

---

---

PART 1  
VOTE TOTALS, ELECTION OUTCOMES  
AND TEXT FOR COUNTY BALLOT MEASURES

---

---

TABLE 1.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 1999

COUNTY	DATE	MEASURE TITLE	TYPE OF MEASURE	TOPIC OF MEASURE	VOTE IN FAVOR	TOTAL VOTE	PERCENT OF VOTE	PASS OR FAIL
ALAMEDA	No County Measures							
ALPINE	No County Measures							
AMADOR	No County Measures							
BUTTE	No County Measures							
CALAVERAS	No County Measures							
COLUSA	No County Measures							
CONTRA COSTA	No County Measures							
DEL NORTE	No County Measures							
EL DORADO	No County Measures							
FRESNO	No County Measures							
GLENN	No County Measures							
HUMBOLDT	No County Measures							
IMPERIAL	11/2/99	Measure W	Ordinance	Governance: Benefits/Compensation	5,340	13,244	40.3%	Fail
		Measure X	Sales Tax	General Services	2,231	12,979	17.2%	Fail
INYO	No County Measures							
KERN	No County Measures							
KINGS	No County Measures							
LAKE	No County Measures							
LASSEN	No County Measures							
LOS ANGELES	No County Measures							
MADERA	No County Measures							
MARIN	3/2/99	Measure F	Property Tax	Other: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	653	768	85.0%	Pass <sup>T</sup>
		Measure G	Gann Limit	Other: Appropriation Limit Increase	633	765	82.7%	Pass
	11/2/99	Measure L	Gann Limit	Other: Appropriation Limit Increase	417	437	95.4%	Pass
		Measure M	Gann Limit	Other: Appropriation Limit Increase	1,886	2,311	81.6%	Pass
MARIPOSA	No County Measures							
MENDOCINO	11/2/99	Measure C	Property Tax	Safety: Fire	376	712	52.8%	Fail <sup>T</sup>
MERCED	No County Measures							
MODOC	No County Measures							
MONO	No County Measures							

<sup>T</sup> Indicates that the measure required a two-thirds vote to pass. All other county measures required a majority vote.

TABLE 1.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 1999

COUNTY	DATE	MEASURE TITLE	TYPE OF MEASURE	TOPIC OF MEASURE	VOTE IN FAVOR	TOTAL VOTE	PERCENT OF VOTE	PASS OR FAIL
MONTEREY	No County Measures							
NAPA	6/8/99	Measure F	Property Tax	Safety: Fire	2,530	4,338	58.3%	Fail <sup>T</sup>
NEVADA	No County Measures							
ORANGE	No County Measures							
PLACER	No County Measures							
PLUMAS	No County Measures							
RIVERSIDE	7/13/99	Measure F	Property Tax	Environment: Parks/Recreation	167	284	58.8%	Fail <sup>T</sup>
		Measure G	Property Tax	Safety: Police	260	326	79.8%	Pass <sup>T</sup>
	9/21/99	Measure I	Property Tax	Environment: Parks/Recreation	413	811	50.9%	Fail <sup>T</sup>
SACRAMENTO	No County Measures							
SAN BENITO	No County Measures							
SAN BERNARDINO	No County Measures							
SAN DIEGO	3/16/99	Measure L	Sales Tax	Facilities: Libraries	167,110	332,692	50.2%	Fail <sup>T</sup>
SAN FRANCISCO	11/2/99	Measure A	GO Bond	Facilities: Health Facilities	139,210	190,019	73.3%	Pass <sup>T</sup>
		Measure B	Charter Amendment	Governance: Benefits/Compensation	127,897	179,080	71.4%	Pass
		Measure C	Charter Amendment	Governance: Organization	123,196	171,459	71.9%	Pass
		Measure D	Charter Amendment	Governance: Benefits/Compensation	138,672	182,918	75.8%	Pass
		Measure E	Charter Amendment	Transport: Agencies	110,079	180,192	61.1%	Pass
		Measure F	Ordinance	Other	124,615	187,593	66.4%	Pass
		Measure G	Ordinance	Other	97,156	166,242	58.4%	Pass
		Measure H	Ordinance	Transport: Mass Transit	123,623	178,374	69.3%	Pass
		Measure I	Ordinance	Other: Revenue Use/Limits	97,386	179,209	54.3%	Pass
		Measure J	Ordinance	Transport: Mass Transit	86,236	182,067	47.4%	Fail
		Measure K	Ordinance	Governance: Political Reform/Term Limits	140,882	176,537	79.8%	Pass
SAN JOAQUIN	No County Measures							
SAN LUIS OBISPO	11/2/99	Measure J-99	Property Tax	Transport: Roads	15	15	100.0%	Pass <sup>T</sup>
		Measure H-99	Property Tax	Transport: Roads	8	9	88.9%	Pass <sup>T</sup>
		Measure G-99	Property Tax	Transport: Roads	2	2	100.0%	Pass <sup>T</sup>
		Measure I-99	Property Tax	Transport: Roads	9	10	90.0%	Pass <sup>T</sup>
		Measure F-99	Property Tax	Transport: Roads	6	6	100.0%	Pass <sup>T</sup>
SAN MATEO	No County Measures							

