

# CALIFORNIA COUNTY, CITY, AND SCHOOL DISTRICT ELECTION OUTCOMES

## 2017 ELECTIONS

---

### County Offices and Ballot Measures

---



Institute for Social Research  
Center For California Studies  
California State University,  
Sacramento





CALIFORNIA COUNTY, CITY, AND SCHOOL DISTRICT  
ELECTION OUTCOMES:  
CANDIDATES AND BALLOT MEASURES, 2017 ELECTIONS

COUNTY OFFICES AND BALLOT MEASURES

Leonor Ehling, M.P.P.  
Executive Director, Center for California Studies

Shannon A. Williams, Ph.D.  
Director, Institute for Social Research

Valory Messier, M.A.  
Research Specialist, Institute for Social Research

Eduardo Lievanos, B.A.  
Graduate Research Analyst, Institute for Social Research

Center for California Studies  
California State University, Sacramento  
6000 J Street, Sacramento, CA 95819-6081  
(916) 278-6906 FAX: (916) 278-5199

Institute for Social Research  
California State University, Sacramento  
6000 J Street, Sacramento, CA 95819-6101  
(916) 278-5737 FAX: (916) 278-5150

## *Acknowledgements*

*The researchers would like to sincerely thank the county elections officials and staff throughout the State of California who took time to provide data to the project. Additionally, we are grateful to the Secretary of State's Office for its continuing support and interest in this project. Without their assistance, the completion of these yearly reviews and the California Elections Data Archive would not be possible.*

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

Introduction .....	i
2017 County, City, and School District Election Dates by County .....	iii
Trend Table A Number of Ballot Measures, Percent of Total Measures, and Percent Passing by Type, Jurisdiction, and Year .....	iv
Trend Table B Number of Ballot Measures, Percent of Total Measures, and Percent Passing by Topic, Jurisdiction, and Year .....	ix
Trend Table C Community Service District, and County Service Area Measures by County .....	xiv
Trend Table D Number of Community Service District, and County Service Area Measures, Percent of Total County Measures, and Percent Passing by Type, and Year .....	xviii
Trend Table E Comparison of Pass Rates for County-Wide and Community Service District/County Service Area Tax Measures, 1998-2017.....	xix
Trend Table F Number of Community Service District, and County Service Area Measures, Percent of Total County Measures, and Percent Passing by Topic, and Year .....	xx
Trend Table G Number of Candidates by Jurisdiction and Year .....	xxi
Trend Table H Number of Candidates for Major County Offices by Year.....	xxii
Trend Table I Percent of Incumbent Candidates, and Percent of Prevailing Incumbents by Major Office, Jurisdiction, and Year .....	xxiii
2017 Election Series Summary: Election Outcomes for County, City, and School District Ballot Measures, and Candidates .....	1
Table A Summary of Outcomes for All County, City, and School District Ballot Measures by Type of Measure, and County, 2017 .....	3
Table B Summary of Outcomes for All County, City, and School District Ballot Measures by Type of Measure, and County, 2017 .....	4
Table C Summary of Election Outcomes for All County, City, and School District Offices, 2017 .....	5
Part 1 Vote Totals, Election Outcomes and Text for County Ballot Measures .....	7
Table 1.1 Vote Totals for County Ballot Measures by County, 2017 .....	9
Table 1.2 Text for County Ballot Measures by County, 2017 .....	11
Table 1.3 Summary of Election Outcomes for County Ballot Measures by Type of Measure, and County, 2017 .....	13
Table 1.4 Summary of Election Outcomes for County Ballot Measures by Topic of Measure, and County, 2017 .....	14
Part 2 Vote Totals for County Office Candidates .....	15
Table 2.1 Vote Totals for County Office Candidates by County, and Election Date, 2017.....	17
Table 2.2 Summary of Election Outcomes for County Offices, 2017 .....	21



# CALIFORNIA ELECTIONS DATA ARCHIVE

## INTRODUCTION

The California Elections Data Archive (CEDA) is a joint project of the Center for California Studies, and the Institute for Social Research (ISR), at the California State University, Sacramento, and the office of the California Secretary of State. The purpose of CEDA is to provide researchers, citizens, public agencies, and other interested parties with a single repository of local election data. With over 6,000 local jurisdictions in California, the task of monitoring local elections is nearly impossible for individuals. CEDA addresses this problem through the creation of a single, cost-effective, and easily accessible source of local election data. CEDA includes candidate, and ballot measure results for county, city, community college, and school district elections throughout the State. CEDA thus represents the only comprehensive repository of local election results in California and one of a very few such databases on local elections in the U.S.

### How the CEDA Data is Collected and Reported

ISR staff collects election data periodically throughout each calendar year. This enables CEDA to incorporate results from special elections as well as all regularly scheduled elections. ISR staff enters election results from counties, cities, community colleges, and school districts into the CEDA database and then uses this database to generate three standard CEDA reports. These reports include:

- County Elections: Candidates, ballot designations, and vote totals for all elected county offices; vote totals and text for county ballot measures.
- City Elections: Candidates, ballot designations, and vote totals for all elected city offices; vote totals, and text for all city ballot measures.
- Community College and School District Elections: Candidates, ballot designations, and vote totals for all elective community college and school district offices; vote totals and text for all district ballot measures.

ISR staff codes ballot measures for all jurisdictions according to type (e.g., charter amendment, taxes, bond measure, initiative, etc.) and to topic (e.g., education, public safety, governance, etc.).

## **THE CEDA PARTNERSHIP**

### **THE CENTER FOR CALIFORNIA STUDIES**

Located at California State University, Sacramento, the Center for California Studies is a public policy, public service, and curricular support unit of the California State University. The Center's location in the state Capital and its ability to draw upon the resources of the entire State University system give it a unique capacity for making contributions to public policy development, and the public life of California. Center programs cover four broad areas: administration of the nationally known Assembly, Senate, Executive, and Judicial Administration Fellowship Programs; university-state government liaison and applied policy research; civic education and community service through forums, conferences, and issue dialogues; and curricular support activity in the interdisciplinary field of California Studies.

### **INSTITUTE FOR SOCIAL RESEARCH**

Established in 1989, the Institute for Social Research (ISR) at California State University, Sacramento (CSUS) is a multidisciplinary institute that is committed to advancing the understanding of the social world through applied research. The Institute offers research expertise and technical assistance serving as a resource to agencies, organizations, the University, and the broader community. Utilizing quantitative and qualitative methods, ISR produces various types of assessments, program and policy evaluations, survey research, workload studies, and specialized analyses. Services include research design, sampling design, data collection and coding, computer-assisted telephone and field interviewing, mailed and online surveys, focus groups, database management, and statistical analysis. ISR has completed hundreds of projects with more than 50 federal, state and community agencies, private firms, and many academic units. Faculty affiliates of the Institute offer specific content expertise in a wide variety of disciplines, including the social sciences, health and human services, and education.

### **CALIFORNIA SECRETARY OF STATE**

Among their other duties, the Secretary of State acts as California's chief elections officer with the responsibility of administering the provisions of the Elections Code. The Secretary must compile state election returns, and issue certificates of election to winning candidates; compile the returns and certify the results of initiative and referendum elections; certify acts delayed by referendum, and prepare and file a statement of vote. Recent legislation permits but does not mandate that the Secretary of State compile local election results.



2017 COUNTY, CITY, AND SCHOOL DISTRICT ELECTION DATES BY COUNTY

	1/24	2/28	3/7	3/28	4/4	4/11	4/18	4/25	5/2	5/16	6/6	7/11	7/25	8/22	8/29	11/7
Alameda			✓						✓						✓	
Calaveras									✓							
Colusa																✓
El Dorado											✓					✓
Fresno			✓												✓	✓
Glenn																✓
Humboldt																✓
Imperial																✓
Inyo																✓
Kern											✓		✓			
Kings											✓					
Los Angeles		✓	✓		✓	✓	✓			✓	✓					✓
Madera			✓													
Marin									✓							✓
Mendocino																✓
Merced																✓
Monterey	✓								✓		✓				✓	✓
Nevada			✓													
Plumas											✓					
Riverside									✓		✓					✓
San Bernardino			✓								✓	✓				✓
San Diego																✓
San Luis Obispo														✓		
San Mateo			✓	✓	✓						✓					✓
Santa Barbara																✓
Santa Clara			✓					✓	✓							
Santa Cruz			✓													
Shasta															✓	
Siskiyou																✓
Sonoma			✓								✓					✓
Stanislaus																✓
Trinity																✓
Tulare											✓					✓

TREND TABLE A NUMBER OF BALLOT MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TYPE, JURISDICTION, AND YEAR

	All Measures			Bonds			Taxes			Ordinance			Recalls			Initiatives			Charter Amendment		
	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing
All Measures																					
1995-2017	399	100	66	106	27	72	122	31	60	82	21	62	14	4	73	10	2	47	47	12	76
Even Years	608	100	67	172	28	75	179	29	58	129	21	62	15	3	71	15	3	49	70	12	76
Odd Years	208	100	63	46	22	61	70	34	64	40	19	62	13	6	74	5	2	43	26	12	77
County																					
1995-2017	64	16	58	2	3	72	26	41	45	20	30	64	2	3	75	3	4	44	7	10	66
Even Years	105	17	55	3	3	70	44	42	43	32	31	63	2	2	57	5	5	43	12	11	65
Odd Years	27	13	66	1	5	76	10	38	54	8	29	71	2	8	89	1	3	50	2	7	71
City																					
1995-2017	199	50	65	5	2	60	71	36	63	57	29	60	6	3	73	7	4	48	40	20	78
Even Years	301	49	66	8	3	64	108	36	62	90	30	61	9	3	72	10	3	51	59	19	78
Odd Years	105	51	65	2	2	48	37	35	65	27	26	56	4	4	76	4	4	41	24	23	78
School District																					
1995-2017	136	34	71	99	73	72	25	18	65	6	4	80	6	4	71	0	0	50			
Even Years	202	33	74	162	80	75	27	13	65	7	3	82	5	2	76	0	0	0			
Odd Years	76	36	64	42	55	61	22	29	66	5	6	77	7	9	67	0	0	100			

