4	705	2,185	32.3%	Yes		
					Morales	Lori	Retired	No	4	377	2,185	17.3%	No		
					Saldana	Bea	Retired	No	4	374	2,185	17.1%	No		
		KINGS	6/8/2010	ASSESSOR/CLERK/RECORDER		Full	Baird	Ken	No Ballot Designation	Yes	1	15,327	15,327	100.0%	Yes
CORONER/PUBLIC ADMIN./SHERIFF				Full	Robinson	David	Peace Officer	No	2	10,164	17,384	58.5%	Yes		
					Jordan	Chris	Incumbent	Yes	2	7,220	17,384	41.5%	No		
COUNTY SUPERVISOR	1			Full	Neves	Joe	Incumbent	Yes	1	2,182	2,182	100.0%	Yes		
	3			Full	Verboon	Doug	Farmer/Business Owner	No	3	3,574	6,072	58.9%	Yes		
					Ayers	David G.	Businessman	No	3	1,803	6,072	29.7%	No		
					Nagavalli	Sudesh	Physician	No	3	695	6,072	11.4%	No		
	4			Full	Barba	Anthony "Tony"	Incumbent	Yes	5	1,819	2,959	61.5%	Yes		
					Thomas	David	Businessman	No	5	418	2,959	14.1%	No		
					Booker	Andre	Administrator	No	5	255	2,959	8.6%	No		
					Ramirez, Jr.	Francisco	Businessman	No	5	249	2,959	8.4%	No		
				Rose	Joe	Businessman	No	5	218	2,959	7.4%	No			
DISTRICT ATTORNEY				Full	Strickland	Greg	Career Prosecutor	No	2	8,803	16,835	52.3%	Yes		
					Calhoun	Ron	Incumbent	Yes	2	8,032	16,835	47.7%	No		
SUPERINTENDENT OF SCHOOLS				Full	Bowers	Tim	Assistant Superintendent of Schools	No	2	8,822	16,733	52.7%	Yes		
			Martella-Jones	Robin	Educator	No	2	7,911	16,733	47.3%	No				

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2010

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM- BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED
LAKE	6/8/2010	ASSESSOR/RECORDER		Full	Wacker	Douglas W.	County Assessor-Recorder	Yes	1	12,711	12,711	100.0%	Yes
		AUDITOR/CLERK		Full	Cochrane	Pam	County Clerk-Auditor	Yes	1	12,507	12,507	100.0%	Yes
		CORONER/SHERIFF		Full	Rivero	Francisco	Lake County Deputy Sheriff	No	3	5,682	14,784	38.4%	Runoff
					Mitchell	Rodney K.	Sheriff-Coroner	Yes	3	5,078	14,784	34.3%	Runoff
					Baxter	Jack	Retired Police Sergeant	No	3	4,024	14,784	27.2%	No
		COUNTY SUPERVISOR	2		Smith	Jeff	Supervisor District 2	Yes	2	1,245	1,995	62.4%	Yes
					Overton	Joyce	Councilmember/Office Manager	No	2	750	1,995	37.6%	No
			3	Full	Rushing	Denise	County Supervisor, District 3	Yes	3	1,625	2,974	54.6%	Yes
					Lewis	Gary L.	Construction Sales/Marketing	No	3	691	2,974	23.2%	No
				Hesterberg	Robert D.	Administrative Assistant/Farmer	No	3	658	2,974	22.1%	No	
	DISTRICT ATTORNEY	Full		Anderson	Don	Attorney/Judicial Arbitrator	No	3	5,334	14,292	37.3%	Runoff	
				Rhoades	Doug	Attorney At Law	No	3	4,629	14,292	32.4%	Runoff	
				Hopkins	Jon E.	Lake County District Attorney	Yes	3	4,329	14,292	30.3%	No	
	SUPERINTENDENT OF SCHOOLS	Full		Holbrook	Wally	Educator	No	2	8,631	14,471	59.6%	Yes	
				Luchsinger	Judy	Educator/Business Owner	No	2	5,840	14,471	40.4%	No	
	TAX COLLECTOR/TREASURER	Full	Kacharos	Sandra	Treasurer-Tax Collector	Yes	1	12,517	12,517	100.0%	Yes		
	11/2/2010	CORONER/SHERIFF		Rivero	Francisco "Frank"	Lake County Deputy Sheriff	No	2	11,103	20,488	54.2%	Yes	
				Mitchell	Rodney K.	Sheriff-Coroner	Yes	2	9,275	20,488	45.3%	No	
DISTRICT ATTORNEY		Full		Anderson	Don	Attorney/Judicial Arbitrator	No	2	10,276	19,403	53.0%	Yes	
				Rhoades	Doug	Attorney At Law	No	2	9,004	19,403	46.4%	No	
LASSEN		6/8/2010	ASSESSOR		Full	Bunch	Ken	Assessor	Yes	1	5,608	5,743	97.6%
	AUDITOR			Full	Fouch	Karen	Incumbent	Yes	2	3,612	6,488	55.7%	Yes
					Bonham	Kurt	Certified Public Accountant	No	2	2,845	6,488	43.9%	No
	CLERK/RECORDER		Full	Bustamante	Julie	County Clerk-Recorder	Yes	1	5,811	5,902	98.5%	Yes	
	CORONER/SHERIFF		Full		Growdon	Dean F.	Assistant Sheriff	No	2	3,729	6,857	54.4%	Yes
					Bengoa-Bollinger	Michael	Sheriff's Lieutenant/Educator	No	2	3,094	6,857	45.1%	No
	COUNTY SUPERVISOR		3		Wosick	Larry	Businessman	No	2	1,086	1,822	59.6%	Yes
					Keefer	Lloyd	Incumbent	Yes	2	735	1,822	40.3%	No
			5	Full	Hanson	Jack	Incumbent/Rancher	Yes	1	889	932	95.4%	Yes
	DISTRICT ATT./PUBLIC ADMINISTRATOR		Full	Burns	Bob	District Attorney	Yes	1	5,243	5,565	94.2%	Yes	
SUPERINTENDENT OF SCHOOLS	Full	DuVarney	Richard	Assistant County Superintendent	No	1	5,368	5,512	97.4%	Yes			
TAX COLLECTOR/TREASURER	Full	Egan	Richard	Incumbent	Yes	1	5,665	5,801	97.7%	Yes			

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2010

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM- BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED	
LASSEN (continued)	11/2/2010	DIRECTOR, West Patton Village CSD		Full	Matthews	Oueanda "Mickey"	Librarian	No	4	137	472	29.0%	Yes	
					Shaff	Roy V.	Incumbent	Yes	4	116	472	24.6%	Yes	
					McGinnis	Alex	Incumbent	Yes	4	114	472	24.2%	Yes	
					Swistowicz	Jim	Branch Foreman	No	4	98	472	20.8%	No	
	DIRECTOR, Westwood CSD	Full	Tamborski	Ted	Incumbent/Retired Teamster	Yes	3	309	846	36.5%	Yes			
			Yeates	John A.	Paramedic/Firefighter	No	3	289	846	34.2%	Yes			
			Champion	Joseph	Retired	No	3	134	846	15.8%	No			
	LOS ANGELES	6/8/2010	ASSESSOR		Full	Noguez	John R.	Los Angeles County Deputy Assessor	No	13	214,309	770,108	27.8%	Runoff
						Wong	John Y.	Chairperson, Assessment Appeals	No	13	98,485	770,108	12.8%	Runoff
Loew						John "Lower Taxes"	Deputy Assessor	No	13	81,724	770,108	10.6%	No	
Salazar						Yolanda T.	Deputy Assessor	No	13	81,381	770,108	10.6%	No	
Hahn						Charles J.	Businessman	No	13	56,725	770,108	7.4%	No	
Dortch						John Lewis	Assessor's Division Chief	No	13	53,373	770,108	6.9%	No	
Chavez						Ed "Cesar"	Educator/Water Boardmember	No	13	39,896	770,108	5.2%	No	
Gomez						Adrian A.	Deputy County Assessor	No	13	33,698	770,108	4.4%	No	
Heath						Mary Hollis	Property Manager	No	13	33,840	770,108	4.4%	No	
Cornejo						Joseph	Los Angeles City Councilman's Deputy	No	13	24,305	770,108	3.2%	No	
Kumaus						Dan	Deputy Assessor	No	13	19,983	770,108	2.6%	No	
Kumar						Krish	State Certified Appraiser	No	13	18,718	770,108	2.4%	No	
Gharib						Slavish "Sia"	Assessor's Principal Appraiser	No	13	13,671	770,108	1.8%	No	
COUNTY SUPERVISOR		1	Full	Molina	Gloria	Supervisor, First District	Yes	1	79,629	79,629	100.0%	Yes		
		3	Full	Yaroslavsky	Zev	Member, Board of Supervisors	Yes	1	149,283	149,283	100.0%	Yes		
SHERIFF			Full	Baca	Lee	Sheriff, Los Angeles County	Yes	1	640,606	640,606	100.0%	Yes		
SUPERIOR JUDGE		28	Full	Hammock	Randy	Superior Court Referee	No	8	166,763	755,789	22.1%	Runoff		
				Ameli	Mark K.	Arbitrator/Mediator/Litigator	No	8	109,700	755,789	14.5%	Runoff		
				Smith	Kim	Criminal Prosecutor	No	8	104,723	755,789	13.9%	No		
				Garcia	Chris	Criminal Prosecutor	No	8	99,877	755,789	13.2%	No		
	Moreno			Elizabeth	Arbitrator/Mediator	No	8	93,839	755,789	12.4%	No			
	Nison			Edward J.	Criminal Homicide Prosecutor	No	8	82,231	755,789	10.9%	No			
	Mack			C. Edward	Criminal Trial Attorney	No	8	50,006	755,789	6.6%	No			
	Reed			Kendall C. "Ken"	Attorney/Arbitrator/Mediator	No	8	48,650	755,789	6.4%	No			
	35	Full	Bruguera	Soussan "Suzanne"	Judge of the Superior Court	Yes	2	549,813	750,093	73.3%	Yes			
	Weitzman		Douglas W.	Public Rights Attorney	No	2	200,280	750,093	26.7%	No				
	73	Full	Matz	Laura A.	Superior Court Judge	Yes	2	572,413	723,841	79.1%	Yes			
	Fischler		Marvin G.	Attorney/Mediator/Arbitrator	No	2	151,428	723,841	20.9%	No				

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2010

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DIS- TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM- BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED
LOS ANGELES (continued)	6/8/2010	SUPERIOR JUDGE	107	Full	Salkin	Valerie	Gang Prosecutor	No	3	379,904	748,202	50.8%	Yes
					De Los Reyes	Tony	Attorney/Hearing Officer	No	3	236,807	748,202	31.7%	No
					Bolinger	R. Stephen	Juvenile Advocate Attorney	No	3	131,491	748,202	17.6%	No
		117	Full	Schneider	Alan	Gang Homicide Prosecutor	No	4	329,317	749,466	43.9%	Runoff	
				Griego	Tom	Criminal Prosecutor	No	4	223,411	749,466	29.8%	Runoff	
				Vienna	Patricia M.	Attorney-At-Law	No	4	126,986	749,466	16.9%	No	
				Margolin	William Mitchell	Consumer Trial Attorney	No	4	69,752	749,466	9.3%	No	
		131	Full	Nelson	Maren Elizabeth	Judge of the Superior Court	Yes	2	613,376	727,665	84.3%	Yes	
				Baklayan	Jim Garo	Civil Litigation Attorney	No	2	114,289	727,665	15.7%	No	
	11/2/2010	ASSESSOR	Full	Noguez	John R.	Los Angeles County Deputy Assessor	No	2	999,393	1,677,035	59.6%	Yes	
				Wong	John Y.	Chairperson, Assessment Appeals	No	2	677,642	1,677,035	40.4%	No	
		SUPERIOR JUDGE	28	Full	Hammock	Randy	Superior Court Referee	No	2	820,390	1,564,775	52.4%	Yes
					Ameli	Mark K.	Litigator/Mediator/Arbitrator	No	2	744,385	1,564,775	47.6%	No
		117	Full	Schneider	Alan	Gang Homicide Prosecutor	No	2	946,742	1,578,912	60.0%	Yes	
				Griego	Tom	Criminal Prosecutor	No	2	632,170	1,578,912	40.0%	No	
	136	Full	Hogue	Amy D.	No Ballot Designation	Yes	1	1,133,100	1,133,100	100.0%	Yes		
MADERA	6/8/2010	ASSESSOR	Full	Kidwell	Tom	Incumbent	Yes	2	13,249	22,008	60.2%	Yes	
				Russell	Kenneth	Real Estate/Finance	No	2	8,680	22,008	39.4%	No	
		AUDITOR/CONTROLLER	Full	Kroeger	Janet Spillane	Auditor-Controller	Yes	1	18,037	18,298	98.6%	Yes	
		CLERK/RECORDER	Full	Martinez	Rebecca "Becky"	County Clerk-Recorder	Yes	2	15,241	21,519	70.8%	Yes	
				Devine	Desirae	Legal Administrative Assistant	No	2	6,231	21,519	29.0%	No	
		CORONER/SHERIFF	Full	Anderson	John P.	Incumbent	Yes	2	15,242	22,619	67.4%	Yes	
				Barker	Betty	Deputy Sheriff/Sergeant	No	2	7,333	22,619	32.4%	No	
		COUNTY SUPERVISOR	1	Full	Bigelow	Frank	Businessman/Rancher	Yes	2	4,230	6,384	66.3%	Yes
					Kellner	Tom	Real Estate Broker	No	2	2,133	6,384	33.4%	No
			2	Full	Rogers	David B.	Business Owner	No	3	1,511	4,053	37.3%	Runoff
					White	Justin	Volunteer Firefighter/Councilman	No	3	1,360	4,053	33.6%	Runoff
					Silva	John V.	Agricultural Commodities Broker	No	3	1,167	4,053	28.8%	No
			5	Full	Wheeler	W. Tom	Incumbent	Yes	2	4,499	7,663	58.7%	Yes
		Alberta			Joe	Public Relations	No	2	3,150	7,663	41.1%	No	
		DISTRICT ATTORNEY	Full	Keitz	Michael R.	Madera County District Attorney	Yes	1	18,304	18,578	98.5%	Yes	
SUPERINTENDENT OF SCHOOLS	Full	Massetti	Cecilia	Associate Superintendent	No	3	14,297	21,620	66.1%	Yes			
		Stewart	Gwendolyn	Teacher/Educator/Consultant	No	3	4,095	21,620	18.9%	No			
		Velarde-Garcia	Maria	Educator	No	3	3,175	21,620	14.7%	No			

