

VMB INTERIM STATUS REPORTS

County	Intending to use approved allocation?	Voting system upgrading to	How does your county intend to meet state & federal voting system accessibility requirements?	What is your implementation schedule?	When does your county plan on submitting PDP and come before the Board?	Payments made to county
ALAMEDA	Yes, funding approved 12/02			<b>Completed</b>	2002 -Received & spent VMB money	\$8,779,360
ALPINE	Yes	Sequoia Optech Insight	One DRE at clerk's office	December, 2005	unknown, prior to 1/1/06	
AMADOR	Yes	Automark or Touchscreen	Still researching options	November or December 2005	December 1, 2005	
BUTTE	Yes	unknown	Total conversion plus one accessible unit per polling place	Unknown, waiting on systems to be certified	Unknown, dependant upon state certification	
CALAVERAS	Yes	Optical Scan		December, 2005	September 1, 2005	
COLUSA	Yes	Optical Scan	Automark Units by ES&S	Prior to 1/1/06	June or July 2005	
CONTRA COSTA	Yes	Precinct count optical scan	Automark	unknown	unknown, prior to 1/1/06	
DEL NORTE	Yes	tent. - Sequoia	Depends on voting system chosen	ASAP	As soon as vendor is chosen	
EL DORADO	Yes	Optical Scan	One DRE per polling place	Signing contract on 6/1/05	June, 2005	
<b>FRESNO</b>						
GLENN	Yes	DRE's & Optical Scan	Total conversion to DRE	December, 2005	June 17, 2005	
HUMBOLDT	Yes	Diebold Election Systems. Plan to upgrade existing OS system with Diebold's high-speed OS scanner also	One or more DRE per polling place	Hope to implement for 11/8/05 UDEL election	Within 30-45 days of notification of the HAVA funding guidelines.	
IMPERIAL	Yes	DRE's	Total conversion to DRE	currently negotiating a contract	after signing the contract	
INYO	Yes	DRE's & Optical Scan	Total conversion to DRE	Contract signed in May. Acquisition by October 2005.	July or August 2005	

VMB INTERIM STATUS REPORTS

County	Intending to use approved allocation?	Voting system upgrading to	How does your county intend to meet state & federal voting system accessibility requirements?	What is your implementation schedule?	When does your county plan on submitting PDP and come before the Board?	Payments made to county
<i>KERN</i>	<i>Yes</i>	<i>Diebold TSx</i>	<i>Total conversion to DRE</i>	<i>As soon as it is certified</i>	<i>As soon as the Diebold TSx is certified</i>	<i>\$1,123,581 paid out of \$3,401,866 allocated</i>
<b>KINGS</b>						
<b>LAKE</b>	Yes	unknown, waiting for systems to be certified	One per precinct as Phase I; future phases dependent upon systems certified	By 1/1/06	unknown, prior to 1/1/06	
<b>LASSEN</b>	Yes	Diebold optican scan & touch screen	Touch screens	Upon certification of touch screen	As soon as Diebold is certified	\$105,635 for Phase 1
<b>LOS ANGELES</b>	Yes	InkaVote for Phase 1	To be determined.	Fall 2005	2/9/04- VMB approved Phase 1	\$610,354 for Phase 1
<b>MADERA</b>	Yes	unknown	One accessible machine per polling place, plus replacements	Will use a phased in approach with total conversion sometime in the future	unknown, waiting for certified systems available to purchase, there should be more systems to choose from.	
<b>MARIN</b>	Yes	Diebold Accu-Vote OS	One accessible machine per polling place	Upon certification of touch screen	June or July 2005	
<b>MARIPOSA</b>	Yes				1/15/03 - VMB approved Phase 1	\$98,644 for Phase 1
<b>MENDOCINO</b>	Yes	Diebold Accu-Vote OS with touchscreens	One accessible machine per polling place	Upon certification of touch screen	9/17/03 - completed for first phase	\$421,696 for Phase 1
<b>MERCED</b>						
<b>MODOC</b>						
<b>MONO</b>	Yes	Sequoia "Edge" TS	DREs at all polling places	Approx. 4 months after agreement is signed	June 17, 2005	