TREND TABLE A NUMBER OF BALLOT MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TYPE, JURISDICTION, AND YEAR (CONTINUED)

	All Measures			Bonds			Taxes			Ordinance			Recalls			Initiatives			Charter Amendment			
	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	
All Measures	1995	283	100	37	91	36	47	26	10	35	46	18	61	8	3	88	8	3	50	55	22	93
	1996	573	100	57	64	11	59	142	25	40	176	31	58	32	6	72	18	3	39	115	20	73
	1997	342	100	60	127	37	59	100	29	56	45	13	69	29	8	38	7	2	71	31	9	81
	1998	572	100	61	144	25	58	162	28	48	115	20	58	19	3	74	9	2	56	94	16	77
	1999	283	100	60	107	38	59	54	19	57	68	24	57	14	5	71	10	4	40	20	7	50
	2000	559	100	58	135	24	60	122	22	39	154	28	58	11	2	100	21	4	67	79	14	67
	2001	233	100	70	73	31	75	68	29	72	33	14	58	21	9	71	1	0	100	25	11	60
	2002	657	100	68	245	37	76	155	24	54	136	21	54	8	1	63	10	2	40	77	12	77
	2003	178	100	63	22	12	55	62	35	48	47	26	70	9	5	89	5	3	40	24	13	75
	2004	712	100	63	179	25	75	258	36	47	144	20	64	11	2	73	14	2	29	72	10	79
	2005	295	100	64	57	19	74	111	38	58	59	20	54	11	4	82	7	2	43	35	12	89
	2006	556	100	62	185	33	59	142	26	56	123	22	63	17	3	29	22	4	36	39	7	82
	2007	179	100	72	22	12	55	61	34	74	40	22	58	13	7	100	1	1	0	38	21	79
	2008	593	100	75	201	34	82	188	32	67	123	21	65	12	2	58	11	2	91	39	7	90
	2009	193	100	63	6	3	33	99	51	67	35	18	63	13	7	69	3	2	33	20	10	60
	2010	482	100	67	97	20	70	164	34	60	117	24	67	27	6	78	11	2	55	50	10	76
	2011	172	100	72	10	6	80	75	44	67	29	17	72	16	9	75	2	1	0	31	18	81
	2012	530	100	72	156	29	81	178	34	69	112	21	62	14	3	93	2	0	50	51	10	63
2013	137	100	72	11	8	73	65	47	78	34	25	50	8	6	88	4	3	100	11	8	73	
2014	577	100	73	193	33	81	175	30	69	81	14	72	7	1	57	14	2	57	72	12	76	
2015	120	100	74	13	11	77	47	39	79	20	17	75	14	12	100	9	8	22	11	9	64	
2016	872	100	79	294	34	91	279	32	73	138	16	72	10	1	90	37	4	41	86	10	83	
2017	283	100	37	91	36	47	26	10	35	46	18	61	8	3	88	8	3	50	55	22	93	



TREND TABLE A NUMBER OF BALLOT MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TYPE, JURISDICTION, AND YEAR (CONTINUED)

	All Measures			Bonds			Taxes			Ordinance			Recalls			Initiatives			Charter Amendment			
	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	
City Measures	1995	119	47	71	4	3	75	7	6	29	38	32	58				7	6	43	49	41	94
	1996	374	65	60	10	3	30	100	27	43	115	31	59	24	6	79	11	3	55	98	26	78
	1997	144	42	58	2	1	50	70	49	50	28	19	54	9	6	22	7	5	71	27	19	89
	1998	283	49	60	9	3	78	99	35	47	78	28	53	7	2	43	5	2	80	69	24	77
	1999	114	40	54	4	4	75	22	19	55	48	42	48	8	7	100	10	9	40	16	14	38
	2000	297	53	60	11	4	82	65	22	45	113	38	56	6	2	100	13	4	54	71	24	70
	2001	93	40	69	8	9	63	31	33	74	18	19	61	3	3	100	1	1	100	24	26	63
	2002	309	47	60	12	4	83	102	33	58	94	30	48	5	2	60	8	3	38	70	23	77
	2003	89	50	67	2	2	50	14	16	71	29	33	55	6	7	100	5	6	40	24	27	75
	2004	337	47	59	7	2	43	147	44	46	92	27	63	6	2	67	10	3	30	54	16	87
	2005	135	46	61	2	1	0	47	35	55	37	27	51	3	2	33	4	3	25	33	24	91
	2006	253	46	64	10	4	50	82	32	70	85	34	61	6	2	17	20	8	35	33	13	82
	2007	108	60	71	2	2	0	40	37	73	19	18	53	5	5	100	1	1	0	38	35	79
	2008	258	44	73	5	2	100	111	43	71	80	31	65	8	3	38	9	3	89	35	14	89
	2009	130	67	61	1	1	0	63	48	68	28	22	61	3	2	33	3	2	33	18	14	56
	2010	270	56	71	2	1	0	95	35	69	91	34	67	16	6	94	8	3	63	44	16	80
	2011	105	61	74				37	35	65	23	22	70	10	10	100	2	2	0	28	27	82
	2012	248	47	67	5	2	20	93	38	72	83	33	58	12	5	92				41	17	61
	2013	90	66	68				44	49	77	30	33	53	3	3	67	1	1	100	10	11	70
2014	285	49	71	5	2	100	121	42	72	60	21	70	5	2	60	6	2	67	60	21	73	
2015	54	45	70	2	4	0	23	43	87	12	22	67				5	9	40	10	19	60	
2016	393	45	77	9	2	67	174	44	79	96	24	74	1	0	100	25	6	48	69	18	88	
2017	81	72	65	2	2	50	50	62	66	17	21	65	1	1	100	3	4	0	7	9	86	



TREND TABLE B NUMBER OF BALLOT MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TOPIC, JURISDICTION, AND YEAR

	All Measures			Education			Governance			Land Use			Public Safety			Public Facilities			General Services			Transportation			Revenue			
	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	
All Measures																												
1995-2017	399	100	66	137	34	71	87	22	70	33	8	56	24	6	56	18	5	56	13	3	65	11	3	53	56	14	67	
Even Years	608	100	67	203	33	74	131	22	69	51	8	58	37	6	57	29	5	54	17	3	65	17	3	55	88	14	64	
Odd Years	208	100	65	75	36	64	46	22	74	17	8	50	11	5	54	8	4	62	10	5	63	5	2	47	28	13	74	
County																												
1995-2017	64	16	58	0	1	82	17	27	69	8	12	44	8	12	46	7	11	53	4	6	65	7	11	54	8	12	50	
Even Years	105	17	55	1	1	71	27	26	66	14	13	45	13	13	45	11	10	46	6	5	62	11	11	53	13	12	49	
Odd Years	27	13	66	0	1	100	8	31	78	2	8	41	3	11	50	3	12	74	3	10	70	3	11	60	3	10	55	
City																												
1995-2017	199	50	65	1	1	72	69	35	70	25	13	60	16	8	62	11	6	59	9	4	65	4	2	50	49	24	70	
Even Years	301	49	66	2	1	78	104	35	70	37	12	64	24	8	64	18	6	59	11	4	68	6	2	58	74	25	67	
Odd Years	105	51	65	1	1	57	37	35	73	15	14	52	8	8	56	5	5	57	7	6	60	2	2	26	25	24	77	
School District																												
1995-2017	136	34	71	133	98	68	1	1	68							0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	50
Even Years	202	33	74	197	98	70	0	0	40										0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	50
Odd Years	76	36	64	75	98	64	1	2	79							0	0	0										

TREND TABLE B NUMBER OF BALLOT MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TOPIC, JURISDICTION, AND YEAR (CONTINUED)