TABLE 1.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 1999

COUNTY	DATE	MEASURE TITLE	TYPE OF MEASURE	TOPIC OF MEASURE	VOTE IN FAVOR	TOTAL VOTE	PERCENT OF VOTE	PASS OR FAIL
SANTA BARBARA	No County Measures							
SANTA CLARA	No County Measures							
SANTA CRUZ	No County Measures							
SHASTA	No County Measures							
SIERRA	No County Measures							
SISKIYOU	No County Measures							
SOLANO	No County Measures							
SONOMA	No County Measures							
STANISLAUS	6/8/99	Measure B	Sales Tax	Facilities: Libraries	25,872	31,906	81.1%	Pass <sup>T</sup>
SUTTER	11/2/99	Measure TT	Ordinance	General Services: Various Services/Maintenance	143	428	33.4%	Fail
TEHAMA	No County Measures							
TRINITY	11/2/99	Measure S	Advisory	Other: Revenue Use/Limits	1,658	3,079	53.8%	Pass
		Measure T	Sales Tax	General Services	1,013	3,099	32.7%	Fail
TULARE	No County Measures							
TUOLUMNE	11/2/99	Measure S	Property Tax	Environment: Parks/Recreation	276	418	66.0%	Fail <sup>T</sup>
VENTURA	No County Measures							
YOLO	No County Measures							
YUBA	No County Measures							

## TABLE 1.2 TEXT FOR COUNTY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 1999

IMPERIAL	11/2/99	Measure W	Fail
Shall the Imperial County Fair Pay for Public Safety Act of 1999, which requires Imperial County, every year in October, commencing in 1999, to conduct wage comparison surveys of 12 other public agencies and to pay certain Imperial County public safety employees not less than 95% of the comparable-position survey average, be adopted? Any survey-based pay adjustments take effect the January following each survey, except the first adjustment, which is paid over 3 years.			
IMPERIAL	11/2/99	Measure X	Fail
Shall Imperial County Ordinance No. 1300, which imposes a transactions and use tax (i.e. sales tax) of 0.5 percent (0.5%), upon retail transactions within the incorporated and unincorporated areas of the County of Imperial, be adopted? The proceeds of the tax shall be used for general county governmental purposes.			
MARIN	3/2/99	Measure F	Pass (2/3 required)
Shall Ordinance No. 13 be amended increasing the special tax for paramedic services effective July 1, 1999 in an amount not to exceed \$53.00 per year for each living unit, and a maximum of seven cents per square foot with a flat tax limit of \$500.00 per one cent levied for each non-residential structure?			
MARIN	3/2/99	Measure G	Pass
Shall the appropriations limit for Marinwood Community Services District be increased in the amount of the special tax for fiscal years beginning July 1, 1999 through June 30, 2003?			
MARIN	11/2/99	Measure L	Pass
Shall the increase in appropriations limit equal to the proceeds of the special tax authorized by the voters on June 4, 1985 continue in effect for fiscal years 2000-2001 through and including 2003-2004?			
MARIN	11/2/99	Measure M	Pass
Shall the increase in appropriations limit equal to the proceeds of the special tax authorized by the voters on November 1995 continue in effect for fiscal years 2000-2001 through and including 2003-2004?			
MENDOCINO	11/2/99	Measure C	Fail (2/3 required)
Shall Ordinance No. 110 of the Brooktrails Township Community Services District increasing the Special Tax for Fire Protection and Prevention, including ambulance service, commencing within the Fiscal Year beginning July 1, 2000, be approved?			
NAPA	6/8/99	Measure F	Fail (2/3 required)
Should Napa County Ordinance 1149 be approved which will establish and impose a special tax on certain real property in the unincorporated areas of Napa County, the proceeds of which shall only be used to continue providing fire engines and related types of fire equipment?			
RIVERSIDE	7/13/99	Measure F	Fail (2/3 required)
Shall the County of Riverside be authorized to establish a Special Tax of \$35.00 per parcel per year, plus a one time charge of \$5.00 for the cost of this election, on all parcels within Zone "B" (Pine Cover, Mountain Center and Stone Creek areas) of CSA 153 to finance Recreation Services?			
RIVERSIDE	7/13/99	Measure G	Pass (2/3 required)
Shall the Santa Rosa Community Services District be authorized to levy a Special Tax not to exceed \$13.50 per acre for fiscal year 1999-2000 and to increase this tax by an amount not to exceed 10% for fiscal years 2000-12001 and 2001-2002 only to pay for extended sheriff services to the District?			
RIVERSIDE	9/21/99	Measure I	Fail (2/3 required)
Shall the County of Riverside be authorized to levy a new Special Tax of \$30.00 per parcel per year on all parcels within CSA 143 Vail Ranch to finance the operations and maintenance of a new Swim and Tennis Club?			
SAN DIEGO	3/16/99	Measure L	Fail (2/3 required)
To improve community and branch libraries: build and repair local libraries; purchase books and materials; extend service hours; modernize with computers and new technology; expand youth reading and homework programs; enhance literacy and senior services, shall an ordinance be approved to (1) adopt for five years only a 1/4 of 1% sales tax exclusively for the purposes stated herein, and (2) establish a strictly audited appropriations limit for library purposes only?			
SAN FRANCISCO	11/2/99	Measure A	Pass (2/3 required)
Shall the City and County incur bonded debt and/or other evidences of indebtedness and/or undertake lease financing, in an aggregate principal amount not exceeding \$299,000,000, for the acquisition, improvement, construction and/or reconstruction of a new health care, assisted living and/or other type of continuing care facility or facilities to replace Laguna Honda Hospital, and reduce the property tax impact by requiring the application of available tobacco settlement revenues received by the City and County that are required to be used to fund these facilities, (a) to finance the acquisition, improvement, construction and/or reconstruction costs of such facilities, and (b) to pay the principal and redemption price of, interest on, reserve fund deposits, if any, and/or financing costs for the obligations authorized thereby?			
SAN FRANCISCO	11/2/99	Measure B	Pass
Shall firefighters and police officers who transferred to the Tier 2 retirement plan in 1981 receive improvement retirement benefits given other Tier 2 members since then if they meet certain repayment conditions?			
SAN FRANCISCO	11/2/99	Measure C	Pass

