

CALIFORNIA COUNTY, CITY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT ELECTION OUTCOMES

2009 ELECTIONS

School District Offices and Ballot Measures



Institute for Social Research
Center For California Studies
California State University,
Sacramento



CALIFORNIA COUNTY, CITY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT
ELECTION OUTCOMES:
CANDIDATES AND BALLOT MEASURES, 2009 ELECTIONS

SCHOOL DISTRICT OFFICES AND BALLOT MEASURES

Timothy A. Hodson, Ph.D.,
Director, Center for California Studies

Ernest Cowles, Ph.D.,
Director, Institute for Social Research

Valory Logsdon
Research Analyst, Institute for Social Research

Center for California Studies
California State University, Sacramento
6000 J Street, Sacramento, CA 95819-6081
(916) 278-6906 FAX: (916) 278-5199

Institute for Social Research
California State University, Sacramento
6000 J Street, Sacramento, CA 95819-6101
(916) 278-5737 FAX: (916) 278-5150

Institute for Social Research Project Staff:

Clint Swift
Kelly Nelson

Acknowledgements

The researchers would like to sincerely thank the county elections officials and staff throughout the State of California who took time to provide data to the project. Additionally, we are grateful to the Secretary of State's Office for its continuing support and interest in this project. Without their assistance, the completion of these yearly reviews and the California Elections Data Archive would not be possible.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION.....		i
2009 County, City and School District Election Dates by County		xix
Trend Table A	Number of Ballot Measures, Percent of Total Measures, and Percent Passing by Type, Jurisdiction and Year	xxi
Trend Table B	Number of Ballot Measures, Percent of Total Measures, and Percent Passing by Topic, Jurisdiction and Year.....	xxiv
Trend Table C	Community Service District and County Service Area Measures by County	xxvii
Trend Table D	Number of Community Service District and County Service Area Measures, Percent of Total County Measures, and Percent Passing by Type and Year	xxviii
Trend Table E	Comparison of Pass Rates for County-Wide and Community Service District/County Service Area Tax Measures, 1998-2009	xxix
Trend Table F	Number of Community Service District and County Service Area Measures, Percent of Total County Measures, and Percent Passing by Topic and Year	xxix
Trend Table G	Number of Candidates by Jurisdiction and Year	xxx
Trend Table H	Number of Candidates for Major County Offices by Year.....	xxx
Trend Table I	Percent of Incumbent Candidates and Percent of Prevailing Incumbents by Major Office, Jurisdiction and Year	xxxi
2009 ELECTION SERIES SUMMARY: ELECTION OUTCOMES FOR COUNTY, CITY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT BALLOT MEASURES AND CANDIDATES		1
Table A	Summary of Outcomes for <i>All</i> County, City and School District Ballot Measures by Type of Measure and County, 2009	3
Table B	Summary of Outcomes for <i>All</i> County, City and School District Ballot Measures by Topic of Measure and County, 2009	5
Table C	Summary of Election Outcomes for <i>All</i> County, City and School District Offices, 2009	7

PART 1 VOTE TOTALS, ELECTION OUTCOMES & TEXT FOR SCHOOL DISTRICT BALLOT MEASURES..9

Table 1.1 Vote Totals for School District Ballot Measures by County, 2009..... 11

Table 1.2 Text for School District Ballot Measures by County, 2009..... 14

Table 1.3 Summary of Election Outcomes for School District Ballot Measures
by Type of Measure and County, 2009 18

PART 2 VOTE TOTALS FOR SCHOOL DISTRICT OFFICE CANDIDATES 19

Table 2.1 Vote Totals for School District Office Candidates
by County and Election Date, 2009.....21

Table 2.2 Summary of Election Outcomes for School District Offices, 200958

CALIFORNIA ELECTIONS DATA ARCHIVE

INTRODUCTION

The California Elections Data Archive (CEDA) is a joint project of the Center for California Studies and the Institute for Social Research, at the California State University, Sacramento and the office of the California Secretary of State. The purpose of CEDA is to provide researchers, citizens, public agencies and other interested parties with a single repository of local election data. With over 6,000 local jurisdictions in California, the task of monitoring local elections is nearly impossible for individuals. CEDA addresses this problem through the creation of a single, cost-effective and easily accessible source of local election data. CEDA includes candidate and ballot measure results for county, city, community college, and school district elections throughout the State. CEDA thus represents the only comprehensive repository of local election results in California and one of a very few such databases on local elections in the U.S.

How the CEDA Data is Collected and Reported

Election data are collected periodically throughout each calendar year. This enables CEDA to incorporate results from special elections as well as all regularly scheduled elections. Election results from counties, cities, and community college and school districts are entered in the CEDA database from which three standard CEDA reports are generated. These reports include:

- **County Elections:** Candidates, ballot designations, and vote totals for all elected county offices; vote totals and text for county ballot measures.
- **City Elections:** Candidates, ballot designations, and vote totals for all elected city offices; vote totals and text for all city ballot measures.
- **Community College and School District Elections:** Candidates, ballot designations, and vote totals for all elective community college and school district offices; vote totals and text for all district ballot measures.

Ballot measures for all jurisdictions are coded according to type (e.g., charter amendment, taxes, bond measure, initiative, etc.) and to topic (e.g., education, public safety, governance, etc.).

THE CEDA PARTNERSHIP

THE CENTER FOR CALIFORNIA STUDIES

Located at California State University, Sacramento, the Center for California Studies is a public policy, public service and curricular support unit of the California State University. The Center's location in the state Capital and its ability to draw upon the resources of the entire State University system give it a unique capacity for making contributions to public policy development and the public life of California. Center programs cover four broad areas: administration of the nationally known Assembly, Senate, Executive, and Judicial Administration Fellowship Programs; university-state government liaison and applied policy research; civic education and community service through forums, conferences and issue dialogues; and curricular support activity in the interdisciplinary field of California Studies.

INSTITUTE FOR SOCIAL RESEARCH

Established in 1989, the Institute for Social Research (ISR) is a multidisciplinary institute that is committed to advancing the understanding of the social world through applied research. The Institute offers research expertise and technical assistance serving as a resource to agencies, organizations, the University and the broader community. Services provided by the Institute include research and sampling design, measurement, coding and data entry, computer assisted telephone and field interviewing, mailed and Internet surveys, focus groups, data base management, statistical analysis and report production. ISR has completed numerous projects with more than 50 federal, state and community agencies, several private firms and many administrative units of the university. Faculty affiliates of the Institute offer specific content expertise in a wide variety of disciplines, including the social sciences, health and human services, engineering and education.

CALIFORNIA SECRETARY OF STATE

The Secretary of State is, among other duties, California's chief elections officer with the responsibility of administering the provisions of the Elections Code. The Secretary must compile state election returns and issue certificates of election to winning candidates; compile the returns and certify the results of initiative and referendum elections; certify acts delayed by referendum, and prepare and file a statement of vote. Recent legislation permits but does not mandate that the Secretary of State compile local election results.

TRENDS IN LOCAL ELECTIONS: 1995-2009

CEDA now encompasses 15 years of election data, including three gubernatorial election years (1998, 2002 and 2006), four presidential elections (1996, 2000, 2004, and 2008) and eight odd-numbered years devoted to local races. The 2009 election contained both Assembly and Senate seat elections and 6 statewide propositions. As a year in which there were no statewide office elections, 2009 was characterized by smaller voter turnout typical of off-year election cycles. This report begins with an overview of some of the multi-year election trends then continues to a discussion of the 2009 contests.

BALLOT MEASURES

Each year, California voters are asked to consider a number of governance issues and to choose among candidates vying for public office. Within local elections, there appear to be consistent features at all local election levels across elections over the 15 years of CEDA data collection. Other election characteristics seem to vary considerably from year to year, however. This variation is particularly noticeable between on and off year election cycles. In the following section, the patterns and trends seen in local elections during the 15 years of CEDA data collection are summarized.

Trends in the Number, Types, and Topics of Local Election Measures


As noted in previous reports, the number of local ballot measures offered to voters clearly seems to “piggy-back” on state and national elections. From 1995 through 2009 there were, on average, 412 ballot measures per year. In even number years, the average was 603 measures, while odd years average 244. With the addition of the 2009 election year’s data, the average number of ballot measures that passed remained stable at 63 percent. Moreover, with the addition of the 2009 election, the percentage of measures passed remained constant across odd and even year elections, despite the fact that the number of measures was nearly two and one-half times larger in even as opposed to odd years (See Trend Table A).

- Among all the various types of ballots measures, *charter amendments* continued to have the largest percentage of measures passed, with more than three-quarters (77%) of *charter amendment* measures passing during the 15-year period. The second best success was among *recall measures* with slightly more than two-thirds (68%) passing. However, during the past 15 years, this type consistently had the second smallest number of actual measures (behind *initiatives*) compared with the other measure categories. In terms of passage rates, following *recalls* were *bonds* (67%), *ordinances* (60%), *taxes* (54%), and *initiatives* (49%), respectively (See Trend Table A).
- Across the three governments levels—county, city and school district—at which data is collected, the largest average yearly number of ballot measures were seen at the city level (204; 49%), followed by the school district (141; 34%) and county (67; 16%). However, following the trend of previous years, school districts had the largest percentage of measures passing (67%), followed by city (62%) and county (57%) (See Trend Table A).


During the 15 years of CEDA data collection, the number of ballot measures in even years peaked in 2004 with 715 measures. The current 2009 election saw 193 measures—the third smallest in the history of the CEDA data collection. The passage rate for the 2009 elections

cycle was 63 percent which is right at the average for odd year elections and for the passage rate of measures overall.

- Among the eight topic areas for local ballot measures, education issues continue to be the most common ballot measure, with slightly more than one-third (34%) of all measures between 1995 and 2009 focused on this topic. The number of education measures has exceeded the number of measures dealing with other specific topics. However, in 2009 there was a slightly larger percentage of measures devoted to revenue topics (29%) in comparison to education (24%). This again may illustrate the financial concerns in local election issues. (See Trend Table B).
- Prior to the 2008 election year, education measures appeared to have stabilized as a percentage of the total number of measures—slightly more than a third (35%)—despite the large variation in the actual number of measures between odd and even year elections. In 2007, there was a sharp decrease in the number of education ballot measures (only 42 out of 179) with the percentage of total measures focused on education dropping to 23 percent. However, with the 2008 results, education measures once again dominated the ballot topics comprising 246 out of 593 measures (41%) (See Trend Table B).
- Since CEDA starting tracking revenue as a separate topic area in 2000, this topic has represented 9 percent of the total ballot measures in local elections. However, in the 2009 election cycle revenue jumped to 29 percent of the local election ballot measures from the mid-teens seen in the previous two years. Again, this increase perhaps reinforces the notion of greater emphasis on revenue concerns in the down economy.
 - In 2009, among all county measures, revenue issues accounted for about 13 percent; but among city's measures, revenue accounted for about 42 percent of the issues.
 - Since the 2005 election year, no revenue issues have been seen at the school district level.
- Figure 1 displays the trends for ballot measures and the percent of measures passing in each of the 15 years of data. As can be seen in the green trend line in Figure 1, the actual number of ballot initiatives cyclically varies substantially between odd and even years, but also as seen in the orange trend line, the percentage of ballot measures passing remains fairly constant, although there was a notable downturn in the percentage passing in 2009 compared with the previous two years (See Figure 1).



- Figure 2 provides an overview of the average (mean) number of local ballot measures and the percent of those measures that passed in each of eight topic areas for the past 15 years (1995-2009). As discussed previously, ballot issues dealing with education and governance displayed the largest overall average number of measures, but also revealed the highest average passing rate among the eight topic areas (See Figure 2).



*Revenue data has only been collected as a separate topic since 2000.

- The level of ballot measures also appeared to have little overall impact on the passing rate for various governmental levels. County measures continue to show the lowest passing rate at 57 percent overall, with school district measures having the best passing rate about ten percent better than county measures at 67 percent (See Trend Table B).
- As reflected in previous reports, county measures showed the greatest disparity in passing rates between odd and even year elections, faring much better in odd year elections. For example, county elections witnessed a 15 percent better passing rate for *tax* propositions, a 29 percent better passing rate for *recall* measures, a 15 percent better passing rate for *bond* proposals. In fact, at the county level, only one type of measure, *charter amendments*, had a better passing rate for even as opposed to odd year elections (See Trend Table A).
- Among the six types of ballot measures identified in the CEDA data, *charter amendments* and *recalls* had the highest pass rates, 77 percent and 68 percent respectively, while *initiatives* and *taxes* had the lowest pass rates with 49 percent and 54 percent passing (Again, see Trend Table A).

Trends in Bond and Tax Measures

- *Bonds* and *tax* measures each continue to make up slightly more than one-quarter of all the measures at 27 and 28 percent respectively, a little more than one-half (55%) of all


ballot measures over the 15 years of election results tracked by CEDA. *Ordinances* and *charter amendments*, affecting policy shifts in local government, constituted another one-third (34%). *Initiatives* and *recalls* continue to account for only 6 percent of the total local ballot measures (See Trend Table A).

- While the overall percentage of measures devoted to taxes during the past 15 years has been gradually trending upward, this year saw a significant increase (about 20%) in tax issues, again possibly reflecting the struggles of local government entities in the harsh economic environment.
- School districts remain responsible for the vast majority of the *bonds* placed before voters—about 93 percent over 15 years of data collection. Bonds continue to make up three-quarters (approximately 73%) of the six types of measures in school district elections.
- *Tax* measures were more frequent at the city level than previously (57%) while counties and local school districts accounted for about one-fifth (22% and 20% respectively) (See Trend Table A).
- In the 15 years that CEDA has been collecting data, bond measures had much higher rates of passage than did tax measures. The average pass rate for bonds was 67 percent, while the pass rate for taxes during the period was 54 percent (See Trend Table A).
 - Another trend observed during the 15-year data collection is that pass rates for tax measures are consistently higher in odd-numbered years than in even-number years—an average of 60 percent in odd years compared with 51 percent for the even-numbered years. As noted above with regard to general pass rates, counties saw the biggest differences between pass rates for taxes in odd versus even years, with an average pass rate of 56 percent in odd years and 38 percent in even years. The discrepancy for odd and even years increased slightly for cities with the 2009 election—an average 61 percent pass rate in odd years and a 54 percent pass rate in even years. School Districts tax measures passed at the same rate, 61 percent, in odd and even years (See Trend Table A).
 - On the other hand, on average, pass rates for bond measures appear better in even-numbered years than in odd-numbered years (69% vs. 60% respectively). However, while bond measures are considerably more likely to pass in even years versus odd years for cities (71% versus 52%) and in school districts (70% vs. 60%); they are more likely to pass in odd numbered years rather than even years for counties (73% vs. 58% respectively) (See Trend Table A).

Trends in Community Services Districts and County Service Areas Measures


Community Service Districts (CSDs) and County Service Areas (CSAs) were introduced just before the turn of the new century as an accommodation to the tax restrictions posed by Proposition 13. Portions of a county could form a special district and agree to tax themselves to provide services that the population as a whole might not support. CEDA began tracking community service district ballot measures in 1998. Despite considerable fluctuation in the number of CSD/CSA measures during the subsequent 12-year period, speculation that the

number would increase over time is not supported by the trend data (see the dashed trendline in Figure 3 below). As seen in Figure 3, while the number of measures (represented by the solid line) increased dramatically from 1999 to 2000 and again in the 2003 through 2005 periods, the number experienced an equally sharp decline from 2000 to 2003 and again from 2005 through the 2007 election year. In 2008 there was an uptick in the number of measures; however, with this year's data (2009) the overall downward trend appears reestablished.



- As discussed in previous trend summaries, one important question is whether CSD/CSA measures lose effectiveness in terms of their passage rate as they become a larger percentage of all county measures. This year's data provides additional information to consider in this question. First, although the percentage of measures for CSDs/CSAs varied up and down through the 2005 election, the overall trend since 2006 has been downward—essentially these measures are accounting for a smaller and smaller percentage of all county measures. In 2009, they accounted for less than five percent of the measures. This is in marked contrast to 2005 when they comprised more than one-half of county ballot measures. Moreover, while the percentage of these measures and their passage rates seem to be synchronized in previous years (except for 2005), that pattern appears broken in the 2009 election cycle. While the percentage of county measures accounted for by CSDs/CSAs dropped to its lowest level since CEDA began tracking these data, their passage rate was at its second highest level ever. While this single year of data does not permit a trend conclusion, if this divergence continues, it may signal that the number of CSD/CSA issues (relative to all county measures) are independent of the passage of those measures (See Figure 4 below).

- In the 12 years of CEDA data on CSD/CSA elections (1998-2009), 229 ballot measures have presented CSD/CSA issues across the 58 counties. However, the use of CSD/CSA measures varied widely among these counties. Seven counties accounted for nearly two-thirds (65%) of CSD/CSA-related measures—Contra Costa (16), El Dorado (37), Kern (13), Marin (31), San Diego (19), San Luis Obispo (20) and Siskiyou (12). By contrast, 48 counties have had 5 or fewer CSD/CSA measures on their ballots over the 12-year period (See Trend Table C).



- In the years since their inception, the principal type of CSD/CSA measure has involved *taxes* (161; 70%). Interestingly, another funding mechanism, *bond measures*, has only appeared as CSD/CSA proposals four times (1% of the total measures). Behind taxes, *ordinances* (26; 11%) and *gann limit* issues (22; 10%) were a distant second and third in terms of prevalence on the ballot. *Recalls* (11), *bond measures* (4) and *advisory measures* (4) together only accounted for about 8 percent of the total number of measures during the 12-year period (See Trend Table D).
 - During the 12 years since their inception, CSD/CSA-related tax measures were passed slightly less than one-half (48%) of the time. As with other tax related ballot measures, CSD/CSA measures in this area were more apt to pass in the odd-year elections (63% pass) and more apt to fail in even years (61% fail). Including this most recent year (2009) of data CSDs/CSAs have slightly higher passage rates in terms of tax measures than counties, 48 and 42 percent respectively. On the other hand, cities do slightly better than CSDs/CSAs, passing 56 percent of their tax measures, while school districts enjoy the greatest success with these measures with a about a 61 percent passage rate (See Trend Tables A & D).

- With the addition of the 2009 election year data, when we separate out CSD/CSA measures from all county measures, we see that non-CSD/CSA and CSD/CSA measures passed at nearly identical rates, 58 and 59 percent respectively. However, CSDs/CSAs did much better than other county measures when the ballot measure involved taxes. County tax measures that are non-CSD/CSA measures had a 40 percent pass rate, while CSD/CSA tax measures enjoyed a 48 percent passage rate (See Trend Table E).
- Public safety remains the most common focus of CSD/CSA measures (75 of the 229 measures; 33%). Revenue (36) was the second most prevalent focus of CSD/CSA ballot measures, followed by *general services* (31), *transportation* (28), *public facilities* (28), *governance* (27) and *land use* (3) measures.
 - It is interesting to note that there were no *governance* measures in the first year that CSDs/CSAs tracking was initiated (1998), but *governance* has appeared as a CSD/CSA issue in every election since then. *Public facilities* measures had appeared in all but two years (2000 and 2003). By contrast, *land use*, which also did not appear as CSD/CSA measures in 1998, has only appeared in two elections, 2000 and 2005. Also interesting is the fact that *public safety* measures, the most common CSD/CSA measure, has not appeared on the ballot in the last two odd year elections (2007 and 2009), their only absences from the ballot since 1998 (See Trend Table F).

TRENDS REGARDING CANDIDATES


The addition of the 2009 data reinforces previous findings that stable patterns have emerged with regard to the number of candidates seeking offices, and distribution of candidates across the various local offices that are tracked.

- The total number of candidates for local offices (county boards of supervisors, other county offices, city councils, and local school boards) is consistently more than twice as high in even-numbered as opposed to odd-numbered years (See Trend Table G).
- In the 15 years of CEDA data collection, school district candidates have comprised just under one half (48%) of all candidates for local offices. Candidates for city offices make up about 37 percent of the local candidates, while the smallest percentage of local election candidates reflects those seeking county offices (about 15%).
- In the on-year elections, city candidates and school district candidates are fairly similar in terms of the number of candidates. However, with the exception of the 2007 election, school district candidates have the largest number of candidates in the off-year election cycles where they make up more than half of the candidates on the ballot (See Trend Table G).
 - In three of the prior even-year elections, 2000, 2004 and 2008, there were slightly greater percentages of city candidates than of school district candidates.

- The percentage of candidates running for county offices “pops” upward on on-cycle election years. In the 15 years of CEDA data collection, the county candidates averaged 19 percent of all local election candidates in the even years, but comprised only 6 percent of the candidates in the odd years (See Trend Table G).
- Over the 15 years of data collection, county candidates made up about 15 percent of all candidates in local elections (See Trend Table H).
 - Among candidates for county offices, 39 percent were running for County Supervisor positions, while 23 percent were seeking CSD/CSA seats.
- On average, during the 15 years of CEDA data collection, slightly less than one-third (31%) of all candidates for local offices were incumbents (See Trend Table I).
 - About 34 percent of those seeking school district seats were incumbents.
 - Approximately 26 percent of those seeking city council positions were incumbents.
 - About 27 percent of those seeking county supervisor seats were incumbents, however, with the exception of 1997 when there were 5 County Supervisor seat races open, there are typically no races for County Supervisor in odd-year elections.
- During the 15 year period, nearly four out of every five (79%) incumbents running for local reelection win their respective offices (See Figure 5 and Trend Table I).
 - 74 percent of those running for county supervisor¹ seats held the office.
 - About 79 percent of incumbent city council office holders win their elections.
 - Seventy-seven (77%) percent of incumbent school district candidates win their elections (See Trend Table I).
- In local elections, during the past 15 years, a little more than half (53%) of winning candidates are incumbents. This means that the local political area is seeing a fresh mixture of individuals comprising local elected offices and bodies with each election cycle. Conversely, this also suggests that fears of control of these institutions by a group of long-term political incumbents may be overstated.
 - 61 percent of winning candidates for county supervisor positions are incumbents.
 - About 49 percent of candidates for city council who win are incumbents.

¹ This percentage is calculated on those years in which county supervisors were normally up for election. In off years there were either no candidates or a very small number running for vacated seats.

- 53 percent of winning school district candidates are previous office holders (See Trend Table I).



2009 ELECTION DATA

BALLOT MEASURES

The number of ballot measures that faced local government voters in 2009 totaled 193, including 16 county measures, 130 city and 47 school district measures. Only three of these measures made it to the ballot through the initiative process. Of these measures, 121 were enacted for a pass rate of 63%. The number of measures in 2009 was below the odd-numbered year average since 1995 of 244. The pass rate, however, was exactly the average of 63%.

Perhaps the most interesting overall characteristic of local ballot measures in 2009 was the disappearance of bond measures. In 2007, local voters faced a total of 22 local bond measures worth \$1.8 billion, of which 12 were approved (55% approval rate). In 2009, there were only six bond measures totaling a comparatively paltry \$179.8 million, and only two were approved (33% approval rate). Moreover, the number and amount of bond measures in 2007 were down from 2005, when voters faced 57 separate bond measures totaling more than \$6 trillion, of which 75 percent were approved. In other words, since 2005 local voters have gone from approving trillions in bonds to billions to hundreds of millions. The average in odd-numbered years since 1995 has been 63 local bond measures with a pass rate of 60%. The 2009 totals are also in stark contrast to the data from 2008 (which, as an even-numbered year did see significantly more elections), when voters faced 201 separate local bond measures totaling \$35.6 trillion, of which 166 totaling \$33.6 trillion were approved.

The decline in bond measures is especially notable among school districts. In 2007, school districts placed 19 bond measures worth \$1.7 billion on the ballot, while in 2005, 52 school bond measures worth \$6 trillion were voted upon. The pass rate for bond measures in 2007 was 58%, while the rate in 2005 was 77%. In contrast, in 2009 school district voters passed judgment on only 5 bond measures worth a total of \$91.8 million, of which three failed and only two passed for a success rate of only 40%.

As noted in the 2007 CEDA Report, the continuing decline in bond measures is interesting, though CEDA is primarily a data collection, not data analysis, project. It is possible that the decline in the last three odd-numbered election cycles is in part a function of several factors. Since 2003, local voters have approved more than \$40 trillion dollars in bonds. It is possible that the need for bond funds, used for construction and other capital projects, has been met. School districts in particular are facing demographic trends that will result in declining enrollments, and thus the need for additional classrooms will slow. Similarly, voter appetite for approval of additional bonded indebtedness may now be satiated, especially given the widespread attention paid to federal and state debts. As the state's bond ratings have declined, so have the bond ratings of some local jurisdictions. Lower ratings translate to higher costs in marketing and selling bonds, and therefore can make bonds less attractive to local governments. Finally, of course, the affects of the national credit crunch cannot be discounted.

While bond measures declined, tax measures increased. Overall, there were 99 tax measures in 2009, of which 67% were approved. The average number of tax measures in odd-numbered years since 1995 is 73, with a pass rate of 58%. Thus 2009 had both an above average number of tax measures and an above average pass rate. The 2007 and 2008 CEDA Reports also noted the above average number and high success rates of local tax measures. Tax measures in 2007 had the highest approval rate since 1995. In 2008 a total of 188 tax measures resulted in passage of 126 for a pass rate of 67%.

An interesting development in 2009 was an increase in the number of parcel tax measures. [Note: A parcel tax is a tax on real estate parcels, but not on the value of those parcels. Parcel taxes are permitted when levied as a “special tax,” the revenues from which are used for specific purposes which can include the general expenditures of a school district. Parcel taxes require approval by a two-thirds vote of the electorate.] There were two county parcel tax measures of which one passed; six city parcel tax measures of which three passed; and 30 school district parcel tax measures of which 19 or 63% passed. The prevalence of school district parcel taxes may indicate the need for general funds to keep open the doors built by previous bond measures.

Other tax measures included hotel/transient taxes (16, of which 13 or 81% passed); utilities taxes (22, of which 18 or 81% passed); sales taxes (9 of which only two or 22% passed); and miscellaneous tax measures (e.g., “special” fire tax, business license taxes, etc.).

The topics of local ballot measures in 2009 were consistent with past years. The most common topics were revenue (29% of all local measures), education (24%), and governance (22%).

Unlike past years, efforts to change elective positions to being appointive were popular in 2009. A total of six such measures faced city voters and four passed. (Burlingame, Millbrae, San Carlos and Willits made their city clerks appointed rather than elected. Voters in San Gabriel and Riverside opted to stay with elected clerks. On the other hand, efforts to shift from at-large to district-based elections were as unsuccessful in 2009 as in past years, with voters in Carmel Valley, West Covina and Wildomar rejecting such measures. Palmdale voters abolished term limits on city officials while Foster City voters rejected a proposed modification of their city’s term limits. Interestingly, voters in the New Hope Elementary School District in San Joaquin County voted to reduce their representatives by cutting the governing board from 5 to 3 members.)

The Golden State’s tradition of eclectic, entertaining and sometimes eccentric politics continued to be reflected in 2009 through local ballot measures, such as:

- A measure amending the Santa Barbara City Charter reducing the building heights in the El Pueblo Viejo area to 40 feet
- An unsuccessful initiative measure in the City of Ventura to create a View Resources Board
- A failed effort to ban fireworks in Compton
- A Walnut Creek measure permitting a store “such as a Neiman Marcus,” but only half the size of an existing Nordstrom store

County Measures. In 2009 there were only 16 county ballot measures, all of which were placed on the ballot by county governments. Of the 16, 11 or 69% were approved. Seven measures dealt with governance issues, three with land use, three with revenue issues and one with transportation. In addition there was a recall measure in the Mountain Gate Community Service Area in Shasta County (the director was recalled). El Dorado and Marin Counties had parcel tax measures; both would have imposed a tax of \$150 per year per parcel. The tax failed in El Dorado, but passed in Marin.