	All Measures			Education			Governance			Land Use			Public Safety			Public Facilities			General Services			Transportation			Revenue			
	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	
All Measures	1995	253	100	61	121	48	54	63	25	84	16	6	63	12	5	50	14	6	50				2	1	0	5	2	60
	1996	573	100	57	87	15	64	214	37	66	54	9	56	39	7	51	38	7	37	10	2	40	8	1	50	87	15	46
	1997	342	100	60	175	51	62	43	13	67	19	6	68	12	4	42	15	4	40	38	11	61	4	1	50	10	3	70
	1998	572	100	60	158	28	63	131	23	64	46	8	70	41	7	49	32	6	56	28	5	82	23	4	70	75	13	43
	1999	283	100	59	119	42	59	62	22	63	29	10	41	14	5	57	4	1	75	14	5	57	8	3	88	23	8	65
	2000	559	100	59	151	27	63	141	25	64	73	13	55	32	6	50	39	7	67	20	4	55	21	4	43	5	1	20
	2001	233	100	70	105	45	71	46	20	67	7	3	71	11	5	73	19	8	58	7	3	71	4	2	25	31	13	87
	2002	657	100	65	250	38	76	144	22	66	44	7	43	42	6	57	35	5	49	20	3	60	10	2	40	85	13	62
	2003	178	100	62	61	34	52	52	29	73	15	8	60	12	7	50	5	3	60	6	3	100	8	4	38	13	7	62
	2004	712	100	62	238	33	72	139	20	73	58	8	52	55	8	47	37	5	38	23	3	70	25	4	76	110	15	47
	2005	295	100	64	102	35	70	61	21	70	28	9	39	18	6	44	14	5	64	18	6	67	13	4	62	33	11	70
	2006	556	100	60	208	37	58	109	20	60	51	9	61	37	7	73	22	4	41	12	2	58	22	4	50	61	11	62
	2007	179	100	71	42	23	67	63	35	81	18	10	39	5	3	100	8	4	88	7	4	86	4	2	25	31	17	68
	2008	593	100	74	246	41	80	99	17	74	43	7	72	39	7	49	32	5	66	10	2	80	14	2	50	92	16	77
	2009	193	100	63	47	24	66	42	22	64	17	9	47	10	5	60	7	4	86	8	4	25	2	1	0	56	29	71
	2010	482	100	66	149	31	64	138	29	74	30	6	47	27	6	67	12	2	75	9	2	56	7	1	71	95	20	65
	2011	172	100	72	48	28	65	59	34	81	8	5	75	9	5	56	5	3	100	4	2	50	2	1	50	34	20	74
	2012	530	100	72	209	39	82	98	18	71	23	4	57	13	2	38	23	4	57	11	2	73	12	2	50	99	19	79
	2013	137	100	72	35	26	80	28	20	71	14	10	50	9	7	67				4	3	50	4	3	50	39	28	79
2014	577	100	73	208	36	83	114	20	75	45	8	60	33	6	70	20	3	85	13	2	85	19	3	47	104	18	64	
2015	120	100	74	32	27	94	23	19	83	17	14	35	8	7	50	5	4	60	2	2	100	3	3	33	21	18	86	
2016	872	100	79	331	38	90	116	13	78	99	11	61	53	6	70	30	3	50	26	3	54	29	3	48	150	17	85	
2017	113	100	63	18	16	56	13	12	85	13	12	54	15	13	40	5	4	60	6	5	67	4	4	25	36	32	81	



TREND TABLE B NUMBER OF BALLOT MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TOPIC, JURISDICTION, AND YEAR (CONTINUED)

	All Measures			Education			Governance			Land Use			Public Safety			Public Facilities			General Services			Transportation			Revenue			
	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	
County Measures	1995	17	7	53			7	41	71	3	18	33				3	18	67				1	6	0	3	18	33	
	1996	114	20	44	1	1	100	44	39	59	12	11	33	8	7	38	16	14	13	1	1	100	4	4	75	16	14	31
	1997	24	7	63	1	4	100	5	21	60	3	13	100	2	8	0	5	21	40	5	21	80	1	4	100	1	4	0
	1998	125	22	59				25	20	76	13	10	62	14	11	36	12	10	33	18	14	72	16	13	75	12	10	25
	1999	38	13	63				5	13	80				3	8	33	3	8	67	7	18	29	8	21	88	7	18	86
	2000	116	21	49	1	1	100	22	19	64	17	15	35	14	12	36	16	14	44	8	7	63	16	14	44	3	3	33
	2001	37	16	73	2	5	100	12	32	58	1	3	100	7	19	100	6	16	67	4	11	75	1	3	0	4	11	75
	2002	98	15	56				34	35	71	7	7	71	15	15	33	11	11	36	7	7	57	5	5	40	12	12	67
	2003	28	16	64				10	36	90				5	18	40				2	7	100	2	7	50	6	21	17
	2004	140	20	54	3	2	33	32	23	66	14	10	14	22	16	50	13	9	54	4	3	50	21	15	76	17	12	41
	2005	57	19	63				12	21	67	6	11	33	6	11	33	8	14	75	9	16	78	9	16	78	4	7	50
	2006	95	17	52				28	29	54	10	11	70	11	12	55	8	8	38	2	2	50	15	16	40	12	13	33
	2007	29	16	76				14	48	93	5	17	0				5	17	100	2	7	100	2	7	50			
	2008	90	15	62	1	1	100	25	28	76	7	8	86	14	16	43	14	16	50	4	4	75	7	8	57	11	12	64
	2009	16	8	69				7	44	86	3	19	33				3	19	100				1	6	0	2	13	50
	2010	64	13	53				23	36	57	6	9	50	12	19	50	2	3	100	1	2	0	5	8	80	11	17	45
	2011	20	12	80	1	5	100	10	50	80				5	25	60	1	5	100				1	5	100	2	10	100
	2012	76	14	63	1	1	100	19	25	74	7	9	43	7	9	43	12	16	67	1	1	100	5	7	40	18	24	72
	2013	12	9	75				4	33	100	2	17	100	2	17	50							3	25	33			
	2014	84	15	57				20	24	85	15	18	60	10	12	50	9	11	78	3	4	33	11	13	27	9	11	22
2015	34	28	62				12	35	83	4	12	25	5	15	40	2	6	50	1	3	100	3	9	33	2	6	50	
2016	151	17	59				28	19	54	44	29	34	21	14	57	7	5	57	12	8	58	18	12	33	19	13	74	
2017	14	12	57				3	21	67				1	7	0	2	14	100	3	21	67	3	21	33	2	14	50	

TREND TABLE B NUMBER OF BALLOT MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TOPIC, JURISDICTION, AND YEAR (CONTINUED)

	All Measures			Education			Governance			Land Use			Public Safety			Public Facilities			General Services			Transportation			Revenue			
	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	
City Measures	1995	119	47	71	4	3	100	56	47	86	13	11	69	12	10	50	11	9	45				1	1	0	2	2	100
	1996	374	65	60	2	1	100	170	45	68	42	11	62	31	8	55	22	6	55	8	2	38	4	1	25	71	19	49
	1997	144	42	58				38	26	68	16	11	63	10	7	50	10	7	40	33	23	58	3	2	33	9	6	78
	1998	283	49	60				101	36	62	33	12	73	27	10	56	20	7	70	10	4	100	7	2	57	62	22	47
	1999	114	40	54				45	39	53	29	25	41	11	10	64	1	1	100	7	6	86				16	14	56
	2000	297	53	60	7	2	71	119	40	64	56	19	61	18	6	61	23	8	83	12	4	50	5	2	40	2	1	0
	2001	93	40	69	3	3	0	33	35	73	6	6	67	4	4	25	11	12	64	3	3	67	3	3	33	27	29	89
	2002	309	47	60	1	0	0	110	36	65	37	12	38	27	9	70	24	8	54	13	4	62	5	2	40	72	23	63
	2003	89	50	67				42	47	69	15	17	60	7	8	57	5	6	60	4	4	100	6	7	33	7	8	100
	2004	337	47	59	2	1	100	107	32	75	44	13	64	33	10	45	24	7	29	19	6	74	4	1	75	91	27	47
	2005	135	46	61				48	36	73	22	16	41	12	9	50	6	4	50	9	7	56	4	3	25	29	21	72
	2006	253	46	64				81	32	62	41	16	59	26	10	81	14	6	43	10	4	60	7	3	71	49	19	69
	2007	108	60	71				49	45	78	13	12	54	5	5	100	3	3	67	5	5	80	2	2	0	31	29	68
	2008	258	44	73				74	29	73	36	14	69	25	10	52	18	7	78	6	2	83	7	3	43	81	31	79
	2009	130	67	61				35	27	60	14	11	50	10	8	60	4	3	75	8	6	25	1	1	0	54	42	72
	2010	270	56	71	1	0	100	115	43	77				15	6	80	10	4	70	8	3	63	2	1	50	84	31	68
	2011	107	62	75				51	48	82	8	7	75	4	4	50	4	4	100	4	4	50	1	1	0	32	30	72
2012	248	47	67	2	1	100	89	36	63	16	6	63	6	2	33	11	4	45	10	4	70	7	3	57	81	33	80	
2013	90	66	68				24	27	67	12	13	42	7	8	71				4	4	50	1	1	100	39	43	79	
2014	285	49	71				94	33	72	30	11	60	23	8	78	11	4	91	10	4	100	8	3	75	95	33	68	
2015	54	45	70				11	20	82	13	24	38	3	6	67	3	6	67	1	2	100				19	35	89	
2016	393	45	77	3	1	67	88	22	85	70	18	64	32	8	78	23	6	48	14	4	50	11	3	73	131	33	86	
2017	81	72	65				10	12	90	13	16	54	14	17	43	3	4	33	3	4	67	1	1	0	34	42	82	

TREND TABLE B NUMBER OF BALLOT MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TOPIC, JURISDICTION, AND YEAR (CONTINUED)

	All Measures			Education			Governance			Land Use			Public Safety			Public Facilities			General Services			Transportation			Revenue				
	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing		
School District Measures	1995	117	46	52	117	100	52																						
	1996	85	15	62	84	99	63											1	1	0									
	1997	174	51	62	174	100	62																						
	1998	164	29	62	158	96	63	5	3	40												1	1	0					
	1999	131	46	62	119	91	59	12	9	92																			
	2000	146	26	63	143	98	62																						
	2001	103	44	71	100	97	73	1	1	0						2	2	0											
	2002	250	38	76	249	100	76															1	0	0					
	2003	61	34	52	61	100	52																						
	2004	235	33	73	233	99	73															2	1	100					
	2005	103	35	69	102	99	70	1	1	0																			
	2006	208	37	58	208	100	58																						
	2007	42	23	67	42	100	67																						
	2008	245	41	80	245	100	80																						
	2009	47	24	66	47	100	66																						
	2010	148	31	64	148	100	64																						
	2011	47	27	64	47	100	64																						
	2012	206	39	82	168	82	23																						
2013	35	26	80	35	100	80																							
2014	208	36	83	208	100	83																							
2015	32	27	94	32	100	94																							
2016	328	38	90	328	100	90																							
2017	18	16	56	18	100	56																							

