

**VMB Second Funding Round Survey - May 2012**

Counties	Initial VMB Formula Allocation	Total Remaining Allocation	If your county has any remaining initial formula allocation, will your county be using these remaining funds?	If your county has completed the purchase of and payment for your voting equipment, will your county be reverting any funds back to the VMB?	Would your county be interested in applying for second round VMB funding for additional voting equipment if it were made available?	If you have additional equipment you'd like to purchase, please answer the questions below. a.) What type of equipment would you purchase?	b.) What vendor would you purchase this equipment from?	c.) When would you anticipate purchasing this equipment?	Additional Comments
Alameda	\$ 8,779,360.86	\$ -	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Yes	Voting Machine (Scanner, HAAT, Printer, Touchscreen, Card Activator, Audio Set)	Dominion Voting	To be determined.	
Alpine	\$ 8,115.19	\$ -	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	No				
Amador	\$ 335,363.98	\$ -	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Yes	M-650 High Speed Ballot Counter	ES&S	If and when funds are available.	
Butte	\$ 1,469,905.57	\$ -	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Yes	Critical need of new voting system.	Depends on what system is certified for use in California.	Depends on funding and the certification of voting equipment.	Our concern is our aging and obsolete system for which there are no upgrades. We will use the system through 2012 but really do need a new system by 2014.
Calaveras	\$ 319,548.93	\$ 125,110.68	Yes	No	No	M100s, AutoMARKS & M650	ES&S	Within the next 2 years.	
Colusa	\$ 121,292.74	\$ 28,667.74							
Contra Costa	\$ 6,736,389.70	\$ -	Not Applicable	No	Yes	6 - Central count scanners model 850s	ES&S	As soon as it is certified for use in California.	Current 650 central count scanners have several components that are approaching end of life.
Del Norte	\$ 164,420.41	\$ -	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Yes	Express Poll, VoteRemote	ES&S	Not until at least after the November Election. Possibly 2013.	
El Dorado	\$ 1,095,674.94	\$ 621,206.40	Yes	No	Yes				El Dorado County plans on spending its VMB funds when a new system is available.
Fresno	\$ 4,266,077.65	\$ 2,018,770.78	Yes	No	Yes	Fresno county is in need of a complete system certified by the SOS. We would consider high speed central count/paper based or any other fully certified system.	A competitive bid process would be used to make a vendor selection. It depends on which vendors have certified systems for California.	As soon as more products become available through the certification process, prior to the expiration of HAVA 301 in Dec. 2015.	
Glenn	\$ 180,968.11	\$ -	Not Applicable	No	Yes	400-C	Dominion	As soon as funds are available.	
Humboldt	\$ 986,044.95	\$ 513,647.32	Yes	No	Yes	Humboldt County has submitted a Phase II request for optical scan equipment and related accessories, as well as conversion to a new election management system.	HART InterCivic for the voting equipment. DFM for the election management system.	Purchase occurred in 2009.	Humboldt County is on the May 18th agenda of the VMB for a request for reimbursement for equipment purchased.
Imperial	\$ 653,218.33	\$ -							
Inyo	\$ 158,387.99	\$ -	No	No	Yes	Insights	Dominion	When additional funds are available.	

**VMB Second Funding Round Survey - May 2012**

Counties	Initial VMB Formula Allocation	Total Remaining Allocation	If your county has any remaining initial formula allocation, will your county be using these remaining funds?	If your county has completed the purchase of and payment for your voting equipment, will your county be reverting any funds back to the VMB?	Would your county be interested in applying for second round VMB funding for additional voting equipment if it were made available?	If you have additional equipment you'd like to purchase, please answer the questions below. a.) What type of equipment would you purchase?	b.) What vendor would you purchase this equipment from?	c.) When would you anticipate purchasing this equipment?	Additional Comments
Kern	\$ 3,401,866.46	\$ -	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Yes	Polling place optical scan equipment	unknown	2015	Kern County has expended all of it's VMB funding but would be interested in being included in any additional distribution of new or reallocated funding.
Kings	\$ 581,008.11	\$ -							
Lake	\$ 417,320.58	\$ 95,930.56	Yes	No	No				Lake county has no immediate plans to purchase new voting equipment/system. However, our main system (Mark-A-Vote) is used by only 2 other California counties and there is a possibility that we will be forced to upgrade in the future. As a result, I am reluctant to revert any of the funds back to the VMB.
Lassen	\$ 207,796.11	\$ -							
Los Angeles	\$ 49,636,590.28	\$ 49,026,236.33	Yes	Not Applicable	Yes	See attached	See attached	See attached	Los Angeles County seeks to replace it's existing voting systems. There are currently no certified voting systems in California that meet our needs. Through our Voting Systems Assessment Project we seek to partner with a public entity or vendor to develop a voting system solution by 2015. Attached please find a copy of previous testimony to the VMB.
Madera	\$ 642,094.85	\$ 183,179.65							
Marin	\$ 1,879,587.19	\$ 658,116.98	Yes	Not Applicable	Yes	New ballot counting system that includes high speed central count scanners.	Unknown. Would go out to bid as soon as there are new systems certified in CA available to purchase.	2013-2017	Our current voting system is at the end of its life; however, there are no new voting systems that meet current EAC standards and are state certified to purchase. When at least two of these systems become available, we plan to issue an RFP and purchase one.
Mariposa	\$ 145,591.18	\$ -	No	No	Yes	Updated accessible voting equipment.	Dominion	2013 or early 2014	
Mendocino	\$ 620,444.80	\$ 198,748.40	Yes	No	Yes	Ballot On Demand Updating GEMS Server	ES&S	Within the next year.	

