

VMB Deadline and Second Funding Round Survey

Counties	Should the March 1, 2007, deadline be extended any further for the six remaining counties to have more time to submit Project Documentation Plans before reversion of the funds?	Should the Board establish a January 1, 2008, deadline on the use of funds for counties who have submitted a Phased Approach Project Documentation Plan?	If your county has any remaining initial formula allocation, will your county be using these remaining funds?	If your county has completed the purchase of and payment for your voting equipment, will your county be reverting any funds back to VMB?	Would your county be interested in applying for second round VMB funding for additional voting equipment?	Should the Board use the same formula to distribute the second round funding?	Additional Comments
Alameda As of 1/17/07 - No response to survey received.							
Alpine	Yes	No	N/A	No	Yes	Yes	
Amador	Yes	Yes	Don't Know	Don't Know	Don't Know	Yes	The funding should be extended as the number of approved vendors is increasing. As soon as we receive the final billing from our vendor we will know if we have any funds left.
Butte As of 1/17/07 - No response to survey received.							
Calaveras	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A	Yes	Yes	Having gone through two elections with our equipment, we have determined need for additional machines. We have not used the total amount of funds originally allocated.
Colusa	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A	Yes	No Response	
Contra Costa	No Response	No Response	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	We plan to use our allocation and any additional re-allocations. Please thank the Board for their continued work.
Del Norte As of 1/17/07 - No response to survey received.							
El Dorado	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	The funding formula should not include counties who did not submit plans.
Fresno	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	
Glenn	No Response	No Response	No Response	N/A	Yes	No Response	We do not currently have an adequate number of electronic voting machines. We would like to purchase at least ten more.
Humboldt	Yes	Yes	Yes	No Response	Yes	No	LA is likely to need time for the phased approach; if they can't make 1/1/08, they should get more time. If not all counties want in on the second round of funding, the existing formula doesn't work.
Imperial As of 1/17/07 - No response to survey received.							
Inyo As of 1/17/07 - No response to survey received.							
Kern	Yes	Yes	N/A	No	Yes	Yes	
Kings As of 1/17/07 - No response to survey received.							
Lake	Yes	Yes	Possibly Yes	Possibly Yes	No plans at this time	No	The remaining money should be distributed to those counties with need.
Lassen	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Unsure	
Los Angeles	No	No	Yes	N/A	Yes	Yes	
Madera As of 1/17/07 - No response to survey received.							

VMB Deadline and Second Funding Round Survey

Counties	Should the March 1, 2007, deadline be extended any further for the six remaining counties to have more time to submit Project Documentation Plans before reversion of the funds?	Should the Board establish a January 1, 2008, deadline on the use of funds for counties who have submitted a Phased Approach Project Documentation Plan?	If your county has any remaining initial formula allocation, will your county be using these remaining funds?	If your county has completed the purchase of and payment for your voting equipment, will your county be reverting any funds back to VMB?	Would your county be interested in applying for second round VMB funding for additional voting equipment?	Should the Board use the same formula to distribute the second round funding?	Additional Comments
Marin	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Marin County plans future upgrades to its voting system due to improvements in voting equipment and changes in federal regulations. Since the certification process is lengthy, the deadline for spending VMB funds must be extended as far out as possible.
Mariposa	As of 1/17/07 - No response to survey received.						
Mendocino	No Response	No Response	Yes	No Response	No Response	Yes	With the impending review of voting systems by the new Secretary of State, I think we should keep all funding options open.
Merced	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	
Modoc	As of 1/17/07 - No response to survey received.						
Mono	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	
Monterey	As of 1/17/07 - No response to survey received.						
Napa	No Response	No Response	No Response	No Response	No Response	Yes	
Nevada	Yes	Undecided	N/A	N/A	N/A	Undecided	Nevada County is one of the six counties identified above, please see attached report on our progress to date and the justification for deadline extension.
Orange	Yes	Yes	N/A	N/A	N/A	Yes	
Placer	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A	Yes	Yes	Our purchase is complete, but we are still awaiting an invoice from our vendor. Once we are able to appear in front of the VMB, we will be requesting our remaining balance and will not be reverting any funds.
Plumas	As of 1/17/07 - No response to survey received.						
Riverside	As of 1/17/07 - No response to survey received.						
Sacramento	No Preference	No Preference	N/A	No	Yes	Yes	
San Benito	As of 1/17/07 - No response to survey received.						
San Bernardino	No Response	No Response	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	
San Diego	Yes	No	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Due to the structure of our contract and the continued uncertainty of certification of contracted for components and equipment and the potential need for additional components in advance of the next election cycle, flexibility rather than an arbitrary deadline would better serve our goals.
San Francisco	As of 1/17/07 - No response to survey received.						
San Joaquin	No	Yes	N/A	No	Yes	Yes	

VMB Deadline and Second Funding Round Survey

Counties	Should the March 1, 2007, deadline be extended any further for the six remaining counties to have more time to submit Project Documentation Plans before reversion of the funds?	Should the Board establish a January 1, 2008, deadline on the use of funds for counties who have submitted a Phased Approach Project Documentation Plan?	If your county has any remaining initial formula allocation, will your county be using these remaining funds?	If your county has completed the purchase of and payment for your voting equipment, will your county be reverting any funds back to VMB?	Would your county be interested in applying for second round VMB funding for additional voting equipment?	Should the Board use the same formula to distribute the second round funding?	Additional Comments
San Luis Obispo	Yes	No	Yes	N/A	No	No	With all the unknowns still existing a 1/1/08 deadline is too soon. At least allow counties through 2008 to use the funds. Board should wait until interest in 2nd round of funding is determined before deciding on formula.
San Mateo	No	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	I would caution that the policies and decisions by the new Secretary may impact some of these responses.
Santa Barbara	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Santa Barbara County has submitted a project plan for a 3-phased project. Phase I and II have been completed and funded. Phase III is outstanding pending certification of equipment.
Santa Clara	Yes	Yes	N/A	No	Yes	Yes	
Santa Cruz	No	No	Yes	N/A	Yes	Yes	
Shasta	Yes	No	N/A	Yes	Yes (Maybe?)	No	[Change Formula] update voter registration numbers at a minimum.
Sierra	Yes	Yes	N/A	No	N/A	Yes	
Siskiyou	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	
Solano	As of 1/17/07 - No response to survey received.						
Sonoma	Yes	Yes	Yes - Sonoma County will submit another invoice for final shipment of DAUs.	Yes	No current plans at this time.	No	Suggest distributing unused funds to those counties that have a need.
Stanislaus	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	
Sutter	No	Undecided	N/A	N/A	Undecided	Yes	Its hard to answer applying for second round of funding at this time.
Tehama	Yes	No	N/A	N/A	N/A	No	
Trinity	As of 1/17/07 - No response to survey received.						
Tulare	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	
Tuolumne	Yes	Yes	N/A	N/A	N/A	Yes	
Ventura	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	1/1/08 [deadline] may be too soon.
Yolo	As of 1/17/07 - No response to survey received.						
Yuba	No	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	I'm concerned making decisions of this magnitude when we don't have any idea what restrictions/additional requirements may be forthcoming from the new SOS.