## TABLE 1.2 TEXT FOR COUNTY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 1999

Shall the City make changes in how it defines the districts that will be used to elect members of the Board of Supervisors?

SAN FRANCISCO 11/2/99 Measure D Pass  
Shall City employees be allowed to donate sick leave or vacation credits to a pool for City employees who are catastrophically ill, and to donate vacation credits to be used by City employees to care for catastrophically ill spouses, domestic partners, and dependents?

SAN FRANCISCO 11/2/99 Measure E Pass  
Shall the City create a Municipal Transportation Agency with expanded powers and duties to run the Municipal Railway and the Department of Parking and Traffic?

SAN FRANCISCO 11/2/99 Measure F Pass  
Shall the City prohibit banks and other financial institutions from charging a fee to persons who do not have an account with that bank for use of the bank's automated teller machines in San Francisco?

SAN FRANCISCO 11/2/99 Measure G Pass  
Shall the City make changes in the requirements and procedures regarding public access to City records and meetings provided in the Sunshine Ordinance?

SAN FRANCISCO 11/2/99 Measure H Pass  
Shall the City pursue extending Caltrain from the Fourth and Townsend Streets station to a new or rebuilt station on the site of the Transbay Terminal, as well as other improvements in Caltrain facilities and services?

SAN FRANCISCO 11/2/99 Measure I Pass  
Shall the City use the proceeds from any sale of excess Central Freeway right-of-way property to fund the Octavia Boulevard Plan and related transportation improvements, support construction of housing and mixed uses on the right-of-way property, and prohibit widening of the existing elevated freeway structure?

SAN FRANCISCO 11/2/99 Measure J Fail  
Shall the City repeal 1998's Proposition E and authorize Caltrans to retrofit and widen the lower deck of the Central Freeway to provide a four-lane, single deck structure over Market Street from South Van Ness Avenue to Oak and Fell streets, and prepare an annual transit plan?

SAN FRANCISCO 11/2/99 Measure K Pass  
Shall the City set voluntary spending limits for candidates running for the Board of Supervisors by district at \$75,000 for the general election and \$20,000 for a runoff election?

SAN LUIS OBISPO 11/2/99 Measure J-99 Pass (2/3 required)  
Shall the County of San Luis Obispo, commencing Fiscal Year 2000/2001, and annually thereafter, impose, levy and collect a special tax on real property within Ash Street Road Improvement Zone of County Service Area No. 21, to provide road improvements within said Zone, with the Special tax to be at an initial rate not exceeding Sixteen Dollars (\$16.00) per front foot of improvement per parcel having a separate County Assessor's Parcel Number and increasing not more than two percent per year thereafter?