VMB Second Funding Round Survey - May 2012

Counties	Initial VMB Formula Allocation	Total Remaining Allocation	If your county has any remaining initial formula allocation, will your county be using these remaining funds?	If your county has completed the purchase of and payment for your voting equipment, will your county be reverting any funds back to the VMB?	Would your county be interested in applying for second round VMB funding for additional voting equipment if it were made available?	If you have additional equipment you'd like to purchase, please answer the questions below. a.) What type of equipment would you purchase?	b.) What vendor would you purchase this equipment from?	c.) When would you anticipate purchasing this equipment?	Additional Comments
Merced	\$ 1,056,294.37	\$ -	Not Applicable	No	Yes	Two M-850 High speed ballot counters, to convert Merced County to Centralized Count.	ES&S , our current voting system vendor.	Upon receipt of funding.	Merced county currently utilizes M-100 ballot counting scanners at each of 114 precincts. This requires maintaining, testing, delivery & recovery of scanners to each polling location, each election. The M-850 scanner has the capability of counting all precincts at one Central Count location and will require maintaining, and testing only two machines per election, saving maintenance and testing costs, along with delivery, set-up and recovery each election. The county will save the cost of labor hours each election for logic and accuracy testing of each machine. This will reduce to only two machines. The M-850 cost will be recovered within two full election cycles.
Modoc	\$ 76,314.42	\$ 76,314.42	Yes	Not Applicable	Yes	Optical scans need to be replaced, as well as a server and computers.	ES&S and unknown	As soon as possible	Can we receive information on accessing these funds and what is required from us in doing so?
Mono	\$ 91,998.83	\$ 16,998.83	Yes	No	Yes	We purchased additional Optech Insight machines in 2007 and 2008. I would like to be reimbursed from our remaining funds, is that possible?	We purchased from Dominion (previously Sequoia).	Equipment already purchased as noted in (a) above.	Will you let me know if I can submit a claim for reimbursement for equipment purchased in 2007-2008 (as noted in (a) above)? I don't want to revert any funds back if I can still purchase equipment. We may want to apply for second round funding to replace old equipment.

