

CALIFORNIA COUNTY, CITY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT
ELECTION OUTCOMES:
CANDIDATES AND BALLOT MEASURES, 2007 ELECTIONS

CITY OFFICES AND BALLOT MEASURES

Timothy A. Hodson, Ph.D.,
Director, Center for California Studies

Ernest Cowles, Ph.D.,
Director, Institute for Social Research

Valory Logsdon
Research Analyst, Institute for Social Research

Center for California Studies
California State University, Sacramento
6000 J Street, Sacramento, CA 95819-6081
(916) 278-6906 FAX: (916) 278-5199

Institute for Social Research
California State University, Sacramento
6000 J Street, Sacramento, CA 95819-6101
(916) 278-5737 FAX: (916) 278-5150

Institute for Social Research Project Staff:

Michael Wright

Michael Small

Acknowledgements

The researchers would like to sincerely thank the county elections officials and staff throughout the State of California who took time to provide data to the project. Additionally, we are grateful to the Secretary of State's Office for its continuing support and interest in this project. Without their assistance, the completion of these yearly reviews and the California Elections Data Archive would not be possible.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION.....		i
2007 County, City and School District Election Dates by County		xv
Trend Table A	Number of Ballot Measures, Percent of Total Measures, and Percent Passing by Type, Jurisdiction and Year	xvii
Trend Table B	Number of Ballot Measures, Percent of Total Measures, and Percent Passing by Topic, Jurisdiction and Year	xx
Trend Table C	Community Service District and County Service Area Measures by County	xxiii
Trend Table D	Number of Community Service District and County Service Area Measures, Percent of Total County Measures, and Percent Passing by Type and Year	xxiv
Trend Table E	Comparison of Pass Rates for County-Wide and Community Service District/County Service Area Tax Measures, 1998-2007.....	xxv
Trend Table F	Number of Community Service District and County Service Area Ballot Measures, Percent of Total County Measures, and Percent Passing by Topic and Year	xxv
Trend Table G	Number of Candidates by Jurisdiction and Year	xxvi
Trend Table H	Number of Candidates for Major County Offices by Year	xxvi
Trend Table I	Percent of Incumbent Candidates and Percent of Prevailing Incumbents by Major Office, Jurisdiction and Year	xxvii
2007 ELECTION SERIES SUMMARY: ELECTION OUTCOMES FOR COUNTY, CITY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT BALLOT MEASURES AND CANDIDATES.....		1
Table A	Summary of Outcomes for <i>All</i> County, City and School District Ballot Measures by Type of Measure and County, 2007	3
Table B	Summary of Outcomes for <i>All</i> County, City and School District Ballot Measures by Topic of Measure and County, 2007	5
Table C	Summary of Election Outcomes for <i>All</i> County, City and School District Offices, 2007	7

PART 1 VOTE TOTALS, ELECTION OUTCOMES AND TEXT FOR CITY BALLOT MEASURES 9

 Table 1.1 Vote Totals for City Ballot Measures by County, 2007 11

 Table 1.2 Text for City Ballot Measures by County, 2007 16

 Table 1.3 Summary of Election Outcomes for City Ballot Measures
 by Type of Measure and County, 2007 24

 Table 1.4 Summary of Election Outcomes for City Ballot Measures
 by Topic of Measure and County, 2007 25

PART 2 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES 27

 Table 2.1 Vote Totals for City Office Candidates
 by County and Election Date, 2007 29

 Table 2.2 Summary of Election Outcomes for City Offices, 2007 57

CALIFORNIA ELECTIONS DATA ARCHIVE

INTRODUCTION

The California Elections Data Archive (CEDA) is a joint project of the Center for California Studies and the Institute for Social Research, at the California State University, Sacramento and the office of the California Secretary of State. The purpose of CEDA is to provide researchers, citizens, public agencies and other interested parties with a single repository of local election data. With over 6,000 local jurisdictions in California, the task of monitoring local elections is nearly impossible for individuals. CEDA addresses this problem through the creation of a single, cost-effective and easily accessible source of local election data. CEDA includes candidate and ballot measure results for county, city, community college, and school district elections throughout the State. CEDA thus represents the only comprehensive repository of local election results in California and one of a very few such databases on local elections in the U.S.

How the CEDA Data is Collected and Reported

Election data are collected periodically throughout each calendar year. This enables CEDA to incorporate results from special elections as well as all regularly scheduled elections. Election results from counties, cities, and community college and school districts are entered in the CEDA database from which three standard CEDA reports are generated. These reports include:

- **County Elections:** Candidates, ballot designations, and vote totals for all elected county offices; vote totals and text for county ballot measures.
- **City Elections:** Candidates, ballot designations, and vote totals for all elected city offices; vote totals and text for all city ballot measures.
- **Community College and School District Elections:** Candidates, ballot designations, and vote totals for all elective community college and school district offices; vote totals and text for all district ballot measures.

Ballot measures for all jurisdictions are coded according to type (e.g., charter amendment, taxes, bond measure, initiative, etc.) and to topic (e.g., education, public safety, governance, etc.).

THE CEDA PARTNERSHIP

THE CENTER FOR CALIFORNIA STUDIES

Located at California State University, Sacramento, the Center for California Studies is a public policy, public service and curricular support unit of the California State University. The Center's location in the state Capital and its ability to draw upon the resources of the entire State University system give it a unique capacity for making contributions to public policy development and the public life of California. Center programs cover four broad areas: administration of the nationally known Assembly, Senate, Executive, and Judicial Administration Fellowship Programs; university-state government liaison and applied policy research; civic education and community service through forums, conferences and issue dialogues; and curricular support activity in the interdisciplinary field of California Studies.

INSTITUTE FOR SOCIAL RESEARCH

Established in 1989, the Institute for Social Research (ISR) is a multidisciplinary institute that is committed to advancing the understanding of the social world through applied research. The Institute offers research expertise and technical assistance serving as a resource to agencies, organizations, the University and the broader community. Services provided by the Institute include research and sampling design, measurement, coding and data entry, computer assisted telephone and field interviewing, mailed and Internet surveys, focus groups, data base management, statistical analysis and report production. ISR has completed numerous projects with more than 50 federal, state and community agencies, several private firms and many administrative units of the university. Faculty affiliates of the Institute offer specific content expertise in a wide variety of disciplines, including the social sciences, health and human services, engineering and education.

CALIFORNIA SECRETARY OF STATE

The Secretary of State is, among other duties, California's chief elections officer with the responsibility of administering the provisions of the Elections Code. The Secretary must compile state election returns and issue certificates of election to winning candidates; compile the returns and certify the results of initiative and referendum elections; certify acts delayed by referendum, and prepare and file a statement of vote. Recent legislation permits but does not mandate that the Secretary of State compile local election results.

TRENDS IN LOCAL ELECTIONS: 1995-2007

CEDA now encompasses thirteen (13) years of election data, including three gubernatorial election years (1998, 2002 and 2006), four statewide elections (1996, 2000, 2004, and 2006) and six odd-numbered years devoted to local races. This report begins with an overview of some of the multi-year election trends then continues to a discussion of the 2007 contests.

BALLOT MEASURES


Each year, California voters are asked to consider a number of governance issues and to choose among candidates vying for public office. At all local election levels, there appear to be a number of consistent features across elections, while other election characteristics seem to vary considerably from year to year, particularly between on and off year election cycles. The following section discusses the patterns and trends over the 13 years of CEDA data collection.

Trends in the Number, Types, and Topics of Local Election Measures


- The number of local ballot measures offered to voters clearly seems to “piggy-back” on state and national elections. From 1995 through 2007 there were, on average, 415 ballot measures per year. In even number years, the average was 605 measures, while odd years average 252. During the 13-year period, 62% of all the ballot measures passed. In odd years, when a smaller number of measures are on ballots, the percentage of measures passed was slightly larger (63%) (See Trend Table A).
 - Among all the various types of ballots measures, *charter amendments* had the largest percentage of measures passed, with more than three-quarters (76%) of *charter amendment* measures passing during the 13-year period. The type of measure with the second best success was *recalls* with slightly more than two-thirds (68%) passing. However, it should be noted that this type had a small number of actual measures compared with some of the other categories. In terms of passage rates, following recalls were *bonds* (64%), *ordinances* (60%), *taxes* (51%), and *initiatives* (46%), respectively (See Trend Table A).
- Across the three governments levels—county, city and school district—at which data is collected, the largest average yearly number of ballot measures were seen at the city level (205; 49%), followed by the school district (140; 34%) and county (70; 17%). However, within these levels, the school districts had the largest percentage of measures passing (65%), followed by city (58%) and county (56%) (See Trend Table A).
- Interestingly, taken in total, 2007 saw the second fewest number of election measures, 179, since the collection of CEDA data was started. In fact, only 2003 had a smaller number of local election measures with 178, one less than the 2007 election year.
- Among the eight topic areas for local ballot measures, most commonly address education issues, with 34% of all measures between 1995 and 2007 focused on this topic. The number of such propositions has exceeded the number of measures dealing with other specific topics in all but two years, 1996 and again in the most recent 2007 election year. Interestingly, the 2007 year saw the education measures comprise its smallest proportion (23%) of all measures since the 1996 election (15%). In these two years, there were more governance than education measures.

- Prior to the 2007 election year, education measures appeared to have stabilized as a percentage of the total number of measures—slightly more than a third (33%-38%)—despite the large variation in the actual number of measures between odd and even year elections. At this point, it is uncertain whether the lesser 2007 proportion of measures targeted on education was an anomaly similar to the 1996 election, or whether a new trend is emerging. However, the proportion of education measures is clearly tied to the proportion of all measures that are school district measures, as school district ballot measures focus almost exclusively on education measures. To illustrate, during the 13-year period, 98 percent of school district measures were on the topic of education. By contrast, city level measures, which accounted for the highest total annual average of ballot measures (205), focused across the board on governance, land use, public safety, public facilities, general services and transportation, but had only 19 education measures during the entire 13-year period (See Trend Table B). From this, we may conclude that if school district measures drop off substantially as a portion of all local measures, then the dominance of education issues is likely to fade as well.
- Since CEDA starting tracking revenue as a separate topic area in 2000, this topic has represented approximately 11 percent of the total ballot measures in local elections. Among all county measures, revenue issues accounted for about 11 percent; but among city's measures, revenue accounted for about 19 percent of the issues. As only six revenue issues were at the school district level in the 13-year period, percentages for its portion of the school measures have little meaning.
- Figure 1 provides an overview of the number of ballot measures and the percent of measures passing in each of the 13 years of data. As can be seen in the blue trend line in Figure 1, the actual number of ballot initiatives vary substantially between odd and even years, but as can also be seen in the red trend line, the percentage of ballot measures passing remains fairly constant (See Figure 1).

 - Overall, 62 percent of ballot measures passed and the pass rates held fairly constant in even year elections (61% passing rate) and in odd years (63% passing rate) (See Trend Table A).



- Figure 2 provides an overview of the average (mean) number of local ballot measures and the percent of those measures that passed in each of eight topic areas for the past 13 years (1995-2007). As discussed previously, ballot issues dealing with education and governance displayed the largest overall average number of measures, but also revealed the highest average passing rate among the eight topic areas (See Figure 2).



*Revenue data has only been collected as a separate topic since 2000.

- The level of ballot measures also appeared to have little overall impact on the passing rate for various measures. County measures had the lowest passing rate at 56 percent overall, with school district measures having the best passing rate about ten percent better than county measures at 65 percent (See Trend Table B).
- County measures showed the greatest disparity in passing rates between odd and even year elections, faring much better in odd year elections. For example, county elections witnessed a 19 better passing rate for *tax* propositions, a 33 percent better passing rate for *recall* measures, a 23 percent better passing rate for *bond* proposals, and a 22 percent better passing rate for *initiatives* in odd as opposed to even year elections (See Trend Table A).
- Among the six types of ballot measures identified in the CEDA data, *charter amendments* and *recalls* had the highest pass rates, 76 percent and 68 percent respectively, while *initiatives* and *taxes* had the lowest pass rates with 46 percent and 51 percent (Again, see Trend Table A).


Trends in Bond and Tax Measures

- *Bonds* and *tax* measures each made up about 27% of the measures, totally just over half (54%) of all ballot measures over the 13 years of election results tracked by CEDA. *Ordinances* and *charter amendments*, affecting policy shifts in local government, constituted another 35%. *Initiatives* and *recalls* accounted for only 6% of the total local ballot measures (See Trend Table A).
 - School districts remain responsible for the vast majority of the *bonds* placed before voters—about 92% over 13 years of data collection. This is not surprising given the fact that bonds made up approximately 73% of the six types of measures in school district elections.
 - *Tax* measures are more dispersed, with a majority sponsored by cities (57%). Slightly less than a quarter (24%) is accounted for by counties, while less than one-fifth (18%) of local tax measures had their origin in local school districts (See Trend Table A).
- In the 13 years that CEDA has been collecting data, bond measures had much higher rates of passage than did tax measures. The average pass rate for bonds was 64%, while the pass rate for taxes during the period was only 51% (See Trend Table A).
 - Another trend observed during the 13-year data collection is that pass rates for tax measures are consistently higher in odd-numbered years than in even-numbered years—an average of 59% in odd years compared with 47% for the even-numbered years. As noted above with regard to general pass rates, counties saw the biggest differences between pass rates for taxes in odd versus even years, with an average pass rate of 57% in odd years and 38% in even years. The discrepancy for odd and even years was less for cities, with an average 59% pass rate in odd years and a 51% pass rate in even years. School districts also have a slightly better chance of passage of tax measures in odd than in even years—a pass rate for tax measures in odd years of 60 percent and of 55 percent in even years (See Trend Table A).
 - On the other hand, on average, pass rates for bond measures appear better in even-numbered years than in odd-numbered years (66% vs. 60% respectively). However, while bond measures are more likely to pass in even years versus odd years for cities (63% versus 54%) and in school districts (67% vs. 60%); they are more likely to pass in odd numbered years rather than even years for counties (73% vs. 50% respectively) (See Trend Table A).


Trends in Community Services Districts and County Service Areas Measures

Community Service Districts (CSDs) and County Service Areas (CSAs) were introduced just before the turn of the new century as an accommodation to the tax restrictions posed by Proposition 13. Portions of a county could form a special district and agree to tax themselves to provide services that the population as a whole might not support. CEDA began tracking community service district ballot measures in 1998. Despite considerable fluctuation in the number of CSD/CSA measures during the subsequent 10-year period, speculation that the number would increase over time is not supported by the trend data (see the dashed trendline in Figure 3 below). As seen in Figure 3, while the number of measures (represented by the solid line) increased dramatically from 1999 to 2000

and again in the 2003 through 2005 periods, the number experienced an equally sharp decline from 2000 to 2003 and again from 2005 through the current year of data collection. These increases and decreases are beginning to show a cyclical pattern with substantial increases followed by nullifying decreases. However, across these cycles, there appears to be a slight downward trend (again see the dashed line in Figure 3) can be seen in the yearly number of measures.



- As discussed in previous trend summaries, one important question is whether CSD/CSA measures lose effectiveness in terms of their passage rate as they become a larger percentage of all county measures. This year's data seems to add even further evidence that this is not the case. Although the 2003 election seems to be an anomaly in this regard, other years of data collection, particularly the last four, suggest that as CSD/CSA measures increase or decrease as a percentage of all county measures, their passage rate sees a corresponding increase or decrease. That is, when the percentage of county measures that are CSD/CSA oriented increases, their passage rate also increases and when they decrease, their passage rate also decreases (See Figure 4 below).
- In the ten years of CEDA data on CSD/CSA elections (since 1998), 225 ballot measures have dealt with these CSD/CSA issues in 35 different counties. However, the use of CSD/CSA measures varied widely among these counties. Six counties accounted for nearly two-thirds (64%) of CSD/CSA-related measures—Contra Costa (16), El Dorado (37), Kern (16), Marin (30), San Diego (26) and San Luis Obispo (20). By contrast, 25 counties have had 5 or fewer CSD/CSA measures on their ballots over the 10-year period (See Trend Table C).



- In the 10 years since their inception, the principal type of CSD/CSA measure has involved taxes (157; 70%). Interestingly, another funding mechanism, bond measures, has only appeared as CSD/CSA proposals five times (about 2% of the total measures). Behind taxes, Ordinances (21; 9%) and Gann Limit issues (20; 9%) were a distant second and third in terms of prevalence on the ballot. Recalls (10), Bond measures (5) and Advisory measures (4) together accounted for less than ten percent of the total number of measures during the 10-year period (See Trend Table D).

 - Overall, CSD/CSA-related tax measures were passed slightly less than one-half (47%) of the time. As with other tax related ballot measures, CSD/CSA measures in this area were more apt to pass in the odd-year elections (61%) and more apt to fail in even years (42%). CSDs/CSAs do slightly better than counties in passing tax measure (47% versus 42% respectively). On the other hand, cities do slightly better than CSDs/CSAs, passing 50% of their tax measures, while school districts enjoy the greatest success with these measures with a 58% percent passage rate (See Trend Tables A & D).
- With the addition of the 2007 election year data, when we separate out CSD/CSA measures from all county measures, we see that non-CSD/CSA measures had a slightly smaller percentage of measures passing, (57 percent) than did CSD/CSA measures with a 59 percent passing rate. However, CSDs/CSAs did much better than other county measures when the ballot measure involved taxes. County tax measures that are non-CSD/CSA related had a 38 percent pass rate, while CDS/CSA tax measures enjoyed a 48 percent passage rate (See Trend Table E).

- Public safety remains the most common focus of CSD/CSA measures (71 of the 225 measures; 32%). General Services (30) is the second most prevalent ballot measure, followed closely by Governance (29). Following these three are Transportation (26) Public Facilities (25), Revenue (17), Environment (6) and Land Use (4) measures respectively.
 - It is interesting to note that there were no Governance or Public Facility or Land Use measures for two years after tracking of CSDs/CSAs was initiated in 1998, but Governance has appeared as a CSD/CSA issue in every election since then, and Public Facilities measures have appeared in all but one (2003). By contrast, Land Use, which also did not appear as CSD/CSA measures in 1998, has only appeared in two elections, 2000 and 2005. Also interesting is the fact that three Environmental measures appeared in the first two years of CSD/CSA initiatives (1998 & 1999) but have not appeared since (See Trend Table F).

TRENDS REGARDING CANDIDATES


During the 13 years of CEDA tracking of local office elections, stable patterns have emerged with regard to the number of candidates seeking offices, and distribution of candidates across the various local offices that are tracked.

- The total number of candidates for local offices (county boards of supervisors, other county offices, city councils, and local school boards) is consistently over twice as high in even-numbered than in odd-numbered years (See Trend Table G).
- In the 13 years of CEDA data collection, school district candidates have comprised just under one half (48%) of all candidates for local offices. Candidates for city offices make up about 37 percent of the local candidates, while the smallest percentage of local election candidates reflects those seeking county offices (about 15%).
 - Off-year elections are dominated by school district candidates. With the exception of the 2005 and the recent 2007 elections, they make up about two-thirds of the candidates (between 62% and 69% of all candidates) on the ballot. In 2005, the percentage of school district candidates dropped to 55 percent and in 2007 the percentage dropped to 49 percent.
 - In on-year elections, the ratios between county candidates, city candidates and school district candidates become more balanced. Although there are many more school board races in even-numbered years, these candidates make up less than half of all candidates (40% to 46%) when the majority of county and city races take place (See Trend Table G). In two of the even year elections, 2000 and 2004, there was a slightly greater percentage of city candidates (43% respectively) than of school district candidates (40% respectively).
 - The percentage of candidates running for county offices “pops” upward on on-cycle election years. For example, in 1998, 2002 and 2006, the county candidates averaged 22 percent of all local election candidates; however, during the other 10 years of data collection, the county candidates comprised only nine percent of all the candidates.

- On average, during the 13 years of CEDA data collection, slightly less than one-third (about 31%) of all candidates for local offices were incumbents.
 - About 33 percent of those seeking school district seats were incumbents.
 - Approximately 25 percent of those seeking city council positions were incumbents.
 - About 25 percent of those seeking county supervisor seats were incumbents (See Trend Table I).

- Nearly four out of every five (79%) incumbents running for local reelection win their respective offices (See Figure 5 and Trend Table I).
 - Seventy-seven (77%) percent of incumbent school district candidates win their elections.
 - About 79 percent of incumbent city council office holders win their elections.
 - About 81 percent of those running for county supervisor¹ seats held the office (See Trend Table I).

- In local elections, during the past 13 years, a little more than half (52%) of winning candidates are incumbents. This means that the local political area is seeing a fresh mixture of individuals comprising local elected offices and bodies with each election cycle. Conversely, this also suggests that fears of control of these institutions by a group of long-term political incumbents may be overstated.



¹ This percentage is calculated on those years in which county supervisors were normally up for election. In off years there were either no candidates or a very small number running for vacated seats.

2007 ELECTION DATA

BALLOT MEASURES

A total of 179 ballot measures were on local election ballots in 2007 of which 127 or 71 percent were enacted. The popular misconception that all ballot measures are a result of citizen initiatives was, once again, undercut by the data. In 2007 only a single ballot measure was placed on the ballot through the initiative process and it failed. The other 178 measures were placed on the ballot by the actions of county boards of supervisors, city councils and school boards.

The most notable developments in local ballot measures in 2007 were the striking decline in bond measures and the equally striking popularity of tax measures.

Since 1995, the mean number of bond measures at odd-number years is 71 with a 60 percent pass rate. In 2005, local voters faced 57 separate bond measures totaling more than \$6 trillion of which 75 percent were approved. In contrast, during 2007, there were only 22 county, city and school district bonds totaling of only \$1.894 billion. The voters approved only 55 percent, turning down ten measures totaling \$532.4 million. Both in the number of bonds and the pass rates, 2007 ranks (with 2003) as the second worst for bonds since 1995.

Although CEDA Reports represent the collection and reporting of election data and not a thorough analysis of those results, the dramatic decline in the number and passage of local bond measures in 2007 is intriguing. In 2006, Californians turned down both state bond measures on the June primary ballot but approved four such measures in November for a 67 percent pass rate. At the local level, 59 percent passed of the 184 total bond measures; the second highest total of measures since 1995. Hence, there is no clear pattern of decline that would explain the 2007 data. It is possible that the passage since 2002 of 482 bond measures worth trillions of dollars have satiated the demand and/or capacity of local government bonds. It is also possible that the weakened state and national economies as well as tighter credit markets have lessened the attractiveness of bonds as a mechanism of local government finance.

Tax measures at county, city and school district levels were dramatically successful in 2007. A total of 61 tax measures faced local voters who approved 45 or 74 percent. This is the highest pass rate for tax measures since 1995. Indeed, the average number of tax measures in odd numbered years since 1995 is 69 with a pass rate of 59 percent.

Conventional political wisdom in California is that voters are tax-phobic and proposals to create or increase taxes are non-starters. The data does not confirm conventional wisdom.

City voters passed judgment on a total of 40 tax measures and approved 29 (73 percent). The successful measures included:

- Four new parcel taxes (California City, Clayton, Monrovia & Ceres)—two parcel tax increases and two parcel tax extensions;
- Four sales tax increases (Selma, Delano, Hollister & Ceres);
- Four extensions of utility taxes and one utility tax increase (South Pasadena);
- Two occupancy or hotel tax increases (Desert Hot Springs & Palo Alto); and
- The City of Long Beach increased its oil production tax.

Voters approved seven measures *reducing* telecommunications utilities user taxes, as well as rejecting:

- Three sale tax increases;
- Two parcel taxes (one new, one extension);
- One utility and one water tax extension; and,
- One occupancy/hotel tax increase.

Voters in Rancho Palos Verdes also rejected an effort to repeal the city's storm drain user fee.

School districts placed 18 tax measures on their ballots of which 14 passed and four failed. All measures pertained to parcel taxes. One new parcel tax was created (Mammoth Unified in Mono County), one increased (Las Lomitas Elementary in San Mateo County), and 12 parcel taxes were extended.

At the county level, there were only three tax measures, two were approved by the voters and one was rejected: Calaveras County rejected a parcel tax in the Bar XX service area while Contra Costa approved a new parcel tax for the Port Costa area and Marin increased the parcel tax for dredging.

Finally, there were a total of 13 recall measures in 2007 and all succeeded. This 100 percent success rate has only occurred on one other occasion (2000) since 1995. The recalls of 2007 were also noteworthy for the large numbers of members in a single governing body being recalled. For example, three members of the Mortara Circle Community Services District (El Dorado County) were recalled as were four of five members of the Lynnwood City Council and all five members of the Potero Community Planning Group (San Diego County). The latter had all voted to approve a large training facility for Blackwater Security.

County Measures. Voters in only eight of California's 58 counties (including the City and County of San Francisco) passed judgment on 29 ballot measures of which 22 passed for a pass rate of 76 percent. The pass rate is the highest since 1995 but the total number of measures was the third lowest in odd-numbered years since 1995. In 2005, in contrast, county voters faced 57 ballot measures and approved 63 percent.

Most county measures were ordinances (16 or 55 percent), recalls (8 or 28 percent). There were three tax measures (see above), one bond, and one Gann Limit measure. Topics covered by the county measures included governance issues (14 or 48 percent of all county measures); facilities (5 or 17 percent) and land use (5 or 17 percent). It is interesting to note that all five land use measures failed, including four measures in Monterey County. Monterey County voters both refused to ratify a General Plan adopted by the County Board of Supervisors and rejected a measure to repeal that General Plan.

City Measures. A total of 108 ballot measures faced voters in 57 different cities, from Los Angeles to Ceres. Those voters approved 77, rejected 31 for an overall pass rate of 71 percent, the highest since 1995.

Most city measures were tax measures (40 or 37 percent), charter amendments (38 or 35 percent), or ordinances (19 or 18 percent). The most common topics of ballot measures were governance issues (49 or 45 percent), revenue measures (31 or 29 percent) and land use (13 or 12 percent). Land use measures had mixed results with 54 percent passing and 46 percent failing. Not surprisingly, measures affecting public safety had a 100 percent pass rate.

