

# CALIFORNIA COUNTY, CITY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT ELECTION OUTCOMES

## 2013 ELECTIONS

---

### County Offices and Ballot Measures

---



Institute for Social Research  
Center For California Studies  
California State University,  
Sacramento



CALIFORNIA COUNTY, CITY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT  
ELECTION OUTCOMES:  
CANDIDATES AND BALLOT MEASURES, 2013 ELECTIONS

COUNTY OFFICES AND BALLOT MEASURES

Steve Boilard, Ph.D.,  
Executive Director, Center for California Studies

David Barker, Ph.D.,  
Director, Institute for Social Research

Valory Messier  
Research Specialist, Institute for Social Research

Sara Adan  
Graduate Research Assistant, Institute for Social Research

Center for California Studies  
California State University, Sacramento  
6000 J Street, Sacramento, CA 95819-6081  
(916) 278-6906 FAX: (916) 278-5199

Institute for Social Research  
California State University, Sacramento  
6000 J Street, Sacramento, CA 95819-6101  
(916) 278-5737 FAX: (916) 278-5150

## *Acknowledgements*

*The researchers would like to sincerely thank the county elections officials and staff throughout the State of California who took time to provide data to the project. Additionally, we are grateful to the Secretary of State's Office for its continuing support and interest in this project. Without their assistance, the completion of these yearly reviews and the California Elections Data Archive would not be possible.*

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION.....		i
2013 County, City and School District Election Dates by County .....		iii
Trend Table A	Number of Ballot Measures, Percent of Total Measures, and Percent Passing by Type, Jurisdiction and Year .....	iv
Trend Table B	Number of Ballot Measures, Percent of Total Measures, and Percent Passing by Topic, Jurisdiction and Year.....	vii
Trend Table C	Community Service District and County Service Area Measures by County .....	x
Trend Table D	Number of Community Service District and County Service Area Measures, Percent of Total County Measures, and Percent Passing by Type and Year .....	xii
Trend Table E	Comparison of Pass Rates for County-Wide and Community Service District/County Service Area Tax Measures, 1998-2013.....	xiii
Trend Table F	Number of Community Service District and County Service Area Measures, Percent of Total County Measures, and Percent Passing by Topic and Year .....	xiv
Trend Table G	Number of Candidates by Jurisdiction and Year .....	xv
Trend Table H	Number of Candidates for Major County Offices by Year.....	xv
Trend Table I	Percent of Incumbent Candidates and Percent of Prevailing Incumbents by Major Office, Jurisdiction and Year .....	xvi
2013 ELECTION SERIES SUMMARY: ELECTION OUTCOMES FOR COUNTY, CITY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT BALLOT MEASURES AND CANDIDATES .....		1
Table A	Summary of Outcomes for <i>All</i> County, City and School District Ballot Measures by Type of Measure and County, 2013.....	3
Table B	Summary of Outcomes for <i>All</i> County, City and School District Ballot Measures by Topic of Measure and County, 2013 .....	4
Table C	Summary of Election Outcomes for <i>All</i> County, City and School District Offices, 2013.....	5

PART 1 VOTE TOTALS, ELECTION OUTCOMES AND TEXT FOR COUNTY BALLOT MEASURES .....7

Table 1.1 Vote Totals for County Ballot Measures by County, 2013 .....9

Table 1.2 Text for County Ballot Measures by County, 2013 .....11

Table 1.3 Summary of Election Outcomes for County Ballot Measures  
by Type of Measure and County, 2013 .....12

Table 1.4 Summary of Election Outcomes for County Ballot Measures  
by Topic of Measure and County, 2013 .....13

PART 2 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES.....15

Table 2.1 Vote Totals for County Office Candidates  
by County and Election Date, 2013.....17

Table 2.2 Summary of Election Outcomes for County Offices, 2013.....23

# CALIFORNIA ELECTIONS DATA ARCHIVE

## INTRODUCTION

The California Elections Data Archive (CEDA) is a joint project of the Center for California Studies and the Institute for Social Research, at the California State University, Sacramento and the office of the California Secretary of State. The purpose of CEDA is to provide researchers, citizens, public agencies and other interested parties with a single repository of local election data. With over 6,000 local jurisdictions in California, the task of monitoring local elections is nearly impossible for individuals. CEDA addresses this problem through the creation of a single, cost-effective and easily accessible source of local election data. CEDA includes candidate and ballot measure results for county, city, community college, and school district elections throughout the State. CEDA thus represents the only comprehensive repository of local election results in California and one of a very few such databases on local elections in the U.S.

### How the CEDA Data is Collected and Reported

Election data are collected periodically throughout each calendar year. This enables CEDA to incorporate results from special elections as well as all regularly scheduled elections. Election results from counties, cities, and community college and school districts are entered in the CEDA database from which three standard CEDA reports are generated. These reports include:

- County Elections: Candidates, ballot designations, and vote totals for all elected county offices; vote totals and text for county ballot measures.
- City Elections: Candidates, ballot designations, and vote totals for all elected city offices; vote totals and text for all city ballot measures.
- Community College and School District Elections: Candidates, ballot designations, and vote totals for all elective community college and school district offices; vote totals and text for all district ballot measures.

Ballot measures for all jurisdictions are coded according to type (e.g., charter amendment, taxes, bond measure, initiative, etc.) and to topic (e.g., education, public safety, governance, etc.).

## **THE CEDA PARTNERSHIP**

### **THE CENTER FOR CALIFORNIA STUDIES**

Located at California State University, Sacramento, the Center for California Studies is a public policy, public service and curricular support unit of the California State University. The Center's location in the state Capital and its ability to draw upon the resources of the entire State University system give it a unique capacity for making contributions to public policy development and the public life of California. Center programs cover four broad areas: administration of the nationally known Assembly, Senate, Executive, and Judicial Administration Fellowship Programs; university-state government liaison and applied policy research; civic education and community service through forums, conferences and issue dialogues; and curricular support activity in the interdisciplinary field of California Studies.

### **INSTITUTE FOR SOCIAL RESEARCH**

Established in 1989, the Institute for Social Research (ISR) is a multidisciplinary institute that is committed to advancing the understanding of the social world through applied research. The Institute offers research expertise and technical assistance serving as a resource to agencies, organizations, the University and the broader community. Services provided by the Institute include research and sampling design, measurement, coding and data entry, computer assisted telephone and field interviewing, mailed and Internet surveys, focus groups, data base management, statistical analysis and report production. ISR has completed numerous projects with more than 50 federal, state and community agencies, several private firms and many administrative units of the university. Faculty affiliates of the Institute offer specific content expertise in a wide variety of disciplines, including the social sciences, health and human services, engineering and education.

### **CALIFORNIA SECRETARY OF STATE**

The Secretary of State is, among other duties, California's chief elections officer with the responsibility of administering the provisions of the Elections Code. The Secretary must compile state election returns and issue certificates of election to winning candidates; compile the returns and certify the results of initiative and referendum elections; certify acts delayed by referendum, and prepare and file a statement of vote. Recent legislation permits but does not mandate that the Secretary of State compile local election results.

2013 COUNTY, CITY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT ELECTION DATES BY COUNTY

	2/26	3/5	3/12	3/26	4/2	4/9	4/16	5/5	5/7	5/14	5/21	6/4	6/11	6/18	6/25	7/2	7/16	7/23	7/30	8/27	9/10	11/5	11/19	12/17
ALAMEDA		✓							✓															
CONTRA COSTA												✓											✓	
EL DORADO									✓														✓	
FRESNO												✓							✓				✓	
HUMBOLDT																							✓	
IMPERIAL																							✓	
KERN												✓											✓	
KINGS																							✓	
LAKE																							✓	
LASSEN																						✓		
LOS ANGELES	✓	✓	✓		✓	✓	✓	✓		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		✓			✓				✓	
MARIN									✓			✓											✓	
MENDOCINO									✓												✓		✓	
MERCED		✓																					✓	
MONTEREY																							✓	
NAPA		✓																						
ORANGE															✓								✓	
PLUMAS																							✓	
RIVERSIDE												✓											✓	
SAN BENITO																							✓	
SAN BERNARDINO		✓											✓	✓				✓			✓		✓	✓
SAN DIEGO				✓							✓			✓									✓	
SAN FRANCISCO																							✓	
SAN JOAQUIN																							✓	
SAN MATEO									✓														✓	
SANTA CLARA									✓			✓								✓			✓	
SANTA CRUZ									✓			✓											✓	
SISKIYOU																							✓	
SOLANO																							✓	
SONOMA																							✓	✓
STANISLAUS																							✓	
TRINITY																							✓	
TULARE						✓																	✓	
VENTURA												✓											✓	



TREND TABLE A NUMBER OF BALLOT MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TYPE, JURISDICTION AND YEAR

	All Measures			Bonds			Taxes			Ordinance			Recalls			Initiatives			Charter Amendment		
	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing
All Measures																					
1995-2013	395	100	64	102	26	68	117	30	57	86	22	61	15	4	71	9	2	50	48	12	76
Even Years	582	100	65	156	27	70	168	29	54	133	23	61	17	3	71	13	2	50	68	12	75
Odd Years	227	100	62	53	23	61	72	32	63	44	19	61	14	6	71	5	2	50	29	13	78
County																					
1995-2013	63	16	57	2	3	66	25	40	44	20	32	63	2	3	72	2	3	54	7	10	65
Even Years	102	18	54	2	2	59	42	41	41	33	33	62	2	2	53	4	4	48	11	11	63
Odd Years	28	12	67	2	6	75	10	37	55	8	29	70	2	8	86	1	2	83	2	8	70
City																					
1995-2013	198	50	64	5	3	58	67	34	59	59	30	58	7	4	74	7	3	49	41	21	78
Even Years	292	50	63	8	3	61	99	34	57	92	32	59	10	3	72	9	3	51	57	20	77
Odd Years	113	50	65	3	2	52	38	33	63	30	26	55	5	4	76	4	4	44	27	24	78
School District																					
1995-2013	134	34	68	95	71	68	25	19	62	7	5	79	6	4	68	0	0	50			
Even Years	187	32	70	146	78	71	27	14	60	8	4	80	5	3	75						
Odd Years	86	38	63	49	56	61	24	28	64	6	7	77	7	8	63	0	0	100			