SAN LUIS OBISPO 11/2/99 Measure H-99 Pass (2/3 required)  
Shall the County of San Luis Obispo, commencing Fiscal Year 2000/2001, and annually thereafter, impose, levy and collect a special tax on real property within Harvey Street Road Improvement Zone of County Service Area No. 21, to provide road improvements within said Zone, with the Special tax to be at an initial rate not exceeding Sixteen Dollars (\$16.00) per front foot of improvement per parcel having a separate County Assessor's Parcel Number and increasing not more than two percent per year thereafter?

SAN LUIS OBISPO 11/2/99 Measure G-99 Pass (2/3 required)  
Shall the County of San Luis Obispo, commencing Fiscal Year 2000/2001, and annually thereafter, impose, levy and collect a special tax on real property within Jean Street Road Improvement Zone of County Service Area No. 21, to provide road improvements within said Zone, with the Special tax to be at an initial rate not exceeding Sixteen Dollars (\$16.00) per front foot of improvement per parcel having a separate County Assessor's Parcel Number and increasing not more than two percent per year thereafter?

SAN LUIS OBISPO 11/2/99 Measure I-99 Pass (2/3 required)  
Shall the County of San Luis Obispo, commencing Fiscal Year 2000/2001, and annually thereafter, impose, levy and collect a special tax on real property within London Lane Road Improvement Zone of County Service Area No. 21, to provide road improvements within said Zone, with the Special tax to be at an initial rate not exceeding Sixteen Dollars (\$16.00) per front foot of improvement per parcel having a separate County Assessor's Parcel Number and increasing not more than two percent per year thereafter?

SAN LUIS OBISPO 11/2/99 Measure F-99 Pass (2/3 required)  
Shall the County of San Luis Obispo, commencing Fiscal Year 2000/2001, and annually thereafter, impose, levy and collect a special tax on real property within Marlborough Lane Road Improvement Zone of County Service Area No. 21, to provide road improvements within said Zone, with the Special tax to be at an initial rate not exceeding Sixteen Dollars (\$16.00) per front foot of improvement per parcel having a separate County Assessor's Parcel Number and increasing not more than two percent per year thereafter?

STANISLAUS 6/8/99 Measure B Pass (2/3 required)  
Shall an ordinance, identical to the one previously adopted by the voters in 1995, authorizing a limited transaction and use tax of one-eighth of one percent for a



TABLE 1.3 SUMMARY OF ELECTION OUTCOMES FOR COUNTY BALLOT MEASURES BY TYPE OF MEASURE AND COUNTY, 1999

	BONDS		CHARTER AMENDMENT		ADVISORY		GANN LIMIT		ORDINANCE		TAXES		ALL COUNTY MEASURES		
	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	TOTAL
Imperial									0	1	0	1	0	2	2
Marin							3	0			1	0	4	0	4
Mendocino											0	1	0	1	1
Napa											0	1	0	1	1
Riverside											1	2	1	2	3
San Diego											0	1	0	1	1
San Francisco	1	0	4	0					5	1			10	1	11
San Luis Obispo											5	0	5	0	5
Stanislaus											1	0	1	0	1
Sutter									0	1			0	1	1
Trinity					1	0					0	1	1	1	2
Tuolumne											0	1	0	1	1
All Counties	1	0	4	0	1	0	3	0	5	3	8	8	22	11	33

TABLE 1.4 SUMMARY OF ELECTION OUTCOMES FOR COUNTY BALLOT MEASURES BY TOPIC OF MEASURE AND COUNTY, 1999

	PUBLIC SAFETY		GOVERNANCE		ENVIRONMENT		TRANSPORTATION		PUBLIC FACILITIES		GENERAL SERVICES		OTHER		ALL COUNTY MEASURES		
	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	TOTAL
Imperial			0	1							0	1			0	2	2
Marin													4	0	4	0	4
Mendocino	0	1													0	1	1
Napa	0	1													0	1	1
Riverside	1	0			0	2									1	2	3
San Diego									0	1					0	1	1
San Francisco			4	0			2	1	1	0			3	0	10	1	11
San Luis Obispo							5	0							5	0	5
Stanislaus									1	0					1	0	1
Sutter											0	1			0	1	1
Trinity											0	1	1	0	1	1	2
Tuolumne					0	1									0	1	1
All Counties	1	2	4	1	0	3	7	1	2	1	0	3	8	0	22	11	33