Of particular note were measures in Burbank, Long Beach, Sunnyvale and Pacific Grove. Collectively, the cities accounted for almost a third of all municipal measures. Burbank rejected an increase in its hotel tax as well as three measures seeking to make elective positions appointed. Long Beach voters were faced with eight measures that would have significantly altered the structure of city government. Voters opted to increase the tax on oil production and give the mayor new powers, including a line-item budget veto, but rejected a modification of term limits and an independent commission to set elected officials salaries. Pacific Grove had nine measures of which six cleaned-up the city charter. Finally, Sunnyvale with 11 measures addressed nine changes in the city charter, including a measure repealing an unconstitutional requirement that the city manager reside in Sunnyvale and enacting a provision “strongly encouraging” the city manager to do so.

School District Measures. California school and community college districts generated only 42 ballot measures of which 28 were enacted for a pass rate of 67 percent. This is, by far, the lowest number of school district measures since 1995; the previous low being 61 in 2003.

School districts placed on the ballot the fewest bond measures since 1995 (19 of which 11 or 58 percent passed) and one of the smallest total bond offerings (\$1.7 billion of which \$1.3 billion was approved). In contrast, in 2005 voters faced 52 school bond measures and approved 42 or 77 percent representing \$6 trillion dollars.

Tax measures represented 43 percent of all school district measures, or 18 of 42. Voters approved 14 or 78 percent, the second highest approval rating for tax measures since 1995. As noted above, all these tax measures were parcel tax extensions, or increases plus one school district established a parcel tax.

It is interesting to note that for the first time in the 13 full years of CEDA data, not a single member of a school board faced a recall election. The average since 1995 has been seven recall elections per year.

CANDIDATE ELECTIONS

A total of 2,051 Californians heeded the call of public service and sought local elective office in 2007. This is the lowest number since 1995, the next lowest being 2,106 in 2003. A total of 207 Californians sought county offices (including community service districts/areas CSD/CSAs), 833 sought city offices and 1,011 school district office. These elections filled a total of 972 local offices, including 100 county offices (95 of which were CSD/CSAs); 357 city offices; and 515 school district offices.

As in 2005 and 2006, the data for 2007 clearly show that high incumbent reelection rates do not mean that boards of supervisors, city councils, school districts and other elective positions are in the grip of an entrenched incumbent class. Reality is far different. Re-election rates only show the success of incumbents who opt to seek reelection. Such data do not indicate the turnover among elected officials; i.e., when the electoral dust clears, how many non-incumbents were elected. To illustrate, on a five member city council, there could be a 100 percent incumbent reelection rate not because five incumbents were reelected but because the two incumbents who chose to run again were elected while the other three opted not to run and were replaced by newcomers.

County Races. A total of 100 Californians were elected to county offices in 2007. County offices sought included 95 CSD director positions, one county supervisor, two district attorneys, one sheriff and one mayor (in the city-county of San Francisco). The number of those seeking county office is always small in odd numbered years as most counties hold elections in even-numbered years. The 2007 candidates, however, represented the second highest number of county office candidates in an odd numbered year since 1995, a function of the increase in CSDs.

Of the 100 winners in 2007, 52 were incumbents who enjoyed a 69 percent reelection rate but represented only 36 percent of winning candidates. Incumbent sheriffs and district attorneys were generally successful in retaining office but directors of community service districts were far less successful. CSD incumbents had a reelection rate of only 67 percent but of all CSD directors elected only 35 percent were incumbents with 33 percent of incumbents having been defeated.

City Races. Given the form of general law and many charter cities in California, it is not surprising that the majority of candidates for municipal offices ran for city council. Indeed, of the 833 candidates, 727 were city council candidates. There were also 43 candidates for mayoral positions, 34 for city clerk, 26 for city treasurer, and three for city attorney. The total number of candidates for city offices in 2007 was 833, about average for odd-numbered years.

The winners in city council elections included 153 incumbents and 141 non-incumbents. Incumbents enjoyed a 78 percent reelection rate but represented only 52 percent of winners. On the other hand, incumbent mayors posted an 89 percent reelection rate and represented 76 percent of the winners. Incumbent city attorneys and clerks enjoyed even higher reelection rates (100 percent) but incumbents represented 50 percent of winning city attorneys and 68 percent for city clerks.

School Board Races. A total of 1,011 Californians ran for school board trustee positions in 2007. In contrast to county and city elections, the total is lower than expected and, indeed, is the lowest since 1995. Of these candidates, only 330 or 33 percent were incumbents of who 246 won for an incumbent reelection rate of 75 percent. But the majority of people elected to school boards were non-incumbents: of the 515 who won, 52 percent were non-incumbents and only 48 percent were incumbents. The decline in the number of Californians seeking school board positions and the relatively high number of non-incumbents elected may indicate the difficulties of serving on a school board in a period of fiscal challenges.

2007 COUNTY, CITY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT ELECTION DATES BY COUNTY

	1/9	2/6	2/27	3/6	3/27	4/3	4/10	4/17	4/24	5/1	5/8	5/15	5/22	6/5	6/12	8/28	9/18	9/25	11/6	12/11	12/18
Alameda														✓					✓		
Calaveras				✓															✓		
Colusa																			✓		
Contra Costa											✓			✓		✓			✓		
El Dorado																			✓		
Fresno																			✓		
Glenn																			✓		
Humboldt																			✓		
Imperial														✓					✓		
Inyo				✓															✓		
Kern				✓									✓	✓			✓		✓		
Lake																			✓		
Los Angeles	✓		✓	✓		✓	✓	✓		✓	✓	✓		✓	✓			✓	✓		✓
Marin				✓							✓								✓		
Mendocino						✓													✓		
Merced																			✓		
Mono																			✓		
Monterey														✓					✓		
Nevada															✓						
Orange		✓												✓					✓		
Placer									✓										✓		
Riverside				✓										✓					✓		
Sacramento					✓														✓		
San Benito																			✓		
San Bernardino														✓					✓		
San Diego				✓										✓						✓	
San Francisco																			✓		
San Joaquin																			✓		
San Luis Obispo																			✓		

2007 COUNTY, CITY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT ELECTION DATES BY COUNTY

	1/9	2/6	2/27	3/6	3/27	4/3	4/10	4/17	4/24	5/1	5/8	5/15	5/22	6/5	6/12	8/28	9/18	9/25	11/6	12/11	12/18
San Mateo				✓							✓								✓		
Santa Barbara																			✓		
Santa Clara				✓										✓					✓		
Shasta																			✓		
Siskiyou				✓																	
Solano								✓												✓	
Sonoma											✓									✓	
Stanislaus																				✓	
Trinity																				✓	
Tulare														✓						✓	
Tuolumne																				✓	
Ventura											✓									✓	
Yolo																				✓	
Yuba														✓							

TREND TABLE A NUMBER OF BALLOT MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TYPE, JURISDICTION AND YEAR

	ALL MEASURES			BONDS			TAXES			ORDINANCE			RECALLS			INITIATIVES			CHARTER AMENDMENT		
	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate
ALL MEASURES																					
1995-2007	415	100	62	112	27	64	112	27	51	91	22	60	16	4	68	10	2	46	54	13	76
EVEN YEARS	605	100	61	159	26	66	163	27	47	141	23	59	17	3	67	16	3	45	79	13	75
ODD YEARS	252	100	63	71	28	60	69	27	59	48	19	61	15	6	69	6	2	49	32	13	79
COUNTY																					
1995-2007	70	17	56	2	3	60	28	39	42	22	31	63	2	3	71	2	3	47	8	11	65
EVEN YEARS	114	19	53	3	2	50	46	40	38	35	31	61	2	1	50	5	4	45	15	13	65
ODD YEARS	32	13	67	2	7	73	12	36	57	10	32	70	3	8	83	0	1	67	2	8	65
CITY																					
1995-2007	205	49	58	6	3	60	64	31	50	62	30	54	7	3	63	8	4	45	46	22	78
EVEN YEARS	310	51	60	10	3	63	100	32	51	97	31	57	9	3	65	11	4	45	65	21	77
ODD YEARS	115	46	64	3	3	54	34	29	59	31	27	53	5	4	74	5	4	46	30	26	80
SCHOOL DISTRICT																					
1995-2007	140	34	65	103	73	65	21	15	58	8	6	78	7	5	67	0	0	100			
EVEN YEARS	182	30	67	146	80	67	18	10	55	9	5	76	6	3	74	0	0	0			
ODD YEARS	104	41	62	66	63	60	23	22	60	7	7	80	8	7	62	0	0	100			

TREND TABLE A NUMBER OF BALLOT MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TYPE, JURISDICTION AND YEAR

	ALL MEASURES			BONDS			TAXES			ORDINANCE			RECALLS			INITIATIVES			CHARTER AMENDMENT			
	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	
ALL MEASURES	1995	252	100	61	91	36	47	26	10	35	46	18	61	8	3	88	8	3	50	54	21	93
	1996	573	100	57	64	11	59	141	25	40	176	31	58	33	6	70	18	3	39	115	20	73
	1997	342	100	60	127	37	59	100	29	56	45	13	69	29	8	38	7	2	71	31	9	81
	1998	572	100	60	144	25	58	162	28	48	115	20	58	19	3	74	9	2	56	94	16	77
	1999	283	100	59	107	38	59	54	19	57	68	24	57	14	5	69	10	4	40	20	7	50
	2000	559	100	59	135	24	60	122	22	39	154	28	58	11	2	100	21	4	67	79	14	67
	2001	233	100	70	73	31	75	68	29	72	33	14	58	21	9	71	1	0	100	25	11	60
	2002	657	100	65	245	37	76	155	24	54	136	21	54	8	1	63	10	2	40	77	12	77
	2003	178	100	62	22	12	55	62	35	48	47	26	70	9	5	89	5	3	40	24	13	75
	2004	715	100	63	179	25	75	258	36	47	144	20	64	11	2	73	14	2	29	72	10	79
	2005	295	100	64	57	19	74	111	38	58	59	20	54	11	4	82	7	2	43	35	12	89
	2006	555	100	60	184	33	59	142	26	56	123	22	63	17	3	29	22	4	36	39	7	82
	2007	179	100	71	22	12	55	61	34	74	40	22	58	13	7	100	1	1	0	38	21	79
COUNTY MEASURES	1995	17	7	53				6	35	33	2	12	0						6	35	83	
	1996	115	20	49	4	3	50	35	30	29	35	30	54	4	3	100	7	6	14	23	20	65
	1997	24	7	63	7	29	57	7	29	71	4	17	100	2	8	50				4	17	25
	1998	121	21	59	1	1	0	50	41	38	31	26	72				4	3	25	25	21	76
	1999	33	17	67	1	3	100	16	48	50	8	24	63							4	12	100
	2000	116	21	49	6	5	83	51	45	29	28	24	50				8	7	88	8	7	38
	2001	36	15	75	3	8	100	13	36	77	11	31	64	4	11	75				1	3	0
	2002	98	15	56	5	5	20	38	39	45	39	40	67	1	1	0	2	2	50	7	7	71
	2003	28	16	64				12	43	25	15	54	100	1	4	0						
	2004	142	20	54				59	42	44	47	33	62	1	1	0	4	3	25	18	13	56
	2005	57	19	63	3	5	67	24	42	65	16	28	56	3	5	100	3	5	67	2	4	50
	2006	93	17	52				44	47	41	30	32	60	4	4	25	2	2	50	6	6	83
	2007	29	16	76	1	3	100	3	10	67	16	55	63	8	28	100						

TREND TABLE A NUMBER OF BALLOT MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TYPE, JURISDICTION AND YEAR

		ALL MEASURES			BONDS			TAXES			ORDINANCE			RECALLS			INITIATIVES			CHARTER AMENDMENT		
		Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate
CITY MEASURES	1995	118	47	71	4	3	75	7	6	29	38	32	58				7	6	43	48	41	94
	1996	371	65	58	10	3	30	98	26	43	120	32	58	25	7	76	11	3	55	92	25	75
	1997	144	42	58	2	1	50	70	49	50	28	19	54	9	6	22	7	5	71	27	19	89
	1998	287	50	60	9	3	78	102	36	48	79	28	53	7	2	43	5	2	80	69	24	77
	1999	119	42	53	4	3	75	27	23	52	48	40	48	8	67	100	10	8	40	16	13	38
	2000	297	53	60	11	4	82	65	22	45	113	38	56	6	2	100	13	4	64	71	24	70
	2001	94	40	68	8	9	63	32	34	72	18	19	61	3	3	100	1	1	100	24	26	63
	2002	309	47	60	12	4	83	102	33	58	94	30	48	5	2	60	8	3	38	70	23	77
	2003	89	50	67	2	2	50	14	16	71	29	33	55	6	7	100	5	6	40	24	27	75
	2004	338	47	59	7	2	43	148	44	46	92	27	63	6	2	67	10	3	30	54	16	87
	2005	135	46	61	2	1	0	47	35	55	37	27	51	3	2	33	4	3	25	33	24	91
2006	255	46	64	10	4	50	83	33	69	85	33	61	6	2	17	20	8	35	33	13	82	
2007	108	60	71	2	2	0	40	37	73	19	18	53	5	5	100	1	1	0	38	35	79	
SCHOOL DISTRICT MEASURES	1995	117	46	51	87	74	45	13	11	38	6	5	100	8	7	88	1	1	100			
	1996	87	15	61	50	57	66	8	9	63	21	24	62	4	5	0						
	1997	174	51	62	118	68	59	23	13	70	13	7	92	18	10	44						
	1998	164	29	62	134	82	57	10	6	100	5	3	40	12	7	92						
	1999	131	46	62	102	78	58	11	8	82	12	9	92	6	5	33						
	2000	146	26	63	118	81	57	6	4	67	13	9	92	5	3	100						
	2001	103	44	71	62	60	76	23	22	70	4	4	25	14	14	64						
	2002	250	38	75	228	91	76	15	6	53	3	1	100	2	1	100						
	2003	61	34	52	20	33	55	36	59	47	3	5	67	2	3	100						
	2004	235	33	73	172	73	77	51	22	53	5	2	100	4	2	100						
	2005	103	35	69	52	50	77	40	39	55	6	6	67	5	5	100						
2006	207	37	58	174	84	60	15	7	27	8	4	88	7	3	43							
2007	42	23	67	19	45	58	18	43	78	5	12	60										

TREND TABLE B NUMBER OF BALLOT MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TOPIC, JURISDICTION AND YEAR

	ALL MEASURES			EDUCATION			GOVERNANCE			LAND USE			PUBLIC SAFETY			PUBLIC FACILITIES			GENERAL SERVICES			TRANSPORTATION			REVENUE		
	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate
ALL MEASURES																											
1995-2007	415	100	62	139	34	65	98	24	68	35	8	54	25	6	53	22	5	50	20	5	62	12	3	56	29	7	61
EVEN YEARS	605	100	61	181	30	67	147	24	66	54	9	56	40	7	53	34	6	49	29	5	60	18	3	58	45	7	57
ODD YEARS	252	100	63	104	41	62	56	22	73	19	7	50	12	5	55	11	4	63	12	5	66	6	2	51	15	6	73
COUNTY																											
1995-2007	70	17	56	1	1	75	19	28	63	7	10	43	8	12	45	8	11	48	5	8	62	8	11	65	5	7	49
EVEN YEARS	114	19	53	1	1	60	31	27	66	12	11	45	14	12	43	12	11	38	8	7	57	13	11	61	8	7	51
ODD YEARS	32	13	67	0	1	100	9	29	75	3	8	39	3	10	54	4	13	72	3	10	74	3	11	75	2	6	43
CITY																											
1995-2007	205	49	58	1	1	63	76	37	68	28	14	57	17	8	58	14	7	54	14	7	62	4	2	43	24	12	64
EVEN YEARS	310	51	60	2	1	69	113	37	66	42	14	60	26	8	59	22	7	55	20	7	61	5	2	53	36	12	57
ODD YEARS	115	46	64	1	1	50	44	39	72	16	14	52	9	8	56	7	6	52	9	8	63	3	2	26	13	12	78
SCHOOL																											
1995-2007	140	34	65	137	98	54	2	1	63				0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				0	0	83
EVEN YEARS	182	30	67	178	98	49	2	1	43				0	0	0				0	0	0				1	1	83
ODD YEARS	104	41	62	102	98	62	2	2	85							0	0	0									

TREND TABLE B NUMBER OF BALLOT MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TOPIC, JURISDICTION AND YEAR

	ALL MEASURES			EDUCATION			GOVERNANCE			LAND USE			PUBLIC SAFETY			PUBLIC FACILITIES			GENERAL SERVICES			TRANSPORTATION			REVENUE			
	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	
ALL MEASURES	1995	252	100	61	120	48	53	63	25	84	14	6	57	12	5	50	14	6	57				2	1	0			
	1996	573	100	57	84	15	70	210	37	65	54	9	56	39	7	51	38	7	37	71	12	51	6	1	50			
	1997	342	100	60	175	51	62	43	13	67	19	6	68	12	4	42	15	4	60	35	10	60	4	1	50			
	1998	572	100	60	158	28	63	130	23	64	46	8	70	37	6	43	33	6	58	25	4	80	23	4	70			
	1999	283	100	59	119	42	59	62	22	63	29	10	41	14	5	57	4	1	75	13	5	54	8	3	88			
	2000	559	100	59	151	27	63	141	25	63	73	13	55	31	6	48	39	7	67	20	4	55	21	4	43	15	3	73
	2001	233	100	70	105	45	72	46	19	67	7	3	71	11	5	73	19	8	58	7	3	71	4	2	25	31	13	87
	2002	657	100	65	250	38	75	144	22	66	44	7	43	42	6	57	35	5	49	20	3	60	10	2	40	85	13	62
	2003	178	100	62	61	34	52	52	29	73	15	8	60	12	7	50	5	3	60	6	3	100	8	4	38	13	7	62
	2004	715	100	63	234	33	72	146	21	74	58	8	52	55	8	47	37	5	38	23	3	70	25	3	76	110	15	47
	2005	295	100	64	103	35	69	60	20	72	28	9	39	18	6	44	14	5	64	18	6	67	13	4	62	33	11	70
	2006	555	100	60	207	37	58	109	20	60	51	9	61	37	7	73	22	4	41	12	2	58	22	4	50	61	11	62
	2007	179	100	71	42	23	67	63	35	81	18	10	39	5	3	100	8	4	88	7	4	86	4	2	25	31	17	68
COUNTY MEASURES	1995	17	7	53				7	41	71	3	18	33				3	18	67				1	6	0			
	1996	115	20	49	1	1	100	45	39	64	11	10	38	8	7	50	15	13	13	12	10	42	3	3	100			
	1997	24	7	63	1	4	100	5	21	60	3	13	100	2	8	0	5	21	40	3	13	100	1	4	100			
	1998	121	21	59				24	20	75	13	11	62	14	12	36	12	10	42	15	12	67	16	13	75			
	1999	33	17	67				5	15	80				3	9	50	3	9	67	3	9	0	8	24	88			
	2000	116	21	49	1	1	100	22	19	64	17	15	35	14	12	36	16	14	44	8	7	63	16	14	50	9	8	78
	2001	36	15	75	2	6	100	12	33	58	1	3	100	7	19	100	5	14	80	4	11	75	1	3	0	4	11	75
	2002	98	15	56				34	35	71	7	7	71	15	15	33	11	11	36	7	7	57	5	5	40	12	12	67
	2003	28	16	64				10	36	90				5	18	40				2	7	100	2	7	100	6	21	17
	2004	142	20	54	3	2	33	35	25	69	14	10	14	22	15	50	13	9	54	4	3	50	20	14	75	17	12	41
	2005	57	19	63				12	21	67	6	11	33	6	11	33	8	14	75	9	16	78	9	16	78	4	7	50
	2006	93	17	52				28	30	54	10	11	70	11	12	55	7	8	43	2	2	50	15	16	40	11	12	27
	2007	29	16	76				14	48	93	5	17	0				5	17	100	2	7	100	2	7	50			

TREND TABLE C COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT AND COUNTY SERVICE AREA MEASURES BY COUNTY

	1998			1999			2000			2001			2002			2003			2004			2005			2006			2007			1998-2007													
	N	%	Pass Rate	N	%	Pass Rate																																						
Butte							1	100	100																					1	10	100												
Calaveras																															3	100	100											
Contra Costa	1	33	100				4	80	25	1	100	100	2	100	50				3	60	67	3	100	100						2	100	100	16	70	69									
El Dorado	2	12	50				7	78	29				1	50	100	6	100	0	2	20	100	14	88	64	2	100	0			3	100	100	37	57	49									
Fresno	1	50	100																													1	9	100										
Humboldt																																	3	30	33									
Imperial																																		1	17	100								
Inyo							1	50	100																									1	13	100								
Kern	6	100	50				2	100	0	4	100	75																							16	80	50							
Lake																																			1	20	0							
Lassen							1	33	0				4	80	25																				7	70	29							
Marin				4	100	100	5	100	80	10	91	90	3	100	100	1	100	100				2	100	100	4	100	100								30	79	93							
Mendocino				1	100	0							1	100	0																					3	50	33						
Monterey													1	100	0																						1	10	0					
Nevada							1	100	100																												1	25	100					
Orange	1	100	100													1	100	100																			2	13	100					
Placer	1	33	100																																		1	13	100					
Plumas							1	100	100	2	100	100				1	50	100																				4	67	100				
Riverside				3	100	33				1	100	0	2	67	50	2	100	0	1	100	100																	9	69	33				
Sacramento	2	40	100				3	75	33																												5	33	60					
San Bernardino	2	100	50				3	100	67				1	100	0	1	100	0	1	20	0																	8	67	38				
San Diego	10	83	30				3	60	33	1	100	100	2	40	0																							3	33	33				
San Joaquin																																							2	40	100			
San Luis Obispo				5	100	100	1	33	0				1	50	100																								5	100	100			
San Mateo																																								4	67	50		
Santa Barbara										1	100	0																												4	100	100		
Santa Cruz																																								1	14	100		
Shasta							1	100	0																															1	100	0		
Siskiyou							2	100	100																																2	100	0	
Sonoma							1	20	100	1	100	100				1	100	100																								1	50	0

TREND TABLE C COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT AND COUNTY SERVICE AREA MEASURES BY COUNTY

	1998			1999			2000			2001			2002			2003			2004			2005			2006			2007			1998-2007		
	N	%	Pass Rate	N	%	Pass Rate	N	%	Pass Rate	N	%	Pass Rate	N	%	Pass Rate	N	%	Pass Rate	N	%	Pass Rate	N	%	Pass Rate	N	%	Pass Rate	N	%	Pass Rate			
Stanislaus																									1	50	0				1	25	0
Trinity																									1	50	100				1	20	100
Tulare																1	100	100	1	100	100										2	67	0
Tuolumne				1	100	0	1	33	0																						2	29	100
Yuba							2	67	50							1	25	0													3	27	33
Total for CSD/CSA Measures Over All Counties	26	21	54	14	42	71	40	35	48	21	58	81	18	18	44	13	46	38	29	20	48	31	54	74	20	22	50	13	45	41	225	30	59

TREND TABLE D NUMBER OF COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT AND COUNTY SERVICE AREA MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL COUNTY MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TYPE AND YEAR

	ALL CSD/CSA			TAXES			BONDS			ADVISORY			RECALLS			GANN LIMIT			ORDINANCE		
	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate
1998	26	21	54	19	16	58													7	6	14
1999	14	42	71	11	33	64										3	9	100			
2000	40	35	48	28	24	29	1	1	100	3	3	67				6	5	100	2	2	100
2001	21	58	81	11	31	82	2	6	100				3	8	100	3	8	100	2	6	0
2002	18	18	44	14	14	36										4	4	75			
2003	13	46	38	11	39	27													2	7	100
2004	29	20	48	23	16	39				1	1	100				2	1	100	3	2	67
2005	31	54	74	23	40	65	2	4	100				3	5	100	1	2	100	2	4	100
2006	20	22	50	14	15	50							4	4	25				2	2	100
2007	13	45	92	3	10	67							8	28	100	1	3	100	1	3	100
1998-2007	225	31	53	157	22	47	5	1	100	4	1	75	10	1	70	20	3	95	21	3	57

TREND TABLE E COMPARISON OF PASS RATES FOR COUNTY-WIDE AND COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT/ COUNTY SERVICE AREA TAX MEASURES, 1998-2007

	Total Number of County Measures	NON-CSD/CSA COUNTY-WIDE MEASURES		CSD/CSA MEASURES		NON-CSD/CSA COUNTY-WIDE TAX MEASURES		CSD/CSA COUNTY TAX MEASURES	
		Number of Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	Pass Rate
1998	121	95	60	26	54	13	9	19	58
1999	33	19	64	14	71	5	20	11	64
2000	115	75	50	40	48	24	30	28	29
2001	36	15	67	21	81	2	50	11	82
2002	98	80	59	18	44	24	50	14	36
2003	28	15	87	13	38	1	0	11	27
2004	142	113	56	29	48	36	47	23	39
2005	57	26	50	31	74	1	100	23	65
2006	93	73	52	20	50	30	37	14	50
2007	29	16	63	13	92			3	67
1998-2007	752	527	57	225	59	136	38	157	48

TREND TABLE F NUMBER OF COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT AND COUNTY SERVICE AREA MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL COUNTY MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TOPIC AND YEAR

	ALL CSD/CSA			LAND USE			PUBLIC SAFETY			GOVERNANCE			ENVIRONMENT			TRANSPORTATION			PUBLIC FACILITIES			GENERAL SERVICES			REVENUE		
	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate
1998	26	21	54				12	10	58				3	2	33	2	2	50				6	5	17			
1999	14	42	71				2	6	50				3	9	0	5	15	100									
2000	40	35	48	2	2	0	10	9	30	2	2	100				6	5	17	5	4	40	5	4	60	1	1	100
2001	21	58	81				6	17	100	4	11	75				1	3	0	4	11	75	4	11	75	2	6	100
2002	18	18	44				11	11	45	3	3	67							3	3	33	1	1	0			
2003	13	46	38				5	18	40	2	7	100													6	21	17
2004	29	20	48				17	12	47	1	1	0				3	2	33	4	3	50	1	1	0	2	1	100
2005	31	54	74	2	4	0	1	2	100	3	5	100				6	11	100	6	11	67	9	16	78	4	7	50
2006	20	22	50				7	8	71	5	5	40				2	2	50	2	2	0	2	2	50	2	2	50
2007	13	45	92							9	31	100				1	3	0	1	3	100	2	7	100			
1998-2007	225	31	53	4	1	0	71	10	53	29	4	48	6	1	17	26	4	58	25	3	48	30	4	50	17	2	53

TREND TABLE G NUMBER OF CANDIDATES BY JURISDICTION AND YEAR

	NUMBER OF CANDIDATES			
	ALL CANDIDATES	COUNTY CANDIDATES	CITY CANDIDATES	SCHOOL DISTRICT CANDIDATES
1995	2,381	0	754	1,627
1996	5,530	822	2,160	2,548
1997	2,498	25	748	1,729
1998	5,502	1,167	1,903	2,432
1999	2,293	138	738	1,417
2000	5,153	894	2,200	2,059
2001	2,525	189	702	1,634
2002	6,072	1,412	2,210	2,450
2003	2,106	213	571	1,322
2004	5,155	878	2,232	2,045
2005	2,580	167	1,005	1,408
2006	5,644	1,247	2,162	2,235
2007	2,051	207	833	1,011
Total	49,490	7,359	18,218	23,917

TREND TABLE H NUMBER OF CANDIDATES FOR MAJOR COUNTY OFFICES BY YEAR

	TOTAL NUMBER OF CANDIDATES	NUMBER OF COUNTY CANDIDATES	COUNTY SUPERVISOR CANDIDATES		CSD/CSA CANDIDATES	
			NUMBER OF CANDIDATES	% OF COUNTY CANDIDATES	NUMBER OF CANDIDATES	% OF COUNTY CANDIDATES
1995	2,381	0	0	0	*	*
1996	5,530	822	574	70	*	*
1997	2,498	25	21	84	*	*
1998	5,502	1,167	362	31	22	2
1999	2,293	138	5	4	109	79
2000	5,153	894	501	56	174	19
2001	2,525	189	0	0	186	98
2002	6,072	1,412	362	26	266	19
2003	2,106	213	10	5	181	85
2004	5,155	878	523	60	235	27
2005	2,580	167	4	2	155	93
2006	5,644	1,247	366	29	235	19
2007	2,051	207	10	5	179	86
TOTAL	49,490	7,359	2,738	37	1,742	24

*The California Elections Data Archive did not collect information on CSD/CSA candidates until 1998.