City Measures. Voters in 69 cities faced 130 city ballot measures and approved 79 or 61%. The number of measures is more than the average of 117 city measures in odd-numbered

years since 1995, although the pass rate in 2009 was slightly lower than the average if 64%. The most common topics were revenue (54 measures or 42% of all city measures); governance issues (35 or 27%); and land use (14 or 11%). Revenue measures enjoyed a higher than average pass rate of 72%, whereas governance issues had a pass rate of 60% and land use measures of only 50%. Land use measures included micro zoning decisions by ballot box (e.g., the Walnut Creek “Neiman-Marcus” measure and an advisory vote in Los Angeles on locating a new high school), as well as larger land use decisions (e.g., redevelopment zones and parks).

Voters in the City of Los Angeles passed two charter amendments affecting retirement and other benefits for public safety employees. Both measures, however, liberalized the system. Measure C permitted the disabled children of deceased firefighters and police officers to continue to receive benefits even after the children are adopted or marry. Measure D permitted retired firefighters and police officers to purchase survivor benefits for a spouse or domestic partner.

There were only three recall elections affecting city officials in 2009. Voters in Oceanside and San Jose decided not to recall city council members (one in each city), but voters in Cotati did remove one of their city council members.

School District Measures. California’s school districts voted on 47 ballot measures, of which 32 were approved for a 68% pass rate. The total is significantly lower than the average of 97 school district measures in odd-numbered years, and appears to be a function of the lack of school bond measures noted above. Indeed, bonds represented only 11% of all school measures – the lowest proportion of any year since 1995. The second lowest was 33% in 2003 – well below the average of nearly 80%. The absence of bond measures was somewhat offset by an increased number of tax measures. School districts placed 32 parcel tax measures on the ballot, of which 21 or 66% passed (passage requires a two-thirds vote). The parcel taxes ranged from a low of \$36 per parcel (in a measure before the voters of the Gravenstein Union Elementary District, Sonoma County) to \$795 in (San Marino, Los Angeles County). Oddly, the Graevnstein measure failed, while San Marino’s passed. Of the 11 parcel tax measures that failed, nine actually received more than 50% of the vote, but failed to reach the 66.6% required by Proposition 13. The worst defeat was the rejection of Measure E in Rowland Unified School District: the measure lost 12.5% to 87.5%.

The number of recalls of school board trustees increased somewhat in 2009 over recent years. There were no school recall elections in 2007 and only three in 2008. In 2009, a total of nine school trustees faced recall elections. Of those, seven recalls passed (meaning, the trustees were recalled) and two failed. Voters in the Big Oak Flat-Groveland Unified School District in Tuolumne County recalled all five members of the school board, culminating several years of turmoil (the district had seven superintendents in eight years) and a controversy involving the firing of a popular teacher and coach at one of the district’s two high schools. In Monterey County, two of the five members of the San Ardo Union Elementary School District were recalled. Recall efforts failed in Chualar Union and Alisal Union School Districts (both in Monterey County).

CANDIDATE ELECTIONS

The year 2009 may have seen the birth of the Tea Party movement and a general anti-government and anti-incumbent sentiment in the United States, but it was still a good year for local government incumbents in California. Of the 712 incumbents seeking reelection in 2009, 554 (78%) were reelected. More than half of all winning candidates (55%) were incumbents.

The 2009 incumbent reelection rates were comparable to 2008 (75% reelected), 2007 (76%) and to the average reelection rates in odd-numbered years since 1995 (77%). California county, city and school district voters may have been dissatisfied with government and office-holders in general, but they were demonstrably happy with their local incumbents.

Incumbent success did not equate a lack of turnover in local elected offices. Each year hundreds of incumbent office-holders opt not to seek reelection or are defeated. As a result the influx of new people into local elected office is substantial. In 2009, 45% of all victorious candidates were non-incumbents; thus there was a 45% turnover among the ranks of local elected officials. This is consistent with 44% turnover in 2008 and 50% in 2007.

A total of 2,074 Californians ran for local elective office in 2009. This is less than the odd-numbered year average since 1995 of 2,313 candidates, and reflects a long-term trend of fewer people running for office. To illustrate, in the first year of CEDA data (1995), the total of local candidates was 2,384. This was followed by 2,492 in 1997; 2,293 in 1999 and 2,525 in 2001. The numbers dipped slightly to 2,107 in 2003, but rebounded to an odd-numbered year high of 2,578 candidates in 2005. Since then, the numbers have fallen, with only 2,053 in 2007 and 2,074 in 2009. The drop is most notable among school district candidates. The odd-numbered year average since 1995 is 1,401, with a high of 1,632 occurring in 1995. There was a drop of nearly a third between 2005 (1,406) and 2007 (1,013), with a slight increase in 2009 to 1,060.

County Elections. Typically, counties in California elect their Boards of Supervisors, Sheriffs and other county officials in even-numbered years, leaving few, if any, county-wide offices to be contested in odd-numbered years. This was the case in 2009, when community service district (CSD) and community service area (CSA) governing board elections accounted for all but two of county candidate elections.

A total of 141 Californians ran for CSD/CSA governing board seats, of whom 31% (44) were incumbents and 69% (97) were non-incumbents. Incumbents were generally successful, with a reelection rate of 77%. However, turnover among CSD/CSA boards was high, with 49% of all winners being non-incumbents.

The two county elections that did not involve a CSD or CSA were both in the City and County of San Francisco that elected a City Attorney and a City Treasurer. In both cases the incumbent won.

City Elections. More than 100 California cities held elections in 2009 ranging from tiny Mount Shasta to Los Angeles. A total of 871 individuals ran for a variety of city offices, including city council (744 candidates), mayor (62), city attorney (8), city clerk (27), city controller (3) and treasurer (27). The total number of candidates was below the odd-numbered year average since 1995 of 777, and the high of 1,005 in 2005. However, it was an increase over the 2007 total of 833.

A total of 306 city council positions were elected in 2009. Of the winners, 51% were incumbents seeking reelection (155) and 49% were non-incumbents (151). This translates to an incumbent reelection rate of 79%, but an overall turnover rate of 49%. That is, although incumbent city council members who sought reelection were often successful, many races did not feature incumbents, and 21% of incumbents were defeated. Thus of the 306 people elected to city councils, 155 were returning incumbents, while 151 were newcomers.

Mayoral elections showed a better advantage for incumbents. A total of 18 mayors were elected from a field of 62 candidates. Incumbent mayors had a reelection rate of 83% (15) with only three being defeated (the mayors of El Monte, Fairfield and Riverbank, respectively). The turnover rate for mayors was only 17%, with only three of the 18 being non-incumbents.

Other city positions were fewer in number and, with the exception of city attorneys, friendly for incumbents. All 16 city treasurers seeking reelection were successful, and the turnover rate was only 27%, with the successful candidates including 6 non-incumbents plus the 16 reelected incumbents. Of the 17 incumbent city clerks seeking reelection, all but two succeeded for a reelection rate of 88%. Overall 17 positions were filled, with only two or 12% being newcomers. On the other hand, of the three city attorney positions being selected by voters in 2009, only one was an incumbent (Redondo Beach).

School Districts. A total of 1,060 Californians ran for school district boards in 2009. Of these, 574 were elected, including 316 incumbents and 258 non-incumbents. Incumbents seeking reelection consequently enjoyed a reelection rate of 76%, while there was a turnover rate of 45% (i.e., the 258 newcomers elected to school boards represented a 45% turnover of trustees).

As noted above, the total number of school district candidates in 2009 was below the odd-numbered year average since 1995 of 1,401, though it was slightly more than in 2007 (1,060 versus 1,013).

2009 COUNTY, CITY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT ELECTION DATES BY COUNTY

	1/27	2/24	3/3	3/10	3/11	3/17	4/7	4/14	4/21	4/24	5/5	5/19	6/2	6/9	6/16	6/23	6/30	7/21	8/25	9/22	11/3	11/17	12/8	12/29	
Alameda												✓	✓					✓			✓				
Calaveras																			✓			✓			
Contra Costa			✓								✓	✓										✓			
El Dorado											✓											✓			
Fresno			✓		✓																	✓			
Glenn																						✓			
Humboldt																						✓			
Imperial												✓										✓			
Kern																						✓			
Lake																						✓			
Los Angeles		✓	✓	✓			✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓			✓	✓		✓	✓
Madera												✓										✓			
Marin			✓										✓							✓		✓			
Mariposa																						✓			
Mendocino																						✓			
Merced												✓										✓			
Monterey			✓								✓									✓		✓			
Napa																						✓			
Orange																		✓				✓			
Placer																			✓			✓			
Plumas																						✓			
Riverside												✓	✓									✓			
Sacramento												✓										✓			
San Bernardino						✓																✓			
San Diego											✓	✓												✓	
San Francisco																						✓			
San Joaquin			✓								✓											✓			
San Luis Obispo																						✓			
San Mateo																						✓			

2009 COUNTY, CITY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT ELECTION DATES BY COUNTY

	1/27	2/24	3/3	3/10	3/11	3/17	4/7	4/14	4/21	4/24	5/5	5/19	6/2	6/9	6/16	6/23	6/30	7/21	8/25	9/22	11/3	11/17	12/8	12/29	
Santa Barbara											✓	✓	✓									✓			
Santa Clara											✓											✓			
Shasta			✓								✓	✓										✓			
Siskiyou		✓																				✓			
Solano												✓													
Sonoma							✓															✓			
Stanislaus			✓																			✓	✓		
Tehama																						✓			
Trinity																						✓			
Tulare																						✓			
Tuolumne	✓											✓			✓							✓			
Ventura												✓													
Yolo												✓										✓			

TREND TABLE A NUMBER OF BALLOT MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TYPE, JURISDICTION AND YEAR

	ALL MEASURES			BONDS			TAXES			ORDINANCE			RECALLS			INITIATIVES			CHARTER AMENDMENT		
	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing
ALL MEASURES																					
1995-2009	412	100	63	110	27	67	117	28	54	90	22	60	15	4	68	10	2	49	51	12	77
EVEN YEARS	603	100	63	165	27	69	167	28	51	139	23	60	16	3	66	15	2	50	74	12	76
ODD YEARS	244	100	63	63	26	60	73	30	60	47	19	61	15	6	69	5	2	48	31	13	77
COUNTY																					
1995-2009	67	16	57	2	3	65	26	39	42	22	32	64	2	3	73	2	3	50	7	11	67
EVEN YEARS	111	18	54	3	2	58	44	40	38	36	32	62	2	1	55	4	4	48	13	12	67
ODD YEARS	29	12	67	2	6	73	11	35	56	9	33	69	2	8	84	0	1	67	2	8	68
CITY																					
1995-2009	204	49	62	6	3	62	67	33	56	61	30	57	7	3	65	8	4	48	44	21	78
EVEN YEARS	302	50	62	8	3	71	101	34	54	95	31	58	9	3	62	11	4	50	61	20	78
ODD YEARS	118	48	63	3	3	52	38	32	61	31	26	54	5	4	70	5	4	45	29	24	78
SCHOOL DISTRICT																					
1995-2009	141	34	67	102	73	67	23	16	61	7	5	78	7	5	69	0	0	100			
EVEN YEARS	191	32	69	153	80	70	21	11	61	8	4	76	5	3	76						
ODD YEARS	97	40	62	58	60	60	25	25	61	6	6	80	8	8	64	0	0	100			

TREND TABLE A NUMBER OF BALLOT MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TYPE, JURISDICTION AND YEAR

	ALL MEASURES			BONDS			TAXES			ORDINANCE			RECALLS			INITIATIVES			CHARTER AMENDMENT			
	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	
ALL MEASURES	1995	252	100	61	91	36	47	26	10	35	46	18	61	8	3	88	8	3	50	54	21	93
	1996	573	100	57	64	11	59	141	25	40	176	31	58	33	6	70	18	3	39	115	20	73
	1997	342	100	60	127	37	59	100	29	56	45	13	69	29	8	38	7	2	71	31	9	81
	1998	572	100	60	144	25	58	162	28	48	115	20	58	19	3	74	9	2	56	94	16	77
	1999	283	100	59	107	38	59	54	19	57	68	24	57	14	5	69	10	4	40	20	7	50
	2000	559	100	59	135	24	60	122	22	39	154	28	58	11	2	100	21	4	67	79	14	67
	2001	233	100	70	73	31	75	68	29	72	33	14	58	21	9	71	1	0	100	25	11	60
	2002	657	100	65	245	37	76	155	24	54	136	21	54	8	1	63	10	2	40	77	12	77
	2003	178	100	62	22	12	55	62	35	48	47	26	70	9	5	89	5	3	40	24	13	75
	2004	715	100	63	179	25	75	258	36	47	144	20	64	11	2	73	14	2	29	72	10	79
	2005	295	100	64	57	19	74	111	38	58	59	20	54	11	4	82	7	2	43	35	12	89
	2006	555	100	60	184	33	59	142	26	56	123	22	63	17	3	29	22	4	36	39	7	82
	2007	179	100	71	22	12	55	61	34	74	40	22	58	13	7	100	1	1	0	38	21	79
2008	593	100	75	201	34	84	188	31	67	123	20	65	12	2	58	11	2	91	39	7	90	
2009	193	100	63	6	3	50	99	51	67	35	18	63	13	7	70	3	2	33	20	10	60	
COUNTY MEASURES	1995	17	7	53				6	35	33	2	12	0						6	35	83	
	1996	115	20	49	4	3	50	35	30	29	35	30	54	4	3	100	7	6	14	23	20	65
	1997	24	7	63	7	29	57	7	29	71	4	17	100	2	8	50				4	17	25
	1998	121	21	59	1	1	0	50	41	38	31	26	72				4	3	25	25	21	76
	1999	33	17	67	1	3	100	16	48	50	8	24	63							4	12	100
	2000	116	21	49	6	5	83	51	45	29	28	24	50				8	7	88	8	7	38
	2001	36	15	75	3	8	100	13	36	77	11	31	64	4	11	75				1	3	0
	2002	98	15	56	5	5	20	38	39	45	39	40	67	1	1	0	2	2	50	7	7	71
	2003	28	16	64				12	43	25	15	54	100	1	4	0						
	2004	142	20	54				59	42	44	47	33	62	1	1	0	4	3	25	18	13	56
	2005	57	19	63	3	5	67	24	42	65	16	28	56	3	5	100	3	5	67	2	4	50
	2006	93	17	52				44	47	41	30	32	60	4	4	25	2	2	50	6	6	83
	2007	29	16	76	1	3	100	3	10	67	16	55	63	8	28	100						
2008	90	15	62	3	3	100	33	37	74	40	44	65	1	1	100	2	2	100	4	4	100	
2009	16	8	69				4	25	50	6	38	66	1	6	100				2	13	100	

TREND TABLE A NUMBER OF BALLOT MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TYPE, JURISDICTION AND YEAR

	ALL MEASURES			BONDS			TAXES			ORDINANCE			RECALLS			INITIATIVES			CHARTER AMENDMENT			
	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	
CITY MEASURES	1995	118	47	71	4	3	75	7	6	29	38	32	58				7	6	43	48	41	94
	1996	371	65	58	10	3	30	98	26	43	120	32	58	25	7	76	11	3	55	92	25	75
	1997	144	42	58	2	1	50	70	49	50	28	19	54	9	6	22	7	5	71	27	19	89
	1998	287	50	60	9	3	78	102	36	48	79	28	53	7	2	43	5	2	80	69	24	77
	1999	119	42	53	4	3	75	27	23	52	48	40	48	8	67	100	10	8	40	16	13	38
	2000	297	53	60	11	4	82	65	22	45	113	38	56	6	2	100	13	4	64	71	24	70
	2001	94	40	68	8	9	63	32	34	72	18	19	61	3	3	100	1	1	100	24	26	63
	2002	309	47	60	12	4	83	102	33	58	94	30	48	5	2	60	8	3	38	70	23	77
	2003	89	50	67	2	2	50	14	16	71	29	33	55	6	7	100	5	6	40	24	27	75
	2004	338	47	59	7	2	43	148	44	46	92	27	63	6	2	67	10	3	30	54	16	87
	2005	135	46	61	2	1	0	47	35	55	37	27	51	3	2	33	4	3	25	33	24	91
	2006	255	46	64	10	4	50	83	33	69	85	33	61	6	2	17	20	8	35	33	13	82
	2007	108	60	71	2	2	0	40	37	73	19	18	53	5	5	100	1	1	0	38	35	79
	2008	258	44	73	5	2	100	111	43	71	80	31	65	8	3	38	9	3	89	35	14	89
2009	130	67	61	1	1	0	63	48	68	28	22	61	3	2	33	3	2	33	18	14	56	
SCHOOL DISTRICT MEASURES	1995	117	46	51	87	74	45	13	11	38	6	5	100	8	7	88	1	1	100			
	1996	87	15	61	50	57	66	8	9	63	21	24	62	4	5	0						
	1997	174	51	62	118	68	59	23	13	70	13	7	92	18	10	44						
	1998	164	29	62	134	82	57	10	6	100	5	3	40	12	7	92						
	1999	131	46	62	102	78	58	11	8	82	12	9	92	6	5	33						
	2000	146	26	63	118	81	57	6	4	67	13	9	92	5	3	100						
	2001	103	44	71	62	60	76	23	22	70	4	4	25	14	14	64						
	2002	250	38	75	228	91	76	15	6	53	3	1	100	2	1	100						
	2003	61	34	52	20	33	55	36	59	47	3	5	67	2	3	100						
	2004	235	33	73	172	73	77	51	22	53	5	2	100	4	2	100						
	2005	103	35	69	52	50	77	40	39	55	6	6	67	5	5	100						
	2006	207	37	58	174	84	60	15	7	27	8	4	88	7	3	43						
	2007	42	23	67	19	45	58	18	43	78	5	12	60									
	2008	245	41	82	193	79	83	44	18	75	3	1	67	3	1	100						
2009	47	24	68	5	11	60	32	68	66	1	2	100	9	19	78							

TREND TABLE B NUMBER OF BALLOT MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TOPIC, JURISDICTION AND YEAR

	ALL MEASURES			EDUCATION			GOVERNANCE			LAND USE			PUBLIC SAFETY			PUBLIC FACILITIES			GENERAL SERVICES			TRANSPORTATION			REVENUE		
	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing
ALL MEASURES																											
1995-2009	412	100	63	140	34	67	94	23	61	35	8	48	25	6	46	21	5	44	18	4	58	11	3	51	36	9	44
EVEN YEARS	603	100	63	190	31	70	126	21	66	47	8	56	34	6	53	29	5	49	24	4	60	15	3	58	39	6	57
ODD YEARS	245	100	63	97	39	62	54	22	72	19	8	50	12	5	55	11	4	7	12	5	62	6	2	49	21	9	71
COUNTY																											
1995-2009	68	16	57	1	1	78	19	28	70	7	10	46	8	12	45	8	12	49	5	7	63	7	11	64	5	7	50
EVEN YEARS	111	18	54	1	1	67	30	27	67	11	10	48	14	13	43	13	11	40	7	7	58	12	11	61	9	8	53
ODD YEARS	30	12	67	0	1	100	9	30	76	3	9	38	3	10	54	4	13	75	3	10	74	3	10	72	2	7	44
CITY																											
1995-2009	203	49	62	1	1	63	73	36	68	28	14	58	17	8	57	13	7	56	13	7	61	4	2	42	30	15	67
EVEN YEARS	302	50	62	2	1	69	107	36	67	41	14	61	26	9	58	21	7	57	18	6	62	6	2	51	42	14	63
ODD YEARS	117	48	63	1	1	50	43	37	71	16	13	52	9	8	56	7	6	54	9	8	59	3	2	25	19	16	76
SCHOOL																											
1995-2009	141	34	67	138	98	58	2	1	63																0	0	83
EVEN YEARS	191	32	70	187	98	56	2	1	43																1	0	83
ODD YEARS	97	40	62	95	98	62	2	2	85																0	0	0

TREND TABLE B NUMBER OF BALLOT MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TOPIC, JURISDICTION AND YEAR

	ALL MEASURES			EDUCATION			GOVERNANCE			LAND USE			PUBLIC SAFETY			PUBLIC FACILITIES			GENERAL SERVICES			TRANSPORTATION			REVENUE			
	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	
ALL MEASURES	1995	252	100	61	120	48	53	63	25	84	14	6	57	12	5	50	14	6	57				2	1	0			
	1996	573	100	57	84	15	70	210	37	65	54	9	56	39	7	51	38	7	37	71	12	51	6	1	50			
	1997	342	100	60	175	51	62	43	13	67	19	6	68	12	4	42	15	4	60	35	10	60	4	1	50			
	1998	572	100	60	158	28	63	130	23	64	46	8	70	37	6	43	33	6	58	25	4	80	23	4	70			
	1999	283	100	59	119	42	59	62	22	63	29	10	41	14	5	57	4	1	75	13	5	54	8	3	88			
	2000	559	100	59	151	27	63	141	25	63	73	13	55	31	6	48	39	7	67	20	4	55	21	4	43	15	3	73
	2001	233	100	70	105	45	72	46	19	67	7	3	71	11	5	73	19	8	58	7	3	71	4	2	25	31	13	87
	2002	657	100	65	250	38	75	144	22	66	44	7	43	42	6	57	35	5	49	20	3	60	10	2	40	85	13	62
	2003	178	100	62	61	34	52	52	29	73	15	8	60	12	7	50	5	3	60	6	3	100	8	4	38	13	7	62
	2004	715	100	63	234	33	72	146	21	74	58	8	52	55	8	47	37	5	38	23	3	70	25	3	76	110	15	47
	2005	295	100	64	103	35	69	60	20	72	28	9	39	18	6	44	14	5	64	18	6	67	13	4	62	33	11	70
	2006	555	100	60	207	37	58	109	20	60	51	9	61	37	7	73	22	4	41	12	2	58	22	4	50	61	11	62
	2007	179	100	71	42	23	67	63	35	81	18	10	39	5	3	100	8	4	88	7	4	86	4	2	25	31	17	68
2008	593	100	75	246	41	82	99	17	74	43	7	72	39	7	49	32	5	66	10	2	80	14	2	50	92	16	77	
2009	193	100	63	47	24	68	42	22	64	17	9	47	10	5	60	7	4	86	8	4	25	2	1	0	56	29	71	
COUNTY MEASURES	1995	17	7	53				7	41	71	3	18	33				3	18	67				1	6	0			
	1996	115	20	49	1	1	100	45	39	64	11	10	38	8	7	50	15	13	13	12	10	42	3	3	100			
	1997	24	7	63	1	4	100	5	21	60	3	13	100	2	8	0	5	21	40	3	13	100	1	4	100			
	1998	121	21	59				24	20	75	13	11	62	14	12	36	12	10	42	15	12	67	16	13	75			
	1999	33	17	67				5	15	80				3	9	50	3	9	67	3	9	0	8	24	88			
	2000	116	21	49	1	1	100	22	19	64	17	15	35	14	12	36	16	14	44	8	7	63	16	14	50	9	8	78
	2001	36	15	75	2	6	100	12	33	58	1	3	100	7	19	100	5	14	80	4	11	75	1	3	0	4	11	75
	2002	98	15	56				34	35	71	7	7	71	15	15	33	11	11	36	7	7	57	5	5	40	12	12	67
	2003	28	16	64				10	36	90				5	18	40				2	7	100	2	7	100	6	21	17
	2004	142	20	54	3	2	33	35	25	69	14	10	14	22	15	50	13	9	54	4	3	50	20	14	75	17	12	41
	2005	57	19	63				12	21	67	6	11	33	6	11	33	8	14	75	9	16	78	9	16	78	4	7	50
	2006	93	17	52				28	30	54	10	11	70	11	12	55	7	8	43	2	2	50	15	16	40	11	12	27
	2007	29	16	76				14	48	93	5	17	0				5	17	100	2	7	100	2	7	50			
2008	90	15	62	1	1	100	25	28	76	7	8	86	14	16	43	14	16	50	4	4	75	7	8	57	11	12	64	
2009	16	8	69				7	44	86	3	19	33				3	19	100				1	6	0	2	13	50	

TREND TABLE C COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT AND COUNTY SERVICE AREA MEASURES BY COUNTY

	1998			1999			2000			2001			2002			2003			2004			2005			2006			2007			2008			2009			1998-2009				
	N	%	% Pas-sing	N	%	% Pas-sing	N	%	% Pas-sing	N	%	% Pas-sing	N	%	% Pas-sing	N	%	% Pas-sing	N	%	% Pas-sing	N	%	% Pas-sing	N	%	% Pas-sing	N	%	% Pas-sing	N	%	% Pas-sing	N	%	% Pas-sing					
Butte							1	100	100																									1	13	100					
Calaveras																				3	100	100				1	50	0							4	57	75				
Contra Costa	1	33	100				3	60	33	1	100	100	2	100	50				2	40	100	2	67	100			2	100	100	3	100	67				16	64	75			
El Dorado	2	12	50				5	56	20				1	50	100	6	100	17	2	2	100	14	88	64	2	100	0	3	100	100	1	50	0	1	100	0	37	54	49		
Fresno	1	50	100																																	1	8	100			
Humboldt																			1	25	100	2	100	0												4	36	25			
Imperial																				1	50	100															1	13	100		
Inyo							1	50	100																												1	13	100		
Kern	6	100	50				2	100	0	1	25	0							3	100	33			1	33	100											13	65	38		
Lake																				1	100	0						1	100	100							2	33	50		
Lassen							1	33	0				4	80	25				1	100	100			1	100	0			1	100	0						8	73	25		
Marin				2	50	100	5	100	80	9	82	100	3	100	100	1	100	100				2	100	100	4	100	100	1	100	100	3	75	100	1	9	100	31	72	97		
Mendocino				1	100	0							1	100	0					1	50	100															3	38	33		
Monterey													1	100	0																							1	9	0	
Nevada							1	100	100																													1	25	100	
Orange	1	100	100													1	100	100																				2	12	100	
Placer	1	33	100																																			2	18	50	
Plumas							1	100	100	2	100	100				1	50	100																					4	67	100
Riverside				3	100	33				1	100	0	2	67	50	2	100	0	1	100	100																		9	69	33
Sacramento	2	40	100																																			3	19	100	
San Bernardino	2	100	50				3	100	67				1	100	0	1	100	0	1	50	0			1	33	100			1	50	100	1	100	100				11	73	45	
San Diego	9	75	33				3	60	33	1	100	100	2	40	0				3		33			1	20	100												19	43	32	
San Joaquin																																							1	25	100
San Luis Obispo				5	100	100	1	33	0				1	50	100				3	50	33	5	100	100	5	83	40											20	74	70	
San Mateo																			1		100																		2	12	100
Santa Barbara										1	100	0																											2	18	50
Santa Cruz																																							1	11	0
Shasta							1	100	0																														2	100	50

TREND TABLE C COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT AND COUNTY SERVICE AREA MEASURES BY COUNTY

	1998			1999			2000			2001			2002			2003			2004			2005			2006			2007			2008			2009			1998-2009		
	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing			
Siskiyou															5	83	0							2	100	0				3	75	33				12	86	25	
Sonoma															1	100	100							1	50	0									2	13	50		
Stanislaus																							1	50	0			1	25	0				2	25	0			
Sutter				1	100	0																	1	100	0									2	29	0			
Trinity																							1	50	100									1	20	100			
Tulare															1	100	100	1	100	100														2	50	100			
Tuolumne				1	100	0																					1	100	100				2	25	50				
Yolo																													1	50	100			1	33	100			
Yuba															1	25	0																3	27	33				
Total for CSD/CSA Measures Over All Counties	25	21	56	13	39	62	32	28	50	16	44	81	18	18	44	13	46	38	27	19	48	31	53	73	21	22	48	8	28	88	19	21	58	6	3	83	229	44	57

TREND TABLE D NUMBER OF COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT AND COUNTY SERVICE AREA MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL COUNTY MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TYPE AND YEAR

	ALL CSD/CSA			TAXES			BONDS			ADVISORY			RECALLS			GANN LIMIT			ORDINANCE		
	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate
1998	25	21	56	18	15	44													7	6	86
1999	13	39	62	10	30	70									1	3	100		1	3	0
2000	32	28	50	22	19	27				2	2	100			6	5	100		2	2	50
2001	16	44	81	10	28	90	2	6	100						2	6	100		2	6	0
2002	18	18	44	14	14	36								4	4	75					
2003	13	46	38	11	39	27													2	7	100
2004	27	19	48	21	15	38				1	1	100			2	1	100		3	2	67
2005	31	54	73	23	40	65	2	4	50				3	5	100	1	2	100	2	4	100
2006	21	23	48	14	15	50							4	4	25				3	3	67
2007	8	28	88	3	10	67							3	10	100	1	3	100	1	3	100
2008	19	21	58	12	13	42				1	1	0			4	4	100		2	2	100
2009	6	38	83	3	19	67							1	6	100	1	6	100	1	6	100
1998-2009	229	27	58	161	19	48	4	0	75	4	0	75	11	1	73	22	3	95	26	3	73