---

PART 2

VOTE TOTALS FOR  
COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES

---

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 1999

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST <sup>1</sup>	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED
ALAMEDA		No County Contests											
ALPINE		No County Contests											
AMADOR		No County Contests											
BUTTE		No County Contests											
CALAVERAS		No County Contests											
COLUSA		No County Contests											
CONTRA COSTA		No County Contests											
DEL NORTE		No County Contests											
EL DORADO		No County Contests											
FRESNO		No County Contests											
GLENN		No County Contests											
HUMBOLDT	11/2/99	DIRECTOR-Carlotta CSD*		Full	Leavitt	Phillip	Incumbent	Yes	8	161	742	21.7%	Yes
					Brownfield	Birdie	No ballot designation	No	8	131	742	17.7%	Yes
					Harvey	John E.	Retired	No	8	126	742	17.0%	Yes
					Longnickel	Warren	Retired	No	8	92	742	12.4%	No
					Harkins	Daniel	Local Business Owner	No	8	78	742	10.5%	No
					Plant	Duane	Retired Firefighter	No	8	76	742	10.2%	No
					Segura	Ruben	Court Translator	No	8	62	742	8.4%	No
					Metzger	Ronnie	Disaster Specialist	No	8	16	742	2.2%	No
				Short	Bender	Cheryl	Appointed Incumbent	Yes	2	124	247	50.2%	Yes
					Benzel	George J.	Retired	No	2	122	247	49.4%	No
		DIRECTOR-Humboldt CSD		Full	Scolari	Frank	Incumbent	Yes	4	1,339	4,661	28.7%	Yes
					McKenny	Kevin	Incumbent	Yes	4	1,316	4,661	28.2%	Yes
					Moe	Mervin R.	Incumbent	Yes	4	1,095	4,661	23.5%	Yes
					Bongio	Alan	Building Contractor Developer	No	4	899	4,661	19.3%	No
		DIRECTOR-McKinleyville CSD		Full	Reid	Javan	Minister	No	5	1,096	3,265	33.6%	Yes
					Trepanier	J. Paul	Business Owner	No	5	994	3,265	30.4%	Yes
					Driver	Jeff	No ballot designation	No	5	623	3,265	19.1%	No
					Elsebusch	Penny	Business Owner/Realtor	No	5	455	3,265	13.9%	No
					Thomas	James E.	No ballot designation	No	5	82	3,265	2.5%	No
		DIRECTOR-Willow Creek CSD		Full	Paine	Steve	No ballot designation	No	5	235	857	27.4%	Yes
					O'Gorman	Tom	Farmer	No	5	199	857	23.2%	Yes
					Duggan	E. B.	Incumbent	Yes	5	176	857	20.5%	Yes
					Bean	Charles L.	Community Volunteer	No	5	128	857	14.9%	No
					Davis	Mike	Construction	No	5	117	857	13.7%	No

\* CSD – Community Service District

<sup>1</sup> Write-in candidate votes, when reported by the county, have been included in the total votes cast. For these contests, the sum of candidate votes is less than the total votes cast.