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2010

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM- BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED	
MADERA (continued)	6/8/2010	SUPERIOR JUDGE	3	Full	Jones	D. Lynn	Superior Court Judge	Yes	2	13,009	21,432	60.7%	Yes	
					Austin	Brian	Attorney	No	2	8,332	21,432	38.9%	No	
	11/2/2010	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	2	Full	Desmond	Tracy Kennedy	Tax Collector-Treasurer	Yes	1	19,411	19,631	98.9%	Yes	
					Rogers	David B.	Business Owner	No	2	3,123	5,859	53.3%	Yes	
MARIN	6/8/2010	ASSESSOR/CLERK/RECORDER		Full	Scott	Shelly	Deputy Assessor/Appraiser	No	3	21,170	55,788	37.9%	Runoff	
					Benson	Richard N.	Assistant County Assessor	Yes	3	20,670	55,788	37.1%	Runoff	
					Raful	Bruce	California Certified Appraiser	No	3	13,690	55,788	24.5%	No	
		CORONER/SHERIFF	Full	Doyle	Robert Thomas Bob	Marin County Sheriff	Yes	2	37,233	61,561	60.5%	Yes		
				Holmes	Ken	Marin County Coroner	No	2	24,136	61,561	39.2%	No		
		COUNTY SUPERVISOR	1	Full	Adams	Susan L.	Incumbent	Yes	2	8,105	15,272	53.1%	Yes	
					Mazzoni	Kerry	Government Relations Businesswoman	No	2	7,119	15,272	46.6%	No	
				5	Full	Arnold	Judy	Marin County Supervisor District 5	Yes	1	10,930	11,226	97.4%	Yes
						Berberian	Edward S.	Marin County District Attorney	Yes	1	49,935	50,561	98.8%	Yes
					Full	Burke	Mary Jane	Marin County Superintendent of Schools	Yes	1	52,370	53,105	98.6%	Yes
						Haakenson	Paul M.	Marin Superior Court Judge	Yes	2	47,815	60,557	79.0%	Yes
	11/2/2010	ASSESSOR/CLERK/RECORDER			Full	Burton	Mark	Litigation Attorney/Mediator	No	2	12,479	60,557	20.6%	No
						Benson	Richard N.	Assistant County Assessor	Yes	2	40,516	76,498	53.0%	Yes
						Scott	Shelly	Deputy Assessor/Appraiser	No	2	35,486	76,498	46.4%	No
Crafts						Becky	Incumbent	Yes	1	4,975	4,975	100.0%	Yes	
Davis						William E.	Finance/Accounting Professional	No	2	3,184	5,814	54.8%	Yes	
MARIPOSA	6/8/2010	ASSESSOR/RECORDER		Full	Oster	Eric A.	Certified Public Accountant	No	2	2,630	5,814	45.2%	No	
					Williams	Keith M.	Incumbent	Yes	1	5,217	5,217	100.0%	Yes	
		AUDITOR	Full	Binnewies	Doug	Undersheriff, Mariposa County	No	2	4,066	6,171	65.9%	Yes		
		CORONER/PUBLIC ADMIN./SHERIFF	Full	Jones	Mark	Mariposa County Sheriff's Sergeant	No	2	2,105	6,171	34.1%	No		
				Stetson	Lee	Writer/Actor	No	2	485	868	55.9%	Yes		
		COUNTY SUPERVISOR	1	Full	Aborn	Brad	Incumbent	Yes	2	383	868	44.1%	No	
					3	Full	Mankins-Bibby	Janet	Incumbent	Yes	4	956	1,521	62.9%
		Gorham	Tolley	Small Business Owner			No	4	230	1,521	15.1%	No		
		Davis, Jr.	Floyd E.	Registered Civil Engineer			No	4	198	1,521	13.0%	No		
		Castaldi	Theresa	Business Woman/Rancher			No	4	137	1,521	9.0%	No		
		DISTRICT ATTORNEY	Full	Brown	Robert H.	Incumbent	Yes	1	4,964	4,964	100.0%	Yes		
		SUPERINTENDENT OF SCHOOLS	Full	Rosander	Aaron N.	Acting Superintendent	No	1	4,836	4,836	100.0%	Yes		

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2010

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM- BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED		
MARIPOSA (continued)	11/2/2010	DIRECTOR, Lake Don Pedro CSD		Full	Keefe	Vicki	Retired Businesswoman	No	3	292	823	35.5%	Yes		
					Richardson	Lew	Retired Sheriff's Deputy	No	3	267	823	32.4%	Yes		
					Brown	Mary	Retired Restaurant Manager	No	3	264	823	32.1%	No		
					Short	Ross	Emery	Rancher	No	2	338	578	58.5%	Yes	
					Fuentes	Susana	Transportation/Freight Broker	No	2	240	578	41.5%	No		
MENDOCINO	6/8/2010	ASSESSOR/CLERK/RECORDER		Full	Ranochak	Susan M.	Assessor-County Clerk-Recorder	Yes	1	16,857	17,055	98.8%	Yes		
		AUDITOR/CONTROLLER		Full	Ford	Meredith J.	Incumbent	Yes	1	16,310	16,496	98.9%	Yes		
		CORONER/SHERIFF		Full	Allman	Tom	Sheriff-Coroner	Yes	1	18,540	18,928	98.0%	Yes		
		COUNTY SUPERVISOR	3	Full	Pinches	John	3rd District Supervisor	Yes	3	2,382	4,832	49.3%	Runoff		
					Madrigal	Holly	Willits City Councilwoman	No	3	1,915	4,832	39.6%	Runoff		
					Orth	Tony	Satellite Telecommunications Professional	No	3	522	4,832	10.8%	No		
			5	Full	Hamburg	Dan	Director, Non-Profit Organization	No	4	1,838	5,243	35.1%	Runoff		
					Roberts	Wendy A.	Non-Profit/Business Consultant	No	4	1,495	5,243	28.5%	Runoff		
					Mastin	Jim	College Administrator	No	4	1,090	5,243	20.8%	No		
					De Vall	Norman L.	No Ballot Designation	No	4	805	5,243	15.4%	No		
		DISTRICT ATTORNEY	Full	Lintott	Meredith	District Attorney	Yes	3	8,046	21,231	37.9%	Runoff			
				Eyster	C. David	Attorney at Law	No	3	6,929	21,231	32.6%	Runoff			
				Finnegan	Matt	Prosecutor	No	3	6,197	21,231	29.2%	No			
		SUPERINTENDENT OF SCHOOLS		Full	Tichinin	Paul A.	Current County Superintendent	Yes	1	16,154	16,644	97.1%	Yes		
		SUPERIOR JUDGE	1	Full	Moorman	Ann	Attorney, Businessperson	No	2	13,855	20,791	66.6%	Yes		
					Callahan	Caren	Attorney/Mediator	No	2	6,848	20,791	32.9%	No		
		TAX COLLECTOR/TREASURER		Full	Schapmire	Shari L.	Treasurer-Tax Collector	Yes	1	15,078	15,246	98.9%	Yes		
			11/2/2010	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	3	Full	Pinches	John	3rd District Supervisor	Yes	2	3,314	6,369	52.0%	Yes
							Madrigal	Holly	Willits City Councilwoman	No	2	3,023	6,369	47.5%	No
							5	Full	Hamburg	Dan	Director, Non-Profit Organization	No	2	3,993	6,951
Roberts	Wendy A.								Non-Profit / Business Consultant	No	2	2,926	6,951	42.1%	No
DISTRICT ATTORNEY	Full						Eyster	C. David	Attorney at Law	No	2	15,593	29,128	53.5%	Yes
		Lintott	Meredith	District Attorney	Yes	2	13,417	29,128	46.1%	No					
MERCED	6/8/2010	ASSESSOR/RECORDER		Full	Christensen	Kent B.	Incumbent	Yes	1	18,572	18,803	98.8%	Yes		
		AUDITOR/CONTROLLER		Full	Cardella-Presto	Lisa	Certified Public Accountant	No	1	17,828	18,067	98.7%	Yes		
		CLERK/TAX COLLECTOR/TREASURER		Full	Adams	Karen D.	Incumbent	Yes	1	19,348	19,608	98.7%	Yes		
		CORONER/SHERIFF		Full	Pazin	Mark N.	Incumbent	Yes	1	19,318	19,680	98.2%	Yes		
		COUNTY SUPERVISOR	3	Full	Davis	Linn	Retired Military	No	2	3,771	6,645	56.7%	Yes		
					Nelson	Mike	Supervisor, District 3	Yes	2	2,851	6,645	42.9%	No		
		5	Full	O'Banion	Jerry	Incumbent	No	1	3,645	3,730	97.7%	Yes			

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2010

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM- BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED	
MERCED (continued)	6/8/2010	DISTRICT ATTORNEY		Full	Morse, II	Larry D.	Incumbent	Yes	1	18,381	18,815	97.7%	Yes	
		SUPERINTENDENT OF SCHOOLS		Full	Gomes	Steve E.	School Superintendent	No	2	12,167	22,482	54.1%	Yes	
					Full	Coston	Susan	Assistant Superintendent	No	2	10,213	22,482	45.4%	No
MODOC	6/8/2010	ASSESSOR		Full	Budmark	Cheryl "Cheri"	Modoc County Assessor	Yes	1	2,871	2,925	98.2%	Yes	
		AUDITOR/CLERK/RECORDER		Full	Locken	Darcy	Assistant Administrative Officer	No	2	2,010	3,195	62.9%	Yes	
					Full	Marrs	Alice J.	Appointed Incumbent	No	2	1,154	3,195	36.1%	No
		CORONER/SHERIFF		Full	Poindexter	Mike	Police Officer	No	3	1,923	3,381	56.9%	Yes	
					Full	Palmer	Gary W.	Undersheriff/Assistant Coroner	No	3	822	3,381	24.3%	No
					Full	Callaghan	Stacy	Chief Deputy	No	3	620	3,381	18.3%	No
		COUNTY SUPERVISOR		1	Full	Allan	David	Rancher	No	2	346	575	60.2%	Yes
					Full	Macsay, Sr.	Daniel J.	District 1 Supervisor	Yes	2	225	575	39.1%	No
				5	Full	Byrne	Geri L.	Rancher	No	2	342	519	65.9%	Yes
				Full	Misso	David Porter	Community Volunteer	No	2	177	519	34.1%	No	
		DISTRICT ATTORNEY		Full	Brooke	Christopher	Assistant District Attorney	No	2	1,660	3,284	50.5%	Yes	
				Full	Lawson	John	Attorney	No	2	1,611	3,284	49.1%	No	
		SUPERINTENDENT OF SCHOOLS		Full	Jones	Gary L.	County Superintendent	Yes	1	2,757	2,816	97.9%	Yes	
TAX COLLECTOR/TREASURER		Full	Knoch	Cheryl	Treasurer/Tax Collector	Yes	1	2,721	2,823	96.4%	Yes			
MONO	6/8/2010	ASSESSOR		Full	Henning	Judith	Mono County Assessor	Yes	1	2,673	2,673	100.0%	Yes	
		CORONER/SHERIFF		Full	Scholl	Richard	Incumbent	Yes	2	2,169	3,309	65.5%	Yes	
					Full	Northington	Douglas	Peace Officer	No	2	1,140	3,309	34.5%	No
		COUNTY SUPERVISOR		1	Full	Johnston	Larry	Planner	No	2	215	425	50.6%	Yes
					Full	Sauser	Bill	Small Business Owner	No	2	210	425	49.4%	No
				4	Full	Hansen	Tim	Small Business Owner	No	4	286	825	34.7%	Runoff
				Full	Fesko	Tim	Small Business Owner	No	4	269	825	32.6%	Runoff	
				Full	Nolan	Renn	Retired Clerk/Recorder	No	4	224	825	27.2%	No	
				Full	Tems	Bob	Retired Aerospace Manager	No	4	46	825	5.6%	No	
				5	Full	Hunt	Byng	Incumbent	Yes	1	574	574	100.0%	Yes
		DISTRICT ATTORNEY		Full	Booth	George	Incumbent	Yes	1	2,617	2,617	100.0%	Yes	
		SUPERINTENDENT OF SCHOOLS		Full	Adler	Stacey	County Assistant Superintendent	No	1	2,497	2,497	100.0%	Yes	
		SUPERIOR JUDGE		Full	Magit	Mark	Attorney	No	3	1,585	3,411	46.5%	Runoff	
	Full		Gephart	Randall	Attorney	No	3	1,478	3,411	43.3%	Runoff			
	Full		Hankel	Therese	Attorney	No	3	348	3,411	10.2%	No			
COUNTY SUPERVISOR	11/2/2010		4	Full	Hansen	Tim	Small Business Owner	No	2	549	955	57.5%	Yes	
			Full	Fesko	Tim	Small Business Owner	No	2	406	955	42.5%	No		

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2010

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DIS- TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM- BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED
MONO (continued)	11/2/2010	SUPERIOR JUDGE		Full	Magit	Mark	Attorney	No	2	2,219	4,142	53.6%	Yes
					Gephart	Randall	Attorney	No	2	1,923	4,142	46.4%	No
MONTEREY	6/8/2010	ASSESSOR/CLERK/RECORDER		Full	Vagnini	Stephen L.	Assessor/Clerk/Recorder	Yes	1	49,116	49,116	100.0%	Yes
		AUDITOR/CONTROLLER		Full	Miller	Michael J.	Auditor/Controller/CPA	Yes	1	47,200	47,200	100.0%	Yes
		CORONER/SHERIFF		Full	Kanalakis	Mike	Sheriff/Coroner	Yes	3	20,886	57,643	36.2%	Runoff
					Miller	Scott	Retired Police Chief	No	3	18,968	57,643	32.9%	Runoff
					Garcia	Fred	Retired Sheriff's Commander	No	3	17,789	57,643	30.9%	No
		COUNTY SUPERVISOR	2	Full	Calcagno	Lou R.	County Supervisor/Dairyman	Yes	2	6,396	11,721	54.6%	Yes
					Mitchell	Ed	Aerospace Systems Engineer	No	2	5,325	11,721	45.4%	No
			3	Full	Salinas	Simon	Monterey County Supervisor	Yes	1	5,530	5,530	100.0%	Yes
		DISTRICT ATTORNEY		Full	Flippo	Dean D.	District Attorney of Monterey County	Yes	1	49,184	49,184	100.0%	Yes
		SUPERINTENDENT OF SCHOOLS		Full	Watkins	Michael	Santa Cruz Co. Superintendent of Schools	Yes	1	676	676	100.0%	Yes
					Kotowski	Nancy	Monterey County Superintendent of Schools	Yes	2	27,770	54,239	51.2%	Yes
					Vaughan	Donna Alonzo	District Superintendent	No	2	26,469	54,239	48.8%	No
		TAX COLLECTOR/TREASURER		Full	Zeeb	Mary Mangels	Assistant County Treasurer	No	3	23,923	53,487	44.7%	Runoff
					McPherson	John	Financial Services Executive	No	3	15,841	53,487	29.6%	Runoff
					Holly	Ron	Chief Deputy Auditor	No	3	13,723	53,487	25.7%	No
		CORONER/SHERIFF	11/2/2010	Full	Miller	Scott	Retired Police Chief	No	2	51,431	92,389	55.7%	Yes
					Kanalakis	Mike	Sheriff/Coroner	Yes	2	40,958	92,389	44.3%	No
TAX COLLECTOR/TREASURER		Full	Zeeb	Mary Mangels	Assistant County Treasurer	No	2	47,126	87,276	54.0%	Yes		
			McPherson	John	Business Management Consultant	No	2	40,150	87,276	46.0%	No		
NAPA	6/8/2010	ASSESSOR/CLERK/RECORDER		Full	Tuteur	John	Assessor-Recorder-County Clerk	Yes	2	20,653	28,022	73.7%	Yes
					Brauning	Daniel	Real Estate Appraiser	No	2	7,369	28,022	26.3%	No
		AUDITOR/CONTROLLER		Full	Schulze	Tracy A.	Appointed Auditor-Controller	No	1	22,287	22,287	100.0%	Yes
		CORONER/SHERIFF		Full	Koford	Douglas E.	Sheriff-Coroner	Yes	1	23,046	23,046	100.0%	Yes
		COUNTY SUPERVISOR	1	Full	Wagenknecht	Brad	County Supervisor/Teacher	Yes	2	3,248	5,497	59.1%	Yes
					Monez	Dan	Retired Police Chief	No	2	2,249	5,497	40.9%	No
			3	Full	Dillon	Diane	Supervisor, 3rd District	Yes	3	3,103	6,345	48.9%	Runoff
					Parady	Jeff	Business Owner/Rancher	No	3	2,312	6,345	36.4%	Runoff
					Haley	Michael	Farmer	No	3	930	6,345	14.7%	No
		DISTRICT ATTORNEY		Full	Lieberstein	Gary	District Attorney	Yes	1	23,103	23,103	100.0%	Yes
		SUPERINTENDENT OF SCHOOLS		Full	Nemko	Barbara	Superintendent of Schools	Yes	1	22,993	22,993	100.0%	Yes
		TAX COLLECTOR/TREASURER		Full	Frasier	Tamie R.	Appointed Treasurer-Tax Collector	No	2	17,726	25,144	70.5%	Yes
Stoddard	Paul				Treasury/Accounting Analyst	No	2	7,418	25,144	29.5%	No		