**VMB Second Funding Round Survey - May 2012**

Counties	Initial VMB Formula Allocation	Total Remaining Allocation	If your county has any remaining initial formula allocation, will your county be using these remaining funds?	If your county has completed the purchase of and payment for your voting equipment, will your county be reverting any funds back to the VMB?	Would your county be interested in applying for second round VMB funding for additional voting equipment if it were made available?	If you have additional equipment you'd like to purchase, please answer the questions below. a.) What type of equipment would you purchase?	b.) What vendor would you purchase this equipment from?	c.) When would you anticipate purchasing this equipment?	Additional Comments
Monterey	\$ 1,991,025.00	\$ -	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Yes	Potentially whole new system, including precinct scanners which the county does not currently have. At minimum, we need new central count machines.	If time permitted, would issue RFP. Current vendor is Dominion.	Purchase to roll out in odd-year, earliest would be 2015 as funds are not currently available for acquisitions in 2013.	Monterey County is a Section 5 (Preclearance) county under the VRA and would be required to allow a 60-day review and approval (or not) of new voting equipment from the US DOJ. The County's current voting equipment was first purchased in 1998 and is in desperate need of replacement. The county does not have any general fund monies set aside specifically for new voting equipment for the Department, so any funds available through bonds or grants is necessary to purchase newer and improved technologies for the voters in Monterey. At minimum, the County would purchase new central count ballot scanners, and if enough funds, precinct scanners and/or and entire new voting system.
Napa	\$ 891,111.44	\$ -	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	No				
Nevada	\$ 866,431.28	\$ 115,704.96	Yes	No	Yes	Any replacement equipment needed due to age of the equipment.			
Orange	\$ 16,782,377.26	\$ -	Not Applicable	No	Yes	Election mailing equipment, eSlates, and voting booths	Pitney Bowes and Hart InterCivic	When additional funding is available, we will purchase within the fiscal year.	
Placer	\$ 2,015,870.78	\$ 520,860.45	Yes	Not Applicable	Yes	Mail Opener/sorter/scanner; possibly more voting (optical scan) units at the polls.	Still undecided at the moment.	Within the first half of FY 2012-13.	
Plumas	\$ 176,139.87	\$ -							
Riverside	\$ 7,509,478.39	\$ -	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Yes				We would like to look at purchasing a whole new voting system but it probably would not happen until 2015 and we would need to do a lot of research prior to the decision. It really depends on what certified systems exist in 2015.
Sacramento	\$ 7,721,635.32	\$ -	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Yes	Upgrade to existing VBM processing equipment and new models of central count optical scan equipment.	Current vendor for optical scan equipment; successful bidder for VBM processing equipment.	When funding and certified equipment is available.	

VMB Second Funding Round Survey - May 2012

Counties	Initial VMB Formula Allocation	Total Remaining Allocation	If your county has any remaining initial formula allocation, will your county be using these remaining funds?	If your county has completed the purchase of and payment for your voting equipment, will your county be reverting any funds back to the VMB?	Would your county be interested in applying for second round VMB funding for additional voting equipment if it were made available?	If you have additional equipment you'd like to purchase, please answer the questions below. a.) What type of equipment would you purchase?	b.) What vendor would you purchase this equipment from?	c.) When would you anticipate purchasing this equipment?	Additional Comments
San Benito	\$ 303,222.05	\$ -	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Yes	Polling Place and Central Count Voting Equipment	Hart	Upon funding	
San Bernardino	\$ 7,995,027.76	\$ -							
San Diego	\$ 16,726,146.94	\$ 7,497,479.43	Yes	Not Applicable	Yes	It is unclear at this time what new equipment will be certified and whether it will be appropriate technology for this county.	We continue to monitor progress on the Los Angeles County voting system and might consider following their lead.	This will depend on when new equipment is available.	
San Francisco	\$ 5,742,339.82	\$ -	Not Applicable	No	Yes	Depending on the timing, in the near-term, SF would purchase replacement equipment, longer-term, apply funding to new voting system.	For replacement equipment, from Dominion Voting Services. For new system, to be determined.	We could purchase replacement equipment immediately, a new system in two years time.	
San Joaquin	\$ 3,279,406.93	\$ -	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Yes	Ballot on Demand and a Ballot Sorter	Where we can get the best price/equipment is certified.	As soon as funds are made available.	Ballot Sorters and Ballot on Demand are specific to a voting system and need to be able to use HAVA funds in order to proceed. In addition, San Joaquin County's current voting system is archaic and not supported by vendors at this time. Therefore, we will need to have funds made available in order to purchase a new voting system.
San Luis Obispo	\$ 1,690,189.44	\$ 1,291,001.19	Yes	Not Applicable	Yes				There is nothing that is certified for use in California that we are interested in purchasing. We are aware of products in development, but have no idea when they will become available and certified. But once these products are available, we fully intend to use our remaining funds.

