REBUTTAL TO ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF

PROPOSITION 60

Prop. 60 is dangerous for workers, and costly to voters like you. This initiative is the only one opposed by all major political parties.

One special interest group has spent millions of dollars drafting Prop. 60 and funding the campaign. Is it a surprise that this special interest group will also profit from the proposition? They will be given authority to file countless lawsuits against workers in adult films and can pocket special fines. Every on-set worker could be sued.

Prop. 60 also gives ANY resident of California the ability to sue an adult film performer. Even an injured worker. Can you imagine the potential for abuse and harassment? And the cost. It's no wonder the nonpartisan Legislative Analyst's Office (LAO) estimates a potential cost to California taxpayers of "tens of millions of dollars."

This is what happens when a special interest group spends millions of dollars on a complex thirteen-page initiative: a measure with so many flaws and problems that it negates any positive components. It even weakens current safety testing standards.

OPPOSITION to Prop.60 is growing, including public health and civil rights organizations, such as Equality California, APAC (the largest, independent performer organization) and LA LGBT Center. The CALIFORNIA DEMOCRATIC PARTY and CALIFORNIA REPUBLICAN PARTY oppose Prop. 60.

Prop. 60 is an "all-or nothing" approach funded by a single special interest group. Worker safety policy should be written with everyone's input. VOTE NO ON PROP 60

To learn more, visit Californians Against Worker Harassment at DontHarassCA.com

Rachel "Chanel Preston" Taylor, President of the Adult Performer Advocacy Committee

Jere Ingram, CIH, CSP, FAIHA, former Chair of the California Occupational Safety & Health Standards Board

Marie Louise "Nina Hartley" Levine, Registered Nurse (RN)

SUBJECT TO COURT ORDERED CHANGES