TREND TABLE I PERCENT OF INCUMBENT CANDIDATES AND PERCENT OF PREVAILING INCUMBENTS BY MAJOR OFFICE, JURISDICTION AND YEAR

		% OF ALL CANDIDATES	% OF COUNTY SUPERVISOR CANDIDATES	% OF CITY COUNCIL CANDIDATES	% OF SCHOOL DISTRICT CANDIDATES
PERCENT OF CANDIDATES WHO ARE INCUMBENTS	1995	27	0	18	30
	1996	26	24	23	28
	1997	30	5	23	33
	1998	32	27	26	32
	1999	30	0	23	32
	2000	30	30	26	32
	2001	30	0	24	32
	2002	34	32	27	36
	2003	31	0	22	35
	2004	33	28	28	37
	2005	31	0	23	36
	2006	34	28	29	36
	2007	31	0	27	33
PERCENTAGE OF INCUMBENTS WHO WIN	1995	79	0	78	78
	1996	79	69	75	78
	1997	76	0	79	74
	1998	85	86	82	83
	1999	77	0	80	75
	2000	79	87	80	75
	2001	77	0	79	76
	2002	82	78	79	79
	2003	76	0	72	77
	2004	80	78	81	76
	2005	79	0	81	77
	2006	81	86	78	78
	2007	76	0	78	75
PERCENTAGE OF WINNING CANDIDATES WHO ARE INCUMBENTS	1995	49	0	39	50
	1996	47	43	42	47
	1997	49	0	45	49
	1998	55	56	48	53
	1999	51	0	45	51
	2000	52	67	51	50
	2001	50	0	51	49
	2002	56	56	49	56
	2003	50	0	39	54
	2004	55	55	51	57
	2005	52	0	50	52
	2006	55	59	51	56
	2007	49	0	52	48

2007 ELECTION SERIES SUMMARY:
ELECTION OUTCOMES FOR
COUNTY, CITY AND SCHOOL
DISTRICT
BALLOT MEASURES AND
CANDIDATES

TABLE A SUMMARY OF OUTCOMES FOR ALL COUNTY, CITY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT BALLOT MEASURES BY TYPE OF MEASURE AND COUNTY, 2007

	TAXES		BONDS		CHARTER AMENDMENT		ADVISORY		INITIATIVE		RECALL		GANN LIMIT		ORDINANCE		ALL MEASURES		
	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	TOTAL
Alameda	2	0															2	0	2
Calaveras	0	1	1	0													1	1	2
Contra Costa	4	2	0	1									1	0			5	3	8
El Dorado											3	0					3	0	3
Fresno	1	0															1	0	1
Imperial	0	2	0	1													0	3	3
Kern	2	0	1	4													3	4	7
Los Angeles	12	3	4	1	11	5	1	0	0	1	5	0			2	1	35	11	46
Marin	4	0															4	0	4
Merced					2	1											2	1	3
Mono	1	0															1	0	1
Monterey	0	3			6	0									2	5	8	8	16
Placer			1	0											1	1	2	1	3
Riverside	1	0	1	0											1	3	3	3	6
Sacramento	0	0	1	0											1	0	2	0	2
San Benito	1	0															1	0	1
San Bernardino	2	0	0	1											2	0	4	1	5
San Diego					1	0					5	0			1	0	7	0	7
San Francisco															9	2	9	2	11
San Joaquin															1	0	1	0	1
San Mateo	4	3	1	0	1	0											6	3	9
Santa Barbara						1											0	1	1
Santa Clara	3	0	0	1	9	1									1	0	13	2	15
Solano	1	1													0	5	1	6	7
Sonoma	2	1															2	1	3
Stanislaus	1	0	2	0			2	0									5	0	5
Trinity															1	0	1	0	1
Tulare	1	0															1	0	1

TABLE A SUMMARY OF OUTCOMES FOR ALL COUNTY, CITY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT BALLOT MEASURES BY TYPE OF MEASURE AND COUNTY, 2007

	TAXES		BONDS		CHARTER AMENDMENT		ADVISORY		INITIATIVE		RECALL		GANN LIMIT		ORDINANCE		ALL MEASURES		
	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	TOTAL
Ventura	2	0													1	0	3	0	3
Yolo	1	0	0	1													1	1	2
All Counties	45	16	12	10	30	8	3	0	0	1	13	0	1	0	23	17	127	52	179

TABLE B SUMMARY OF OUTCOMES FOR ALL COUNTY, CITY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT BALLOT MEASURES BY TOPIC OF MEASURE AND COUNTY, 2007

	EDUCATION		LAND USE		SAFETY		GOVERNANCE		TRANSPORT		FACILITIES		GENERAL SERVICES		REVENUE		OTHER		ALL MEASURES		
	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	TOTAL
Alameda	1	0													1	0			2	0	2
Calaveras									0	1	1	0							1	1	2
Contra Costa	1	1							0	1	1	0	3	1					5	3	8
El Dorado							3	0											3	0	3
Fresno					1	0													1	0	1
Imperial	0	1													0	2			0	3	3
Kern	1	4													2	0			3	4	7
Los Angeles	7	1	3	1	2	0	15	6			2	0	1	0	5	3			35	11	46
Marin	3	0											1	0					4	0	4
Merced							2	1											2	1	3
Mono	1	0																	1	0	1
Monterey			0	4	1	0	7	1							0	3			8	8	16
Placer	2	1																	2	1	3
Riverside	1	1	0	1			1	1							1	0			3	3	6
Sacramento	2	0																	2	0	2
San Benito															1	0			1	0	1
San Bernardino	0	1	2	0											2	0			4	1	5
San Diego			1	0			6	0											7	0	7
San Francisco			0	1			4	1	1	0	3	0					1	0	9	2	11
San Joaquin							1	0											1	0	1
San Mateo	2	2					1	0							3	1			6	3	9
Santa Barbara							0	1											0	1	1
Santa Clara							9	1			0	1	1	0	3	0			13	2	15
Solano			0	4					0	1					1	1			1	6	7
Sonoma	2	1																	2	1	3
Stanislaus	2	0			1	0	2	0											5	0	5

TABLE B SUMMARY OF OUTCOMES FOR ALL COUNTY, CITY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT BALLOT MEASURES BY TOPIC OF MEASURE AND COUNTY, 2007

	EDUCATION		LAND USE		SAFETY		GOVERNANCE		TRANSPORT		FACILITIES		GENERAL SERVICES		REVENUE		OTHER		ALL MEASURES		
	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	TOTAL
Trinity	1	0																	1	0	1
Tulare														1	0				1	0	1
Ventura	1	0	1	0										1	0				3	0	3
Yolo	1	1																	1	1	2
All Counties	28	14	7	11	5	0	51	12	1	3	7	1	6	1	21	10	1	0	127	52	179

TABLE C. SUMMARY OF ELECTION OUTCOMES FOR ALL COUNTY, CITY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT OFFICES, 2007

		County Supervisor		Director, CSD*		Other County Offices		City Council		Other City Offices		School Board Member		Total	
		Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N
Incumbent Candidates	Win	0.0	0	67.3	33	100.0	3	78.1	153	21.9	43	74.5	246	76.2	478
	Lose	0.0	0	32.7	16	0.0	0	21.9	43	78.1	6	25.5	84	23.8	149
	Total	0.0	0	100.0	49	100.0	3	100.0	196	100.0	49	100.0	330	100.0	627
Non-Incumbent Candidates	Win	10.0	1	47.7	62	6.7	1	26.6	141	35.1	20	39.5	269	34.7	494
	Lose	90.0	9	52.3	68	93.3	14	73.4	390	64.9	37	60.5	412	65.3	930
	Total	100.0	10	100.0	130	100.0	15	100.0	531	100.0	57	100.0	681	100.0	1,424
Winning Candidates	Incumbent	0.0	0	34.7	33	75.0	3	52.0	153	68.3	43	47.8	246	49.2	478
	Non-Incumbent	100.0	1	65.3	62	25.0	1	48.0	141	31.7	20	52.2	269	50.8	494
	Total	100.0	1	100.0	95	100.0	4	100.0	294	100.0	63	100.0	515	100.0	972
Losing Candidates	Incumbent	0.0	0	19.0	16	0.0	0	9.9	43	14.0	6	16.9	84	13.8	149
	Non-Incumbent	100.0	9	81.0	68	100.0	14	90.1	390	86.0	37	83.1	412	86.2	930
	Total	100.0	9	100.0	84	100.0	14	100.0	433	100.0	43	100.0	496	100.0	1,079
All Candidates	Incumbent	0.0	0	27.4	49	16.7	3	27.0	196	46.2	49	32.6	330	30.6	627
	Non-Incumbent	100.0	10	72.6	130	83.3	15	73.0	531	53.8	57	67.4	681	69.4	1,424
	Total	100.0	10	100.0	179	100.0	18	100.0	727	100.0	106	100.0	1,011	100.0	2,051

*Directors of Community Service Districts, Community Service Areas, and Community Councils

PART 1
VOTE TOTALS, ELECTION OUTCOMES
AND TEXT FOR CITY BALLOT MEASURES

TABLE 1.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2007

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	MEASURE	TITLE	TYPE OF MEASURE	TOPIC OF MEASURE	VOTE IN FAVOR	TOTAL VOTE	PERCENT OF VOTE	PASS OR FAIL
ALAMEDA	11/6/2007	Emeryville	Measure A		Utility Tax	Revenues: Voter Approval	1,234	1,436	85.9%	Pass
ALPINE	No City Measures									
AMADOR	No City Measures									
BUTTE	No City Measures									
CALAVERAS	No City Measures									
COLUSA	No City Measures									
CONTRA COSTA	6/5/2007	Clayton	Measure B		Property Tax	General Services: Maintenance	2,445	2,948	82.9%	Pass ^T
		Lafayette	Measure C		Property Tax	Transport: Roads	3,641	5,733	63.5%	Fail ^T
		Lafayette	Measure D		Property Tax	General Services: Flood Control/Drainage	3,980	5,574	71.4%	Pass
		Orinda	Measure E		GO Bond	General Services: Flood Control/Drainage	4,346	6,837	63.6%	Fail ^T
DEL NORTE	No City Measures									
EL DORADO	No City Measures									
FRESNO	11/6/2007	Selma	Measure S		Sales Tax	Safety: Multiple Emergency Services	1,529	1,770	86.4%	Pass ^T
GLENN	No City Measures									
HUMBOLDT	No City Measures									
IMPERIAL	11/6/2007	Brawley	Measure W		Sales Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	1,233	2,629	46.9%	Fail
		Calexico	Measure Y		Sales Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	737	1,697	43.4%	Fail ^T
INYO	No City Measures									
KERN	3/6/2007	California City	Measure A		Property Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	1,225	1,803	67.9%	Pass ^T
	11/6/2007	Delano	Measure I		Sales Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	1,199	2,252	53.2%	Pass
KINGS	No City Measures									
LAKE	No City Measures									
LASSEN	No City Measures									
LOS ANGELES	3/6/2007	Covina	Measure A		Utility Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	1,413	3,491	40.5%	Fail
		Los Angeles	Measure LAUSD-L		Charter Amendment	Governance: Political Reform/Term Limits	100,947	150,889	66.9%	Pass
			Measure M		Charter Amendment	Governance: Personnel/Labor Relations	84,690	140,704	60.2%	Pass
		Monrovia	Measure L		Property Tax	Facilities: Libraries	2,751	3,961	69.5%	Pass ^T
		Palos Verdes Estates	Measure A		Property Tax	Safety: Multiple Emergency Services	2,243	2,570	87.3%	Pass ^T
		Pasadena	Measure C		Miscellaneous Tax	Facilities: Libraries	10,372	12,896	80.4%	Pass ^T
		South Gate	Measure T		Ordinance	Governance: Organization	739	2,354	31.4%	Fail
		South Pasadena	Measure U		Utility Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	2,946	4,119	71.5%	Pass

^TIndicates measure required a two-thirds vote to pass. ^FIndicates measure required a 55% vote to pass. All other county measures required a majority vote.

TABLE 1.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2007

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	MEASURE	TITLE	TYPE OF MEASURE	TOPIC OF MEASURE	VOTE IN FAVOR	TOTAL VOTE	PERCENT OF VOTE	PASS OR FAIL
LOS ANGELES	4/10/2007	Burbank	Measure A	Transient Occupancy Tax		Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	4,647	9,594	48.4%	Fail
			Measure B	Charter Amendment		Governance: Organization	4,482	9,575	46.8%	Fail
			Measure C	Charter Amendment		Governance: Organization	4,329	9,357	46.3%	Fail
			Measure D	Charter Amendment		Governance: Organization	4,820	9,248	52.1%	Pass
			Measure E	Charter Amendment		Governance: Organization	5,190	9,193	56.5%	Pass
			Measure F	Charter Amendment		Governance: Budget Processes	4,934	9,228	53.5%	Pass
			Measure G	Charter Amendment		Governance: Organization	5,252	9,142	57.4%	Pass
	4/17/2007	Compton	Measure C	Charter Amendment		Governance: Personnel/Labor Relations	1,084	2,868	37.8%	Fail
		Sierra Madre	Measure V	Ordinance		Land Use: Voter Approval	1,870	3,629	51.5%	Pass
	5/1/2007	Long Beach	Measure A	Charter Amendment		Governance: Organization	15,045	25,810	58.3%	Pass
			Measure B	Charter Amendment		Governance: Organization	8,897	25,878	34.4%	Fail
			Measure C	Charter Amendment		Governance: Political Reform/Term Limits	8,141	25,908	31.4%	Fail
			Measure D	Charter Amendment		Governance: Organization	15,952	25,124	63.5%	Pass
			Measure E	Charter Amendment		Land Use: Voter Approval	19,213	25,672	74.8%	Pass
			Measure F	Charter Amendment		Governance: Organization	14,936	25,066	59.6%	Pass
			Measure G	Charter Amendment		Governance: Organization	17,760	25,386	70.0%	Pass
			Measure H	Business Tax		Safety: Multiple Emergency Services	17,985	25,626	70.2%	Pass ^T
	5/8/2007	Arcadia	Measure A	Initiative		Land Use: Zoning	1,463	5,670	25.8%	Fail
			Measure B	Ordinance		Land Use: Zoning	4,243	5,777	73.4%	Pass
	9/25/2007	Lynwood	Recall 1	Recall		Governance: Recall	2,750	3,751	73.3%	Pass
			Recall 2	Recall		Governance: Recall	2,678	3,695	72.5%	Pass
			Recall 3	Recall		Governance: Recall	2,737	3,726	73.5%	Pass
			Recall 4	Recall		Governance: Recall	2,629	3,782	69.5%	Pass
	11/6/2007	El Monte	Measure A	Utility Tax		Revenues: Tax Repeal/Reduction/Limit	2,940	4,693	62.6%	Pass
		Hermosa Beach	Measure H	Utility Tax		Revenues: Tax Repeal/Reduction/Limit	2,243	3,110	72.1%	Pass
		Rancho Palos Verdes	Measure C	Miscellaneous Tax		Revenues: Tax Repeal/Reduction/Limit	3,881	6,989	55.5%	Pass
			Measure D	Miscellaneous Tax		Revenues: Tax Repeal/Reduction/Limit	3,046	6,933	43.9%	Fail
		South Pasadena	Measure AV	Advisory		General Services	2,234	2,960	75.5%	Pass
		Measure UT	Utility Tax		Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	1,690	3,162	53.4%	Pass	
12/18/2007	Montebello	Recall 1	Recall		Governance: Recall	1,514	2,097	72.2%	Pass	
MADERA	No City Measures									
MARIN	No City Measures									
MARIPOSA	No City Measures									
MENDOCINO	No City Measures									

TABLE 1.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2007

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	MEASURE	TITLE	TYPE OF MEASURE	TOPIC OF MEASURE	VOTE IN FAVOR	TOTAL VOTE	PERCENT OF VOTE	PASS OR FAIL
MERCED	11/6/2007	Merced	Measure H	Charter Amendment	Governance: Personnel/Labor Relations		3,056	6,968	43.9%	Fail
			Measure I	Charter Amendment	Governance: Political Reform/Term Limits		5,293	6,963	76.0%	Pass
			Measure J	Charter Amendment	Governance		4,353	6,841	63.6%	Pass
MODOC	No City Measures									
MONO	No City Measures									
MONTEREY	11/6/2007	Gonzales	Measure E	Ordinance	Governance: Organization		389	479	81.2%	Pass
			Measure F	Ordinance	Governance: Organization		337	469	71.9%	Pass
			Measure G	Ordinance	Governance: Organization		167	464	36.0%	Fail
		Pacific Grove	Measure I	Charter Amendment	Governance: Organization		2,918	3,613	80.8%	Pass
			Measure J	Charter Amendment	Governance: Elections		3,036	3,549	85.5%	Pass
			Measure K	Charter Amendment	Governance: Organization		2,799	3,550	78.8%	Pass
			Measure L	Charter Amendment	Governance: Organization		2,310	3,552	65.0%	Pass
			Measure M	Charter Amendment	Safety: Civil Fines/Criminal Penalties		2,372	3,424	69.3%	Pass
			Measure N	Charter Amendment	Governance: Organization		2,637	3,423	77.0%	Pass
			Measure O	Business Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation		1,829	3,802	48.1%	Fail
			Measure P	Property Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation		1,632	3,830	42.6%	Fail
			Measure Q	Sales Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation		1,812	3,816	47.5%	Fail
NAPA	No City Measures									
NEVADA	No City Measures									
ORANGE	No City Measures									
PLACER	No City Measures									
PLUMAS	No City Measures									
RIVERSIDE	3/6/2007	Desert Hot Springs	Measure A	Ordinance	Governance: Organization		782	1,693	46.2%	Fail
	11/6/2007	Desert Hot Springs	Measure J	Transient Occupancy Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation		1,388	2,112	65.7%	Pass
		Palm Springs	Measure C	Ordinance	Land Use: Zoning		4,259	10,557	40.3%	Fail
		Perris	Measure E	Ordinance	Governance: Organization		975	1,452	67.1%	Pass
SACRAMENTO	No City Measures									
SAN BENITO	11/6/2007	Hollister	Measure T	Sales Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation		2,744	4,160	66.0%	Pass
SAN BERNARDINO	11/6/2007	Adelanto	Measure G	Ordinance	Land Use: Zoning		428	668	64.1%	Pass
		Chino	Measure A	Ordinance	Land Use: Zoning		2,064	3,136	65.8%	Pass
		Redlands	Measure F	Business Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation		6,694	9,468	70.7%	Pass
		Rialto	Measure D	Utility Tax	Revenues: Voter Approval		2,893	4,540	63.7%	Pass
SAN DIEGO	3/6/2007	Solana Beach	Measure A	Ordinance	Land Use: Zoning		1,978	3,889	50.9%	Pass
	6/5/2007	Vista	Measure C	Charter Amendment	Governance: Organization		4,593	6,818	67.4%	Pass

TABLE 1.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2007

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	MEASURE	TITLE	TYPE OF MEASURE	TOPIC OF MEASURE	VOTE IN FAVOR	TOTAL VOTE	PERCENT OF VOTE	PASS OR FAIL
SAN FRANCISCO	City and County Measures included in County Report									
SAN JOAQUIN	No City Measures									
SAN LUIS OBISPO	No City Measures									
SAN MATEO	11/6/2007	Redwood City	Measure D	Utility Tax		Revenues: Tax Repeal/Reduction/Limit	5,738	7,176	80.0%	Pass
			Measure E	Charter Amendment		Governance: Organization	5,807	7,162	81.1%	Pass
		San Bruno	Measure F	Sales Tax		Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	2,570	5,237	49.1%	Fail
		South San Francisco	Measure B	Business Tax		Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	4,865	6,665	73.0%	Pass
			Measure C	Miscellaneous Tax		Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	4,205	6,625	63.5%	Pass
SANTA BARBARA	11/6/2007	Santa Barbara	Measure A	Charter Amendment		Governance: Elections	6,729	15,176	44.3%	Fail
SANTA CLARA	11/6/2007	Gilroy	Measure A	Utility Tax		Revenues: Tax Repeal/Reduction/Limit	3,578	5,673	63.1%	Pass
		Los Altos	Measure O	Utility Tax		Revenues: Tax Repeal/Reduction/Limit	5,958	7,075	84.2%	Pass
		Palo Alto	Measure M	Transient Occupancy Tax		Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	11,253	13,963	80.6%	Pass
			Measure N	Ordinance		General Services: Water	12,478	13,587	91.8%	Pass
		Sunnyvale	Measure B	GO Bond		Facilities: Libraries	9,917	16,775	59.1%	Fail
			Measure C	Charter Amendment		Governance: Organization	12,570	16,055	78.3%	Pass
			Measure D	Charter Amendment		Governance: Organization	11,078	15,866	69.8%	Pass
			Measure E	Charter Amendment		Governance: Organization	9,374	15,347	61.1%	Pass
			Measure F	Charter Amendment		Governance: Budget Processes	12,084	15,455	78.2%	Pass
			Measure G	Charter Amendment		Governance: Personnel/Labor Relations	7,472	15,640	47.8%	Fail
			Measure H	Charter Amendment		Governance: Organization	11,237	15,749	71.4%	Pass
		Measure I	Charter Amendment		Governance: Organization	12,165	15,768	77.1%	Pass	
		Measure J	Charter Amendment		Governance: Budget Processes	12,596	15,345	82.1%	Pass	
Measure K	Charter Amendment		Governance: Organization	12,280	15,297	80.3%	Pass			
Measure L	Charter Amendment		Governance: Organization	11,600	15,360	75.5%	Pass			
SANTA CRUZ	No City Measures									
SHASTA	No City Measures									
SIERRA	No City Measures									
SISKIYOU	No City Measures									
SOLANO	4/17/2007	Dixon	Measure M	Ordinance		Transport: Traffic Regulation/Reduction	2,461	5,322	46.2%	Fail
			Measure N	Ordinance		Land Use: Zoning	2,494	5,332	46.8%	Fail
			Measure O	Ordinance		Land Use: Zoning	2,500	5,334	46.9%	Fail
			Measure P	Ordinance		Land Use: Zoning	2,495	5,337	46.7%	Fail
	11/6/2007	Benicia	Measure S	Utility Tax		Revenues: Tax Repeal/Reduction/Limit	5,398	7,674	70.3%	Pass
			Fairfield	Measure Q	Utility Tax		Revenues: Tax Repeal/Reduction/Limit	6,572	14,216	46.2%
		Fairfield	Measure R	Ordinance		Land Use: Voter Approval	6,492	13,906	46.7%	Fail

TABLE 1.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2007

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	MEASURE	TITLE	TYPE OF MEASURE	TOPIC OF MEASURE	VOTE IN FAVOR	TOTAL VOTE	PERCENT OF VOTE	PASS OR FAIL
SONOMA	No City Measures									
STANISLAUS	11/6/2007	Ceres	Measure	H	Sales Tax	Safety: Multiple Emergency Services	2,750	3,661	75.1%	Pass†
		Modesto	Measure	I	Advisory	Governance: Organization	10,489	18,357	57.1%	Pass
			Measure	J	Advisory	Governance: Organization	10,002	17,511	57.1%	Pass
SUTTER	No City Measures									
TEHAMA	No City Measures									
TRINITY	No City Measures									
TULARE	6/5/2007	Tulare	Measure	A	Utility Tax	Revenues: Tax Repeal/Reduction/Limit	1,748	2,042	85.6%	Pass
TUOLUMNE	No City Measures									
VENTURA	5/8/2007	Santa Paula	Measure	A7	Ordinance	Land Use: Zoning	2,719	4,443	61.2%	Pass
	11/6/2007	San Buenaventura	Measure	C7	Utility Tax	Revenues: Tax Repeal/Reduction/Limit	10,625	16,768	63.4%	Pass
YOLO	No City Measures									
YUBA	No City Measures									