TREND TABLE E COMPARISON OF PASS RATES FOR COUNTY-WIDE AND COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT/ COUNTY SERVICE AREA TAX MEASURES, 1998-2009

	NON-CSD/CSA COUNTY-WIDE MEASURES			CSD/CSA MEASURES		NON-CSD/CSA COUNTY-WIDE TAX MEASURES		CSD/CSA COUNTY TAX MEASURES	
	Total Number of County Measures	Number of Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	Percent Passing
1998	121	96	59	25	56	32	34	18	44
1999	33	20	70	13	62	6	17	10	70
2000	115	83	51	32	50	28	29	22	27
2001	36	20	70	16	81	3	33	10	90
2002	98	80	60	18	44	24	50	14	36
2003	28	15	87	13	38	1	0	11	27
2004	139	112	54	27	48	39	49	21	38
2005	57	26	54	31	71	1	100	23	65
2006	93	72	54	21	48	30	37	14	50
2007	29	21	71	8	88	1	100	3	67
2008	90	71	63	19	58	21	43	12	42
2009	16	10	60	6	83	1	0	3	67
1998-2009	855	626	59	229	58	187	40	161	48

TREND TABLE F NUMBER OF COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT AND COUNTY SERVICE AREA MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL COUNTY MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TOPIC AND YEAR

	ALL CSD/CSA			LAND USE			PUBLIC SAFETY			GOVERNANCE			ENVIRONMENT			TRANSPORTATION			PUBLIC FACILITIES			GENERAL SERVICES			REVENUE		
	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Percent Passing
1998	25	21	56				11	9	46				2	2	50	3	2	67	6	5	83	3	2	33	25	21	56
1999	13	39	62				3	9	67	1	3	0	5	15	100	2	6	0	1	3	0	1	3	100	13	39	62
2000	32	28	50	1	1	0	9	8	22	2	2	100	5	4	20				5	4	60	10	9	80	32	28	50
2001	16	44	81				5	14	100	1	3	0	1	3	0	4	11	75	3	8	100	2	6	100	16	44	81
2002	18	18	44				11	11	45	3	3	67				3	3	33	1	1	0				18	18	44
2003	13	46	38				5	18	40	2	7	100										6	21	17	13	46	38
2004	27	19	48				15	11	47	1	1	0	3	2	33	4	3	50	1	1	0	2	1	100	27	19	48
2005	31	54	71	2	4	0	1	2	100	3	5	100	6	11	100	6	11	100	9	16	78	4	7	50	31	54	71
2006	21	23	48				7	8	71	6	6	33	2	2	50	2	2	0	2	2	50	2	2	50	21	23	48
2007	8	28	88							4	14	100	1	3	0	1	3	100	2	7	100				8	28	88
2008	19	21	58				8	9	50	2	2	100	2	2	50	1	1	0	1	1	0	5	6	80	19	21	58
2009	6	38	83							2	13	100	1	6	0	2	13	100				1	6	100	6	38	83
1998-2009	229	27	58	3	0	0	75	9	51	27	3	70	28	3	57	28	3	61	31	4	68	36	4	64	229	27	58

TREND TABLE G NUMBER OF CANDIDATES BY JURISDICTION AND YEAR

	NUMBER OF CANDIDATES			
	ALL CANDIDATES	COUNTY CANDIDATES	CITY CANDIDATES	SCHOOL DISTRICT CANDIDATES
1995	2,384	0	752	1,632
1996	5,524	805	2,169	2,550
1997	2,492	25	744	1,723
1998	5,502	1,167	1,903	2,432
1999	2,293	138	738	1,417
2000	5,154	894	2,200	2,060
2001	2,525	189	702	1,634
2002	6,072	1,412	2,210	2,450
2003	2,107	209	575	1,323
2004	5,155	878	2,232	2,045
2005	2,578	167	1,005	1,406
2006	5,645	1,247	2,162	2,236
2007	2,053	207	833	1,013
2008	5,389	888	2,320	2,181
2009	2,074	143	871	1,060
Total	56,947	8,369	21,416	27,162

TREND TABLE H NUMBER OF CANDIDATES FOR MAJOR COUNTY OFFICES BY YEAR

	TOTAL NUMBER OF CANDIDATES	NUMBER OF COUNTY CANDIDATES	COUNTY SUPERVISOR CANDIDATES		CSD/CSA CANDIDATES	
			NUMBER OF CANDIDATES	% OF COUNTY CANDIDATES	NUMBER OF CANDIDATES	% OF COUNTY CANDIDATES
1995	2,384	0	0	0	*	*
1996	5,524	805	566	70	*	*
1997	2,492	25	21	84	*	*
1998	5,502	1,167	362	31	22	0
1999	2,293	138	5	4	109	79
2000	5,154	894	511	57	174	20
2001	2,525	189	0	0	186	98
2002	6,072	1,412	363	26	127	9
2003	2,107	209	10	5	175	84
2004	5,155	878	523	60	125	14
2005	2,578	167	4	2	155	93
2006	5,645	1,247	366	29	162	13
2007	2,053	207	10	5	161	78
2008	5,389	888	521	59	174	20
2009	2,074	143	0	0	141	99
TOTAL	56,947	8,369	3,262	39	1,711	23

*The California Elections Data Archive did not collect information on CSD/CSA candidates until 1998.

TREND TABLE | PERCENT OF INCUMBENT CANDIDATES AND PERCENT OF PREVAILING INCUMBENTS BY MAJOR OFFICE, JURISDICTION AND YEAR

		% OF ALL CANDIDATES	% OF COUNTY SUPERVISOR CANDIDATES	% OF CITY COUNCIL CANDIDATES	% OF SCHOOL DISTRICT CANDIDATES
PERCENT OF CANDIDATES WHO ARE INCUMBENTS	1995	27	0	18	30
	1996	26	24	22	28
	1997	30	5	23	33
	1998	32	27	26	32
	1999	30	0	23	32
	2000	30	29	27	32
	2001	30	0	24	32
	2002	34	32	26	36
	2003	31	0	22	35
	2004	33	28	28	37
	2005	31	0	23	36
	2006	34	28	29	36
	2007	31	0	27	33
	2008	34	28	30	38
	2009	34	0	26	39
PERCENTAGE OF INCUMBENTS WHO WIN	1995	79	0	78	78
	1996	77	64	74	78
	1997	76	0	79	74
	1998	85	82	82	83
	1999	77	0	79	76
	2000	78	80	79	74
	2001	77	0	78	77
	2002	81	72	79	79
	2003	77	0	72	78
	2004	79	68	81	76
	2005	79	0	80	78
	2006	81	81	78	78
	2007	76	0	77	74
	2008	75	78	79	70
	2009	78	0	79	76
PERCENTAGE OF WINNING CANDIDATES WHO ARE INCUMBENTS	1995	50	0	40	51
	1996	48	50	41	47
	1997	49	0	45	49
	1998	57	64	48	53
	1999	51	0	45	52
	2000	52	71	52	49
	2001	50	0	51	50
	2002	57	62	49	56
	2003	51	0	39	55
	2004	55	58	51	57
	2005	52	0	50	52
	2006	56	68	51	55
	2007	50	0	53	48
	2008	56	60	55	55
	2009	55	0	52	56

2009 ELECTION SERIES SUMMARY:
ELECTION OUTCOMES FOR
COUNTY, CITY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT
BALLOT MEASURES AND CANDIDATES

TABLE A SUMMARY OF OUTCOMES FOR ALL COUNTY, CITY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT BALLOT MEASURES BY TYPE OF MEASURE AND COUNTY, 2009

	TAXES		BONDS		CHARTER AMENDMENT		ADVISORY		INITIATIVE		RECALL		GANN LIMIT		ORDINANCE		ALL MEASURES		
	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	TOTAL
Alameda	10	1			1	0											11	1	12
Contra Costa	4	1													1	1	5	2	7
El Dorado	0	1															0	1	1
Fresno	0	1															0	1	1
Imperial	0	1													1	0	1	1	2
Lake															1	0	1	0	1
Los Angeles	21	6			5	5	4	3	1	0					5	3	36	17	53
Madera	0	1															0	1	1
Marin	6	1	2	1											1	0	9	2	11
Mendocino															1	1	1	1	2
Merced	1	0													1	0	2	0	2
Monterey	0	2									2	2			1	2	3	6	9
Orange	0	1															0	1	1
Placer	1	0															1	0	1
Riverside	4	3					1	0							1	3	6	6	12
Sacramento	0	1			1	0											1	1	2
San Bernardino													1				1	0	1
San Diego	0	1									0	1					0	2	2
San Francisco					1	0									3	1	4	1	5
San Joaquin															1	1	1	1	2
San Luis Obispo	0	1															0	1	1
San Mateo	12	5			1	0							3		3	1	19	6	25
Santa Barbara					3	1									1	0	4	1	5
Santa Clara	3	3									0	1			1	0	4	4	8
Shasta											1	0					1	0	1
Solano	1	0															1	0	1
Sonoma	0	1	0	1							1	0					1	2	3
Stanislaus							0	5									0	5	5

TABLE A SUMMARY OF OUTCOMES FOR ALL COUNTY, CITY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT BALLOT MEASURES BY TYPE OF MEASURE AND COUNTY, 2009

	TAXES		BONDS		CHARTER AMENDMENT		ADVISORY		INITIATIVE		RECALL		GANN LIMIT		ORDINANCE		ALL MEASURES		
	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	TOTAL
Tulare	2	0	0	2													2	2	4
Tuolumne											5	0					5	0	5
Ventura	0	2			0	1			0	2							0	5	5
Yolo	1	0			0	1											1	1	2
All Counties	66	33	2	4	12	8	5	8	1	2	9	4	4	0	22	13	121	72	193

TABLE B SUMMARY OF OUTCOMES FOR ALL COUNTY, CITY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT BALLOT MEASURES BY TOPIC OF MEASURE AND COUNTY, 2009

	EDUCATION		LAND USE		SAFETY		GOVERNANCE		ENVIRONMENT		TRANSPORT		FACILITIES		HOUSING		GENERAL SERVICES		REVENUE		OTHER		ALL MEASURES		
	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	TOTAL
Alameda	4	1			1	0							1	0			1	0	4	0			11	1	12
Contra Costa	4	1	1	0			0	1															5	2	7
El Dorado											0	1											0	1	1
Fresno					0	1																	0	1	1
Imperial					0	1	1	0															1	1	2
Lake																					1	0	1	0	1
Los Angeles	5	2	2	2	2	0	11	6	0	1	0	1	1	0					15	4	0	1	36	17	53
Madera																			0	1			0	1	1
Marin	6	0	1	0	0	1							1	0					1	1			9	2	11
Mendocino			0	1			1	0															1	1	2
Merced					1	0													1	0			2	0	2
Monterey	2	2	1	0	0	1	0	2					0	1									3	6	9
Orange	0	1																					0	1	1
Placer													1	0									1	0	1
Riverside					2	0	1	3											3	3			6	6	12
Sacramento							1	0											0	1			1	1	2
San Bernardino																			1	0			1	0	1
San Diego							0	1											0	1			0	2	2
San Francisco			1	1			2	0					1	0									4	1	5
San Joaquin	1	0	0	1																			1	1	2
San Luis Obispo																	0	1					0	1	1
San Mateo	2	2	1	0			4	1									1	0	11	3			19	6	25
Santa Barbara				1			4	0															4	1	5
Santa Clara	2	2	1	0			0	1											1	1			4	4	8
Shasta							1	0															1	0	1
Solano																			1	0			1	0	1
Sonoma	0	2					1	0															1	2	3
Stanislaus																	0	5					0	5	5

TABLE B SUMMARY OF OUTCOMES FOR ALL COUNTY, CITY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT BALLOT MEASURES BY TOPIC OF MEASURE AND COUNTY, 2009

	EDUCATION		LAND USE		SAFETY		GOVERNANCE		ENVIRONMENT		TRANSPORT		FACILITIES		HOUSING		GENERAL SERVICES		REVENUE		OTHER		ALL MEASURES		
	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	TOTAL
Tulare	0	2															2	0			2	2		4	
Tuolumne	5	0																			5	0		5	
Ventura	0	1	0	2											0	1			0	1			0	5	5
Yolo			0	1								1	0										1	1	2
All Counties	31	16	8	9	6	4	27	15	0	1	0	2	6	1	0	1	2	6	40	16	1	1	121	72	193

TABLE C SUMMARY OF ELECTION OUTCOMES FOR ALL COUNTY, CITY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT OFFICES, 2009

		Director, CSD*		Other County Offices		City Council		Other City Offices		School Board Member		Total	
		Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N
Incumbent Candidates	Win	77.3	34	100.0	2	79.1	155	88.7	47	75.8	316	77.8	554
	Lose	22.7	10	0.0	0	20.9	41	11.3	6	24.2	101	22.2	158
	Total	100.0	44	100.0	2	100.0	196	100.0	53	100.0	417	100.0	712
Non-Incumbent Candidates	Win	50.5	49	0.0	0	27.6	151	28.4	21	40.1	258	35.0	479
	Lose	49.5	48	0.0	0	72.4	397	71.6	53	59.1	385	64.5	883
	Total	100.0	97	0.0	0	100.0	548	100.0	74	100.0	649	100.0	1,368
Winning Candidates	Incumbent	41.0	34	100.0	2	50.7	155	69.1	47	55.1	316	53.6	554
	Non-Incumbent	59.0	49	0.0	0	49.3	151	30.9	21	44.9	258	46.4	479
	Total	100.0	83	100.0	2	100.0	306	100.0	68	100.0	574	100.0	1,033
Losing Candidates	Incumbent	17.2	10	0.0	0	9.4	41	10.2	6	20.8	101	15.2	158
	Non-Incumbent	82.8	48	0.0	0	90.6	397	89.8	53	79.2	385	84.8	883
	Total	100.0	58	0.0	0	100.0	438	100.0	59	100.0	486	100.0	1,041
All Candidates	Incumbent	31.2	44	100.0	2	26.3	196	41.9	53	39.3	417	34.3	712
	Non-Incumbent	68.8	97	0.0	0	73.7	548	58.1	74	60.7	643	65.7	1,362
	Total	100.0	141	100.0	2	100.0	744	100.0	127	100.0	1060	100.0	2,074

*Directors of Community Service Districts, and Community Service Areas

PART 1
VOTE TOTALS, ELECTION OUTCOMES AND
TEXT FOR SCHOOL DISTRICT BALLOT MEASURES

TABLE 1.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR SCHOOL DISTRICT BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2009

COUNTY	DATE	SCHOOL DISTRICT	MEASURE TITLE	TYPE OF MEASURE	TOPIC OF MEASURE	VOTE IN FAVOR	TOTAL VOTE	PERCENT OF VOTE	PASS OR FAIL ^T
ALAMEDA	6/2/2009	Piedmont City Unified	Measure B	Miscellaneous Tax	Education	3,986	5,086	78.4%	Pass ^T
			Measure E	Property Tax	Education	3,727	5,091	73.2%	Pass ^T
	11/3/2009	Pleasanton Unified	Measure G	Property Tax	Education	10,995	17,541	63.7	Fail ^T
		Albany City Unified	Measure I	Property Tax	Education	2,975	3,898	76.3	Pass ^T
			Measure J	Property Tax	Education	3,011	3,893	77.3	Pass ^T
ALPINE	No School District Measures								
AMADOR	No School District Measures								
BUTTE	No School District Measures								
CALAVERAS	No School District Measures								
COLUSA	No School District Measures								
CONTRA COSTA	3/3/2009	Orinda Union Elementary	Measure B	Property Tax	Education	5,384	7,610	70.7%	Pass ^T
	5/5/2009	San Ramon Valley Unified	Measure C	Property Tax	Education	30,624	42,394	72.2%	Fail ^T
	5/19/2009	Mt. Diablo Unified	Measure D	Property Tax	Education	30,155	50,645	59.5%	Fail ^T
	11/3/2009	Acalanes Union High	Measure G	Property Tax	Education	28,429	38,158	74.5%	Pass ^T
		Walnut Creek Elementary	Measure H	Property Tax	Education	12,807	16,912	75.7%	Pass ^T
DEL NORTE	No School District Measures								
EL DORADO	No School District Measures								
FRESNO	No School District Measures								
GLENN	No School District Measures								
HUMBOLDT	No School District Measures								
IMPERIAL	No School District Measures								
INYO	No School District Measures								
KERN	No School District Measures								
KINGS	No School District Measures								
LAKE	No School District Measures								
LASSEN	No School District Measures								
LOS ANGELES	5/5/2009	San Marino Unified	Measure E	Property Tax	Education	3,476	4,883	71.2%	Pass ^T
	6/16/2009	South Pasadena Unified	Measure S	Property Tax	Education	4,158	6,151	67.6%	Pass ^T
	6/23/2009	Palos Verdes Peninsula Unified	Measure V	Property Tax	Education	12,301	17,856	68.9%	Pass ^T

^TPass or Fail results based on multi-county outcomes.

^TIndicates measure required a two-thirds vote to pass. ^FIndicates measure required a 55% vote to pass. All other school district measures required a majority vote.

TABLE 1.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR SCHOOL DISTRICT BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2009

COUNTY	DATE	SCHOOL DISTRICT	MEASURE TITLE	TYPE OF MEASURE	TOPIC OF MEASURE	VOTE IN FAVOR	TOTAL VOTE	PERCENT OF VOTE	PASS OR FAIL ^T
LOS ANGELES (continued)	6/30/2009	La Canada Unified	Measure LC	Property Tax	Education	4,849	6,489	74.7%	Pass ^T
		Rowland Unified ¹	Measure E	Property Tax	Education	4,482	8,554	52.4%	Fail ^T
	11/3/2009	Culver City Unified	Measure EE	Property Tax	Education	3,729	4,977	74.9%	Pass ^T
		Long Beach Unified	Measure S	Property Tax	Education	15,939	36,330	43.9%	Fail ^T
MARIN	3/3/209	Bolinas-Stinson Union Elementary	Measure A	Property Tax	Education	569	757	75.2%	Pass ^T
	6/2/2009	Novato Unified	Measure A	Property Tax	Education	9,929	14,448	68.7%	Pass ^T
	11/3/2009	Lagunitas Elementary	Measure A	Property Tax	Education	816	1,210	67.4%	Pass ^T
		Larkspur Elementary	Measure B	Property Tax	Education	3,021	4,267	70.8%	Pass ^T
		Mill Valley Elementary	Measure C	GO Bond	Education: Bonds	5,530	8,261	66.9%	Pass ^F
		Shoreline Unified ²	Measure D	GO Bond	Education: Bonds				
MARIPOSA	No School District Measures								
MENDOCINO	No School District Measures								
MERCED	No School District Measures								
MODOC	No School District Measures								
MONO	No School District Measures								
MONTEREY	3/3/2009	Chualar Union Elementary	Recall 3	Recall	Education: Recall	92	180	51.1%	Pass
		San Ardo Union Elementary	Recall 1	Recall	Education: Recall	52	150	34.7%	Fail
		San Ardo Union Elementary	Recall 2	Recall	Education: Recall	52	151	34.4%	Fail
	8/25/2009	Alisal Union Elementary	Recall 1	Recall	Education: Recall	198	283	70.0%	Pass
NAPA	No School District Measures								
NEVADA	No School District Measures								
ORANGE	6/30/2009	Rowland Unified ³	Measure E	Property Tax	Education	4	28	14.3%	Fail ^T
PLACER	No School District Measures								
PLUMAS	No School District Measures								
RIVERSIDE	No School District Measures								
SACRAMENTO	No School District Measures								
SAN BENITO	No School District Measures								
SAN BERNARDINO	No School District Measures								
SAN DIEGO	No School District Measures								
SAN FRANCISCO	No School District Measures								

¹Multiple county school district. Results for Orange county are reported separately.

²Multiple county school district. Results for Sonoma county are reported separately.

³Multiple county school district. Results for Los Angeles county are reported separately.

TABLE 1.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR SCHOOL DISTRICT BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2009

COUNTY	DATE	SCHOOL DISTRICT	MEASURE TITLE	TYPE OF MEASURE	TOPIC OF MEASURE	VOTE IN FAVOR	TOTAL VOTE	PERCENT OF VOTE	PASS OR FAIL ^T
SAN JOAQUIN	5/5/2009	New Hope Elementary	Measure X	Ordinance	Education: Districts/Governance	93	138	67.4%	Pass
SAN LUIS OBISPO	No School District Measures								
SAN MATEO	5/5/2009	Jefferson Elementary	Measure A	Property Tax	Education	4,129	7,126	57.9%	Fail ^T
		San Carlos Elementary	Measure B	Property Tax	Education	6,102	8,514	71.7%	Pass ^T
		Woodside Elementary	Measure C	Property Tax	Education	859	1,134	75.7%	Pass ^T
SAN MATEO	6/2/2009	Redwood City Elementary	Measure E	Property Tax	Education	7,300	11,481	63.6%	Fail ^T
SANTA BARBARA	No School District Measures								
SANTA CLARA	5/5/2009	Cupertino Union Elementary	Measure B	Property Tax	Education	18,011	25,728	70.0%	Pass ^T
		Moreland Elementary	Measure A	Property Tax	Education	5,415	7,840	69.1%	Pass ^T
	11/3/2009	Fremont Union High	Measure G	Property Tax	Education	19,995	33,513	59.7%	Fail ^T
		Santa Clara Unified	Measure C	Property Tax	Education	11,043	17,541	63.0%	Fail ^T
SANTA CRUZ	No School District Measures								
SHASTA	No School District Measures								
SIERRA	No School District Measures								
SISKIYOU	No School District Measures								
SOLANO	No School District Measures								
SONOMA	3/3/2009	Gravenstein Union Elementary	Measure C	Property Tax	Education	1,019	1,648	61.8%	Fail ^T
	11/3/2009	Shoreline Unified ⁴	Measure D	GO Bond	Education: Bond	282	575	49.0%	Fail ^F
STANISLAUS	No School District Measures								
SUTTER	No School District Measures								
TEHAMA	No School District Measures								
TRINITY	No School District Measures								
TULARE	5/19/2009	Springville Union Elementary	Measure L	GO Bond	Education: Bond	561	1,305	43.0%	Fail ^T
	11/3/2009	Springville Union Elementary	Measure O	GO Bond	Education: Bond	485	1,428	34.0%	Fail ^T
TUOLUMNE	5/19/2009	Big Oak Flat-Groveland Unified	Recall 1	Recall	Education: Recall	1,381	1,988	69.5%	Pass
			Recall 2	Recall	Education: Recall	1,390	1,992	69.8%	Pass
			Recall 3	Recall	Education: Recall	1,445	1,999	72.3%	Pass
			Recall 4	Recall	Education: Recall	1,373	1,998	68.7%	Pass
			Recall 5	Recall	Education: Recall	1,384	1,997	69.3%	Pass
VENTURA	11/3/2009	Oxnard Elementary	Measure E	Property Tax	Education	4,177	8,848	47.2	Fail ^T
YOLO	No School District Measures								
YUBA	No School District Measures								

⁴ Multiple county school district. Results for Marin county are reported separately.

TABLE 1.2 TEXT FOR SCHOOL DISTRICT BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2009

ALAMEDA	6/2/2009	Piedmont City Unified	Measure B	Pass (2/3 required)
To prevent existing school funding from expiring and maintain Piedmont's excellent quality of public education by attracting and retaining qualified teachers, maintaining small class sizes and protecting instructional programs and services, including art and music, foreign language, advanced placement, school libraries, classroom technology and student counseling, shall Piedmont Unified School District continue to levy special taxes up to the amounts specified in the voter pamphlet for four years and with all funds staying locally to benefit Piedmont schools?				
ALAMEDA	6/2/2009	Piedmont City Unified	Measure E	Pass (2/3 required)
To offset the loss of state funds by providing emergency temporary local funding, shall Piedmont Unified School District levy an additional special tax up to the amounts specified in the voter pamphlet (averaging \$249 per parcel) for three years to avoid teacher and staff layoffs, protect programs and counseling, and prevent other cuts to core academic programs and services, with citizens' oversight and all funds staying locally to benefit Piedmont children?				
ALAMEDA	6/2/2009	Pleasanton Unified	Measure G	Fail (2/3 required)
To preserve educational quality and protect Pleasanton schools from severe state budget cuts, keep class sizes small, maintain essential reading and math support programs, libraries, counselors, technology instruction, music, and safe, clean schools with no proceeds for administrators' salaries, shall the Pleasanton Unified School District be authorized to levy an annual \$233 parcel tax for four years, with guaranteed audits, senior and disabled exemptions, an independent citizens' oversight committee and all funds benefitting our Pleasanton students?				
ALAMEDA	11/3/2009	Albany City Unified	Measure I	Pass (2/3 required)
To help restore teacher positions and student services lost because of deep and unprecedented State budget cuts, including school safety and security, reading and math support, music, art and library services, shall the Albany Unified School District levy a special parcel tax for only five years at \$149 per year on residential units (three cents/sq. ft. on non-residential parcels), with annual audits and adjustments for inflation, exempting homeowners age 65 and over and low-income taxpayers?				
ALAMEDA	11/3/2009	Albany City Unified	Measure J	Pass (2/3 required)
To maintain high quality Albany public schools, shall Albany Unified School District combine, without increasing, three existing special school taxes into a single annual tax of \$555/residential unit (eleven cents/sq. ft. on nonresidential parcels), adjusted annually for inflation, exempting homeowners age 65 and over and low-income taxpayers, with funds for school library and mental health services, science, technology, arts, music courses and athletics, small class sizes, and attracting and retaining highly qualified teachers?				
CONTRA COSTA	3/3/2009	Orinda Union Elementary	Measure B	Pass (2/3 required)
To provide secure local funding for all Orinda K-8 students; reduce the impact of state budget cuts; maintain small class sizes and neighborhood schools; attract and retain skilled, qualified teachers with competitive compensation and training; and protect classroom programs and strong core academics, shall the Orinda Union School District be authorized to levy a parcel tax of \$124 per year per parcel, with citizen oversight, no funds used for administrators' salaries, and every dollar staying in Orinda Union School District?				
CONTRA COSTA	5/5/2009	San Ramon Valley Unified	Measure C	Pass (2/3 required)
To help maintain academic excellence, retain qualified and experienced teachers, prepare students for college and careers for a global economy with strong math, science and literacy education, shall San Ramon Valley Unified School District renew its expiring education tax at one hundred forty four dollars per parcel for seven years, with citizen oversight, annual audits, no funds for administrative salaries, an available exemption for persons 65 and older, and all funds spent to support local schools?				
CONTRA COSTA	5/19/2009	Mt. Diablo Unified	Measure D	Fail (2/3 required)
To provide all students with a quality education, including reading, writing, math and science; ensure reliable, local funding to protect against harmful state budget cuts; attract and retain quality teachers; maintain vital college and work force preparation programs; and enhance classroom technology; shall the Mount Diablo Unified School District be authorized to levy \$99 per parcel annually, with no funding for district office administrator salaries, an exemption for seniors and strict monitoring by an independent citizen oversight committee?				
CONTRA COSTA	11/3/2009	Acalanes Union High	Measure G	Pass (2/3 required)
To provide stable local funding at our high schools and protect core academic programs from deep State budget cuts; preserve science, mathematics, arts, music and foreign language courses; and maintain library hours, shall Acalanes Union High School District renew and replace its parcel tax with one that continues the current annual rate of one hundred eighty-nine dollars per parcel, with an exemption for seniors, with all funds benefitting local high schools, and with an independent citizens' oversight committee?				
CONTRA COSTA	11/3/2009	Walnut Creek Elementary	Measure H	Pass (2/3 required)
To provide the district with the stable, local funds needed to support high quality education programs, smaller class sizes, classroom technology, libraries and to attract and retain quality teachers, shall the Walnut Creek School District renew its existing special tax of \$82 per year beginning July 1, 2010 offering a complete exemption for citizens 65 years and older?				
LOS ANGELES	5/5/2009	San Marino Unified	Measure E	Pass (2/3 required)
To mitigate the cuts in the San Marino Unified School District's educational program that will result from a potential \$5 million budget shortfall caused by state budget cuts, to preserve teachers, core academic curriculum, advanced placement and honors classes, arts and athletic programs, and minimize increases in class sizes, shall the San Marino Unified School District authorize a \$795 annual education parcel tax, as adjusted, for six (6) years, that will exempt seniors?				