VMB INTERIM STATUS REPORTS

County	Intending to use approved allocation?	Voting system upgrading to	How does your county intend to meet state & federal voting system accessibility requirements?	What is your implementation schedule?	When does your county plan on submitting PDP and come before the Board?	Payments made to county
<b>MONTEREY</b>						
NAPA	Yes, funding approved 7/03			<b>Completed</b>	2003 - Received & spent VMB money	\$891,111
NEVADA	Yes	ES&S in precincts, scanners for AV ballots	Automark	Prior to 1/1/06	June or July 2005	
ORANGE	Yes, funding approved 7/03			<b>Completed</b>		
PLACER	Yes	Either a non-DRE ballot marking device or DRE	One accessible machine per polling place	Before the first federal election in 2006	Phase II - prior to 1/1/06	\$1,495,010 paid for Phase I 5/04
PLUMAS	Yes, funding approved 12/02			<b>Completed</b>		\$176,139
RIVERSIDE	Yes, funding approved 12/02			<b>Completed</b>		\$7,509,478
SACRAMENTO	Yes	ESS M-100, M-650, Automark	One Automark unit per polling place	ESS M-100 & M-650 acquired; Automark anticipated in Summer 2005	Phase I Completed. Phase II plan scheduled for late summer, early fall 2005	\$3,923,320 paid 10/04 for Phase I
SAN BENITO	Yes	unknown, waiting for systems to be certified	One accessible machine per polling place	unknown	unknown, prior to 1/1/06	

VMB INTERIM STATUS REPORTS

County	Intending to use approved allocation?	Voting system upgrading to	How does your county intend to meet state & federal voting system accessibility requirements?	What is your implementation schedule?	When does your county plan on submitting PDP and come before the Board?	Payments made to county
SAN BERNARDINO	Yes, funding approved 10/03			<b>Completed</b>		\$7,995,027
<i>SAN DIEGO</i>	<i>Yes</i>					
SAN FRANCISCO	Yes	Optical Scan	One DRE per polling place	January 1, 2006	After September 2005	\$2,198,230 paid 3/03 for Phase I
<i>SAN JOAQUIN</i>	<i>Yes</i>					
SAN LUIS OBISPO	Yes	Phase I: plan to supplement current OS with DRE; Phase II: plan to replace OS with DRE machines at every polling place	One accessible machine per polling place	end of 2005	Fall 2005, timing dependent on the certification of DRE's	
SAN MATEO	Yes	ES&S AutoMark & M100 OS or Hart Intercivic e-Slate	One or more accessible machine per polling place	Within 30-60 days from the passage or failure of AB 867	Within 60-90 days from the passage or failure of AB 867	
SANTA BARBARA	Yes	unknown, in the process of researching & reviewing options	unknown	unknown	unknown	\$1,000,139 paid 1/03 for Phase I
SANTA CLARA	Yes, funding approved 10/03			<b>Completed</b>		\$9,503,395
SANTA CRUZ	Yes	unknown, waiting for systems to be certified	one per precinct as phase one	Prior to 1/1/06	Prior to 1/1/06	

VMB INTERIM STATUS REPORTS

County	Intending to use approved allocation?	Voting system upgrading to	How does your county intend to meet state & federal voting system accessibility requirements?	What is your implementation schedule?	When does your county plan on submitting PDP and come before the Board?	Payments made to county
SHASTA	Yes, funding approved 9/03			<b>Completed</b>		\$1,156,557
SIERRA	Yes	Optical Scan	Plan on being an all-mail county so if required, would have one DRE in the County Clerk Office.	July or August 2005	June or July 2005	
SISKIYOU	Yes	Touch Screen	One accessible machine per polling place	Sept. or October 2005	August or September 2005	\$258,943 paid 5/03 for Phase I
<b>SOLANO</b>						
SONOMA	Yes	unknown	One device per polling place	Prior to 1/1/06	Prior to 1/1/06	
STANISLAUS	Yes				June 17, 2005	
SUTTER	Yes	DRE	Unknown. The RFP requests vendors to bid on both scenarios - one machine per polling place & total conversion	July 1, 2005	August or September 2005	
TEHAMA	Yes, funding approved 2/04			<b>Completed</b>		\$386,407
TRINITY	Yes	DRE	One DRE per polling place	end of 2005	Fall 2005?	
TULARE	Yes	Touch Screen	Possibly two per site plus early voting	December 31, 2005	September 1, 2005	
TUOLUMNE	Yes	ES&S Automark	One accessible machine per polling place	within 60 days after AutoMark is certified	within 30 days after Automark is certified	
VENTURA	Yes	Sequoia Optech Insight & OS	One DRE per polling place	November 1, 2005	August or September 2005	
YOLO	Yes	ES&S Unity Election System optical scan	ES&S Automark	Fall 2005	Fall 2005	
<b>YUBA</b>						