Voting Modernization Board
January 2005 Deadline Survey Results

Counties	Extend Deadline to January 2006	Maintain January 2005 Deadline	Exempt Phased Counties; Maintain January 2005 Deadline	Projected Date to Submit Project Documentation Package to VMB	Comments	Current Voting System	
Alameda	Yes	No	No	Conversion Complete	I think the VMB should be as flexible as possible.	Diebold AccuVote-TS	Touch Screen
Alpine	Yes	No	No	June-04		Datavote	Punch Card
Amador	Yes	No	No	As soon as [a] "perfect" machine is certified.	No state certified machine with paper trail as of this date. It will take more than six months to adequately develop the "perfect" machine.	ES&S Optech	Optical Scan
Butte	Yes	No	No	Before your cut off date.	We had plans to issue an RFP this month (April). Due to the current state of confusion on which systems are certified by the SOS, we have opted to place everything on hold. We had hoped to receive HAVA funds to supplement Prop. 41, as our county is extremely broke.	Mark-A-Vote	Optical Scan
Calavares				Mid 2005		Datavote	Punch Card
Colusa	Yes	No	No	Summer 2004		ES&S Optech	Optical Scan
Contra Costa	Yes	No	No	Depends upon action by VMB.	This is a difficult issue. Any county implementing a major change in their voting system ought to roll it out during 2005, not 2006.	Mark-A-Vote	Optical Scan
Del Norte	Yes	No	No	February-05		Datavote	Punch Card
El Dorado	Yes	No	No	We will do whatever is required by published deadlines.	Due to the lack of certified vendors for California DRE voting systems, any attempt at planning through the Project Documentation process appears to be exercise of futility.	Datavote	Punch Card
Fresno	Yes	No	No	At the last possible moment.	Fresno County was looking at a touchscreen solution for the handicap accessible requirements. However, with all the controversy surrounding touchscreen and Diebold, we are not in a position to make this critical decision now. An extension of time for counties to submit an application for funding is critical.	Diebold AccuVote-OS	Optical Scan
Glenn	Yes	No	No	Depends on whether or not deadline is extended.		Datavote	Punch Card

Voting Modernization Board
January 2005 Deadline Survey Results

Counties	Extend Deadline to January 2006	Maintain January 2005 Deadline	Exempt Phased Counties; Maintain January 2005 Deadline	Projected Date to Submit Project Documentation Package to VMB	Comments	Current Voting System	
Humboldt	Yes	No	No	As soon as the TS debate calms down	The election technology area is in chaos. Moving ahead with our RFP and PDP now is too uncertain for us to have confidence is accessible outcome.	Diebold AccuVote-OS	Optical Scan
Imperial				Late 2005		Datavote	Punch Card
Inyo	Yes	No	No	Hopefully by January 1, 2005	We have been waiting for the issue to be determined (SOS, Legislature & Congress) to finalize our RFP. We cannot afford to purchase a system that is de-certified.	Datavote	Punch Card
Kern	Yes	No	No	Conversion Complete		Diebold AccuVote-TSx	Touch Screen
Kings				Unknown		Sequoia Optech	Optical Scan
Lake	Yes	No	No	Prior to the deadline and hopefully the deadline will be extended to 1-1-2006	Since Sec of State Shelley issued a directive that touch screen voting systems must include a voter verified paper audit trail, I feel that Vendors should be given more time to develop these systems. Consequently, County Elections Officials would have more choices and more time to select the system best suited for their voters.	Mark-A-Vote	Optical Scan
Lassen	Yes	No	Yes	Phase I Complete; Start Phase II in 2005		Diebold AccuVote-OS	Optical Scan
Los Angeles	Yes	No	Yes	Phase I & II early 2004; Phase III early 2005	With the current uncertainties surrounding choices in compliant election equipment, counties should be offered the opportunity to continue a phased in approach without suffering financial penalties.	InkaVote	Optical Scan

Voting Modernization Board
January 2005 Deadline Survey Results

Counties	Extend Deadline to January 2006	Maintain January 2005 Deadline	Exempt Phased Counties; Maintain January 2005 Deadline	Projected Date to Submit Project Documentation Package to VMB	Comments	Current Voting System	
Madera	Yes	No	No	January - June 2005	Because there is so much speculation and criticism regarding electronic voting equipment and what kind of audit it provides, it appears to be unfair to maintain a January 1, 2005 deadline, which is only nine months away. Further, it would be fiscally irresponsible for a county to have to expend the money for the purchase of a system that does not have to be used until March 2006.	Mark-A-Vote	Optical Scan
Marin	Yes	No	No	1st quarter of 2005 or sooner, depending on vendors ability to meet the SOS's paper trail standards for DRE machines.	It is our intent to augment our current investment in Diebold's optical scan system with their DRE machines to meet the ADA requirements within HAVA. At this time, we cannot proceed, as the DRE verifiable paper trail standards have not been defined/adopted by the Secretary of State's office for vendors to incorporate within their product. Recognizing vendors including Diebold will need time to develop the DRE paper trail and that our county will need time to review, purchase and install, we greatly appreciate this survey and request the deadline for Proposition 41 project plan be extended to January 1, 2006.	Diebold AccuVote-OS	Optical Scan
Mariposa				Phase I Complete; Start Phase II late-2004		Sequoia Optech	Optical Scan
Mendocino	Yes	No	No	Phase I Complete; Start Phase II in early 2004		Diebold AccuVote-OS	Optical Scan
Merced	No	Yes	No	By April 30, 2004	Merced County's complete documentation will be submitted by [the end of the] month.	ES&S iVotronic	Touch Screen
Modoc				Late 2005		Diebold AccuVote-OS	Optical Scan
Mono				Late 2005		Sequoia Optech	Optical Scan