TABLE 1.2 TEXT FOR SCHOOL DISTRICT BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2009

LOS ANGELES	6/19/2009	South Pasadena Unified	Measure S	Pass (2/3 required)
To offset severe cuts in State funding for schools and protect the quality of education; to help prevent teacher layoffs, class size increases, and cuts including libraries, arts, music, and classroom academics, shall the South Pasadena Unified School District levy an annual special tax for four years at \$95/unit in multi-unit parcels and \$288 for other parcels, with a senior exemption; independent, citizens oversight committee; no funds for administrator salaries; and with every dollar staying in South Pasadena schools?				
LOS ANGELES	6/23/2009	Palos Verdes Peninsula Unified	Measure V	Pass (2/3 required)
To protect the high quality of education in Palos Verdes schools, prevent deep cuts to educational programs including math, science, technology, PE, music and art; retain qualified teachers/school employees; keep school facilities well-maintained; and continue programs that promote student achievement and success in college/careers; shall Palos Verdes Peninsula Unified School District levy \$165 per parcel for four years only, with independent citizen oversight, an exemption for senior citizens, and all money staying locally to benefit our schools?				
LOS ANGELES	6/30/2009	La Canada Unified	Measure LC	Pass (2/3 required)
To protect La Cañada schools from uncontrollable state budget cuts and preserve quality education through temporary local funding to sustain rigorous curriculum and instruction; attract and retain superior teachers; limit increased class sizes; maintain programs that ensure students are prepared to succeed in college and careers; and protect property values, shall La Cañada Unified School District levy \$150 per parcel for five years, with independent citizens' oversight, exemptions for seniors, and all funds staying under local control?				
LOS ANGELES	6/30/2009	Rowland Unified	Measure E	Fail (2/3 required)
To prevent deep cuts in local educational programs, including: maintaining college preparation classes, high school counselors, student health/safety/security services, adequate instructional supplies and classroom technology; keeping school libraries open; protecting small class sizes and music/art programs; and retaining qualified teachers; shall Rowland Unified School District levy an annual \$120 tax per parcel, for 5 years only, with independent oversight, and exemption for seniors, no money for administrator salaries, and all funds benefiting Rowland Unified schools?				
LOS ANGELES	11/3/2009	Culver City Unified	Measure EE	Pass (2/3 required)
To protect the quality of education in Culver City schools from deep State budget cuts by providing stable local funding to maintain: math, science, technology, music and art programs; updated instructional materials; quality teachers; school libraries; and small class sizes; shall Culver City Unified School District levy an annual tax of \$96.00 per parcel for 5 years only, with exemptions for seniors, independent oversight, no money for administrators' salaries, and all funds staying in Culver City to benefit our local schools?				
LOS ANGELES	11/3/2009	Long Beach Unified	Measure S	Fail (2/3 required)
To preserve quality neighborhood schools despite severe state budget cuts by retaining superior teachers, maintaining small class sizes, vocational/job training, college prep, essential academic and after school programs, and protect property values, shall Long Beach Unified School District levy a \$92 annual education parcel tax, for five years, requiring senior exemptions, citizens' oversight, no money for administrators and funding only for neighborhood schools?				
MARIN	3/3/2009	South Pasadena Unified	Measure A	Pass (2/3 required)
Shall the Bolinas-Stinson Union School District continue funding quality educational programs by levying a special tax assessment of \$250.00 per year per assessor's parcel for a limited period of five (5) years, beginning July 1, 2009, with an exemption for any beneficial owner of a parcel who has attained the age of 65 on or before May 1 of any applicable year, who uses that parcel as his or her principal residence?				
MARIN	6/2/2009	Novato Unified	Measure A	Pass (2/3 required)
To protect Novato schools from damaging state budget cuts, provide stable local funding that can't be taken away by the state and preserve quality education by minimizing further teacher and staff layoffs, keeping school libraries open, limiting class size increases, and maintaining competitive science, math and other programs, shall Novato Unified School District increase the existing school parcel tax by \$96 per parcel for 6 years, with a senior exemption, independent oversight and no money for administrator salaries?				
MARIN	11/3/2009	Lagunitas Elementary	Measure A	Pass (2/3 required)
Shall the Lagunitas Elementary School District maintain vital educational programs currently threatened by cuts in state funding including basic educational programs, enrichment and support services for students, by levying a \$325 assessment per assessor's parcel per year, with a 6.5% yearly cost-of-living adjustment for eight years, beginning July 1, 2010, exempting any single parcel used as a principal place of residence by any owner 65 years of age before May 1st of that year?				
MARIN	11/3/2009	Larkspur Elementary	Measure B	Pass (2/3 required)
To maintain quality public education in Corte Madera and Larkspur through continued support for exceptional instructional programs, small class sizes, and highly qualified teachers, shall Larkspur School District be authorized to replace the existing assessment by renewing a parcel tax at the current rate of \$368.88 per year for each single family residential parcel, and at rates specified in the sample ballot for all other parcels, for eight years, with a 5% annual adjustment and allow senior exemptions?				
MARIN	11/3/2009	Mill Valley Elementary	Measure C	Pass (55% required)
To reconstruct and modernize Mill Valley elementary and middle schools, including installing and constructing fire safety, emergency communications, seismic and disabled access improvements; providing sufficient space for students; and repairing or replacing outdated plumbing, electrical and other vital systems and facilities, shall the Mill Valley School District be authorized to issue \$59,800,000 in bonds for Phase 1 of the approved Facilities Master Plan, and establish a Citizens' Oversight Committee to ensure all expenditures are made according to the plan?				

TABLE 1.2 TEXT FOR SCHOOL DISTRICT BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2009

MARIN	11/3/2009	Shoreline Unified	Measure D	Pass (55% required)
To improve the quality of education and provide a safe learning environment for our children by performing seismic upgrades, modernizing school facilities, replacing outdated portable classrooms and repaying outstanding debt incurred from past school construction projects, shall Shoreline Unified School District issue \$9,290,000 of bonds at interest rates within legal limits with accountability provided by a citizens' oversight committee and independent audit of expenditure of funds, with no funds used for administrators' or teachers' salaries?				
MONTEREY	3/3/2009	Chualar Union Elementary	Recall 3	Pass
Shall Rocio Gonzalez-Hunt be recalled (removed) from the office of Governing Board Member; Chualar Union Elementary School District; Trustee Area 3?				
MONTEREY	3/3/2009	San Ardo Union Elementary	Recall 1	Pass
Shall Gabriel Guerrero be recalled (removed) from the office of Governing Board Member; San Ardo Union Elementary School District?				
MONTEREY	3/3/2009	San Ardo Union Elementary	Recall 2	Pass
Shall Irma Guerrero be recalled (removed) from the office of Governing Board Member; San Ardo Union Elementary School District?				
MONTEREY	8/25/2009	Alisal Union Elementary	Recall 1	Pass
Shall JESUS VELASQUEZ be recalled (removed) from the office of Governing Board Member of the Alisal Union School District, Trustee Area 1?				
ORANGE	6/30/2009	Rowland Unified	Measure E	Fail (2/3 required)
To prevent deep cuts in local educational programs, including: maintaining college preparation classes, high school counselors, student health/safety/security services, adequate instructional supplies and classroom technology; keeping school libraries open; protecting small class sizes and music/art programs; and retaining qualified teachers; shall Rowland Unified School District levy an annual \$120 tax per parcel, for 5 years only, with independent oversight, and exemption for seniors, no money for administrator salaries, and all funds benefiting Rowland Unified schools?				
SAN JOAQUIN	5/5/2009	New Hope Elementary	Measure X	Pass
Shall the New Hope Elementary School District decrease the size of the Governing Board from five members to three members?				
SAN MATEO	5/5/2009	Jefferson Elementary	Measure A	Fail (2/3 required)
To maintain and improve quality education and protect neighborhood elementary schools from state budget cuts by attracting/retaining qualified teachers/staff, maintaining writing, math, science, art/music programs, improving instruction with computer technology, and keeping class sizes small, shall Jefferson Elementary School District authorize an \$85 annual education parcel tax for seven years, exempting senior citizens, with citizens' oversight, mandatory audits, no money for administrator salaries, and all funds staying in local schools to enhance achievement?"				
SAN MATEO	5/5/2009	San Carlos Elementary	Measure B	Pass (2/3 required)
To protect San Carlos' excellent elementary and middle schools; maintain high-quality programs including hands-on science, literacy programs to ensure all students read at or above grade level; attract, retain, and avoid laying off highly qualified teachers; and maintain art and music; shall the San Carlos School District impose a \$78 per parcel school tax per year for six years, with independent citizen oversight, an exemption for those 65 and older, and all funds supporting local schools?				
SAN MATEO	5/5/2009	Woodside Elementary	Measure C	Pass (2/3 required)
To maintain and enhance academic excellence at Woodside School through emphasis on quality instruction, especially in math, science, reading and writing, attracting and retaining outstanding teachers, and maintaining small class sizes, shall Woodside Elementary School District continue its annual school tax of \$242 per parcel for eight years, adjusted by changes in the Consumer Price Index, not to exceed 4 percent annually, to increase the District's appropriations limit accordingly, with exemption for those 65 years and older?				
SAN MATEO	6/22/2009	Redwood City Elementary	Measure E	Fail (2/3 required)
To protect the quality of education in Redwood City elementary and middle schools; retain qualified teachers; maintain classroom science and technology programs; keep school libraries open; preserve art, music, physical education classes, and continue reading/math tutoring; shall Redwood City School District levy an annual tax of \$91 per parcel, for 5 years only, with independent oversight, an exemption available for seniors, no money for administrator salaries, and all funds staying local to benefit neighborhood K-8 schools?				
SANTA CLARA	5/5/2009	Cupertino Union Elementary	Measure B	Pass (2/3 required)
To support academic achievement for local students by retaining qualified and experienced teachers; training new teachers; maintaining safe, clean schools and high quality academic programs, including art/music, shall Cupertino Union School District authorize an annual \$125 education parcel tax for six years with citizen oversight and annual reports, no funds for administrator salaries, exemption for senior citizens over age 65 and every dollar staying in local schools to support high quality education?				
SANTA CLARA	5/5/2009	Moreland Elementary	Measure A	Pass (2/3 required)
To maintain quality education and protect neighborhood elementary and middle schools from state budget cuts by attracting and retaining qualified teachers; maintaining reading, math and science programs; keeping school libraries open; and keeping class sizes small, shall the Moreland School District authorize a \$95 annual education parcel tax for eight years, with an exemption for senior citizens, citizens' oversight, mandatory audits, no money for administrator salaries and all funds staying in Moreland schools to enhance student achievement?				

TABLE 1.2 TEXT FOR SCHOOL DISTRICT BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2009

SANTA CLARA	11/3/2009	Fremont Union High	Measure G	Fail (2/3 required)
To provide stable, local funds needed to protect teaching positions, and assure that Cupertino, Fremont, Homestead, Lynbrook, and Monte Vista High School students are prepared to successfully compete for college admission, shall the Fremont Union High School District replace its existing, expiring parcel tax with a tax at the same annual rate of \$98 per parcel to be adjusted annually for inflation, with all funds benefitting local high schools, and offering an exemption to seniors and the disabled?				
SANTA CLARA	11/3/2009	Santa Clara Unified	Measure C	Fail (2/3 required)
To maintain academic excellence in neighborhood schools, retain qualified, experienced teachers, prepare students for college and careers, maintain small class sizes in kindergarten through third grade and provide after-school tutoring and guidance programs, with no funds for administrator salaries, shall the Santa Clara Unified School District authorize an annual \$138 education parcel tax for five years, with mandatory audits, citizen oversight, an exemption for citizens 65 years and older, and funding remaining locally controlled for neighborhood schools?				
SONOMA	3/3/2009	Gravenstein Union Elementary	Measure A	Fail (2/3 required)
To continue to provide our students with courses in the arts and music, as well as technology instruction, all essential for student growth and development, shall the Gravenstein Union School District be authorized to levy a qualified special tax of \$36 per year on each parcel of taxable real property in the District for no more than eight years, commencing July 1, 2009, after the expiration of the existing parcel tax, with annual audits and an exemption for seniors?				
SONOMA	11/3/2009	Shoreline Unified	Measure D	Fail (2/3 required)
To improve the quality of education and provide a safe learning environment for our children by performing seismic upgrades, modernizing school facilities, replacing outdated portable classrooms and repaying outstanding debt incurred from past school construction projects, shall Shoreline Unified School District issue \$9,290,000 of bonds at interest rates within legal limits with accountability provided by a citizens' oversight committee and independent audit of expenditure of funds, with no funds used for administrators' or teachers' salaries?				
TULARE	5/19/2009	Springville Union Elementary	Measure L	Fail (2/3 required)
To improve quality of education, shall Springville Union Elementary School District be authorized to acquire, improve and construct school facilities, including a cafeteria/multipurpose room for school and community use, new classrooms to relieve overcrowding, modernization of facilities, new community and student sports fields and qualify the District for State matching funds, by issuing \$6,735,000 of bonds at interest rates below legal limits with an independent citizens' oversight committee and NO money for administrative salaries?				
TULARE	11/3/2009	Springville Union Elementary	Measure O	Fail (2/3 required)
To improve the quality of education, shall Springville Union Elementary School District be authorized to acquire, improve and construct school facilities, including a cafeteria/multipurpose room for school and community use, new classrooms to relieve overcrowding, modernization of facilities, new community and student sports fields and qualify the District for State matching funds, by issuing \$6,735,000 of bonds at interest rates below legal limits with an independent citizens' oversight committee and NO money for administrative salaries?				
TUOLUMNE	5/19/2009	Big Oak Flat-Groveland Unified	Recall 1	Pass
Shall Mary Kelly be recalled (removed) from the office of Trustee?				
TUOLUMNE	5/19/2009	Big Oak Flat-Groveland Unified	Recall 2	Pass
Shall Bryan Berger be recalled (removed) from the office of Trustee?				
TUOLUMNE	5/19/2009	Big Oak Flat-Groveland Unified	Recall 3	Pass
Shall Lillian Cravens be recalled (removed) from the office of Trustee?				
TUOLUMNE	5/19/2009	Big Oak Flat-Groveland Unified	Recall 4	Pass
Shall Dave Gookin be recalled (removed) from the office of Trustee?				
TUOLUMNE	5/19/2009	Big Oak Flat-Groveland Unified	Recall 5	Pass
Shall Charles Day be recalled (removed) from the office of Trustee?				
VENTURA	11/3/2009	Oxnard Elementary	Measure E	Fail (2/3 required)
To maintain quality education for children with math and science programs; to keep school Libraries open; to increase resources and class support with computers and technology; to maintain preschool programs; to retain quality teachers and avoid layoffs, preschool programs; to retain quality teachers and to avoid layoffs, shall Oxnard School District be authorized to levy \$99 per parcel annually, with an exemption for senior citizens and mandatory citizen oversight?				

TABLE 1.3 SUMMARY OF ELECTION OUTCOMES FOR SCHOOL DISTRICT BALLOT MEASURES BY TYPE* OF MEASURE AND COUNTY, 2009

	TAXES		BONDS		RECALL		ORDINANCE		ALL SCHOOL MEASURES		
	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	TOTAL
Alameda	4	1							4	1	5
Contra Costa	4	1							4	1	5
Los Angeles	5	2							5	2	7
Marin	4	0	2	0					6	0	6
Monterey					2	2			2	2	4
Orange	0	1							0	1	1
San Joaquin							1	0	1	0	1
San Mateo	2	2							2	2	4
Santa Clara	2	2							2	2	4
Sonoma	0	1	1	0					1	1	2
Tulare			0	2					0	2	2
Tuolumne					5	0			5	0	5
Ventura	0	1							0	1	1
All Counties	21	11	3	2	7	2	1	0	32	15	47

* Since the topic of all school district measures is Education, a table for school district measures by topic is not included.

PART 2
VOTE TOTALS FOR
SCHOOL DISTRICT OFFICE CANDIDATES

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR SCHOOL DISTRICT BOARD CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2009

COUNTY	DATE	SCHOOL DISTRICT	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELEC- TED
ALAMEDA	11/3/2009	Emery Unified		Full	Webb	Cheryl	Incumbent	Yes	5	879	3,211	27.4%	Yes
					Simon	Joshua	Incumbent	Yes	5	844	3,211	26.3%	Yes
					Dwin	Miguel	Incumbent	Yes	5	737	3,211	23.0%	Yes
					Donahue	Brian	Home Builder	No	5	476	3,211	14.8%	No
					Bridges	Darice	Homemaker, Student	No	5	272	3,211	8.5%	No
ALPINE	No School District Contests												
AMADOR	No School District Contests												
BUTTE	No School District Contests												
CALAVERAS	No School District Contests												
COLUSA	No School District Contests												
CONTRA COSTA	No School District Contests												
DEL NORTE	No School District Contests												
EL DORADO	11/3/2009	Gold Oak Union Elementary		Full	Gagne	Donna	Appointed Incumbent	No	5	535	2,020	26.5%	Yes
					Ashby	David	Incumbent	Yes	5	464	2,020	23.0%	Yes
					Augino	Dan	Incumbent	Yes	5	448	2,020	22.2%	Yes
					Van Ry	Michael	Business Owner	No	5	347	2,020	17.2%	No
					Montayre	Joseph K. "Joe"	Casino Floor Supervisor	No	5	226	2,020	11.2%	No
		Lake Tahoe Community College		Full	Blann	Molly	Incumbent	Yes	4	2,494	8,820	28.3%	Yes
					Mason	Roberta	Incumbent	Yes	4	2,479	8,820	28.1%	Yes
					David	Kerry	Incumbent	Yes	4	2,456	8,820	27.8%	Yes
					Sanchez	Mary Jane	Educator/Business Owner	No	4	1,391	8,820	15.8%	No
		Lake Tahoe Unified		Full	David	Wendy	Incumbent	Yes	3	2,585	6,274	41.2%	Yes
					Cefalu	Judy A.	Business Owner	No	3	1,847	6,274	29.4%	Yes
					Wallace	Duane	Incumbent/Businessman	Yes	3	1,842	6,274	29.4%	No
		Pioneer Union Elementary		Full	Dawson	John W.	General Contractor	No	4	551	1,628	33.8%	Yes
					Morris	Nykki	Accountant	No	4	370	1,628	22.7%	Yes
					Smith	Robert M. "Bob"	Incumbent	Yes	4	363	1,628	22.3%	Yes
					Ruiz	Anthony "Tony"	Incumbent	Yes	4	344	1,628	21.1%	No

¹Write-in candidate votes, when reported by the county, have been included in the total votes cast. For these contests, the sum of the candidate vote is less than the total votes cast.

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR SCHOOL DISTRICT BOARD CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2009

COUNTY	DATE	SCHOOL DISTRICT	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELEC- TED	
FRESNO	11/3/2009	Dinuba Unified ²	3	Full	Lopez	Rodolfo	Retail District Manger	No	3	3	5	60.0%	No	
					Keel-Worrell	Beverly	Incumbent	Yes	3	2	5	40.0%	Yes	
					Meyers	Jason	Educator	No	3	0	5	0.0%	No	
		Fowler Unified	2	Full	Cholakian	Peter	Farmer/Incumbent	Yes	3	510	997	51.2%	Yes	
					Magill	Hollis "Holly"	Parent/Personnel Analyst	No	3	359	997	36.0%	No	
					Martinez	Robert	No Ballot Designation	No	3	118	997	11.8%	No	
		West Hills Community College ³	1	Full	Oxborrow	Nina Dale	Incumbent	Yes	2	1,110	1,850	60.0%	Yes	
					Georgatos	Darlene	Retired Administrator	No	2	726	1,850	39.2%	No	
		GLENN	No School District Contests											
HUMBOLDT	11/3/2009	Arcata Elementary		Full	Taylor	Erin Maureen	Parent	No	4	899	3,131	28.7%	Yes	
					Steinberg	Steven J.	Professor	No	4	857	3,131	27.4%	Yes	
					Berube	Jennifer	School Librarian	No	4	810	3,131	25.9%	Yes	
					McKinney	Jeanna	Mortgage Processor/Parent	No	4	540	3,131	17.2%	No	
		Big Lagoon Union Elementary		Full	Berry	Diane P.	Incumbent	Yes	4	66	224	29.5%	Yes	
					Margolis	Dana Hope	Counselor	No	4	62	224	27.7%	Yes	
					Rigden	Thomas	Incumbent	Yes	4	58	224	25.9%	Yes	
					Fairchild	Kathleen A.	Artist/Graduate Student	No	4	37	224	16.5%	No	
		Blue Lake Union Elementary		Full	De Peel	Joan M.	Incumbent	Yes	4	181	719	25.2%	Yes	
					Dalziel	Sherry	Incumbent	Yes	4	178	719	24.8%	Yes	
					Jones	Sarah I.	Appointed Incumbent	No	4	178	719	24.8%	Yes	
					Lewis	Mandi	Parent	No	4	172	719	23.9%	No	
		Eureka City Elementary		1	Full	Fullerton	John	Incumbent	Yes	2	3,365	5,554	60.6%	Yes
						Gerdts	Gaye	Retired Budget Analyst	No	2	2,145	5,554	38.6%	No
		Ferndale Unified		Full	Hansen	Jerry	Incumbent	Yes	5	567	2,234	25.4%	Yes	
					Koch	Stephanie	Incumbent	Yes	5	518	2,234	23.2%	Yes	
					Farley	Joanne E.	Former Board Member	No	5	424	2,234	19.0%	Yes	
					Urbach	Rey	Educator	No	5	409	2,234	18.3%	No	
					Lentz	Danette	Incumbent	Yes	5	279	2,234	12.5%	No	
					Short	Feierabend	Emil	Appointed Incumbent	No	2	457	846	54.0%	Yes
Lakin	Charles			Retired School Administrator	No	2	386	846	45.6%	No				

²Multiple county school district. Results for Tulare county are reported separately.

³Multiple county school district. Results for Madera and Monterey counties are reported separately.

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR SCHOOL DISTRICT BOARD CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2009

COUNTY	DATE	SCHOOL DISTRICT	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELEC- TED	
HUMBOLDT (continued)	11/3/2009	Fieldbrook Elemenary		Full	Sheppard	Jack	Parent	No	4	110	410	26.8%	Yes	
					Furtado	Keri	Appointed Incumbent	No	4	108	410	26.3%	Yes	
					Barnes	Amy	Community Volunteer	No	4	95	410	23.2%	Yes	
					Chase	Nicole	Incumbent	Yes	4	94	410	22.9%	No	
		Fortuna Union High		Full	Chapman	Tom	Police Captain	No	4	1,625	5,354	30.4%	Yes	
					Whitehead	Eldon G.	Incumbent	Yes	4	1,289	5,354	24.1%	Yes	
					Collver	Ronan	Superintendent/Principal	No	4	1,250	5,354	23.3%	Yes	
					Schoenhofer	Don R.	Rancher/Developer	No	4	1,149	5,354	21.5%	No	
		Klamath-Trinity Joint Unified ⁴		1	Full	Watty	Kerry Gail	Retired Teacher	No	2	305	457	66.7%	Yes
						Fattig	Mary Ann	Incumbent	Yes	2	147	457	32.2%	No
		Mattole Unified		Full	McWhorter	Sterling	Rancher/Developer	No	5	116	456	25.4%	Yes	
					Zanone	Joseph P.	Rancher/Parent	No	5	100	456	21.9%	Yes	
					Zall	Natalie	Retired Educator	No	5	94	456	20.6%	Yes	
					Leatherwood	Chantele	Travel Consultant/Parent	No	5	80	456	17.5%	No	
					Evenson	Michael	Stockman/Lumberman	No	5	62	456	13.6%	No	
		McKinleyville Union Elementary		Full	Hooven	Tim	Parent/Business Person	No	5	1,311	4,750	27.6%	Yes	
					Zabel	Justin	Parent/Business Person/Contractor	No	5	928	4,750	19.5%	Yes	
					Kelly	Barbara	Incumbent	Yes	5	889	4,750	18.7%	Yes	
					Rosebrook	Don	Businessman/Parent	No	5	821	4,750	17.3%	No	
					Smith	David Alan	Incumbent	Yes	5	782	4,750	16.5%	No	
		Northern Humboldt Union High		Full	Toste	Sarie	Incumbent	Yes	4	4,157	14,283	29.1%	Yes	
					Pigg	Mike	Incumbent	Yes	4	4,004	14,283	28.0%	Yes	
					Silvernale	Dana	Special Education Teacher	No	4	3,239	14,283	22.7%	Yes	
					Johnson	Dan J.	Appointed Incumbent	No	4	2,797	14,283	19.6%	No	
IMPERIAL	11/3/2009	Brawley Elementary	Full	Padilla	Armando	Deputy Probation Officer	No	5	1,283	3,954	32.4%	Yes		
				Santillan	Gloria Gonzales	Certified Public Accountant	No	5	1,092	3,954	27.6%	Yes		
				Romero	Michael	Teacher/Educator	No	5	721	3,954	18.2%	No		
				Castro	Ruben	Educator/Administrator	No	5	483	3,954	12.2%	No		
				Dailey	Scott A.	No Ballot Designation	No	5	363	3,954	9.2%	No		
				Fernandez	Ralph	Incumbent	Yes	3	1,484	4,206	35.3%	Yes		
				Noriega	Helen	Incumbent	Yes	3	1,375	4,206	32.7%	Yes		
				Garcia	Hidali	Educator	No	3	1,336	4,206	31.8%	No		

⁴Multiple county school district. Results for Trinity county are reported separately.