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2010

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM- BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED
NAPA (continued)	11/2/2010	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	3	Full	Dillon	Diane	Supervisor, 3rd District	Yes	2	4,660	9,073	51.4%	Yes
					Parady	Jeff	Business Owner/Rancher	No	2	4,413	9,073	48.6%	No
NEVADA	6/8/2010	ASSESSOR		Full	Home	Sue	Small Business Owner	No	2	16,726	27,828	60.1%	Yes
					Kleinhans	Rolf	AT Licensed Appraiser	No	2	11,102	27,828	39.9%	No
		AUDITOR/CONTROLLER		Full	Salter	Marcia L.	Auditor-Controller	Yes	1	21,735	21,735	100.0%	Yes
		CLERK/RECORDER		Full	Diaz	Gregory J.	Appointed Nevada County Clerk-Recorder	No	2	18,675	26,980	69.2%	Yes
					Pruett	Barry W.	Legal Advocate	No	2	8,305	26,980	30.8%	No
		CORONER/PUBLIC ADMIN./SHERIFF		Full	Royal	Keith W.	Sheriff-Coroner-Public Administrator	Yes	1	24,245	24,245	100.0%	Yes
		COUNTY SUPERVISOR	3	Full	Lamphier	Terry	California Licensed Contractor	No	2	2,582	4,931	52.4%	Yes
					Spencer	John C.	County Supervisor/Surveyor	Yes	2	2,349	4,931	47.6%	No
			4	Full	Weston	W.M. "Hank"	4th District Supervisor	Yes	1	5,264	5,264	100.0%	Yes
		DISTRICT ATTORNEY		Full	Newell	Clifford H.	Nevada County District Attorney	Yes	1	22,792	22,792	100.0%	Yes
		SUPERINTENDENT -NEVADA		Full	Hermansen	Holly A.	Nevada Co Sup. of Schools Appt Incumbent	No	1	20,542	20,542	100.0%	Yes
		SUPERINTENDENT -PLACER		Full	Grabolino-Mojica	Gayle	Incumbent	Yes	1	1,872	1,872	100.0%	Yes
		TAX COLLECTOR/TREASURER		Full	Meagher	Dai	Certified Public Accountant	No	4	7,993	25,302	31.6%	Runoff
					Vernon	Tina M.	Senior Management Analyst	No	4	6,692	25,302	26.4%	Runoff
					Nolle	Rick	Businessman	No	4	5,704	25,302	22.5%	No
					Woo	Darlene	Fiscal Manager	No	4	4,913	25,302	19.4%	No
		11/2/2010	DIRECTOR, Lake of the Pines Ranchos CSD	Full	Mazur	Irving "Irv"	Retired Salesman	No	3	137	356	38.5%	Yes
Fitzgerald	Paul Dan				Retired Business Owner	No	3	128	356	36.0%	Yes		
Seeley	Benton L.				No Ballot Designation	No	3	91	356	25.6%	No		
TAX COLLECTOR/TREASURER		Full	Vernon	Tina M.	Nevada County Budget Analyst	No	2	19,900	38,354	51.9%	Yes		
			Meagher	Dai	Certified Public Accountant	No	2	18,454	38,354	48.1%	No		
ORANGE	6/8/2010	ASSESSOR		Full	Guillory	Webster J.	Orange County Assessor	Yes	2	203,814	381,723	53.4%	Yes
					Parrish	Claude	Businessman/Tax Consultant	No	2	177,909	381,723	46.6%	No
		AUDITOR/CONTROLLER		Full	Sundstrom	David E.	Incumbent	Yes	1	313,754	313,754	100.0%	Yes
		CLERK/RECORDER		Full	Daly	Tom	County Clerk-Recorder	Yes	2	286,286	383,997	74.6%	Yes
					Nguyen	Hugh	Assistant Clerk	No	2	97,711	383,997	25.4%	No
		CORONER/SHERIFF		Full	Hutchens	Sandra	Appointed Orange County Sheriff-Coroner	Yes	3	221,886	421,395	52.7%	Yes
					Hunt	Bill	Investigations Business Owner	No	3	115,567	421,395	27.4%	No
					Hunter	Craig	Deputy Police Chief	No	3	83,942	421,395	19.9%	No
COUNTY SUPERVISOR		2	Full	Moorlach	John M. W.	Orange County Supervisor	Yes	1	86,265	86,265	100.0%	Yes	

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2010

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DIS- TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM- BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED	
ORANGE (continued)	6/8/2010	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	4	Full	Nelson	Shawn	Businessman/Councilman	Yes	6	18,739	61,670	30.4%	Runoff	
					Sidhu	Harry	Councilmember/Business Owner	No	6	11,421	61,670	18.5%	Runoff	
					Galloway	Lorri	Councilmember/Shelter Director	No	6	10,035	61,670	16.3%	No	
					Brown	Art	Mayor, Buena Park	No	6	9,986	61,670	16.2%	No	
					Espinoza	Rose Marie	Councilmember/Education Director	No	6	7,616	61,670	12.3%	No	
					Faher	Richard	Businessman/Software Consultant	No	6	3,873	61,670	6.3%	No	
				5	Full	Bates	Patricia C. "Pat"	Orange County Supervisor, District 5	Yes	1	83,648	83,648	100.0%	Yes
			DISTRICT ATTORNEY		Full	Rackauckas	Tony	District Attorney of Orange County	Yes	1	336,328	336,328	100.0%	Yes
			PUBLIC ADMINISTRATOR		Full	Williams	John S.	Public Administrator of Orange County	Yes	4	204,175	349,456	58.4%	Yes
				Callahan	Colleen	Superior Court Clerk	No	4	82,475	349,456	23.6%	No		
				Rocco	Steve	Retired Educator/Caregiver	No	4	39,381	349,456	11.3%	No		
				Vann	Kevin	Deputy Public Guardian	No	4	23,425	349,456	6.7%	No		
			SUPERINTENDENT OF SCHOOLS		Full	Habermehl	William M.	County Superintendent of Schools	Yes	1	309,894	309,894	100.0%	Yes
			SUPERIOR JUDGE	2	Full	Steiner	Scott	Deputy District Attorney	No	1	327,196	327,196	100.0%	Yes
				13	Full	Dourbetas	Nick	Deputy District Attorney	No	1	320,046	320,114	100.0%	Yes
				16	Full	Manssourian	Andy	Deputy District Attorney	No	2	207,732	361,620	57.4%	Yes
						Klein	Gerald L.	Attorney/Law Professor	No	2	153,888	361,620	42.6%	No
				39	Full	Pham	Cheri	Deputy District Attorney	No	1	311,994	311,994	100.0%	Yes
				50	Full	Hurwitz	Lon	Superior Court Commissioner	No	2	187,543	361,228	51.9%	Yes
			Bailey			Julian W.	Juvenile Court Referee in The Superior Crt.	No	2	173,685	361,228	48.1%	No	
		TAX COLLECTOR/TREASURER		Full	Rodenhuis	Keith	Deputy Treasurer, County of Orange	No	4	133,085	369,905	36.0%	Runoff	
			Freidenrich	Shari L.	Treasurer, City of Huntington Beach	Yes	4	123,393	369,905	33.4%	Runoff			
			Lang	David B.	Trustee, South Orange County CCD	No	4	63,392	369,905	17.1%	No			
			Desmond	Patrick "Pat"	Auditor/College Professor	No	4	50,035	369,905	13.5%	No			
	11/2/2010	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	4	Full	Nelson	Shawn	Orange County Supervisor/Businessman	Yes	2	69,363	109,895	63.1%	Yes	
						Sidhu	Harry	Councilmember/Business Owner	No	2	40,532	109,895	36.9%	No
			DIRECTOR, Emerald Bay CSD	Full	Ueberroth	Keri	Business Owner	No	6	478	1,979	24.2%	Yes	
					McDermott	John A.	Incumbent	Yes	6	441	1,979	22.3%	Yes	
					Collins	John J.	Appointed Incumbent	No	6	440	1,979	22.2%	Yes	
					Collisson	Pete	Incumbent	Yes	6	227	1,979	11.5%	No	
					McCarter	Scott	Financial Advisor	No	6	202	1,979	10.2%	No	
					Rabago	Steven	Business Executive	No	6	191	1,979	9.7%	No	

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2010

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM- BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED
ORANGE (continued)	11/2/2010	DIRECTOR, Surfside Colony CSD		Full	Kriss	V. John	No Ballot Designation	Yes	5	126	500	25.2%	Yes
					Karich	Donald	Director, Surfside Colony CSD	Yes	5	122	500	24.4%	Yes
					Straight	Nora	No Ballot Designation	No	5	104	500	20.8%	Yes
					Nowak	Dan	Real Estate Developer	No	5	82	500	16.4%	Yes
					Cahoon	John	R.E. Broker/Businessman	No	5	66	500	13.2%	No
		TAX COLLECTOR/TREASURER	Full	Freidenrich	Shari L.	City Treasurer/CPA	Yes	2	367,128	672,302	54.6%	Yes	
Rodenhuis	Keith	Deputy Treasurer, County of Orange		No	2	305,174	672,302	45.4%	No				
PLACER	6/8/2010	ASSESSOR		Full	Spears	Kristen B.	Appointed Placer County Assessor	No	1	64,046	64,688	99.0%	Yes
		AUDITOR/CONTROLLER		Full	Martinis	Katherine	Placer County Auditor-Controller	Yes	2	43,601	70,766	61.6%	Yes
					Tanner	Casey	Certified Public Accountant	No	2	26,935	70,766	38.1%	No
		CLERK/RECORDER/REGISTRAR		Full	McCauley	Jim	County Clerk-Recorder-Registrar of Voters	Yes	1	66,122	66,594	99.3%	Yes
		CORONER/MARSHAL/SHERIFF		Full	Bonner	Edward N.	Placer County Sheriff-Coroner-Marshal	Yes	1	67,468	68,082	99.1%	Yes
		COUNTY SUPERVISOR	1	Full	Duran	Jack	Trustee, Roseville High School District	No	2	9,257	16,086	57.5%	Yes
					Rockholm	Rocky	Placer County Supervisor, District 1	Yes	2	6,788	16,086	42.2%	No
					Weygandt	Robert M.	Placer County Supervisor, District 2	Yes	1	18,505	18,723	98.8%	Yes
		DISTRICT ATTORNEY		Full	Owens	Ronald Scott	Placer County Assistant DA	No	1	64,074	64,688	99.1%	Yes
		SUPERINTENDENT OF SCHOOLS		Full	Garbolino-Mojica	G.	Incumbent	Yes	1	60,334	61,976	97.4%	Yes
		SUPERIOR JUDGE	1	Full	Gaddis	Larry D.	Placer County Superior Court Judge	Yes	2	52,891	72,907	72.5%	Yes
					Litchfield	Robert L.	Attorney/Author/Teacher	No	2	19,753	72,907	27.1%	No
		TAX COLLECTOR/TREASURER		Full	Windeshausen	Jenine	Placer County Treasurer-Tax Collector	Yes	1	66,031	66,573	99.2%	Yes
		11/2/2010	DIRECTOR, Heather Glen CSD		Full	Healy	Bob	Incumbent	Yes	4	74	217	34.1%
Bundesen	Gary					Retired Truckdriver	Yes	4	67	217	30.9%	Yes	
Lyon	Jack Clair					No Ballot Designation	Yes	4	44	217	20.3%	Yes	
Naus	B. Mike					Retired Salesperson	No	4	32	217	14.7%	No	
PLUMAS	6/8/2010	ASSESSOR		Full	Leonhardt	Charles W.	Plumas County Assessor	Yes	2	4,997	6,581	75.9%	Yes
					Gardner	Mike	Real Estate Broker	No	2	1,572	6,581	23.9%	No
		AUDITOR/CONTROLLER		Full	Montgomery	Shawn M.	Auditor/Controller	Yes	1	5,427	5,530	98.1%	Yes
		CLERK/RECORDER		Full	Williams	Kathy	Plumas County Clerk-Recorder	Yes	1	5,909	5,933	99.6%	Yes
		COUNTY SUPERVISOR	3	Full	Thrall	S. "Sherrie"	Plumas County Supervisor	Yes	1	1,000	1,013	98.7%	Yes
					Lundy	Dick	Civil Engineer	No	3	943	1,925	49.0%	Runoff
						Kennedy	Jon	Bank Manager/Businessman	No	3	841	1,925	43.7%
		Wittick	Ralph	Realtor	No	3	136	1,925	7.1%	No			
		DISTRICT ATTORNEY		Full	Hollister	David	Deputy District Attorney	No	1	5,527	5,591	98.9%	Yes
		SHERIFF	Full	Hagwood	Gregory J.	Appointed Incumbent	No	2	5,428	6,635	81.8%	Yes	
Shipp	Robert W.			Retired Deputy Sheriff	No	2	1,182	6,635	17.8%	No			