TREND TABLE A NUMBER OF BALLOT MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TYPE, JURISDICTION AND YEAR

	All Measures			Bonds			Taxes			Ordinance			Recalls			Initiatives			Charter Amendment			
	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	
All Measures	1995	253	100	37	91	36	47	26	10	35	46	18	61	8	3	88	8	3	50	55	22	93
	1996	573	100	57	64	11	59	142	25	40	176	31	58	32	6	72	18	3	39	115	20	73
	1997	342	100	60	127	37	59	100	29	56	45	13	69	29	8	38	7	2	71	31	9	81
	1998	572	100	61	144	25	58	162	28	48	115	20	58	19	3	74	9	2	56	94	16	77
	1999	283	100	60	107	38	59	54	19	57	68	24	57	14	5	71	10	4	40	20	7	50
	2000	559	100	58	135	24	60	122	22	39	154	28	58	11	2	100	21	4	67	79	14	67
	2001	233	100	70	73	31	75	68	29	72	33	14	58	21	9	71	1	0	100	25	11	60
	2002	657	100	68	245	37	76	155	24	54	136	21	54	8	1	63	10	2	40	77	12	77
	2003	178	100	63	22	12	55	62	35	48	47	26	70	9	5	89	5	3	40	24	13	75
	2004	712	100	63	179	25	75	258	36	47	144	20	64	11	2	73	14	2	29	72	10	79
	2005	295	100	64	57	19	74	111	38	58	59	20	54	11	4	82	7	2	43	35	12	89
	2006	556	100	62	185	33	59	142	26	56	123	22	63	17	3	29	22	4	36	39	7	82
	2007	179	100	72	22	12	55	61	34	74	40	22	58	13	7	100	1	1	0	38	21	79
	2008	593	100	75	201	34	82	188	32	67	123	21	65	12	2	58	11	2	91	39	7	90
	2009	193	100	63	6	3	33	99	51	67	35	18	63	13	7	69	3	2	33	20	10	60
2010	482	100	67	97	20	70	164	34	60	117	24	67	27	6	78	11	2	55	50	10	76	
2011	172	100	72	10	6	80	75	44	67	29	17	72	16	9	75	2	1	0	31	18	81	
2012	530	100	72	156	29	81	178	34	69	112	21	62	14	3	93	2	0	50	51	10	63	
2013	137	100	72	11	8	73	65	47	78	34	25	50	8	6	88	4	3	100	11	8	73	
County Measures	1995	17	7	53				6	35	33	2	12	0						6	35	83	
	1996	114	20	44	3	3	33	34	30	26	41	36	54	5	4	80	7	6	14	17	15	47
	1997	24	7	63	7	29	57	7	29	71	4	17	100	2	8	50				4	17	25
	1998	125	22	59	1	1	0	53	42	40	32	26	75				4	3	25	25	20	76
	1999	38	13	63	1	3	100	21	55	48	8	21	63							4	11	100
	2000	116	21	49	6	5	83	51	44	27	28	24	50				8	7	88	8	7	38
	2001	37	16	73	3	8	100	14	38	71	11	30	64	4	11	75				1	3	0
	2002	98	15	56	5	5	20	38	39	45	39	40	67	1	1	0	2	2	50	7	7	71
	2003	28	16	64				12	43	25	15	54	100	1	4	0						
	2004	140	20	54	0	2	0	60	43	45	47	34	62	1	1	0	4	3	25	18	13	56
	2005	57	19	63	3	5	67	24	42	67	16	28	56	3	5	100	3	5	67	2	4	50
	2006	95	17	52				45	47	40	30	32	60	4	4	25	2	2	50	6	6	83
	2007	29	16	76	1	3	100	3	10	67	16	55	63	8	28	100						
	2008	90	15	62	3	3	100	33	37	42	40	44	65	1	1	100	2	2	100	4	4	100
	2009	16	8	69				4	25	50	6	38	67	1	6	100				2	13	100
2010	64	13	53	3	5	67	25	39	48	22	34	59	4	6	50	2	3	50	6	9	50	
2011	20	12	80	1	5	100	7	35	71	4	20	75	2	10	100				3	15	67	
2012	76	14	63	1	1	100	39	51	59	20	26	60	1	1	100	2	3	50	10	13	70	
2013	12	9	75				5	42	40				1	8	100	3	25	100	1	8	100	

TREND TABLE A NUMBER OF BALLOT MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TYPE, JURISDICTION AND YEAR

	Year	All Measures			Bonds			Taxes			Ordinance			Recalls			Initiatives			Charter Amendment		
		Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate
City Measures	1995	119	47	71	4	3	75	7	6	29	38	32	58				7	6	43	49	41	94
	1996	374	65	60	10	3	30	100	27	43	115	31	59	24	6	79	11	3	55	98	26	78
	1997	144	42	58	2	1	50	70	49	50	28	19	54	9	6	22	7	5	71	27	19	89
	1998	283	49	60	9	3	78	99	35	47	78	28	53	7	2	43	5	2	80	69	24	77
	1999	114	40	54	4	4	75	22	19	55	48	42	48	8	7	100	10	9	40	16	14	38
	2000	297	53	60	11	4	82	65	22	45	113	38	56	6	2	100	13	4	54	71	24	70
	2001	93	40	69	8	9	63	31	33	74	18	19	61	3	3	100	1	1	100	24	26	63
	2002	309	47	60	12	4	83	102	33	58	94	30	48	5	2	60	8	3	38	70	23	77
	2003	89	50	67	2	2	50	14	16	71	29	33	55	6	7	100	5	6	40	24	27	75
	2004	337	47	59	7	2	43	147	44	46	92	27	63	6	2	67	10	3	30	54	16	87
	2005	135	46	61	2	1	0	47	35	55	37	27	51	3	2	33	4	3	25	33	24	91
	2006	253	46	64	10	4	50	82	32	70	85	34	61	6	2	17	20	8	35	33	13	82
	2007	108	60	71	2	2	0	40	37	73	19	18	53	5	5	100	1	1	0	38	35	79
	2008	258	44	73	5	2	100	111	43	71	80	31	65	8	3	38	9	3	89	35	14	89
2009	130	67	61	1	1	0	63	48	68	28	22	61	3	2	33	3	2	33	18	14	56	
2010	270	56	71	2	1	0	95	35	69	91	34	67	16	6	94	8	3	63	44	16	80	
2011	105	61	74				37	35	65	23	22	70	10	10	100	2	2	0	28	27	82	
2012	248	47	67	5	2	20	93	38	72	83	33	58	12	5	92				41	17	61	
2013	90	66	68				44	49	77	30	33	53	3	3	67	1	1	100	10	11	70	
School District Measures	1995	117	46	52	87	74	46	13	11	38	6	5	100	8	7	88	1	1	100			
	1996	85	15	62	51	60	67	8	9	63	20	24	60	3	4	0						
	1997	174	51	62	118	68	59	23	13	70	13	7	92	18	10	44						
	1998	164	29	62	134	82	57	10	6	100	5	3	40	12	7	92						
	1999	131	46	62	102	78	58	11	8	82	12	9	92	6	5	33						
	2000	146	26	63	118	81	57	6	4	67	13	9	92	5	3	100						
	2001	103	44	71	62	60	76	23	22	70	4	4	25	14	14	64						
	2002	250	38	76	228	91	77	15	6	53	3	1	100	2	1	100						
	2003	61	34	52	20	33	55	36	59	47	3	5	67	2	3	100						
	2004	235	33	73	172	73	77	51	22	53	5	2	100	4	2	100						
	2005	103	35	69	52	50	77	40	39	55	6	6	67	5	5	100						
	2006	208	37	58	175	84	59	15	7	27	8	4	88	7	3	43						
	2007	42	23	67	19	45	58	18	43	78	5	12	60									
	2008	245	41	80	193	79	81	44	18	75	3	1	67	3	1	100						
2009	47	24	66	5	11	40	32	68	66	1	2	100	9	19	78							
2010	148	31	64	92	62	72	44	30	45	4	3	100	7	5	57	1	1	0				
2011	47	27	64	9	19	78	31	66	68	2	4	100	4	9	0							
2012	206	39	82	150	73	83	46	22	72	9	4	100	1	0	100							
2013	35	26	80	11	31	73	16	46	94	4	11	25	4	11	100							

TREND TABLE B NUMBER OF BALLOT MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TOPIC, JURISDICTION AND YEAR

	All Measures			Education			Governance			Land Use			Public Safety			Public Facilities			General Services			Transportation			Revenue		
	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing
All Measures																											
1995-2013	395	100	64	134	34	68	91	23	69	31	8	55	23	6	54	19	5	55	13	3	64	10	3	55	52	13	64
Even Years	582	100	64	188	32	70	135	23	68	47	8	57	36	6	54	30	5	52	16	3	66	16	3	57	79	14	60
Odd Years	227	100	65	86	38	63	52	23	73	17	8	51	11	5	56	9	4	63	11	5	62	5	2	49	28	12	73
County																											
1995-2013	63	16	57	1	1	82	18	28	69	6	10	47	8	12	45	7	12	50	4	6	67	6	10	61	7	12	49
Even Years	102	18	54	1	1	71	28	27	65	10	10	47	13	13	43	12	11	42	5	5	65	10	10	60	12	12	47
Odd Years	28	12	67	0	1	100	9	31	78	2	8	43	3	11	53	3	12	74	3	10	69	3	10	66	3	10	55
City																											
1995-2013	198	50	64	1	1	73	73	37	69	24	12	60	15	8	59	12	6	58	9	5	64	4	2	44	44	22	66
Even Years	292	50	63	2	1	80	107	37	68	34	12	64	23	8	60	18	6	58	11	4	67	5	2	52	66	23	63
Odd Years	113	50	65	1	1	57	42	37	72	15	13	53	8	7	57	6	5	58	8	7	60	2	2	27	25	22	62
School District																											
1995-2013	134	34	68	131	97	64	1	1	68													0	0	50			
Even Years	187	32	70	182	97	64	1	0	40													0	0	50			
Odd Years	86	38	63	84	98	63	1	2	79																		