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR SCHOOL DISTRICT BOARD CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2009

COUNTY	DATE	SCHOOL DISTRICT	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM- BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELEC- TED	
IMPERIAL (continued)	11/3/2009	Calipatria Unified		Full	Zendejas	Jeff	Business Owner	No	4	466	1,445	32.2%	Yes	
					Estrada	Carlos B.	Fire Captain	No	4	383	1,445	26.5%	Yes	
					Carter	Marcia A.	Incumbent	Yes	4	335	1,445	23.2%	Yes	
					Selt	Edgar R.	Incumbent	Yes	4	258	1,445	17.9%	No	
		Herber Elementary		Full	Garcia-Ruiz	Diahna	Incumbent	Yes	4	162	580	27.9%	Yes	
					Huerta, Jr.	Margarito	High School Teacher	No	4	154	580	26.6%	Yes	
					Nolasco, Jr.	Martin A.	Incumbent	Yes	4	144	580	24.8%	Yes	
					Montiel	Humberto	General Contractor	No	4	115	580	19.8%	No	
		Holtville Unified		Short	Rolfe	Rochelle	Appointed Incumbent	No	2	394	741	53.2%	Yes	
					Goodsell	Laura Farris	Business Owner	No	2	345	741	46.6%	No	
		Imperial County Office of Education		4	Short	Anderholt	Sharon E.	Appointed Incumbent	Yes	2	1,733	2,876	60.3%	Yes
						Slaughter	Mary B.	Retired	No	2	1,118	2,876	38.9%	No
		Imperial Unified		1	Full	Mohamed	Abdul "Mr.Mo"	Appointed Incumbent	No	7	986	3,949	25.0%	Yes
						Tucker	Jill	Educator	No	7	683	3,949	17.3%	Yes
						Smith	Harley R.	Incumbent	Yes	7	650	3,949	16.5%	Yes
						Minnex	Michael R.	Educator/Administrator	No	7	590	3,949	14.9%	No
						Thompson	Patricia A.	Incumbent	Yes	7	426	3,949	10.8%	No
						Sanchez	Eddie A.	Program Supervisor	No	7	305	3,949	7.7%	No
						Anchondo	Ernestina	Retired	No	7	304	3,949	7.7%	No
		McCabe Union Elementary		Full	Larson	Mark	Incumbent	Yes	4	322	1,102	29.2%	Yes	
					Tagg	Judy	Incumbent	Yes	4	310	1,102	28.1%	Yes	
					Deppe	Susan	Retired School Teacher	No	4	305	1,102	27.7%	No	
					Wright	Veronica	No Ballot Designation	No	4	165	1,102	15.0%	No	
		San Pasqual Valley Unified		Full	Smith	Vernon S.	Appointed Incumbent	No	3	50	130	38.5%	Yes	
					Montague	Monica	Incumbent	Yes	3	45	130	34.6%	Yes	
					Aguerro	Dennis L.	No Ballot Designation	No	3	31	130	23.8%	No	
		Westmorland Union Elementary		Full	Moreno	Elizabeth R.	Incumbent	Yes	3	123	350	35.1%	Yes	
					Burns	V.W. "Bill"	Incumbent	Yes	3	116	350	33.1%	Yes	
Marquez	Thomas C.				Airworthiness Inspector	No	3	111	350	31.7%	No			
INYO		No School District Contests												

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR SCHOOL DISTRICT BOARD CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2009

COUNTY	DATE	SCHOOL DISTRICT	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELEC- TED
KERN	11/3/2009	Antelope Valley Community College ⁵		Full	Adams	Michael R.	Municipal Project Manager	No	5	658	2,436	27.0%	Yes
					Buffalo	Steve	Incumbent	Yes	5	498	2,436	20.4%	Yes
					Macpherson	Bruce	Architect	No	5	461	2,436	18.9%	No
					Wienke	Betty J.	Incumbent	Yes	5	430	2,436	17.7%	Yes
					Alvarado	Robert E.	Educator	No	5	382	2,436	15.7%	No
		Antelope Valley Joint Union High ⁶		Full	Carothers	Kathy	Retired Teacher	No	6	1	3	33.3%	Yes
					Murrell	Emmett Britney	CEO Mortgage Banker	No	6	1	3	33.3%	No
					Redmayne	Donna Lee	Clinical Psychologist/Professor	No	6	1	3	33.3%	No
					Beattie, Sr.	Albert Stuart	Incumbent	Yes	6	0	3	0.0%	No
					Lott	James Thomas "Jim"	Incumbent	Yes	6	0	3	0.0%	Yes
					Willibrand	Barbara	Retired Educator	No	6	0	3	0.0%	Yes
		KINGS	No School District Contests										
LAKE	11/3/2009	Lucerne Elementary		Full	Higgins	Bruce I.	Appointed Incumbent	No	3	226	561	40.3%	Yes
					Sadler	Mark Timothy	Community Integration Instructor	No	3	173	561	30.8%	Yes
					Hankins	James H.	County Employee/Maintenance	No	3	162	561	28.9%	No
		Mendocino-Lake Community College ⁷	5	Full	Chaniot	Janet	Incumbent	Yes	2	2,432	3,310	73.5%	Yes
					Shell	Craig	Executive Film Producer	No	2	867	3,310	26.2%	No
		Upper Lake Union Elementary		Full	Pivniska	Marilyn A.	Incumbent	Yes	5	406	1,529	26.6%	Yes
					Breton	Joanne	Incumbent	Yes	5	322	1,529	21.1%	Yes
					Meri	Don "Donnie"	Incumbent	Yes	5	304	1,529	19.9%	Yes
					Barnes	Matt	Executive Youth Director	No	5	270	1,529	17.7%	No
					Miller	Nicole M.	Mother/Homemaker	No	5	216	1,529	14.1%	No
		Upper Lake Union High		Full	Pedroncelli	Claudine M.	Retired Nurse/Parent	No	4	632	2,283	27.7%	Yes
					Duncan	Valerie Joy	Incumbent	Yes	4	620	2,283	27.2%	Yes
					Raetz	Ronald L.	Incumbent	Yes	4	611	2,283	26.8%	Yes
					Koepfel	Glenn A.	Manufacturing Production Supervisor	No	4	419	2,283	18.4%	No
LASSEN	No School District Contests												
LOS ANGELES	2/24/09	Burbank Unified		Full	Kukta	Debbie	Incumbent	Yes	3	6,175	15,699	39.3%	Yes
					Applebaum	Larry	Incumbent	Yes	3	5,981	15,699	38.1%	Yes
					Bragg	Gregory	Broadcast Services Manager	No	3	3,543	15,699	22.6%	No

⁵Multiple county school district. Results for Los Angeles county reported separately.

⁶Multiple county school district. Results for Los Angeles county reported separately.

⁷Multiple county school district. Results for Mendocino county reported separately.

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR SCHOOL DISTRICT BOARD CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2009

COUNTY	DATE	SCHOOL DISTRICT	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELEC- TED		
LOS ANGELES (continued)	3/3/09	Los Angeles Community College	2	Full	Reddock	Angela J.	Member of the Board of Trustees	Yes	5	133,678	284,381	47.0%	Runoff		
					Park	Tina M.	Teacher/Counselor/Auditor	No	5	56,169	284,381	19.8%	Runoff		
					Finley	Steve	Recreation Director	No	5	32,717	284,381	11.5%	No		
					Cage-Barile	Lady	Teacher/Activist/Businesswomen	No	5	31,702	284,381	11.1%	No		
					Sims	Art	Businessperson/Engineer	No	5	30,115	284,381	10.6%	No		
			4	Full	Candaele	Kelly	Community College Trustee	Yes	2	171,963	272,801	63.0%	Yes		
					Burns	Roy	Teacher	No	2	100,838	272,801	37.0%	No		
			6	Full	Pearlman	Nancy	Community College Trustee	Yes	6	134,101	276,820	48.4%	Runoff		
					Nakahiro	Robert	Attorney/Nonprofit Board member	No	6	39,651	276,820	14.3%	Runoff		
					Essavi	Jozef Thomas	Board member, Neighborhood Council	No	6	35,334	276,820	12.8%	No		
					Scott	Jane F. "Ardigo"	Retired Professor/Activist	No	6	32,877	276,820	11.9%	No		
					Akili	Greg	Labor/Community Organizer	No	6	20,433	276,820	7.4%	No		
					Bakozvich	Livi	Director, Marketing Management	No	6	14,424	276,820	5.2%	No		
			7	Short	Santiago	Miguel	Member of the Board of Trustees	Yes	2	151,703	273,782	55.4%	Yes		
					Lowry	Kurt S.	School Administrator/Business owner	No	2	122,079	273,782	44.6%	No		
		Los Angeles Unified	3/3/09		2	Full	Garcia	Monica	Member of the Board of Education	Yes	1	22,123	22,123	100.0%	Yes
							4	Full	Zimmer	Steve	Teacher/Community Organizer	No	2	33,742	59,553
					Stryer	Mike			Teacher	No	2	25,811	59,553	43.3%	No
		6	Full	Martinez	Nury	Mayor/Environmental Educator	No	2	15,179	29,755	51.0%	Yes			
				Pugliese	Louis	Teacher Educator	No	2	14,576	29,755	49.0%	No			
				Redondo Beach Unified	Full	Diehl	Jane	Incumbent	Yes	3	4,781	12,885	37.1%	Yes	
		Loewenstein	Todd			Internet Company Executive/Incumbent	Yes	3	4,079	12,885	31.7%	Yes			
		Gamet	Drew			Teacher/incumbent	Yes	3	4,025	12,885	31.2%	Yes			
		Pasadena Unified	3/10/09		1	Full	Pomeroy	Elizabeth	Publisher/Retired Teacher	No	1	9,290	9,290	100.0%	Yes
							3	Full	Phelps	Scott	Member, Board of Education	Yes	2	6,811	10,688
					Darr	Terri			Educator	No	2	3,877	10,688	36.3%	No
					5	Full	Miramontes	Ramon	College Dean	No	2	7,553	10,314	73.2%	Yes
Nelson	Charles						Public Works Administrator	No	2	2,761	10,314	26.8%	No		
7	Full				Honowitz	Ed	Member, Board of Education	Yes	1	8,962	8,962	100.0%	Yes		

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR SCHOOL DISTRICT BOARD CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2009

COUNTY	DATE	SCHOOL DISTRICT	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELEC- TED
LOS ANGELES (continued)	4/7/09	Glendale Community College		Full	Ransford	Ann Hazel	Retired College Administrator	No	4	14,183	48,984	29.0%	Yes
					Hacopian	Armine	GCC Trustee/Educator	Yes	4	13,374	48,984	27.3%	Yes
					Gabrielian	Anita	Incumbent/Businesswoman	Yes	4	12,763	48,984	26.1%	Yes
					Agajanian	Vrej	Professional Engineer/TV Executive	No	4	8,664	48,984	17.7%	No
		Glendale Unified	Full	Krikorian	Greg	School Board Member/Businessman	Yes	7	12,982	61,250	21.2%	Yes	
				Wagner	Joylene	Member GUSD Board of Education	Yes	7	11,824	61,250	19.3%	Yes	
				Walters	Christine	Parent/Businesswoman	No	7	10,535	61,250	17.2%	Yes	
				Sahakian	Eric	Educator	No	7	8,978	61,250	14.7%	No	
				Sambar	Chakib "Chuck"	Member, Glendale Board of Education	Yes	7	8,619	61,250	14.1%	No	
				Khachatrien	Naira	Parent	No	7	4,879	61,250	8.0%	No	
		Inglewood Unified	1	Full	Butler	Arnold C.	Retired Principal/Incumbent	Yes	4	2,323	5,094	45.6%	Runoff
					Randall	Joyce	Educator	No	4	1,835	5,094	36.0%	Runoff
					Hamilton	Karen	Contracts Administrator	No	4	570	5,094	11.2%	No
					Hutchinson	Beverly	No Ballot Designation	No	4	366	5,094	7.2%	No
	2		Full	Williams	Trina	Boardmember, Inglewood Unified	Yes	3	2,131	5,186	41.1%	Runoff	
				Richard-McGhee	Carliss	Children's Author/Educator	No	3	1,820	5,186	35.1%	Runoff	
				Bueno	Mary	No Ballot Designation	No	3	1,235	5,186	23.8%	No	
	3		Full	Dorn	Renee F.	No Ballot Designation	No	4	1,932	5,008	38.6%	Runoff	
				Giardina	Alena Cindy	No Ballot Designation	No	4	1,693	5,008	33.8%	Runoff	
				Olmedo	Maria D.	No Ballot Designation	No	4	974	5,008	19.4%	No	
		Kiel		Brandon	No Ballot Designation	No	4	409	5,008	8.2%	No		
	4/21/09	Arcadia Unified	Full	Steinmeier	Joann E.	School Board member/Businesswoman	Yes	3	2,827	6,823	41.4%	Yes	
				Chew	Janet S.	Appointed Incumbent	No	3	2,163	6,823	31.7%	Yes	
				Davis	John	College Finance Administrator	No	3	1,833	6,823	26.9%	No	
	5/19/09	Los Angeles Community College	2	Full	Park	Tina	Teacher/Counselor/Auditor	No	2	168,367	312,559	53.9%	Yes
					Reddock	Angela J.	Member of the Board of Trustees	Yes	2	144,192	312,559	46.1%	No
			6	Full	Pearlman	Nancy	Community College Trustee	Yes	2	194,536	315,428	61.7%	Yes
Nakahiro					Robert	Attorney/Nonprofit Board Member	No	2	120,892	315,428	38.3%	No	

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR SCHOOL DISTRICT BOARD CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2009

COUNTY	DATE	SCHOOL DISTRICT	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELEC- TED
LOS ANGELES (continued)	6/19/09	Inglewood Unified	1	Full	Butler	Arnold C.	Retired Principal/Incumbent	Yes	2	2,551	4,540	56.2%	Yes
					Randall	Joyce	Educator	No	2	1,989	4,540	43.8%	No
			2	Full	Williams	Trina	Board Member, Inglewood Unified	Yes	2	2,415	4,536	53.2%	Yes
					Richard.-McGhee	Carliss	Children's Author/Educator	No	2	2,121	4,536	46.8%	No
			3	Full	Giardina	Alena Cindy	No Ballot Designation	No	2	2,635	4,628	56.9%	Yes
					Dorn	Renee F.	No Ballot Designation	No	2	1,993	4,628	43.1%	No
	11/3/2009	ABC Unified		Full	Pulido	Mark E.	Governing Board Member, ABC USD	Yes	5	4,522	18,796	24.1%	Yes
					Kang	James	Retired Business Executive	No	5	4,008	18,796	21.3%	Yes
					Chen	Olympia	Incumbent	Yes	5	3,718	18,796	19.8%	Yes
					Montgomery	David	Incumbent	Yes	5	3,368	18,796	17.9%	No
					Shaffer	David N.	Businessman/Parent	No	5	3,180	18,796	16.9%	No
Antelope Valley Community College ⁸				Full	Adams	Michael R.	Municipal Project Manager	No	5	11,453	48,596	23.6%	Yes
					Buffalo	Steve	Incumbent	Yes	5	10,495	48,596	21.6%	Yes
					Wienke	Betty J.	Incumbent	Yes	5	10,477	48,596	21.6%	Yes
					Macpherson	Bruce	Architect	No	5	8,306	48,596	17.1%	No
					Alvarado	Robert E.	Para Educator	No	5	7,865	48,596	16.2%	No
Antelope Valley Joint Union High ⁹				Full	Willibrand	Barbara	Retired Educator	No	6	10,167	45,162	22.5%	Yes
					Lott	James "Jim"	Incumbent	Yes	6	9,121	45,162	20.2%	Yes
					Carothers	Kathy	Retired Teacher	No	6	9,074	45,162	20.1%	Yes
					Beattie, Sr.	Albert Stuart	Incumbent	Yes	6	7,054	45,162	15.6%	No
					Murrell	Emmett Britney	C.E.O.	No	6	5,248	45,162	11.6%	No
					Redmayne	Donna Lee	Clinical Psychologist/Professor	No	6	4,498	45,162	10.0%	No
Baldwin Park Unified				Full	Tzec	Hugo Antonio	Attorney/Educator	No	5	2,515	7,562	33.3%	Yes
					Vargas	Teresa I.	Educator	No	5	1,828	7,562	24.2%	Yes
					Flores	Paul A.	Appointed Incumbent	No	5	1,459	7,562	19.3%	No
					Morales	Olga S.	Project Manager	No	5	1,361	7,562	18.0%	No
					Miller	James	Banker	No	5	399	7,562	5.3%	No

⁸ Multiple county school district. Results for Kern county reported separately.

⁹ Multiple county school district. Results for Kern county reported separately.

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR SCHOOL DISTRICT BOARD CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2009

COUNTY	DATE	SCHOOL DISTRICT	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELEC- TED		
LOS ANGELES (continued)	11/3/2009	Basset Unified		Full	Medina	Victoria A.	Teacher	No	10	632	3,716	17.0%	Yes		
					Sandoval	Art	Teacher	No	10	568	3,716	15.3%	Yes		
					Solano	Paul	Governing Board Member	Yes	10	561	3,716	15.1%	Yes		
					Alvarado	Taiwan Abril	Teacher	No	10	515	3,716	13.9%	No		
					Fuentes	Robert "Bob"	Incumbent	Yes	10	478	3,716	12.9%	No		
					Elias	Andrea S.	Homemaker	No	10	403	3,716	10.8%	No		
					Acevedo	Joshua Luis	Recreation Services Leader	No	10	253	3,716	6.8%	No		
					Angulo	Lizet	Attendance Specialist	No	10	118	3,716	3.2%	No		
					Castro	Marissa Victoria	Recreation Service Leader	No	10	100	3,716	2.7%	No		
					Morales	Frank Thomas	Electrician	No	10	88	3,716	2.4%	No		
		Bellflower Unified				Full	Helzer	Paul L.	Incumbent	Yes	5	1,685	6,461	26.1%	Yes
							Sanchez-Ramirez	Laura	Governing Board Member	Yes	5	1,511	6,461	23.4%	Yes
							Buonocore	Rich	Incumbent	Yes	5	1,297	6,461	20.1%	Yes
							Parrish	Angelia B.	Court Reporter/Parent	No	5	1,061	6,461	16.4%	No
							Maestro	Corinne S.	Community Volunteer	No	5	907	6,461	14.0%	No
		Beverly Hills Unified				Full	Korbatov	Lisa "Fisch"	Commercial Property Manager	No	4	2,102	6,463	32.5%	Yes
							Manaster	Jacob "Jake"	CEO/Attorney	No	4	2,075	6,463	32.1%	Yes
							Meshkaty	Nooshin	Governing Board Member	Yes	4	1,790	6,463	27.7%	No
							Davis	Craig L.	Real Estate Investor	No	4	496	6,463	7.7%	No
		Castaic Union				Full	Torres	Victor M.	School Teacher	No	5	1,131	4,007	28.2%	Yes
							Pearson	Laura L.	Incumbent	Yes	5	1,097	4,007	27.4%	Yes
							Christopher	Susan M.	Attorney/Educator	No	5	974	4,007	24.3%	Yes
							Zaring	John	Event Producer	No	5	463	4,007	11.6%	No
							Awan	Seher	Student Support Specialist	No	5	342	4,007	8.5%	No
		Centinel Valley Union High			1	Full	Molina	Maritza Rocillo	Graduate Student	No	2	2,957	5,855	50.5%	Yes
							Talavera	Francisco M.	Incumbent	Yes	2	2,898	5,855	49.5%	No
					2	Full	Rojas	Hugo M.	Governing Board Member	Yes	2	3,247	5,862	55.4%	Yes
							Salas	Rudy	Incumbent	Yes	2	2,615	5,862	44.6%	No
					5	Full	Pizano	Rocio C.	Incumbent	Yes	3	2,434	5,805	41.9%	Yes
							Felix	Jessica	Instructional Aide	No	3	1,966	5,805	33.9%	No
							Diaz	Susie	Engineer Drafting Coordinator	No	3	1,405	5,805	24.2%	No

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR SCHOOL DISTRICT BOARD CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2009

COUNTY	DATE	SCHOOL DISTRICT	DIS- TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELEC- TED	
LOS ANGELES (continue)	11/3/2009	Cerritos Community College		Full	Hughlett	Bob	Incumbent	Yes	5	9,458	40,322	23.5%	Yes	
					Epple	Bob	Board Member	Yes	5	9,071	40,322	22.5%	Yes	
					Liu	Shin	College Professor	No	5	7,631	40,322	18.9%	Yes	
					Avalos	Carmen	Governing Board Member	Yes	5	7,247	40,322	18.0%	No	
					Chavez	Tom	Retired Educator	No	5	6,915	40,322	17.1%	No	
		Charter Oak Unified		Full	Bock	Jane A.	Governing Board Member	Yes	4	1,337	3,880	34.5%	Yes	
					Davis	Donna Lee	Educator/Parent	No	4	1,202	3,880	31.0%	Yes	
					Rose	David R.	Parent/Board Member	Yes	4	897	3,880	23.1%	No	
					Diaz	Patricia "Trish"	Instructional Aide/Business owner	No	4	444	3,880	11.4%	No	
		Citrus Community College		1	Full	Woods	Gary L.	Governing Board Member	Yes	2	701	932	75.2%	Yes
						Lugo	Richard James	Retired Aerospace Employee	No	2	231	932	24.8%	No
				3	Full	Ortell	Edward C.	Governing Board Member	Yes	2	2,171	2,992	72.6%	Yes
						Hooper	David D.	Construction Consultant	No	2	821	2,992	27.4%	No
		Claremont Unified		Full	Caenepeel	Mary A.	Retired Education Consultant	Yes	4	3,282	10,808	30.4%	Yes	
					Stark	Jeff K.	Investment Advisor	No	4	3,194	10,808	29.6%	Yes	
					Llanusa	Steven	Teacher/Technology Facilitator	Yes	4	2,309	10,808	21.4%	Yes	
					Hammill	Jeff	Attorney	No	4	2,023	10,808	18.7%	No	
		Compton Community College		1	Full	Davis	Charles	Retired City Clerk	No	4	1,408	3,304	42.6%	Yes
						Fisher	Skyy D.	Educational Administrator	No	4	840	3,304	25.4%	No
						Edwards	Mary L.	Executive Director	No	4	673	3,304	20.4%	No
						Boyden	Bruce A.	Appointed Incumbent	No	4	383	3,304	11.6%	No
				2	Full	Hamilton	John P.	University Administrator	No	3	1,038	1,601	64.8%	Yes
						Verrett	Olivia A.	Para educator	No	3	369	1,601	23.0%	No
						Johnson	PJ	Educational Consultant	No	3	194	1,601	12.1%	No
				4	Full	Leblanc	Deborah Sims	College Professor	No	2	821	1,293	63.5%	Yes
						Rodriguez	John	Business Manager	No	2	472	1,293	36.5%	No

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR SCHOOL DISTRICT BOARD CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2009

COUNTY	DATE	SCHOOL DISTRICT	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELEC- TED
LOS ANGELES (continue)	11/3/2009	Compton Unified		Full	Thomas	Mae	Incumbent	Yes	11	2,555	15,976	16.0%	Yes
					Zurita	Satra D.	Governing Board Member	Yes	11	2,524	15,976	15.8%	Yes
					Garrett	Margie N.	Educator	No	11	1,979	15,976	12.4%	Yes
					Shipp	Marjorie A.	Incumbent	Yes	11	1,858	15,976	11.6%	Yes
					Simpson-Gipson	Paulette	Minister	No	11	1,818	15,976	11.4%	No
					Estrada	Joel	Incumbent	Yes	11	1,545	15,976	9.7%	No
					Handy	Deatra M.	Human Services Administrator	No	11	1,025	15,976	6.4%	No
					Carlos	Tomas	Business Administrator	No	11	985	15,976	6.2%	No
					Lewis Jr.	Joseph L.	Community College Educator	No	11	729	15,976	4.6%	No
					Carson	Willie D.	Retired Director	No	11	554	15,976	3.5%	No
	Quarles	Nydja A.	Educator	No	11	404	15,976	2.5%	No				
	Covina Valley Unified			Full	Kemp	Charles M.	Governing Board Member	Yes	4	2,101	6,941	30.3%	Yes
White					Richard	Retired Educator	No	4	1,914	6,941	27.6%	Yes	
Rogers					Kim	Volunteer/Parent	No	4	1,572	6,941	22.6%	No	
Meister					Teri M.	Governing Board Member	Yes	4	1,354	6,941	19.5%	No	
	Culver City Unified			Full	Silbiger	Karlo	Teacher	No	7	3,064	11,558	26.5%	Yes
Paspalis					Kathy	Environmental Attorney/Parent	No	7	2,979	11,558	25.8%	Yes	
Siever					Patricia G.	College Professor/Educator	No	7	1,809	11,558	15.7%	No	
Elmont					Alan S.	Businessman/Parent	No	7	1,662	11,558	14.4%	No	
Zirgulis					Robert	Educator/Entrepreneur/Realtor	No	7	924	11,558	8.0%	No	
Maxwell					Roger	Retired Probation Officer	No	7	621	11,558	5.4%	No	
Abrams					Gary D.	Registered Nurse/Entrepreneur	No	7	499	11,558	4.3%	No	
	Duarte Unified			Full	Diaz	Reyna E.	Incumbent	Yes	3	1,943	5,340	36.4%	Yes
Bell					Ken M.	Incumbent	Yes	3	1,881	5,340	35.2%	Yes	
Reyes					Tom	Small Business Owner	No	3	1,516	5,340	28.4%	No	
	Eastside Union			Full	Thomsen	Krista	Teacher/Instructional Coach	No	8	423	2,381	17.8%	Yes
Johnson					Martha D.	Incumbent	Yes	8	408	2,381	17.1%	Yes	
Crawford					Janette T.	Appointed Incumbent	No	8	393	2,381	16.5%	Yes	
Fay, Jr.					Robert W.	Aerospace Engineer	No	8	295	2,381	12.4%	No	
McLernon					Myrie D.	Architect	No	8	275	2,381	11.5%	No	
Pinceteth					Joseph "Joe"	Educator	No	8	226	2,381	9.5%	No	
Willis					Kenneth	Driver	No	8	190	2,381	8.0%	No	
Magallanes					Raquel	Account Manger/Parent	No	8	171	2,381	7.2%	No	

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR SCHOOL DISTRICT BOARD CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2009

COUNTY	DATE	SCHOOL DISTRICT	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELEC- TED
LOS ANGELES (continued)	11/3/2009	El Monte City Elementary		Full	Salcedo	A. Roberto "Bobby"	Educator/Board Member	Yes	5	2,510	10,909	23.0%	Yes
					Zuniga	Eddie	Educator	No	5	2,428	10,909	22.3%	Yes
					Cruz	Roberto E.	Retired Educator	No	5	2,228	10,909	20.4%	Yes
					Iberri	Jayne Lee	Governing Board Member	Yes	5	2,219	10,909	20.3%	No
					Barrios	Robert Mike	Governing Board Member	Yes	5	1,524	10,909	14.0%	No
		El Monte Union High		Full	Salcedo	Carlos G.	Teacher/Board Member	Yes	7	4,910	21,600	22.7%	Yes
					Velasco	Theresa "Tess"	Incumbent	Yes	7	3,466	21,600	16.0%	Yes
					Tran	John	Businessman/Parent	No	7	3,378	21,600	15.6%	Yes
					Escobedo	Ben	Teacher	No	7	3,110	21,600	14.4%	No
					Man	Tonson	Teacher/Board Member	Yes	7	2,895	21,600	13.4%	No
					Ortiz	Steve A.	Administrative Coordinator	No	7	2,095	21,600	9.7%	No
		El Rancho Unified		Full	Canchola	Rachel	Police Detective Sergeant	No	5	3,105	10,582	29.3%	Yes
					Rivera	Joel	Incumbent	Yes	5	2,073	10,582	19.6%	Yes
					Ramirez	Rita Jo	Incumbent	Yes	5	2,065	10,582	19.5%	Yes
					Salas	Lupe	Incumbent	Yes	5	1,859	10,582	17.6%	No
					De La O	Lorraine Morales	Local Government Employee	No	5	1,480	10,582	14.0%	No
		Garvey Elementary		Full	Ramos	Anthony "Tony"	Systems Analyst/Parent	No	4	977	3,526	27.7%	Yes
					Yuen	John	Governing Board Member	Yes	4	873	3,526	24.8%	Yes
					Bruesh	Bob	Incumbent	Yes	4	845	3,526	24.0%	Yes
					Flores	Irene	Incumbent	Yes	4	831	3,526	23.6%	No
Glendora Unified		Full	Gomer	Chuck	Incumbent	Yes	4	2,777	8,860	31.3%	Yes		
			Gautreau	Mike A.	Incumbent	Yes	4	2,258	8,860	25.5%	Yes		
			Delgado	Denice K.	Incumbent	Yes	4	2,240	8,860	25.3%	Yes		
			Boyd	Linda S.	Registered Nurse/Parent	No	4	1,585	8,860	17.9%	No		
Hacienda La Puente Unified		Full	Chavarria	Rudy R.	Incumbent	Yes	5	3,442	14,789	23.3%	Yes		
			Perez	Anita	Teacher/Board Member	Yes	5	3,387	14,789	22.9%	Yes		
			Chang	Joseph K.	Incumbent	Yes	5	3,311	14,789	22.4%	Yes		
			Gonzales	Henry E.	Businessman/Parent	No	5	2,480	14,789	16.8%	No		
			Itow	Mike A.	Service Manager	No	5	2,169	14,789	14.7%	No		
Hawthorne Elementary		Full	Vargas	John Carlos	Vice-President School Finance	No	4	1,719	5,804	29.6%	Yes		
			Michelin	Nilo	School Board Member/Teacher	Yes	4	1,680	5,804	28.9%	Yes		
			Krank	Eugene M.	Physician/Parent/Businessman	No	4	1,373	5,804	23.7%	Yes		

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR SCHOOL DISTRICT BOARD CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2009

COUNTY	DATE	SCHOOL DISTRICT	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELEC- TED
LOS ANGELES (continued)	11/3/2009	Hermosa Beach City Elementary		Full	Barrera	Nadia	Executive Director Assistant	No	4	1,032	5,804	17.8%	No
					Burns	Jack	Parent/Business Executive	No	5	1,943	6,738	28.8%	Yes
					Beste	Carleen R.	Public Relations	No	5	1,792	6,738	26.6%	Yes
					Waters	Ray	Retired Teacher	No	5	1,618	6,738	24.0%	Yes
					Tucker	S. "Sienna"	Community Volunteer	No	5	914	6,738	13.6%	No
		Keppel Union Elementary	Full	Dutton, Sr.	Robert Michael	Incumbent	Yes	6	510	2,815	18.1%	Yes	
				Smith	Christine C.	Student/Parent	No	6	509	2,815	18.1%	Yes	
				Pomeroy	Vance Davis	Business Owner/Councilmember	No	6	501	2,815	17.8%	Yes	
				Halliman	Georgia Lee	Dialysis Technician	No	6	482	2,815	17.1%	No	
				Sauer	Jeff	Incumbent	Yes	6	421	2,815	15.0%	No	
				Leer	James Monroe	Realtor/Adjunct Professor	No	6	392	2,815	13.9%	No	
		La Canada Unified	Full	Tracy	Scott E.	Incumbent	Yes	6	1,877	8,440	22.2%	Yes	
				Boyd	Susan	Incumbent	Yes	6	1,843	8,440	21.8%	Yes	
				Peterson	Joel	Incumbent	Yes	6	1,744	8,440	20.7%	Yes	
				Millard	Neal S.	Attorney	No	6	1,391	8,440	16.5%	No	
				Wilcox	Dave	Teacher/Writer/Entrepreneur	No	6	818	8,440	9.7%	No	
				Koeppen	Ernest J.	Entrepreneur/Businessman/Technologist	No	6	767	8,440	9.1%	No	
		Lancaster Elementary	Full	Grado	Chris	Teacher/School Administrator	No	6	3,074	12,138	25.3%	Yes	
				Giles	Keith	Local Businessman	Yes	6	2,324	12,138	19.1%	Yes	
				Grooms	Diane V.	Incumbent	Yes	6	2,307	12,138	19.0%	Yes	
				Cook-Lewis	Debra Marie	Education Administrative Assistant	No	6	1,915	12,138	15.8%	No	
				Franklin	Kermit	College Professor	No	6	1,552	12,138	12.8%	No	
				Weissburg	Joseph "Joe"	Superior Court Clerk	No	6	966	12,138	8.0%	No	
		Las Virgenes Unified ¹⁰	Full	Stein	Lesli	Parent	No	4	2,691	8,167	32.9%	Yes	
				Whitehead	F. Gordon	Incumbent	Yes	4	2,220	8,167	27.2%	Yes	
				Moorman	Dave S.	Governing Board Member	Yes	4	2,133	8,167	26.1%	Yes	
				Combes	Diane Jean	Business Broker	No	4	1,123	8,167	13.8%	No	

¹⁰ Multiple county school district. Results for Ventura county reported separately.