TABLE 1.2 TEXT FOR CITY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2007

ALAMEDA	11/6/2007	Emeryville	Measure A	Pass
To maintain high quality of life in Emeryville and fund essential services including police, fire, 9-1-1, park maintenance, litter abatement, graffiti removal, flood protection, street maintenance, accessibility improvements, child care, senior and recreation programs, shall an ordinance be adopted that continues and ratifies the existing Utility Users Tax, requires voter approval for rate increases, modernizes telecommunications service definitions so taxpayers are treated the same regardless of technology used, with all expenditures subject to annual audit?				
CONTRA COSTA	6/5/2007	Clayton	Measure B	Pass (2/3 required)
Shall a new Community Facilities District 2007-1 (Citywide Landscape Maintenance District) be funded to replace the expiring District for a period of ten years to operate and maintain public roadway landscaping, trails system, open space weed abatement and related costs, with an annual levy of a special tax in the initial amount of \$196.77 per residential parcel and per non-residential acre or fraction thereof, and with an initial yearly appropriations limit of \$850,550?				
CONTRA COSTA	6/5/2007	Lafayette	Measure C	Fail (2/3 required)
In order to complete Lafayette's road and drain reconstruction program to expeditiously bring all public streets to a good quality and continue the maintenance program, shall the City be authorized to collect a parcel tax not to exceed \$150 per year per equivalent residential unit, for thirty years, with the City's all-volunteer Capital Projects Assessment Committee to review expenditures semi-annually to ensure they conform with the purposes of the ballot measure?				
CONTRA COSTA	6/5/2007	Lafayette	Measure D	Pass
If the City is authorized to collect a parcel tax for Lafayette road and drain reconstruction and maintenance, should the City continue its current practice of augmenting funds designated for such purposes by appropriating at least \$1 million annually from the General Fund for the road and drain maintenance program so that the program remains a high priority?				
CONTRA COSTA	6/5/2007	Orinda	Measure E	Fail (2/3 required)
Orinda Roads, Drains and Water Supply Bond Measure. To implement the Orinda Infrastructure Finance Plan which will provide funds to repair damaged roads, collapsing drains, and inadequate water supply, shall the City of Orinda issue fifty eight point six million dollars in bonds, requiring an annual independent audit, requiring monitoring by an independent citizens oversight committee and mandating that bond proceeds will only be spent to improve Orinda streets, storm drains and water supply?				
FRESNO	11/6/2007	Selma	Measure S	Pass (2/3 required)
Selma Police, Fire, 9-1-1 Response and Anti-Gang/Drug Prevention Measure. To hire additional firefighters/police officers for multiple emergencies; purchase fire engines, police cars, and technology to improve 9-1-1 emergency response; buy firefighter breathing apparatus/protective clothing; fully staff two new fire stations for population growth; increase police patrols to protect schools/parks; build a safe police/fire headquarters; and expand anti-gang/drug prevention services; shall the City of Selma enact a one-half cent sales tax requiring Citizens' oversight and mandatory annual independent audits?				
IMPERIAL	11/6/2007	Brawley	Measure W	Fail
To expand, develop, preserve and protect the safety and character of the City of Brawley with a priority on funding essential public services such as police, fire, crime prevention and street and park maintenance, as well as other City services, shall the City of Brawley authorize a sales tax increase of one-half of one percent, such tax to sunset ten (10) years from its adoption?				
IMPERIAL	11/6/2007	Calexico	Measure Y	Fail (2/3 required)
Shall the ordinance to improve facilities for police, fire, library, recreation, and specified public works projects; to help fund the project to cover the New River; to revitalize downtown Calexico; and to promote economic development, by implementing a 0.25 percent sales tax for 15 years only, with annual audits by an independent Citizen's Oversight Committee, be adopted?				
KERN	3/6/2007	California City	Measure A	Pass (2/3 required)
Shall a special tax of up to \$100.00 per lot or parcel be approved for each of five fiscal years beginning July 1, 2007?				
KERN	11/6/2007	Delano	Measure I	Pass
Shall there be enacted in the City of Delano a temporary sales tax rate increase of one percent (1%) which will end after ten (10) years, the proceeds of which shall be used for essential general services including, but not limited to police and fire services, street improvements, parks and recreation, and other General Fund services?				
LOS ANGELES	3/6/2007	Covina	Measure A	Fail
To continue funding general city services including maintaining police services and equipment; fire protection and paramedic services; street maintenance; and services for senior citizens, libraries, parks and recreation; shall the City of Covina update and extend for only 10 years its 6% Utility Users Tax and city ordinance to fund general city services, without increasing the current tax rate, including mandatory annual financial audits and ensuring all funds stay local to maintain Covina city services?				
LOS ANGELES	3/6/2007	Los Angeles	Measure LAUSD-L	Pass
Shall the City of Los Angeles Charter be amended to establish: contribution limits and additional disclosure requirements for School Board campaigns; local enforcement of School District campaign finance rules; campaign finance training for School Board candidates; term limits of three terms (twelve years) for School Board members; and a School Board compensation review committee?				

TABLE 1.2 TEXT FOR CITY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2007

LOS ANGELES	3/6/2007	Los Angeles	Measure M	Pass
Shall the Charter be amended to authorize the Council of the City of Los Angeles to establish a voluntary program to allow members to purchase service credit with the Fire and Police Pension Plan for full-time service with other public agencies, provided the member pays the full actuarial cost for the service purchased?				
LOS ANGELES	3/6/2007	Monrovia	Measure L	Pass (2/3 required)
Shall an ordinance be adopted to rebuild the small and outdated Monrovia Public Library at its current site according to new earthquake, fire safety, energy efficiency and handicapped accessibility standards, with expanded children's reading areas, upgraded computer technology, new study rooms for students and quiet reading areas, by imposing a special parcel tax with expenditures subject to annual independent audits and no expenditures for administrator's salaries?				
LOS ANGELES	3/6/2007	Palos Verdes Estates	Measure A	Pass (2/3 required)
Shall an ordinance be adopted to levy a special tax on each eligible parcel in the City from July 1, 2007 (when the existing special tax expires) until June 30, 2017 to finance fire and paramedic services?				
LOS ANGELES	3/6/2007	Pasadena	Measure C	Pass (2/3 required)
Shall the ordinance imposing a "Library Special Tax" be extended which: (1) can only be used exclusively to fund a portion of operational costs of the Central and branch libraries; (2) exempts religious facilities, community service organizations and persons meeting certain income criteria; (3) limits annual increases based on the Consumer Price Index; (4) prevents imposition of Tax if certain General Fund commitments to the Library are not made; and (5) contains a fifteen-year limit?				
LOS ANGELES	3/6/2007	South Gate	Measure T	Fail
Shall the office of the City Treasurer change from an elected office to an appointed position?				
LOS ANGELES	3/6/2007	South Pasadena	Measure U	Pass
Shall an ordinance be adopted to extend the 5% Utility User's Tax, for general City purposes, for eight years from August 1, 2007 to July 31, 2015?				
LOS ANGELES	4/10/2007	Burbank	Measure A	Fail
Shall the Burbank Municipal Code be amended to allow the City Council to increase the City's existing transient occupancy tax from 10 percent to a maximum rate of 12 percent on persons occupying a room in a hotel, motel, inn or other lodging facility within the City for less than 30 days?				
LOS ANGELES	4/10/2007	Burbank	Measure B	Fail
Shall the section of the Charter relating to the duties and powers of the City Clerk be revised to, among other things, provide for an appointed City Clerk?				
LOS ANGELES	4/10/2007	Burbank	Measure C	Fail
Shall the section of the Charter relating to the duties and powers of the City Treasurer be revised to, among other things, provide for an appointed City Treasurer?				
LOS ANGELES	4/10/2007	Burbank	Measure D	Pass
Shall a section be added to the Charter to provide for the orderly transition from elected to appointed City Clerk and City Treasurer should the foregoing measures be adopted?				
LOS ANGELES	4/10/2007	Burbank	Measure E	Pass
Shall the Charter section relating to vacancies in elective offices be revised as to how such vacancies are determined and filled?				
LOS ANGELES	4/10/2007	Burbank	Measure F	Pass
Shall the Charter section relating to the City budget be revised to, among other things, provide that the City budget may be adopted and amended by a majority vote of the Council?				
LOS ANGELES	4/10/2007	Burbank	Measure G	Pass
Shall the proposed new Charter which would amend, revise and restate the current Charter be ratified, with those Charter changes otherwise approved in this election, and shall the City Clerk be given the authority to renumber the Charter sections as necessary or appropriate?				
LOS ANGELES	4/17/2007	Compton	Measure C	Fail
Shall the City Charter be amended effective July 1, 2007, to authorize annual salaries of \$58,000 for the Mayor and \$46,000 for each Council Member as compensation for their duties with no compensation authorized for them as Members of boards, commissions, and similar bodies; to be adjusted annually as a percentage of that salary prescribed by law for judges of the Los Angeles Superior Court?				
LOS ANGELES	4/17/2007	Sierra Madre	Measure V	Pass
Shall an ordinance be adopted to amend the City's General Plan and zoning ordinance to establish the following limits on new construction in the Central Core Area of the City and to require voter approval for any exception to these limits: two stories and thirty feet in height and thirteen dwelling units per acre except as required by the state density bonus law for certain affordable housing projects?				

TABLE 1.2 TEXT FOR CITY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2007

LOS ANGELES	5/1/2007	Long Beach	Measure A	Pass
Shall Proposition A which amends the Long Beach City Charter by: modifying the Mayoral veto to require a 2/3 City Council vote to override; creating a line-item Mayoral veto of the City's annual budget; modifying the Mayor/City Council authority to appoint and remove the City Manager and City Clerk, and to confirm the appointment of the Assistant City Manager; and modifying the Mayor/City Council authority to remove Commissioners, be adopted?				
LOS ANGELES	5/1/2007	Long Beach	Measure B	Fail
Shall Proposition B, which amends the Long Beach City Charter by: creating an Independent Salary Commission to set the salary for City Councilmembers; creating an Ethics Commission to advise the City Council on ethics regulations and requiring the City to adopt and maintain a Code of Ethics; and creating a Redistricting Commission to review and recommend new City Council district boundaries every ten (10) years, be adopted?				
LOS ANGELES	5/1/2007	Long Beach	Measure C	Fail
Shall Proposition C, which amends the Long Beach City Charter by: modifying the term limits for the Mayor and City Council offices from two terms to three terms; and modifying the term limits for members of the Harbor and Water Commissions to three four-year terms be adopted?				
LOS ANGELES	5/1/2007	Long Beach	Measure D	Pass
Shall Proposition D, which amends the Long Beach City Charter by: modifying the residency requirements for City Council candidates; prohibiting a candidate from running for two City elective offices at the same time; permitting the name of a termed-out candidate to appear on a run-off election ballot if that candidate qualifies in the primary election, be adopted?				
LOS ANGELES	5/1/2007	Long Beach	Measure E	Pass
Shall Proposition E, which amends the Long Beach Charter to require that certain City park and recreation areas shall not be sold or otherwise transferred without either a majority vote of the people, or the replacement of such property by the City at a ratio of at least two to one (2:1) subject to certain additional requirements, be adopted?				
LOS ANGELES	5/1/2007	Long Beach	Measure F	Pass
Shall Proposition F, which amends the Long Beach City Charter by removing the duty of the City Prosecutor to prosecute hearings before the Civil Service Commission, be adopted?				
LOS ANGELES	5/1/2007	Long Beach	Measure G	Pass
Shall Proposition G, which amends the Long Beach City Charter by deleting obsolete provisions regarding outdated tax, fiscal year and financial account descriptions, be adopted?				
LOS ANGELES	5/1/2007	Long Beach	Measure H	Pass (2/3 required)
Shall Proposition H, the Police and Fire Public Safety Oil Production Act, which amends the Long Beach Municipal Code to provide dedicated funds for police officers and firefighters by assessing an additional twenty-five cent (\$0.25) per barrel special production tax on oil producers in Long Beach, with a CPI adjustment, be adopted?				
LOS ANGELES	5/8/2007	Arcadia	Measure A	Fail
(INITIATIVE) Measure A would amend the City of Arcadia General Plan to allow the City's Zoning Code to be amended to restrict automobile sales from occurring on the City Block bounded by Huntington Drive, Santa Anita Avenue and Morlan Place. It would also deem "permitted" as of right certain existing land uses that may not otherwise be permitted or may only be permitted pursuant to a conditional use permit. If both Measures A and B pass, whichever of the two initiatives receives the most votes prevails.				
LOS ANGELES	5/8/2007	Arcadia	Measure B	Pass
Shall an ordinance amending the Arcadia Municipal Code and Redevelopment Plan to (1) eliminate the use of eminent domain for purposes of taking private property for private use, (2) promote economic development and (3) protect existing land uses in the Central Business District Zone, and (4) preserve the rights of private property owners to reasonably and lawfully use and develop their property, be adopted?				
LOS ANGELES	9/25/2007	Lynwood	Recall 1	Pass
Shall Louis Byrd be recalled (removed) from the office of City Council?				
LOS ANGELES	9/25/2007	Lynwood	Recall 2	Pass
Shall Alfreddie Johnson be recalled (removed) from the office of City Council?				
LOS ANGELES	9/25/2007	Lynwood	Recall 3	Pass
Shall Fernando Pedroza be recalled (removed) from the office of City Council?				
LOS ANGELES	9/25/2007	Lynwood	Recall 4	Pass
Shall Leticia Vasquez be recalled (removed) from the office of City Council?				

TABLE 1.2 TEXT FOR CITY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2007

LOS ANGELES	11/6/2007	El Monte	Measure A	Pass
Shall an ordinance be adopted to reduce the telecommunications portion of the City of El Monte's utility users' tax from 7% to 6.5% and modernize the method for calculating and collecting the telecommunications portion of the tax to reflect technological advances and changes so that all taxpayers are treated in the same manner?				
LOS ANGELES	11/6/2007	Hermosa Beach	Measure H	Pass
Shall an ordinance be adopted that 1) reduces the local telephone/cable television tax rate from 6% to 5½%, 2) protects the tax against changes in federal law, 3) includes new communication technologies, and 4) retains existing exemptions for seniors and the disabled, with revenue continuing to be used exclusively in Hermosa Beach for essential services such as 911 emergency response, fire, police, and sewer and street repairs, with continued public review of the City budget?				
LOS ANGELES	11/6/2007	Rancho Palos Verdes	Measure C	Pass
Shall an ordinance be adopted that would amend the City's existing Storm Drain User Fee (which provides a dedicated funding source to repair, reconstruct and maintain the citywide storm drain system and install filtration devices that reduce polluted runoff and protect coastal water quality) by: (1) shortening the duration of the Fee from thirty years to ten years and (2) establishing a resident oversight committee?				
LOS ANGELES	11/6/2007	Rancho Palos Verdes	Measure D	Fail
Shall an ordinance be adopted that would repeal the City's existing Storm Drain User Fee?				
LOS ANGELES	11/6/2007	South Pasadena	Measure AV	Pass
(ADVISORY) If the 3% increase in the Utility User's Tax is approved, should not less than 65% of the funds generated by the increase be expended for infrastructure improvements, such as repair, replacement and improvement of water lines, reservoirs, sewer facilities, streets, sidewalks, parks, public buildings and other such infrastructure facilities and not more than 35% of such funds be expended for employee salaries?				
LOS ANGELES	11/6/2007	South Pasadena	Measure UT	Pass
Shall an ordinance be adopted increasing the existing Utility User's Tax by 3% for the limited period of February 1, 2008 to March 5, 2013?				
LOS ANGELES	12/18/2007	Montebello	Recall 1	Pass
Shall Jeff Siccama be recalled (removed) from the office of City Council Member?				
MERCED	11/6/2007	Merced	Measure H	Fail
Shall Section 402 of the City Charter be amended to provide that the Mayor and Members of the City Council receive the same salary as their counterparts in general law cities do pursuant to Government Code Section 36516?				
MERCED	11/6/2007	Merced	Measure I	Pass
Shall Sections 1050 to 1057 be added to the City Charter, limiting campaign contributions for the Mayor and Members of the City Council to \$500 per person and \$2,000 per entity for each election cycle?				
MERCED	11/6/2007	Merced	Measure J	Pass
Shall various sections of the City Charter be updated to eliminate obsolete provisions and promote efficient City operations, including, for example, raising the public works bid threshold from \$5,000 to \$50,000, indexing various provisions for inflation, removing department heads from civil service and making them at-will employees, and making related amendments to the Charter?				
MONTEREY	11/6/2007	Gonzales	Measure E	Pass
Shall the electors elect a mayor and four city councilmembers?				
MONTEREY	11/6/2007	Gonzales	Measure F	Pass
Shall the term of office be two years?				
MONTEREY	11/6/2007	Gonzales	Measure G	Fail
Shall the term of office be four years?				
MONTEREY	11/6/2007	Pacific Grove	Measure I	Pass
Shall City Charter Article 7 be amended to delete an unenforceable residency provision, and create a requirement that anyone holding elective office be a resident and elector of Pacific Grove for at least thirty (30) days before the date of his or her election or appointment?				
MONTEREY	11/6/2007	Pacific Grove	Measure J	Pass
Shall City Charter Article 9 be amended to require election results to be declared and elected officers installed at the Council's first regular meeting following certification of election results, and to require elected officers to file disclosure statements before they may be seated?				

TABLE 1.2 TEXT FOR CITY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2007

MONTEREY	11/6/2007	Pacific Grove	Measure K	Pass
Shall City Charter Article 11 be amended to establish how a vacancy in the office of Mayor shall be filled; provide that remaining Council Members shall appoint another Council Member to serve as Mayor for the remaining term; provide that the Mayor Pro Tempore shall become Mayor if the Council fails to fill the Mayoral vacancy; and provide that any resulting vacant Council seat shall be filled as provided by existing law in the Charter?				
MONTEREY	11/6/2007	Pacific Grove	Measure L	Pass
Shall City Charter Article 13 be amended to allow the Council, by five (5) affirmative votes, to cancel one of the two regular meetings during a specific month?				
MONTEREY	11/6/2007	Pacific Grove	Measure M	Pass
Shall City Charter Article 45 be amended to delete the requirement that the Charter and ordinances can be enforced only by misdemeanor prosecution with penalties up to \$1,000 and/or one year imprisonment, and instead to allow enforcement of the Charter and ordinances by misdemeanor, infraction, civil, or administrative action, and allow penalties to be set by ordinance or by reference to the general laws of the State of California?				
MONTEREY	11/6/2007	Pacific Grove	Measure N	Pass
Shall Article 46 be added to the City Charter to ensure remaining provisions of the Charter continue to have valid effect in the event any other provision of the Charter is held to be invalid or unenforceable?				
MONTEREY	11/6/2007	Pacific Grove	Measure O	Fail
Shall the Ordinance which deletes, during the period starting on January 1, 2008 and ending on December 31, 2013, the maximum annual business license tax limit of \$3,000 set forth in Section 7.04.220 of the Pacific Grove Municipal Code, be adopted as a general tax so long as Measures P and Q are also approved by a majority vote in this election?				
MONTEREY	11/6/2007	Pacific Grove	Measure P	Fail
Shall the Ordinance which imposes, during the period starting on January 1, 2008 and ending on December 31, 2013, a parcel tax of one-hundred and twenty dollars (\$120) per parcel per year (but exempting qualified members of lower income households who own and occupy the property as their principal residence) be adopted as a general tax so long as Measures O and Q are also approved by a majority vote in this election?				
MONTEREY	11/6/2007	Pacific Grove	Measure Q	Fail
Shall the Ordinance which adds, during the period starting on January 1, 2008 and ending on December 31, 2013, a one half percent (0.5%) sales tax to the existing sales tax pursuant to Chapter 6.08 of the Pacific Grove Municipal Code, be adopted as a general tax so long as Measures O and P are also approved by a majority vote in this election?				
RIVERSIDE	3/6/2007	Desert Hot Springs	Measure A	Fail
Shall the voters of the City of Desert Hot Springs return to the original practice of electing five (5) Councilmembers and allowing the Mayor's position to rotate among the five (5) Councilmembers, beginning with the most senior Councilmember serving as the Mayor first, to become effective at the November 6, 2007, Municipal Election?				
RIVERSIDE	11/6/2007	Desert Hot Springs	Measure J	Pass
In order to provide additional funding for public safety, street and park maintenance, and other services/projects for the residents of Desert Hot Springs, shall the City's tax on hotel occupancies ("Bed Tax"), collected by hotels from tourists, visitors to the City and all hotel guests, be increased by 2%, from 10% to 12% of the daily room charge?				
RIVERSIDE	11/6/2007	Palm Springs	Measure C	Fail
Shall Ordinance No. 1689, providing a ten year time extension of the term of the Development Agreement between the City of Palm Springs and Shadowrock Real Estate Development, LLC, be approved?				
RIVERSIDE	11/6/2007	Perris	Measure E	Pass
Shall the term of office of Mayor be four years?				
SAN BENITO	11/6/2007	Hollister	Measure T	Pass
To address an existing emergency related to Hollister's ability to fund general city services, including anti-gang programs, police and fire services, graffiti removal, parks, and street improvements, shall an ordinance be adopted to levy a sales tax of one per cent, which will automatically expire five years after its operative date and will require an annual public report on revenues and expenditures from an independent citizens' oversight committee?				
SAN BERNARDINO	11/6/2007	Adelanto	Measure G	Pass
Shall an Ordinance be adopted to affirm that the City Council of the City of Adelanto is authorized to adopt and amend its General Plan and Land Use/Zoning Map in the manner set forth in the State Planning and Zoning Law?				
SAN BERNARDINO	11/6/2007	Chino	Measure A	Pass
Shall the General Plan of the City of Chino be amended to change the land use of 17.68 acres of vacant land (Zone A on Diagram) from General Commercial to Residential Planned Development-RD8 for a maximum of 84 single family dwelling units and of 3.72 acres of vacant land (Zone C on Diagram) from General Commercial to Residential Planned Development-RD20 for a maximum of 72 senior citizen dwelling units?				

TABLE 1.2 TEXT FOR CITY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2007

SAN BERNARDINO	11/6/2007	Redlands	Measure F	Pass
Shall Ordinance No. 2664 be adopted to establish a business license tax initially in the amount of three and one-half cents per square foot of gross floor area, an annual adjustment by the consumer price index, but not to exceed five cents per square foot for distribution center businesses located within the City for the purpose of raising revenue to defray the costs of providing City services and supplement the City's General Fund?				
SAN BERNARDINO	11/6/2007	Rialto	Measure D	Pass
Shall Ordinance No. 1407 be adopted to clarify and extend the City's existing utility users tax, at its existing rate, to fund such City general fund programs as police and fire protection, street maintenance, and park and recreation services, to authorize tax exemptions for low-income and senior-citizen households, extend its sunset provision for five years to June 30, 2013 and to require voter approval of any increase in the tax?				
SAN DIEGO	3/6/2007	Solana Beach	Measure A	Pass
Shall Ordinance No. 357, also known as the "Neighborhood Preservation Ordinance," to establish reduced bulk and scale residential zoning requirements for six specific neighborhoods in the city located west of Interstate 5 be adopted?				
SAN DIEGO	6/5/2007	Vista	Measure C	Pass
Shall the proposed City Charter of the City of Vista be ratified?				
SAN MATEO	11/6/2007	Redwood City	Measure D	Pass
Shall Ordinance No. 2316 be adopted to reduce the rate of Redwood City's utility users' tax on telecommunication and video services from 5% to 4%; to modernize the telecommunication and video service definitions so that taxpayers are treated the same regardless of technology used; which tax has been used to maintain the city's storm drain system, repair broken sidewalks and repave city streets with all expenditures subject to annual audit?				
SAN MATEO	11/6/2007	Redwood City	Measure E	Pass
Shall specified sections of the City Charter be amended to extend the term of office of planning commissioner and library board member from three (3) years to four (4) years and to reduce the term of office of port members from five (5) years to four (4) years?				
SAN MATEO	11/6/2007	San Bruno	Measure F	Fail
To enhance public services and city facilities—such as maintaining police, fire, paramedic, and disaster preparedness; building a new public library with disabled access and space for children's programs; and maintaining and improving streets and sidewalks, recreational facilities, and senior programs—and for other general city purposes, shall the City of San Bruno be authorized to enact a half-cent sales tax, with all proceeds placed in the City's general fund, and with an independent citizen's oversight committee?				
SAN MATEO	11/6/2007	South San Francisco	Measure B	Pass
To provide needed funding for general city services, including police, fire, street and park maintenance, and library programs, shall an ordinance be adopted increasing the annual business license tax for most business types, resulting in a \$10 per employee annual increase for most businesses, and automatically adjusting all business license tax rates annually for inflation?				
SAN MATEO	11/6/2007	South San Francisco	Measure C	Pass
Shall an ordinance be adopted establishing an eight percent tax on commercial parking that would be imposed only as an alternative to the existing eight percent business license tax on commercial parking facilities?				
SANTA BARBARA	11/6/2007	Santa Barbara	Measure A	Fail
Shall the City Charter be amended by amending Charter Section 1300 and adding a new charter section 1300.1 to provide for regular City elections in November even-numbered years instead of odd-numbered years?				
SANTA CLARA	11/6/2007	Gilroy	Measure A	Pass
Shall an Ordinance be adopted to reduce the rate of the City of Gilroy's Communications Users' Tax from 5% to 4.5%; to revise the method for calculating and collecting the Utility Users' Tax to reflect technological advances and changes in state and federal law; and to ratify and approve the past collection of the Tax?				
SANTA CLARA	11/6/2007	Los Altos	Measure O	Pass
Shall an Ordinance be adopted to reduce the rate of the City of Los Altos's Communications Users' Tax from 3.5% to 3.2%; to revise the method for calculating and collecting the Utility Users' Tax to reflect technological advances and changes in state and federal law; and to ratify and approve the past collection of the Tax?				
SANTA CLARA	11/6/2007	Palo Alto	Measure M	Pass
Shall the Palo Alto Municipal Code be amended to increase the transient occupancy tax (commonly called "hotel tax") charged to persons who occupy hotel or motel rooms in the City for 30 days or less from 10% to 12% in order to help maintain the City's ability to fund basic City services?				