Voting Modernization Board
January 2005 Deadline Survey Results

Counties	Extend Deadline to January 2006	Maintain January 2005 Deadline	Exempt Phased Counties; Maintain January 2005 Deadline	Projected Date to Submit Project Documentation Package to VMB	Comments	Current Voting System	
Monterey	Yes	No	No	June 2005??	Due to the potential for de-certification of DRE's and the need for consensus, slow down.	Datavote	Punch Card
Napa				Conversion Complete		Sequoia AVC Edge	Touch Screen
Nevada	Yes	No	No	Summer 2005	The January 2005 deadline is too short of a timeframe considering all of the controversy surrounding this project. Vendors are scrambling to come up with better products & get certified to alleviate some of the issues/problems/concerns.	ES&S Optech	Optical Scan
Orange				Conversion Complete		Hart eSlate	Touch Screen
Placer	Yes	No	No	Phase I - April 2004; Phase II in 2005		Diebold AccuVote-OS	Optical Scan
Plumas				Conversion Complete		Diebold AccuVote-TS	Touch Screen
Riverside				Conversion Complete		Sequoia AVC Edge	Touch Screen
Sacramento	Yes	No	No	Summer 2004	There is **too** much uncertainly when it comes to purchasing a new voting system. Please extend deadline.	Mark-A-Vote	Optical Scan
San Benito	Yes	No	No	October-05		Datavote	Punch Card
San Bernardino				Conversion Complete		Sequoia AVC Edge	Touch Screen
San Diego				Conversion Complete		Diebold AccuVote-TSx	Touch Screen
San Francisco	Yes	No	Yes	Phase I Complete; Phase II Unknown	San Francisco requires any system to be certified for rank-choice voting. Presently, no DRE system has been submitted to SOS for certification making a January 1, 2005 deadline nearly impossible.	ES&S Optech	Optical Scan
San Joaquin				Conversion Complete		Diebold AccuVote-TSx	Touch Screen
San Luis Obispo	Yes	No	No	Summer 2004 (unless extended)	With the uncertainties about DRE requirements & possible de-certification of voting systems, the January 1, 2005 does not provide enough time for a reasoned, orderly approach to voting system replacement.	Diebold AccuVote-OS	Optical Scan

Voting Modernization Board
January 2005 Deadline Survey Results

Counties	Extend Deadline to January 2006	Maintain January 2005 Deadline	Exempt Phased Counties; Maintain January 2005 Deadline	Projected Date to Submit Project Documentation Package to VMB	Comments	Current Voting System	
San Mateo	Yes	No	No	ASAP, but before 6/05	With no real time reference to certify AVVPAT voting machines, it would be a tremendous hardship for counties to make intelligent, cost effective decisions on a purchase/lease agreement.	ES&S Optech	Optical Scan
Santa Barbara	Yes	No	No	Phase I Complete; Phase II before January 2005	I believe the deadline for Project Documentation Plans should be extended to allow all counties to participate. I believe with the controversy surrounding the vote tabulation systems (with DRE) the extra time is essential for the SOS and Counties to assess which system and vendor meets specified requirements.	Diebold AccuVote-OS	Optical Scan
Santa Clara				Conversion Complete		Sequoia AVC Edge	Touch Screen
Santa Cruz	Yes	No	No	Late 2004		Mark-A-Vote	Optical Scan
Shasta	Yes	No	No	Conversion Complete		Sequoia AVC Edge	Touch Screen
Sierra				Late 2004		Datavote	Punch Card
Siskiyou				Phase I Complete; Phase II Unknown		Diebold AccuVote-OS	Optical Scan
Solano	Yes	No	No	Conversion Complete		Diebold AccuVote-TSx	Touch Screen
Sonoma				Late 2005		Mark-A-Vote	Punch Card
Stanislaus	Yes	No	No	Depends on the results of the VMB meeting.	Stanislaus is in favor of an extended period to allow the current equipment to meet standards and become certified before we are being required to select a system we will keep in place for may years to come.	ES&S Optech	Optical Scan
Sutter	Yes	No	No	In 2005	We are a small county who cannot afford to move forward to purchasing a new voting system until we have certainty on the requirements for certification.	Mark-A-Vote	Optical Scan
Tehama	No	Yes	Yes	Conversion Complete		Sequoia AVC Edge	Touch Screen
Trinity	Yes	No	No	When we know what will be required.	Deadlines should not set until the equipment requirements have been determined and equipment certified to meet the requirements.	Diebold AccuVote-OS	Optical Scan

May 2004

Voting Modernization Board
January 2005 Deadline Survey Results

Counties	Extend Deadline to January 2006	Maintain January 2005 Deadline	Exempt Phased Counties; Maintain January 2005 Deadline	Projected Date to Submit Project Documentation Package to VMB	Comments	Current Voting System	
Tulare	Yes	No	No	As close to the deadline as possible.	I would like more time.	Diebold AccuVote-OS	Optical Scan
Tuolumne	Yes	No	No	[When the] state approves VVPAT so we know what to ask for from the vendors	It is in such a state of flux.	ES&S Optech	Optical Scan
Ventura	Yes	No	No	Undetermined. Funds reserved.		Datavote	Punch Card
Yolo	Yes	No	No	January-05		Datavote	Punch Card
Yuba	Yes	No	No	Late 2005	I feel all deadlines should be extended until **ALL** counties have a clear opportunity to understand requirements and financial impacts, plus a clear designation of certified vendors.	Datavote	Punch Card