TREND TABLE B NUMBER OF BALLOT MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TOPIC, JURISDICTION AND YEAR

	All Measures			Education			Governance			Land Use			Public Safety			Public Facilities			General Services			Transportation			Revenue			
	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	
All Measures	1995	253	100	61	121	48	54	63	25	84	16	6	63	12	5	50	14	6	50	0	0	0	2	1	0	5	2	60
	1996	573	100	57	87	15	64	214	37	66	54	9	56	39	7	51	38	7	37	10	2	40	8	1	50	87	15	46
	1997	342	100	60	175	51	62	43	13	67	19	6	68	12	4	42	15	4	40	38	11	61	4	1	50	10	3	70
	1998	572	100	60	158	28	63	131	23	64	46	8	70	41	7	49	32	6	56	28	5	82	23	4	70	75	13	43
	1999	283	100	59	119	42	59	62	22	63	29	10	41	14	5	57	4	1	75	14	5	57	8	3	88	23	8	65
	2000	559	100	59	151	27	63	141	25	64	73	13	55	32	6	50	39	7	67	20	4	55	21	4	43	5	1	20
	2001	233	100	70	105	45	71	46	20	67	7	3	71	11	5	73	19	8	58	7	3	71	4	2	25	31	13	87
	2002	657	100	65	250	38	76	144	22	66	44	7	43	42	6	57	35	5	49	20	3	60	10	2	40	85	13	62
	2003	178	100	62	61	34	52	52	29	73	15	8	60	12	7	50	5	3	60	6	3	100	8	4	38	13	7	62
	2004	712	100	62	238	33	72	139	20	73	58	8	52	55	8	47	37	5	38	23	3	70	25	4	76	110	15	47
	2005	295	100	64	102	35	70	61	21	70	28	9	39	18	6	44	14	5	64	18	6	67	13	4	62	33	11	70
	2006	556	100	60	208	37	58	109	20	60	51	9	61	37	7	73	22	4	41	12	2	58	22	4	50	61	11	62
	2007	179	100	71	42	23	67	63	35	81	18	10	39	5	3	100	8	4	88	7	4	86	4	2	25	31	17	68
	2008	593	100	74	246	41	80	99	17	74	43	7	72	39	7	49	32	5	66	10	2	80	14	2	50	92	16	77
2009	193	100	63	47	24	66	42	22	64	17	9	47	10	5	60	7	4	86	8	4	25	2	1	0	56	29	71	
2010	482	100	66	149	31	64	138	29	74	30	6	47	27	6	67	12	2	75	9	2	56	7	1	71	95	20	65	
2011	172	100	72	48	28	65	59	12	81	8	2	75	9	2	56	5	1	100	4	1	50	2	0	50	34	7	74	
2012	530	100	72	209	39	82	98	20	71	23	5	57	13	3	38	23	5	57	11	2	73	12	2	50	99	21	79	
2013	137	100	72	35	26	80	28	6	71	14	3	50	9	2	67				4	1	50	4	1	50	39	8	79	
County Measures	1995	17	7	53			7	41	71	3	18	33				3	18	67				1	6	0	3	18	33	
	1996	114	20	44	1	1	100	44	39	59	12	11	33	8	7	38	16	14	13	1	1	100	4	4	75	16	14	31
	1997	24	7	63	1	4	100	5	21	60	3	13	100	2	8	0	5	21	40	5	21	80	1	4	100	1	4	0
	1998	125	22	59				25	20	76	13	10	62	14	11	36	12	10	33	18	14	72	16	13	75	12	10	25
	1999	38	13	63				5	13	80				3	8	33	3	8	67	7	18	29	8	21	88	7	18	86
	2000	116	21	49	1	1	100	22	19	64	17	15	35	14	12	36	16	14	44	8	7	63	16	14	44	3	3	33
	2001	37	16	73	2	5	100	12	32	58	1	3	100	7	19	100	6	16	67	4	11	75	1	3	0	4	11	75
	2002	98	15	56				34	35	71	7	7	71	15	15	33	11	11	36	7	7	57	5	5	40	12	12	67
	2003	28	16	64				10	36	90				5	18	40				2	7	100	2	7	50	6	21	17
	2004	140	20	54	3	2	33	32	23	66	14	10	14	22	16	50	13	9	54	4	3	50	21	15	76	17	12	41
	2005	57	19	63				12	21	67	6	11	33	6	11	33	8	14	75	9	16	78	9	16	78	4	7	50
	2006	95	17	52				28	29	54	10	11	70	11	12	55	8	8	38	2	2	50	15	16	40	12	13	33
	2007	29	16	76				14	48	93	5	17	0				5	17	100	2	7	100	2	7	50	0	0	0
	2008	90	15	62	1	1	100	25	28	76	7	8	86	14	16	43	14	16	50	4	4	75	7	8	57	11	12	64
2009	16	8	69				7	44	86	3	19	33				3	19	100				1	6	0	2	13	50	
2010	64	13	53				23	36	57	6	9	50	12	19	50	2	3	100	1	2	0	5	8	80	11	17	45	
2011	20	12	80	1	5	100	10	50	80				5	25	60	1	5	100				1	5	100	2	10	100	
2012	76	14	63	1	1	100	19	25	74	7	9	43	7	9	43	12	16	67	1	1	100	5	7	40	18	24	72	
2013	12	2	75				4	33	100	2	17	100	2	17	50							3	25	33				

TREND TABLE B NUMBER OF BALLOT MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TOPIC, JURISDICTION AND YEAR

	All Measures			Education			Governance			Land Use			Public Safety			Public Facilities			General Services			Transportation			Revenue			
	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	
City Measures	1995	119	47	71	4	3	100	56	47	86	13	11	69	12	10	50	11	9	45	0	0	0	1	1	0	2	2	100
	1996	374	65	60	2	1	100	170	45	68	42	11	62	31	8	55	22	6	55	8	2	38	4	1	25	71	19	49
	1997	144	42	58				38	26	68	16	11	63	10	7	50	10	7	40	33	23	58	3	2	33	9	6	78
	1998	283	49	60				101	36	62	33	12	73	27	10	56	20	7	70	10	4	100	7	2	57	62	22	47
	1999	114	40	54				45	39	53	29	25	41	11	10	64	1	1	100	7	6	86	0	0	0	16	14	56
	2000	297	53	60	7	2	71	119	40	64	56	19	61	18	6	61	23	8	83	12	4	50	5	2	40	2	1	0
	2001	93	40	69	3	3	0	33	35	73	6	6	67	4	4	25	11	12	64	3	3	67	3	3	33	27	29	89
	2002	309	47	60	1	0	0	110	36	65	37	12	38	27	9	70	24	8	54	13	4	62	5	2	40	72	23	63
	2003	89	50	67				42	47	69	15	17	60	7	8	57	5	6	60	4	4	100	6	7	33	7	8	100
	2004	337	47	59	2	1	100	107	32	75	44	13	64	33	10	45	24	7	29	19	6	74	4	1	75	91	27	47
	2005	135	46	61				48	36	73	22	16	41	12	9	50	6	4	50	9	7	56	4	3	25	29	21	72
	2006	253	46	64				81	32	62	41	16	59	26	10	81	14	6	43	10	4	60	7	3	71	49	19	69
	2007	108	60	71				49	45	78	13	12	54	5	5	100	3	3	67	5	5	80	2	2	0	31	29	68
	2008	258	44	73				74	29	73	36	14	69	25	10	52	18	7	78	6	2	83	7	3	43	81	31	79
2009	130	67	61				35	27	60	14	11	50	10	8	60	4	3	75	8	6	25	1	1	0	54	42	72	
2010	270	56	71	1	0	100	115	43	77	0	0	0	15	6	80	10	4	70	8	3	63	2	1	50	84	31	68	
2011	107	62	75				51	48	82	8	7	75	4	4	50	4	4	100	4	4	50	1	1	0	32	30	72	
2012	248	47	67	2	1	100	89	36	63	16	6	63	6	2	33	11	4	45	10	4	70	7	3	57	81	33	80	
2013	90	17	68				24	10	67	12	5	42	7	3	71				4	2	50	1	0	100	39	16	79	
School Measures	1995	117	46	52	117	100	52																					
	1996	85	15	62	84	99	63												1	1	0							
	1997	174	51	62	174	100	62																					
	1998	164	29	62	158	96	63	5	3	40													1	1	0			
	1999	131	46	62	119	91	59	12	9	92																		
	2000	146	26	63	143	98	62																					
	2001	103	44	71	100	97	73	1	1	0							2	2	0									
	2002	250	38	76	249	100	76																	1	0	0		
	2003	61	34	52	61	100	52																					
	2004	235	33	73	233	99	73																	2	1	100		
	2005	103	35	69	102	99	70	1	1	0																		
	2006	208	37	58	208	100	58																					
	2007	42	23	67	42	100	67																					
	2008	245	41	80	245	100	80																					
2009	47	24	66	47	100	66																						
2010	148	31	64	148	100	64																						
2011	47	27	64	47	100	64																						
2012	206	39	82	168	82	23																						
2013	35	7	80	35	17	80																						