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2010

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DIS- TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM- BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED
PLUMAS (continued)	6/8/2010	SUPERINTENDENT OF SCHOOLS		Full	Harris	Glenn R.	No Ballot Designation	Yes	1	4,971	5,203	95.5%	Yes
		TAX COLLECTOR/TREASURER		Full	White	Julie A.	Assistant Tax Collector	No	1	5,496	5,528	99.4%	Yes
	11/2/2010	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	5	Full	Kennedy Lundy	Jon Dick	Businessman/Commercial Mediator Civil Engineer	No No	2 2	1,267 1,150	2,421 2,421	52.3% 47.5%	Yes No
RIVERSIDE	6/8/2010	ASSESSOR/CLERK/RECORDER		Full	Ward	Larry W.	Assessor/County Clerk/ Recorder	Yes	1	187,336	187,336	100.0%	Yes
		AUDITOR		Full	Chand	Ivan M.	District Finance Director	No	3	84,534	190,726	44.3%	Runoff
					Full	Angulo	Paul	Certified Public Accountant	No	3	53,621	190,726	28.1%
				Full	Quinones	Justine K.	Certified Public Accountant	No	3	52,571	190,726	27.6%	No
	CORONER/PUBLIC ADMIN./SHERIFF		Full	Sniff	Stan		Riverside County Sheriff, Appointed	No	2	139,869	213,566	65.5%	Yes
			Full	Robles	Frank		Retired Chief Deputy	No	2	73,697	213,566	34.5%	No
	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	2	Full	Tavaglione	John F.		County Supervisor/Businessman	Yes	2	25,122	34,666	72.5%	Yes
				Higgins	Herb		Safety Director/Trainer	No	2	9,544	34,666	27.5%	No
		4	Full	Benoit	John J.		County Supervisor, Appointed	No	2	27,975	49,657	56.3%	Yes
				Jeandron	Gary		Teacher	No	2	21,682	49,657	43.7%	No
		5	Full	Ashley	Marion		Riverside County Supervisor	Yes	1	29,783	29,783	100.0%	Yes
	DISTRICT ATTORNEY		Full	Zellerbach	Paul E.		Judge, Riverside County Superior Court	No	2	111,740	215,013	52.0%	Yes
				Pacheco	Rod		Riverside County District Attorney	Yes	2	103,273	215,013	48.0%	No
	SUPERINTENDENT OF SCHOOLS		Full	Young	Kenneth M.		Riverside Co. of Sup. of Schools, Appointed	No	3	103,086	199,406	51.7%	Yes
				Kinnear	Dale		High School Principal	No	3	74,375	199,406	37.3%	No
				Murray	Arthur		Retired District Administrator	No	3	21,945	199,406	11.0%	No
	SUPERIOR JUDGE	21	Full	Counelis	Steve		Deputy District Attorney	No	2	113,671	201,518	56.4%	Yes
				Marquez	Raquel		Deputy District Attorney	No	2	87,847	201,518	43.6%	No
	TAX COLLECTOR/TREASURER		Full	Kent	Don		Riverside County Treasurer, Appointed	No	1	187,951	187,951	100.0%	Yes
	11/2/2010	AUDITOR	Full	Angulo	Paul		Certified Public Accountant	No	2	216,985	375,664	57.8%	Yes
Chand				Ivan M.		District Finance Director	No	2	158,679	375,664	42.2%	No	
DIRECTOR, Jurupa CSD	1	Full	McLaughlin	Kenneth James		Director, Jurupa CSD	Yes	2	9,272	14,646	63.3%	Yes	
			Riddle	Larry		Director/Rodeo Chairman	No	2	5,374	14,646	36.7%	No	
	3	Full	Craig	Robert "Bob"		Retired Firefighter	No	2	9,601	14,592	65.8%	Yes	
			Berkson	Brian Scott		Businessman/Retired Plumber	No	2	4,991	14,592	34.2%	No	
	5	Full	Anderson	Jane F.		Director, Jurupa CSD	Yes	2	9,974	13,940	71.5%	Yes	
			Shah	Umesh		Civil Engineer	No	2	3,966	13,940	28.5%	No	
DIRECTOR, Rubidoux CSD	Full	Wilson	Ruth Anderson		Incumbent	Yes	3	1,823	4,515	40.4%	Yes		
		Harris	Carl E.		Businessman	No	3	1,664	4,515	36.9%	Yes		
		Skerbelis	John		Incumbent	Yes	3	1,028	4,515	22.8%	No		

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2010

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN-CUM-BENT	NUMBER OF CAN-DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN-DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER-CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED
SACRAMENTO	6/8/2010	ASSESSOR		Full	Kelleher	Kathleen E.	Sacramento County Assistant Assessor	Yes	3	127,119	196,327	64.7%	Yes
					Benson	David A.	Small Businessowner	No	3	40,910	196,327	20.8%	No
					Pennington	Margaret	Tax Consultant	No	3	27,844	196,327	14.2%	No
		COUNTY SUPERVISOR	1	Full	Serna	Phil	Small Business Owner	Yes	2	23,724	33,379	71.1%	Yes
					Weber	Keith	Community Business Advisor	No	2	9,503	33,379	28.5%	No
			2	Full	Yee	Jimmie R.	Sacramento County Supervisor	Yes	2	27,064	34,565	78.3%	Yes
					Kemp	Raymond	Businessman	No	2	7,391	34,565	21.4%	No
			5	Full	Nottoli	Don	Mbr, Brd of Supervisors, Sacramento Co.	Yes	2	34,669	49,371	70.2%	Yes
		DISTRICT ATTORNEY	Full	Scully	Jan	District Attorney	Yes	2	164,686	207,221	79.5%	Yes	
				Engel	Julius M.	Attorney-at-Law	No	2	41,933	207,221	20.2%	No	
	SHERIFF	Full	Jones	Scott	Sacramento County Sheriff's Captain	Yes	3	101,217	219,999	46.0%	Runoff		
			Cooper	Jim	Sheriff's Captain	No	3	90,548	219,999	41.2%	Runoff		
			Daniels	Bret	Public Safety Director	No	3	25,496	219,999	11.6%	No		
	11/2/2010	DIRECTOR, Cosumnes CSD	Full	Brewer	Rod	Youth Sports Coach	Yes	4	17,834	58,746	30.4%	Yes	
				Lozano	Richard "Rich"	Police Sergeant	Yes	4	16,946	58,746	28.8%	Yes	
				McElroy	Douglas	Retired Budget Officer	No	4	16,762	58,746	28.5%	No	
				Loveland	Cliff	Retired Research Manager	No	4	7,052	58,746	12.0%	No	
		SHERIFF	Full	Jones	Scott	Sacramento County Sheriff's Captain	Yes	2	181,614	360,660	50.4%	Yes	
				Cooper	Jim	Sheriff's Captain	No	2	177,954	360,660	49.3%	No	
SAN BENITO	6/8/2010	ASSESSOR	Full	Slavich	Tom	Incumbent	Yes	1	8,494	8,685	97.8%	Yes	
		AUDITOR/CLERK/RECORDER	Full	Gonzalez	Joe Paul	Incumbent	Yes	1	8,486	8,674	97.8%	Yes	
		CORONER/SHERIFF	Full	Turturici	Pat	San Benito County Undersheriff	No	4	5,006	10,702	46.8%	Runoff	
				Thompson	Darren	Law Enforcement Lieutenant	No	4	2,696	10,702	25.2%	Runoff	
				Wood	Ray	Law Enforcement Sergeant	No	4	1,895	10,702	17.7%	No	
				Scattini	Robert D.	San Benito Co. Marshal	No	4	1,077	10,702	10.1%	No	
		COUNTY SUPERVISOR	3	Full	Rivas	Robert	Senior Clerk/Firefighter	No	2	1,392	1,899	73.3%	Yes
					Loe	Pat	Incumbent	Yes	2	501	1,899	26.4%	No
			4	Full	Fortino	Phil	Businessman	No	3	1,421	3,223	44.1%	Runoff
				Muenzer	Jerry	Businessman	No	3	1,292	3,223	40.1%	Runoff	
		Monaco	Reb	Incumbent	Yes	3	492	3,223	15.3%	No			
DISTRICT ATTORNEY	Full	Mancino	Candice Hooper	Incumbent	Yes	1	8,042	8,306	96.8%	Yes			
PUBLIC ADMIN./TAX COLL./TREASURER	Full	Andrade	Mary Lou Sanchez	Incumbent	Yes	1	8,775	8,967	97.9%	Yes			
SUPERINTENDENT OF SCHOOLS	Full	Sanchez	Mike	Appointed Incumbent	No	1	8,577	8,762	97.9%	Yes			

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2010

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN-CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN-DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN-DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER-CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED		
SAN BENITO (continued)	11/2/2010	CORONER/SHERIFF		Full	Thompson	Darren	Law Enforcement Lieutenant	No	2	8,783	15,376	57.1%	Yes		
					Turturici	Pat	San Benito County Undersheriff	No	2	6,524	15,376	42.4%	No		
		COUNTY SUPERVISOR	4	Full	Muenzer	Jerry	Businessman	No	2	2,200	4,299	51.2%	Yes		
					Fortino	Phil	Businessman	No	2	2,085	4,299	48.5%	No		
SAN BERNARDINO	6/8/2010	ASSESSOR/RECORDER		Full	Draeger	Dennis	Assessor, Appointed	Yes	2	129,447	176,349	73.4%	Yes		
					Palazzo	Alfred Castorena	Retired Property Appraiser	No	2	46,902	176,349	26.6%	No		
		AUDITOR/CONT./TAX COLL./TREASURER		Full	Walker	Larry	Aud.-Controller/Rec./Treas./Tax Collector	Yes	2	128,033	180,478	70.9%	Yes		
					Mason	Ensen	Business Owner/Accountant	No	2	52,445	180,478	29.1%	No		
		CORONER/PUBLIC ADMIN./SHERIFF		Full	Hoops	Rod	Sheriff/Coroner/Public Admin. Appointed	Yes	3	119,835	179,222	66.9%	Yes		
					Schrader	Paul	Deputy Sheriff	No	3	38,551	179,222	21.5%	No		
					Averbeck	Mark	Deputy Sheriff	No	3	20,836	179,222	11.6%	No		
		COUNTY SUPERVISOR			2	Full	Rutherford	Janice	Councilwoman/Taxpayer Liaison	No	6	44,166	99,391	44.4%	Runoff
							Biane	Paul	Businessman/County Supervisor	Yes	6	41,086	99,391	41.3%	Runoff
							Bustamonte	Arthur R.	Brd Member, Chaffey Joint Union High SD	No	6	7,052	99,391	7.1%	No
							Warner	Greg	Businessman/Publisher	No	6	3,074	99,391	3.1%	No
							Markovich	Peter Scott	Contractor/School Trustee	No	6	2,289	99,391	2.3%	No
							Labadie	Dennis H.	Newspaper Publisher/Editor	No	6	1,724	99,391	1.7%	No
							Ovitt	Gary C.	Member, San Bernardino Co. Board of Sup.	Yes	3	18,134	27,595	65.7%	Yes
							Wall	Ron	Healthcare Business Owner	No	3	5,845	27,595	21.2%	No
							Galvez	Richard	Paralegal/Business Owner	No	3	3,616	27,595	13.1%	No
							DISTRICT ATTORNEY		Full	Ramos	Michael A.	District Attorney	Yes	3	98,941
		Conaway	Bob	Private Attorney	No	3				48,999	183,168	26.8%	No		
		Guzman	Frank H.	Businessman/Attorney	No	3				35,228	183,168	19.2%	No		
		SUPERINTENDENT OF SCHOOLS		Full	Thomas	Gary S.	San Bernardino Co. Sup. of Schools, Aprnt.	Yes	3	107,799	177,556	60.7%	Yes		
					Delgado	Art	Superintendent City Schools	No	3	39,196	177,556	22.1%	No		
					Ramirez-Dean	Rita	CCD Governing Board Member	No	3	30,561	177,556	17.2%	No		
SUPERIOR JUDGE			11	Full	Hosking	James J.	Criminal Prosecutor	No	2	120,816	183,001	66.0%	Yes		
					Lemkau	Robert	Superior Court Judge	Yes	2	62,185	183,001	34.0%	No		
			29	Full	Stull	Victor R.	Supervising District Attorney	No	2	99,154	172,338	57.5%	Yes		
					Montgomery	Edmund L.	Family/Civil Attorney	No	2	73,184	172,338	42.5%	No		
			31	Full	Poncin	Lynn M.	Deputy District Attorney	No	1	152,066	152,066	100.0%	Yes		
			11/2/2010	COUNTY SUPERVISOR		2	Full	Rutherford	Janice	Councilwoman/Taxpayer Liaison	No	2	44,166	85,252	51.8%
Biane	Paul	Businessman/County Supervisor						Yes	2	41,086	85,252	48.2%	No		

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2010

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DIS- TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM- BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED		
SAN BERNARDINO (continued)	11/2/2010	DIRECTOR, Big Bear City CSD		Full	Newsome	Jeff	Highway Patrol Sergeant	No	6	1,954	8,478	23.0%	Yes		
					Green	John	Wastewater Collector Technician	No	6	1,685	8,478	19.9%	Yes		
					Smith	James	Small Business Owner	No	6	1,592	8,478	18.8%	Yes		
					Proffer	Denise	Retired	No	6	1,261	8,478	14.9%	No		
					Day	John C.	Incumbent	Yes	6	1,117	8,478	13.2%	No		
					McDonald	Marge	Incumbent	Yes	6	869	8,478	10.3%	No		
		DIRECTOR, Big River CSD	Full	Terry	Paul E.	Retired Accountant	No	3	1,220	3,241	37.6%	Yes			
				Miller	Patricia M.	No Ballot Designation	No	3	1,011	3,241	31.2%	No			
				Ybarra	Bob	Inside Salesman	No	3	1,010	3,241	31.2%	No			
		DIRECTOR, Yermo CSD	Full	Conaway	Terry	Incumbent	Yes	3	173	396	43.7%	Yes			
				McMenamon-Sandis	Judy	Incumbent	Yes	3	130	396	32.8%	Yes			
				Halik	Jennifer	Insurance Agent	No	3	93	396	23.5%	No			
		SAN DIEGO	6/8/2010	ASSESSOR/CLERK/RECORDER		Full	Butler	David L.	Appointed Assessor/Recorder/County Clerk	No	4	153,375	421,306	36.4%	Runoff
							Dronenburg	Ernest J. "Ernie"	Taxpayer's Advocate	No	4	147,891	421,306	35.1%	Runoff
							Olson	Jeff	Chief, Assessment Services	No	4	72,941	421,306	17.3%	No
Johnson	Howard						CEO/Treasurer/Entrepreneur	No	4	47,099	421,306	11.2%	No		
COUNTY SUPERVISOR	4						Full	Roberts	Ron	San Diego County Supervisor	Yes	5	38,522	81,074	47.5%
		Whitburn	Stephen	Community Health Educator	No	5		18,124	81,074	22.4%	Runoff				
		Jackson	Shelia L.	San Diego Unified School Board Trustee	No	5		14,689	81,074	18.1%	No				
		Moody	Margaret	Retired School Teacher	No	5		6,209	81,074	7.7%	No				
		Del Rio	Juan	Housing Counselor	No	5		3,530	81,074	4.4%	No				
	5	Full	Horn	Bill	San Diego County Supervisor/Rancher	Yes	5	46,943	98,985	47.4%	Runoff				
			Gronke	Steve	Vista Councilmember/Teacher	No	5	20,908	98,985	21.1%	Runoff				
			Bumgardner	Tom	Farmer	No	5	13,583	98,985	13.7%	No				
			Van Doorn	John	Engineer	No	5	12,745	98,985	12.9%	No				
			Marchi	Fabio	Engineer/Broker/Contractor	No	5	4,806	98,985	4.9%	No				
DISTRICT ATTORNEY	Full	Dumanis	Bonnie	District Attorney	Yes	1	380,089	380,089	100.0%	Yes					
SHERIFF	Full	Gore	Bill	San Diego County Sheriff, Appointed	No	3	264,813	471,228	56.2%	Yes					
		La Suer	Jay	Retired Undersheriff, San Diego	No	3	108,650	471,228	23.1%	No					
		Duffy	Jim	Chief of Staff	No	3	97,765	471,228	20.7%	No					