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR SCHOOL DISTRICT BOARD CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2009

COUNTY	DATE	SCHOOL DISTRICT	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELEC- TED		
LOS ANGELES (continued)	11/3/2009	Lennox Elementary		Full	Cruz	Marisol	Governing Board Member	Yes	7	364	1,717	21.2%	Yes		
					Saldana	Sonia	Teacher	No	7	311	1,717	18.1%	Yes		
					Navarro	Juan P.	Parent/Volunteer	No	7	286	1,717	16.7%	Yes		
					Amaya	Maribel	Appointed Governing Board Member	Yes	7	240	1,717	14.0%	No		
					Rivera	Humberto	Healthcare Finance Administrator	No	7	223	1,717	13.0%	No		
					Verduzco-Smith	Maria	Governing Board Member	Yes	7	197	1,717	11.5%	No		
					Joseph	Rose	Homemaker	No	7	96	1,717	5.6%	No		
		Little Lake City Elementary				Full	Zamora	Hilda A.	Governing Board Member	Yes	4	1,788	4,145	43.1%	Yes
							Buchanan	George See	Recreation Coordinator	No	4	1,097	4,145	26.5%	Yes
							Mumtaz	A. "AJ"	Fiscal Director	No	4	889	4,145	21.4%	No
							Bestwick	Henry Jesus	Plant Equipment Operator	No	4	371	4,145	9.0%	No
		Los Nietos Elementary				Full	Hernandez	Marisa B.	Special Education Aide	No	6	591	2,194	26.9%	Yes
							Escobedo	Art	Incumbent	Yes	6	518	2,194	23.6%	Yes
							Monge	Silvia R.	Laboratory Technician/Parent	No	6	328	2,194	14.9%	Yes
							Villalba	Diana Louise	Incumbent	Yes	6	291	2,194	13.3%	No
							Vasquez	Grisel	Incumbent	Yes	6	272	2,194	12.4%	No
							Nava	David	Customer Service	No	6	194	2,194	8.8%	No
		Lynwood Unified				Full	Morales, Jr.	Alfonso	Attorney/School Board Member	Yes	6	1,124	4,295	26.2%	Yes
							Chavez	Rachel	Governing Board Member	Yes	6	846	4,295	19.7%	Yes
							Plummer-Jones	Dorothy	Educator	No	6	748	4,295	17.4%	No
							Ventura	Anatasia "Ana"	Parent	No	6	732	4,295	17.0%	No
							Montes	Nancy O.	Youth Case Manager	No	6	651	4,295	15.2%	No
							Garcia	Gloria	Program Assistant	No	6	194	4,295	4.5%	No
		Montebello Unified				Full	Chacon	Hector A.	School Board Member	Yes	5	6,395	23,626	27.1%	Yes
							Chau	Edwin "Ed"	Attorney/School Board member	Yes	5	5,758	23,626	24.4%	Yes
							Guzman	Gerri	Governing Board member	Yes	5	5,050	23,626	21.4%	Yes
							Illingworth	Carlos Morales	Community Relations Manager	No	5	4,178	23,626	17.7%	No
							Saavedra	Mary Lou	Personnel Commissioner	No	5	2,245	23,626	9.5%	No
Mountain View Elementary				Full	Griffith	Robert L.	Governing Board Member	Yes	4	1,222	4,610	26.5%	Yes		
					Moreno	Joe A.	Incumbent	Yes	4	1,212	4,610	26.3%	Yes		
					Carranza	Adam C.	Teacher Aide	No	4	1,150	4,610	24.9%	Yes		
					Zamorano	Irma L.	Incumbent	Yes	4	1,026	4,610	22.3%	No		

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR SCHOOL DISTRICT BOARD CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2009

COUNTY	DATE	SCHOOL DISTRICT	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELEC- TED
LOS ANGELES (continued)	11/3/2009	Mt. San Antonio Community College		Full	Haggerty	Judy Chen	Governing Board Member	Yes	3	29,469	69,257	42.6%	Yes
					Hall	David K.	Governing Board Member	Yes	3	27,246	69,257	39.3%	Yes
					Mendoza	John	Water Board Member	No	3	12,542	69,257	18.1%	No
		Newhall Elementary	Full	McGrath	John Michael	Incumbent	Yes	5	2,464	10,863	22.7%	Yes	
				Smith	Christy L.	Community Volunteer	No	5	2,402	10,863	22.1%	Yes	
				Ellis, Jr.	Philip C.	Bank Attorney/Parent	No	5	2,306	10,863	21.2%	Yes	
				Duswalt	Craig S.	Entrepreneur/Parent	No	5	2,119	10,863	19.5%	No	
				Miller	K.Scott	Parent/Businessman	No	5	1,572	10,863	14.5%	No	
		Norwalk-La Mirada Unified	Full	Hengler	Edwin "Ed"	Governing Board Member	Yes	6	2,614	13,988	18.7%	Yes	
				Valencia	Ana M.	Teacher/Board Member	Yes	6	2,489	13,988	17.8%	Yes	
				Rios	Margarita L.	Police Detective/Parent	No	6	2,475	13,988	17.7%	Yes	
				Adams	Darryl Rodney	Governing Board Member	Yes	6	2,362	13,988	16.9%	Yes	
				Pflanzer	Chris	Parent/Business Owner	No	6	2,160	13,988	15.4%	No	
		Palos Verdes Peninsula Unified	Full	Urquidi	Jesus G. "Jesse"	Engineering Project Manager	No	6	1,888	13,988	13.5%	No	
				Lucky	Barbara	School Board Trustee	Yes	6	5,157	24,363	21.2%	Yes	
				Sharp	Malcolm S.	Incumbent	Yes	6	5,087	24,363	20.9%	Yes	
				Collatos	Anthony M.	Education Professor/Researcher	No	6	4,626	24,363	19.0%	Yes	
				Bird	Karen Hunter	Attorney/Business Owner	No	6	4,331	24,363	17.8%	No	
				Royston	Gregory T.	Local Businessman/Attorney	No	6	2,584	24,363	10.6%	No	
		Paramount Unified	Full	Matson	Heather Haddon	No Ballot Designation	No	6	2,578	24,363	10.6%	No	
				Anderson	Alicia Linden	Governing Board member/Teacher	Yes	5	1,110	3,934	28.2%	Yes	
				Pena	Tony	Incumbent	Yes	5	923	3,934	23.5%	Yes	
				Cuellar	Sonya S.	Incumbent	Yes	5	844	3,934	21.5%	Yes	
				Romero	Maritza	Civil Servant	No	5	616	3,934	15.7%	No	
		Pasadena Area Community College	1	Full	Baum	Geoffrey L.	Governing Board Member	Yes	2	3,828	5,496	69.7%	Yes
					Gibson	Steven	Student	No	2	1,668	5,496	30.3%	No
			3	Full	Brown	Berlinda	Healthcare Manager	No	2	935	1,803	51.9%	Yes
Castro	Consuelo				Community College Teacher	Yes	2	868	1,803	48.1%	No		
7	Full		Fellow	Tony	University Professor/Administrator	No	3	1,318	2,704	48.7%	Yes		
			Wells-Miller	S. Beth	Member, Board of Trustees	Yes	3	1,127	2,704	41.7%	No		
			Wilson	Donna M.	Telecommunication Supervisor	No	3	259	2,704	9.6%	No		

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR SCHOOL DISTRICT BOARD CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2009

COUNTY	DATE	SCHOOL DISTRICT	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELEC- TED			
LOS ANGELES (continued)	11/3/2009	Pomona Unified		Full	Wong	Andrew S.	School Board Member	Yes	7	3,397	16,980	20.00%	Yes			
					Perلمان	Roberta A.	Doctor of Optometry	No	7	3,253	16,980	19.20%	Yes			
					Macklin	Adrienne Konigar	Appointed Board Member	No	7	2,608	16,980	15.40%	Yes			
					Avila	John J.	Governing Board Member	Yes	7	2,456	16,980	14.50%	No			
					Guzman	Frank C.	Local Businessman	No	7	2,154	16,980	12.70%	No			
					Mollet	Hank	Teacher	No	7	2,008	16,980	11.80%	No			
					Torres	Robert Samuel	Vehicle Parking Commissioner	No	7	1,104	16,980	6.50%	No			
					Short	Rothman	Jason A.	Chemist/Teaching Associate	No	3	2,375	6,584	36.10%	Yes		
					Lustro	Steve	Appointed Governing Board Member	No	3	2,250	6,584	34.20%	No			
		Matarrita	Nancy Ann	Administrative Assistant/Parent	No	3	1,959	6,584	29.80%	No						
		Rio Hondo Community College			1	Full	Garcia	Norma Edith	Environmental Community Planner	No	2	2,532	4,299	58.9%	Yes	
							Siegrist	David	Educator	No	2	1,767	4,299	41.1%	No	
						3	Full	Acosta-Salazar	Angela	Governing Board Member	Yes	2	2,092	3,551	58.9%	Yes
								Chavez	Crystal C.	Scholarship Foundation President	No	2	1,459	3,551	41.1%	No
						5	Full	Shapiro	Madeline	Teacher	No	2	2,303	4,053	56.8%	Yes
								Yanez-Jiminez	Michelle	College Educator/Businesswoman	No	2	1,750	4,053	43.2%	No
		Rosemead Elementary				Full	McDonald	Dennis	Governing Board Member	Yes	7	738	3,590	20.6%	Yes	
							Harmon	Rhonda A.	Incumbent	Yes	7	686	3,590	19.1%	Yes	
							Mascorro	Fred S.	Incumbent	Yes	7	685	3,590	19.1%	Yes	
							Tran	Robert Khan	Banker	No	7	474	3,590	13.2%	No	
							Chavez	Frances R.	Teacher	No	7	467	3,590	13.0%	No	
Loa	Tracy L.						Human Resources Analyst	No	7	385	3,590	10.7%	No			
Murphy	Barbara						Retired	No	7	155	3,590	4.3%	No			
Rowland Unified ¹¹				Full	Hidalgo	Robert F.	Educator/School Board Member	Yes	8	2,077	11,669	17.8%	Yes			
					Chen	Cary C.	Scientist/Educator/Businessman	No	8	2,018	11,669	17.3%	Yes			
					Garcia, Ph.D.	Gilbert G.	Governing Board Member	Yes	8	1,820	11,669	15.6%	Yes			
					Morris	A. Kimo	Scientist/College Instructor	No	8	1,651	11,669	14.1%	No			
					Estrada	Philip R.	Retired Deputy Sheriff	No	8	1,536	11,669	13.2%	No			
					Ornelas	Cecilia S. "Cici"	Educator/Administrator	No	8	1,185	11,669	10.2%	No			
					Green	Christopher James	Educator/Data Analyst	No	8	787	11,669	6.7%	No			
					Gutierrez	Brian A.	Board of Directors	Yes	8	595	11,669	5.1%	No			

¹¹Multiple county school district. Results for Orange county are reported separately.

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR SCHOOL DISTRICT BOARD CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2009

COUNTY	DATE	SCHOOL DISTRICT	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELEC- TED
LOS ANGELES (continued)	11/3/2009	San Gabriel Unified		Full	Hu	Philip	College Professor/Parent	No	6	1,412	6,558	21.5%	Yes
					Menchaca	Denise	Incumbent	Yes	6	1,393	6,558	21.2%	Yes
					Stadtler	Matthew C.	Field Deputy/Educator	No	6	1,090	6,558	16.6%	Yes
					Tcheng	Ken K.	Business Executive	No	6	1,053	6,558	16.1%	No
					Ammon	Andrew L.	Teacher	No	6	806	6,558	12.3%	No
					Juvinall	Cynthia L.M.	Computer Specialist/Parent	No	6	804	6,558	12.3%	No
		San Marino Unified	Full	Chang	C. Joseph	Incumbent	Yes	4	1,815	5,660	32.1%	Yes	
				Yen	Jeng	Incumbent	Yes	4	1,629	5,660	28.8%	Yes	
				Jack	Nam	Volunteer/Attorney	No	4	1,618	5,660	28.6%	Yes	
				Wu	L. "Linda"	Homemaker	No	4	598	5,660	10.6%	No	
		Santa Clarita Community College	1	Full	Berger	Michael David	Finance Businessman	No	4	6,581	14,087	46.7%	Yes
					Koegle	Brian E.	Attorney	No	4	3,514	14,087	24.9%	No
					Bryce	Robin L.	Instructional Aide	No	4	2,439	14,087	17.3%	No
					Dortch	John L.	County Administrator	No	4	1,553	14,087	11.0%	No
			3	Full	MacGregor	John Whaling	Incumbent	Yes	2	8,629	13,459	64.1%	Yes
					Moberg	Randy R.	Chief Operating Officer	No	2	4,830	13,459	35.9%	No
		South Whittier Elementary	Full	Hernandez	Jorge S.	Educational Financial Advisor	No	4	759	2,046	37.1%	Yes	
				Macias	Sylvia V.	School Board Trustee	Yes	4	511	2,046	25.0%	Yes	
				Avila	Layla	Educational Consultant	Yes	4	486	2,046	23.8%	Yes	
				Alvarado	Josue	Parent/Corporate Educator	No	4	290	2,046	14.2%	No	
		Temple City Unified	Full	Smith	Matt W.	Incumbent	Yes	3	1,261	3,230	39.0%	Yes	
				Walker	Joe	Board member/Crime Analyst	Yes	3	1,188	3,230	36.8%	Yes	
				Knollenberg	Kenneth	Retired Engineer	No	3	781	3,230	24.2%	No	
		Torrance Unified	Full	Muratsuchi	Al	Educator/Prosecutor/Parent	Yes	7	6,454	25,753	25.1%	Yes	
				Steffen	Mark	Teacher/Board Member	Yes	7	6,204	25,753	24.1%	Yes	
				Wermers	Michael P.	Business Owner	No	7	5,079	25,753	19.7%	Yes	
				Wood	Kathleen J.	Business Manger/Parent	No	7	3,121	25,753	12.1%	No	
Marshall	G. Rick			Independent Small Businessman	No	7	3,024	25,753	11.7%	No			
Bellenfant	Kevin E.			Attorney/Parent	No	7	1,329	25,753	5.2%	No			
Bhavnani	Sameer			Business Owner	No	7	542	25,753	2.1%	No			
Valee Lindo Elementary	Full	Olmos	Gloria	Incumbent	Yes	4	519	1,622	32.0%	Yes			
		Martinez	Rudy T.	Incumbent	Yes	4	421	1,622	26.0%	Yes			
		Del Rio	David R.	Incumbent	Yes	4	396	1,622	24.4%	Yes			

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR SCHOOL DISTRICT BOARD CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2009

COUNTY	DATE	SCHOOL DISTRICT	DIS- TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELEC- TED
LOS ANGELES (continued)	11/3/2009	Walnut Valley Unified			Tovar	Jacqueline "Jackie"	Businesswoman/Parent	No	4	286	1,622	17.6%	No
			Full	Lyons	Nancy A.	Teacher/Governing Board Member	Yes	5	3,325	12,802	26.0%	Yes	
				Hall	Helen	Governing Board Member	Yes	5	2,908	12,802	22.7%	Yes	
				Ruiz	Cindy M.	Governing Board Member	Yes	5	2,537	12,802	19.8%	Yes	
				Lee	Anyork	Doctor/Professor/Advisor	No	5	2,492	12,802	19.5%	No	
			West	Michael	Corporate Marketing Manager	No	5	1,540	12,802	12.0%	No		
			West Covina Unified	Full	Jimenez	Eileen Miranda	Nonprofit Executive/Parent	No	5	2,222	9,565	23.2%	Yes
					Shewmaker	Jessica	Governing Board Member	Yes	5	1,974	9,565	20.6%	Yes
					Fuller	George	Governing Board Member	Yes	5	1,884	9,565	19.7%	No
					Miracle	Mindy	Businesswoman/Controller/Consultant	No	5	1,751	9,565	18.3%	No
					Flowers	Michael T.	Letter Carrier	No	5	1,734	9,565	18.1%	No
			Westside Union Elementary	Full	Sodergren	Joan	Incumbent	Yes	4	3,167	11,132	28.4%	Yes
					Jones	Linda K.	Incumbent	Yes	4	2,907	11,132	26.1%	Yes
					Curiel	John K.	Incumbent	Yes	4	2,851	11,132	25.6%	Yes
					Watson	Tony F.	Educator	No	4	2,207	11,132	19.8%	No
			Whittier City Elementary	Full	Small	Linda L.A.	Incumbent	Yes	4	2,007	7,013	28.6%	Yes
					Henderson	Ken	Appointed Incumbent	No	4	1,967	7,013	28.0%	Yes
					Aceves	Efrain	Incumbent	Yes	4	1,944	7,013	27.7%	Yes
					Hurtado	G. Sylvia	Community Liaison	No	4	1,095	7,013	15.6%	No
			William S. Hart Union High	Full	Jensen	Bob	CPA/School Board Member	Yes	5	8,720	35,933	24.3%	Yes
					Strickland	Paul B.	Incumbent	Yes	5	8,251	35,933	23.0%	Yes
					Messina	Joe	Small Business Owner	No	5	7,239	35,933	20.1%	Yes
					Solomon	Suzan	School Board Member	Yes	5	6,931	35,933	19.3%	No
					Valdes	Linda	Educator/Parent	No	5	4,792	35,933	13.3%	No
			Wilsona Elementary	Full	Callari	Diana Lee	Realtor/Parent	No	4	227	859	26.4%	Yes
			Mendelson	Starsea L	Community Volunteer	No	4	215	859	25.0%	Yes		
			Thomas	Brian	Student	No	4	214	859	24.9%	Yes		
			Poirier	Linda J.	Youth Director	Yes	4	203	859	23.6%	No		
	12/29/09	Long Beach Unified		Short	McGinnis	John J.	Retired College Administrator	No	5	748	2,259	33.1%	Yes
					Lewis Jr.	Richard G.	Businessman/Financial Consultant	No	5	667	2,259	29.5%	No
					Conrath	Kate Erin	Teacher	No	5	377	2,259	16.7%	No
					Stenberg	Pauline Gonzalez	Retired Business Owner	No	5	281	2,259	12.4%	No
					Chavarria	Raymond	Youth Program Director	No	5	186	2,259	8.2%	No

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR SCHOOL DISTRICT BOARD CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2009

COUNTY	DATE	SCHOOL DISTRICT	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELEC- TED
MADERA	5/19/09	Madera Unified	6	Full	Arredondo	Ricardo	Retirement Planning Assistant	No	2	205	333	61.6%	Yes
					Ceja	Luis E.	Accountant	No	2	127	333	38.1%	No
	11/3/2009	West Hills Community College ¹²	1	Full	Georgatos	Darlene	Retired Administrator	No	2	10	31	67.7%	No
					Oxborrow	Nina Dale	Incumbent	Yes	2	21	31	32.3%	Yes
MARIN	11/3/2009	Lagunitas Elementary	6	Full	O'Brien	Stephanie	Incumbent	Yes	4	784	2,547	30.8%	Yes
					Rebscher	Stephen John	Incumbent	Yes	4	741	2,547	29.1%	Yes
					Sabec	Christopher	Parent/Attorney/Entrepreneur	No	4	631	2,547	24.8%	Yes
					Charrier	Steve	Management/Learning Consultant	No	4	391	2,547	15.4%	No
		Larkspur Elementary		Full	Frank	Bonnie	Attorney/Parent	No	4	2,201	7,688	28.6%	Yes
					Wade	Philip L.	Program Manager	No	4	1,948	7,688	25.3%	Yes
					Halilej	Dominique J.	Appointed Incumbent	No	4	1,926	7,688	25.1%	Yes
					Brodersen	Jason	Environmental Consultant/Geologist	No	4	1,613	7,688	21.0%	No
		Marin Community College		Full	Conti	Diana M.	Nonprofit Executive Director	No	5	25,994	111,927	23.2%	Yes
					Treanor	Wanden Patricia	Attorney-at-law	No	5	24,621	111,927	22.0%	Yes
					Dolan	Barbara J.	Incumbent	Yes	5	22,184	111,927	19.8%	Yes
					Paterson	Annan	Appointed Incumbent/Educator	No	5	21,868	111,927	19.5%	No
					Ginalski	Mark	Environmental Business Owner	No	5	17,260	111,927	15.4%	No
		Marin County Office of Education		Full	Robinson	Curtis Frank	Incumbent	Yes	2	5,105	7,835	65.2%	Yes
					Duzgunes	Nejat	Scientist/University Professor	No	2	2,730	7,835	34.8%	No
		Novato Unified		Full	Scott	Shelly	Deputy Assessor	No	4	7,606	22,535	33.8%	Yes
					Knell	Derek	Incumbent	Yes	4	6,959	22,535	30.9%	Yes
					Aguila	Maria L.	Spanish Interpreter	No	4	4,624	22,535	20.5%	Yes
					Steiger	Dustin William	Pharmaceutical Courier/Student	No	4	3,346	22,535	14.8%	No
		MARIPOSA		No School District Contests									
MENDOCINO	11/3/2009	Leggett Valley Unified	6	Full	Anderson	Bruce E.	Incumbent	Yes	6	125	478	26.2%	Yes
					Parent	Jennifer A.	Small Business Owner	No	6	92	478	19.2%	Yes
					Traina	Tonie M.	Parent/Business Owner	No	6	87	478	18.2%	Yes
					Luna	Wayne	Construction Worker	No	6	79	478	16.5%	No
					Bertnich	Michelle	Parent	No	6	56	478	11.7%	No
					Blodgett	Della M.	Parent	No	6	29	478	6.1%	No

¹²Multiple county school district. Results for Fresno and Monterey counties are reported separately.

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR SCHOOL DISTRICT BOARD CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2009

COUNTY	DATE	SCHOOL DISTRICT	DIS- TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELEC- TED
MENDOCINO (continued)	11/3/2009	Mendocino County Office of Education ¹³	5	Full	Alterman	Nice	Incumbent	Yes	2	2,473	3,884	63.7%	Yes
					Montag	George	Carpenter/Antique Dealer	No	2	1,386	3,884	35.7%	No
			6	Full	Freedman	Jonah	Retired Physician	No	2	9,419	16,793	56.1%	Yes
					Holtkamp	Madelin	Economic Developer	No	2	7,216	16,793	43.0%	No
		Mendocino-Lake Community College ¹⁴	5	Full	Chaniot	Janet	Incumbent	Yes	2	9,101	12,015	75.7%	Yes
					Shell	Craig	Executive Film Producer	No	2	2,825	12,015	23.5%	No
		Point Arena Joint Union High ¹⁵	Full	Scalon-Hill	Nick	Retired Paramedic Administrator	No	5	793	3,077	25.8%	Yes	
				Bates	Leslie T. "Taylor"	Appointed Incumbent	No	5	636	3,077	20.7%	Yes	
				Sandoval	Susan Beecher	Incumbent	Yes	5	590	3,077	19.2%	Yes	
				Marquez	Angela	Incumbent	Yes	5	580	3,077	18.8%	Yes	
				Rush	Suzanne "Susan"	Business Administrator	No	5	454	3,077	14.8%	No	
		Redwoods Community College	8	Full	Rice	Barbara	Retired Educator/Mediator	No	3	3,153	5,817	54.2%	Yes
					Smith	Will	Incumbent	Yes	3	1,867	5,817	32.1%	No
					Jensen	David	Environmental Health Manager	No	3	785	5,817	13.5%	No
		Round Valley Unified	Full	East	Tom L.	AG Teacher	No	7	302	1,423	21.2%	Yes	
				Bauer	Peter	Cattle Rancher	No	7	296	1,423	20.8%	Yes	
				O'Ferrall	Cynthia E.	Self Employed	No	7	230	1,423	16.2%	Yes	
				Francia	Rose Sita	Instructor	No	7	223	1,423	15.7%	No	
				Longley	Dinah J.	Appointed Incumbent	No	7	152	1,423	10.7%	No	
				Jahr	Jean K.	Incumbent	Yes	7	118	1,423	8.3%	No	
Lockhart	Paula			Home Care Provider	No	7	92	1,423	6.5%	No			
Ukiah Unified-Central Area	Full	James	Kathy	Incumbent	Yes	2	5,291	7,811	67.7%	Yes			
		Weidner	Michael A.	Health Care Provider	No	2	2,483	7,811	31.8%	No			
Ukiah Unified-North Area	Full	Arkin	Beatriz "Bea"	Incumbent	Yes	4	4,037	12,824	31.5%	Yes			
		Rantala, Jr.	William	Retired Peace Officer	No	4	3,413	12,824	26.6%	Yes			
		Sequeira	Michael B.	Incumbent	Yes	4	3,168	12,824	24.7%	Yes			
		Randall	Carol Lynn	Notary	No	4	2,152	12,824	16.8%	Yes			
MERCED	11/3/2009	Atwater Elementary	5	Full	Wilson	C. Dale	Farm Manager	No	2	212	418	50.7%	Yes
					Navarra	Mary Ann	Deputy Probation Officer	No	2	205	418	49.0%	No

¹³Multiple county school district. Results for Sonoma County reported separately.¹⁴Multiple county school district. Results for Lake County reported separately.¹⁵Multiple county school district. Results for Sonoma County reported separately.