TREND TABLE C COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT AND COUNTY SERVICE AREA MEASURES BY COUNTY

	1998			1999			2000			2001			2002			2003			2004			2005			2006			2007			2008		
	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing			
Butte	2	67	100				1	100	100																								
Calaveras																																	
Contra Costa	2	67	50				4	80	25	1	100	100	2	100	50				3	60	67	3	100	100	1	33	100	2	100	100	3	100	67
El Dorado	2	12	50				7	78	29				1	50	100	6	100	17	2	20	100	14	88	64	2	100	0	3	100	100	1	50	0
Fresno	1	50	100																														
Humboldt																			1	25	100	2	100	0							1	100	0
Imperial																						1	50	100									
Inyo							1	50	100																								
Kern	6	100	50				2	100	0	4	100	75							3	100	33				1	33	100						
Lake																			1	100	0									1	100	100	
Lassen							1	33	0				4	80	25				1	100	100				1	100	0						
Los Angeles																																	
Marin				4	100	100	5	100	80	10	91	90	3	100	100	1	100	100				2	100	100	4	100	100	1	100	100	3	75	100
Mendocino				1	100	0							1	100	0				1	50	100												
Monterey													1	100	0																		
Nevada							1	100	100																								
Orange	1	100	100													1	100	100															
Placer	1	33	100																											1	33	0	
Plumas							1	100	100	2	100	100				1	50	100															
Riverside	2	40	50	8	100	38				2	100	0	2	67	50	2	100	0	2	100	100												
Sacramento	2	40	100				3	75	33																					1	100	100	
San Bernardino	2	100	50				3	100	67				1	100	0	1	100	0	1	50	0			1	33	100			1	50	100		
San Diego	10	83	30				3	60	33	1	100	100	2	40	0				3	33	33			1	20	100							
San Joaquin																												1	100	100			
San Luis Obispo				5	100	100	1	33	0				1	50	100				4	67	50	4	100	100	5	83	40						
San Mateo																			1	14	100								1	25	100		
Santa Barbara										1	100	0												1	25	0							
Santa Cruz																							1	100	0								
Shasta							1	100	0																								
Siskiyou							2	100	100										5	83	0			2	100	0			3	75	33		
Sonoma							1	20	100	1	100	100				1	100	100						1	50	0							
Stanislaus																							1	50	0			1	25	0			
Sutter				1	100	0																		1	100	0							
Trinity																								2	100	100							
Tulare																			1	100	100	1	100	100									
Tuolumne				1	100	0	1	33	0																				1	100	100		
Yolo							2	67	50										1	25	0												
Total for CSD/CSA Measure Over All Counties	31	25	55	20	53	60	40	34	48	22	59	77	18	18	44	13	46	38	30	21	50	31	54	74	24	25	50	8	28	88	18	20	61

TREND TABLE C COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT AND COUNTY SERVICE AREA MEASURES BY COUNTY

	2009			2010			2011			2012			2013			1998-2013		
	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing
Butte																3	33	100
Calaveras										1	50	100				5	56	80
Contra Costa				1	50	100	2	100	100	1	100	100				25	78	72
El Dorado	1	100	0	3	75	67				1	50	0	2	100	50	45	59	49
Fresno							2	100	100							3	21	100
Humboldt							1	100	100				1	100	100	6	46	50
Imperial																1	13	100
Inyo																1	13	100
Kern				1	100	0							1	25	0	18	72	44
Lake																2	33	50
Lassen										1	100	0				8	67	25
Los Angeles													1	2	100	1	2	100
Marin	1	100	100	7	88	43	3	100	100	1	50	100	1	11	100	46	71	87
Mendocino										1	33	0				4	33	25
Monterey																1	9	0
Nevada										1	100	0				2	33	50
Orange																2	11	100
Placer										1	100	0				3	25	33
Plumas							1	100	0							5	71	80
Riverside				1	25	0										20	77	40
Sacramento																6	35	67
San Bernardino	1	100	100				1	100	100				1	9	0	13	48	54
San Diego																20	43	35
San Joaquin																2	33	100
San Luis Obispo										1	50	100				21	75	71
San Mateo										1	100	100				3	12	100
Santa Barbara	1	100	100							1	14	100				3	21	33
Santa Cruz																1	11	0
Shasta	1	100	100	2	100	0										4	100	25
Siskiyou																13	76	23
Sonoma										1	100	0				4	22	75
Stanislaus																2	25	0
Sutter																2	22	0
Trinity																2	40	100
Tulare																3	75	100
Tuolumne										1	100	100				4	40	25
Yolo	1	100	100							1	100	0				1	33	100
Yuba																3	25	33
Total for CSD/CSA Measure Over All Counties	6	38	83	15	23	40	10	50	90	14	18	50	7	58	57	308	46	58



TREND TABLE D NUMBER OF COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT AND COUNTY SERVICE AREA MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL COUNTY MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TYPE AND YEAR

	ALL CSD/CSA			TAXES			BONDS			ADVISORY			RECALLS			GANN LIMIT			ORDINANCE		
	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate
1998	31	25	55	22	18	45									1	1	0	8	6	88	
1999	20	53	60	16	42	56									3	8	100	1	3	0	
2000	40	34	48	28	24	29	1	1	100	3	3	67			6	5	100	2	2	100	
2001	22	59	77	12	32	75	2	5	100				3	8	100	3	8	100	2	5	0
2002	18	18	44	14	14	36									4	4	75				
2003	13	46	38	11	39	27												2	7	100	
2004	30	21	50	24	17	42				1	1	100			2	1	100	3	2	67	
2005	31	54	74	23	40	65	2	4	100				3	5	100	1	2	100	2	4	100
2006	24	25	50	15	16	47							4	4	25	1	1	100	4	4	75
2007	8	28	88	3	10	67							3	10	100	1	3	100	1	3	100
2008	18	20	61	11	12	45				1	1	0			4	4	100	2	2	100	
2009	6	38	83	3	19	67							1	6	100	1	6	100	1	6	100
2010	15	23	40	11	17	36							4	6	50						
2011	10	50	90	4	20	75				1	5	100	2	10	100	2	10	100	1	5	100
2012	14	18	50	11	14	36							1	1	100				2	3	100
2013	7	58	57	5	42	40				1	0	100			1	8	100				
1998-2013	309	30	58	215	21	47	5	0	100	7	1	71	21	2	76	30	3	93	31	3	81

TREND TABLE E COMPARISON OF PASS RATES FOR COUNTY-WIDE AND COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT/ COUNTY SERVICE AREA TAX MEASURES, 1998-2013

	NON-CSD/CSA COUNTY-WIDE MEASURES			CSD/CSA MEASURES		NON-CSD/CSA COUNTY-WIDE TAX MEASURES		CSD/CSA COUNTY TAX MEASURES	
	Total Number of County Measures	Number of Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	Percent Passing
1998	125	94	61	31	55	31	35	22	45
1999	38	18	67	20	60	5	20	16	56
2000	116	76	50	40	48	23	26	28	29
2001	37	15	67	22	77	2	50	12	75
2002	98	80	59	18	44	24	50	14	36
2003	28	15	87	13	38	1	0	11	27
2004	140	110	55	30	50	36	47	24	42
2005	57	26	50	31	74	1	100	23	65
2006	95	71	52	24	50	30	37	15	47
2007	29	21	71	8	88	0	0	3	67
2008	90	72	63	18	61	22	0	11	45
2009	16	10	60	6	83	1	0	3	67
2010	64	49	57	15	40	14	57	11	36
2011	20	10	70	10	90	3	67	4	75
2012	76	62	66	14	50	28	68	11	36
2013	12	5	100	7	57	0	0	5	40
1998-2013	1,041	734	59	309	58	221	44	215	47

TREND TABLE F NUMBER OF COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT AND COUNTY SERVICE AREA MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL COUNTY MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TOPIC &amp; YEAR

	ALL CSD/CSA			LAND USE			PUBLIC SAFETY			GOVERNANCE			ENVIRONMENT			TRANSPORTATION			PUBLIC FACILITIES			GENERAL SERVICES			REVENUE		
	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Percent Passing
1998	31	25	55				12	10	42				3	2	67	2	2	50	1	1	0	8	6	88	4	3	25
1999	20	53	60				2	5	50				3	8	0	5	13	100				5	13	40	5	13	80
2000	40	34	48	2	2	0	10	9	30	2	2	100				6	5	17	5	4	40	5	4	60	1	1	100
2001	22	59	77				6	16	100	4	11	75				1	3	0	5	14	60	4	11	75	2	5	100
2002	18	18	44				11	11	45	3	3	67							3	3	33	1	1	0			
2003	13	46	38				5	18	40	2	7	100													6	21	17
2004	30	21	50				17	12	47	1	1	0				4	3	50	4	3	50	1	1	0	2	1	100
2005	31	54	74	2	4	0	1	2	100	3	5	100				6	11	100	6	11	67	9	16	78	4	7	50
2006	24	25	50				7	7	71	7	7	43				2	2	50	3	3	0	2	2	50	3	3	67
2007	8	28	88							4	14	100				1	3	0	1	3	100	2	7	100			
2008	18	20	61				8	9	50	2	2	100				2	2	50				1	1	0	5	6	80
2009	6	38	83							2	13	100				1	6	0	2	13	100				1	6	100
2010	15	23	40				7	11	43	4	6	50				1	2	0							3	5	33
2011	10	50	90				4	20	75	4	20	100													2	10	100
2012	14	18	50	1	1	100	6	8	33	2	3	100				2	3	50	3	4	33						
2013	7	58	57				2	17	50	2	17	100				3	25	33									
1998-2013	309	30	58	5	0	0	98	9	16	42	4	11	6	1	1	36	3	6	34	3	6	38	3	8	39	4	8

TREND TABLE G NUMBER OF CANDIDATES BY JURISDICTION AND YEAR

	Number of Candidates			
	All Candidates	County Candidates	City Candidates	School District Candidates
1995	2,354	0	732	1,622
1996	5,330	667	2,141	2,522
1997	2,476	23	736	1,717
1998	5,354	1,037	1,893	2,424
1999	2,274	135	724	1,415
2000	5,012	796	2,166	2,050
2001	2,505	189	688	1,628
2002	5,896	1,266	2,188	2,442
2003	2,086	205	566	1,315
2004	5,035	782	2,212	2,041
2005	2,546	167	979	1,400
2006	5,498	1,136	2,132	2,230
2007	2,021	207	811	1,003
2008	5,237	782	2,282	2,173
2009	2,066	143	863	1,060
2010	6,022	1,177	2,321	2,524
2011	1,602	138	734	730
2012	5,208	776	2332	2,100
2013	1,688	152	818	768
Total	70,210	9,778	27,318	33,164

\*Runoffs are excluded from totals.