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2010

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM- BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED
SAN DIEGO (continued)	6/8/2010	SUPERIOR JUDGE	14	Full	Lewis	Lantz	Judge of the Superior Court	Yes	2	267,098	418,164	63.9%	Yes
					Candelore	Craig A.	Attorney Business Owner	No	2	151,066	418,164	36.1%	No
			20	Full	Monroy	Richard R.	Deputy District Attorney	Yes	3	159,901	411,118	38.9%	Runoff
					Miller, Jr.	Jim	Attorney/Business Owner	No	3	138,274	411,118	33.6%	Runoff
					Clark	Stephen P.	Retired Federal Prosecutor	No	3	112,943	411,118	27.5%	No
			21	Full	Longstreth	Robert C.	Superior Court Judge	Yes	2	264,912	411,023	64.5%	Yes
					Trask	Bill	Attorney/General Counsel	No	2	146,111	411,023	35.5%	No
			27	Full	Salcido	De Ann	Superior Court Judge	Yes	2	238,214	404,004	59.0%	Yes
					Coleman, Jr.	Harold	Arbitrator/Mediator/Educator	No	2	165,790	404,004	41.0%	No
	34	Full	Wohlfeil	Joel R.	Superior Court Judge	Yes	2	255,707	408,844	62.5%	Yes		
			Kincaid	Larry Jake	Attorney/Educator	No	2	153,137	408,844	37.5%	No		
	TAX COLLECTOR/TREASURER	Full	McAllister	Dan	San Diego County Treasurer-Tax Collector	Yes	2	341,785	436,822	78.2%	Yes		
			Betts	William F.	Internal Auditor	No	2	95,037	436,822	21.8%	No		
	11/2/2010	ASSESSOR/CLERK/RECORDER	Full	Dronenburg	Ernest J. "Ernie"	Taxpayer's Advocate	No	2	352,317	688,318	51.2%	Yes	
				Butler	David L.	San Diego Co. Assessor/Rec./Clerk, Apnt.	No	2	336,001	688,318	48.8%	No	
COUNTY SUPERVISOR	4	Full	Roberts	Ron	San Diego County Supervisor	Yes	2	78,541	138,150	56.9%	Yes		
			Whitburn	Stephen	Community Health Educator	No	2	59,609	138,150	43.1%	No		
	5	Full	Horn	Bill	San Diego County Supervisor/Rancher	Yes	2	86,102	162,087	53.1%	Yes		
			Gronke	Steve	City of Vista Councilman/Teacher	No	2	75,985	162,087	46.9%	No		
DIRECTOR, Rancho Santa Fe CSD	Full	Tanner	John	Board of Directors, Rancho Santa Fe CSD	Yes	4	1,849	5,710	32.4%	Yes			
		Ferrier	Donna D.	Member, Board of Directors	Yes	4	1,583	5,710	27.7%	Yes			
		Nelson	Dale A.	Member, Board of Directors	Yes	4	1,222	5,710	21.4%	Yes			
		Lemarie	Laurel	Community Volunteer	No	4	1,056	5,710	18.5%	No			
SUPERIOR JUDGE	20	Full	Monroy	Richard R.	Deputy District Attorney	Yes	2	324,369	636,308	51.0%	Yes		
			Miller	Jim	Attorney/Arbitrator	No	2	311,939	636,308	49.0%	No		
SAN FRANCISCO	6/8/2010	SUPERIOR JUDGE	6	Full	Colfax	Linda	Civil Rights Attorney	No	4	59,837	113,480	52.7%	Yes
					Dorfman	Harry	Assistant District Attorney	No	4	34,952	113,480	30.8%	No
					McLeod	Roderick A.	Trial Attorney	No	4	10,233	113,480	9.0%	No
					Relana	Robert	Litigation Attorney	No	4	7,936	113,480	7.0%	No
			15	Full	Nava	Michael	Judicial Staff Attorney	No	3	50,808	110,772	45.9%	Yes
					Ulmer, Jr.	Richard B.	Judge, Superior Court	Yes	3	47,010	110,772	42.4%	No
					Dean	Daniel P.	Attorney	No	3	12,285	110,772	11.1%	No
	11/2/2010	ASSESSOR/RECORDER	Full	Ting	Phil	Assessor-Recorder	Yes	2	175,388	220,105	79.7%	Yes	
				Pan	James	Real Property Appraiser	No	2	43,961	220,105	20.0%	No	

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2010

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED
SAN FRANCISCO (continued)	11/2/2010	COUNTY SUPERVISOR ^{RCV}	2	Full	Reilly	Janet	Director Transportation District	No	6	9,898	24,094	41.1%	No
					Farrell	Mark	Small Business Owner	No	6	9,700	24,094	40.3%	Yes
					Simmons	Abraham	Assistant U.S. Attorney	No	6	2,074	24,094	8.6%	No
					Anderson	Kat	Employment Lawyer/Mother	No	6	1,707	24,094	7.1%	No
					Berwick	Barbara	Business Woman	No	6	537	24,094	2.2%	No
					Guinto Peoro	Vilma B.	Retired Business Manager	No	6	160	24,094	0.7%	No
			4	Full	Chu	Carmen	Member, Board of Supervisors	Yes	1	16,931	17,179	98.6%	Yes
			6	Full	Kim	Jane	President, School Board	No	14	6,621	21,081	31.4%	Yes
					Walker	Debra	Artist/Business Owner	No	14	5,669	21,081	26.9%	No
					Sparks	Theresa	Human Rights Director	No	14	3,605	21,081	17.1%	No
					Keys	James	Health Program Director	No	14	960	21,081	4.6%	No
					Drake	Matt	Technology Attorney	No	14	947	21,081	4.5%	No
					Hyde	Glendon	Community Volunteer	No	14	687	21,081	3.3%	No
					Zamora	Elaine	Attorney	No	14	637	21,081	3.0%	No
					Clark	Dean	Teacher/Business Owner	No	14	602	21,081	2.9%	No
					Meko	Jim	Print Shop Owner	No	14	407	21,081	1.9%	No
					Vazhappally	George	Small Business Owner	No	14	242	21,081	1.1%	No
					Ashe	Matt	Union Stagehand	No	14	185	21,081	0.9%	No
					Brown	H.	Retired Teacher	No	14	182	21,081	0.9%	No
			Davis	George	Writer	No	14	158	21,081	0.7%	No		
			Payne	Fortunate "Nate"	Small Business Owner	No	14	150	21,081	0.7%	No		
			8	Full	Weiner	Scott	Deputy City Attorney	No	4	14,813	34,950	42.4%	Yes
					Mandelman	Rafael	Affordable Housing Attorney	No	4	12,433	34,950	35.6%	No
					Prozan	Rebecca	Assistant District Attorney	No	4	5,872	34,950	16.8%	No
					Hemenger	Bill	Businessman	No	4	1,802	34,950	5.2%	No

^{RCV}Rank choice voting contest. The elected outcome reflects the result of the rank choice process.

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2010

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM- BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED	
SAN FRANCISCO (continued)	11/2/2010	COUNTY SUPERVISOR ^{RCV}	10	Full	Sweet	Lynette	BART Director	No	21	2,150	17,808	12.1%	No	
					Cohen	Malia	Small Business Owner	No	21	2,097	17,808	11.8%	Yes	
					Kelly	Tony	Art Director	No	21	2,102	17,808	11.8%	No	
					Tran	Marlene	Retired Teacher	No	21	2,049	17,808	11.5%	No	
					Moss	Steve	Nonprofit Environmental Director	No	21	1,969	17,808	11.1%	No	
					Duque	Teresa	Community Volunteer	No	21	1,441	17,808	8.1%	No	
					Lacy	DeWitt M.	Civil Rights Attorney	No	21	1,274	17,808	7.2%	No	
					Jackson	Chris	Memer, Community College Board	No	21	1,088	17,808	6.1%	No	
					Enea	Kristine	Lawyer/Businesswoman/Filmmaker	No	21	544	17,808	3.1%	No	
					Smith	Eric	Nonprofit Executive Director	No	21	448	17,808	2.5%	No	
					Calloway	James M.	Educator	No	21	417	17,808	2.3%	No	
					Smith	Diane Wesley	Small Business Owner	No	21	399	17,808	2.2%	No	
					Morris	Geoffrea	Social Worker	No	21	333	17,808	1.9%	No	
					Weber	Stephen	No Ballot Designation	No	21	311	17,808	1.7%	No	
					Rhodes	Ashley H.	Business Owner	No	21	260	17,808	1.5%	No	
					Hampton, Jr.	Rodney	Job Developer	No	21	237	17,808	1.3%	No	
					Donaldson	Ed	Community Development Director	No	21	202	17,808	1.1%	No	
					Norman	Jackie	Small Business Owner	No	21	186	17,808	1.0%	No	
					Joshua	Nyese	Mother	No	21	135	17,808	0.8%	No	
					Franklin	M.J. Marie	Community Volunteer	No	21	81	17,808	0.5%	No	
Jennison	Ellsworth "Ell"	Carpenter	No	21	68	17,808	0.4%	No						
		PUBLIC DEFENDER		Full	Adachi	Jeff	Public Defender	Yes	1	199,502	201,830	98.8%	Yes	
SAN JOAQUIN	6/8/2010	ASSESSOR/CLERK/RECORDER		Full	Blakemore	Kenneth	Appointed Assessor-Recorder-County Clerk	Yes	1	70,826	71,470	99.1%	Yes	
		AUDITOR/CONTROLLER		Full	Van Houten	Adrian	Auditor-Controller	Yes	1	71,219	71,881	99.1%	Yes	
		CORONER/PUBLIC ADMIN./SHERIFF		Full	Moore	Steve	Sheriff-Coroner-Public Administrator	Yes	2	59,125	82,349	71.8%	Yes	
					Moreno	Robert "Bob"	Retired Lieutenant/Businessman	No	2	23,010	82,349	27.9%	No	
		COUNTY SUPERVISOR		2	Full	Ruhstaller	Larry	County Supervisor/Businessman	Yes	1	12,375	12,532	98.7%	Yes
				4	Full	Vogel	Ken	County Supervisor/Farmer	Yes	1	21,548	21,744	99.1%	Yes
		DISTRICT ATTORNEY		Full	Willett	Jim	District Attorney	Yes	1	71,365	72,209	98.8%	Yes	
		SUPERINTENDENT OF SCHOOLS		Full	Founts	Mick	SJCOE Deputy Superintendent	Yes	1	69,860	70,905	98.5%	Yes	
TAX COLLECTOR/TREASURER		Full	Khan	Shabbir A.	Treasurer-Tax Collector	Yes	1	67,483	68,608	98.4%	Yes			

^{RCV} Rank choice voting contest. The elected outcome reflects the result of the rank choice process.

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2010

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM- BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED
SAN JOAQUIN (continued)	11/2/2010	DIRECTOR, Mountain House CSD		Full	Farron	Celeste	Community Volunteer	Yes	4	849	2,771	30.6%	Yes
					Singh	Jass	Entrepreneur/Business Executive	Yes	4	739	2,771	26.7%	Yes
					Klinkner	Michael	Retired City Superintendent	No	4	700	2,771	25.3%	No
					Dighe	Rajesh	Software Technologist	No	4	458	2,771	16.5%	No
SAN LUIS OBISPO	6/8/2010	ASSESSOR		Full	Bordonaro, Jr.	Tom J.	San Luis Obispo County Assessor	Yes	1	55,317	56,169	98.5%	Yes
		AUDITOR/CONTROLLER		Full	Sibbach	Gere W.	SLO County Auditor-Controller	Yes	1	53,729	54,121	99.3%	Yes
		CLERK/RECORDER		Full	Rodewald	Julie L.	County Clerk-Recorder	Yes	1	59,015	59,277	99.6%	Yes
		CORONER/SHERIFF	Full	Parkinson	Ian	Police Captain	No	6	27,360	66,389	41.2%	Runoff	
				Cortez	Joe	Police Chief	No	6	12,020	66,389	18.1%	Runoff	
				Lenthall	Jerry	Retired Police Sergeant	No	6	11,009	66,389	16.6%	No	
				Teixeira	Michael "Tex"	Retired Peace Officer	No	6	6,826	66,389	10.3%	No	
				Hall	Ben	Sheriff's Commander	No	6	4,710	66,389	7.1%	No	
				Adams	Mark J.	Deputy Sheriff	No	6	4,345	66,389	6.5%	No	
		COUNTY SUPERVISOR	2	Full	Gibson	Bruce S.	County Supervisor/Rancher	Yes	2	10,455	15,047	69.5%	Yes
					Ochylski	Marshall	Land Use Attorney	No	2	4,548	15,047	30.2%	No
			4	Full	Zimmerman	Mike	Attorney/Rancher	No	3	5,541	14,672	37.8%	Runoff
					Teixeira	Paul	Businessman/Parks Commissioner	No	3	4,686	14,672	31.9%	Runoff
	Guthrie	Jim			Hotel Manager/Councilman	No	3	4,416	14,672	30.1%	No		
	DISTRICT ATTORNEY	Full	Shea	Gerald T.	San Luis Obispo District Attorney	Yes	1	53,894	54,450	99.0%	Yes		
	PUBLIC ADMIN./TAX COLL./TREASURER	Full	Freitas	Frank L.	Treasurer-Tax Collector-Public Admin.	Yes	1	54,838	55,324	99.1%	Yes		
	SUPERINTENDENT OF SCHOOLS	Full	Crocker	Julian	County Superintendent of Schools	Yes	1	54,413	55,062	98.8%	Yes		
	11/2/2010	CORONER/SHERIFF	Full	Parkinson	Ian	Police Captain	No	2	52,523	95,721	54.9%	Yes	
				Cortez	Joe	Retired Police Chief	No	2	42,826	95,721	44.7%	No	
		COUNTY SUPERVISOR	4	Full	Teixeira	Paul	Businessman/Parks Commissioner	No	2	10,606	20,021	53.0%	Yes
Zimmerman					Michael	Attorney/Rancher	No	2	9,342	20,021	46.7%	No	
DIRECTOR, Cambria CSD		Full	Thompson	Mike	Cambria Business Owner	No	4	1,626	5,895	27.6%	Yes		
			Bahringer	Jim	Businessman/College Professor	No	4	1,490	5,895	25.3%	Yes		
			Bentz	Valerie	University Professor/Author	No	4	1,452	5,895	24.6%	No		
			Farmer	Harry	Gardener/Astrologer	No	4	1,309	5,895	22.2%	No		
DIRECTOR, Los Osos CSD		Full	Moothart	Leondard	Senior Analytical Chemist	No	5	3,464	12,090	28.7%	Yes		
			Vogel	David	Attorney	No	5	2,646	12,090	21.9%	Yes		
	Baltimore		Craig	College Professor	No	5	2,534	12,090	21.0%	Yes			
	Dellagatta		Fred	Mortgage Loan Originator	No	5	1,710	12,090	14.1%	No			
	Malykont		Paul	Retired	No	5	1,601	12,090	13.2%	No			
SAN MATEO	6/8/2010	ASSESSOR/CLERK/RECORDER	Full	Church	Mark	Member, San Mateo County Brd of Sup.	No	1	92,700	92,700	100.0%	Yes	