TABLE 1.2 TEXT FOR CITY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2007

SANTA CLARA	11/6/2007	Palo Alto	Measure N	Pass
Should an area under El Camino Park be used for an underground water storage reservoir and well to supply the City of Palo Alto with water during an emergency, the existing pump station replaced with modern equipment in its same location, and all existing park facilities fully restored upon completion of construction?				
SANTA CLARA	11/6/2007	Sunnyvale	Measure B	Fail (2/3 required)
To upgrade and expand Sunnyvale Library to support enhanced educational opportunities for local children and students, to support an increase of the library's collection of books and other materials, to ensure adequate reading space and expanded computer labs for seniors, children and the general public, shall the City of Sunnyvale issue \$108 million in general obligation bonds for design and construction of a new, energy efficient, green-designed, environmentally sustainable library to support Sunnyvale's growing community?				
SANTA CLARA	11/6/2007	Sunnyvale	Measure C	Pass
Shall Charter Section 602 be amended to limit a Councilmember to serving eight years in any twelve-year period, not including appointment to an unexpired term of less than two years?				
SANTA CLARA	11/6/2007	Sunnyvale	Measure D	Pass
Shall Charter Sections 1002 and 1003 be amended to clarify that a board or commission member can serve two terms on the same board or commission without a break, can serve on a different board or commission prior to expiration of his/her term with Council approval, and to clarify members of all boards and commissions may serve two successive terms?				
SANTA CLARA	11/6/2007	Sunnyvale	Measure E	Pass
Shall Charter Section 1007 be amended to add a provision that if two employee-nominated members of the Personnel Board are not nominated and elected within six months of a vacancy then the City Council may fill the vacancies by direct appointment and delete the prohibition against officers in any local, state or national partisan political organization from serving on the Personnel Board?				
SANTA CLARA	11/6/2007	Sunnyvale	Measure F	Pass
Shall Charter Sections 802, 1012 and 1014 be amended to add new language that provides for budget review and recommendations by all boards and commissions and to delete the budget review provisions limited to only the Library Board and Parks and Recreation Commission?				
SANTA CLARA	11/6/2007	Sunnyvale	Measure G	Fail
Shall Charter Section 603 be amended to allow the City Council to permit, by resolution, additional excused leave beyond the four personal leave days currently permitted, and to determine whether any additional excused leave days will be paid or unpaid leave?				
SANTA CLARA	11/6/2007	Sunnyvale	Measure H	Pass
Shall Charter Sections 605 and 606 be amended to select the Mayor and Vice Mayor at the first regular meeting in January and to change the term of the mayor from one year to two years?				
SANTA CLARA	11/6/2007	Sunnyvale	Measure I	Pass
Shall Charter Section 800 be amended to add language that strongly encourages the City Manager to reside in the City of Sunnyvale and to remove the City Manager residency requirement that is in conflict with the State Constitution?				
SANTA CLARA	11/6/2007	Sunnyvale	Measure J	Pass
Shall Charter Sections 1302 and 1305 be amended to add language that requires the annual submission of a ten-year balanced budget that includes level of service information, historical financial trend data, and charts for ease of understanding the budget and provides that approved appropriations for capital improvement projects will not lapse at the end of the fiscal year, unless completed, closed out or Council takes action to modify?				
SANTA CLARA	11/6/2007	Sunnyvale	Measure K	Pass
Shall Charter Sections 601, 610, 612, 613, 703 and 708 be amended to delete outdated material, to provide for certification of election results at the first meeting in January, to reflect current practice of study sessions and closed sessions in locations other than Council Chambers, to certify election returns, to provide for sanctions for disorderly conduct, to clarify the City Clerk reports to the City Manager, and to allow for publication on the Internet?				
SANTA CLARA	11/6/2007	Sunnyvale	Measure L	Pass
Shall Charter Sections 803, 804, 806, 903, 908 and 1309 be amended to delete outdated material, clarify that a City Manager pro tem is appointed for an absence of more than two weeks, that the City Manager and City Attorney can be removed without cause, that the City Manager appoints the City Clerk, require City Attorney approval for outside counsel, and specify that the lowest bidder for public works contracts must be responsive and responsible?				
SOLANO	4/17/2007	Dixon	Measure M	Fail
Shall Dixon City Council Resolution No. 06-195, "A Resolution of the Council of the City of Dixon Approving a Proposed Amendment to the Transportation and Circulation Chapter of the City of Dixon General Plan Relating to Levels of Service," which amends the General Plan Traffic Level of Service Policy to permit a traffic level of service less than "C" in certain circumstances, be adopted?				

TABLE 1.2 TEXT FOR CITY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2007

SOLANO	4/17/2007	Dixon	Measure N	Fail
Shall Dixon City Council Resolution No. 06-196, "A Resolution of the Council of the City of Dixon Adopting the Revised Northeast Quadrant Specific Plan," which Resolution amends the Northeast Quadrant Specific Plan to expressly permit the development of the Dixon Downs Horse Racetrack and Entertainment Center Project and makes other modifications to the Northeast Quadrant Specific Plan, be adopted?				
SOLANO	4/17/2007	Dixon	Measure O	Fail
Shall Dixon City Council Ordinance No. 06-008, which Ordinance amends the zoning of the Dixon Downs Project site so as to expressly permit the development of the Dixon Downs Horse Racetrack and Entertainment Center Project, including a horse racetrack, hotel and conference center, and a regional commercial shopping center, and which Ordinance also approves a PD Plan for the Dixon Downs Project, be adopted?				
SOLANO	4/17/2007	Dixon	Measure P	Fail
Shall Dixon City Council Ordinance No. 06-009, "An Ordinance Approving a Development Agreement Between the City of Dixon and Magna Entertainment Corporation Relative to the Use and Development of Approximately 260 Acres of Property," which Ordinance approves a Development Agreement for the Dixon Downs Horse Racetrack and Entertainment Center Project, including a horse racetrack, hotel and conference center, and regional commercial shopping center, be adopted?				
SOLANO	11/6/2007	Benicia	Measure S	Pass
Shall an ordinance be adopted to amend the communication users tax to reduce the rate to 3.5% and update the definitions of the items subject to the tax to help preserve Benicia's essential services such as police, fire, and street maintenance?				
SOLANO	11/6/2007	Fairfield	Measure Q	Fail
To preserve the funding of essential City services such as police, fire, street and park maintenance, and programs for youth and seniors, shall a resolution be adopted ratifying and approving the existing tax on water operations with no increase in taxes or fees on local residents and reducing the current water rates by two percent?				
SOLANO	11/6/2007	Fairfield	Measure R	Fail
Shall an ordinance be adopted clarifying the types of improvements that can be made at the Rockville Hills Regional Park without voter approval?				
STANISLAUS	11/6/2007	Ceres	Measure H	Pass (2/3 required)
To ensure community safety by hiring/training firefighters and police officers; expanding anti-gang/drug enforcement teams; purchasing emergency rescue equipment for police/firefighters; purchasing radios/computers to reduce 9-1-1 response times; and expanding police patrols around schools/parks; shall the City of Ceres enact a half-cent sales tax with independent citizens' oversight and annual audits, with all funds legally required to stay in Ceres?				
STANISLAUS	11/6/2007	Modesto	Measure I	Pass
(ADVISORY) Should Modesto change its system of electing City Councilmembers? Please answer the next question, whether you voted "Yes" or "No" to indicate which election system you prefer if a change is proposed.				
STANISLAUS	11/6/2007	Modesto	Measure J	Pass
(ADVISORY) If the City of Modesto were to change its system of electing City Councilmembers, which of the following systems would you prefer? The Mayor would remain elected by all voters in the City under either option. (Choose only one): a. "By District": Six Districts where candidates must live in the District they wish to represent and the voters who live in the District vote on who will represent that District. b. "Mixed": Two At-Large Council seats, where candidates may live anywhere in the City and are voted on by all City voters; and Six Districts where candidates must live in the District they wish to represent, and the voters who live in the District vote on who will represent that District. (Note: "Yes" = a. "By District"; "No" = b. "Mixed")				
TULARE	6/5/2007	Tulare	Measure A	Pass
Shall Ordinance No. 07-05 be adopted to reduce the rate of the City of Tulare's Communications Users' Tax from 7% to 6%; to revise the method for calculating and collecting the Utility Users' Tax to reflect technological advances and changes in state and federal law; and to ratify and approve the past collection of the Tax?				
VENTURA	5/8/2007	Santa Paula	Measure A7	Pass
Shall the Initiative amending the Santa Paula General Plan to generally adjust Santa Paula's City Urban Restriction Boundary (CURB) to include the Adams Canyon expansion area be adopted?				
VENTURA	11/6/2007	San Buenaventura	Measure C7	Pass
To maintain essential city services while decreasing tax rates, shall an ordinance be adopted to comply with new federal requirements and to preserve funding for critical city services, including police and fire protection, paramedic and emergency response, street repair and maintenance, youth services, and reduction of trash and pollution from parks, beaches, and coastal waters, by updating the method for calculating and collecting the communication users' tax?				

TABLE 1.3 SUMMARY OF OUTCOMES FOR CITY BALLOT MEASURES BY TYPE OF MEASURE AND COUNTY, 2007

	TAXES		BONDS		CHARTER AMENDMENT		ADVISORY		INITIATIVE		RECALL		ORDINANCE		ALL CITY MEASURES		
	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	TOTAL
Alameda	1	0													1	0	1
Contra Costa	2	1	0	1											2	2	4
Fresno	1	0													1	0	1
Imperial	0	2													0	2	2
Kern	2	0													2	0	2
Los Angeles	9	3			11	5	1	0	0	1	5	0	2	1	28	10	38
Merced					2	1									2	1	3
Monterey	0	3			6	0						2	1	8	4	12	
Riverside	1	0										1	2	2	2	4	
San Benito	1	0												1	0	1	
San Bernardino	2	0										2	0	4	0	4	
San Diego					1	0						1	0	2	0	2	
San Mateo	3	1			1	0								4	1	5	
Santa Barbara					0	1								0	1	1	
Santa Clara	3	0	0	1	9	1						1	0	13	2	15	
Solano	1	1										0	5	1	6	7	
Stanislaus	1	0					2	0						3	0	3	
Tulare	1	0												1	0	1	
Ventura	1	0										1	0	2	0	2	
All Counties	29	11	0	2	30	8	3	0	0	1	5	0	10	9	77	31	108

TABLE 1.4 SUMMARY OF ELECTION OUTCOMES FOR CITY BALLOT MEASURES BY TOPIC OF MEASURE AND COUNTY, 2007

	LAND USE		SAFETY		GOVERNANCE		TRANSPORT		FACILITIES		GENERAL SERVICES		REVENUE		ALL CITY MEASURES		
	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	TOTAL
Alameda													1	0	1	0	1
Contra Costa							0	1			2	1			2	2	4
Fresno			1	0											1	0	1
Imperial													0	2	0	2	2
Kern													2	0	2	0	2
Los Angeles	3	1	2	0	15	6			2	0	1	0	5	3	28	10	38
Merced					2	1									2	1	3
Monterey			1	0	7	1							0	3	8	4	12
Riverside	0	1			1	1							1	0	2	2	4
San Benito													1	0	1	0	1
San Bernardino	2	0											2	0	4	0	4
San Diego	1	0			1	0									2	0	2
San Mateo					1	0							3	1	4	1	5
Santa Barbara						1							0	0	0	1	1
Santa Clara					9	1			0	1	1	0	3	0	13	2	15
Solano	0	4					0	1					1	1	1	6	7
Stanislaus			1	0	2	0									3	0	3
Tulare													1	0	1	0	1
Ventura	1	0											1	0	2	0	2
All Counties	7	6	5	0	38	11	0	2	2	1	4	1	21	10	77	31	108

PART 2

VOTE TOTALS FOR
CITY OFFICE
CANDIDATES

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2007

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM- BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED
ALAMEDA	11/6/2007	Emeryville	City Council		Full	Atkin	Ruth	Incumbent / Vice Mayor	Yes	5	921	3,677	25.0%	Yes
						Bukowski	Ken	Council Member, Small Businessman	Yes	5	903	3,677	24.6%	Yes
						Davis	Nora	Emeryville City Councilmember	Yes	5	881	3,677	24.0%	Yes
						Patel	Shilen	Environmental Consultant	No	5	780	3,677	21.2%	No
						Ashley	Thordie B.	Retired Registered Nurse	No	5	176	3,677	4.8%	No
	Livermore	City Council		Full	Williams	Jeff	Retired Mechanical Engineer	No	3	8,220	21,616	38.0%	Yes	
					Leider	Marjorie R.	Incumbent	Yes	3	8,142	21,616	37.7%	Yes	
					Dietrich	Lorraine	Incumbent	Yes	3	5,120	21,616	23.7%	No	
		Mayor		Full	Kamena	Marshall	Mayor / Optometrist	Yes	1	9,463	10,016	94.5%	Yes	
	Newark	City Council		Full	Freitas	Luis	Incumbent	Yes	6	2,696	8,654	31.2%	Yes	
					Huezo	Alberto	Retired City Administrator	No	6	2,142	8,654	24.8%	Yes	
					Saria-Mansfield	Sharlene	Television Sports Producer	No	6	2,053	8,654	23.7%	No	
					Vincent	Mary	Senior Program Manager - Engineering	No	6	1,053	8,654	12.2%	No	
					Nillo	Bernie	Test Manager	No	6	363	8,654	4.2%	No	
					Lopes	Cornelius	Lumber Broker Salesman	No	6	332	8,654	3.8%	No	
						Mayor		Full	Smith	David W. "Dave"	Mayor	Yes	2	3,791
					Greene	Vibert F.	Mechanical Engineer	No	2	871	4,679	18.6%	No	
ALPINE	No City Contests													
AMADOR	No City Contests													
BUTTE	No City Contests													
CALAVERAS	No City Contests													
COLUSA	11/6/2007	Colusa	City Council		Short	Critchfield	Donna	Insurance Agent	No	3	695	1,015	68.5%	Yes
						Condrey	Rebecca	Businesswoman	No	3	26	1,015	2.6%	No
						Candito	Joseph R.	Sales Manager/Agriculturist	No	3	291	1,015	28.7%	No
CONTRA COSTA	No City Contests													
DEL NORTE	No City Contests													
EL DORADO	No City Contests													
FRESNO	No City Contests													
GLENN	No City Contests													
HUMBOLDT	No City Contests													

¹Write-in candidate votes, when reported by the county, have been included in the total votes cast. For these contests, the sum of the candidate votes is less than the total votes cast.

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2007

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM- BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED			
IMPERIAL	11/6/2007	Brawley	City Clerk		Full	Benavides	Alma	Appointed Incumbent	No	1	2,250	2,272	99.0%	Yes			
			City Council		Full	Kelley	Ryan E.	Emergency Medical Manager	No	7	1,511	6,833	22.1%	Yes			
						Full	Ettinger	Mason	Chiropractor	No	7	771	6,833	11.3%	No		
						Full	Quarcelino, Sr.	Lee A.	Retired	No	7	552	6,833	8.1%	No		
						Full	Johnson	Wayne C.	Retired	No	7	838	6,833	12.3%	No		
						Full	Nava	George A.	Mortgage Broker Businessman	No	7	1,166	6,833	17.1%	Yes		
						Full	Campbell	Don C.	Incumbent	Yes	7	1,291	6,833	18.9%	Yes		
						Full	Carrillo	Toni C.	Incumbent	Yes	7	697	6,833	10.2%	No		
		City Treasurer		Full	Valenzuela	R. C.	Incumbent	Yes	2	1,240	2,543	48.8%	No				
				Full	Hamilton	Jim	Retired Credit Manager	No	2	1,297	2,543	51.0%	Yes				
		Calipatria	City Clerk		Full	Hoff	Catherine	Incumbent	Yes	1	223	227	98.2%	Yes			
			City Council		Full	Navarro	Raul	Incumbent	Yes	3	173	448	38.6%	Yes			
						Full	Nelson	Patricia	Council Member	Yes	3	135	448	30.1%	No		
					Full	Smith	Brian C.	Certified General Electrician	No	3	140	448	31.3%	Yes			
		El Centro	11/6/2007		City Clerk		Full	Caldwell	Diane	No Ballot Designation	No	1	3,204	3,229	99.2%	Yes	
					City Council		Full	Edney	Jon A.	Council Member	Yes	5	1,961	8,954	21.9%	Yes	
								Full	Silva	Efrain	Community College Administrator	No	5	2,015	8,954	22.5%	Yes
								Full	Sanders	Sedalia	Council Member	Yes	5	1,933	8,954	21.6%	Yes
								Full	Jackson	Jason	General Manager	No	5	1,751	8,954	19.6%	No
								Full	Willis	John	Deputy District Attorney	No	5	1,280	8,954	14.3%	No
City Treasurer					Full	Terrazas	Albert	City Treasurer	Yes	1	3,296	3,328	99.0%	Yes			
INYO	3/6/2007	Bishop	City Council		Full	Dishion	Bruce	Retired Police Chief	No	4	318	1,237	25.7%	Yes			
						Kessler	Kathryn (Kay)	Employed	No	4	231	1,237	18.7%	No			
						Henderson	Kathryn A.	Incumbent	Yes	4	314	1,237	25.4%	No			
						Griffiths	Jeff	Spanish Interpreter	No	4	374	1,237	30.2%	Yes			
KERN	11/6/2007	Delano	City Council		Full	Drow	John	Businessman/Teacher	No	3	404	2,311	17.5%	No			
						Gadiano	Roger	Businessman/Educator	No	3	793	2,311	34.3%	No			
						Morris	Liz	Child Development Clerk	No	3	1,114	2,311	48.2%	Yes			
KINGS	No City Contests																
LAKE	No City Contests																
LASSEN	No City Contests																
LOS ANGELES	1/9/2007	Inglewood	Mayor		Full	Dorn	Roosevelt F.	Mayor of the City of Inglewood	Yes	2	4,063	7,011	58.0%	Yes			
						Dunlap	Judy	Inglewood City Councilwoman	No	2	2,948	7,011	42.0%	No			

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2007

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM- BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED	
LOS ANGELES (continued)	2/27/2007	Burbank	City Council		Full	Berlin	Carolyn	Community Advocate	No	7	3,316	19,032	17.4%	No	
						Reinke	Anja	Attorney/Business Owner	No	7	3,983	19,032	20.9%	Yes	
						Berlin	Phil	Attorney/Mediator	No	7	3,000	19,032	15.8%	No	
						Sorthun	Margaret	Notary Public	No	7	341	19,032	1.8%	No	
						Hovanessian	Vahe	Attorney at Law	No	7	1,222	19,032	6.4%	No	
						Prouty	Whit	Residential Realtor	No	7	2,804	19,032	14.7%	No	
						Bric	Gary R.	Restaurant Owner	No	7	4,366	19,032	22.9%	Yes	
	3/6/2007	Artesia	City Council		Full	Lima	Tony	Real Estate Broker/Community Advocate	No	4	731	2,495	29.3%	Yes	
						Nelson	Larry R.	Business Owner/Councilman/Incumbent	Yes	4	688	2,495	27.6%	Yes	
						Oliver	Charles J.	Businessman	No	4	615	2,495	24.6%	No	
						Gutierrez	Soledad	Research Analyst/Teacher	No	4	461	2,495	18.5%	No	
						Short	Manalo	Victor A.	University Professor	No	2	817	1,313	62.2%	Yes
							Zylstra	Bill H.	Educator/High School Coach	No	2	496	1,313	37.8%	No
	Azusa		City Council		Full	Macias	Uriel Edward	Financial Controller	No	6	1,836	6,416	28.6%	Yes	
						Carrillo	Angel A.	Councilmember/Educator	Yes	6	1,622	6,416	25.3%	Yes	
						Gonzales	Robert	Insurance Consultant	No	6	1,047	6,416	16.3%	No	
						Alvarez	Edward J.	Excise Tax Auditor	No	6	728	6,416	11.3%	No	
Dierking						John R.	Attorney/Planning Commissioner	No	6	642	6,416	10.0%	No		
Cruz						Andrea M.	Real Estate Broker	No	6	541	6,416	8.4%	No		
Mayor				Full	Chagnon	Diane M.	Mayor	Yes	2	1,655	3,575	46.3%	No		
					Rocha	Joseph Romero	Councilmember/Educator	No	2	1,920	3,575	53.7%	Yes		
Bell		City Council		Full	Hernandez	Oscar	Councilmember	Yes	4	978	3,367	29.0%	Yes		
					Mirabal	George	Councilmember	Yes	4	982	3,367	29.2%	Yes		
					Bello	Victor	Councilmember	Yes	4	956	3,367	28.4%	Yes		
					Valencia	Nestor Enrique	Health Administrator	No	4	451	3,367	13.4%	No		
Bellflower		City Council		Full	Drake	Aaron K.	Law Enforcement Administrator	No	6	1,158	7,467	15.5%	No		
					Drayer	John Paul	Educator	No	6	1,240	7,467	16.6%	No		
					Dunton	Raymond	Business Owner	No	6	1,576	7,467	21.1%	Yes		
					Ramirez	Antonio	Decorator	No	6	249	7,467	3.3%	No		
					Bomgaars	Randy	Teacher/Councilman	Yes	6	1,758	7,467	23.5%	Yes		
					Smith	Ray T.	Council Member/Businessman	Yes	6	1,486	7,467	19.9%	Yes		

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2007

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED
LOS ANGELES (continued)	3/6/2007	Beverly Hills	City Council		Full	Krasne	Nancy	Planning Commissioner	No	6	2,710	11,609	23.3%	Yes
						Delshad	Jimmy	City Councilmember/Businessman	Yes	6	2,579	11,609	22.2%	Yes
						Webb	Steve	City Councilmember/Attorney	Yes	6	2,408	11,609	20.7%	No
						Soleimani	Maggie	Attorney	No	6	2,299	11,609	19.8%	No
						Melamed	Shahram	Planning Commissioner/Businessman	No	6	1,266	11,609	10.9%	No
						Morales	Lizza Monet	Journalist/Entrepreneur	No	6	347	11,609	3.0%	No
		Calabasas	City Council		Full	Washburn	Dennis	Exec Dir, Foundation for Pierce College	Yes	4	1,606	4,318	37.2%	Yes
						Groveman	Barry	Member of the Calabasas City Council	Yes	4	1,398	4,318	32.4%	Yes
						Keeler	Toby	Media Specialist	No	4	889	4,318	20.6%	No
						Reicheneder	Dale	Lawyer	No	4	425	4,318	9.8%	No
		Carson	City Clerk		Full	Kawagoe	Helen	Carson City Clerk	Yes	2	6,463	8,100	79.8%	Yes
						Pulido	Ricardo "Rick"	Labor Union Representative	No	2	1,637	8,100	20.2%	No
			City Council		Full	Santarina	Elito M. "Elito"	Carson City Councilman	Yes	7	3,960	15,314	25.9%	Yes
						Davis-Holmes	Lula	City of Carson Recreation Superintendent	No	7	3,453	15,314	22.5%	Yes
						Ruiz-Raber	Julie	City Councilmember	Yes	7	3,121	15,314	20.4%	No
						Wilson	Wilma M.	Nurse/Planning Commissioner	No	7	2,774	15,314	18.1%	No
						Boggs	Rita R.	Business Owner/Professor	No	7	1,470	15,314	9.6%	No
						Mozena	Steve P.	Business Owner	No	7	295	15,314	1.9%	No
			City Treasurer		Full	Avilla	Karen	Carson City Treasurer	Yes	2	5,762	8,034	71.7%	Yes
						Merton	Jose "Merton"	Businessman/Insurance Broker	No	2	2,272	8,034	28.3%	No
		Cerritos	City Council		Full	Groom	Cecy R.	Governing Board of Education Member	No	9	1,698	21,291	8.0%	No
						Law	Maynard	Retired Educator/Administrator	No	9	2,699	21,291	12.7%	No
						Lee	Laura	Councilmember/Businesswoman	Yes	9	4,134	21,291	19.4%	Yes
						Titus	Anna M.	Public Relations	No	9	1,493	21,291	7.0%	No
						Teuerle	Paul S.	Training Specialist	No	9	833	21,291	3.9%	No
						Barrows	Bruce W.	Consultant	No	9	3,417	21,291	16.0%	Yes
						Ho	Kitt	Accountant	No	9	279	21,291	1.3%	No
						Cho	Joseph	Author/Businessman	No	9	3,519	21,291	16.5%	Yes
Chen	Carol	Business Owner	No	9	3,219	21,291	15.1%	No						

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2007

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM- BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED				
LOS ANGELES (continued)	3/6/2007	Claremont	City Council		Full	Pedroza, Jr.	Sam	Environmental Planner / Commissioner	No	7	3,535	16,620	21.3%	Yes				
						Yao	Peter S.	Retired Corporate Manager	Yes	7	3,399	16,620	20.5%	Yes				
						Elderkin	Linda P.	Community Volunteer	No	7	3,298	16,620	19.8%	Yes				
						McHenry	Jackie	Incumbent	Yes	7	2,687	16,620	16.2%	No				
						Nasiali	Opanyi K.	Retired Urban Planner	No	7	2,556	16,620	15.4%	No				
						Maglio	Michael L.	Distribution Center Supervisor	No	7	797	16,620	4.8%	No				
						Keenan	Michael John	Professional Window Cleaner	No	7	348	16,620	2.1%	No				
						Commerce	City Council		Full	Aguilar	Joe	Retired Center Supervisor	No	5	769	2,913	26.4%	Yes
										Argumedo	Hugo A.	Councilmember	Yes	5	729	2,913	25.0%	Yes
										Leon	Lela R.	Businesswoman / Education Commissioner	No	5	663	2,913	22.8%	No
										Ramos	Nancy M.	Councilmember	Yes	5	566	2,913	19.4%	No
										Flores	Elizabeth M.	Child Care Provider	No	5	186	2,913	6.4%	No
		Covina	City Council		Full					Delach	Peggy A.	City Council Member	Yes	9	1,232	6,647	18.5%	Yes
						Fabian	Rosie	City Clerk	No	9	493	6,647	7.4%	No				
						Marquez	Jorge	Government Casework Manager	No	9	595	6,647	9.0%	No				
						Mason	William	Educator	No	9	770	6,647	11.6%	No				
						Mihalka	James	Firefighter/Paramedic	No	9	162	6,647	2.4%	No				
						Palmeri	Thomas F.	CPA/College Instructor	No	9	1,113	6,647	16.7%	No				
						Castro	Marcus V.	County Executive	No	9	381	6,647	5.7%	No				
						Allen, III	Walter	Retired Police Officer	No	9	1,772	6,647	26.7%	Yes				
		Cudahy	City Council		Full	Vanderpool	Justin T.	Criminal Justice Student	No	9	129	6,647	1.9%	No				
						Gurulé	Frank	Mayor/Retired Union Business Manager	Yes	5	560	2,555	21.9%	Yes				
						Romo	Juan	Councilmember, City of Cudahy	Yes	5	527	2,555	20.6%	Yes				
						Condé	Osvaldo	Councilmember, City of Cudahy	Yes	5	519	2,555	20.3%	Yes				
						Garcia	Luis	Public Works Inspector	No	5	478	2,555	18.7%	No				
		Gardena	City Council		Full	Cota	Daniel	Teacher	No	5	471	2,555	18.4%	No				
						Medrano	Oscar	Councilman/Business Owner	Yes	5	1,581	6,446	24.5%	Yes				
						Medina	Dan	Businessman	No	5	1,434	6,446	22.2%	No				
Johnson	Rachel C.					City Councilmember/Educator	No	5	2,205	6,446	34.2%	Yes						
Cerda	Tasha					Financial Consultant	No	5	898	6,446	13.9%	No						
				Emerson	Charles Alan	Retired Design Engineer	No	5	328	6,446	5.1%	No						