TREND TABLE H NUMBER OF CANDIDATES FOR MAJOR COUNTY OFFICES BY YEAR

	Total Number of Candidates	Number of County Candidates	County Supervisor Candidates		CSD/CSA Candidates	
			Number of Candidates	% of County Candidates	Number of Candidates	% of County Candidates
1995	2,354	0	0	0	*	*
1996	5,330	667	470	70	*	*
1997	2,476	23	19	83	*	*
1998	5,354	1,037	309	30	22	2
1999	2,274	135	5	4	109	81
2000	5,012	796	441	55	174	22
2001	2,505	189	0	0	186	98
2002	5,896	1,266	306	24	127	10
2003	2,086	205	10	5	175	85
2004	5,035	782	447	57	125	16
2005	2,546	167	4	2	155	93
2006	5,498	1,136	310	27	160	14
2007	2,021	207	10	5	161	78
2008	5,237	782	441	56	174	22
2009	2,066	143	0	0	141	99
2010	6,022	1,177	331	28	170	14
2011	1,602	138	6	4	103	75
2012	5,208	776	460	59	200	26
2013	1,688	152	11	7	138	91
TOTAL	70,210	9,778	3,580	37	2,320	24

\*The California Elections Data Archive did not collect information on CSD/CSA candidates until 1998.

\*\*Runoffs are excluded from totals.

TREND TABLE I PERCENT OF INCUMBENT CANDIDATES AND PERCENT OF PREVAILING INCUMBENTS BY MAJOR OFFICE, JURISDICTION AND YEAR

	% of All Candidates		% of County Supervisor Candidates	% of City Council Candidates	% of School District Candidates
	Year	Percentage	Percentage	Percentage	Percentage
Percent of Candidates who are incumbents	1995	27	0	18	30
	1996	27	24	23	28
	1997	30	5	23	33
	1998	32	30	26	32
	1999	30	0	23	32
	2000	30	30	27	32
	2001	30	0	24	32
	2002	34	34	27	36
	2003	31	0	22	35
	2004	33	28	28	37
	2005	31	0	23	36
	2006	35	29	29	36
	2007	31	0	27	33
	2008	34	30	30	38
	2009	34	0	26	39
2010	35	28	29	39	
2011	29	0	24	34	
2012	27	28	25	30	
2013	30	0	27	31	
1995-2013	31	28	26	34	
Percentage of Incumbents Who Win	1995	79	0	79	78
	1996	79	75	74	78
	1997	76	0	79	74
	1998	86	87	82	83
	1999	78	0	81	77
	2000	79	90	80	74
	2001	78	0	80	77
	2002	82	81	79	79
	2003	78	0	72	79
	2004	81	81	81	76
	2005	80	0	80	78
	2006	82	90	78	78
	2007	77	0	79	75
	2008	76	86	80	70
	2009	78	0	79	76
2010	82	83	82	79	
2011	82	0	82	82	
2012	74	78	74	71	
2013	77	0	82	74	
1995-2013	80	83	79	77	
Percentage of Winning Candidates who are incumbents	1995	50	0	41	51
	1996	48	51	41	47
	1997	49	0	45	50
	1998	57	63	48	53
	1999	51	0	45	52
	2000	52	73	51	49
	2001	50	0	51	50
	2002	57	63	50	56
	2003	51	0	40	55
	2004	55	59	51	57
	2005	52	0	50	52
	2006	56	68	51	55
	2007	50	0	54	48
	2008	56	61	55	54
	2009	54	0	51	55
2010	59	61	56	59	
2011	49	0	47	51	
2012	43	58	41	43	
2013	47	0	52	44	
1995-2013	53	61	49	52	

\*Runoffs are excluded from totals

---

2013 ELECTION SERIES SUMMARY:  
ELECTION OUTCOMES FOR  
COUNTY, CITY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT  
BALLOT MEASURES AND CANDIDATES

---

TABLE A SUMMARY OF OUTCOMES FOR ALL COUNTY, CITY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT BALLOT MEASURES BY TYPE OF MEASURE AND COUNTY, 2013

	TAXES		BONDS		CHARTER AMENDMENT		ADVISORY		INITIATIVE		RECALL		GANN LIMIT		ORDINANCE		POLICY/POSITION		ALL MEASURES		
	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	TOTAL
ALAMEDA	1	0																	1	0	1
CONTRA COSTA	3	0													2	0			5	0	5
EL DORADO	1	1																	1	1	2
FRESNO	3	1													0	1			3	2	5
HUMBOLDT	1	0																	1	0	1
IMPERIAL			1	0															1	0	1
KERN	1	1	0	1											0	1			1	3	4
Lake	0	1																	0	1	1
LASSEN											1	0							1	0	1
LOS ANGELES	13	3	1	0	3	3	1	0							8	8	1	0	27	14	41
MARIN	7	0	1	0									1	0					9	0	9
MENDOCINO	1	0																	1	0	1
MERCED	2	0			1	0													3	0	3
MONTEREY	1	0	1	1															2	1	3
NAPA															1	0			1	0	1
ORANGE															0	1			0	1	1
RIVERSIDE	1	1			1	0	0	1							0	1			2	3	5
SAN BERNARDINO	1	2	1	0								4	1			2	0		8	3	11
SAN DIEGO									1	0									1	0	1
SAN FRANCISCO					1	0			3	0									4	0	4
SAN JOAQUIN	1	0													1	0			2	0	2
SAN MATEO	7	1	2	1															9	2	11
SANTA CLARA	4	0	1	0	1	0									1	2			7	2	9
SANTA CRUZ	2	1													0	2			2	3	5
SOLANO					1	0													1	0	1
SONOMA	1	0													0	1			1	1	2
STANISLAUS	0	2													1	0			1	2	3
TULARE											2	0							2	0	2
YOLO															1	0			1	0	1
All Counties	51	14	8	3	8	3	1	1	4	0	7	1	1	0	17	17	1	0	98	39	137

TABLE B SUMMARY OF OUTCOMES FOR ALL COUNTY, CITY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT BALLOT MEASURES BY TOPIC OF MEASURE AND COUNTY, 2013

	EDUCATION		LAND USE		SAFETY		GOVERNANCE		TRANSPORT		GENERAL SERVICES		REVENUE		OTHER		ALL MEASURES		
	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	TOTAL
ALAMEDA	1	0															1	0	1
CONTRA COSTA	1	0					2	0					2	0			5	0	5
EL DORADO									1	1							1	1	2
FRESNO					1	0					0	1	2	1			3	2	5
HUMBOLDT					1	0											1	0	1
IMPERIAL	1	0															1	0	1
KERN	1	2			0	1											1	3	4
LAKE													0	1			0	1	1
LASSEN							1	0									1	0	1
LOS ANGELES	4	0	1	2	1	1	8	6					12	3	1	2	27	14	41
MARIN	4	0					1	0					4	0			9	0	9
MENDOCINO									1	0							1	0	1
MERCED					2	0	1	0									3	0	3
MONTEREY	1	1											1	0			2	1	3
NAPA			1	0													1	0	1
ORANGE			0	1													0	1	1
RIVERSIDE			0	1	0	1	1	1					1	0			2	3	5
SAN BERNARDINO	3	0	2	0			2	1	0	1			1	1			8	3	11
SAN DIEGO			1	0													1	0	1
SAN FRANCISCO			2	0			1	0							1	0	4	0	4
SAN JOAQUIN							1	0					1	0			2	0	2
SAN MATEO	5	1											4	1			9	2	11
SANTA CLARA	4	1	0	1	1	0	1	0					1	0			7	2	9
SANTA CRUZ	1	2	0	1									1	0			2	3	5
SOLANO							1	0									1	0	1
SONOMA			0	1									1	0			1	1	2
STANISLAUS											1	1	0	1			1	2	3
TULARE	2	0															2	0	2
YOLO											1	0					1	0	1
All Counties	28	7	7	7	6	3	20	8	2	2	2	2	31	8	2	2	98	39	137



TABLE C SUMMARY OF ELECTION OUTCOMES FOR ALL COUNTY, CITY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT OFFICES, 2013

		County Supervisor		Director, CSD*		Other County Offices		City Council		Other City Offices		School Board Member		Total	
		Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N
Incumbent Candidates	Win	0	0	64.7	33	100.0	1	81.7	138	83.3	35	74.4	177	76.6	384
	Lose	0	0	35.3	18	0.0	0	18.3	31	16.7	7	25.6	61	23.4	117
	Total	0	0	100.0	51	100.0	1	100.0	169	100.0	42	100.0	238	100.0	501
Non-Incumbent Candidates	Win	33.3	3	54.0	47	100.0	1	27.5	128	26.7	28	42.9	223	36.2	430
	Lose	66.7	6	46.0	40	0.0	0	72.5	337	73.3	77	57.1	297	63.8	757
	Total	100.0	9	100.0	87	100.0	1	100.0	465	100.0	105	100.0	520	100.0	1,187
Winning Candidates	Incumbent	0.0	0	41.3	33	50.0	1	51.9	138	55.6	35	44.3	177	47.2	384
	Non-Incumbent	100.0	3	58.8	47	50.0	1	48.1	128	44.4	28	55.8	223	52.8	430
	Total	100.0	3	100.0	80	100.0	2	100.0	266	100.0	63	100.0	400	100.0	814
Losing Candidates	Incumbent	0.0	0	31.0	18	0	0	8.4	31	8.3	7	17.0	61	13.4	117
	Non-Incumbent	100.0	6	69.0	40	0	0	91.6	337	91.7	77	83.0	297	86.6	757
	Total	100.0	6	100.0	58	0	0	100.0	368	100.0	84	100.0	358	100.0	874
All Candidates	Incumbent	0.0	0	37.0	51	50.0	1	26.7	169	28.6	42	31.4	238	29.7	501
	Non-Incumbent	100.0	9	63.0	87	50.0	1	73.3	465	71.4	105	68.6	520	70.3	1,187
	Total	100.0	9	100.0	138	100.0	2	100.0	634	100.0	147	100.0	758	100.0	1,688

\*Directors of Community Service Districts, and Community Service Areas

\*\*Runoffs are excluded from totals.