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2010

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM- BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED	
SAN MATEO (continued)	6/8/2010	CONTROLLER		Full	Huening	Tom	San Mateo County Controller	No	1	91,583	91,583	100.0%	Yes	
		CORONER		Full	Foucrault	Robert J.	Coroner, San Mateo County	Yes	2	67,546	98,394	68.6%	Yes	
						Nevarés	Stacie Lynn	Police Department Analyst	No	2	30,848	98,394	31.4%	No
		COUNTY SUPERVISOR	2	Full	Groom	Carole	Appointed San Mateo County Supervisor	No	2	71,549	94,930	75.4%	Yes	
				Full	Kaul	Daniel D.	Business Owner	No	2	23,381	94,930	24.6%	No	
			3	Full	Horsley	Don	Retired San Mateo County Sheriff	No	5	40,568	103,801	39.1%	Runoff	
				Full	Vargas	April	Small Business Owner	No	5	24,534	103,801	23.6%	Runoff	
				Full	Hickey	John J. "Jack"	Mbr, Sequoia Healthcare Dist. Brd of Dir.	No	5	16,699	103,801	16.1%	No	
				Full	Grocott	Matt	City Council Member	No	5	15,269	103,801	14.7%	No	
				Full	Stogner	Michael G.	Parent	No	5	6,731	103,801	6.5%	No	
		DISTRICT ATTORNEY	Full	Wagstaffe	Stephen	Assistant District Attorney	No	1	92,616	92,616	100.0%	Yes		
		SHERIFF	Full	Munks	Greg	Sheriff	Yes	1	88,078	88,078	100.0%	Yes		
		SUPERINTENDENT OF SCHOOLS	Full	Campbell	Anne	School Superintendent	No	1	88,899	88,899	100.0%	Yes		
		TAX COLLECTOR/TREASURER	Full	Amott	Sandie	Deputy Treasurer	No	4	38,435	101,331	37.9%	Runoff		
				Mandelkern	Dave	Trustee, San Mateo County CCD	No	4	29,375	101,331	29.0%	Runoff		
				Galligan	Joe	Certified Public Accountant	No	4	24,869	101,331	24.5%	No		
				Gulbault	Richard	Registered Investment Advisor	No	4	8,652	101,331	8.5%	No		
		11/2/2010	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	3	Full	Horsley	Don	Retired San Mateo County Sheriff	No	2	98,146	174,021	56.4%	Yes
					Full	Vargas	April	Small Business Owner	No	2	75,875	174,021	43.6%	No
		TAX COLLECTOR/TREASURER	Full	Amott	Sandie	Deputy Treasurer	No	2	83,623	166,143	50.3%	Yes		
Mandelkern	Dave			Trustee, San Mateo County CCD	No	2	82,520	166,143	49.7%	No				
SANTA BARBARA	6/8/2010	ASSESSOR/CLERK/RECORDER		Full	Holland	Joseph E.	County Clerk, Recorder and Assessor	Yes	1	65,625	65,625	100.0%	Yes	
		AUDITOR/CONTROLLER		Full	Geis	Robert W.	Auditor-Controller	Yes	1	63,431	63,431	100.0%	Yes	
		CORONER/SHERIFF		Full	Brown	Bill	Sheriff	Yes	1	65,806	65,806	100.0%	Yes	
		COUNTY SUPERVISOR	2	Full	Wolf	Janet	County Supervisor, Second District	Yes	2	12,526	23,501	53.3%	Yes	
				Full	Secord	Dan B.	Physician/Coastal Commissioner	No	2	10,975	23,501	46.7%	No	
		5	Full	Lavagnino	Steve	Legislative Deputy Director	Yes	2	6,433	10,384	62.0%	Yes		
			Full	Patino	Alice M.	Santa Maria City Councilwoman	No	2	3,951	10,384	38.0%	No		
		DISTRICT ATTORNEY	Full	Dudley	Joyce	Senior Deputy DA	No	2	41,767	76,773	54.4%	Yes		
				Lynn	Josh	Chief Trial Deputy	No	2	35,006	76,773	45.6%	No		
		PUBLIC ADMIN./TAX COLL./TREASURER	Full	Hagen	Harry E.	Assistant County Treasurer	No	4	39,702	67,551	58.8%	Yes		
				Cheng	Michael	Senior AudIT Specialist	No	4	10,579	67,551	15.7%	No		
				Gandrud	Gregory	Accountant/Chief Financial Officer	No	4	10,583	67,551	15.7%	No		
				Holcombe	Polly E.	Businesswoman	No	4	6,687	67,551	9.9%	No		
SUPERINTENDENT OF SCHOOLS	Full	Cirone	Bill	County Superintendent of Schools	Yes	1	64,783	64,783	100.0%	Yes				

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2010

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM- BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED
SANTA BARBARA (continued)	11/2/2010	DIRECTOR, Mission Hills CSD		Full	Jones	Bernard "Beejay"	Aerospace Engineer/Manager	No	3	696	1,868	37.3%	Yes
					MacKenzie	James S.	Incumbent	Yes	3	668	1,868	35.8%	Yes
					Hyman	Kenneth M.	Appointed Incumbent	No	3	504	1,868	27.0%	No
SANTA CLARA	6/8/2010	ASSESSOR		Full	Stone	Larry	County Assessor	Yes	1	224,340	224,340	100.0%	Yes
		COUNTY SUPERVISOR	1	Full	Wasserman	Mike	Councilman/Business Owner	No	5	26,206	61,738	42.4%	Runoff
					Williams	Forrest	Computer Science Engineer	No	5	11,886	61,738	19.3%	Runoff
					Alvarado	Teresa	Foundation Chief Executive	No	5	11,824	61,738	19.2%	No
					Kruse	Tom	Winery Owner/Winegrower	No	5	6,856	61,738	11.1%	No
					Arellano	Peter	Medical Doctor/Councilmember	No	5	4,966	61,738	8.0%	No
			4	Full	Yeager	Ken	Member, Board of Supervisors, District 4	Yes	1	50,492	50,492	100.0%	Yes
		DISTRICT ATTORNEY			Full	Rosen	Jeff	Deputy District Attorney	No	2	131,707	261,195	50.4%
			Carr	Dolores A.		Santa Clara County District Attorney	Yes	2	129,488	261,195	49.6%	No	
		SHERIFF	Full	Smith	Laurie	Sheriff, County of Santa Clara	Yes	3	162,928	260,742	62.5%	Yes	
				Calderon	Richard	Retired Police Captain	No	3	77,701	260,742	29.8%	No	
				Monica	Martin	Community Policing Consultant	No	3	20,113	260,742	7.7%	No	
		SUPERIOR JUDGE	7	Full	McCracken	Joanne	Supervising District Attorney	No	2	193,800	251,438	77.1%	Yes
					Spielbauer	Thomas	Foreclosure Defense Attorney	No	2	57,638	251,438	22.9%	No
			11	Full	Zecher	Vanessa A.	Attorney In Santa Clara County	No	2	154,729	245,562	63.0%	Yes
					Pitsker	Tim	Criminal Prosecutor	No	2	90,833	245,562	37.0%	No
			19	Full	Alloggiamento	Julia	Deputy District Attorney	No	2	137,499	241,987	56.8%	Yes
					Camors	Bob	Attorney In Santa Clara County	No	2	104,488	241,987	43.2%	No
		11/2/2010	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	1	Full	Wasserman	Mike	Councilman/Business Owner	No	2	52,158	90,667	57.5%
	Williams					Forrest	Computer Science Engineer	No	2	38,509	90,667	42.5%	No
SANTA CRUZ	6/8/2010	ASSESSOR/RECORDER		Full	Saldavia	Sean	Appointed Assessor-Recorder	No	1	35,703	36,261	98.5%	Yes
		AUDITOR/CONTROLLER		Full	Walker	Mary Jo	Auditor-Controller	Yes	1	35,866	36,382	98.6%	Yes
		CLERK		Full	Pellerin	Gail L.	County Clerk	Yes	1	37,080	37,552	98.7%	Yes
		CORONER/SHERIFF		Full	Wowak	Phil	Appointed Sheriff-Coroner	No	1	37,013	37,519	98.7%	Yes
		COUNTY SUPERVISOR	3	Full	Coonerty	Neal	County Supervisor	Yes	3	5,755	10,189	56.5%	Yes
					Britton	Cove	Architect/Business Owner	No	3	3,563	10,189	35.0%	No
					Deitch	Douglas	Non-Profit Executive Director	No	3	832	10,189	8.2%	No
		4	Full	Campos	Tony	Incumbent	Yes	3	2,545	5,551	45.8%	Runoff	
				Caput	Greg	City Councilman/Contractor	No	3	1,981	5,551	35.7%	Runoff	
				Martinez	Emilio	Business Consultant/Investigator	No	3	1,004	5,551	18.1%	No	
DISTRICT ATT./PUBLIC ADMINISTRATOR		Full	Lee	Bob	District Attorney-Public Administrator	Yes	1	37,698	38,308	98.4%	Yes		
SUPERINTENDENT OF SCHOOLS		Full	Watkins	Michael	Santa Cruz Co. Sup. of Schools	Yes	1	37,049	37,707	98.3%	Yes		

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2010

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DIS- TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED
SANTA CRUZ (continued)	6/8/2010	SUPERIOR JUDGE	3	Full	Gallagher	John	Litigator, Mediator, Arbitrator	No	3	23,590	44,573	52.9%	Yes
					Crawford	Phillip J.	Attorney, Law Professor	No	3	11,736	44,573	26.3%	No
					Sibley	James B.	Clinical Prosecutor	No	3	8,979	44,573	20.1%	No
	11/2/2010	TAX COLLECTOR/TREASURER	Full	10	Connolly	Rebecca	Civil Attorney	No	2	24,533	45,438	54.0%	Yes
					Wright	Steve	Attorney at Law	No	2	20,635	45,438	45.4%	No
					Kelley	Fred	County Treasurer	Yes	1	38,085	38,816	98.1%	Yes
					COUNTY SUPERVISOR	4	Full	Caput	Greg	City Councilman/Contractor	No	2	4,732
Campos	Tony	Incumbent	Yes	2	4,669			9,473	49.3%	No			
SHASTA	6/8/2010	ASSESSOR/RECORDER	Full	Morgan	Leslie	Incumbent	Yes	2	27,566	42,039	65.6%	Yes	
				Largent	Ron	Businessman	No	2	14,357	42,039	34.2%	No	
				AUDITOR	Full	Regnell	Connie	Shasta County Auditor-Controller	Yes	2	22,402	40,515	55.3%
	Faulkner	Randal	Certified Public Accountant	No		2	17,980	40,515	44.4%	No			
	CLERK	Full	Darling	Cathy	County Clerk	Yes	2	34,063	41,118	82.8%	Yes		
			Hunt	Russell K.	Science Teacher	No	2	6,933	41,118	16.9%	No		
	CORONER/SHERIFF	Full	Bosenko	Tom	Sheriff/Coroner	Yes	1	36,621	37,208	98.4%	Yes		
	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	Full	1	Kehoe	David A.	Shasta County Supervisor	Yes	1	6,701	6,799	98.6%	Yes	
				5	Baugh	Les	Shasta County Supervisor	Yes	3	4,467	9,025	49.5%	Runoff
					Young	Chris	Businessman	No	3	2,314	9,025	25.6%	Runoff
	DISTRICT ATTORNEY	Full	Harmon	Corkey	Corkey	Businessman	No	3	2,215	9,025	24.5%	No	
				Carlton	Steve	Private Attorney	No	2	24,439	41,870	58.4%	Yes	
	PUBLIC ADMIN./TAX COLL./TREASURER	Full	Benito	Gerald "Jerry"	District Attorney	Yes	2	17,320	41,870	41.4%	No		
	SUPERINTENDENT OF SCHOOLS	Full	Scott	Lori J.	Treasurer-Tax Collector-Public Admin.	Yes	1	37,250	37,656	98.9%	Yes		
	11/2/2010	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	Full	5	Baugh	Les	Shasta County Supervisor	Yes	2	7,169	12,631	56.8%	Yes
Young					Chris	Businessman	No	2	5,378	12,631	42.6%	No	
DIRECTOR, Mountain Gate CSD ^R		Short	Daniels	Mike	Retired	No	1	419	462	90.7%	Yes		
			Sterli	Michael D.	Public Works Contractor	No	1	415	455	91.2%	Yes		
SIERRA	6/8/2010	ASSESSOR	Full	Marshall	Laura A.	Appointed Assessor	No	1	1,275	1,288	99.0%	Yes	
		AUDITOR	Full	Maddox	Van	Incumbent	Yes	1	1,180	1,207	97.8%	Yes	
		CLERK/RECORDER	Full	Foster	Heather	Incumbent	Yes	1	1,322	1,333	99.2%	Yes	
		CORONER/SHERIFF	Full	Evans	John I.	Incumbent	Yes	3	704	1,627	43.3%	Runoff	
				Standley	Tim	Sierra County Sheriff's Sergeant	No	3	549	1,627	33.7%	Runoff	
Fatheree	John M.			Deputy Sheriff	No	3	371	1,627	22.8%	No			

^RTo be elected if recall measure passes.