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2007

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM- BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED
LOS ANGELES (continued)	3/6/2007	Glendora	City Council		Full	Clifford	Gary M.	Councilmember/Business Executive	Yes	7	3,726	19,944	18.7%	Yes
						Herman	Ken	Councilmember/Retired Banker	Yes	7	3,799	19,944	19.0%	Yes
						Tessitor	Doug	Incumbent	Yes	7	3,376	19,944	16.9%	Yes
						Parisi	Kristin	Business Administrator/Educator	No	7	2,845	19,944	14.3%	No
						Johnson	Jeff	Corporate President	No	7	2,642	19,944	13.2%	No
						Tweini	Yousef "Joseph"	Engineer and Manager	No	7	1,340	19,944	6.7%	No
						Harrold	John J.	Deputy District Attorney	No	7	2,216	19,944	11.1%	No
		Hidden Hills	City Council		Full	Weber	Larry	Small Business Owner	No	5	191	765	25.0%	Yes
						Ulrich	Kimila W.	Community Volunteer	No	5	129	765	16.9%	No
						Siegel	Stuart	Incumbent	Yes	5	187	765	24.4%	Yes
						Bernstein	Todd	Telecommunications Company CEO	No	5	106	765	13.9%	No
						Fisher	Monty E.	Incumbent	Yes	5	152	765	19.9%	Yes
		Huntington Park	City Council		Full	Noguez	Juan R.	Council Member / Appraiser	Yes	6	1,360	6,274	21.7%	Yes
						Gomez	Mario	Council Member / Businessman	Yes	6	1,176	6,274	18.7%	Yes
						Guevara	Linda Luz	Legal Administrator / Businesswoman	No	6	780	6,274	12.4%	No
						Martinez	Efren	Businessman / U.S. Marine	No	6	827	6,274	13.2%	No
						Amezquita	Valentin P.	Recycling Manager	No	6	848	6,274	13.5%	No
						Hernandez	Ofelia	Educational Childcare Provider	Yes	6	1,283	6,274	20.4%	Yes
		La Habra Heights	City Council		Full	Baroldi	Layne T.	Attorney/Planning Commissioner	No	4	1,003	2,415	41.5%	Yes
						Vipperman	Howard "Vip"	Businessman	No	4	744	2,415	30.8%	Yes
						Klein	Fred	Businessman/Council Member	Yes	4	447	2,415	18.5%	No
						Gomez	Vincent P.	General Journeyman Electrician	No	4	221	2,415	9.2%	No
		La Mirada	City Council		Full	Malkin	Hal	Incumbent	Yes	4	2,306	7,366	31.3%	Yes
						Dames	Pete	Incumbent	Yes	4	2,151	7,366	29.2%	Yes
						Del Pino	Mark	Educator	No	4	664	7,366	9.0%	No
						Jones	Steve	Educator	Yes	4	2,245	7,366	30.5%	Yes
		Lakewood	City Council		Full	Schuster	Marty	Lakewood Business Owner	No	4	1,939	9,333	20.8%	No
						Nelson, Jr.	John R.	Electrician Manager	No	4	938	9,333	10.1%	No
Esquivel	Joe					Incumbent	Yes	4	3,196	9,333	34.2%	Yes		
Van Nostran	Lawrence H. "Larry"					Incumbent	Yes	4	3,260	9,333	34.9%	Yes		

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2007

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM- BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED	
LOS ANGELES (continued)	3/6/2007	Los Angeles	City Council	2	Full	Greuel	Wendy	Los Angeles City Councilwoman	Yes	1	10,575	10,575	100.0%	Yes	
				4	Full	Labonge	Tom	Councilmember	Yes	1	8,486	8,486	100.0%	Yes	
				6	Full	Cordaro	James "Jamie"	Neighborhood Council Boardmember	No	5	587	7,248	8.1%	No	
						Cardenas	Tony	City Councilmember	Yes	5	4,803	7,248	66.3%	Yes	
						Rothenay	Eric Dwight	Peace Officer / Educator	No	5	1,013	7,248	14.0%	No	
						Marez	Candido J.	Businessman / Community Activist	No	5	168	7,248	2.3%	No	
						Martellaro-Palmer	Lisa	Transportation Engineer	No	5	677	7,248	9.3%	No	
				7	Full	Carranza	Margie	Retired Administrative Assistant	No	4	451	9,540	4.7%	No	
						Rodriguez	Monica	Educator / Housing Advocate	No	4	2,761	9,540	28.9%	No	
						Alarcon	Richard	State Assemblyman	No	4	5,131	9,540	53.8%	Yes	
						Mendoza	Oscar	Businessman / Community Leader	No	4	1,197	9,540	12.5%	No	
				8	Full	Parks	Bernard C.	Los Angeles City Councilmember	Yes	1	9,620	9,620	100.0%	Yes	
				10	Full	Wesson, Jr.	Herb J.	Los Angeles City Councilmember	Yes	1	8,506	8,506	100.0%	Yes	
				12	Full	Smith	Greig	Councilman	Yes	1	14,749	14,749	100.0%	Yes	
				14	Full	Huizar	José	Los Angeles City Councilmember	Yes	3	8,785	13,376	65.7%	Yes	
						Jimenez	Juan "Johnny Jay"	Retired Administrator	No	3	617	13,376	4.6%	No	
						Parra	Alvin	Businessman / Community Leader	No	3	3,974	13,376	29.7%	No	
				Manhattan Beach	City Council	Full	Ward	Mitch	Councilmember/Business Owner	Yes	8	2,184	9,662	22.6%	Yes
							Pastor	Louie	Educator/Coach/Musician	No	8	596	9,662	6.2%	No
							Lesser	David J.	Attorney	No	8	1,514	9,662	15.7%	No
Shimek	Steven	Public Relations Executive	No				8	604	9,662	6.3%	No				
Morse	Stephen	Company President	No				8	852	9,662	8.8%	No				
Morse	Bev	Editorial Writer	No				8	270	9,662	2.8%	No				
Bohner	Bob	Health Care Attorney	No				8	1,437	9,662	14.9%	No				
Cohen	Portia	Attorney-At-Law	No				8	2,205	9,662	22.8%	Yes				
Monrovia	City Council	Full	Alexandrovich	Tony	Accounts Payable Clerk	No	4	709	6,971	10.2%	No				
			Adams	Tom	Councilmember/Businessman	Yes	4	2,594	6,971	37.2%	Yes				
			Lutz	Mary Ann	Councilmember/Businesswoman	Yes	4	2,927	6,971	42.0%	Yes				
			Durón	Mario	Property Manager	No	4	741	6,971	10.6%	No				
Monrovia	Mayor	Full	Grollnek	Stephen H.	Director	No	2	827	3,794	21.8%	No				
			Hammond	Rob	Mayor/Businessman	Yes	2	2,967	3,794	78.2%	Yes				

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2007

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM- BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED			
LOS ANGELES (continued)	3/6/2007	Monterey Park	City Council		Full	Lau	Doris	Senior Government Officer	No	11	622	18,464	3.4%	No			
						Estrada	Luis E.	County Health Supervisor	No	11	1,529	18,464	8.3%	No			
						Hong	Frank	Community Planner	No	11	1,798	18,464	9.7%	No			
						Barron	Dave	City Clerk	No	11	1,955	18,464	10.6%	No			
						Zucker	Irwin	Student	No	11	125	18,464	0.7%	No			
						Alonso	Francisco	Business Person	No	11	1,912	18,464	10.4%	No			
						Avila	Joe Ray	Handyman/Teacher	No	11	318	18,464	1.7%	No			
						Yang	Lisa	Businesswoman	No	11	1,508	18,464	8.2%	No			
						Venti	Benjamin "Frank"	City Councilmember	Yes	11	3,197	18,464	17.3%	Yes			
						Ing	Mitchell	City Treasurer	No	11	3,151	18,464	17.1%	Yes			
						Wong	Anthony	Business Owner	No	11	2,349	18,464	12.7%	Yes			
						Norwalk	City Council	Full	Luera	Jesse M.	Incumbent	Yes	6	1,794	11,172	16.1%	Yes
									Stefenhagen	Gordon	Businessman/Councilmember	Yes	6	2,424	11,172	21.7%	Yes
McIntosh	Mary Jane	Textile Distribution Manager	No	6	1,512				11,172	13.5%	No						
Ramirez	Rick	Councilmember/Peace Officer	Yes	6	2,454				11,172	22.0%	Yes						
Chacon	Fernando	Director Youth Services	No	6	1,420				11,172	12.7%	No						
Valencia	Ana	Teacher/School Boardmember	No	6	1,568				11,172	14.0%	No						
Palos Verdes Estates	City Council	Full	Perkins	Ellen	Attorney	No	3	1,871	5,500	34.0%	Yes						
			Humphrey	Rosemary	Palos Verdes Estates Councilmember	Yes	3	1,948	5,500	35.4%	Yes						
			Rea	John	Attorney	No	3	1,681	5,500	30.6%	Yes						
Paramount	City Council	Full	Martinez	Diane J.	School Teacher/Councilmember	Yes	4	1,253	4,069	30.8%	Yes						
			Hofmeyer	Daryl	Incumbent	Yes	4	1,196	4,069	29.4%	Yes						
			Hansen	Tom	Chiropractor/Planning Commissioner	No	4	860	4,069	21.1%	Yes						
			Guillen	Mark Manuel	Engineering Technician	No	4	760	4,069	18.7%	No						
Pasadena	City Council	1	Full	Salzer	Robin	Owner Robin's Woodfire BBQ	No	6	565	1,952	28.9%	Yes					
				Robinson	Jacque	Labor Community Organizer	No	6	559	1,952	28.6%	No					
				Henderson	Raphael	Senior Executive Banker	No	6	367	1,952	18.8%	No					
				Leon	Mark	Mechanical Design Engineer	No	6	242	1,952	12.4%	No					
				Treweek	Gordon P.	Consulting Environmental Engineer	No	6	117	1,952	6.0%	No					
				Richardson-Bailey	Michelle	Secretary	No	6	102	1,952	5.2%	No					
		2	Full	McAustin	Margaret	Business Executive	No	3	845	1,919	44.0%	Yes					
				Lomako	James	Business Owner	No	3	543	1,919	28.3%	No					
				Lewis	Stacy	Small Business Owner	No	3	531	1,919	27.7%	No					

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2007

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM- BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED
LOS ANGELES (continued)	3/6/2007	Pasadena	City Council	4	Full	Haderlein	Steve	Teacher / Councilmember	Yes	2	1,624	3,098	52.4%	Yes
						Masuda	Gene	Business Owner	No	2	1,474	3,098	47.6%	No
				6	Full	Madison	Steve	City Councilmember / Lawyer	Yes	2	2,474	2,967	83.4%	Yes
						Brown	Kimberly	Parent	No	2	493	2,967	16.6%	No
				Mayor	Full	Bogaard	Bill	Mayor of Pasadena	Yes	2	11,558	13,030	88.7%	Yes
						Proctor	Aaron D.	Customer Service Representative	No	2	1,472	13,030	11.3%	No
			Pico Rivera	City Council	Full	Gallegos-Smith	Gracie	Businesswoman/Planning Commissioner	No	7	1,777	10,509	16.9%	Yes
						Garcia	Carlos A.	Business Owner/Councilman	Yes	7	1,472	10,509	14.0%	No
						Armijo	Al	Investigator	No	7	1,035	10,509	9.8%	No
						Archuleta	Bob J.	Businessman/Veterans Commissioner	No	7	1,800	10,509	17.1%	Yes
						Salcido	Gregory	Teacher/Pico Rivera Councilman	Yes	7	1,671	10,509	15.9%	Yes
						Morones	Martin L.	USAR First Sgt	No	7	1,298	10,509	12.4%	No
		Ramirez				E. A. "Pete"	Incumbent	Yes	7	1,456	10,509	13.9%	No	
		Redondo Beach	City Clerk	Full	Manzano	Eleanor	City Accounting Manager	No	3	3,182	6,160	51.7%	Yes	
					Sullivan	Kevin	Businessman	No	3	1,502	6,160	24.4%	No	
					Szerlip	Cindy	Business Management Accountant	No	3	1,459	6,160	23.7%	No	
			City Council	3	Full	Szerlip	Don	Councilmember	Yes	2	571	1,538	37.1%	No
						Aust	Pat	Retired Fire Chief	No	2	964	1,538	62.7%	Yes
				5	Full	Kilroy	Matthew J.	Teacher	No	3	529	1,161	45.6%	Yes
			Brennan			Barry J.	Business Executive	No	3	424	1,161	36.5%	No	
			Buchan			Sandra A.	Director	No	3	205	1,161	17.7%	No	
			City Treasurer	Full	O'Dell	Ernie	City Treasurer	Yes	2	4,044	6,159	65.7%	Yes	
					Hill	Greg	Local Independent Businessman	No	2	2,104	6,159	34.2%	No	
		Rosemead	City Council	Full	Taylor	Gary A.	Rosemead City Councilmember	Yes	5	1,759	7,007	25.1%	Yes	
					Low	Polly	Computer Engineering Manager	No	5	2,055	7,007	29.3%	Yes	
					Lopez, Jr.	Robert	Recreation Leader	No	5	325	7,007	4.6%	No	
					Imperial	Jay T.	Councilmember	Yes	5	1,574	7,007	22.5%	No	
Ruiz	Victor J.				Civil Engineering Technician	No	5	1,294	7,007	18.5%	No			
San Dimas	City Council	Full	Ebner	John	San Dimas Councilmember	Yes	4	2,075	5,848	35.5%	Yes			
			Badar	Emmett G.	Law Enforcement Supervisor	No	4	1,937	5,848	33.1%	Yes			
			Colburn	Bruce E.	Manager	No	4	818	5,848	14.0%	No			
			Ash	Jesse	Businessman/Entrepreneur	No	4	1,018	5,848	17.4%	No			

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2007

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM- BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED		
LOS ANGELES	3/6/2007	San Dimas	Mayor		Full	Long	Jack	Businessman	No	3	1,049	3,447	30.4%	No		
						Sounhein	Earl J.	Retired Contract Administrator	No	3	145	3,447	4.2%	No		
						Morris	Curtis W.	Mayor	Yes	3	2,253	3,447	65.4%	Yes		
		San Fernando	City Council		Full	Pantoja	Danitza	Behavioral Therapist	No	6	457	3,663	12.5%	No		
						Lawson	James L.	Financial Business Owner	No	6	322	3,663	8.8%	No		
						Sandoval, Jr.	Ruben	Field Specialist	No	6	552	3,663	15.1%	No		
						Martinez	Nury	Councilmember	Yes	6	856	3,663	23.4%	Yes		
						Ruelas	Julie	College Professor	Yes	6	752	3,663	20.5%	Yes		
						Veres	Steven	Educator/Councilmember	Yes	6	724	3,663	19.8%	Yes		
		San Marino	City Council		Full	Salvaty	Benjamin B.	Attorney	No	6	883	5,587	15.8%	No		
						Sun	Eugine C.	Businessman/Realtor	No	6	1,271	5,587	22.7%	Yes		
						Kneier	Dennis B.	Retired CPA	No	6	1,248	5,587	22.3%	Yes		
						Johnson	Stephanie	Program Analyst	No	6	775	5,587	13.9%	No		
						Bayle	Emile J.	Incumbent	Yes	6	743	5,587	13.3%	No		
						Filutze	Vince	Incumbent	Yes	6	667	5,587	11.9%	No		
		Signal Hill	City Clerk		Full	Pacheco	Kathleen L.	Incumbent	Yes	1	692	692	100.0%	Yes		
						City Council	Full	Long	Nancy S.	Retired Business Owner	No	4	428	1,635	26.2%	No
								Hansen	Tina L.	City Councilmember/Prosecutor	Yes	4	446	1,635	27.3%	Yes
								Forester	Larry	Incumbent	Yes	4	429	1,635	26.2%	Yes
								Villanueva	Edward	Administrative Analyst	No	4	332	1,635	20.3%	No
		City Treasurer	Full	Fersch	Emerson Joseph	Incumbent	Yes	1	681	681	100.0%	Yes				
		South Gate	City Council		Full	Davila	Maria R.	Mayor	Yes	4	2,146	6,877	31.2%	Yes		
						Gonzalez	Henry C.	South Gate Councilmember	Yes	4	2,061	6,877	30.0%	Yes		
						Martinez	Gregory	Council Member/Businessman	Yes	4	1,747	6,877	25.4%	Yes		
Perez	Pablo					Business Owner	No	4	923	6,877	13.4%	No				
South Pasadena	City Council		Full	Ten	Mike	Councilmember/Delivery Supervisor	Yes	6	2,160	11,113	19.4%	Yes				
				Sifuentes	David	Fire Captain/Educator	No	6	1,956	11,113	17.6%	Yes				
				Schneider	Richard D.	Physician	No	6	2,187	11,113	19.7%	Yes				
				Margrave	David L.	Councilman/Contractor/Investor	Yes	6	1,385	11,113	12.5%	No				
				Arnold	Ernest "Ernie"	Businessman/MBA	No	6	1,605	11,113	14.4%	No				
				Conte	Robert F.	Lawyer/City Commissioner	No	6	1,820	11,113	16.4%	No				

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2007

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM- BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED
LOS ANGELES (continued)	3/6/2007	Temple City	City Council		Full	Wong	Judy	Councilmember/Insurance Claims	Yes	8	1,403	7,689	18.2%	Yes
						Arrighi	Dan	City Councilmember	Yes	8	982	7,689	12.8%	No
						Souder	Charles G. "Chuck"	Retired Businessman	No	8	894	7,689	11.6%	No
						Sternquist	Cynthia	Educator/City Commissioner	No	8	962	7,689	12.5%	No
						Miller	Peggy Susan	Customer Service	No	8	598	7,689	7.8%	No
						Capra	Dave	Project Manager/Councilmember	Yes	8	1,186	7,689	15.4%	Yes
						Carwile	Scott	Business Owner	No	8	682	7,689	8.9%	No
		Vizcarra	Fernando	Hospital Administrator	No	8	982	7,689	12.8%	No				
		West Hollywood	City Council		Full	Land	Abbe	West Hollywood Councilmember	Yes	10	2,635	13,681	19.3%	Yes
						Heilman	John	Councilmember	Yes	10	2,532	13,681	18.5%	Yes
						Guarriello	Sal	Retired / Full Time Councilmember	Yes	10	2,200	13,681	16.1%	Yes
						Martin	Stephen M. "Steve"	Lawyer	No	10	1,867	13,681	13.6%	No
						Wilson	Heavenly	Artist, Writer, Attorney	No	10	1,498	13,681	10.9%	No
						Buck	Ed	Volunteer Animal Rescuer	No	10	1,373	13,681	10.0%	No
	Warren					Patricia Nell	Author, Journalist, Publisher	No	10	1,114	13,681	8.1%	No	
	Hoffman	Shawn M.	Management Professional	No	10	177	13,681	1.3%	No					
	Koller	Steven	Actor	No	10	154	13,681	1.1%	No					
	Sorkin	Jim	General Contractor	No	10	131	13,681	1.0%	No					
	4/3/2007	Glendale	City Council		Full	Weaver	Dave	Mayor of Glendale	Yes	8	7,121	40,558	17.6%	Yes
						Drayman	John	Montrose Businessman	No	8	9,230	40,558	22.8%	Yes
						Keuroghelian	Chahe	Small Business Owner	No	8	5,070	40,558	12.5%	No
						Krikorian	Greg	Member, Glendale Unified School Board	No	8	6,308	40,558	15.6%	No
						Manoukian	Rafi	Councilmember / CPA	Yes	8	6,606	40,558	16.3%	No
						Molano	Herbert	Business Executive	No	8	2,511	40,558	6.2%	No
						Solis	Lenore	Entrepreneur / Counselor / Mother	No	8	960	40,558	2.4%	No
						Agajanian	Vrej	Television Host	No	8	2,752	40,558	6.8%	No
		Inglewood	City Clerk		Full	Horton	Yvonne	Inglewood City Clerk	Yes	2	4,260	6,192	68.8%	Yes
						Sanders	Patricia "Patt"	Election Assistant	No	2	1,912	6,192	30.9%	No
City Council			1	Full	Dotson	George	Inglewood Business Owner	No	8	1,019	2,736	37.2%	Yes	
					Tabor	Daniel	Community Development Planner	No	8	898	2,736	32.8%	No	
					Stevens	Mike	Marine Clerk/Longshoreman	No	8	299	2,736	10.9%	No	
					Williams	Jean	Real Estate Consultant	No	8	241	2,736	8.8%	No	

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2007

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED				
LOS ANGELES (continued)	4/3/2007	Inglewood	City Council	1	Full	Ford	Feleicia	Corporate Officer/Director	No	8	165	2,736	6.0%	No				
						Fisher	LeRoy	Retired Graphic Artist	No	8	64	2,736	2.3%	No				
						Beltran	Hector	No Ballot Designation	No	8	30	2,736	1.1%	No				
						Wilkins	Jason C.	Businessman	No	8	17	2,736	0.6%	No				
								3	Full	Morales, Jr.	Eloy	Inglewood City Councilman	Yes	1	656	669	98.1%	Yes
								4	Full	Franklin	Ralph L.	Inglewood City Councilmember	Yes	2	994	1,597	62.2%	Yes
							Banks			Ronald C.	Retired Police Chief	No	2	597	1,597	37.4%	No	
							City Treasurer		Full	Brown	Wanda M.	City Treasurer	Yes	2	4,102	6,062	67.7%	Yes
									Full	Coleman	Chris	Attorney/Mortgage Broker	No	2	1,930	6,062	31.8%	No
					4/10/2007	Burbank	City Council		Full	Bric	Gary R.	Restaurant Owner	No	4	6,348	19,338	32.8%	Yes
				Berlin						Phil	Attorney/Mediator	No	4	3,293	19,338	17.0%	No	
				Reinke						Anja	Attorney/Business Owner	No	4	6,332	19,338	32.7%	Yes	
				Berlin						Carolyn	Community Advocate	No	4	3,365	19,338	17.4%	No	
					4/17/2007	Compton	City Council	1	Full	Alexander	Gregory	Minister/Executive Director	No	3	249	2,893	8.6%	No
				Zurita						Satra	School Board Trustee	No	3	1,045	2,893	36.1%	No	
Calhoun	Barbara	Compton City Councilwoman	Yes	3						1,584	2,893	54.8%	Yes					
			4	Full				Cressel	Fred	Compton Businessman	No	2	713	2,893	24.6%	No		
								Hall, III	Isadore	Councilman	Yes	2	2,147	2,893	74.2%	Yes		
		Pasadena	City Council	1	Full	Robinson	Jacque	Labor Community Organizer	No	2	1,076	2,033	52.9%	Yes				
						Salzer	Robin	Owner Robin's Woodfire BBQ	No	2	957	2,033	47.1%	No				
						2	Full	McAustin	Margaret	Business Executive	No	2	1,060	1,060	100.0%	Yes		
						3	Full	Lomako	Jim	Business Owner	No	2	683	683	100.0%	Yes		
	5/1/2007	Long Beach	City Council	6	Full	Saafir	Ahmed Carl	Business Owner	No	7	446	2,506	17.8%	No				
							Davis	Lee	Publisher	No	7	56	2,506	2.2%	No			
							Andrews	Dee	Court Liaison/LBUSD	No	7	683	2,506	27.3%	Yes			
							Acevedo	Edward	Long Beach Teacher	No	7	416	2,506	16.6%	No			
							Austin	Al	Labor Advocate/Consultant	No	7	609	2,506	24.3%	No			
							Parker	Lillian	Audit Supervisor	No	7	274	2,506	10.9%	No			
							Caruthers	J. A.	Professor of Psychology	No	7	22	2,506	0.9%	No			
	5/15/2007	Redondo Beach	City Council	5	Full	Brennan	Barry J.	Business Executive	No	2	485	1,028	47.2%	No				
							Kilroy	Matthew J.	Teacher	No	2	543	1,028	52.8%	Yes			
	6/12/2007	Inglewood	City Council	1	Full	Dotson	George	Inglewood Business Owner	No	8	1,299	2,624	49.5%	No				
							Tabor	Daniel	Community Development Planner	No	8	1,325	2,624	50.5%	Yes			

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2007

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED		
LOS ANGELES (continued)	9/25/2007	Lynwood	City Council ^R	1	Short	Araujo	Margaret	Retired Field Deputy	No	3	737	3,276	22.5%	No		
						Flores	Alfredo	Special Education Instructor	No	3	1,278	3,276	39.0%	Yes		
						Carr	Patricia	County Employee/Businesswoman	No	3	1,261	3,276	38.5%	No		
				2	Short	Hooper	Andrea L.	City Clerk	No	2	1,346	3,230	41.7%	No		
						Martinez	J. Antonio "Tony"	California State Auditor	No	2	1,884	3,230	58.3%	Yes		
				3	Short	Jacinto	Edwin R.	Realtor/Businessman	No	3	755	3,205	23.6%	No		
						Rodriguez	Ramon	Self Employed Businessman	No	3	1,334	3,205	41.6%	Yes		
						Lopez	Walter A.	Education Nonprofit Director	No	3	1,116	3,205	34.8%	No		
				4	Short	Castro	Aide	Businesswoman/Nonprofit Director	No	2	1,555	3,179	48.9%	No		
						Morton	Jim	Businessman/Self Employed	No	2	1,624	3,179	51.1%	Yes		
				11/6/2007	Agoura Hills	City Council	Full	Forney	Michael E.	Business Owner	No	4	700	4,473	15.6%	No
								Kuperberg	Dan	Councilmember / Attorney	Yes	4	1,581	4,473	35.3%	Yes
	Edelston	John M.	Councilmember / Healthcare Consultant					Yes	4	1,518	4,473	33.9%	Yes			
	Thomas	George Christopher	Newspaper Publisher					No	4	674	4,473	15.1%	No			
	Baldwin Park	City Council	Full		Dexter	Jim	Law Enforcement Sergeant	No	5	1,046	6,105	17.1%	No			
					Garcia	Monica	Community Relations Director	No	5	1,518	6,105	24.9%	Yes			
Pacheco					Ricardo	Councilmember, City of Baldwin Park	Yes	5	1,521	6,105	24.9%	Yes				
Rubio					Susan	City Clerk/Teacher	No	5	1,235	6,105	20.2%	No				
Saldana					Victor	Law Clerk	No	5	785	6,105	12.9%	No				
	Mayor	Full	Lozano		Manuel	Mayor, City of Baldwin Park	Yes	1	3,002	3,002	100.0%	Yes				
Bell Gardens	City Council	Full	Aceituno		Pedro	Vice Mayor/Businessman	Yes	11	1,304	4,826	27.0%	Yes				
			Arzt		Laura	Businesswoman	No	11	77	4,826	1.6%	No				
			Cruz	Isabel C.	Nurse	No	11	39	4,826	0.8%	No					
			Diaz	Felipe E.	Detention Officer	No	11	35	4,826	0.7%	No					
			Duran	Frank	Tax Consultant/Notary	No	11	321	4,826	6.7%	No					
			Lopez	Alberto	Teacher/Educator Commissioner	No	11	638	4,826	13.2%	No					
			Lugo	Esterlina	Deputy City Clerk	No	11	75	4,826	1.6%	No					
			Puente	Marcos	Business Manager	No	11	70	4,826	1.5%	No					
			Rodriguez	Jennifer	Mayor/Social Worker	Yes	11	1,470	4,826	30.5%	Yes					
			Silva	Yvette	Community Volunteer	No	11	563	4,826	11.7%	No					
Valdivia	Linda M.	Business Woman	No	11	234	4,826	4.8%	No								

^RTo be elected if recall measure passes.