---

PART 1  
VOTE TOTALS, ELECTION OUTCOMES  
AND TEXT FOR COUNTY BALLOT MEASURES

---

TABLE 1.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2013

COUNTY	DATE	MEASURE	TITLE	TYPE OF MEASURE	TOPIC OF MEASURE	VOTE IN FAVOR	TOTAL VOTE	PERCENT OF VOTE	PASS OR FAIL
ALAMEDA	No County Measures								
ALPINE	No County Measures								
AMADOR	No County Measures								
BUTTE	No County Measures								
CALAVERAS	No County Measures								
COLUSA	No County Measures								
CONTRA COSTA	No County Measures								
DEL NORTE	No County Measures								
EL DORADO	5/7/2013	Measure A	Property Tax		Transport: Roads	19	31	61.3%	Fail <sup>F</sup>
		Measure B	Property Tax		Transport: Roads	63	70	90.0%	Pass <sup>T</sup>
FRESNO	No County Measures								
GLENN	No County Measures								
HUMBOLDT	11/5/2013	Measure K	Property Tax		Safety: Multiple Emergency Services	296	345	85.8%	Pass <sup>T</sup>
IMPERIAL	No County Measures								
INYO	No County Measures								
KERN	6/4/2013	Measure B	Property Tax		Safety: Police	491	798	61.5%	Fail <sup>F</sup>
KINGS	No County Measures								
LAKE	No County Measures								
LASSEN	9/10/2013	Recall 1	Recall		Governance: Recall	578	929	62.2%	Pass
LOS ANGELES	6/18/2013	Measure A	Advisory		Governance: Organization	45	64	70.3%	Pass
MADERA	No County Measures								
MARIN	11/5/2013	Measure H	Gann Limit		Governance: Budget Processes	1,109	1,431	77.5%	Pass
MARIPOSA	No County Measures								
MENDOCINO	No County Measures								
MERCED	No County Measures								
MODOC	No County Measures								
MONO	No County Measures								
MONTEREY	No County Measures								
NAPA	No County Measures								
NEVADA	No County Measures								

<sup>T</sup>Indicates measure required 2/3's vote to pass. <sup>F</sup>Indicates measure required 55% of the vote to pass. All other city measures required a majority vote.

TABLE 1.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2013

COUNTY	DATE	MEASURE	TITLE	TYPE OF MEASURE	TOPIC OF MEASURE	VOTE IN		PERCENT OF VOTE	PASS OR FAIL
						FAVOR	TOTAL VOTE		
ORANGE	No County Measures								
PLACER	No County Measures								
PLUMAS	No County Measures								
RIVERSIDE	No County Measures								
SACRAMENTO	No County Measures								
SAN BENITO	No County Measures								
SAN BERNARDINO	6/18/2013	Measure A		Business Tax	Transport: Roads	35	59	59.3%	Fail'
SAN DIEGO	No County Measures								
SAN FRANCISCO	11/5/2013	Measure A		Charter Amendment	Governance: Budget Processes	82,426	120,793	68.2%	Pass
		Measure B		Initiative	Land Use: Zoning	79,738	126,995	62.8%	Pass
		Measure C		Initiative	Land Use: Zoning	84,083	125,580	67.0%	Pass
		Measure D		Initiative	Other	97,804	122,494	79.8%	Pass
SAN JOAQUIN	No County Measures								
SAN LUIS OBISPO	No County Measures								
SAN MATEO	No County Measures								
SANTA BARBARA	No County Measures								
SANTA CLARA	No County Measures								
SANTA CRUZ	No County Measures								
SHASTA	No County Measures								
SIERRA	No County Measures								
SISKIYOU	No County Measures								
SOLANO	No County Measures								
SONOMA	No County Measures								
STANISLAUS	No County Measures								
SUTTER	No County Measures								
TEHAMA	No County Measures								
TRINITY	No County Measures								
TULARE	No County Measures								
TOULUMNE	No County Measures								
VENTURA	No County Measures								
YOLO	No County Measures								
YUBA	No County Measures								

## TABLE 1.2 TEXT FOR COUNTY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2013

EL DORADO	5/7/2013	Measure A	Fail (2/3 required)
Shall an annual special tax in an amount of \$270.00 on each improved parcel of real property and in an amount of \$120.00 on each unimproved parcel of real property be levied within Gilmore Vista Zone of Benefit commencing fiscal year 2013/2014 to be used only for road improvement and maintenance services, to replace the current benefit assessment?			
EL DORADO	5/7/2013	Measure B	Pass (2/3 required)
Shall an annual special tax in an amount of \$350.00 on each parcel of real property be levied within Meadowview Acres Zone of Benefit commencing fiscal year 2013/2014 to be used only for road improvement and maintenance services, to replace the current benefit assessment?			
HUMBOLDT	11/5/2013	Measure K	Pass (2/3 required)
Shall a special tax be levied for fire protection, emergency medical services and an expansion of the fire house by the Fieldbrook Glendale Community Services District of \$75.00 per assessor's parcel in Zone Two (2) in the District, such tax to continue for ten (10) years? If passed the special tax will supersede and replace the existing assessment.			
KERN	6/4/2013	Measure B	Fail (2/3 required)
Shall the Stallion Springs Community Services District levy an annual special tax beginning with fiscal year 2013 of up to \$120 per parcel for the sole purpose of funding police protection services, all as provided in district resolution 2013-02?			
LASSEN	9/10/2013	Recall 1	Pass
Shall Jack Hanson be recalled (removed) from the office of Board of Supervisor, 5th District?			
LOS ANGELES	6/18/2013	Measure A	Pass
(ADVISORY) Should the Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors appoint future positions on the Board of Directors for the Pasadena Glen Community Services District in lieu of new Directors being elected by the voters?			
MARIN	11/5/2013	Measure H	Pass
Shall the appropriations limit established for Marinwood Community Services District pursuant to Article XIII B of the California Constitution be increased over the appropriations limit established by said article for each of the four fiscal years 2013-2014 through 2016-2017 in the amount equal to the revenue received from the special tax for park maintenance services previously approved by the voters in March, 2005?			
SAN BERNARDINO	6/18/2013	Measure A	Fail (2/3 required)
Shall County Service Area 68 (Valley of the Moon), Zone R-1 be authorized to levy an annual special tax in an amount not to exceed \$125.00 with a 2.5% cost of living increase to provide road paving and related road services commencing on July 1, 2013, and continuing each year thereafter?			
SAN FRANCISCO	11/5/2013	Measure A	Pass
(INITIATIVE) Shall the City change its Charter to allow payments from the Retiree Health Care Trust Fund only when the Trust Fund is fully funded or only under specified circumstances?			
SAN FRANCISCO	11/5/2013	Measure B	Pass
(INITIATIVE) Shall the City allow a development project at the 8 Washington Street Site that would include new housing, retail and recreational facilities, and open space, and would increase the legal building height limits on a portion of the Site?			
SAN FRANCISCO	11/5/2013	Measure C	Pass
(INITIATIVE) Shall the City ordinance increasing legal building height limits on an approximately half-acre portion of the 8 Washington Street Site along Drumm Street take effect?			
SAN FRANCISCO	11/5/2013	Measure D	Pass
(INITIATIVE) Shall it be City policy to use all available opportunities to reduce the City's cost of prescription drugs and to ask state and federal representatives to sponsor legislation to reduce drug prices paid by the government?			

TABLE 1.3 SUMMARY OF ELECTION OUTCOMES FOR COUNTY BALLOT MEASURES BY TYPE OF MEASURE AND COUNTY, 2013

	TAXES		CHARTER AMENDMENT		ADVISORY		INITIATIVE		RECALL		GANN LIMIT		ALL COUNTY MEASURES		
	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	TOTAL
EL DORADO	1	1											1	1	2
HUMBOLDT	1	0											1	0	1
KERN	0	1											0	1	1
LASSEN									1	0			1	0	1
LOS ANGELES					1	0							1	0	1
MARIN											1	0	1	0	1
SAN BERNARDINO	0	1											0	1	1
SAN FRANCISCO			1	0			3	0					4	0	4
All Counties	2	3	1	0	1	0	3	0	1	0	1	0	9	3	12

TABLE 1.4 SUMMARY OF ELECTION OUTCOMES FOR CITY BALLOT MEASURES BY TOPIC OF MEASURE AND COUNTY, 2013

	LAND USE		SAFETY		GOVERNANCE		TRANSPORT		OTHER		ALL COUNTY MEASURES		
	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	TOTAL
EL DORADO							1	1			1	1	2
HUMBOLDT			1	0							1	0	1
KERN			0	1							0	1	1
LASSEN					1	0					1	0	1
LOS ANGELES					1	0					1	0	1
MARIN					1	0					1	0	1
SAN BERNARDINO							0	1			0	1	1
SAN FRANCISCO	2	0			1	0			1	0	4	0	4
All Counties	2	0	1	1	4	0	1	2	1	0	9	3	12

---

PART 2  
VOTE TOTALS FOR  
COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES