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2010

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN-CUM-BENT	NUMBER OF CAN-DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN-DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER-CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED													
SIERRA (continued)	6/8/2010	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	2	Full	Huebner	Peter W.	Incumbent	Yes	2	218	320	68.1%	Yes													
					Sloan	Todd	Administrator/Consultant/Trainer	No	2	101	320	31.6%	No													
		DISTRICT ATT./PUBLIC ADMINISTRATOR	Full	Allen	Lawrence R.	Incumbent			Yes	1	1,177	1,208	97.4%	Yes												
															Superintendent of Schools	Hardeman	Stan	Appointed Superintendent	No	1	1,066	1,129	94.4%	Yes		
															SUPERIOR JUDGE	Full	Archer	Thomas S.	Attorney at Law		No	4	608	1,565	38.8%	Runoff
	Larson	C. Ingrid	Attorney/Grocer	No	4	250	1,565	16.0%	No																	
	Prouty	Douglas M.	Attorney	No	4	221	1,565	14.1%	No																	
	TAX COLLECTOR/TREASURER	Full	Levings	Stephanie	Appointed Incumbent	No	1	1,204	1,220	98.7%	Yes															
	11/2/2010	CORONER/SHERIFF	Full	Evans	John I.	Incumbent		Yes	2	942	1,822	51.7%	Yes													
														Standley	Tim	Sierra County Sheriff's Sergeant	No	2	877	1,822	48.1%	No				
		COUNTY SUPERVISOR	5	Full	Schlefstein	Scott A.	Author/Business Owner		No	2	192	376	51.1%	Yes												
															Rickman	Karen	Business Manager	No	2	184	376	48.9%	No			
		SUPERIOR JUDGE	Full	Ervin	Charles	Attorney		No	2	893	1,778	50.2%	Yes													
	Archer													Thomas S.	Attorney at Law	No	2	885	1,778	49.8%	No					
SISKIYOU	6/8/2010	ASSESSOR/RECORDER	Full	Mallory	Michael G.	Siskiyou County Assessor	Yes	1	10,444	10,543	99.1%	Yes														
		AUDITOR/CONTROLLER	Full	Ebejer	Jennie	Assistant Auditor-Controller	No	1	9,622	9,832	97.9%	Yes														
		CLERK	Full	Setzer	Colleen	County Clerk	Yes	1	10,463	10,551	99.2%	Yes														
		CORONER/SHERIFF	Full	Lopey	Jon E.	Assistant Chief, CHP		No	4	6,083	12,619	48.2%	Runoff													
														Betts	Jim	Captain/Operation Commander	No	4	3,747	12,619	29.7%	Runoff				
														Cross	Parish D.	Chief of Police	No	4	1,901	12,619	15.1%	No				
														Reed	Bill	District Attorney Investigator	No	4	867	12,619	6.9%	No				
		COUNTY SUPERVISOR	3	Full	Kobseff	Michael N.	Supervisor District 3		Yes	1	2,099	2,149	97.7%	Yes												
	5														Full	Armstrong	Marcia H.	Incumbent	Yes	1	1,957	2,070	94.5%	Yes		
	DISTRICT ATTORNEY	Full	Andrus	J. Kirk	District Attorney	Yes	1	9,807	10,077	97.3%	Yes															
	SUPERINTENDENT OF SCHOOLS	Full	Walters	Kermith R.	Incumbent	Yes	1	10,092	10,282	98.2%	Yes															
	TAX COLLECTOR/TREASURER	Full	Hammar	Wayne	Incumbent	Yes	1	10,092	10,180	99.1%	Yes															
	11/2/2010	CORONER/SHERIFF	Full	Lopey	Jon E.	Assistant Chief, CHP		No	2	10,988	17,630	62.3%	Yes													
														Betts	Jim	Captain/Operation Commander	No	2	6,557	17,630	37.2%	No				
		DIRECTOR, Lake Shastina CSD	Full	Wetter	Tom	Incumbent		Yes	4	584	2,101	27.8%	Yes													
Cupp														Carol	Incumbent	Yes	4	552	2,101	26.3%	Yes					
Moller														Corinne	Retired Business Owner	No	4	506	2,101	24.1%	Yes					
Dean	Jack	Incumbent	Yes	4	429	2,101	20.4%	No																		

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2010

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DIS- TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM- BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED
SOLANO	6/8/2010	ASSESSOR/RECORDER		Full	Tonnesen	Marc	Incumbent	Yes	2	35,834	60,872	58.9%	Yes
					Thacker	David C. "Dave"	Assessor's Supervising Appraiser	No	2	24,782	60,872	40.7%	No
		AUDITOR/CONTROLLER		Full	Padilla-Scholtens	Simona	Solano County Auditor-Controller	Yes	1	50,767	51,549	98.5%	Yes
		CLERK/TAX COLLECTOR/TREASURER		Full	Lomeli	Charles A.	Incumbent	Yes	1	54,468	55,190	98.7%	Yes
		CORONER/SHERIFF		Full	Stanton	Gary R.	Incumbent	Yes	1	53,652	54,521	98.4%	Yes
		COUNTY SUPERVISOR	3	Full	Spering	Jim	Solano County Supervisor	Yes	1	8,991	9,280	96.9%	Yes
			4	Full	Vasquez	John M.	Solano County Supervisor	Yes	4	5,340	12,705	42.0%	Runoff
					Pippo	Don	Rancher	No	4	4,608	12,705	36.3%	Runoff
					Benton	Becky	Real Estate Appraiser	No	4	1,918	12,705	15.1%	No
		Mullis	Lisa I.	Paraprofessional Assistant	No	4	820	12,705	6.5%	No			
	DISTRICT ATTORNEY		Full	Du Bain	Don	Prosecutor	No	1	51,607	52,333	98.6%	Yes	
	SUPERINTENDENT OF SCHOOLS		Full	Speck	Jay	Educator/Assistant Superintendent	No	2	35,010	59,402	58.9%	Yes	
				Jones	Joseph "Joe"	Educator	No	2	24,026	59,402	40.4%	No	
	SUPERIOR JUDGE		Full	Healy	Daniel J.	Attorney	No	2	30,815	59,286	52.0%	Yes	
	11/2/2010	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	4	Full	Vasquez	John M.	Solano County Supervisor	Yes	2	11,339	20,648	54.9%	Yes
					Pippo	Don	Rancher	No	2	9,231	20,648	44.7%	No
	SONOMA	6/8/2010	ASSESSOR/CLERK/RECORDER		Full	Atkinson	Janice	Sonoma County Clerk-Recorder-Assessor	Yes	1	88,374	89,287	99.0%
AUDITOR/CONT./TAX COLL./TREASURER				Full	Dole	Rod	Auditor-Controller-Treasurer-Tax Collector	Yes	1	88,754	89,853	98.8%	Yes
CORONER/SHERIFF				Full	Freitas	Steve	Chief of Police	No	1	90,883	91,769	99.0%	Yes
COUNTY SUPERVISOR			2	Full	Torliatt	Pamela	Mayor of Petaluma	No	4	9,447	24,295	38.9%	Runoff
					Rabbitt	David	Businessman/Architect/Councilmember	No	4	6,023	24,295	24.8%	Runoff
					Healy	Mike	Petaluma City Councilmember	No	4	4,577	24,295	18.8%	No
					King	John E.	Accountant/Rancher	No	4	4,208	24,295	17.3%	No
4			Full	McGuire	Mike	Councilmember/Small Businessperson	No	2	15,043	24,356	61.8%	Yes	
				Fudge	Debora	Windsor Town Councilmember	No	2	9,210	24,356	37.8%	No	
DISTRICT ATTORNEY				Full	Ravitch	Jill	Chief Deputy DA	No	2	61,087	112,644	54.2%	Yes
					Passalacqua	Stephan R.	Sonoma County District Attorney	Yes	2	51,197	112,644	45.5%	No
SUPERINTENDENT OF SCHOOLS				Full	Herrington	Steven	School Superintendent	No	1	86,744	87,927	98.7%	Yes
SUPERIOR JUDGE			1	Full	Shaffer	Nancy Case	Superior Court Commissioner	No	1	88,550	89,747	98.7%	Yes
					2	Full	Broderick	Pat	Law School Dean	No	3	42,710	105,880
	Lemmon	John	Victims' Rights Attorney	No			3	34,979	105,880	33.0%	Runoff		
	Casey	James Patrick	Deputy District Attorney	No			3	27,817	105,880	26.3%	No		
	3	Full	Thistlethwaite	Jamie Ellen	Criminal Law Attorney	No	2	66,229	104,847	63.2%	Yes		
Mazzia			Chris	Attorney, Businessperson, Parent	No	2	38,179	104,847	36.4%	No			

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2010

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM- BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED
SONOMA (continued)	11/2/2010	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	2	Full	Rabbitt	David	Businessman/Architect/Councilmember	No	2	19,554	36,600	53.4%	Yes
					Torliatt	Pamela	Mayor of Petaluma	No	2	16,879	36,600	46.1%	No
		SUPERIOR JUDGE	2	Full	Broderick	Pat	Law School Dean	No	2	80,518	143,574	56.1%	Yes
					Lemmon	John	Victims' Rights Attorney	No	2	62,511	143,574	43.5%	No
STANISLAUS	6/8/2010	ASSESSOR		Full	Cogdill	Dave	Property Appraiser/Businessman	No	1	55,394	56,233	98.5%	Yes
		AUDITOR/CONTROLLER		Full	Klein	Lauren	Chief Deputy Auditor-Controller	No	2	37,205	57,728	64.4%	Yes
					Dahlseid	Rick	Chief Financial Officer	No	2	20,135	57,728	34.9%	No
		CLERK/RECORDER		Full	Lundrigan	Lee	Stanislaus Clerk Recorder	Yes	2	49,613	62,982	78.8%	Yes
					Harwell	Terry	Businessman	No	2	13,112	62,982	20.8%	No
		CORONER/SHERIFF		Full	Christianson	Adam	Stanislaus County Sheriff	Yes	2	37,402	65,153	57.4%	Yes
					Jackson	Rob	Police Captain	No	2	27,525	65,153	42.2%	No
		COUNTY SUPERVISOR	3	Full	Withrow	Terry	Accountant/Farmer	No	2	5,264	10,478	50.2%	Yes
					Lyons	Bill	Farmer/Businessman	No	2	5,181	10,478	49.4%	No
			4	Full	Monteith	Dick	Incumbent	Yes	3	8,511	16,368	52.0%	Yes
					Irizarry	Balvino	Business Owner	No	3	5,202	16,368	31.8%	No
					Sabatino	Carmen	Businessman	No	3	2,584	16,368	15.8%	No
		DISTRICT ATTORNEY		Full	Fladager	Birgit	Stanislaus County District Attorney	Yes	1	53,547	54,460	98.3%	Yes
		SUPERINTENDENT OF SCHOOLS		Full	Changnon	Tom	Incumbent	Yes	1	51,153	52,316	97.8%	Yes
		SUPERIOR JUDGE	6	Full	Bessey	Shawn D.	Criminal Prosecutor	No	6	14,724	61,907	23.8%	Runoff
					Williamson	Nancy	Superior Court Commissioner	No	6	12,893	61,907	20.8%	Runoff
					Mussman	William E.	Attorney/Arbitrator/Mediator	No	6	10,239	61,907	16.5%	No
Hutcheson	Geoffrey C.				Attorney	No	6	9,651	61,907	15.6%	No		
Carlton-Magana	Martha				Attorney	No	6	7,357	61,907	11.9%	No		
Pimentel	Philip A.				Attorney-At-Law	No	6	6,775	61,907	10.9%	No		
TAX COLLECTOR/TREASURER		Full	Ford	Gordon B.	Incumbent	Yes	1	52,956	53,956	98.1%	Yes		
SUPERIOR JUDGE	6	Full	Bessey	Shawn D.	Criminal Prosecutor	No	2	54,134	99,993	54.1%	Yes		
			Williamson	Nancy	Superior Court Commissioner	No	2	45,143	99,993	45.1%	No		
SUTTER	6/8/2010	ASSESSOR	Full	Retzloff	Todd	Businessman	No	2	8,643	16,447	52.6%	Yes	
				Strong	Mike	Incumbent	Yes	2	7,804	16,447	47.4%	No	
		AUDITOR/CONTROLLER	Full	Stark	Robert E.	Incumbent	Yes	1	12,531	12,531	100.0%	Yes	
		CLERK/RECORDER	Full	Johnston	Donna M.	Appointed Sutter County Clerk/Recorder	No	2	13,368	16,153	82.8%	Yes	
				Singh	Gabrial	Technology Professional	No	2	2,785	16,153	17.2%	No	
		CORONER/SHERIFF	Full	Parker	J. Paul	Appointed Incumbent	No	1	13,623	13,623	100.0%	Yes	
COUNTY SUPERVISOR	2	Full	Cleveland, Jr.	Stanley	Supervisor District 2	Yes	1	2,017	2,017	100.0%	Yes		

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2010

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM- BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED
SUTTER (continued)	6/8/2010	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	3	Full	Munger	Larry E.	Incumbent	Yes	5	2,420	4,611	52.5%	Yes
					Paras	Horacio	Senior Transportation Planner	No	5	695	4,611	15.1%	No
					Boundy	Dick	No Ballot Designation	No	5	557	4,611	12.1%	No
					Dhaliwal	Narinder	Public Health Advocate	No	5	536	4,611	11.6%	No
					Takhar	Sarb	Software Executive	No	5	403	4,611	8.7%	No
		DISTRICT ATTORNEY	Full	Adams	Carl	Sutter County District Attorney	Yes	1	13,376	13,376	100.0%	Yes	
		SUPERINTENDENT OF SCHOOLS	Full	Cornelius	Bill	District Superintendent	No	2	8,318	16,162	51.5%	Yes	
		Miller		Elisabeth "Liz"	School Administrator/Principal	No	2	7,844	16,162	48.5%	No		
		TAX COLLECTOR/TREASURER	Full	Harrah	Steven L.	Appointed Incumbent	No	1	12,977	12,977	100.0%	Yes	
		TEHAMA	6/8/2010	ASSESSOR	Full	Stroud	Dale	Assistant Assessor	No	1	12,012	12,219	98.3%
AUDITOR/CONTROLLER	Full			Anderson	Le Roy M.	Tehama County Auditor-Controller	Yes	1	11,853	12,034	98.5%	Yes	
CLERK/RECORDER	Full			Ross	Beverly "Bev"	Tehama County Clerk & Recorder	Yes	1	12,401	12,562	98.7%	Yes	
CORONER/SHERIFF	Full			Hencratt	Dave	Sheriff's Detective	No	2	7,549	14,689	51.4%	Yes	
				Parker	Clay D.	Sheriff-Coroner	Yes	2	7,102	14,689	48.3%	No	
COUNTY SUPERVISOR	3			Full	Garton	Dennis	Undersheriff	Yes	2	2,108	2,996	70.4%	Yes
					Freimuth	Melvin "Mel"	No Ballot Designation	No	2	870	2,996	29.0%	No
DISTRICT ATTORNEY	Full			Williams	Robert A. "Bob"	Incumbent/Farmer	Yes	1	1,930	1,991	96.9%	Yes	
				Cohen	Gregg	Tehama County District Attorney	Yes	2	7,987	14,205	56.2%	Yes	
SUPERINTENDENT OF SCHOOLS	Full			Champion	Larry Paul	Tehama County Superintendent	Yes	1	11,634	11,864	98.1%	Yes	
SUPERIOR JUDGE	1			Full	Skillman	Jonathan	Assistant District Attorney	No	2	8,631	14,247	60.6%	Yes
					Muto	Lisa A.	Trial Lawyer	No	2	5,532	14,247	38.8%	No
TAX COLLECTOR/TREASURER	Full			Hollmer	Botke	Todd	Attorney at Law	No	2	8,708	14,021	62.1%	Yes
					Murphy	William	Tehama County Counsel	No	2	5,108	14,021	36.4%	No
TAX COLLECTOR/TREASURER	Full	Hollmer	Dana L.	Treasurer-Tax Collector	Yes	1	12,561	12,729	98.7%	Yes			
TRINITY	6/8/2010	ASSESSOR/CLERK/RECORDER	Full	Hunt	Dave	Professional Land Surveyor	No	2	2,617	4,005	65.3%	Yes	
				Teitzel-Schussman	Lisa	Business Owner, Educator	No	2	1,388	4,005	34.7%	No	
		AUDITOR/CONTROLLER	Full	Horn	Marilyn	Ballot Designation N/A	Yes	1	3,261	3,316	98.3%	Yes	
		CORONER/SHERIFF	Full	Haney	Bruce	Trinity County Marshal	No	3	1,874	4,193	44.7%	Runoff	
				Cox	Dave	District Attorney Investigator	No	3	1,770	4,193	44.2%	Runoff	
				Potts	Mark	Ballot Designation N/A	No	3	549	4,193	13.1%	No	
		COUNTY SUPERVISOR	1	Full	Pfleuger	Judy	Ballot Designation N/A	Yes	1	761	780	97.6%	Yes
					4	Full	Chapman	Debra Owens	Executive Director	No	2	621	897
		Stewart	Barry	Business Owner			No	2	276	897	30.8%	No	
		DISTRICT ATTORNEY	Full	Harper	Michael	Ballot Designation N/A	Yes	1	3,216	3,297	97.5%	Yes	