## TREND TABLE C COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT, AND COUNTY SERVICE AREA MEASURES BY COUNTY

	1998			1999			2000			2001			2002		
	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing
Butte	2	67	100				1	100	100						
Calaveras															
Colusa															
Contra Costa	2	67	50				4	80	25	1	100	100	2	100	50
El Dorado	2	12	50				7	78	29				1	50	100
Fresno	1	50	100												
Humboldt															
Imperial															
Inyo							1	50	100						
Kern	6	100	50				2	100	0	4	100	75			
Lake															
Lassen							1	33	0				4	80	25
Los Angeles															
Marin				4	100	100	5	100	80	10	91	90	3	100	100
Mendocino				1	100	0							1	100	0
Modoc															
Monterey													1	100	0
Nevada							1	100	100						
Orange	1	100	100												
Placer	1	33	100												
Plumas							1	100	100	2	100	100			
Riverside	2	40	50	8	100	38				2	100	0	2	67	50
Sacramento	2	40	100				3	75	33						
San Bernardino	2	100	50				3	100	67				1	100	0
San Diego	10	83	30				3	60	33	1	100	100	2	40	0
San Joaquin															
San Luis Obispo				5	100	100	1	33	0				1	50	100
San Mateo															
Santa Barbara										1	100	0			
Santa Cruz															
Shasta							1	100	0						
Siskiyou							2	100	100						
Sonoma							1	20	100	1	100	100			
Stanislaus															
Sutter				1	100	0									
Trinity															
Tulare															
Tuolumne				1	100	0	1	33	0						
Yolo															
Yuba							2	67	50						
Total for CSD/CSA Measure Over All Counties	31	25	55	20	53	60	40	34	48	22	59	77	18	18	44

## TREND TABLE C COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT, AND COUNTY SERVICE AREA MEASURES BY COUNTY (CONTINUED)

	2003			2004			2005			2006			2007		
	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing
Butte															
Calaveras							3	100	100				1	50	0
Colusa															
Contra Costa				3	60	67	3	100	100	1	33	100	2	100	100
El Dorado	6	100	17	2	20	100	14	88	64	2	100	0	3	100	100
Fresno															
Humboldt				1	25	100	2	100	0						
Imperial							1	50	100						
Inyo															
Kern				3	100	33				1	33	100			
Lake				1	100	0									
Lassen				1	100	100				1	100	0			
Los Angeles															
Marin	1	100	100				2	100	100	4	100	100	1	100	100
Mendocino				1	50	100									
Modoc															
Monterey															
Nevada															
Orange	1	100	100												
Placer															
Plumas	1	50	100												
Riverside	2	100	0	2	100	100									
Sacramento															
San Bernardino	1	100	0	1	50	0				1	33	100			
San Diego				3	33	33				1	20	100			
San Joaquin													1	100	100
San Luis Obispo				4	67	50	4	100	100	5	83	40			
San Mateo				1	14	100									
Santa Barbara										1	25	0			
Santa Cruz							1	100	0						
Shasta															
Siskiyou				5	83	0				2	100	0			
Sonoma	1	100	100							1	50	0			
Stanislaus										1	50	0			
Sutter										1	100	0			
Trinity										2	100	100			
Tulare				1	100	100	1	100	100						
Tuolumne															
Yolo															
Yuba				1	25	0									
Total for CSD/CSA Measure Over All Counties	13	46	38	30	21	50	31	54	74	24	25	50	8	28	88

TREND TABLE C COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT, AND COUNTY SERVICE AREA MEASURES BY COUNTY (CONTINUED)

	2008			2009			2010			2011			2012		
	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing
Butte															
Calaveras													1	50	100
Colusa															
Contra Costa	3	100	67				1	50	100	2	100	100	1	100	100
El Dorado	1	50	0	1	100	0	3	75	67				1	50	0
Fresno										2	100	100			
Humboldt	1	100	0							1	100	100			
Imperial															
Inyo															
Kern							1	100	0						
Lake	1	100	100												
Lassen													1	100	0
Los Angeles															
Marin	3	75	100	1	100	100	7	88	43	3	100	100	1	50	100
Mendocino													1	33	0
Modoc															
Monterey															
Nevada													1	100	0
Orange															
Placer	1	33	0										1	100	0
Plumas										1	100	0			
Riverside							1	25	0						
Sacramento	1	100	100												
San Bernardino	1	50	100	1	100	100				1	100	100			
San Diego															
San Joaquin															
San Luis Obispo													1	50	100
San Mateo	1	25	100										1	100	100
Santa Barbara				1	100	100							1	14	100
Santa Cruz															
Shasta				1	100	100	2	100	0						
Siskiyou	3	75	33												
Sonoma													1	100	0
Stanislaus	1	25	0												
Sutter															
Trinity															
Tulare															
Tuolumne	1	100	100										1	100	100
Yolo				1	100	100							1	100	0
Yuba															
Total for CSD/CSA Measure Over All Counties	18	20	61	6	38	83	15	23	40	10	50	90	14	18	50

TREND TABLE C COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT, AND COUNTY SERVICE AREA MEASURES BY COUNTY (CONTINUED)

	2013			2014			2015			2016			2017		
	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing
Butte															
Calaveras										1	20	0	2	100	50
Colusa													1	0	0
Contra Costa										1	33	100			
El Dorado	2	100	50	5	45	60	5	83	40	4	50	0	2	100	0
Fresno															
Humboldt	1	100	100												
Imperial				2	100	0									
Inyo															
Kern	1	25	0				1	50	100	1	50	0			
Lake															
Lassen				3	75	33	2	100	100	2	67	100			
Los Angeles	1	2	100							4	67	100			
Marin	1	11	100	1	25	100	2	22	100				1	100	0
Mendocino															
Modoc				2	0	0	2	100	0	2	100	100			
Monterey													1	100	0
Nevada				1	50	0							1	100	100
Orange															
Placer															
Plumas							4	100	100	1	100	100			
Riverside															
Sacramento															
San Bernardino	1	9	0				2	40	0	3	100	67	1	100	100
San Diego				1	100	0				1	33	0			
San Joaquin															
San Luis Obispo							1	100	100						
San Mateo										1	33	100			
Santa Barbara										2	67	50			
Santa Cruz															
Shasta															
Siskiyou				1	33	0				2	29	50			
Sonoma															
Stanislaus															
Sutter															
Trinity															
Tulare															
Tuolumne															
Yolo															
Yuba															
Total for CSD/CSA Measure Over All Counties	7	58	57	16	19	38	19	56	12	25	17	60	9	64	44

TREND TABLE D NUMBER OF COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT, AND COUNTY SERVICE AREA MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL COUNTY MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TYPE, AND YEAR

	ALL CSD/CSA			TAXES			BONDS			ADVISORY			RECALLS			GANN LIMIT			ORDINANCE		
	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate
1998	31	25	55	22	18	45									1	1	0	8	6	88	
1999	20	53	60	16	42	56									3	8	100	1	3	0	
2000	40	34	48	28	24	29	1	1	100	3	3	67			6	5	100	2	2	100	
2001	22	59	77	12	32	75	2	5	100				3	8	100	3	8	100	2	5	0
2002	18	18	44	14	14	36									4	4	75				
2003	13	46	38	11	39	27												2	7	100	
2004	30	21	50	24	17	42				1	1	100			2	1	100	3	2	67	
2005	31	54	74	23	40	65	2	4	100				3	5	100	1	2	100	2	4	100
2006	24	25	50	15	16	47							4	4	25	1	1	100	4	4	75
2007	8	28	88	3	10	67							3	10	100	1	3	100	1	3	100
2008	18	20	61	11	12	45				1	1	0			4	4	100	2	2	100	
2009	6	38	83	3	19	67							1	6	100	1	6	100	1	6	100
2010	15	23	40	11	17	36							4	6	50						
2011	10	50	90	4	20	75				1	5	100	2	10	100	2	10	100	1	5	100
2012	14	18	50	11	14	36							1	1	100				2	3	100
2013	7	58	57	5	42	40				1	0	100			1	8	100				
2014	16	19	38	13	15	31	2	0	100						1	1	0				
2015	19	56	63	9	26	56				2	0	0	5	15	100	1	3	100	2	6	50
2016	25	17	60	17	11	47				1	1	0	2	1	100	1	1	100	4	3	100
2017	9	64	44	6	43	33												3	21	67	
1998-2017	378	29	57	260	20	46	7	1	100	10	1	50	28	2	82	33	2	91	40	3	80



TREND TABLE E COMPARISON OF PASS RATES FOR COUNTY-WIDE, AND COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT/ COUNTY SERVICE AREA TAX MEASURES, 1998-2017