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR SCHOOL DISTRICT BOARD CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2009

COUNTY	DATE	SCHOOL DISTRICT	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELEC- TED		
MERCED (continued)	11/3/2009	Ballico-Cressey Elementary		Full	Nishihara-Dunford	Monica	Instructor Stanislaus Sherriff	No	4	202	666	30.3%	Yes		
					Nairn	Jana	Incumbent	Yes	4	170	666	25.5%	Yes		
					Van Warmerdam	Paul	Incumbent	Yes	4	161	666	24.2%	Yes		
					Dickey	Cherekee	Dental Hygienist	No	4	129	666	19.4%	No		
		Delhi Unified				Full	Saavedra	Brenda	Incumbent	Yes	5	272	1,141	23.8%	Yes
							Estrada	Stella	Incumbent	Yes	5	235	1,141	20.6%	Yes
							Rodriguez	Jesus	Incumbent	Yes	5	216	1,141	18.9%	Yes
							Preciado	Vidal	Incumbent	Yes	5	210	1,141	18.4%	Yes
							Cazares	Socorro	Community Worker	No	5	189	1,141	16.6%	No
		El Nido Elementary				Full	Silva-Lewis	Anne	Incumbent	Yes	4	71	254	28.0%	Yes
							Hath	Amy	Dairy Owner	No	4	68	254	26.8%	Yes
							Erickson	Shannon	Incumbent	Yes	4	56	254	22.0%	Yes
							Montague	Jill	Incumbent	Yes	4	54	254	21.3%	No
		Gustine Unified				Full	Gomes	Melanie	Special Ed Professional	No	4	484	1,718	28.2%	Yes
							Parreira	Christine Souza	Incumbent	Yes	4	483	1,718	28.1%	Yes
							Guimmond	Richard	Recreational Program Specialist	No	4	373	1,718	21.7%	No
							Lopes	Debbie A.	Incumbent	Yes	4	371	1,718	21.6%	No
		Hilmar Unified				Full	Machado	Robert	Equipment Operator	No	6	711	2,377	29.9%	Yes
							Strom	Jeff	Incumbent	Yes	6	569	2,377	23.9%	Yes
							Borba	Tony	Retired	No	6	415	2,377	17.5%	No
							Edwards	Randy	Appraiser/Broker	No	6	384	2,377	16.2%	No
							Hass	William S.	Sheriff's Correctional Officer	No	6	217	2,377	9.1%	No
							Katsounakis	Debrah J.	Paralegal	No	6	77	2,377	3.2%	No
		Le Grand Union High				Full	Serrano	David	Incumbent	Yes	3	372	915	40.7%	Yes
							Haas	Mary Kay	Business Owner	No	3	345	915	37.7%	Yes
							Diaz	Sylvia	Incumbent	Yes	3	192	915	21.0%	No
		Livingston Unified Elementary				Full	Correia	Frank	Incumbent	Yes	4	399	1,263	31.6%	Yes
							Ruth	Susan	Incumbent	Yes	4	360	1,263	28.5%	Yes
							Kirihara	Kay	Incumbent	Yes	4	339	1,263	26.8%	Yes
							Castellanos	Francisco	Business Owner	No	4	162	1,263	12.8%	No

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR SCHOOL DISTRICT BOARD CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2009

COUNTY	DATE	SCHOOL DISTRICT	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM- BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELEC- TED
MERCED (continued)	11/3/2009	McSwain Union Elementary		Full	Halpin	Bill	Incumbent	Yes	7	386	1,852	20.8%	Yes
					Machado	Todd	Incumbent	Yes	7	294	1,852	15.9%	Yes
					Koehn	Scott W.	Regional Sales Manger	No	7	260	1,852	14.0%	Yes
					Whitfield	Tim	Business Owner/Rancher	No	7	255	1,852	13.8%	No
					Penner	Dorothy C.	Retired City Administrator	No	7	243	1,852	13.1%	No
					Morrow	George	Incumbent	Yes	7	210	1,852	11.3%	No
					Melo	Frank	Sherriff's Correctional Officer	No	7	194	1,852	10.5%	No
		Merced City Elementary	1	Full	Cherf	Darrell Paul	Incumbent	Yes	2	971	1,625	59.8%	Yes
					Soza	Cornelio	Businessman	No	2	641	1,625	39.4%	No
		Merced County Office of Education	1	Full	Eagelton	Evelyn	Retired School Administrator	No	4	2,419	4,588	52.7%	Yes
					Adams	Matthew	Shop Foreman	No	4	1,131	4,588	24.7%	No
					Neily	Brad D.	Student Affairs Officer	No	4	784	4,588	17.1%	No
					Kazakos	Daniel R.	Government Employee	No	4	200	4,588	4.4%	No
		Merced Union High	1	Full	Johnson	Ida	Incumbent	Yes	2	1,373	2,336	58.8%	Yes
					Ford	Kyle	Business Development Representative	No	2	947	2,336	40.5%	No
		Merced Union High	5	Full	O'Neill	Tim	Incumbent	Yes	3	529	1,078	49.1%	Yes
					Mackie	Kenneth	Retired Teacher/Attorney	No	3	339	1,078	31.4%	No
					Starling, Jr.	George	Nursing Supervisor	No	3	204	1,078	18.9%	No
		Planada Elementary		Full	Pino	Yolanda A.	Incumbent	Yes	5	143	584	24.5%	Yes
					Chavez	Daniel	Retired Teacher	No	5	138	584	23.6%	Yes
					Rodriguez	David	Maintenance School Employee	No	5	125	584	21.4%	Yes
					Chavez-Graham	Amanda	Incumbent	Yes	5	120	584	20.5%	No
					Rubalcava	Lupe	Incumbent	Yes	5	54	584	9.2%	No
		Snelling-Merced Falls Union Elementary		Full	Bauer	Robert	Incumbent	Yes	7	63	282	22.3%	Yes
					Aaron	Suzanne	Banker	No	7	47	282	16.7%	Yes
					Gasper	Frank E.	Farmer	No	7	46	282	16.3%	Yes
					Latronica	Jason	Incumbent	Yes	7	45	282	16.0%	No
Gray	Roberta				Incumbent	Yes	7	33	282	11.7%	No		
Gavette	Wendy Denise				Bus Driver	No	7	25	282	8.9%	No		
Pires	Devin				Student	No	7	23	282	8.2%	No		

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR SCHOOL DISTRICT BOARD CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2009

COUNTY	DATE	SCHOOL DISTRICT	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELEC- TED		
MERCED (continued)	11/3/2009	Turlock Joint Unified ¹⁶		Full	Weaver	Bob	Field man	No	6	63	246	25.6%	Yes		
					Crivelli	Lori	Business Owner	No	6	52	246	21.1%	Yes		
					Bernard	Josh	Farmer/Dairyman	No	6	46	246	18.7%	Yes		
					Muniz	Tami	Incumbent	Yes	6	30	246	12.2%	No		
					Lavelle	Timm	Incumbent	Yes	6	28	246	11.4%	No		
					Renshaw	Felicia D.	Incumbent	Yes	6	27	246	11.0%	No		
		Weaver Union	Full	Freitas	Robert	Retired Peace Officer	No	5	367	1,403	26.2%	Yes			
				Nelson	Renee	Homemaker	No	5	318	1,403	22.7%	Yes			
				Hose	Harry	Agriculture Manager	No	5	266	1,403	19.0%	Yes			
				McCrary	Carolyn	Incumbent	Yes	5	230	1,403	16.4%	No			
				Dean	Derrick	Incumbent	Yes	5	221	1,403	15.8%	No			
		Winton Elementary	Full	Dusin	Corine	Incumbent	Yes	4	210	596	35.2%	Yes			
				Sietsema	Agnes	Incumbent	Yes	4	202	596	33.9%	Yes			
				Meza	Yolanda	Office Manager	No	4	93	596	15.6%	No			
				Flores	Gladys A.	Tax Preparer	No	4	88	596	14.8%	No			
		MODOC		No School District Contests											
		MONO		No School District Contests											
		MONTEREY	3/3/09	Chualar Union Elementary ^R	3	Short	Flores	Ofelia C.	Agricultural Crew leader	No	1	104	104	100.0%	Yes
							Hartnell Community College	5	Montemayor	Ramon "Ray"	Public Works Employee	No	2	615	1,023
								Martinez	Celia Perez	Public Works Superintendent	No	2	408	1,023	39.9%
San Ardo Union Elementary ^R	1		Short	Kelley	Kenda	Office Administrator	No	1	58	58	100.0%	Yes			
				2	Short	Macias	Deana M.	Office Technician	No	1	53	53	100.0%	Yes	
8/25/09	Alisal Union Elementary ^R		1	Short	Ibarra	Meredith	Medical Office Administrator	No	1	203	203	100.0%	Yes		
11/3/2009	Alisal Union Elementary		2	Full	Karnes	Gary	School Board Trustee	Yes	3	890	1,696	52.5%	Yes		
					Rayas	Andrei	Parent	No	3	405	1,696	23.9%	No		
					Salsberg	Aaron	Parent/Student	No	3	401	1,696	23.6%	No		
			5	Full	Garcia	Sarah	Retired Community Liaison	No	3	176	445	39.6%	Yes		
		Miller			Fernando	Refrigeration Technician	No	3	142	445	31.9%	No			
				Flores	Juan V.	Incumbent	Yes	3	127	445	28.5%	No			

¹⁶Multiple county school district. Results for Stanislaus county reported separately.

^RTo be elected if recall measure passes.

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR SCHOOL DISTRICT BOARD CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2009

COUNTY	DATE	SCHOOL DISTRICT	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELEC- TED			
MONTEREY (continued)	11/3/2009	Carmel Valley		Full	Kauffman	Karin Strasser	Retired County Supervisor	No	15	2,712	20,660	13.1%	Yes			
					Robinson	Glenn E.	University Professor	No	15	2,537	20,660	12.3%	Yes			
					Bacon	Larry	Registered Investment Advisor	No	15	2,342	20,660	11.3%	Yes			
					Anderson	Amy	Retired Biologist	No	15	2,299	20,660	11.1%	Yes			
					Walton	Priscilla	Retired Professor/Consultant	No	15	2,073	20,660	10.0%	Yes			
					Dick	Scott	Retired Army Officer	No	15	1,481	20,660	7.2%	No			
					Odello	Jacob	Student	No	15	1,342	20,660	6.5%	No			
					Addison	Michael	Business Owner/Entrepreneur	No	15	1,232	20,660	6.0%	No			
					Bizzozero	Ernie	Retired Military Officer	No	15	1,163	20,660	5.6%	No			
					White, III	Thomas C.	Retired Professor	No	15	1,078	20,660	5.2%	No			
					Samuels	Lawrence	Realtor/Writer	No	15	941	20,660	4.6%	No			
					Lightfoot	Lee	Wine Maker	No	15	499	20,660	2.4%	No			
					Smith	John Barry	Retired Military Officer	No	15	493	20,660	2.4%	No			
					Jensen	Noel	Registered Nurse	No	15	325	20,660	1.6%	No			
					Vassiliev	S. "Savva"	Writer/Actor	No	15	143	20,660	0.7%	No			
					Chualar Union Elementary	3	Full	Ponce	Anabelia	Incumbent	Yes	5	83	238	34.9%	Yes
								Manriquez	Rosa	Incumbent	Yes	5	66	238	27.7%	Yes
								Flores	Leticia	Parent	No	5	53	238	22.3%	No
								Avila	Joel G.	Custodian	No	5	26	238	10.9%	No
Trujillo	Rebecca M.	Independent Business Manger	No	5				10	238	4.2%	No					
Greenfield Union Elementary		Full	Martinez-Heredia	Sonia	Education Staff Supervisor	No	4	445	1,632	27.3%	Yes					
			Vazquez	Jose	Incumbent	Yes	4	411	1,632	25.2%	Yes					
			Castillo	Maria Angelica	Program Supervisor	No	4	388	1,632	23.8%	Yes					
			Dake	Paul E.	Incumbent	Yes	4	374	1,632	22.9%	No					
			Short	Salvagno	Arthur	Retired Teacher	No	2	550	788	69.80%	Yes				
Santibanez	Ruth A.	Pre-school Teacher		No	2	238	788	30.2%	No							
Hartnell Community College	5	Full	Montemayor	Ramon "Ray"	Hartnell College Board Member	Yes	2	963	1,584	60.8%	Yes					
			Martinez	Celia Perez	Public Works Superintendent	No	2	621	1,584	39.2%	No					
	6	Full	Padilla-Chavez	Erica	Health Management Analyst	No	2	1,440	2,205	65.3%	Yes					
			Martinez, Jr.	John D.	Business Development Director	Yes	2	765	2,205	34.7%	No					

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR SCHOOL DISTRICT BOARD CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2009

COUNTY	DATE	SCHOOL DISTRICT	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM- BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELEC- TED	
MONTEREY (continued)	11/3/2009	King City Union Elementary		Full	Nuno	Andy	Restaurant Owner	No	5	814	2,893	28.1%	Yes	
					Reynolds	Don	Redevelopment Project Manager	No	5	796	2,893	27.5%	Yes	
					Paramo-Alonzo	Liduvina "Vina"	Medical Technician/Parent	No	5	658	2,893	22.7%	Yes	
					Oetting	Barba	Incumbent	Yes	5	346	2,893	12.0%	No	
					Pacheco	Christy	Parent	Yes	5	279	2,893	9.6%	No	
		Monterey County Office of Education	7		Full	Serena	David Gomez	Community College Instructor	No	2	1,881	3,552	53.0%	Yes
						Tuttle	Richard H.	Incumbent	Yes	2	1,671	3,552	47.0%	No
		Monterey Peninsula Community College	2		Full	Copper	Margaret-Anne	Educator/Consultant	No	3	814	1,698	47.9%	Yes
						Emerson	Steve	Financial Consultant	No	3	661	1,698	38.9%	No
						Elliott	Kit	Graduate Student	No	3	223	1,698	13.1%	No
		Pacific Unified			Short	Hogan	Michael	Equipment Operator	No	2	32	53	60.4%	Yes
						Handy	Michael	Appointed Incumbent	No	2	21	53	39.6%	No
		Salinas Union High	5		Full	Martinez	Evamarie	Nursing Agency Consultant	No	2	1,125	1,968	57.2%	Yes
						Serna Bonetti	Margaret	Incumbent	Yes	2	843	1,968	42.8%	No
		Santa Rita Union Elementary			Full	Fickas	John	Public Relations/Coach	No	5	1,018	4,158	24.5%	Yes
						McMurry	Terry	Retired Economics Teacher	No	5	955	4,158	23.0%	Yes
						Arellano	Elva	Incumbent	Yes	5	796	4,158	19.1%	Yes
						Olivas	Martha	Parent	No	5	704	4,158	16.9%	No
						Keiser	Meri	Incumbent	Yes	5	685	4,158	16.5%	No
		Soledad Unified			Full	Rios	Lucio	Incumbent	Yes	3	484	1,332	36.3%	Yes
						Lopez	Edward	Educator	No	3	430	1,332	32.3%	Yes
						Katz	Ira "Doc"	Incumbent	Yes	3	418	1,332	31.4%	No
		West Hills Community College ¹⁷	1		Full	Georgatos	Darlene	Retired Administrator	No	2	2	2	100.0%	No
Oxborrow	Nina Dale					Incumbent	Yes	2	0	2	0.0%	Yes		
NAPA	11/3/2009	St.Helena Unified		Full	Alfaro	Kevin S.	Certified Public Accountant		2	1,707	2,498	68.3%	Yes	
					Adams	Roger C.	Retired Educator		2	791	2,498	31.7%	No	
NEVADA	No School District Contests													
ORANGE	11/3/2009	Mount San Antonio Community College		Full	Haggerty	Judy Chen	Governing Board Member	Yes	3	7	14	50.0%	Yes	
					Mendoza	John	Water Board Member	No	3	5	14	35.7%	Yes	
					Hall	David K.	Governing Board Member	Yes	3	2	14	14.3%	No	

¹⁷Multiple county school district. Results for Fresno and Madera counties reported separately.

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR SCHOOL DISTRICT BOARD CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2009

COUNTY	DATE	SCHOOL DISTRICT	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELEC- TED
ORANGE (continued)	11/3/2009	Rowland Unified ¹⁸		Full	Garcia, Ph.D.	Gilbert G.	Governing Board Member	Yes	8	5	23	21.7%	Yes
					Chen	Cary C.	Scientist/Educator/Businessman	No	8	4	23	17.4%	Yes
					Estrada	Philip R.	Retired Deputy Sheriff	No	8	3	23	13.0%	No
					Morris	A. Kimo	Scientist/College Instructor	No	8	3	23	13.0%	No
					Ornelas	Cecilia S. "Cici"	Educator/Administrator	No	8	3	23	13.0%	No
					Green	Christopher James	Educator/Data Analyst	No	8	2	23	8.7%	No
					Hidalgo	Robert F.	Educator/School Board Member	Yes	8	2	23	8.7%	Yes
					Gutierrez	Brian A.	Board of Directors	No	8	1	23	4.3%	No
PLACER	No School District Contests												
PLUMAS	No School District Contests												
RIVERSIDE	11/3/2009	Alvord Unified		Full	Kaspereen, Jr.	Art	Retired School Counselor	Yes	7	1,965	10,368	19.0%	Yes
					Kraft	Gregory Allen	Incumbent	Yes	7	1,839	10,368	17.7%	Yes
					Perez	Jose Luis	Incumbent	Yes	7	1,779	10,368	17.2%	Yes
					Kroning	Debra	Appointed Incumbent	No	7	1,484	10,368	14.3%	No
					Wandro	Donna K.	Parent	No	7	1,452	10,368	14.0%	No
					Andrews	Scott	Retired Security Engineer	No	7	1,035	10,368	10.0%	No
					Torres, Jr.	Rodrigo	Field Supervisor	No	7	814	10,368	7.9%	No
		Banning Unified	Full	Bainter	Wendell D.	Retired Educator	Yes	3	3,036	6,797	44.7%	Yes	
				Reese	Melodie	School Administrative Secretary	Yes	3	1,917	6,797	28.2%	Yes	
				Silverman	Alice	Incumbent	Yes	3	1,844	6,797	27.1%	No	
		Mt. San Jacinto Community College	3	Full	Schlange	Gwendolyn E.	Retired Teacher	Yes	2	6,558	9,366	70.0%	Yes
					Wheeler	Mark	Father/Writer	No	2	2,808	9,366	30.0%	No
		Palo Verde Unified	Full	Ulmer	John M.	Farmer	Yes	6	1,104	4,257	25.9%	Yes	
				Guith	Norman C.	Educator	Yes	6	826	4,257	19.4%	Yes	
				Jensen	Robert E.	Retired School Administrator	No	6	625	4,257	14.7%	No	
				Smallwood	Jim	Retired Instructor	No	6	581	4,257	13.6%	No	
				Hernandez	Alfonso "Sonny"	General Building Contractor	No	6	565	4,257	13.3%	No	
				Bolton	Patricia "Pat"	Retired District Employee	No	6	556	4,257	13.1%	No	
		Perris Elementary	Full	Martin	Monica	Incumbent	Yes	4	758	2,702	28.1%	Yes	
				Zschokke	Shirley	Incumbent	Yes	4	670	2,702	24.8%	Yes	
				Garcia	Jose "Pepe"	Manager/Parent	Yes	4	659	2,702	24.4%	Yes	
Pinero	Raymond			Incumbent	Yes	4	615	2,702	22.8%	No			

¹⁸Multiple county school district. Results for Los Angeles county are reported separately.

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR SCHOOL DISTRICT BOARD CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2009

COUNTY	DATE	SCHOOL DISTRICT	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELEC- TED
RIVERSIDE (continued)	11/3/2009	Perris Union High		Full	Twyman	Carolyn	Parent/Retired Educator	Yes	3	5,307	11,663	45.5%	Yes
					Hulstrom	William "Bill"	Incumbent	Yes	3	3,902	11,663	33.5%	Yes
					McCarron	Brady A.	Radio/Television Producer	No	3	2,454	11,663	21.0%	No
		Riverside Unified		Full	Allavie	Kathy Y.	Incumbent	Yes	4	12,479	32,033	39.0%	Yes
					Beaty	Charles "Chuck"	Incumbent	Yes	4	11,283	32,033	35.2%	Yes
					Swartz	Mark	Deputy Probation Officer	No	4	4,474	32,033	14.0%	No
Lorenz	Christopher				Federal Agent	No	4	3,797	32,033	11.9%	No		
SACRAMENTO	No School District Contests												
SAN BENITO	No School District Contests												
SAN BERNARDINO	11/3/2009	Baker Valley Unified		Full	Cranmer	Nathan	Maintenance Worker	No	4	49	153	32.0%	Yes
					Horenburg	Adam	Deputy Sherriff	No	4	46	153	30.1%	Yes
					Price	Ann	Incumbent	Yes	4	34	153	22.2%	Yes
					Benson	Pam	Incumbent	Yes	4	24	153	15.7%	No
		Chaffey Community College		Full	Roberts	Katie	Incumbent	Yes	5	15,203	43,689	34.8%	Yes
					Brugger	Kathleen "Kathy"	Incumbent	Yes	5	14,238	43,689	32.6%	Yes
					Avila	Paul Vincent	Governing Board Member, Ontario-Montclair	No	5	5,512	43,689	12.6%	No
					Hernandez-Blair	Irene	County Employee	No	5	5,491	43,689	12.6%	No
					Agrella	Christopher M.	Businessman	No	5	3,245	43,689	7.4%	No
SAN DIEGO	No School District Contests												
SAN FRANCISCO	No School District Contests												
SAN JOAQUIN	11/3/2009	Oakdale Joint Union High ¹⁹	2	Full	Gilbert	Diane	Businesswoman	No	4	2,590	9,815	26.4%	Yes
					House	Mike	Incumbent	Yes	4	2,492	9,815	25.4%	Yes
					Dyer	William W. "Bill"	Incumbent	Yes	4	2,408	9,815	24.5%	Yes
					Jones	Rick W.	Incumbent/Business Owner	Yes	4	2,279	9,815	23.2%	No
SAN LUIS OBISPO													
SAN MATEO	11/3/2009	Hillsborough City Elementary		Full	Benninger	Mary Ellen	Incumbent	Yes	5	1,394	4,604	30.3%	Yes
					Koury	Steven M.	Parent, Finance Professional	No	5	1,326	4,604	28.8%	Yes
					Esselstein	Lynne	Parent/Attorney	No	5	1,133	4,604	24.6%	Yes
					Gans	Steven	Parent/Software Architect	No	5	641	4,604	13.9%	No
					Wu, M.D.	Pearl G.	Parent/Physician	No	5	110	4,604	2.4%	No

¹⁹ Multiple county school district. Results for Los Angeles county are reported separately.

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR SCHOOL DISTRICT BOARD CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2009

COUNTY	DATE	SCHOOL DISTRICT	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM- BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELEC- TED	
SAN MATEO (continued)	11/3/2009	Redwood City Elementary		Full	Paulson	Hilary S.	Appointed Incumbent	No	4	5,037	15,315	32.9%	Yes	
					Diaz-Slocum	Maria	Incumbent	Yes	4	4,661	15,315	30.4%	Yes	
					Hickey	John J. "Jack"	Member, Sequoia Healthcare Board	No	4	2,920	15,315	19.1%	No	
					Cuniberti-Duran	Lea	Parent/Business Owner	No	4	2,697	15,315	17.6%	No	
	San Bruno Park Elementary				Full	Henderson	William "Skip"	Governing Board Member, San Bruno Park	Yes	5	2,554	9,470	27.0%	Yes
						Sanchez	Henry C.	Physician/Professor	No	5	2,221	9,470	23.5%	Yes
						Prescott	James R.	Incumbent	Yes	5	2,044	9,470	21.6%	Yes
						Zelnich, Jr.	Charles "Chuck"	Businessman	No	5	1,364	9,470	14.4%	No
						Araujo	Maria N.	Food Server	No	5	1,287	9,470	13.6%	No
	San Mateo Union High				Full	Hanley	Peter H.	Incumbent	Yes	5	17,321	70,328	24.6%	Yes
						Dwyer	Linda Lees	Incumbent	Yes	5	16,033	70,328	22.8%	Yes
						Griffin	Robert H.	Incumbent/CPA	Yes	5	14,580	70,328	20.7%	Yes
						Loy	Mike	Parent/Businessman	No	5	13,197	70,328	18.8%	No
						Ortiz	Guadalupe	Educator/Program Specialist	No	5	9,197	70,328	13.1%	No
	San Mateo-Foster City Elementary				Full	Ulrich	Ellen Mallory	Parent/Community Volunteer	Yes	4	10,094	35,366	28.5%	Yes
						Lawson	Lory Lorimer	Incumbent	Yes	4	9,863	35,366	27.9%	Yes
						Chan	Julie S.	Business Professional/Parent	No	4	8,875	35,366	25.1%	Yes
						Miller	John	Software Developer	No	4	6,534	35,366	18.5%	No
	Sequoia Union High				Full	Thomsen	Chris	University Institute Director	No	8	10,873	48,879	22.2%	Yes
						Sarver	Alan	Retired Software Manager	No	8	9,720	48,879	19.9%	Yes
						Kiraly	Virginia Chang	Economic Developer Commissioner	No	8	8,085	48,879	16.5%	No
						Fernando	Bob	CFO/Parent	No	8	5,529	48,879	11.3%	No
						Fernandez	Nohema	Educator/Administrator	No	8	4,190	48,879	8.6%	No
						Greene	Jacqueline	Development Associate	No	8	3,752	48,879	7.7%	No
						Injasoulian	Beth "Ms. Inja"	High School Statistics Teacher	No	8	3,528	48,879	7.2%	No
						Zasslow	Noria	Mother	No	8	3,202	48,879	6.6%	No
	Woodside Elementary				Full	Pike	Bettina U.	Incumbent	Yes	5	350	1,308	26.8%	Yes
						Crandall	Wendy Warren	Parent	No	5	321	1,308	24.5%	Yes
						Tarpenning	Marc	Entrepreneur/Parent	No	5	310	1,308	23.7%	Yes
						Frenkel-Popell	Elianne	Incumbent	Yes	5	184	1,308	14.1%	No
						Fenzi	Millo	Father/internet Businessman	No	5	143	1,308	10.9%	No

SANTA BARBARA No School District Contests

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR SCHOOL DISTRICT BOARD CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2009

COUNTY	DATE	SCHOOL DISTRICT	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELEC- TED		
SANTA CLARA	11/3/2009	Cupertino Union Elementary		Full	McCue	Gary	Governing Board Member, Cupertino Union	Yes	4	15,167	49,742	30.5%	Yes		
					Liao	Ben	Trustee, Cupertino Union	Yes	4	14,375	49,742	28.9%	Yes		
					Kausar	Anjali	Appt Board Member, Cupertino Union	No	4	12,885	49,742	25.9%	Yes		
					Peng	Kern	Father/Professor/Manager	No	4	7,315	49,742	14.7%	No		
		Los Altos Elementary	Full	Goines	Mark	Incumbent, School Board Member	Yes	5	4,680	16,540	28.3%	Yes			
				Logan	Tamara L. "Tammy"	Engineer	No	5	4,569	16,540	27.6%	Yes			
				Smith	Douglas J. "Doug"	Software Executive	No	5	3,798	16,540	23.0%	Yes			
				Radford	John	Business Executive	No	5	2,647	16,540	16.0%	No			
				Hood	Rowene	Real Estate Broker	No	5	846	16,540	5.1%	No			
		Orchard Elementary	Full	Fong	Alan	Incumbent	Yes	4	450	1,606	28.0%	Yes			
				Newton	Mark	Engineer/Supervisor/Parent	No	4	430	1,606	26.8%	Yes			
				Fleming	Bambi Ann	Incumbent	Yes	4	364	1,606	22.7%	Yes			
				Riley	Ken	Incumbent	Yes	4	362	1,606	22.5%	No			
		SANTA CRUZ	No School District Contests												
		SHASTA	11/3/2009	Anderson Union High		Full	Gibson	Joseph	Incumbent	Yes	5	2,424	11,155	21.7%	Yes
							Brown	Ron	Incumbent	Yes	5	2,380	11,155	21.3%	Yes
Amen	G. Ivar						Incumbent	Yes	5	2,235	11,155	20.0%	Yes		
Gilbert	Mary B.						Board Member, Cascade USD	No	5	2,072	11,155	18.6%	No		
Mannion	Rex						City Maintenance Worker	No	5	2,021	11,155	18.1%	No		
Bella Vista Elementary	Full			Borda	Charles	Incumbent	Yes	4	213	826	25.8%	Yes			
				Covington	Greg	Retired	No	4	205	826	24.8%	Yes			
				Geisler	Ed	Incumbent	Yes	4	203	826	24.6%	No			
				Lemke	Gordon	Incumbent	Yes	4	203	826	24.6%	No			
Cascade Union Elementary	Full			Carr	Jeffrey L.	Teacher	No	5	940	3,593	26.2%	Yes			
				Dumore	Leila G.	Teacher	No	5	824	3,593	22.9%	Yes			
				McMullen	Les	Small Business Owner	No	5	733	3,593	20.4%	Yes			
				Cannan	Ann	Incumbent	Yes	5	604	3,593	16.8%	No			
				Leitaker	Janice R.	Incumbent	Yes	5	483	3,593	13.4%	No			
	Short			Quigley	Terri	Retired School Employee	No	2	664	1,298	51.20%	Yes			
	Slater			Jason D.	Manager	No	2	629	1,298	48.50%	No				