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 1999

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST <sup>1</sup>	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED
IMPERIAL	11/2/99	DIRECTOR-Salton CSD		Full	Reyes	Alfred R.	Incumbent	Yes	5	165	449	36.7%	Yes
					Stinson	Mary B.	Retired Fire Chief	No	5	97	449	21.6%	Yes
					Erskine	Dave	Retired	No	5	93	449	20.7%	No
					Brewster	Christopher Ray	Business Owner/Operator	No	5	47	449	10.5%	No
					Lockhart	Linda	Artist/Sign Painter	No	5	47	449	10.5%	No
INYO	No County Contests												
KERN	11/2/99	DIRECTOR-Boron CSD		Full	Job	Jeremeh	Maintenance Worker	No	5	136	370	36.8%	Yes
					Cree	Walt	Incumbent	Yes	5	116	370	31.4%	Yes
					Welling	Charlotte	Secretary	No	5	52	370	14.1%	No
					Cunningham	Susan G.	Business Person	No	5	38	370	10.3%	No
					Roe	F. O.	Businessman	No	5	28	370	7.6%	No
		DIRECTOR-East Niles CSD			Johnson	Joseph A.	Incumbent	Yes	4	421	1,517	27.8%	Yes
					Hargis	Roy	Incumbent	Yes	4	391	1,517	25.8%	Yes
					Adams	William D.	Retired Civil Engineer	No	4	379	1,517	25.0%	Yes
					Ruiz	Sally A.	Appointed Incumbent	Yes	4	326	1,517	21.5%	No
					KINGS No County Contests								
LAKE	11/2/99	DIRECTOR-Anderson Springs CSD		Full	Henderson	David	Appointed Incumbent	Yes	3	53	130	40.8%	Yes
					Minter	Alton	Incumbent	Yes	3	42	130	32.3%	Yes
					Sullivan	Patricia	Retired Addiction Specialist	No	3	35	130	26.9%	No
LASSEN	No County Contests												
LOS ANGELES	No County Contests												
MADERA	No County Contests												
MARIN	11/2/99	DIRECTOR-Bel Marin Keys CSD		Full	Gibson	Marty	Attorney at Law	No	4	419	1,314	31.9%	Yes
					Williams-Clarke	V.	Publishing General Manager	No	4	413	1,314	31.4%	Yes
					Furst	Ernie	Incumbent	Yes	4	403	1,314	30.7%	Yes
					Marx	Robert B.	Local Business Owner	No	4	79	1,314	6.0%	No
		DIRECTOR-Marin City CSD			Young, Jr.	John	Community Organizer	No	4	114	332	34.3%	Yes
					Thompson	Terry	Incumbent	Yes	4	110	332	33.1%	Yes
					Phillips, Sr.	Frank	Retired	No	4	70	332	21.1%	No
					Ashby	Karen	No ballot designation	No	4	38	332	11.4%	No
		DIRECTOR-Marinwood CSD			Bolding	Genevieve	Appointed Incumbent	Yes	4	561	1,878	29.9%	Yes
					Runco	Joseph	Incumbent	Yes	4	480	1,878	25.6%	Yes
					Dods	Walter K.	Attorney	No	4	470	1,878	25.0%	Yes
					Munson-Lynch	Victoria	Property Management/Secretary	No	4	367	1,878	19.5%	No
		DIRECTOR-Tamalpais CSD			Chapman	Judith	Incumbent	Yes	4	709	2,167	32.7%	Yes
					Whittle	Sheila J.	Incumbent	Yes	4	648	2,167	29.9%	Yes
					Montizambert	Eric	Appointed Incumbent	Yes	4	630	2,167	29.1%	Yes
					Wilmore	Scott E.	Web Site Producer	No	4	180	2,167	8.3%	No

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 1999

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST <sup>1</sup>	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED
MARIPOSA	No County Contests												
MENDOCINO	11/2/99	DIRECTOR-Brooktrails CSD		Full	Monteleone	Susan	Writer	No	3	375	1,079	34.8%	Yes
					Venturi	Richard	Retired Police Sergeant	No	3	374	1,079	34.7%	Yes
					Skezas	George C.	Incumbent	Yes	3	330	1,079	30.6%	No
MERCED	11/2/99	DIRECTOR-Brooktrails CSD		Full	Chavez	Daniel	Incumbent	Yes	5	135	573	23.6%	Yes
					Moreno	Sylvia	Coordinator/Student Services	No	5	128	573	22.3%	Yes
					Gonzales	Larry	Incumbent	Yes	5	107	573	18.7%	Yes
					Rodriguez	Rita M.	No ballot designation	No	5	106	573	18.5%	No
					Mayo	Louie	Route Sales	No	5	97	573	16.9%	No
MODOC	No County Contests												
MONO	No County Contests												
MONTEREY	No County Contests												
NAPA	No County Contests												
NEVADA	No County Contests												
ORANGE	No County Contests												
PLACER	No County Contests												
PLUMAS	No County Contests												
RIVERSIDE	No County Contests												
SACRAMENTO	2/2/99	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	4	Full	Niello	Roger	Businessman/Community Volunteer	No	5	11,100	20,038	55.4%	Yes
					Aceituno	Tom	Council Member	No	5	5,285	20,038	26.4%	No
					Delk	Jim	Retired Military Officer	No	5	2,375	20,038	11.9%	No
					Stevens	Douglas	Business Owner	No	5	700	20,038	3.5%	No
					Manansala	A. A.	Journalist/Writer	No	5	578	20,038	2.9%	No
SAN BENITO	No County Contests												
SAN BERNARDINO	11/2/99	DIRECTOR-Big Bear City CSD		Full	Colven	Robert W.	Retired Peace Officer	Yes	4	950	2,798	34.0%	Yes
					Beck	Barbara R.	Public Relations/Marketing	Yes	4	941	2,798	33.6%	Yes
					Haskins	Michael D.	Self Employed	No	4	513	2,798	18.3%	No
					Koepke	Laura A.	Paralegal/Investigative Journalist	No	4	394	2,798	14.1%	No
		DIRECTOR-Big River CSD		Full	Grugel	Paul H.	Retired Police Officer	No	5	98	415	23.6%	Yes
					Perez	Deniese	Business Owner	No	5	92	415	22.2%	Yes
					Shanks	Maxine Marie	Incumbent	Yes	5	87	415	21.0%	Yes
					Valentine	Debbie	Executive Secretary	Yes	5	78	415	18.8%	No
					Harvey	Nelson T.	Retired	No	5	60	415	14.5%	No
		DIRECTOR-Morongo Valley CSD			Egan	William F.	Businessman	No	3	218	567	38.4%	Yes
					Osborne	Chuck	Incumbent	Yes	3	208	567	36.7%	Yes
					Whiteley	Jackie	Retired School Employee	No	3	141	567	24.9%	No
SAN DIEGO	No County Contests												