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2007

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM- BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED
LOS ANGELES (continued)	11/6/2007	Diamond Bar	City Council		Full	Grossman	Beatrice Bea	Retired	No	5	902	11,127	8.1%	No
						Gulshan	Mangal	No designation requested	No	5	810	11,127	7.3%	No
						Herrera	Carol	Councilmember	Yes	5	2,738	11,127	24.6%	Yes
						Perez	Fran	Businesswoman	No	5	1,886	11,127	16.9%	No
						Tanaka	Jack	Councilmember, City of Diamond Bar	Yes	5	4,791	11,127	43.1%	Yes
		El Monte	City Council		Full	Amezcuca	Frank	Political Scientist/Educator	No	4	1,597	8,078	19.8%	No
						Ishigaki	Emily	Mayor Pro Tem	Yes	4	2,162	8,078	26.8%	Yes
						Macias	Norma	Businesswoman/Project Manager	No	4	2,030	8,078	25.1%	No
						Wallach	Patricia A.	Retired	Yes	4	2,289	8,078	28.3%	Yes
		Mayor		Full	Gutierrez	Ernest G.	Mayor/Teacher	Yes	2	3,595	4,818	74.6%	Yes	
					Montes	Mel	Business Executive	No	2	1,223	4,818	25.4%	No	
		Hawthorne	City Council		Full	Brewster	Alicia Marie	Retired Airline Agent	No	5	648	6,064	10.7%	No
						Juarez	Daniel "Danny"	Retired City Clerk	No	5	1,434	6,064	23.6%	Yes
						Lambert	Ginny	Council Member	Yes	5	1,775	6,064	29.3%	Yes
						Lubenec	Thierry	Hawthorne City Treasurer	No	5	1,289	6,064	21.3%	No
						Rojas	Hugo M.	School Board Member	No	5	918	6,064	15.1%	No
		Mayor		Full	Guidi	Larry M.	Mayor, City of Hawthorn	Yes	1	2,563	2,563	100.0%	Yes	
		Hermosa Beach	City Clerk		Full	Doerfling	Elaine	City Clerk	Yes	1	1,700	1,700	100.0%	Yes
			City Council		Full	Brittain	Janice Roberta	Retired School Administrator	No	6	754	5,966	12.6%	No
						Divirgilio	Michael	No designation requested	No	6	1,543	5,966	25.9%	Yes
						Duclos	Jeff	College Lecturer/Businessman	No	6	1,150	5,966	19.3%	No
						Keegan	Michelle	Small Business Owner	No	6	508	5,966	8.5%	No
						Maxwell	Jeff	Business Owner	No	6	766	5,966	12.8%	No
						Tucker	Peter	Council Member	Yes	6	1,245	5,966	20.9%	Yes
			City Treasurer		Full	Workman	John	Incumbent	Yes	1	1,718	1,718	100.0%	Yes
		Irwindale	City Council		Full	Burrola	Larry G.	Planning Commissioner	No	4	323	1,318	24.5%	Yes
						Breceda	Mark A.	Councilmember, City of Irwindale	Yes	4	316	1,318	24.0%	Yes
						Garcia	Manuel R.	Facility Maintenance Foreman	No	4	279	1,318	21.2%	Yes
Gomez	Suzanne E.					Director, Career Services	Yes	4	249	1,318	18.9%	No		

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2007

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM- BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED			
LOS ANGELES (continued)	11/6/2007	La Puente	City Council		Full	Acton	Ben	Retired Accountant	No	9	235	6,324	3.7%	No			
						Argudo	David E.	Businessowner	No	9	927	6,324	14.7%	No			
						Chavez	Renee	Councilwoman/Healthcare Provider	Yes	9	873	6,324	13.8%	No			
						Holloway	Dan	Newspaper Columnist/Businessman	No	9	1,191	6,324	18.8%	Yes			
						Manderville	Celeste	Real Estate Representative	No	9	85	6,324	1.3%	No			
						Mendoza	Nadia Alicia	University Program Coordinator	No	9	1,103	6,324	17.4%	Yes			
						Paz	Martin R. "Marty"	Retired	No	9	246	6,324	3.9%	No			
						Perez	Lou	La Puente City Councilmember	Yes	9	699	6,324	11.1%	No			
						Solis	John M.	Councilman	Yes	9	965	6,324	15.3%	Yes			
		Lomita	City Council		Full	Blackwood	Ken	Industrial Safety Specialist	No	3	1,098	3,007	36.5%	Yes			
						Suminaga	Don	Councilmember/International Business	Yes	3	1,268	3,007	42.2%	Yes			
						Waronek	Mark	Councilmember/Businessman	Yes	3	641	3,007	21.3%	No			
		Lynwood	City Clerk		Full	Coleman	Nanette	Business Manager	No	2	987	2,804	35.2%	No			
						Quinonez	Maria	Executive Administrative Assistant	No	2	1,817	2,804	64.8%	Yes			
			City Council		Full	Araujo	Margaret	Retired Field Deputy	No	16	226	6,312	3.6%	No			
						Byrd	Louis	Mayor*	No*	16	455	6,312	7.2%	No			
						Carr	Patricia	County Employee/Businesswoman	No	16	740	6,312	11.7%	No			
						Castro	Aide	Non-Profit Director/Businesswoman	No	16	875	6,312	13.9%	Yes			
						Enciso	Juan J.	Real Estate Broker	No	16	144	6,312	2.3%	No			
						Flores	Alfredo	Special Education Instructor	Yes	16	788	6,312	12.5%	Yes			
						Gibbens	Harry	Retired Cabinet Maker	No	16	50	6,312	0.8%	No			
						Jacinto	Edwin R.	Realtor	No	16	275	6,312	4.4%	No			
						Martinez	Arthur	Retired	No	16	47	6,312	0.7%	No			
						Morton	Jim	Businessman/Self Employed	Yes	16	779	6,312	12.3%	No			
						Pedroza	Fernando	Councilmember/Business Owner	No	16	211	6,312	3.3%	No			
						Rodriguez	Ramon	Businessman	No*	16	436	6,312	6.9%	No			
						Tucker	Harold	Carpenter	No	16	200	6,312	3.2%	No			
						Vasquez	Leticia	Councilwoman/Assembly Director	No	16	599	6,312	9.5%	No			
						Wells	Rebecca J.	Accountant/Auditor	No	16	197	6,312	3.1%	No			
						Wicker-Amey	Katherine	School Teacher	No	16	270	6,312	4.3%	No			
						City Treasurer		Full	Alatorre	Salvador	State Inspector	No	2	1,710	3,040	56.3%	Yes
									Pygatt	Iris	City Treasurer	Yes	2	1,330	3,040	43.8%	No
Maywood	City Clerk		Full	Bravo	Patricia "Patty"	Administrative Assistant	No	2	1,112	1,877	59.2%	Yes					
				Navarro	M. Erika	Appointed Incumbent	No	2	765	1,877	40.8%	No					

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2007

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM- BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED
LOS ANGELES (continued)	11/6/2007	Maywood	City Council		Full	Cabral	Silvia C.	Municipal Finance Director	No	6	540	4,072	13.3%	No
						Guardado	Veronica	Computer Applications Analyst	No	6	858	4,072	21.1%	Yes
						Lopez	Raymundo "Ray"	Educator/Recreation Leader	No	6	622	4,072	15.3%	No
						Medina	Ramon	Plastics Plant Manager	No	6	568	4,072	13.9%	No
						Pena	Samuel A.	Incumbent	Yes	6	581	4,072	14.3%	No
						Rizo	Ana Rosa	Planning Commissioner	No	6	903	4,072	22.2%	Yes
			City Treasurer		Full	Sandoval	Lizeth "Liz"	Planning Secretary	No	2	1,267	1,884	67.3%	Yes
						Serna	Ted	Incumbent	Yes	2	617	1,884	32.7%	No
		Montebello	City Clerk		Full	King	Robert "Bob"	Incumbent	Yes	3	2,304	5,129	44.9%	Yes
						Ramirez	Annette	Non Profit Manager	No	3	1,981	5,129	38.6%	No
						Salazar	Larry	Program Technician	No	3	844	5,129	16.5%	No
			City Council		Full	Bagwell	Robert "Bob"	Incumbent	Yes	7	1,389	10,100	13.8%	No
						Lopez-Reid	Norma A.	Incumbent	Yes	7	1,480	10,100	14.7%	No
						Rodriguez	Leo E.	Retired Municipal Administrator	No	7	422	10,100	4.2%	No
						Salazar	Kathy	Registered Nurse/Businesswoman	No	7	2,781	10,100	27.5%	Yes
						Urteaga	Robert	Businessman	No	7	2,806	10,100	27.8%	Yes
						Veneziano	Giuseppe "Joe"	Businessman	No	7	817	10,100	8.1%	No
						Verdugo	Johnny	Peace Officer	No	7	405	10,100	4.0%	No
							City Treasurer		Full	Guzman	Gerri	City Treasurer	Yes	2
		Lopez	Norma Miranda	Bank Vice President	No					2	2,849	5,189	54.9%	Yes
		Palmdale	City Council		Full	Barcelona	Shawny	Small Business Owner	No	5	2,191	14,122	15.5%	No
						Dispenza	Mike	Vice-Mayor/Business Owner	Yes	5	3,975	14,122	28.1%	Yes
						Hofbauer	Steve	Fireman/City Councilmember	Yes	5	3,602	14,122	25.5%	Yes
						Sandoval	Edwin M.	Police Officer/Marine	No	5	2,470	14,122	17.5%	No
						Thompson	Fred	Planning Commission Chairman	No	5	1,884	14,122	13.3%	No
			Mayor		Full	Ledford	James C.	Mayor of Palmdale	Yes	1	6,919	6,919	100.0%	Yes
		Rancho Palos Verdes	City Council		Full	Long	Tom	Councilmember/Attorney	Yes	5	3,907	17,868	21.9%	Yes
						Reeves	Don	Retired Aerospace Manager	No	5	2,913	17,868	16.3%	No
						Stern	Douglas W.	Councilman/Business Attorney	Yes	5	3,337	17,868	18.7%	Yes
Wolowicz	Steve					Councilman/Business Owner	Yes	5	4,453	17,868	24.9%	Yes		
Wright	Paul					Small Business Owner	No	5	3,258	17,868	18.2%	No		

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2007

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM- BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED	
LOS ANGELES	11/6/2007	Santa Fe Springs	City Council		Full	Burton	Jim	Retired Business Executive	No	7	276	3,985	6.9%	No	
						Carbajal	Francis	Sales Representative	No	7	197	3,985	4.9%	No	
						Madrigal	Michael	Businessman/Sales Representative	No	7	991	3,985	24.9%	No	
						McEntee	Jane	Government Executive	No	7	105	3,985	2.6%	No	
						Rodriguez	Annette	Businesswoman	No	7	192	3,985	4.8%	No	
						Rounds	Bill	Supervising Engineering Technician	No	7	1,099	3,985	27.6%	Yes	
						Serrano	Joe	Incumbent	Yes	7	1,125	3,985	28.2%	Yes	
	West Covina			City Council		Full	Armbrust	Karin	Community Services Commissioner	No	6	2,810	14,600	19.2%	No
							Herfert	Steve	West Covina Councilmember/Scientist	Yes	6	3,510	14,600	24.0%	Yes
							Hernandez	Roger	Councilmember/College Professor	Yes	6	2,947	14,600	20.2%	Yes
							Scheuplein	John	Veteran/Law Student	No	6	621	14,600	4.3%	No
							Sotelo	Rob	Businessman/Planning Commissioner	No	6	1,991	14,600	13.6%	No
	Westlake Village			City Council		Full	Davis	Ned E.	CEO/Management Executive	No	4	1,191	3,414	34.9%	Yes
							Klessig	Philippa	Councilmember/Businesswoman	Yes	4	872	3,414	25.5%	Yes
							Kirschbaum	Carol A.	Retired Risk Manager	No	4	788	3,414	23.1%	No
	12/18/2007	Montebello		City Council ^R		Short	Saucedo	Mary Anne	Office Manager	No	2	1,307	1,773	73.7%	Yes
							Rodriguez	Leo E.	Retired Municipal Administrator	No	2	423	1,773	23.9%	No
	MADERA	No City Contests													
	MARIN	11/6/2007	Fairfax	City Clerk		Full	Anderson	Judy	Incumbent	Yes	1	1,535	1,576	97.4%	Yes
							Tremaine	Lew	Editor/Educator	Yes	3	1,126	3,757	30.0%	Yes
							Bragman	Larry	Fairfax Mayor	Yes	3	1,533	3,757	40.8%	Yes
Madsen							William B.	Firefighter	No	3	1,076	3,757	28.6%	No	
City Treasurer					Full	Kelly	Edward J.	Incumbent	Yes	1	1,548	1,576	98.2%	Yes	
						Mill Valley	City Council	Full	Lion	Garry	Management Consulting CEO	No	6	1,848	11,248
Stoebner		Kerry Katzenbach	Community Volunteer	No	6				1,744	11,248	15.5%	No			
Parton		Maureen	County Supervisor's Aide	No	6				1,704	11,248	15.1%	No			
Wachtel		Ken	Father/Attorney	No	6				2,036	11,248	18.1%	Yes			
Gordon							George	Retirement Asset Manager	No	6	1,446	11,248	12.9%	No	
	Moulton-Peters						Stephanie	Environmental Consultant	No	6	2,449	11,248	21.8%	Yes	

^RTo be elected if recall measure passes.

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2007

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM- BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED			
MARIN	11/6/2007	Novato	City Council		Full	Dillon-Knutson	Carole	Councilmember/Business Owner	Yes	6	5,196	24,612	21.1%	Yes			
						Kellner	Madeline	Health Care Consultant	No	6	4,781	24,612	19.4%	Yes			
						Ricco	Donald	Letter Carrier	No	6	2,755	24,612	11.2%	No			
						Sluis	Eleanor Ellie	Retired Teacher	No	6	1,771	24,612	7.2%	No			
						Paterson	Annan	Public School Counselor	No	6	4,394	24,612	17.9%	No			
						MacLeamy	Jeanne	Architect/Councilmember	Yes	6	5,660	24,612	23.0%	Yes			
						San Anselmo	City Clerk	Full	Chambers	Barbara A.	Assistant Planner	Yes	1	2,037	2,071	98.4%	Yes
							City Council	Full	Logwood	Casey	Loan Agent	No	4	430	5,552	7.7%	No
									Thornton	Barbara	Incumbent	Yes	4	1,826	5,552	32.9%	Yes
									Wight	Edward C. "Ted"	Retired Police Captain	No	4	1,483	5,552	26.7%	No
		Greene	Ford	Lawyer	No				4	1,804	5,552	32.5%	Yes				
		City Treasurer	Full	Niemcewicz	Bess	(Write in candidate - no candidates filed)	No	0	66	229	28.8%	Yes					
		San Rafael		City Attorney	Full	Epstein	Robert F.	Attorney	No	1	7,979	8,097	98.5%	Yes			
						City Clerk-Assessor	Full	Lollini	Sandra "Sandy"	Division Manager	No	2	2,591	9,633	26.9%	No	
				Beirne	Esther			Deputy City Clerk	No	2	7,022	9,633	72.9%	Yes			
				City Council	Full	Lynch	Dick	Business Owner	No	4	2,718	19,222	14.1%	No			
						Brockbank	Greg	Attorney/College Trustee	No	4	5,711	19,222	29.7%	Yes			
						Marcucci	Bob	Fire Protection Consultant	No	4	3,856	19,222	20.1%	No			
						Connolly	Damon	Attorney/School Trustee	No	4	6,916	19,222	36.0%	Yes			
				Mayor	Full	Boro	Al	Mayor	Yes	2	8,139	10,270	79.3%	Yes			
Pierson	Rocky					High School Coach	No	2	2,076	10,270	20.2%	No					
MARIPOSA	No City Contests																
MENDOCINO	No City Contests																
MERCED	11/6/2007	Merced	City Council		Full	Acheson	Bob	Banker	No	5	2,786	17,043	16.3%	No			
						Carlisle	John	Criminal Justice Instructor	No	5	3,835	17,043	22.5%	Yes			
						Gabriault-Acosta	Michele	Incumbent	Yes	5	3,580	17,043	21.0%	Yes			
						Lor	Noah	Counselor / Educator	No	5	3,667	17,043	21.5%	Yes			
						Pollard	Carl	Appointed Incumbent	No	5	3,126	17,043	18.3%	No			
						Mayor	Full	Osorio	Rick	Businessman	No	2	2,835	7,123	39.8%	No	
								Wooten	Ellie	Incumbent	Yes	2	4,206	7,123	59.0%	Yes	
MODOC	No City Contests																
MONO	No City Contests																
MONTEREY	No City Contests																
NAPA	No City Contests																

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2007

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED
NEVADA	No City Contests													
ORANGE	6/5/2007	Yorba Linda	City Council		Short	Gulickson	Victoria E.	Business Consultant / Realtor	No	3	1,616	8,309	19.4%	No
						Wedaa	Henry W. "Hank"	Businessman	No	3	3,749	8,309	45.1%	Yes
						Wilson	Keri Lynn	Appraiser / Businesswoman	No	3	2,944	8,309	35.4%	No
PLACER	No City Contests													
PLUMAS	No City Contests													
RIVERSIDE	3/6/2007	Desert Hot Springs	City Council		Short	Matas	Scott	Small Business Owner	No	5	692	1,725	40.1%	Yes
						Mayrhofen	Ted	Retired	No	5	52	1,725	3.0%	No
						Bentley	Robert "Bobby"	Law School Student	No	5	85	1,725	4.9%	No
						Baker	Karl	Retired Teacher / Businessman	No	5	349	1,725	20.2%	No
						Sanchez	Adam	Certified Professional Officer	No	5	547	1,725	31.7%	No
	6/5/2007	Riverside	City Council	1	Full	Pepper	Letitia	Attorney / Teacher	No	4	1,045	5,305	19.7%	No
						Gardner	Mike	Retired Utility Executive	No	4	1,716	5,305	32.3%	No
						Betro	Dom	Councilman / Businessman / Educator	Yes	4	2,369	5,305	44.7%	Yes
						Thesier	Derek W.	Realtor	No	4	175	5,305	3.3%	No
				3	Full	Gage	Art	Councilman / Business Owner	Yes	3	2,668	6,033	44.2%	No
						Bailey	William "Rusty"	Educator	No	3	2,962	6,033	49.1%	Yes
						Olmos	Pete	Truck Driver	No	3	403	6,033	6.7%	No
				5	Full	Kurani	Harry	Business Owner	No	5	1,050	4,967	21.1%	No
						Michalka	Donna Doty	Businesswoman	No	5	1,499	4,967	30.2%	No
						Mac Arthur	Chris	Business Owner / Farmer	No	5	2,038	4,967	41.0%	Yes
						Rodriguez	Robert	Retired	No	5	267	4,967	5.4%	No
						Cardelucci	Sam	Retired Businessman	No	5	113	4,967	2.3%	No
				7	Full	Saldanha	Roy P.	Veterinarian	No	5	677	3,536	19.1%	No
						Garcia	Art	Retired Aerospace Worker	No	5	603	3,536	17.1%	No
						Gressmann	Daniel H.	Father / Realtor	No	5	138	3,536	3.9%	No
						Frizzel	Teresa R. "Terry"	Businesswoman / Realtor	No	5	916	3,536	25.9%	No
						Adams	Steve	Councilman / Retired Policeman	Yes	5	1,202	3,536	34.0%	Yes
	11/6/2007	Blythe	City Council		Full	Reynolds	Dale S.	Property Manager	No	6	410	2,670	15.4%	No
						Thomas	George W.	Educator	No	6	588	2,670	22.0%	Yes
						Hernandez	Alfonso "Sonny"	Incumbent	Yes	6	410	2,670	15.4%	No
						Grotke	Charles "Chuck"	Incumbent	Yes	6	322	2,670	12.1%	No
						Contreras	Richard	State Maintenance Mechanic	No	6	445	2,670	16.7%	No
						Covel	Carie D.	No Ballot Designation	No	6	495	2,670	18.5%	Yes

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2007

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM- BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED	
RIVERSIDE (continued)	11/6/2007	Desert Hot Springs	City Council		Full	Betts	Russell	Businessman / Manufacturer	No	7	1,056	3,937	26.8%	Yes	
						Baker, Jr.	Karl	Retired Businessman / Teacher	No	7	697	3,937	17.7%	Yes	
						Stephens	Mary K.	Incumbent	Yes	7	424	3,937	10.8%	No	
						Sandison	Sharon	Bookkeeper	No	7	398	3,937	10.1%	No	
						Scheurer	Terry	Business Owner	No	7	457	3,937	11.6%	No	
						Schmidt	Al	Planning Commission Chairman	No	7	472	3,937	12.0%	No	
						Hohenstein	Henry J. "Hank"	Incumbent	Yes	7	433	3,937	11.0%	No	
			Mayor			Full	Bentley	Robert "Bobby"	Law School Student	No	4	312	2,088	14.9%	No
							Bias	Alex	Mayor	Yes	4	296	2,088	14.2%	No
							Sanchez	Adam	Planning Commissioner	No	4	693	2,088	33.2%	No
							Parks	Yvonne	Councilmember	No	4	787	2,088	37.7%	Yes
		Norco		City Council		Full	Knudsen	Anmarie	High School Teacher	No	8	557	7,265	7.7%	No
							Kozziel	Rob	Norco Businessman	No	8	729	7,265	10.0%	No
							Loock	Lois Annette	Journalist	No	8	403	7,265	5.5%	No
Robertson	Terry						Businessman / Educator	No	8	505	7,265	7.0%	No		
Newton	Greg						Construction Project Manager	No	8	662	7,265	9.1%	No		
Hanna	Berwin						Self-Employed	No	8	1,285	7,265	17.7%	Yes		
Azevedo	Kathy						Incumbent	Yes	8	1,808	7,265	24.9%	Yes		
Miller	Malcolm						Retired Physician	No	8	1,316	7,265	18.1%	Yes		
Palm Springs		City Council		Full	Ploss	Roxann	Retired Educator	No	8	1,234	19,887	6.2%	No		
					Hutcheson	Rick	Planning Commissioner / Businessman	No	8	4,986	19,887	25.1%	Yes		
					Weigel	Lee	Retired Police Chief	No	8	4,075	19,887	20.5%	Yes		
					Williams	John	Tourism Business Owner	No	8	3,999	19,887	20.1%	No		
					Grattan	Sheila	Marketing Communications Executive	No	8	1,627	19,887	8.2%	No		
					Garcia-Mohsin	Eloise	Artist	No	8	273	19,887	1.4%	No		
					Gainer	Vic	Retired Communications Executive	No	8	968	19,887	4.9%	No		
			Mahlowitz	Bob	Municipal Law Attorney	No	8	2,725	19,887	13.7%	No				
			Mayor			Full	Ferra	Bill	Retired Banker	No	5	509	10,227	5.0%	No
							Tymon	John	Singer / Songwriter / Inventor	No	5	231	10,227	2.3%	No
							Battishill	Arlene	Regional Development Manager	No	5	1,841	10,227	18.0%	No
							Pougnnet	Steve	Mayor Pro Tem	No	5	7,241	10,227	70.8%	Yes
							Cook	Don	Retired Plumbing Contractor	No	5	405	10,227	4.0%	No
Riverside		City Council		1	Full	Betro	Dom	Councilman / Businessman / Educator	Yes	2	2,304	4,615	49.9%	No	
						Gardner	Mike	Retired Utility Executive	No	2	2,311	4,615	50.1%	Yes	