Total Number of County Measures	NON-CSD/CSA COUNTY-WIDE MEASURES		CSD/CSA MEASURES		NON-CSD/CSA COUNTY-WIDE TAX MEASURES		CSD/CSA COUNTY TAX MEASURES		
	Number of Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	Percent Passing	
1998	125	94	61	31	55	31	35	22	45
1999	38	18	67	20	60	5	20	16	56
2000	116	76	50	40	48	23	26	28	29
2001	37	15	67	22	77	2	50	12	75
2002	98	80	59	18	44	24	50	14	36
2003	28	15	87	13	38	1	0	11	27
2004	140	110	55	30	50	36	47	24	42
2005	57	26	50	31	74	1	100	23	65
2006	95	71	52	24	50	30	37	15	47
2007	29	21	71	8	88	0	0	3	67
2008	90	72	63	18	61	22	0	11	45
2009	16	10	60	6	83	1	0	3	67
2010	64	49	57	15	40	14	57	11	36
2011	20	10	70	10	90	3	67	4	75
2012	76	62	66	14	50	28	68	11	36
2013	12	5	100	7	57	0	0	5	40
2014	84	68	62	16	38	20	40	13	31
2015	34	15	60	19	63	2	0	9	56
2016	151	126	59	25	60	51	59	17	47
2017	14	5	80	9	44	5	80	6	33
1998-2017	1,324	948	59	378	57	299	47	260	46

TREND TABLE F NUMBER OF COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT, AND COUNTY SERVICE AREA MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL COUNTY MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TOPIC, AND YEAR

YEAR	ALL CSD/CSA			LAND USE			PUBLIC SAFETY			GOVERNANCE			ENVIRONMENT			TRANSPORTATION			PUBLIC FACILITIES			GENERAL SERVICES			REVENUE		
	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Percent Passing
1998	31	25	55				12	10	42				3	2	67	2	2	50	1	1	0	8	6	88	4	3	25
1999	20	53	60				2	5	50				3	8	0	5	13	100				5	13	40	5	13	80
2000	40	34	48	2	2	0	10	9	30	2	2	100				6	5	17	5	4	40	5	4	60	1	1	100
2001	22	59	77				6	16	100	4	11	75				1	3	0	5	14	60	4	11	75	2	5	100
2002	18	18	44				11	11	45	3	3	67							3	3	33	1	1	0			
2003	13	46	38				5	18	40	2	7	100													6	21	17
2004	30	21	50				17	12	47	1	1	0				4	3	50	4	3	50	1	1	0	2	1	100
2005	31	54	74	2	4	0	1	2	100	3	5	100				6	11	100	6	11	67	9	16	78	4	7	50
2006	24	25	50				7	7	71	7	7	43				2	2	50	3	3	0	2	2	50	3	3	67
2007	8	28	88							4	14	100				1	3	0	1	3	100	2	7	100			
2008	18	20	61				8	9	50	2	2	100				2	2	50				1	1	0	5	6	80
2009	6	38	83							2	13	100				1	6	0	2	13	100				1	6	100
2010	15	23	40				7	11	43	4	6	50				1	2	0							3	5	33
2011	10	50	90				4	20	75	4	20	100													2	10	100
2012	14	18	50	1	1	100	6	8	33	2	3	100				2	3	50	3	4	33						
2013	7	58	57				2	17	50	2	17	100				3	25	33									
2014	16	19	38				7	8	29	2	2	100				3	4	0	2	2	100				2	2	0
2015	19	56	63	1	3	0	4	12	50	7	21	86				3	9	33	2	6	50	1	3	100	1	3	100
2016	25	17	60	1	1	0	10	7	70	6	4	100				3	2	0				1	1	100	4	3	25
2017	9	64	44							3	21	67				3	21	33	1	7	100	1	7	0	1	7	0
1998-2017	378	29	57	7	1	14	119	9	50	60	4	82	6	0	33	48	4	44	39	3	54	41	3	66	47	4	55

### TREND TABLE G NUMBER OF CANDIDATES BY JURISDICTION, AND YEAR

	NUMBER OF CANDIDATES			
	ALL CANDIDATES	COUNTY CANDIDATES	CITY CANDIDATES	SCHOOL DISTRICT CANDIDATES
1995	2,354	0	732	1,622
1996	5,330	667	2,141	2,522
1997	2,476	23	736	1,717
1998	5,354	1,037	1,893	2,424
1999	2,274	135	724	1,415
2000	5,012	796	2,166	2,050
2001	2,505	189	688	1,628
2002	5,896	1,266	2,188	2,442
2003	2,086	205	566	1,315
2004	5,035	782	2,212	2,041
2005	2,546	167	979	1,400
2006	5,498	1,136	2,132	2,230
2007	2,021	207	811	1,003
2008	5,237	782	2,282	2,173
2009	2,066	143	863	1,060
2010	6,022	1,177	2,321	2,524
2011	1,602	138	734	730
2012	5,208	776	2,332	2,100
2013	1,688	152	818	768
2014	5,675	1,204	2,172	2,299
2015	1,321	114	607	600
2016	5,118	723	2,361	2,034
2017	763	78	494	191
Total	83,087	11,897	32,952	38,288

\*We excluded runoffs from totals.

TREND TABLE H NUMBER OF CANDIDATES FOR MAJOR COUNTY OFFICES BY YEAR

	Total Number of Candidates	Number of County Candidates	County Supervisor Candidates		CSD/CSA Candidates	
			Number of Candidates	% of County Candidates	Number of Candidates	% of County Candidates
1995	2,354	0	0	0	*	*
1996	5,330	667	470	70	*	*
1997	2,476	23	19	83	*	*
1998	5,354	1,037	309	30	22	2
1999	2,274	135	5	4	109	81
2000	5,012	796	441	55	174	22
2001	2,505	189	0	0	186	98
2002	5,896	1,266	306	24	127	10
2003	2,086	205	10	5	175	85
2004	5,035	782	447	57	125	16
2005	2,546	167	4	2	155	93
2006	5,498	1,136	310	27	160	14
2007	2,021	207	10	5	161	78
2008	5,237	782	441	56	174	22
2009	2,066	143	0	0	141	99
2010	6,022	1,177	331	28	170	14
2011	1,602	138	6	4	103	75
2012	5,208	776	460	59	200	26
2013	1,688	152	11	7	138	91
2014	5,675	1,204	317	26	244	20
2015	1,321	114	5	4	94	82
2016	5,118	723	431	60	158	22
2017	763	78	0	0	78	100
Total	83,087	11,897	4,333	36	2,894	24

\*The California Elections Data Archive did not collect information on CSD/CSA candidates until 1998.

\*\*We excluded runoffs from totals.

TREND TABLE I PERCENT OF INCUMBENT CANDIDATES, AND PERCENT OF PREVAILING INCUMBENTS BY MAJOR OFFICE, JURISDICTION, AND YEAR

	PERCENT OF CANDIDATES WHO ARE INCUMBENTS				PERCENTAGE OF INCUMBENTS WHO WIN				PERCENTAGE OF WINNING CANDIDATES WHO ARE INCUMBENTS			
	% of All Candidates	% of County Supervisor Candidates	% of City Council Candidates	% of School District Candidates	% of All Candidates	% of County Supervisor Candidates	% of City Council Candidates	% of School District Candidates	% of All Candidates	% of County Supervisor Candidates	% of City Council Candidates	% of School District Candidates
1995	27	--	18	30	79	--	79	78	50	--	41	51
1996	27	24	23	28	79	75	74	78	48	51	41	47
1997	30	5	23	33	76	0	79	74	49	0	45	50
1998	32	30	26	32	86	87	82	83	57	63	48	53
1999	30	0	23	32	78	--	81	77	51	0	45	52
2000	30	30	27	32	79	90	80	74	52	73	51	49
2001	30	--	24	32	78	--	80	77	50	--	51	50
2002	34	34	27	36	82	81	79	79	57	63	50	56
2003	31	0	22	35	78	--	72	79	51	0	40	55
2004	33	28	28	37	81	81	81	76	55	59	51	57
2005	31	0	23	36	80	--	80	78	52	0	50	52
2006	35	29	29	36	82	90	78	78	56	68	51	55
2007	31	0	27	33	77	--	79	75	50	0	54	48
2008	34	30	30	38	76	86	80	70	56	61	55	54
2009	34	--	26	39	78	--	79	76	54	--	51	55
2010	35	28	29	39	82	83	82	79	59	61	56	59
2011	29	0	24	34	82	--	82	82	49	0	47	51
2012	27	28	25	30	74	78	74	71	43	58	41	43
2013	30	0	27	31	77	--	82	74	47	0	52	44
2014	35	31	30	38	77	89	77	71	54	64	51	51
2015	29	0	26	31	71	--	74	67	45	0	46	43
2016	32	31	28	34	74	82	75	68	49	63	47	46
2017	29	--	26	31	80	--	86	70	55	--	59	47
1995-2017	32	29	27	34	79	83	79	76	53	62	49	52

\*We excluded runoffs from totals.