VMB Second Funding Round Survey - May 2012

Counties	Initial VMB Formula Allocation	Total Remaining Allocation	If your county has any remaining initial formula allocation, will your county be using these remaining funds?	If your county has completed the purchase of and payment for your voting equipment, will your county be reverting any funds back to the VMB?	Would your county be interested in applying for second round VMB funding for additional voting equipment if it were made available?	If you have additional equipment you'd like to purchase, please answer the questions below. a.) What type of equipment would you purchase?	b.) What vendor would you purchase this equipment from?	c.) When would you anticipate purchasing this equipment?	Additional Comments
San Mateo	\$ 4,569,941.98	\$ -	Not Applicable	No	Yes	Precinct-based Accessible Optical Scan Voting System.	No decision on vendor at this time.	Within the next two to five years and when funds are available.	The county of San Mateo has 52% of its registered voters signed up to vote permanently by mail. We are one of two counties in California to use electronic voting machines as the primary means for voters to cast ballots at the polls, effectively operating two separate voting methods; machine at the polls and paper for mail ballots. The cost to administer two voting methods is costly and time consuming. San Mateo County is looking for one common means to cast ballots, paper.
Santa Barbara	\$ 2,749,794.06	\$ 1,028,642.74	Yes	Not Applicable	Yes	Equipment used to process and tabulate vote by mail ballots.	Still to be determined.	In the year 2013.	
Santa Clara	\$ 9,503,395.64	\$ -	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Yes	Precinct Count Voting Machines (hardware/software), High Speed Central Count Scanners (hardware/software), On-demand Ballot Printer, Election Management Server.	We would issue an RFP contingent on funding and Board of Supervisors approval.	RFP to be issued immediately upon funding and Board of Supervisors approval.	
Santa Cruz	\$ 1,698,327.79	\$ -	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Yes	Anything better than what we have now.	Not sure	Not sure	
Shasta	\$ 1,156,557.13	\$ -	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Yes	Unknown at this time.	Unknown at this.	Unknown at this time.	
Sierra	\$ 43,825.14	\$ -	Not Applicable	No	No				
Siskiyou	\$ 368,246.57	\$ 109,303.46	Yes	No	Yes	Updated optical scan system.	Unknown at this time.	2015	While Siskiyou County Clerk's office has no immediate plans to purchase additional voting equipment, I am concerned that our current system will no longer be supported within the next 3-5 years as the system's technology is outdated. Specifically, the availability of the memory cards for the OS units is now very limited, as the cards are no longer manufactured.
Solano	\$ 2,297,314.00	\$ 150,577.09	Yes	No	Yes	New high speed central count tabulators.	ES&S preferably	Timing would be based upon certification.	

**VMB Second Funding Round Survey - May 2012**

Counties	Initial VMB Formula Allocation	Total Remaining Allocation	If your county has any remaining initial formula allocation, will your county be using these remaining funds?	If your county has completed the purchase of and payment for your voting equipment, will your county be reverting any funds back to the VMB?	Would your county be interested in applying for second round VMB funding for additional voting equipment if it were made available?	If you have additional equipment you'd like to purchase, please answer the questions below. a.) What type of equipment would you purchase?	b.) What vendor would you purchase this equipment from?	c.) When would you anticipate purchasing this equipment?	Additional Comments
Sonoma	\$ 3,269,773.85	\$ 6,506.10	Yes	No	Yes	Currently we need to purchase mobile ballot boxes (MBBs) for our Disabled Access Units to replace those originally purchased. MBBs have a limited shelf (reuse) life.	HART InterCivic	Within the next 3-6 months.	
Stanislaus	\$ 2,438,812.87	\$ -	No	No	Yes	Optical scanners, vote-by-mail signature verification equipment and vote-by-mail envelope extractors.	Optical scanners - ES&S. Vote-by-mail signature verification & envelope extractors - to be determined.	Upon receipt of funding.	
Sutter	\$ 497,078.20	\$ -	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Yes	Computers and laptops for 400" c"s & programming.	Unknown at this time	January 2013	Would also like to purchase activator and edge batteries and cords for DREs.
Tehama	\$ 386,407.44	\$ -	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Yes	Additional backup central tabulation equipment and servers.	Dominion Voting Systems and Dell.	Unsure	
Trinity	\$ 117,000.00	\$ 117,825.36	Yes	Not Applicable	Yes	Unknown at this time.	Unknown	Unknown	
Tulare	\$ 1,749,911.00	\$ 342,320.11	Yes	No	Yes				County would need to review the certified equipment list to make an informed decision.
Tuolumne	\$ 410,726.23	\$ -	Not Applicable	No	Yes	New automarks	ES&S	When the funding is available.	
Ventura	\$ 4,576,270.14	\$ 717,613.31	Yes	No	Yes	New voting system equipment and/or replacement purchases of existing voting system equipment.	For new voting system purchases, the vendor is unknown since there are currently no new voting systems available for purchase. Dominion would supply replacement equipment.	New equipment purchases are anticipated if new equipment becomes certified. If current voting system equipment purchases are made, it is anticipated this would be in early 2014.	
Yolo	\$ 1,085,882.12	\$ -							
Yuba	\$ 339,538.00	\$ -	No	No	Yes	Tally Server/Card Activators	Dominion Voting/Dell computers	ASAP	