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 1999

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST <sup>1</sup>	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED
SAN FRANCISCO <sup>2</sup>	11/2/99	DISTRICT ATTORNEY		Full	Hallinan	Terence	District Attorney of San Francisco	Yes	5	68,424	179,013	38.2%	Yes
					Fazio	Bill	Attorney	No	5	67,145	179,013	37.5%	No
					Gonzales	Matt	Deputy Public Defender	No	5	20,153	179,013	11.3%	No
					Castleman	Steve	Environmental Prosecutor	No	5	17,677	179,013	9.9%	No
					Schaefer	Mike	Public Interest Attorney	No	5	5,614	179,013	3.1%	No
	MAYOR	Full	Brown, Jr.	Willie Lewis	Mayor	Yes	14	75,732	194,701	38.9%	Yes		
			Jordan	Frank M.	No ballot designation	No	14	32,893	194,701	16.9%	No		
			Reilly	Clint	Manager/Business Owner	No	14	24,322	194,701	12.5%	No		
			Lee-Eng	Martin	Internet Company Founder	No	14	2,232	194,665	1.1%	No		
			Bermudez	Lucrecia	Immigrant Rights Organizer	No	14	1,709	194,701	0.9%	No		
			Ascarrunz	Cesar	Businessman	No	14	1,578	194,701	0.8%	No		
			Reid	Jim	Retired Building Contractor	No	14	1,502	194,701	0.8%	No		
			Ventresca	Joel	International Airport Administrator	No	14	1,379	194,701	0.7%	No		
			Martz	David J.	Attorney	No	14	949	194,701	0.5%	No		
			O'Hara	Mark "Superbooty"	Funk Vocalist/Animator	No	14	919	194,701	0.5%	No		
			Norton	A. D. Wyatt	Artist	No	14	765	194,701	0.4%	No		
			Woods	Max	Mass Transit Engineer	No	14	511	194,701	0.3%	No		
			Felzer	William	Engineer/College Instructor	No	14	494	194,701	0.3%	No		
			Manuel	J. R.	Businessman	No	14	277	194,701	0.1%	No		
			SHERIFF	Full	Hennessey	Michael	Sheriff/Marshall	Yes	1	131,105	131,105	100.0%	Yes
12/14/99	DISTRICT ATTORNEY	Full	Hallinan	Terrance	District Attorney of San Francisco	Yes	2	105,237	208,654	50.4%	Yes		
			Fazio	Bill	Attorney	No	2	103,417	208,654	49.6%	No		
	MAYOR	Full	Brown, Jr.	Willie Lewis	Mayor	Yes	2	131,983	221,411	59.6%	Yes		
Ammiano			Tom	No ballot designation	No	2	89,428	221,411	40.4%	No			
SAN JOAQUIN	11/2/99	DIRECTOR-California Valley CSD	Full	Scales	James	Retired	No	4	50	153	32.7%	Yes	
				Orgtega	Thomas	Appointed Incumbent	Yes	4	43	153	28.1%	Yes	
				Roberson	Ronald G.	Appointed Incumbent	Yes	4	35	153	22.9%	Yes	
				Parker	Roy	Precisionist Millwright/Rancher	No	4	25	153	16.3%	No	
SAN LUIS OBISPO	No County Contests												
SAN MATEO	No County Contests												
SANTA BARBARA	No County Contests												
SANTA CLARA	No County Contests												
SANTA CRUZ	No County Contests												
SHASTA	No County Contests												
SIERRA	No County Contests												
SISKIYOU	No County Contests												

<sup>2</sup> County and City of San Francisco are included in the county report.