---

2017 ELECTION SERIES SUMMARY:  
ELECTION OUTCOMES FOR  
COUNTY, CITY, AND SCHOOL DISTRICT  
BALLOT MEASURES, AND CANDIDATES

---





TABLE A SUMMARY OF OUTCOMES FOR ALL COUNTY, CITY, AND SCHOOL DISTRICT BALLOT MEASURES BY TYPE OF MEASURE, AND COUNTY, 2017

	TAXES		BONDS		CHARTER AMENDMENT		ADVISORY		INITIATIVE		RECALL		ORDINANCE		ALL MEASURES		
	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	TOTAL
Alameda	1	1													1	1	2
Calaveras	1	1													1	1	2
Colusa												1	0		1	0	1
El Dorado	0	3													0	3	3
Fresno	0	3													0	3	3
Humboldt	1	0													1	0	1
Imperial	1	0										1	0		2	0	2
Kern	1	1	0	1											1	2	3
Kings	1	0													1	0	1
Los Angeles	9	7	1	2	4	1						5	1		19	11	30
Madera	0	1													0	1	1
Marin	2	1										1	0		3	1	4
Mendocino	1	0													1	0	1
Monterey	3	0	0	2							1	0	0	1	4	3	7
Nevada	1	0													1	0	1
Plumas	0	1													0	1	1
Riverside	3	1							0	1			1	1	4	3	7
San Bernardino	0	2	1	0									2	1	3	3	6
San Diego									0	1					0	1	1
San Luis Obispo									0	1					0	1	1
San Mateo	6	2			2	0	1	0					0	1	9	3	12
Santa Barbara	1	0													1	0	1
Santa Clara	3	1											1	1	4	2	6
Santa Cruz	1	0													1	0	1
Shasta	1	0													1	0	1
Sonoma	3	1											1	1	4	2	6
Stanislaus	2	0													2	0	2
Trinity															1	0	1
Tulare	5	0													5	0	5
All Counties	47	26	3	5	6	1	1	0	0	3	1	0	13	7	71	42	113

TABLE B SUMMARY OF OUTCOMES FOR ALL COUNTY, CITY, AND SCHOOL DISTRICT BALLOT MEASURES BY TOPIC OF MEASURE AND COUNTY, 2017

	EDUCATION		LAND USE		SAFETY		GOVERNANCE		TRANSPORT		FACILITIES		HOUSING		GENERAL SERVICES		REVENUE		ALL MEASURES				
	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	TOTAL		
Alameda	1	1																	1	1	2		
Calaveras											1	0						0	1	1	1	2	
Colusa							1	0											1	0	1		
El Dorado									0	3									0	3	3		
Fresno					0	2												0	1	0	3	3	
Humboldt																		1	0	1	0	1	
Imperial							1	0										1	0	2	0	2	
Kern	0	1			0	1												1	0	1	2	3	
Kings																		1	0	1	0	1	
Los Angeles	2	1	3	2	1	2	5	1			0	2			1	0		7	3	19	11	30	
Madera					0	1														0	1	1	
Marin	1	0	1	0											0	1		1	0	3	1	4	
Mendocino															1	0				1	0	1	
Monterey	0	2			1	0	1	1										2	0	4	3	7	
Nevada									1	0										1	0	1	
Plumas					0	1														0	1	1	
Riverside			0	1	2	0									1	1		1	1	4	3	7	
San Bernardino			1	1	0	2	1	0							1	0				3	3	6	
San Diego			0	1																0	1	1	
San Luis Obispo														0	1					0	1	1	
San Mateo	2	1					2	0			1	0		0	1				4	1	9	3	12
Santa Barbara																		1	0	1	0	1	
Santa Clara	2	1	1	1	1	0														4	2	6	
Santa Cruz	1	0																		1	0	1	
Shasta					1	0														1	0	1	
Sonoma	0	1	1	0									0	1					3	0	4	2	6
Stanislaus											1	0							1	0	2	0	2
Trinity	1	0																		1	0	1	
Tulare																		5	0	5	0	5	
All Counties	10	8	7	6	6	9	11	2	1	3	3	2	0	3	4	2	29	7	71	42	113		

TABLE C SUMMARY OF ELECTION OUTCOMES FOR ALL COUNTY, CITY, AND SCHOOL DISTRICT OFFICES, 2017

		City Council		Director, CSD*		Other City Offices		School Board Member		Total**	
		Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N
Incumbent Candidates	Win	85.7	90	60.9	14	91.4	32	70.0	42	79.8	178
	Lose	14.3	15	39.1	9	8.6	3	30.0	18	20.2	45
	Total	100.0	105	100.0	23	100.0	35	100.0	60	100.0	223
Non-Incumbent Candidates	Win	20.7	62	47.3	26	18.2	10	36.6	48	27.0	146
	Lose	79.3	237	52.7	29	81.8	45	63.4	83	73.0	394
	Total	100.0	299	100.0	55	100.0	55	100.0	131	100.0	540
Winning Candidates	Incumbent	59.2	90	35.0	14	76.2	32	46.7	42	54.9	178
	Non-Incumbent	40.8	62	65.0	26	23.8	10	53.3	48	45.1	146
	Total	100.0	152	100.0	40	100.0	42	100.0	90	100.0	324
Losing Candidates	Incumbent	6.0	15	23.7	9	6.3	3	17.8	18	10.3	45
	Non-Incumbent	94.0	237	76.3	29	93.8	45	82.2	83	89.7	394
	Total	100.0	252	100.0	38	100.0	48	100.0	101	100.0	540
All Candidates	Incumbent	26.0	105	29.5	23	38.9	35	31.4	60	29.2	223
	Non-Incumbent	74.0	299	70.5	55	61.1	55	68.6	131	70.8	540
	Total	100.0	404	100.0	78	100.0	90	100.0	191	100.0	763

\*Directors of Community Service Districts, and Community Service Areas.

\*\*We excluded runoffs from the totals.



---

PART 1  
VOTE TOTALS, ELECTION OUTCOMES,  
AND TEXT FOR COUNTY BALLOT MEASURES

---



TABLE 1.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2017

COUNTY	DATE	MEASURE TITLE	TYPE OF MEASURE	TOPIC OF MEASURE	VOTE IN FAVOR	TOTAL VOTE	PERCENT OF VOTE	PASS OR FAIL
ALAMEDA	No County Measures							
ALPINE	No County Measures							
AMADOR	No County Measures							
BUTTE	No County Measures							
CALAVERAS	5/2/2017	Measure D	Property Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	87	238	36.6%	Fail <sup>T</sup>
		Measure A	Property Tax	Facilities: Sports Facilities	191	276	69.2%	Pass <sup>T</sup>
COLUSA	11/7/2017	Measure A	Ordinance	Governance: Organization	42	52	80.8%	Pass
CONTRA COSTA	No County Measures							
DEL NORTE	No County Measures							
EL DORADO	6/6/2017	Measure A	Property Tax	Transport: Roads	18	28	64.3%	Fail <sup>T</sup>
	11/7/2017	Measure B	Property Tax	Transport: Roads	67	115	58.3%	Fail <sup>T</sup>
FRESNO	No County Measures							
GLENN	No County Measures							
HUMBOLDT	No County Measures							
IMPERIAL	No County Measures							
INYO	No County Measures							
KERN	No County Measures							
KINGS	No County Measures							
LAKE	No County Measures							
LASSEN	No County Measures							
LOS ANGELES	3/7/2017	Measure H	Sales Tax	General Services: Social/Welfare	585,905	845,003	69.3%	Pass <sup>T</sup>
MADERA	3/7/2017	Measure L	Sales Tax	Safety: Fire	5,268	11,794	44.7%	Fail <sup>T</sup>
MARIN	5/2/2017	Measure A	Property Tax	General Services: Maintenance	495	1,021	48.5%	Fail <sup>T</sup>
MARIPOSA	No County Measures							
MENDOCINO	11/7/2017	Measure B	Sales Tax	General Services: Social/Welfare	15,775	18,886	83.5%	Pass <sup>T</sup>
MERCED	No County Measures							
MODOC	No County Measures							
MONO	No County Measures							
MONTEREY	8/29/2017	Measure D	Ordinance	Governance: Organization	13	301	4.3%	Fail
NAPA	No County Measures							
NEVADA	3/7/2017	Measure D	Property Tax	Transport: Roads	71	84	84.5%	Pass <sup>T</sup>
ORANGE	No County Measures							
PLACER	No County Measures							

<sup>T</sup> Indicates measure required two-thirds of the vote to pass. <sup>F</sup> Indicates measure required 55% of the vote to pass. All other county measures required a majority vote.

TABLE 1.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2017

COUNTY	DATE	MEASURE TITLE	TYPE OF MEASURE	TOPIC OF MEASURE	VOTE IN FAVOR	TOTAL VOTE	PERCENT OF VOTE	PASS OR FAIL
PLUMAS	No County Measures							
RIVERSIDE	No County Measures							
SACRAMENTO	No County Measures							
SAN BENITO	No County Measures							
SAN BERNARDINO	3/7/2017	Measure C	Ordinance	Governance: Incorporation/Formation/Annexation	573	910	63.0%	Pass
SAN DIEGO	No County Measures							
SAN FRANCISCO	No County Measures							
SAN JOAQUIN	No County Measures							
SAN LUIS OBISPO	No County Measures							
SAN MATEO	No County Measures							
SANTA BARBARA	No County Measures							
SANTA CLARA	No County Measures							
SANTA CRUZ	No County Measures							
SHASTA	No County Measures							
SIERRA	No County Measures							
SISKIYOU	No County Measures							
SOLANO	No County Measures							
SONOMA	3/7/2017	Measure A	Business Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	64,177	90,396	71.0%	Pass
STANISLAUS	11/7/2017	Measure S	Sales Tax	Facilities: Libraries	41,986	51,011	82.3%	Fail
SUTTER	No County Measures							
TEHAMA	No County Measures							
TRINITY	No County Measures							
TULARE	No County Measures							
TUOLUMNE	No County Measures							
VENTURA	No County Measures							
YOLO	No County Measures							
YUBA	No County Measures							