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2007

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM- BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED
RIVERSIDE (continued)	11/6/2007	Riverside	City Council	3	Full	Bailey	William "Rusty"	Educator	No	2	2,774	4,895	56.7%	Yes
						Gage	Art	Councilman / Business Owner	Yes	2	2,121	4,895	43.3%	No
				5	Full	Michalka	Donna Doty	Businesswoman	No	2	1,585	3,732	42.5%	No
						MacArthur	Chris	Business Owner / Farmer	No	2	2,147	3,732	57.5%	Yes
						7	Full	Frizzel	Teresa R. "Terry"	Businesswoman / Realtor	No	2	1,324	2,661
Adams	Steve	Councilman / Retired Policeman	Yes	2	1,337			2,661	50.2%	Yes				
SACRAMENTO	No City Contests													
SAN BENITO	No City Contests													
SAN BERNARDINO	6/5/2007	Colton	City Council	3	Full	Koperski	Ken	Electrician	No	7	32	755	4.2%	No
						Baca	Jeremy	Education Project Consultant	No	7	201	755	26.6%	No
						Mendez	Louie	Retired/Campus Supervisor	No	7	9	755	1.2%	No
						Yzaquirre	Vincent	Businessman	No	7	375	755	49.7%	Yes
						Yanas, Jr.	Antonio	Employment Program Representative	No	7	3	755	0.4%	No
						Hubbard	Arlie R.	Retired Teacher	No	7	40	755	5.3%	No
						Carballo	Fabian A.	Teacher	No	7	95	755	12.6%	No
						11/6/2007	Redlands	City Clerk	Full	Ackerman	Joell	Administrative Manager	No	2
	Lorrie	Poyzer	City Clerk	Yes	2					6,172	9,240	66.8%	Yes	
	City Council	Full	Aguilar	Pete	Appointed Councilman		No	7	3,113	18,126	17.2%	Yes		
			Bean	Jerry	Publishing Executive		No	7	4,135	18,126	22.8%	Yes		
			Gil	Gilberto	Incumbent		Yes	7	2,405	18,126	13.3%	No		
			Nickel	Henry William	Transportation Analyst		No	7	1,350	18,126	7.4%	No		
			Sceranka	Jeff	Small Business Finance		No	7	2,844	18,126	15.7%	No		
			Tejeda	Eddie	Retail Sales / Student		No	7	1,285	18,126	7.1%	No		
	City Treasurer	Full	Reynolds	Michael	City Treasurer		Yes	1	5,465	6,182	88.4%	Yes		
			San Bernardino	City Attorney	Full		Milligan	Marianne	Municipal Attorney	No	2	6,557	13,605	48.2%
	Penman	James Frank "Jim"					San Bernardino City Attorney	Yes	2	7,001	13,605	51.5%	Yes	
	City Clerk	Full	Clark	Rachel Mendoza	San Bernardino City Clerk		Yes	2	8,849	13,521	65.4%	Yes		
			Turner	Joseph James Lee	Businessman	No	2	4,617	13,521	34.1%	No			
City Council	3	Full	Brinker	Tobin	Teacher	Yes	1	890	915	97.3%	Yes			
			5	Full	Avila	Rick	General Engineering Contractor	No	4	417	2,739	15.2%	No	
					Kelley	Chas A.	Councilman Fifth Ward	Yes	4	1,638	2,739	59.8%	Yes	
					Lee	Randy	Business Owner	No	4	85	2,739	3.1%	No	
Tillman	Carolyn A.	School Administrator			No	4	596	2,739	21.8%	No				

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2007

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM- BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED
SAN BERNARDINO (continued)	11/6/2007	San Bernardino	City Council	6	Full	Anderson	Betty Dean	Retired Educator	No	3	597	1,551	38.5%	No
						Cumming	Stewart D.	Vocational Teacher	No	3	157	1,551	10.1%	No
						Johnson	Rikke Van	City Council Member	Yes	3	787	1,551	50.7%	Yes
			City Treasurer	7	Full	McCammack	Wendy J.	Councilwoman / Business Owner	Yes	3	1,510	2,841	53.2%	Yes
						Mulvihill	Jim	Certified Urban Planner	No	3	1,057	2,841	37.2%	No
						Sanborn	Paul W.	Fire Sprinkler Contractor	No	3	261	2,841	9.2%	No
						Kennedy	David	Treasurer / CPA	Yes	1	11,819	12,012	98.4%	Yes
SAN DIEGO	No City Contests													
SAN FRANCISCO	City and County Contests included in County Report													
SAN JOAQUIN	No City Contests													
SAN LUIS OBISPO	No City Contests													
SAN MATEO	11/6/2007	Belmont	City Clerk	Full	Cook	Terri	City Clerk	Yes	1	3,483	3,483	100.0%	Yes	
			City Council	Full	Page	Broderick	Air Traffic Controller	No	4	999	7,454	13.4%	No	
					Born	Jason	Financial Services Agent	No	4	1,771	7,454	23.8%	No	
					Braunstein	David	Teacher	No	4	2,527	7,454	33.9%	Yes	
					Wozniak	Christine	Planning Commissioner, Businesswoman	No	4	2,157	7,454	28.9%	Yes	
			City Treasurer	Full	Violet	John	City Treasurer	Yes	1	3,503	3,503	100.0%	Yes	
			Brisbane	City Council	Full	Waldo	Steven W.	Attorney	Yes	3	368	1,020	36.1%	Yes
						Martinez	Roberto F.	Navy Reservist	No	3	192	1,020	18.8%	No
						Bologoff	Cyril G.	Incumbent	Yes	3	460	1,020	45.1%	Yes
			Burlingame	City Council	Full	Cohen	Russ	Incumbent	Yes	5	2,222	10,019	22.2%	No
						Nagel	Terry	Incumbent	Yes	5	2,656	10,019	26.5%	Yes
						Deal	Jerry	Small Business Owner	No	5	2,304	10,019	23.0%	Yes
						Condon	Gene	Business Owner	No	5	1,654	10,019	16.5%	No
						Comaroto	Peter	Parks Commissioner / Businessperson	No	5	1,183	10,019	11.8%	No
			Foster City	City Council	Full	Cohn-Lyle	Marcia	Retired Educator / Businesswoman	No	7	1,066	12,379	8.6%	No
						McKinnie	Patrick	Residential Counselor	No	7	552	12,379	4.5%	No
						Kiesel	Art	Planning Commissioner / Consultant	No	7	2,426	12,379	19.6%	Yes
						Koelling	Linda A.	Incumbent	Yes	7	2,578	12,379	20.8%	Yes
						Ring	Huijun Z.	Biotechnology Manager / Scientist	No	7	2,086	12,379	16.9%	No
						Wykoff	Rick	Incumbent	Yes	7	2,635	12,379	21.3%	Yes
						Roberts	Stanley E.	TV Reporter / Entrepreneur	No	7	1,036	12,379	8.4%	No

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2007

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM- BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED
SAN MATEO (continued)	11/6/2007	Millbrae	City Council		Full	Lee	Wayne Jone	Planning Commissioner / Engineer	No	8	1,635	10,395	15.7%	No
						Quigg	Daniel F.	Local Businessman	No	8	1,756	10,395	16.9%	Yes
						Seto	Paul	Bank Vice President	No	8	1,928	10,395	18.5%	Yes
						Caine	Ronald	Retired Police Sergeant	No	8	621	10,395	6.0%	No
						Colapietro	Marge	Retired Business Executive	No	8	1,702	10,395	16.4%	Yes
						Darro	John	Fleet Sales Manager	No	8	314	10,395	3.0%	No
						Dixon	Richard	Retired Police Sergeant	No	8	1,230	10,395	11.8%	No
						Kalos-Gunn	Lorrie	Chief Fire Officer	No	8	1,209	10,395	11.6%	No
		Redwood City	City Council		Full	Bondonno	Kevin	Business Professional	No	6	3,147	24,134	13.0%	No
						Pierce	Barbara	Mayor	Yes	6	5,314	24,134	22.0%	Yes
						Foust	Rosanne	Councilmember / Businesswoman	Yes	6	4,798	24,134	19.9%	Yes
						Bain	Ian	Incumbent	Yes	6	4,434	24,134	18.4%	Yes
						Aguirre	Alicia	Incumbent	Yes	6	4,554	24,134	18.9%	Yes
						Nielsen	Joneen Elisabeth	Teacher	No	6	1,887	24,134	7.8%	No
		San Bruno	City Council		Full	Ruane	Jim	Councilmember, City of San Bruno	Yes	3	3,049	8,595	35.5%	Yes
						O'Connell	Irene M.	Councilmember, City of San Bruno	Yes	3	3,291	8,595	38.3%	Yes
						Araujo	Miguel	Community Volunteer	No	3	2,255	8,595	26.2%	No
						Mayor	Short	Franzella	Larry	Mayor, City of San Bruno	Yes	1	3,970	3,970
		San Carlos	City Clerk		Full	Boland	Christine D.	Incumbent	Yes	1	4,260	4,260	100.0%	Yes
						City Council	Full	Ahmad	Omar	Technology Executive / Entrepreneur	No	4	2,220	9,032
			Hoffmann	John	Local Business Owner	No		4	2,049	9,032	22.7%	No		
			Royce	Randy	Property Manager	No		4	3,300	9,032	36.5%	Yes		
			City Treasurer	Full	Phillips	Alex	Businessman	No	4	1,463	9,032	16.2%	No	
		Galvin	Michael J.		Incumbent	Yes	1	4,223	4,223	100.0%	Yes			
		San Mateo	City Council		Full	Arnold	Anne	Attorney	No	5	3,040	18,337	16.6%	No
						Sanchez	Bertha	Registered Nurse / ER	No	5	3,696	18,337	20.2%	No
						Lee	John	Incumbent	Yes	5	4,838	18,337	26.4%	Yes
						Mattews	Jack	Incumbent	Yes	5	4,739	18,337	25.8%	Yes
Tyo	Pete					Plumber	No	5	2,024	18,337	11.0%	No		
South San Francisco	City Clerk		Short	Martinelli-Larson	Krista	Senior Attorney / Mother	No	3	3,063	6,512	47.0%	Yes		
				Teglia	Kelly Leonard	Office Manager / Paralegal	No	3	2,145	6,512	32.9%	No		
				Romero	Marty	Credit Manager / Controller	No	3	1,304	6,512	20.0%	No		

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2007

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM- BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED	
SAN MATEO (continued)	11/6/2007	South San Francisco	City Council		Full	Wolfe	Kamala Cynthia Silva	South San Francisco Businessowner	No	3	2,477	11,218	22.1%	No	
						Mullin	Kevin	Small Business Owner	No	3	4,487	11,218	40.0%	Yes	
						Garbarino	Rich	Incumbent	Yes	3	4,254	11,218	37.9%	Yes	
		Woodside	City Council	1	Full	Romines	Ron	Appointed Incumbent	No	1	573	573	100.0%	Yes	
	3			Full	Boynton	Susan	Incumbent	Yes	1	580	580	100.0%	Yes		
	5			Full	Burow	David	Businessman	No	1	534	534	100.0%	Yes		
	7			Full	Mason	Peter	Architect	No	1	546	546	100.0%	Yes		
SANTA BARBARA	11/6/2007	Santa Barbara	City Council		Full	Francisco	Dale	Engineer/Writer	No	9	7,058	45,700	15.4%	Yes	
						Litten	Daniel	Doctor	No	9	2,109	45,700	4.6%	No	
						Barnwell	Brian B.	Incumbent	Yes	9	6,558	45,700	14.4%	No	
						Schneider	Helene	Santa Barbara City Councilmember	Yes	9	7,521	45,700	16.5%	Yes	
						Hotchkiss	Frank	Realtor	No	9	5,935	45,700	13.0%	No	
						Hansen	Robert	No ballot designation	No	9	828	45,700	1.8%	No	
						Williams	Das	City Councilmember/Teacher	Yes	9	8,415	45,700	18.4%	Yes	
						Giddens	Michelle	Mother/Business Owner	No	9	6,520	45,700	14.3%	No	
						Cooper	Michael	Dentist	No	9	756	45,700	1.7%	No	
SANTA CLARA	3/6/2007	San Jose	City Council	4	Full	Chu	Kansen	Berryessa Sch Bd Mbr & Business Owner	No	8	2,952	9,522	31.0%	Yes	
						Lien	Hon Thi	Businesswoman	No	8	2,356	9,522	24.7%	No	
						Do	Bryan	Business Executive & Library Commissioner	No	8	1,758	9,522	18.5%	No	
						Foran	Jim	Dir, Santa Clara Co Open Space Authority	No	8	1,002	9,522	10.5%	No	
						Herrera	J. Manuel	Gov, Board Member, East Side Union HSD	No	8	623	9,522	6.5%	No	
						Knox	Rosemary	Registered Nurse	No	8	541	9,522	5.7%	No	
						Bates	Jacqueline	Inside Sales Specialist	No	8	207	9,522	2.2%	No	
						Diaz	Andrew Andy	No ballot designation	No	8	83	9,522	0.9%	No	
		6	Full	Oliverio	Pierluigi	Environmental Software Executive	Yes	2	7,020	12,062	58.2%	Yes			
	Tedesco			Steve	Non-Profit Executive	No	2	5,042	12,062	41.8%	No				
		6/5/2007	San Jose	City Council	4	Full	Chu	Kansen	Berryessa Sch Bd Mbr & Business Owner	No	2	7,247	11,196	64.7%	Yes
	Lien						Hon Thi	Businesswoman	No	2	3,949	11,196	35.3%	No	
		11/6/2007	Cupertino	City Council		Full	Santoro	Mark	Engineer	No	6	2,906	16,838	17.3%	No
	Wong						Gilbert	Small Business Owner	No	6	3,118	16,838	18.5%	Yes	
	Wang						Kris	Incumbent	Yes	6	4,479	16,838	26.6%	Yes	
Abhyanker	Raj						CEO	No	6	2,490	16,838	14.8%	No		
Chu	Albert C.						Aerospace Engineer	No	6	967	16,838	5.7%	No		
Chang	Barry						Real Estate Broker	No	6	2,878	16,838	17.1%	No		

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2007

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM- BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED
SANTA CLARA (continued)	11/6/2007	Gilroy	City Council		Full	Dillon	Robert T.	Business Owner	No	6	2,843	15,854	17.9%	Yes
						Day	Tim	Insurance Agent	No	6	2,054	15,854	13.0%	No
						Tucker	Cat	Product Marketing Specialist	No	6	3,088	15,854	19.5%	Yes
						Velasco	Roland	Incumbent	Yes	6	2,509	15,854	15.8%	No
						Valiquette	Russ	Incumbent	Yes	6	1,953	15,854	12.3%	No
						Woodward	Perry	Attorney / Temporary Judge	No	6	3,407	15,854	21.5%	Yes
			Mayor	Full	Pinheiro	Al	Incumbent	Yes	2	3,205	5,961	53.8%	Yes	
			Gartman		Craig	Councilman	No	2	2,756	5,961	46.2%	No		
			Los Altos	City Council	Full	Satterlee	Megan	High-Tech Corporate Manager	No	4	4,628	19,088	24.2%	Yes
						Packard	Ronald D.	Incumbent	Yes	4	4,999	19,088	26.2%	Yes
		Hull				Randall	Advertising Creative Director	No	4	4,207	19,088	22.0%	No	
		Casas				David	Incumbent	Yes	4	5,254	19,088	27.5%	Yes	
		Palo Alto	City Council	Full	Dykwel	Dan	None	No	12	4,808	47,143	10.2%	No	
					Frost	Victor	None	No	12	799	47,143	1.7%	No	
					Ross	William D.	None	No	12	3,101	47,143	6.6%	No	
					Espinosa	Sid	None	No	12	8,614	47,143	18.3%	Yes	
					Burt	Pat	None	No	12	7,626	47,143	16.2%	Yes	
					Schmid	Greg	None	No	12	5,666	47,143	12.0%	Yes	
					Nadim	Mark	None	No	12	1,467	47,143	3.1%	No	
					Wallace	Smokey	None	No	12	1,903	47,143	4.0%	No	
					Gray	Timothy	None	No	12	1,953	47,143	4.1%	No	
					Yeh	Yiaway	None	No	12	7,505	47,143	15.9%	Yes	
					Marinos	Stella	None	No	12	1,029	47,143	2.2%	No	
					Mytels	Debbie	None	No	12	2,672	47,143	5.7%	No	
					Sunnyvale	City Council	4	Full	Whittum	David	Physicist / Engineering Manager	No	2	8,271
		Chu	Dean J.	Incumbent					Yes	2	8,037	16,308	49.3%	No
		5	Full	Lee			Otto O.	Councilmember / Attorney	Yes	1	13,330	13,330	100.0%	Yes
				6			Full	Swegles	Ron	Incumbent	Yes	2	9,577	15,894
Carney	Dixie	Teacher / Writer	No					2	6,317	15,894	39.7%	No		
7	Full	Hamilton	Melinda	Incumbent			Yes	2	9,205	15,968	57.6%	Yes		
		Meyering	Pat	Lawyer			No	2	6,763	15,968	42.4%	No		
SANTA CRUZ	No City Contests													

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2007

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM- BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED	
SHASTA	11/6/2007	Shasta Lake	City Council		Full	Lindsay, Sr.	Rodney B.	Business Owner	No	6	684	3,561	19.2%	Yes	
						Goekler	Dean	Incumbent	Yes	6	568	3,561	16.0%	No	
						Farr	Larry	Incumbent	Yes	6	592	3,561	16.6%	Yes	
						Olsen	"Oly" William	Retired	No	6	402	3,561	11.3%	No	
						Siner	Ray	Incumbent	Yes	6	496	3,561	13.9%	No	
						Watkins	Greg	Civil Engineer	No	6	812	3,561	22.8%	Yes	
SIERRA	No City Contests														
SISKIYOU	No City Contests														
SOLANO	11/6/2007	Benicia	City Clerk		Full	Wolfe	Lisa	Incumbent	Yes	1	6,674	6,733	99.1%	Yes	
			City Council		Full	Campbell	Tom	Orthodontist	No	3	4,596	12,832	35.8%	Yes	
						Full	Ioakimedes	Mike	Business Owner	No	3	4,498	12,832	35.1%	Yes
						Full	Strawbridge	Scott W.	Small Business Owner	No	3	3,691	12,832	28.8%	No
			City Treasurer		Full	Bidou	Margaret A. "Teddie"	Retired Executive Secretary	No	1	6,516	6,596	98.8%	Yes	
			Mayor		Full	Patterson	Elizabeth	Councilmember / Environmental Planner	No	2	4,052	7,948	51.0%	Yes	
					Full	Whitney	Bill	Benicia Councilmember/Businessman	No	2	3,868	7,948	48.7%	No	
			Fairfield	City Clerk		Full	Cortright	Aretta	Fairfield City Clerk	Yes	1	11,220	11,388	98.5%	Yes
		City Council			Full	Batson	Jack	Councilmember/Retired Teacher	Yes	8	3,564	25,653	13.9%	No	
						Full	Garcia	Matt	Financial Service Representative	No	8	4,035	25,653	15.7%	Yes
						Full	Hahn	Kurt E.	Retired Business Manager	No	8	2,789	25,653	10.9%	No
						Full	Kays	Steven	Fairfield Businessman	No	8	3,846	25,653	15.0%	No
						Full	Lawton	Galen	Businessman/Educator/Parent	No	8	1,359	25,653	5.3%	No
						Full	Maluenda	Victor	Transit Driver Instructor	No	8	652	25,653	2.5%	No
						Full	Sanders	W. Dexter	Retired Military Officer	No	8	2,337	25,653	9.1%	No
				Full	Timm	Chuck	Retired Police Sergeant	No	8	7,039	25,653	27.4%	Yes		
			City Treasurer		Full	Reyes, Jr.	Oscar G.	Incumbent	Yes	1	11,065	11,245	98.4%	Yes	
			Vallejo	City Council		Full	Bordisso	Lou A.	Priest/Therapist	No	7	2,900	48,059	6.0%	No
						Full	Edwards	Darrell	Entrepreneur	No	7	6,893	48,059	14.3%	No
						Full	Estioko	Oscar P.	Consultant	No	7	4,388	48,059	9.1%	No
		Full			Hannigan	Erin	Claims Services Supervisor	No	7	7,928	48,059	16.5%	Yes		
		Full			Pearsall	Anthony J.	Incumbent	Yes	7	7,285	48,059	15.2%	No		
		Full			Shivley	Joanne	Retired Bank Executive	No	7	8,351	48,059	17.4%	Yes		
		Full			Wilson	Michael	Chief Financial Officer	No	7	10,183	48,059	21.2%	Yes		

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2007

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED		
SOLANO	11/6/2007	Vallejo	Mayor		Full	Cloutier	Gary R.	Attorney / Vice Mayor	No	4	5,723	19,733	29.0%	Yes		
						Davis	Osby	Attorney	No	4	5,718	19,733	29.0%	No		
						Pitts	Pamela	Business Owner	No	4	4,746	19,733	24.1%	No		
						Villanueva	Chris P.	Certified Public Accountant	No	4	3,509	19,733	17.8%	No		
SONOMA	No City Contests															
STANISLAUS	11/6/2007	Ceres	City Council		Full	Kline	Michael "Mike"	No Ballot Designation	No	4	1,455	5,903	24.6%	No		
						Breckenridge	Steve	No Ballot Designation	No	4	1,041	5,903	17.6%	No		
						Phipps	Rob	Incumbent	Yes	4	1,655	5,903	28.0%	Yes		
						Ochoa	Guillermo	Councilmember	Yes	4	1,736	5,903	29.4%	Yes		
				City Treasurer	Full	Herbert	Harry	Business Owner	No	1	2,882	2,941	98.0%	Yes		
				Mayor	Full	Cannella	Anthony J.	Mayor of Ceres	Yes	1	3,153	3,253	96.9%	Yes		
		Modesto	City Council	1	Full	Farrace	Robert	Attorney / Businessman	No	4	6,220	17,867	34.8%	No		
						Lopez	Dave	Account Executive	No	4	7,062	17,867	39.5%	Yes		
						Stanford	Robert	Community Volunteer	No	4	2,677	17,867	15.0%	No		
						Maynor	Brent	Electrician	No	4	1,841	17,867	10.3%	No		
						3	Full	Marsh	Garrad	Businessman/Councilmember	Yes	1	14,861	15,304	97.1%	Yes
						6	Full	Hawn	Brad	Engineer/Councilmember	Yes	2	12,229	17,832	68.6%	Yes
						Maher	Tom	Retired Business/Retail	No	2	5,499	17,832	30.8%	No		
						Mayor	Full	Ridenour	Jim	Mayor	Yes	1	15,893	16,646	95.5%	Yes
SUTTER	No City Contests															
TEHAMA	No City Contests															
TRINITY	No City Contests															
TULARE	11/6/2007	Visalia	City Council		Full	Fosberg	Timothy	Certified Public Accountant	No	7	1,842	21,732	8.5%	No		
						Link	Bob	Incumbent	Yes	7	7,097	21,732	32.7%	Yes		
						Lane	Mike	Management Analyst	No	7	5,121	21,732	23.6%	No		
						Barker	Rusty	None	No	7	388	21,732	1.8%	No		
						Shuklian	Amy	Recreation Therapist	No	7	5,232	21,732	24.1%	Yes		
						Soltesz	Roland Lee	Deputy Public Defender	No	7	857	21,732	3.9%	No		
						Wheeler	Mary	Community Volunteer	No	7	1,179	21,732	5.4%	No		
TUOLUMNE	No City Contests															

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2007

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	OFFICE	DIS- TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED
VENTURA	11/6/2007	San Buenaventura	City Council		Short	Fulton	Bill	Business Owner/Councilmember	Yes	9	7,780	46,414	16.8%	Yes
						Rencher	Brian Lee	Community Volunteer	No	9	3,482	46,414	7.5%	No
						Halter	Doug	Business Owner	No	9	6,549	46,414	14.1%	No
						Weir	Christy	Ventura City Council Member	Yes	9	9,715	46,414	20.9%	Yes
						Williams	Carroll Dean	Retired College Professor	No	9	1,261	46,414	2.7%	No
						Gibson	Mike	Business Manager	No	9	1,924	46,414	4.1%	No
						Morehouse	Carl	Councilmember	Yes	9	7,785	46,414	16.8%	Yes
						Martin	Jerry D.	Small Business Owner	No	9	5,385	46,414	11.6%	No
					Cunningham	Lou	Facilities Director	No	9	2,533	46,414	5.5%	No	
YOLO	No City Contests													
YUBA	No City Contests													

Table 2.2 Summary of Election Outcomes for City Offices, 2007

		City Attorney		City Clerk		City Council		City Treasurer		Mayor		Total	
		Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N
Incumbent Candidates	Win	100.0	1	100.0	14	78.1	153	75.0	12	88.9	16	80.0	196
	Lose	0.0	0	0.0	0	21.9	43	25.0	4	11.1	2	20.0	49
	Total	100.0	1	100.0	14	100.0	196	100.0	16	100.0	18	100.0	245
Non- Incumbent Candidates	Win	50.0	1	35.0	7	26.3	141	70.0	7	20.0	5	27.4	161
	Lose	50.0	1	65.0	13	73.4	390	30.0	3	80.0	20	72.6	427
	Total	100.0	2	100.0	20	100.0	531	100.0	10	100.0	25	100.0	588
Winning Candidates	Incumbent	50.0	1	66.7	14	52.0	153	63.2	12	76.2	16	54.9	196
	Non-Incumbent	50.0	1	33.3	7	48.0	141	36.8	7	23.8	5	45.1	161
	Total	100.0	2	100.0	20	100.0	294	100.0	19	100.0	21	100.0	985
Losing Candidates	Incumbent	0.0	0	0.0	0	9.9	43	57.1	4	9.1	2	10.3	49
	Non-Incumbent	100.0	1	100.0	13	90.1	390	42.9	3	90.9	20	89.7	427
	Total	100.0	1	100.0	13	100.0	433	100.0	7	100.0	22	100.0	476
All Candidates	Incumbent	33.3	1	41.2	14	27.0	196	61.5	16	41.9	18	29.4	245
	Non-Incumbent	66.7	2	58.8	20	73.0	531	38.5	10	58.1	25	70.6	588
	Total	100.0	3	100.0	34	100.0	727	100.0	26	100.0	43	100.0	833