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 1999

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST <sup>1</sup>	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED
SOLANO		No County Contests											
SONOMA		No County Contests											
STANISLAUS		No County Contests											
SUTTER		No County Contests											
TEHAMA		No County Contests											
TRINITY		No County Contests											
TULARE	11/2/99	DIRECTOR-Ponderosa CSD		Full	Lowry	Kent	Retired Business Owner	No	3	18	60	30.0%	Yes
					Barnes	Rodger	Incumbent	Yes	3	14	60	23.3%	Yes
					Rayzor	Tom	Incumbent	Yes	3	13	60	21.7%	No
		DIRECTOR-Poplar CSD		Full	Akin	Billy J.	Incumbent	Yes	4	38	127	29.9%	Yes
					Walker	Frankie W.	Business Owner	No	4	31	127	24.4%	Yes
					Leach	Jesse	Appointed Incumbent	Yes	4	26	127	20.5%	Yes
					Maynard	Laurie Lee	Appointed Incumbent	Yes	4	22	127	17.3%	No
		DIRECTOR-Richgrove CSD		Full	Martinez	Juan	School Bus Driver	Yes	3	89	288	30.9%	Yes
					Rodriguez	Rose B.	Secretary	No	3	79	288	27.4%	Yes
					Castillo	Rodolfo	No ballot designation	No	3	69	288	24.0%	No
		DIRECTOR-Three Rivers CSD		Full	Thomas	Bob	Produce Salesman	No	8	270	1,458	18.5%	Yes
					Andrus	Vincent	General Building Contractor	No	8	261	1,458	17.9%	Yes
					Sheltzer	Michael	Attorney at Law	No	8	228	1,458	15.6%	Yes
					Sermuksnis	Julius	Incumbent	Yes	8	204	1,458	14.0%	No
					Turner	Bruce	Incumbent	Yes	8	183	1,458	12.6%	No
					Paradis	David W.	Appointed Incumbent	Yes	8	149	1,458	10.2%	No
					Campbell	Warren M.	Minister	No	8	92	1,458	6.3%	No
					Rankin	Jerry	Landscape Maintenance	No	8	70	1,458	4.8%	No
TUOLUMNE	11/2/99	DIRECTOR-Groveland CSD		Full	Myers	Don	Retired Highway Patrolman	No	5	539	1,526	35.3%	Yes
					Henderson	Allie	Incumbent	Yes	5	364	1,526	23.9%	Yes
					Van Den Bergh	Gary	Retired Administrator	No	5	287	1,526	18.8%	No
					Corcoran	Bill	No ballot designation	No	5	169	1,526	11.1%	No
					Breed	Richard	Incumbent	Yes	5	167	1,526	10.9%	No
VENTURA		No County Contests											
YOLO	11/2/99	DIRECTOR-Esparto CSD		Full	Kieeny	Laurel A.	Water System Operator	No	5	118	458	25.8%	Yes
					Eary	Bruce N.	Horse Shoer/Rancher	No	5	103	458	22.5%	Yes
					Hayes	Matt	Agriculture Technician	No	5	95	458	20.7%	No
					Langhout	David	Incumbent	Yes	5	76	458	16.6%	No
					Hayes	John M.	Equipment Operator	No	5	66	458	14.4%	No
YUBA		No County Contests											

TABLE 2.2 SUMMARY OF ELECTION OUTCOMES FOR COUNTY OFFICES, 1999

		County										Total	
		Supervisor		Mayor <sup>1</sup>		District Attorney <sup>1</sup>		Sheriff		Director, CSD <sup>2</sup>		Percent	N
		Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N		
Incumbent	Win	0.0	0	100.0	2	100.0	2	100.0	1	76.2	32	78.7	37
	Lose	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	23.8	10	21.3	10
	Candidates Total	100.0	0	100.0	2	100.0	2	100.0	1	100.0	42	100.0	47
Non-Incumbent	Win	20.0	1	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	43.3	29	33.0	30
	Lose	80.0	4	100.0	14	100.0	5	0.0	0	56.7	38	67.0	61
	Candidates Total	100.0	5	100.0	14	100.0	5	0.0	0	100.0	67	100.0	91
Winning	Incumbent	0.0	0	100.0	2	100.0	2	100.0	1	52.5	32	55.2	37
	Non-Incumbent	100.0	1	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	47.5	29	44.8	30
	Candidates Total	100.0	1	100.0	2	100.0	2	100.0	1	100.0	61	100.0	67
Losing	Incumbent	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	20.8	10	14.1	10
	Non-Incumbent	100.0	4	100.0	14	100.0	5	0.0	0	79.2	38	85.9	61
	Candidates Total	100.0	4	100.0	14	100.0	5	100.0	0	100.0	48	100.0	71
All	Incumbent	0.0	0	12.5	2	28.6	2	100.0	1	38.5	42	34.1	47
	Non-Incumbent	100.0	5	87.5	14	71.4	5	0.0	0	61.5	67	65.9	91
	Candidates Total	100.0	5	100.0	16	100.0	7	100.0	1	100.0	109	100.0	138

<sup>1</sup> San Francisco county and city contests are reported under county listings.<sup>2</sup> Director of Community Service District.