---



TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2013

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DISTRICT/ OFFICE	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	INCUM- BENT	NUMBER OF CANDIDATES	VOTES FOR CANDIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST <sup>1</sup>	PERCENT OF VOTE	ELECTED
ALAMEDA		No County Contests											
ALPINE		No County Contests											
AMADOR		No County Contests											
BUTTE		No County Contests											
CALAVERAS		No County Contests											
COLUSA		No County Contests											
CONTRA COSTA		No County Contests											
DEL NORTE		No County Contests											
EL DORADO	11/5/2013	DIRECTOR, Grizzly Flats CSD	Full	Davidson	Art	Incumbent	Yes	5	164	546	30.0%	Yes	
				Updike	Doug	Manager/Wildlife Biologist	No	5	158	546	28.9%	Yes	
				Sullivan	Don	Retired Public Administrator	No	5	126	546	23.1%	Yes	
				Cox	Elizabeth Frances	Doctorate Student	No	5	49	546	9.0%	No	
				Garrow	John S.	Businessman	No	5	49	546	9.0%	No	
		DIRECTOR, Hillwood CSD	Full	Seligsohn	Michael J.	Peace Officer	Yes	3	73	163	44.8%	Yes	
				Rozowski	John	Incumbent	Yes	3	65	163	39.9%	Yes	
				Egly	Lisa	No Ballot Designation	No	3	25	163	15.3%	No	
		FRESNO	11/5/2013	DIRECTOR, Easton CSD	Full	Ruiz	Sue	Appointed Incumbent	No	7	90	436	20.6%
Flores	Michael					Appointed Incumbent	No	7	79	436	18.1%	Yes	
Koop	Richard R.					Retired Construction Worker	No	7	62	436	14.2%	Yes	
Freddi-Norwood	Judy A.					Insurance Underwriter	No	7	61	436	14.0%	No	
Kendrick	Oscar					Retired	No	7	53	436	12.2%	No	
Koop	Rose E.					Retired Bank Teller	No	7	46	436	10.6%	No	
Wyatt	Treva D.					Housewife	No	7	44	436	10.1%	No	
GLENN		No County Contests											
HUMBOLDT	11/5/2013	DIRECTOR, Manila CSD	Full	Woolley	John	Governing Board Member	Yes	3	78	198	39.4%	Yes	
				Dengler	Dendra	Incumbent	Yes	3	65	198	32.8%	Yes	
				Glass	Violet	Mother	No	3	51	198	25.8%	No	
			Short	Kahle	Salena	Resource Center Manager	No	3	61	122	50.0%	Yes	
				Fennell	Michael	Governing Board Member	Yes	3	49	122	40.2%	No	
				O'Leary	Daniel E.	Retired Veteran	No	3	11	122	9.0%	No	

<sup>1</sup> Write-in candidate votes, when reported by the county, have been included in the total votes cast. For these contests, the sum of the candidates vote is less than the total vote cast

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2013

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DISTRICT/ OFFICE	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	INCUM- BENT	NUMBER OF CANDIDATES	VOTES FOR CANDIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST <sup>1</sup>	PERCENT OF VOTE	ELECTED
HUMBOLDT (continued)	11/5/2013	DIRECTOR, McKinleyville CSD		Full	Wheeler	George A.	Retired	No	4	1,341	5,116	26.2%	Yes
					Mayo	Dennis	Incumbent	Yes	4	1,311	5,116	25.6%	Yes
					Couch	David R.	Incumbent	Yes	4	1,288	5,116	25.2%	Yes
					Wennerholm	Bill	Incumbent	Yes	4	1,151	5,116	22.5%	No
IMPERIAL	11/5/2013	DIRECTOR, Bombay Beach CSD		Full	Town	Gloria B.	Incumbent	Yes	4	31	108	28.7%	Yes
					Connelly	Jim	Retired Business Manager	No	4	29	108	26.9%	Yes
					Ryan	Shirley J.	Incumbent	Yes	4	24	108	22.2%	Yes
					Johnson	Steven L.	Incumbent	Yes	4	23	108	21.3%	No
		DIRECTOR, Salton CSD		Full	Kerr	Forrest	Incumbent	Yes	6	131	629	20.8%	Yes
					Aldridge	Fran	Incumbent	Yes	6	125	629	19.9%	Yes
					Klontz	Chris	Retired Business Owner	No	6	123	629	19.6%	Yes
					Rynearson	Randall L.	CEO	No	6	99	629	15.7%	No
					Barrett	James G.	Paralegal/Law Student	Yes	6	76	629	12.1%	No
					English	Everett	Retired Editor	No	6	43	629	6.8%	No
INYO	No County Contests												
KERN	No County Contests												
KINGS	11/5/2013	DIRECTOR, Home Garden CSD		Full	Threadgill	Freddie	Retired	No	9	68	244	27.9%	Yes
					Reed	Harold E.	Incumbent	Yes	9	44	244	18.0%	Yes
					Phelps	Hazel L.	Resident Care Provider	No	9	39	244	16.0%	Yes
					Corona	Jesus "Jess" M.	Construction Technician	No	9	25	244	10.2%	No
					Fernandes	Edward A.	Incumbent	Yes	9	20	244	8.2%	No
					Fernandes	Beatriz K.	Customer Service	No	9	16	244	6.6%	No
					Minsch	Christopher Paul	No Ballot Designation	No	9	16	244	6.6%	No
					McDaniel	Carlene	No Ballot Designation	No	9	12	244	4.9%	No
		DIRECTOR, Kettleman City CSD		Full	Jimenez	Marcos Olea	No Ballot Designation	No	9	4	244	1.6%	No
					Barrera	Cecilio	Incumbent	Yes	5	33	130	25.4%	Yes
					Maldonado	Silvia	Incumbent	Yes	5	31	130	23.8%	Yes
					Carrillo	Jose Marcos	Small Business Owner	No	5	29	130	22.3%	Yes
					Martinez	Elias R.	Incumbent	Yes	5	21	130	16.2%	Yes
					Ware	Aletha	Incumbent	Yes	5	16	130	12.3%	No
LAKE	11/5/2013	DIRECTOR, Hidden Valley CSD		Full	Herndon	Linda	Incumbent	Yes	3	434	1,136	38.2%	Yes
					Mirbegian	Judy	Incumbent	Yes	3	402	1,136	35.4%	Yes
					Pritchard	Richard A.	Golf Shop Supervisor	No	3	300	1,136	26.4%	No
LASSEN	9/10/2013	COUNTY SUPERVISOR <sup>R</sup>	5	Short	Hammond	Tom	Merchant	No	1	586	638	91.8%	Yes

<sup>R</sup> To be elected if recall measure passes.

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2013

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DISTRICT/ OFFICE	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	INCUM- BENT	NUMBER OF CANDIDATES	VOTES FOR CANDIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST <sup>1</sup>	PERCENT OF VOTE	ELECTED		
LOS ANGELES		No County Contests													
MADERA		No County Contests													
MARIN	11/5/2013	DIRECTOR, Marinwood CSD		Full	Kai	Justin	Realtor	No	4	764	2,584	29.6%	Yes		
					Shea	Bill	Small Business Owner	No	4	723	2,584	28.0%	Yes		
					Anderson	Bruce A.	Incumbent	Yes	4	573	2,584	22.2%	No		
					Kleinman-Green	Leah Elizabeth	Incumbent	Yes	4	463	2,584	17.9%	No		
			Short				Dearborn	Deana	Facility Project Manger	No	2	929	1,316	70.6%	Yes
							Dudasko	Michael	Appointed Incumbent	No	2	381	1,316	29.0%	No
		DIRECTOR, Tamalpais CSD		Full			Brown	Jeffrey A.	Incumbent	Yes	3	896	2,217	40.4%	Yes
							Jacobs	Jim	Incumbent	Yes	3	882	2,217	39.8%	Yes
							Teysier	Luke	Computer Engineer	No	3	429	2,217	19.4%	No
		DIRECTOR, Tomales CSD	Short				Sims	Sue	Appointed Incumbent	No	2	46	80	57.5%	Yes
Knudsen	Eric V.						Director, Tomales Community Services District	Yes	2	34	80	42.5%	No		
MARIPOSA		No County Contests													
MENDOCINO	11/5/2013	DIRECTOR, Brooktrails CSD		Full	Orth	Tony	Retired	Yes	5	308	1,345	22.9%	Yes		
					Skezas	George	Retired	Yes	5	270	1,345	20.1%	Yes		
					Williams	Roy R.	Incumbent	Yes	5	268	1,345	19.9%	Yes		
					Paland	David	Legal Researcher	No	5	232	1,345	17.2%	No		
					Zalunardo	Gino N.	Retired	No	5	157	1,345	11.7%	No		
		DIRECTOR, Elk CSD	Full				Oliveira	Ed	Incumbent	Yes	5	94	334	28.1%	Yes
							Powers	Michael	Forester	No	5	93	334	27.8%	Yes
							Macmillian	Ben	Incumbent	Yes	5	91	334	27.2%	Yes
							Roy	Cecilia	Pre-School Teacher	No	5	32	334	9.6%	No
							McCarthy	Paul J.	Freelance Writer	No	5	18	334	5.4%	No
MERCED		No County Contests													
MODOC		No County Contests													
MONO		No County Contests													
MONTEREY	11/5/2013	DIRECTOR, Castroville CSD		Full	Melgoza	Adriana	Clinic Coordinator	No	4	341	978	34.9%	Yes		
					Montejano	Silvestre	IT Technician	No	4	277	978	28.3%	Yes		
					Pecci	David	Incumbent	Yes	4	213	978	21.8%	No		
					Gugale	Cornelia	Appointed Incumbent	No	4	147	978	15.0%	No		
NAPA		No County Contests													
NEVADA		No County Contests													
ORANGE		No County Contests													
PLACER		No County Contests													