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR SCHOOL DISTRICT BOARD CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2009

COUNTY	DATE	SCHOOL DISTRICT	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELEC- TED
SHASTA (continued)	11/3/2009	Cottonwood Union Elementary		Full	Seale	Jim	Incumbent	Yes	6	799	3,943	20.3%	Yes
					Lucero	Fred	Incumbent	Yes	6	785	3,943	19.9%	Yes
					Walther	Paul A.	Incumbent	Yes	6	765	3,943	19.4%	Yes
					Wallace	Dave	Certified Public Accountant	No	6	661	3,943	16.8%	No
					Thomas	Michelle	Relative Resource Navigator	No	6	491	3,943	12.5%	No
					Goldschmidt	Scott	Supervisor/Property Manager	No	6	442	3,943	11.2%	No
		Enterprise Elementary		Full	Swendiman	Scott J.	Incumbent	Yes	4	1,990	6,809	29.2%	Yes
					Weyand	Tiffany M.	Incumbent	Yes	4	1,907	6,809	28.0%	Yes
					Cueller	Art	Incumbent	Yes	4	1,845	6,809	27.1%	Yes
					Hunt	Russell K.	Science Teacher	No	4	1,050	6,809	15.4%	No
		Fall River Joint Unified		Full	Oller	Randy	Incumbent	Yes	4	1,107	3,633	30.5%	Yes
					Vigil	Teri	Incumbent	Yes	4	1,014	3,633	27.9%	Yes
					Howes	Janelle	Homemaker	No	4	754	3,633	20.8%	No
					Venegas	Ignacio "Iggy"	Power Plant Operator	No	4	754	3,633	20.8%	No
		Gateway Unified	4	Full	Matias	Ken	Incumbent	Yes	3	2,040	5,808	35.1%	Yes
					Cassingham	Samantha "Delles"	Parent	No	3	1,979	5,808	34.1%	Yes
					Wallace	Dale R.	Incumbent	Yes	3	1,774	5,808	30.5%	No
		Indian Springs Elementary		Full	Newell	Fred C.	No Ballot Designation	No	5	49	172	28.5%	Yes
					Herbert	Joyce	Appointed Incumbent	No	5	41	172	23.8%	Yes
					Adams	Nick	Incumbent	Yes	5	33	172	19.2%	Yes
					Engles	Douglas	Incumbent	Yes	5	24	172	14.0%	No
					Gore	Guy "Mick"	Caretaker	No	5	24	172	14.0%	No
		North Cow Creek Elementary		Full	Toney	Allen E.	Incumbent	Yes	5	172	665	25.9%	Yes
					Butler	Cindy	Teacher	No	5	143	665	21.5%	Yes
					Steppat	Karen	Health Educator	No	5	138	665	20.8%	Yes
					Wood	Tammy	Attorney	No	5	129	665	19.4%	No
					Butler	Kevin	Civil Engineer	No	5	83	665	12.5%	No
		Shasta County Office of Education	1	Full	McBride	Linda J.	Incumbent	Yes	3	4,545	11,388	39.9%	Yes
					Wilson	Susan M.	Incumbent	Yes	3	4,350	11,388	38.2%	Yes
					Blalock	Ira	Retired	No	3	2,461	11,388	21.6%	No
2	Full		Hull	Rhonda	Incumbent	Yes	3	7,180	20,467	35.1%	Yes		
			MacFarland	Steve	Quality Assurance Officer	No	3	6,877	20,467	33.6%	Yes		
			Fasking	Derek	Incumbent	Yes	3	6,342	20,467	31.0%	No		

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR SCHOOL DISTRICT BOARD CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2009

COUNTY	DATE	SCHOOL DISTRICT	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELEC- TED		
SHASTA (continued)	11/3/2009	Shasta Union High		Full	Stupek	Bev	Incumbent	Yes	4	6,475	23,957	27.0%	Yes		
					Pepple	Connie	Incumbent	Yes	4	6,197	23,957	25.9%	Yes		
					Haase	Charlie	Incumbent	Yes	4	6,089	23,957	25.4%	Yes		
					Langfield	Darren	Civil Engineer	No	4	5,138	23,957	21.4%	No		
		Shasta-Tehama-Trinity Jt. Comm. College ²⁰	F	Full	Lydon	Rob	Veterinarian	No	3	407	653	62.3%	No		
					Lucas	Harold J.	Incumbent	Yes	3	200	653	30.6%	Yes		
					Durrer	Joseph S.	Broker Officer	No	3	41	653	6.3%	No		
					G	Full	Hunn	Pat	Incumbent	Yes	3	302	845	35.7%	No
							Gregory	Stephenie	Small Business Owner	No	3	297	845	35.1%	No
							Steinacher	Robert Michael	Farmer	No	3	242	845	28.6%	Yes
SIERRA	No School District Contests														
SISKIYOU	No School District Contests														
SOLANO	11/3/2009	Benicia Unified		Full	Switzer	Rosie	Incumbent	Yes	4	4,138	14,132	29.3%	Yes		
					Dean	Dana	Incumbent	Yes	4	4,044	14,132	28.6%	Yes		
					Messina	Steve	Small Business Owner	No	4	3,224	14,132	22.8%	Yes		
					Archer	David	Financial Executive	No	4	2,672	14,132	18.9%	No		
		Dixon Unified	Full	Okhremtchouk	Irina	University Instructor	No	7	1,492	7,061	21.1%	Yes			
				Cross	Herb	Realtor	No	7	1,445	7,061	20.5%	Yes			
				Pinon	Gildardo	Small Business Owner	No	7	1,145	7,061	16.2%	Yes			
				Di Paola	Joe	Attorney	No	7	927	7,061	13.1%	No			
				Young	Brian	Community Outreach Fundraiser	No	7	807	7,061	11.4%	No			
				Proctor	Amy D.	Incumbent	Yes	7	748	7,061	10.6%	No			
				McGuire	Chris	Contracts Specialist	No	7	488	7,061	6.9%	No			
		Fairfield-Suisun Unified	Full	Wood	Charles B.	Incumbent	Yes	8	8,606	45,868	18.8%	Yes			
				Gaut	David	Incumbent	Yes	8	7,871	45,868	17.2%	Yes			
				Laski	Gary	Architect/Businessman/Parent	No	8	6,934	45,868	15.1%	Yes			
				Griffin	Anne M.	Incumbent	Yes	8	6,781	45,868	14.8%	No			
				Centeno	Brian P.	Educational Administrator	No	8	5,215	45,868	11.4%	No			
				Da Venport	Delores J.	Retired Educator	No	8	4,668	45,868	10.2%	No			
				Manning, Sr.	Ira L.	Clergy	No	8	3,617	45,868	7.9%	No			
				Kingeter	Charles F.	Software programmer	No	8	2,105	45,868	4.6%	No			
		Solano County Office of Education	6	Full	Ford	Douglas J.	Retired USAF Officer	Yes	2	4,064	6,730	60.4%	Yes		
Levine	Shana				Dixon Unified School District Board Member	No	2	2,644	6,730	39.3%	No				

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR SCHOOL DISTRICT BOARD CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2009

COUNTY	DATE	SCHOOL DISTRICT	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELEC- TED	
<i>²⁰Multiple county school district. Results for Tehama county are reported separately.</i>														
SOLANO	11/3/2009	Travis Unified		Full	Craig	Gary R.	Retired College Trustee	No	3	1,031	2,401	42.9%	Yes	
					Bishop	Donna	Foster Parent	No	3	787	2,401	32.8%	Yes	
					Ireland	Wanona	Incumbent	No	3	575	2,401	23.9%	No	
		Vacaville Unified	Full	Whitman	Horace "Whit"	Parent/Retired Pilot	No	6	5,738	22,774	25.2%	Yes		
				McCallum	David P.	Medi-cal/Medicare Liaison	No	6	4,084	22,774	17.9%	Yes		
				Nutt	Theresa "Teri"	Teacher	Yes	6	3,747	22,774	16.5%	Yes		
				Flask	Chris	Operations Efficiency Specialist	No	6	3,685	22,774	16.2%	No		
				Hausler	William	Pastor	Yes	6	2,889	22,774	12.7%	No		
				Zwick	Karen E.	Commercial Property Manager	No	6	2,578	22,774	11.3%	No		
		Vallejo City Unified	Full	Wilson	Hazel A.	Corporate Audit Manager	Yes	6	8,431	35,137	24.0%	Yes		
				Waterman	Adrienne	Arts Administrator	No	6	6,527	35,137	18.6%	Yes		
				Villanueva	Cris Oggee	Incumbent	Yes	6	6,474	35,137	18.4%	Yes		
				Bordisso	Lou	Mental health Provider	No	6	5,278	35,137	15.0%	No		
				Cayangyang	Ruscal J.	College Student	No	6	4,906	35,137	14.0%	No		
				Baumgardner	Judith	Events/Party Planner	No	6	3,428	35,137	9.8%	No		
SONOMA	11/3/2009	Mendocino County Office of Education ²¹	5	Full	Alterman	Nice	Incumbent	Yes	2	2,694	4,187	64.3%	Yes	
					Montag	George	Carpenter/Antique Dealer	No	2	1,466	4,187	35.0%	No	
					6	Freedman	Jonah	Retired Physician	No	2	9,613	17,079	56.3%	Yes
						Holtkamp	Madelin	Economic Developer	No	2	7,306	17,079	42.8%	No
		Point Arena Joint Union High ²²	Full	Scalon-Hill	Nick	Retired Paramedic Administrator	No	5	1,099	4,434	24.8%	Yes		
				Bates	Leslie T. "Taylor"	Appointed Incumbent	No	5	926	4,434	20.9%	Yes		
				Sandoval	Susan Beecher	Incumbent	Yes	5	892	4,434	20.1%	Yes		
				Marquez	Angela	Incumbent	Yes	5	842	4,434	19.0%	Yes		
				Rush	Suzanne "Susan"	Business Administrator	No	5	651	4,434	14.7%	No		
				STANISLAUS	11/3/2009	Ceres Unified	3	Full	Wigt	Valli	Retired Teacher	No	2	507
Guerrero	Teresa	Incumbent	Yes						2	255	766	33.3%	No	
5	Perez	Lourdes	Businesswoman/Program Coordinator						No	2	400	606	66.0%	Yes
	Romo	Edgar	Incumbent						Yes	2	201	606	33.2%	No
Ceres Unified-At Large	Full	Davis	Betty Sue			Incumbent	Yes	2	1,949	3,395	57.4%	Yes		
		Kline	Brian			No Ballot Designation	No	2	1,392	3,395	41.0%	No		

²¹Multiple county school district. Results for Mendocino county are reported separately.

²² Multiple county school district. Results for Mendocino county are reported separately.

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR SCHOOL DISTRICT BOARD CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2009

COUNTY	DATE	SCHOOL DISTRICT	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELEC- TED
STANISLAUS (continued)	11/3/2009	Chatom Elementary		Full	Santos	Rob	Veterinarian	No	4	428	1,284	33.3%	Yes
					Blount	Kevin	Incumbent	Yes	4	318	1,284	24.8%	Yes
					Avila	Anthony	Local Businessman	No	4	306	1,284	23.8%	Yes
					Machado	Rodney E. "Skip"	Teacher	No	4	227	1,284	17.7%	No
Denair Unified				Full	Hodges	Robert	Farmer	No	6	429	1,836	23.4%	Yes
					Allen	Louisa	Incumbent	Yes	6	415	1,836	22.6%	Yes
					Prock, Jr.	Ray	Dairy Farmer	No	6	317	1,836	17.3%	No
					Hurd	Denise M.	Technology Consultant	No	6	238	1,836	13.0%	No
					Cordova	Norma M.	Incumbent	Yes	6	222	1,836	12.1%	No
					Anderson	Eric	Educator	No	6	211	1,836	11.5%	No
Empire Union Elementary				Full	Bentley	Doug	Educator	No	4	1,322	4,542	29.1%	Yes
					Morales	Stacie A.	Incumbent	Yes	4	1,074	4,542	23.6%	Yes
					Shafer	Patty	Incumbent	Yes	4	1,054	4,542	23.2%	Yes
					Bavaro	Nick	Incumbent	Yes	4	1,052	4,542	23.2%	No
Gratton Elementary				Full	Yardy	Jim	Incumbent	Yes	8	89	444	20.0%	Yes
					Tavernas	George	Farmer/Nut Processor	No	8	83	444	18.7%	Yes
					Johnson	Kristin	Court Reporter	No	8	63	444	14.2%	Yes
					Villarreal	Ruth	Assisted Living Director	No	8	58	444	13.1%	No
					Jensen	Yvette	Executive Management/Businesswoman	No	8	52	444	11.7%	No
					Coburn	Todd	Farmer	No	8	41	444	9.2%	No
					Carlin	Becky	No Ballot Designation	No	8	35	444	7.9%	No
					Amaral	John	Contractor/Farmer	No	8	22	444	5.0%	No
Hughson Unified				Full	Cunningham-Gipp	Cindy	Incumbent	Yes	4	980	3,276	29.9%	Yes
					Heckman	Randall L.	Incumbent	Yes	4	950	3,276	29.0%	Yes
					Applegate	Rick	Incumbent	Yes	4	799	3,276	24.4%	Yes
					Lee	Erik M.	School Business Manager	No	4	535	3,276	16.3%	No
Keyes Union Elementary				Full	Emmons, Sr.	Jimmy	School Maintenance	No	7	149	840	17.7%	Yes
					Reed	Jeff	Businessman	No	7	145	840	17.3%	Yes
					Aguilar	Antonio	Incumbent	Yes	7	143	840	17.0%	Yes
					Reynolds	Christopher	Minister/Businessman	No	7	112	840	13.3%	No
					Rayburn	Remona	Incumbent	Yes	7	109	840	13.0%	No
					Jimenez	Carlos	State Agriculture Aide	No	7	86	840	10.2%	No
					Munoz-Jimenez	Sophia	Entrepreneur	No	7	86	840	10.2%	No

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR SCHOOL DISTRICT BOARD CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2009

COUNTY	DATE	SCHOOL DISTRICT	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM- BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELEC- TED	
STANISLAUS (continued)	11/3/2009	Modesto City High		Full	Zwahlen	Sue	Registered Nurse	No	9	14,665	87,107	16.8%	Yes	
					Marks	Cindy	School Board Trustee	Yes	9	11,744	87,107	13.5%	Yes	
					Villalobos	Ruben A.	Business Owner/Attorney	No	9	11,111	87,107	12.8%	Yes	
					Cline	Nancy C. "Novak"	Incumbent	Yes	9	10,491	87,107	12.0%	Yes	
					Altman	Solange G.	Businesswoman/Attorney	No	9	9,456	87,107	10.9%	No	
					Standart	Jim	Retired Business Manager	No	9	9,108	87,107	10.5%	No	
					Collins	Steve	School Board Member	Yes	9	8,349	87,107	9.6%	No	
					Veen	Joshua Vander	Customer Service Associate	No	9	6,581	87,107	7.6%	No	
					Rolichcheck	Belinda	Incumbent	Yes	9	5,443	87,107	6.2%	No	
		Newman-Crows Landing Unified		Full	McWilliams	Kerry	Educator	No	7	701	2,244	31.2%	Yes	
					Wallace	Paul W.	Businessman/Planning Commissioner	No	7	547	2,244	24.4%	Yes	
					Solano	Derek	Incumbent	Yes	7	304	2,244	13.5%	No	
					Alexander	Barbara	Incumbent	Yes	7	238	2,244	10.6%	No	
					Gonzalez	Olivia	Care Giver	No	7	221	2,244	9.8%	No	
					Gaytan	Richard "Coach"	Retired	No	7	122	2,244	5.4%	No	
					McCullough	Michelle	Mechanical Project Manger	No	7	105	2,244	4.7%	No	
		Oakdale Joint Union High ²³		Full	2	Gilbert	Diane	Businesswoman	No	4	2,621	9,942	26.4%	Yes
					House	Mike	Incumbent	Yes	4	2,528	9,942	25.4%	Yes	
					Dyer	William W. "Bill"	Incumbent	Yes	4	2,439	9,942	24.5%	Yes	
					Jones	Rick W.	Incumbent/Business Owner	Yes	4	2,307	9,942	23.2%	No	
		Riverbank Unified		Full	Peterson	Ron	Incumbent	Yes	4	1,013	3,263	31.0%	Yes	
					Meza	Elizabeth	Mother	No	4	898	3,263	27.5%	Yes	
					Oliveira	Egidio "Jeep"	Incumbent	Yes	4	755	3,263	23.1%	Yes	
					Blount	Cohen	Student	No	4	581	3,263	17.8%	No	
		Salida Union Elementary		Full	Berry	Virginia L.	Retired Educational Administrator	No	4	938	3,009	31.2%	Yes	
					Engelbright	Catie L.	Salida School Secretary	No	4	865	3,009	28.7%	Yes	
					Wyeth, II	Ivan	Incumbent	Yes	4	695	3,009	23.1%	Yes	
					Colcord	Torren	Executive Director	No	4	496	3,009	16.5%	No	
		Sylvan Union Elementary		Full	Lindsey	Cyndi	Incumbent	Yes	4	4,873	17,451	27.9%	Yes	
					Rawe	George	Incumbent	Yes	4	4,777	17,451	27.4%	Yes	
					Zeek	Terriann	Incumbent	Yes	4	3,979	17,451	22.8%	Yes	
					Siegel	Ann	Autism Program Specialist	No	4	3,754	17,451	21.5%	No	

²³Multiple county school district. Results for San Joaquin county are reported separately.

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR SCHOOL DISTRICT BOARD CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2009

COUNTY	DATE	SCHOOL DISTRICT	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM- BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELEC- TED	
STANISLAUS (continued)	11/3/2009	Turlock Joint Unified ²⁴		Full	Weaver	Bob	Field man	No	6	3,547	16,302	21.8%	Yes	
					Bernard	Josh	Farmer/Dairyman	No	6	3,389	16,302	20.8%	Yes	
					Crivelli	Lori	Business Owner	No	6	2,625	16,302	16.1%	Yes	
					Lavelle	Timm	Incumbent	Yes	6	2,326	16,302	14.3%	No	
					Renshaw	Felicia D.	Incumbent	Yes	6	2,270	16,302	13.9%	No	
					Muniz	Tami	Incumbent	Yes	6	2,090	16,302	12.8%	No	
	Waterford Elementary		Full	Bomgardner	TimothyL.	Incumbent	Yes	6	655	2,459	26.6%	Yes		
				Collins	Shelia	Incumbent	Yes	6	497	2,459	20.2%	Yes		
				Weaver	Jim	Business Executive	No	6	476	2,459	19.4%	Yes		
				Little	Barbara	Incumbent	Yes	6	309	2,459	12.6%	No		
				Villarreal	Shirley	Businesswoman	No	6	279	2,459	11.3%	No		
				Godoy	Elisa	Substitute Teacher	No	6	237	2,459	9.6%	No		
				SUTTER No School District Contests										
TEHAMA	11/3/2009	Shasta-Tehama-Trinity Jt. Comm. College ²⁵	F	Full	Lucas	Harold J.	Incumbent	Yes	3	1,700	3,189	53.3%	Yes	
					Lydon	Rob	Veterinarian	No	3	1,033	3,189	32.4%	No	
					Durrer	Joseph S.	Broker Officer	No	3	381	3,189	11.9%	No	
			G	Full	Steinacher	Robert Michael	Farmer	No	3	1,402	3,042	46.1%	Yes	
					Hunn	Pat	Incumbent	Yes	3	1,038	3,042	34.1%	No	
					Gregory	Stephenie	Small Business Owner	No	3	533	3,042	17.5%	No	
TRINITY	11/3/2009	Klamath-Trinity Joint Unified ²⁶	1	Full	Fattig	Mary Ann	Incumbent	Yes	2	67	134	50.0%	No	
					Watty	Kerry Gail	Retired Teacher	No	2	65	134	48.5%	Yes	
		Mountain Valley Unified	Full	Rapf	John	Small Business Owner	No	3	44	96	45.8%	Yes		
				Moore	Frank	Systems Consultant	No	3	26	96	27.1%	No		
				Pectol	Gregg A.	Incumbent	Yes	3	24	96	25.0%	No		
		Trinity Alps Unified	Full	Hagen	Stephen	Business Owner	No	2	441	708	62.3%	Yes		
	Angspat			Jiraporn Dow	No Ballot Designation	No	2	259	708	36.6%	No			
	TULARE	1/27/09	Earlimart Elementary		Full	Garcia	Ruby V.	Retired	No	2	170	280	60.7%	Yes
						Perez	Isela G.	Teacher	No	2	110	280	39.3%	No
6/16/09		Woodlake Union Elementary	Short	Holdbrooks	Frances Rivas	Retired Educator	No	3	209	594	35.2%	Yes		
				Chapman, III	Ralph	Local Business Owner	No	3	202	594	34.0%	No		
				Martinez	Armida V.	Accounting Technician	No	3	183	594	30.8%	No		

²⁴Multiple county school district. Results for San Joaquin county are reported separately.

²⁵Multiple county school district. Results for Shasta county are reported separately.

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR SCHOOL DISTRICT BOARD CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2009

COUNTY	DATE	SCHOOL DISTRICT	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELEC- TED		
<i>²⁶Multiple county school district. Results for Humboldt county are reported separately.</i>															
TULARE (continued)	11/3/2009	Dinuba Unified ²⁷	3	Full	Keel-Worrell	Beverly	Incumbent	Yes	3	459	828	55.4%	Yes		
					Meyers	Jason	Educator	No	3	184	828	22.2%	No		
					Lopez	Rodolfo	Retail District Manager	No	3	182	828	22.0%	No		
		Hope Elementary	Full	Cemo	Tom	Incumbent	Yes	3	81	189	42.9%	Yes			
				Newby	Tim	Incumbent	Yes	3	61	189	32.3%	Yes			
				Warren	Kathy D.	Homemaker	No	3	47	189	24.9%	No			
		Palo Verde Union Elementary	Full	Gonzalez	Manuel	Incumbent	Yes	4	77	268	28.7%	Yes			
				Benson	Bill	Incumbent	Yes	4	72	268	26.9%	Yes			
				Eddy	Jack	Incumbent	Yes	4	65	268	24.3%	Yes			
				Woodard	Scott A.	Ranch Manager	No	4	50	268	18.7%	No			
		Pixley Union Elementary	Full	Herbert	Sharon	Business Owner	No	7	127	626	20.3%	Yes			
				Morehead	Betty	Business Owner	No	7	105	626	16.8%	Yes			
				Williams	David	Self Employed	No	7	89	626	14.2%	Yes			
				Nunes	Gennifer L.	Incumbent	Yes	7	84	626	13.4%	No			
				Westbrook	Karen	Business Owner	No	7	81	626	12.9%	No			
				Brazell	Linda L.	Incumbent	Yes	7	72	626	11.5%	No			
				Masters	Robert	Machine Operator	No	7	68	626	10.9%	No			
		Visalia Unified	1	Full	Chaney	Timothy	Vocational Instructor	No	4	4,946	12,311	40.2%	Yes		
					Martin-Winter	Sally	Small Business Owner	No	4	3,872	12,311	31.5%	No		
					Yost	Victor	Microbiologist/Business Owner	No	4	2,319	12,311	18.8%	No		
					Barker	Rusty	Human Resource Assistant	No	4	1,131	12,311	9.2%	No		
			2	Full	Elder	Rodney	Incumbent	Yes	1	9,878	10,101	97.8%	Yes		
			3	Full	Qualls	Jim L.	Incumbent	Yes	1	10,262	10,473	98.0%	Yes		
			4	Full	Ulmschneider	Charles E.	Public School Teacher	No	2	6,441	12,122	53.1%	Yes		
					Guerrero	Juan R.	Incumbent	Yes	2	5,604	12,122	46.2%	No		
		TUOLUMNE	5/19/09	Big Oak Flat-Groveland Unified ^R	1	Short	Morcott	Ian	Software Eng/Technology Entrepreneur/Parent	No	1	1,384	1,442	96.0%	Yes
							2	Short	Spring	Paul X.	Parent	No	2	1,009	1,408
Oelrich	Dan								Management Analyst	No	2	364	1,408	25.9%	No
3	Short						West	Lori	Parent	No	2	1,157	1,482	78.1%	Yes
							Johnson	Paul W.	Broadcast Engineer	No	2	299	1,482	20.2%	No
4	Short						Malloy	Mike	Certified Public Accountant	No	1	1,355	1,398	96.9%	Yes
5	Short						Marler	Gloria	Financial Manager/Business Owner/Parent	No	1	1,334	1,395	95.6%	Yes

²⁷Multiple county school district. Results for Fresno county are reported separately.

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR SCHOOL DISTRICT BOARD CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2009

COUNTY	DATE	SCHOOL DISTRICT	DIS- TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELEC- TED	
<i>^RTo be elected if recall measure passes.</i>														
VENTURA	11/3/2009	Las Virgenes Unified ²⁸	Full		Combes	Diane Jean	Business Broker	No	4	71	265	26.8%	No	
					Whitehead	F. Gordon	Incumbent	Yes	4	70	265	26.4%	Yes	
					Stein	Lesli	Parent	No	4	67	265	25.3%	Yes	
					Moorman	Dave S.	Governing Board Member	Yes	4	57	265	21.5%	Yes	
			Ventura Unified	Full		Walker	John B.	Incumbent	Yes	5	14,900	54,106	27.5%	Yes
					Haffner	Mary A.	Incumbent	Yes	5	14,812	54,106	27.4%	Yes	
					Lomax	Velma L. "Y"	Incumbent	Yes	5	13,794	54,106	25.5%	Yes	
					Dollonne	Monique	Educational Consultant	No	5	7,452	54,106	13.8%	No	
				Norrdin	David L.	Stock Person	No	5	3,052	54,106	5.6%	No		
YOLO	No School District Contests													
YUBA	No School District Contests													

²⁸ Multiple county school district. Results for Los Angeles county are reported separately.

TABLE 2.2 SUMMARY OF ELECTION OUTCOMES FOR
SCHOOL BOARD OFFICES, 2009¹

		Percent	N
Incumbent Candidates	Win	76.1	316
	Lose	23.9	99
	Total	100.0	415
Non-Incumbent Candidates	Win	40.5	257
	Lose	59.5	377
	Total	100.0	634
Winning Candidates	Incumbent	55.1	316
	Non-Incumbent	44.9	257
	Total	100.0	573
Losing Candidates	Incumbent	20.8	99
	Non-Incumbent	79.2	377
	Total	100.0	476
All Candidates	Incumbent	39.6	415
	Non-Incumbent	60.4	634
	Total	100.0	1,049

¹Runoffs are excluded from summary count.