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2010

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN-CUM-BENT	NUMBER OF CAN-DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN-DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER-CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED			
TRINITY (continued)	6/8/2010	SUPERINTENDENT OF SCHOOLS		Full	French	Jim	Ballot Designation N/A	Yes	1	3,614	3,670	98.5%	Yes			
		TAX COLLECTOR/TREASURER		Full	McBrayer	Terri	Ballot Designation N/A	Yes	1	3,188	3,235	98.5%	Yes			
	11/2/2010	CORONER/SHERIFF		Full	Haney	Bruce	Trinity County Marshal	No	2	3,254	5,602	58.1%	Yes			
				Full	Cox	Dave	District Attorney Investigator	No	2	2,309	5,602	41.2%	No			
TULARE	6/8/2010	ASSESSOR/CLERK/RECORDER		Full	Hill	Roland P.	Assistant Assessor/Recorder	Yes	2	21,621	41,963	51.5%	Yes			
				Full	Medlock	Ron	Chief Auditor-Appraiser	No	2	20,186	41,963	48.1%	No			
		AUDITOR/CONTROLLER		Full	Woodard	Rita A.	Auditor-Controller/Treasurer-Tax Collector	Yes	1	39,454	39,849	99.0%	Yes			
		CORONER/SHERIFF		Full	Wittman	Bill	Sheriff-Coroner	Yes	2	30,011	46,906	64.0%	Yes			
				Full	Zapalac	John	Police Chief	No	2	16,790	46,906	35.8%	No			
		COUNTY SUPERVISOR	4	Full	Worthley	J. Steven	County Supervisor	Yes	5	2,586	6,565	39.4%	Runoff			
	Rouch				Brian	Small Business Owner	No	5	2,388	6,565	36.4%	Runoff				
	Guerrero				Jaun R.	Community Center Director	No	5	967	6,565	14.7%	No				
	Florez				Maggie	Sales Associate	No	5	358	6,565	5.5%	No				
	Barton				Donny	Businessman/Mason	No	5	252	6,565	3.8%	No				
						5	Full	Ennis	Mike	Incumbent	Yes	2	4,282	8,397	51.0%	Yes
							Full	Hamilton	Cameron	Businessman	No	2	4,076	8,397	48.5%	No
		DISTRICT ATTORNEY		Full	Cline	Phillip J.	District Attorney	Yes	1	39,151	39,654	98.7%	Yes			
		SUPERINTENDENT OF SCHOOLS		Full	Vidak	Jim	County Schools Superintendent	Yes	1	40,005	40,434	98.9%	Yes			
		11/2/2010	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	4	Full	Worthley	J. Steven	County Supervisor	Yes	2	5,276	10,481	50.3%	Yes		
							Rouch	Brian	Small Business Owner	No	2	5,167	10,481	49.3%	No	
TUOLUMNE	6/8/2010	ASSESSOR/RECORDER		Full	Caetano	Ken	Incumbent	Yes	2	8,408	14,984	56.1%	Yes			
				Full	Dambacher	Mike	Real Estate Appraiser	No	2	6,537	14,984	43.6%	No			
		AUDITOR/CLERK/CONTROLLER		Full	Russell	Deborah	Incumbent	Yes	1	12,462	12,647	98.5%	Yes			
		CORONER/SHERIFF		Full	Mele	James W. "Jim"	Incumbent	Yes	2	8,601	15,501	55.5%	Yes			
				Full	Memmer	Tom	Sheriff's Investigator	No	2	6,865	15,501	44.3%	No			
		COUNTY SUPERVISOR	2	Full	Hanvelt	Randy	Retired Engineer/Businessman	No	2	2,062	3,231	63.8%	Yes			
						Maffei	Paolo	Incumbent	Yes	2	1,157	3,231	35.8%	No		
						3	Full	Royce	Evan	Designer/Builder/Businessman	No	3	1,433	2,730	52.5%	Yes
					Bonnot	Dave	Business Owner	No	3	687	2,730	25.2%	No			
					Fulcher	Robert "Bob"	Painting Contractor/Businessman	No	3	605	2,730	22.2%	No			
	DISTRICT ATTORNEY		Full	Segerstrom, Jr.	Donald I.	Incumbent	Yes	1	12,560	12,828	97.9%	Yes				
	SUPERINTENDENT OF SCHOOLS		Full	Silva	Joseph A.	Incumbent	Yes	1	12,274	12,584	97.5%	Yes				
	TAX COLLECTOR/TREASURER		Full	Hodges	Frank D.	Incumbent	Yes	1	12,744	12,894	98.8%	Yes				

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2010

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DIS- TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM- BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED	
TUOLUMNE (continued)	11/2/2010	DIRECTOR, Groveland CSD		Full	Armstrong	John F.	Firefighter/Paramedic	No	4	1,037	3,430	30.2%	Yes	
					Conley	Roy	IT Consultant	No	4	886	3,430	25.8%	Yes	
					Riley	Joseph	Appointed Incumbent	No	4	802	3,430	23.4%	Yes	
					Crow	Kay	Community Volunteer	No	4	693	3,430	20.2%	No	
		DIRECTOR, Lake Don Pedro CSD	Full	Schapp	Herman	Retired Electrical Engineer	No	2	814	1,551	52.5%	Yes		
				Henderson	Allie	HR Administrator	No	2	733	1,551	47.3%	No		
		DIRECTOR, Twain Harte CSD	Full	Keefe	Vicki	Retired Businesswoman	No	3	192	521	36.9%	Yes		
				Richardson	Lew	Retired Sheriff's Deputy	No	3	164	521	31.5%	Yes		
				Brown	Mary	Retired Restaurant Manager	No	3	152	521	29.2%	No		
				Ross	Emery	Rancher	Yes	2	231	345	67.0%	Yes		
		VENTURA	6/8/2010	ASSESSOR	Full	Goodwin	Dan	Assessor	Yes	1	108,074	109,807	98.4%	Yes
						AUDITOR/CONTROLLER	Full	Cohen	Christine L.	Auditor-Controller	Yes	1	103,248	104,928
				CLERK/RECORDER	Full	Lunn	Mark	Retired CHP Chief	No	2	65,511	122,926	53.3%	Yes
						Dantona	Jim	Independent Small Businessman	No	2	56,548	122,926	46.0%	No
		COUNTY SUPERVISOR	2	Full	Parks	Linda	Ventura County Supervisor	Yes	2	21,827	35,801	61.0%	Yes	
					Strickland	Audra	Assemblywoman	No	2	13,789	35,801	38.5%	No	
4	Full		Foy	Peter C.	Ventura County Supervisor/Businessman	Yes	2	17,413	27,383	63.6%	Yes			
			Thomas	Bruce J.	Trustee, Moorpark School Board	No	2	9,784	27,383	35.7%	No			
DISTRICT ATTORNEY	Full	Totten	Greg	District Attorney	Yes	1	105,628	107,852	97.9%	Yes				
SHERIFF	Full	Dean	Geoff	Sheriff's Commander	No	2	77,685	129,905	59.8%	Yes				
		Carpenter	Dennis F.	Chief Deputy Sheriff	No	2	51,301	129,905	39.5%	No				
SUPERINTENDENT OF SCHOOLS	Full	Mantooth	Stanley C.	County Superintendent of Schools	Yes	1	99,845	102,109	97.8%	Yes				
SUPERIOR JUDGE	1	Full	Wright	Ryan	Senior Deputy DA	No	2	77,381	118,201	65.5%	Yes			
			Henke-Dobroth	Lela	Retired Prosecutor	No	2	39,692	118,201	33.6%	No			
	10	Full	Conroy	Ellen Gay	Judge of the Superior Court	Yes	2	76,315	116,816	65.3%	Yes			
			Taylor	Robert J.	Attorney	No	2	39,511	116,816	33.8%	No			

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2010

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM- BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED
VENTURA (continued)	6/8/2010	TAX COLLECTOR/TREASURER		Full	Hintz	Steven	Retired Judge	No	7	26,326	116,678	22.6%	Runoff
					Facciano	Don	Taxpayer Association President	No	7	21,344	116,678	18.3%	Runoff
					Summers	Ed	Financial Services Officer	No	7	20,058	116,678	17.2%	No
					Walker	Jeff	Small Businessman/Accountant	No	7	19,749	116,678	16.9%	No
					McLaughlin	Thomas K. "Keith"	Retired CFO/Treasurer	No	7	13,513	116,678	11.6%	No
					O'Reilly	Peggy	Securities Compliance Officer	No	7	11,274	116,678	9.7%	No
					Harwood	Warren	Trustee, Pleasant Valley School District	No	7	3,486	116,678	3.0%	No
	11/2/2010	TAX COLLECTOR/TREASURER		Full	Hintz	Steven	Retired Judge	Yes	2	105,914	206,274	51.3%	Yes
					Facciano	Don	Taxpayer Association President	No	2	98,599	206,274	47.8%	No
YOLO	6/8/2010	ASSESSOR		Full	Butler	Joel	Assessor	Yes	1	25,784	25,784	100.0%	Yes
		AUDITOR/CONT./TAX COLL./TREASURER		Full	Newens	Howard	Incumbent	Yes	1	25,700	25,700	100.0%	Yes
		CLERK/RECORDER		Full	Oakley	Freddie	Yolo County Clerk-Recorder	Yes	1	26,743	26,743	100.0%	Yes
		CORONER/SHERIFF		Full	Preito	Ed	Sheriff Coroner	Yes	1	26,384	26,384	100.0%	Yes
		COUNTY SUPERVISOR	2	Full	Saylor	Don	Davis Mayor Pro Tem	No	1	4,132	4,132	100.0%	Yes
			3	Full	Rexroad	Matt	Supervisor/Small Businessman	Yes	1	4,704	4,704	100.0%	Yes
		DISTRICT ATTORNEY		Full	Reisig	Jeff W.	District Attorney	Yes	1	26,156	26,156	100.0%	Yes
		PUBLIC ADMINISTRATOR/GUARDIAN		Full	Sylvia	Cass	Yolo Co. Public Admin./Public Guardian	Yes	2	21,040	28,503	73.8%	Yes
			Barber	Elizabeth	Mental Health Clinician	No	2	7,463	28,503	26.2%	No		
		SUPERINTENDENT OF SCHOOLS		Full	Ayala	Jorge O.	County Superintendent of Schools	Yes	1	25,542	25,542	100.0%	Yes
YUBA	6/8/2010	ASSESSOR		Full	Stottlemeyer	Bruce Edwin	Property Assessment Administrator	No	2	6,332	9,187	68.9%	Yes
					Dougherty, Jr.	Ronald W.	Program Analyst	No	2	2,855	9,187	31.1%	No
		AUDITOR/CONTROLLER		Full	Sellers	Dean E.	Incumbent	Yes	1	8,157	8,157	100.0%	Yes
		CLERK		Full	Hansen	Terry A.	Incumbent	Yes	2	7,469	9,659	77.3%	Yes
					Garcia	Carmel	Notary Public	No	2	2,190	9,659	22.7%	No
		CORONER/SHERIFF		Full	Durfor	Steven	Yuba County Sheriff-Coroner	Yes	1	9,050	9,050	100.0%	Yes
		COUNTY SUPERVISOR	1	Full	Vasquez	Andrew R.	Appointed County Supervisor	No	3	619	1,281	48.3%	Runoff
					Farrell	Rachel	Physician Assistant	No	3	462	1,281	36.1%	Runoff
					Fonley	Sandy	School District Trustee	No	3	200	1,281	15.6%	No
			5	Full	Stocker	Hal	Incumbent	Yes	4	1,052	2,658	39.6%	Yes
					Cavaliere	Jenny	Rancher/Business Owner	No	4	572	2,658	21.5%	No
Fowler	Elden				Retired	No	4	534	2,658	20.1%	No		
Barnum	Marc Andrew				Business Owner	No	4	490	2,658	18.4%	No		
DISTRICT ATT./PUBLIC ADMINISTRATOR		Full	McGrath	Patrick J.	Yuba County District Attorney	Yes	1	8,497	8,497	100.0%	Yes		

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2010

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DIS- TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED
YUBA (continued)	6/8/2010	SUPERINTENDENT OF SCHOOLS		Full	Sanchez	Scotia Holmes	Principal and Categorical Program Director	No	3	5,099	9,687	52.6%	Yes
					Beilby	Dione	Executive Dir. Sierra Montessori Academy	No	3	2,778	9,687	28.7%	No
					Eldridge	David	Lindhurst High School Math Teacher	No	3	1,810	9,687	18.7%	No
		TAX COLLECTOR/TREASURER		Full	Mierzwa	Dan M.	Appointed Incumbent	No	1	8,213	8,213	100.0%	Yes
	11/2/2010	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	1	Full	Vasquez	Andrew R.	Businessman/Appointed Incumbent	No	2	1,162	2,056	56.5%	Yes
					Farrell	Rachel	Small Business Owner	No	2	894	2,056	43.5%	No

Table 2.2 Summary of Election Outcomes for County Offices, 2010

		Assessor/Clerk/ Recorder/Auditor/ Controller		County Supervisor		Director, CSD*		District Attorney/ Coroner/Public Administrator/ Sheriff		Superintendent of Schools		Superior Judge		Tax Collector/ Treasurer		Total	
		Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N.	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N
Incumbent Candidates	Win	99.0	96	82.8	77	75.0	33	86.6	84	97.1	34	87.5	14	100.0	27	89.2	365
	Lose	1.0	1	17.2	16	25.0	11	13.4	13	2.9	1	12.5	2	0.0	0	10.8	44
	Total	100.0	97	100.0	93	100.0	44	100.0	97	100.0	35	100.0	16	100.0	27	100.0	409
Non- Incumbent Candidates	Win	35.1	40	20.6	49	52.4	66	35.8	43	53.8	21	34.4	33	37.1	13	34.5	265
	Lose	64.9	74	79.4	189	47.6	60	64.2	77	46.2	18	65.6	63	62.9	22	65.5	503
	Total	100.0	114	100.0	238	100.0	126	100.0	120	100.0	39	100.0	96	100.0	35	100.0	768
Winning Candidates	Incumbent	70.6	96	61.1	77	33.3	33	66.1	84	61.8	34	29.8	14	67.5	27	57.9	365
	Non-Incumbent	29.4	40	38.9	49	66.7	66	33.9	43	38.2	21	70.2	33	32.5	13	42.1	265
	Total	100.0	136	100.0	126	100.0	99	100.0	127	100.0	55	100.0	47	100.0	40	100.0	630
Losing Candidates	Incumbent	1.3	1	7.8	16	15.5	11	14.4	13	5.3	1	3.1	2	0.0	0	8.0	44
	Non-Incumbent	98.7	74	92.2	189	84.5	60	85.6	77	94.7	18	96.9	63	100.0	22	92.0	503
	Total	100.0	75	100.0	205	100.0	71	100.0	90	100.0	19	100.0	65	100.0	22	100.0	548
All Candidates	Incumbent	46.0	97	28.1	93	25.9	44	44.7	97	47.3	35	14.3	16	43.5	27	34.7	409
	Non-Incumbent	54.0	114	71.9	238	74.1	126	55.3	120	52.7	39	85.7	96	56.5	35	65.3	768
	Total	100.0	211	100.0	331	100.0	170	100.0	217	100.0	74	100.0	112	100.0	62	100.0	1,177

* Directors of Community Service Districts, County Service Areas and Community Planning Areas.

**Runoffs are excluded from totals.