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2013

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DISTRICT/ OFFICE	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	INCUM- BENT	NUMBER OF CANDIDATES	VOTES FOR CANDIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST <sup>1</sup>	PERCENT OF VOTE	ELECTED	
PLUMAS	11/5/2013	DIRECTOR, Plumas Eureka CSD		Full	Shepard	S. Frank	Incumbent	Yes	6	127	461	27.5%	Yes	
					Machado	Richard	Incumbent	Yes	6	121	461	26.2%	Yes	
					Walker	James L.	Incumbent	Yes	6	118	461	25.6%	Yes	
					Bunch	E. Gene	Retired	No	6	42	461	9.1%	No	
					Accomazzo	Stacey	No Ballot Designation	No	6	31	461	6.7%	No	
					Garner	Margaret	Real Estate Broker	No	6	22	461	4.8%	No	
	DIRECTOR, West Almandor CSD				Full	Horn	Dick	Insurance Agent	No	6	99	456	21.7%	Yes
						Willhoit	Michael	Retired Corporate Manager	No	6	95	456	20.8%	Yes
						Springfield	Philip	No Ballot Designation	No	6	87	456	19.1%	Yes
						Fricke	John W.	No Ballot Designation	Yes	6	71	456	15.6%	No
						Gonzales	John E.	No Ballot Designation	Yes	6	71	456	15.6%	No
						Hawkins	Richard	Retired Resource Manager	No	6	33	456	7.2%	No
RIVERSIDE	11/5/2013	DIRECTOR, Tenaja CSD		Full	Picchioltino	Sharon E.	Retired Teacher	No	3	107	303	35.3%	Yes	
					Inman	Bob E.	Incumbent	No	3	64	303	21.1%	Yes	
					Hoboy-Tenore	Karol Ann	Businesswoman	No	3	51	303	16.8%	No	
SACRAMENTO	No County Contests													
SAN BENITO	No County Contests													
SAN BERNARDINO	8/27/2013	DIRECTOR, Lake Arrowhead CSD		Full	Gross	Ryan	Civil Engineer	No	7	1,108	4,012	27.6%	Yes	
					Keefe	Steven R.	Appointed Incumbent	No	7	652	4,012	16.3%	Yes	
					Thompson	Bill	Appointed Incumbent	No	7	559	4,012	13.9%	Yes	
					Durand	Harvey	Business Owner	No	7	543	4,012	13.5%	No	
					Altmeyer	Jeff	Business Owner	No	7	516	4,012	12.9%	No	
					Sievers	Stan	Business Owner	No	7	389	4,012	9.7%	No	
					Smedley	Ronald G.	Retired Coach	No	7	245	4,012	6.1%	No	
	DIRECTOR, Morongo Valley CSD				Full	Lowe	Karen	Real Estate Agent	No	6	336	1,561	21.5%	Yes
						Brook	Kristina H.	Business Owner	No	6	287	1,561	18.4%	Yes
						Swarat	Gayl	Business Owner	No	6	280	1,561	17.9%	Yes
						Cunningham	John "Jack"	Construction Superintendent	No	6	276	1,561	17.7%	No
						Shepherd	Glen M.	Incumbent	Yes	6	214	1,561	13.7%	No
						Trowbridge, Jr.	William "Hap"	Videographer	No	6	168	1,561	10.8%	No
	DIRECTOR, Newberry CSD				Full	Springer	Robert	Assistant Fire Chief	No	4	135	376	35.9%	Yes
						Shaw	Robert L.	Retired	No	4	101	376	26.9%	Yes
	8/27/2013	DIRECTOR, Newberry CSD			Full	Vasseur	Robert	Retired	No	4	77	376	20.5%	Yes
						Williams	Diana H.	Incumbent	Yes	4	63	376	16.8%	No

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2013

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DISTRICT/ OFFICE	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	INCUM- BENT	NUMBER OF CANDIDATES	VOTES FOR CANDIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST <sup>1</sup>	PERCENT OF VOTE	ELECTED	
SAN BERNARDINO (continued)	11/5/2013	DIRECTOR, Helendale CSD		Full	Clark	Ron	Incumbent	Yes	4	385	1,174	32.8%	Yes	
					Smith	Timothy	Appointed Incumbent	No	4	311	1,174	26.5%	Yes	
					Schneider	Craig J.	Director, Helendale Community Services District	Yes	4	308	1,174	26.2%	Yes	
					Orfall	Norman C.	Businessman	No	4	170	1,174	14.5%	No	
		DIRECTOR, Phelan Pinon Hills CSD	Full	Morrisette	Al	Incumbent	Yes	5	631	2,971	21.2%	Yes		
				Pace	Cathy	No Ballot Designation	No	5	630	2,971	21.2%	Yes		
				Whalen	Dan	Civil Engineering Contractor	Yes	5	603	2,971	20.3%	Yes		
				Roberts	Mark	Incumbent	Yes	5	580	2,971	19.5%	No		
		Johnson	Charlie	Incumbent	Yes	5	527	2,971	17.7%	No				
SAN DIEGO	No County Contests													
SAN FRANCISCO	11/5/2013	ASSESSOR-RECORDER		Short	Chu	Carmen	San Francisco Assessor-Recorder	No	1	95,849	98,959	96.9%	Yes	
		CITY ATTORNEY		Full	Herrera	Dennis J.	City Attorney	Yes	1	95,323	98,367	96.9%	Yes	
		COUNTY SUPERVISOR	4	Short	Tang	Katy	Supervisor, San Francisco District 4	No	2	8,725	10,849	80.4%	Yes	
					Seredni	Ivan	Accountant	No	2	1,753	10,849	16.2%	No	
TREASURER		Full	Cisneros	Jose M.	San Francisco Treasurer	Yes	1	91,421	94,378	96.9%	Yes			
SAN JOAQUIN	No County Contests													
SAN LUIS OBISPO	No County Contests													
SAN MATEO	11/5/2013	MEMBER, Midcoast CC		Full	Olson	Dave	Software Engineer	No	5	1,054	4,292	24.6%	Yes	
					Johnson	Chris	Editor/Writer	No	5	995	4,292	23.2%	Yes	
					Janoe	Joel F.	Hotel Manager/Parent	No	5	854	4,292	19.9%	Yes	
					Deinzer	Erin R.	Freelance Journalist	No	5	836	4,292	19.5%	Yes	
					Windell	Claude R.	Realtor	No	5	553	4,292	12.9%	No	
SANTA BARBARA	No County Contests													
SANTA CLARA	6/4/2013	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	2	Full	Chavez	Cindy	Mother/Non-Profit Director	No	6	9,158	22,418	40.9%	Runoff	
					Alvarado	Teresa	Fiscal Audit Manager	No	6	7,205	22,418	32.1%	Runoff	
					Pham	Scott Hung	Local College Instructor	No	6	3,139	22,418	14.0%	No	
					Martinez-Roach	Patricia	Schoolteacher/Mother/Consultant	No	6	1,537	22,418	6.9%	No	
					La Jeunesse	Joseph	Deputy Sherriff/Father	No	6	711	22,418	3.2%	No	
					Wall	David S.	Retired City Employee	No	6	668	22,418	3.0%	No	
	7/30/2013	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	2	Full	Chavez	Cindy	Mother/Non-Profit Director	No	2	13,508	24,342	55.5%	Yes	
					Alvarado	Teresa	Engineer/Communications Manager	No	2	10,834	24,342	44.5%	No	
	SANTA CRUZ	No County Contests												
	SHASTA	No County Contests												
SIERRA	No County Contests													
SISKIYOU	No County Contests													

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2013

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DISTRICT/ OFFICE	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	INCUM- BENT	NUMBER OF CANDIDATES	VOTES FOR CANDIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST <sup>1</sup>	PERCENT OF VOTE	ELECTED
SOLANO	No County Contests												
SONOMA	11/5/2013	DIRECTOR, Graton CSD		Full	Coleman	Richard	Sebastopol Businessman/Entrepreneur	No	4	285	775	36.8%	Yes
					De Lil	Hollynn	Community Volunteer	No	4	282	775	36.4%	Yes
					Eagle	Jane	Incumbent	No	4	107	775	13.8%	No
					Roehl	John W.	Incumbent	No	4	99	775	12.8%	No
STANISLAUS	11/5/2013	DIRECTOR, Denair CSD		Full	Smith Jr.	Don	Retired Equipment Operator	No	3	325	900	36.1%	Yes
					Thomas	Diedre	Incumbent	Yes	3	317	900	35.2%	Yes
					Richardson	Ronald R.	Appointed Incumbent	No	3	254	900	28.2%	No
		DIRECTOR, Keyes CSD			Jones	Eddie	Retired Maintenance Supervisor	No	4	111	428	25.9%	Yes
					Parker	Johnathon	Incumbent	Yes	4	109	428	25.5%	Yes
					Alexander	William	Appointed Incumbent	No	4	104	428	24.3%	Yes
Landers	Davie	Incumbent	Yes	4	95	428	22.2%	No					
SUTTER	No County Contests												
TEHAMA	No County Contests												
TRINITY	No County Contests												
TULARE	11/5/2013	DIRECTOR, Richgrove CSD		Full	Cervantes	Chris	Housewife	No	4	72	227	31.7%	Yes
					Rivera	Anastacia	Preschool Teacher Aide	No	4	65	227	28.6%	Yes
					Quevedo	Cristina F.	Hospital Unit Representative	No	4	62	227	27.3%	Yes
					Rodriguez	Rose B.	Incumbent	Yes	4	27	227	11.9%	No
TUOLUMNE	No County Contests												
VENTURA	No County Contests												
YOLO	No County Contests												
YUBA	No County Contests												

TABLE 2.2 SUMMARY OF ELECTION OUTCOMES FOR COUNTY OFFICES, 2013

		County Supervisor		Director, CSD*		Other County Office		Total**	
		Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N
Incumbent Candidates	Win	0.0	0	100.0	33	0.0	1	65.4	34
	Lose	0.0	0	0.0	18	0.0	0	34.6	18
	Total	0.0	0	100.0	51	0.0	1	100.0	52
Non- Incumbent Candidates	Win	33.3	3	40.0	47	16.7	1	52.6	51
	Lose	66.7	6	60.0	40	83.3	0	47.4	46
	Total	100.0	9	100.0	87	100.0	1	100.0	97
Winning Candidates	Incumbent	0.0	0	41.3	33	50.0	1	40.0	34
	Non-Incumbent	100.0	3	58.8	47	50.0	1	60.0	51
	Total	100.0	3	100.0	80	100.0	2	100.0	85
Losing Candidates	Incumbent	0.0	0	31.0	18	0.0	0	28.1	18
	Non-Incumbent	100.0	6	69.0	40	0.0	0	71.9	46
	Total	100.0	6	100.0	58	0.0	0	100.0	64
All Candidates	Incumbent	0.0	0	37.0	51	50.0	1	34.9	52
	Non-Incumbent	100.0	9	63.0	87	50.0	1	65.1	97
	Total	100.0	9	100.0	138	100.0	2	100.0	149

\* Directors of Community Service Districts, County Service Areas, and Community Planning Areas

\*\*Runoffs are excluded from totals.

\*\*\*Percent may not sum to 100 due to rounding.