## TABLE 1.2 TEXT FOR COUNTY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2017

CALAVERAS	5/2/2017	Measure D	Fail (2/3rds required)
To maintain Copper Cove Rocky Road Community Service District roads shall a new tax, replacing the existing tax of \$350 per residential lot, be adopted without expiration, at \$450 per residential lot?			
CALAVERAS	5/2/2017	Measure A	Pass (2/3rds required)
To maintain Saddle Creek Community Services District owned facilities and easements including sidewalks, storm drains, landscaping, lighting, parks, security, weed and mosquito abatement, road improvement and maintenance, reserves, and administration, shall a new tax, providing \$1.2 million annually and replacing the existing tax, be adopted without expiration at \$1,703 per residential lot; \$720 per acre for large lot undeveloped property; \$640 per acre for sports club property; and \$63,860 for the golf course property, including an annual CPI adjustment?			
COLUSA	11/7/2017	Measure A	Pass
Upon adoption by the majority of registered voters in the Cortina Community Services District, shall the board of Directors of the Cortina Community Services District (currently the County Board of Supervisors) be changed to a Board of Directors elected at large by registered voters of the district with such directors taking office if the measure is passed by a majority vote?			
EL DORADO	6/6/2017	Measure A	Fail (2/3rds required)
Shall the Board of Directors of the Marble Mountain Community Services District be authorized to annually assess each parcel located within the District which is not included within the boundaries of Road Improvement Zone "1", (along Marble Ridge Road and Diablo Trail), a special tax in an amount up to, but not exceeding the amount of \$400 per parcel to be expended for the maintenance and improvement of roadways located in those areas of the District lying outside of Road Improvement Zone "1"?			
EL DORADO	11/7/2017	Measure B	Fail (2/3rds required)
The special tax to fund maintaining, upgrading and improving district roads of the Cosumnes River Community Services District (CRCSO) was set at \$150.00 in 1991. Shall the CRCSO increase this tax by \$150.00 such that the annual tax level is reset to \$300.00 per parcel, to remain at that level unless and until there are further voter approved changes?			
LOS ANGELES	3/7/2017	Measure H	Pass (2/3rds required)
To fund mental health, substance abuse treatment, health care, education, job training, rental subsidies, emergency and affordable housing, transportation, outreach, prevention, and supportive services for homeless children, families, foster youth, veterans, battered women, seniors, disabled individuals, and other homeless adults; shall voters authorize Ordinance No. 2017-0001 to levy a ¼ cent sales tax for ten years, with independent annual audits and citizens' oversight?			
MADERA	3/7/2017	Measure L	Fail (2/3rds required)
Shall Madera County enact a one percent public safety sales tax in the unincorporated area of the County to: Improve firefighting services throughout the county; Increase the number of paid and volunteer firefighters; Improve instructional and training services for volunteer firefighters; and, Increase the number of sheriff deputies; with annual public audits and citizen oversight for a period of twenty years and all funds controlled locally pursuant to Ordinance No. 683?			
MARIN	5/2/2017	Measure A	Fail (2/3rds required)
Shall the special tax for maintenance and improvements to landscaped medians levied annually upon each improved parcel located within County Service Area #16 (Greenbrae), be increased from \$150 per year to \$300 per year per improved parcel within that area commencing with the 2017-2018 fiscal year?			
MENDOCINO	11/7/2017	Measure B	Pass (2/3rds required)
To provide funding for mental health care and facilities in Mendocino County to diagnose and treat mental illness and addiction, shall a transactions and use tax of one-half cent be enacted for five years, and thereafter reduced to one-eighth cent, with annual audits and a citizen's oversight committee to ensure funds are properly spent, and a requirement that funds cannot be taken by the State and spent for other purposes?			
MONTEREY	8/29/2017	Measure D	Fail
Shall the East Garrison Community Services District have a directly elected Board of Directors?			
NEVADA	3/7/2017	Measure D	Pass (2/3rds required)
Shall Resolution 2016-03 be approved establishing a special tax on each parcel of land within the District in the amount of \$200.00 per year, beginning with the 2017-18 fiscal year, and \$250.00 per year beginning with the 2021-22 fiscal year, and each fiscal year thereafter, for the purpose of funding road maintenance programs within the District, and further establish a spending limit in accordance with the provisions of Article XIIIB of the California Constitution?			
SAN BERNARDINO	3/7/2017	Measure C	Pass
Shall the order adopted on July 20, 2016, by the Local Agency Formation Commission for San Bernardino County ordering the reorganization to include formation of the Wrightwood Community Services District and Dissolution of County Service Area 56, known as LAFCO 3202, be approved subject to the terms and conditions as more particularly described in the order?			

## TABLE 1.2 TEXT FOR COUNTY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2017

SONOMA                      3/7/2017                      Measure A    Pass  
Shall an ordinance be adopted imposing a cannabis business tax in unincorporated Sonoma County on cultivation up to \$38 per square foot (annually adjusted by CPI increases) or 10% on gross receipts, and on other cannabis businesses up to 10% on gross receipts, to fund essential county services such as addressing industry impacts, public safety, fire, health, housing, roads, and environmental protection, with funds staying local and subject to audits, generating undetermined revenue until repealed?

STANISLAUS                      11/7/2017                      Measure S    Pass (2/3rds required)  
To maintain local library funding the State cannot take, keep branches open, maintain hours, provide programs for children, teens, adults and seniors, children's story times, literacy, homework and job search resources, maintain books, CDs, DVDs and reference materials -- shall Stanislaus County extend the existing one-eighth percent library sales tax for 12 years, commencing July 1, 2018, generating approximately \$10 million annually, with an independent citizens oversight committee ensuring funds are spent only on Stanislaus County Libraries?

TABLE 1.3 SUMMARY OF ELECTION OUTCOMES FOR COUNTY  
BALLOT MEASURES BY TYPE OF MEASURE, AND COUNTY, 2017

	TAXES		ORDINANCE		ALL MEASURES		
	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	TOTAL
Calaveras	1	1			1	1	2
Colusa			1	0	1	0	1
El Dorado	0	2			0	2	2
Los Angeles	1	0			1	0	1
Madera	0	1			0	1	1
Marin	0	1			0	1	1
Mendocino	1	0			1	0	1
Monterey			0	1	0	1	1
Nevada	1	0			1	0	1
San Bernardino			1	0	1	0	1
Sonoma	1	0			1	0	1
Stanislaus	1	0			1	0	1
All Counties	6	5	2	1	8	6	14

TABLE 1.4 SUMMARY OF ELECTION OUTCOMES FOR COUNTY BALLOT MEASURES BY TOPIC OF MEASURE, AND COUNTY, 2017

	SAFETY		GOVERNANCE		TRANSPORT		FACILITIES		GENERAL SERVICES		REVENUE		ALL MEASURES		
	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	TOTAL
Calaveras							1	0			0	1	1	1	2
Colusa			1	0									1	0	1
El Dorado					0	2							0	2	2
Los Angeles									1	0			1	0	1
Madera	0	1											0	1	1
Marin									0	1			0	1	1
Mendocino									1	0			1	0	1
Monterey			0	1									0	1	1
Nevada					1	0							1	0	1
San Bernardino			1	0									1	0	1
Sonoma											1	0	1	0	1
Stanislaus							1						1	0	1
All Counties	0	1	2	1	1	2	2	0	2	1	1	1	8	6	14

---

PART 2  
VOTE TOTALS FOR  
COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES

---



TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2017

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DISTRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	INC- UMB- ENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATES	TOTAL VOTES CAST <sup>1</sup>	PERCENT OF VOTE	ELEC- TED
ALAMEDA	No County Contests												
ALPINE	No County Contests												
AMADOR	No County Contests												
BUTTE	No County Contests												
CALAVERAS	No County Contests												
COLUSA	11/7/2017	DIRECTOR, Cortina CSD		Full	Bradford	Rod A.	Farmer	No	8	31	185	16.8%	Yes
					Wilderman	Terry	Firefighter/Paramedic	No	8	27	185	14.6%	Yes
					Gage	Karen	Retired Accountant	No	8	26	185	14.1%	Yes
					Grice	Victor S.	Retired Engineer	No	8	25	185	13.5%	Yes
					Gibbons	Amy	No Ballot Designation	No	8	22	185	11.9%	No
					McCausland	Shirley Ann	Retired Office Supervisor	No	8	22	185	11.9%	No
					Gomez	Abel	Landscaper	No	8	18	185	9.7%	No
					Jacobson	Joel	No Ballot Designation	No	8	11	185	5.9%	No
CONTRA COSTA	No County Contests												
DEL NORTE	No County Contests												
EL DORADO	No County Contests												
FRESNO	No County Contests												
GLENN	11/7/2017	DIRECTOR, Butte City CSD		Full	Regoli	Joseph Paul	Construction Worker	No	5	25	68	36.8%	Yes
					Scanlon	Kelly	Child Welfare Supervisor	No	5	23	68	33.8%	Yes
					Campos	Jesus V.	Director of Butte City CSD	Yes	5	9	68	13.2%	No
					Spencer	Marcus James	Student	No	5	9	68	13.2%	No
					Pearlman	Les	Business Owner	No	5	2	68	2.9%	No
				Short	Humphrey	Kevin	Business Owner	No	3	25	34	73.5%	Yes
					Falcon	Rafael Humberto	Student	No	3	9	34	26.5%	No
					Johnson	Sean	Truck Driver	No	3	0	34	0.0%	No
HUMBOLDT	11/7/2017	DIRECTOR, McKinleyville CSD		Full	Burke	Mary	Non-Profit Program Coordinator	No	4	2,006	2,785	72.0%	Yes
					Coelho	David	College Student	No	4	356	2,785	12.8%	No
					Vance	James	Truck Driver	No	4	295	2,785	10.6%	No
					Oliver	Bonnie L.	Architect	No	4	128	2,785	4.6%	No
IMPERIAL	11/7/2017	DIRECTOR, Bombay Beach CSD		Full	Town	Gloria B.	Incumbent	Yes	6	24	99	24.2%	Yes
					Hollenbeck	Cindy	Appointed Incumbent	Yes	6	17	99	17.2%	Yes
					Kattro	Loyd	Appointed Incumbent	Yes	6	17	99	17.2%	Yes
					Kelley	Nathan	Art Center Director	No	6	14	99	14.1%	No

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2017

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DISTRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	INC- UMB- ENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATES	TOTAL VOTES CAST <sup>1</sup>	PERCENT OF VOTE	ELEC- TED
IMPERIAL (continued)	11/7/2017	DIRECTOR, Bombay Beach CSD		Full	Sinibaldi	Pat	Housewife	No	6	14	99	14.1%	No
					Morse	Richard	Musician/Business Owner	No	6	13	99	13.1%	No
		DIRECTOR, Salton CSD			Bennett	Larry R.	Insurance Broker	No	7	112	601	18.6%	Yes
					Gonzalez	Salvador "Sal"	Teacher	No	7	105	601	17.5%	Yes
					Quiroz	Barbara	Retired Sales & Service Rep	No	7	94	601	15.6%	Yes
					Aldridge	Fran	Incumbent	Yes	7	88	601	14.6%	No
					Klontz	Walter "Chris"	Incumbent	Yes	7	81	601	13.5%	No
					Tapia	Jose Angel	Truck Driver	No	7	71	601	11.8%	No
Flowers	Lizabeth	Insurance Agent	No	7	50	601	8.3%	No					
INYO	11/7/2017	DIRECTOR, Eastern Sierra CSD		Full	Winzenread	Robert	Incumbent Vice President	Yes	4	775	2,453	31.6%	Yes
					Pachucki	Walt	Incumbent Board President	Yes	4	698	2,453	28.5%	Yes
					Brown	Jeffrey L.	Appointed Incumbent	Yes	4	585	2,453	23.8%	Yes
					Smith	Devon H.	Retired Wastewater Worker	No	4	391	2,453	15.9%	No
KERN	No County Contests												
KINGS	No County Contests												
LAKE	No County Contests												
LASSEN	No County Contests												
LOS ANGELES	No County Contests												
MADERA	No County Contests												
MARIN	11/7/2017	DIRECTOR, Marin City CSD		Full	McLemore	Royce Y.	Incumbent	Yes	7	249	1,273	19.6%	Yes
					Harris-Green	Terrie	Environmental Human Development	No	7	247	1,273	19.4%	Yes
					Morgan	Damian	Appointed Incumbent	No	7	203	1,273	15.9%	Yes
					Parker	Donald	Appointed Incumbent	Yes	7	202	1,273	15.9%	No
					Norman	Gerald R.	Incumbent	Yes	7	170	1,273	13.4%	No
					Matejka	Ken	Attorney	No	7	150	1,273	11.8%	No
					Ashby	Karen E.	Retired	No	7	49	1,273	3.8%	No
		DIRECTOR, Muir Beach CSD			Hills	Leighton	Wireless ISP Manager	No	5	163	543	30.0%	Yes
					Shaffer	Steven S.	No Ballot Designation	No	5	149	543	27.4%	Yes
					Lambert	Peter C.	Incumbent	Yes	5	86	543	15.8%	Yes
Grose	Lynda	Incumbent	Yes	5	73	543	13.4%	No					
Schoenfeld	Frank	Physician/Educator	No	5	70	543	12.9%	No					
MARIPOSA	No County Contests												
MENDOCINO	No County Contests												



TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2017

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DISTRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	INC- UMB- ENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATES	TOTAL VOTES CAST <sup>1</sup>	PERCENT OF VOTE	ELEC- TED
MERCED	No County Contests												
MODOC	No County Contests												
MONO	No County Contests												
MONTEREY	No County Contests												
NAPA	No County Contests												
NEVADA	No County Contests												
ORANGE	No County Contests												
PLACER	No County Contests												
PLUMAS	No County Contests												
RIVERSIDE	No County Contests												
SACRAMENTO	No County Contests												
SAM BENITO	No County Contests												
SAN BERNARDINO	3/7/2017	DIRECTOR, Wrightwood CSD <sup>P</sup>		Full	Hordyk	Leo W.	Restaurant Owner	No	8	521	3,061	17.0%	Yes
					Schneider	Michelle	Educator	No	8	467	3,061	15.3%	Yes
					Carroll	Stephanie	Self Employed	No	8	452	3,061	14.8%	Yes
					Zuber	Wes	Business Owner	No	8	446	3,061	14.6%	Yes
					Lopiccolo	Natalie	Office Coordinator	No	8	419	3,061	13.7%	Yes
					Franklin	Charles "Chuck"	No Ballot Designation	No	8	365	3,061	11.9%	No
					Ciccarelli	Monica	Pediatric Nurse	No	8	295	3,061	9.6%	No
					Ciccarelli	Andrew	No Ballot Designation	No	8	96	3,061	3.1%	No
SAN DIEGO	No County Contests												
SAN FRANCISCO	No County Contests												
SAN JOAQUIN	No County Contests												
SAN LUIS OBISPO	No County Contests												
SAN MATEO	No County Contests												
SANTA BARBARA	No County Contests												
SANTA CLARA	No County Contests												
SANTA CRUZ	No County Contests												
SHASTA	No County Contests												
SIERRA	No County Contests												

<sup>P</sup> Proposed community service district.

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2017

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DISTRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	INC- UMB- ENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATES	TOTAL VOTES CAST <sup>1</sup>	PERCENT OF VOTE	ELEC- TED
SISKIYOU	11/7/2017	DIRECTOR, Hornbrook CSD		Full	Puckett, Sr.	Robert D.	No Ballot Designation	Yes	5	70	210	33.3%	Yes
					Tuledo	Melissa Ann	No Ballot Designation	No	5	63	210	30.0%	Yes
					Hanson	Michele	No Ballot Designation	No	5	59	210	28.1%	Yes
					Phillips	Kelly	No Ballot Designation	No	5	10	210	4.8%	No
					Olson	Kimberly	No Ballot Designation	No	5	8	210	3.8%	No
				Short	Schauffler	Bryant	No Ballot Designation	No	4	63	143	44.1%	Yes
				Brown	Patricia G.	No Ballot Designation	No	4	60	143	42.0%	Yes	
				Scheimer	Randall L.	No Ballot Designation	No	4	12	143	8.4%	No	
				Gifford	Roger	No Ballot Designation	No	4	8	143	5.6%	No	
SOLANO	No County Contests												
SONOMA	No County Contests												
STANISLAUS	11/7/2017	DIRECTOR, Riverdale Park Tract CSD		Full	Nunes	Linda	Realtor	No	4	11	34	32.4%	Yes
					Caro	Rodolfo "Rudy"	Retired Facilities Engineer	No	4	9	34	26.5%	No
					Shugart	Duane	Incumbent	Yes	4	9	34	26.5%	No
					Bixler	George	Board Member	Yes	4	5	34	14.7%	No
SUTTER	No County Contests												
TEHAMA	No County Contests												
TRINITY	11/7/2017	DIRECTOR, Lewiston CSD		Full	Deardorf	Melford	Fire Chief	Yes	4	190	605	31.4%	Yes
					Covington	David	No Ballot Designation	Yes	4	186	605	30.7%	Yes
					Cooper	Cydney	No Ballot Designation	Yes	4	180	605	29.8%	Yes
					Graves	Kay	No Ballot Designation	No	4	45	605	7.4%	No
		DIRECTOR, Weaverville CSD		Full	Moodie	Andy	No Ballot Designation	Yes	4	363	1,200	30.3%	Yes
					Kennedy	Lloyd Allen	Appointed Incumbent	Yes	4	328	1,200	27.3%	Yes
					Harvey, Jr.	Everett H.	No Ballot Designation	No	4	274	1,200	22.8%	Yes
					McFadden	Reno	No Ballot Designation	Yes	4	226	1,200	18.8%	No
TULARE	No County Contests												
TUOLUMNE	No County Contests												
VENTURA	No County Contests												
YOLO	No County Contests												
YUBA	No County Contests												

TABLE 2.2 SUMMARY OF ELECTION OUTCOMES FOR  
COUNTY OFFICES, 2017

		Director, CSD <sup>1,2,3</sup>	
		Percent	N
Incumbent Candidates	Win	60.9	14
	Lose	39.1	9
	Total	100.0	23
Non-Incumbent Candidates	Win	47.3	26
	Lose	52.7	29
	Total	100.0	55
Winning Candidates	Incumbent	35.0	14
	Non-Incumbent	65.0	26
	Total	100.0	40
Losing Candidates	Incumbent	23.7	9
	Non-Incumbent	76.3	29
	Total	100.0	38
All Candidates	Incumbent	29.5	23
	Non-Incumbent	70.5	55
	Total	100.0	78

<sup>1</sup>Includes Directors of Community Service Districts and Community Service Areas.

<sup>2</sup>Percent may not sum to 100 due to rounding.

<sup>3</sup>Runoffs are excluded from totals.

