

CALIFORNIA COUNTY, CITY, AND SCHOOL DISTRICT ELECTION OUTCOMES

2017 ELECTIONS

School District Offices and Ballot Measures



Institute for Social Research
Center For California Studies
California State University,
Sacramento



CALIFORNIA COUNTY, CITY, AND SCHOOL DISTRICT
ELECTION OUTCOMES:
CANDIDATES AND BALLOT MEASURES, 2017 ELECTIONS

SCHOOL DISTRICT OFFICES AND BALLOT MEASURES

Leonor Ehling, M.P.P.
Executive Director, Center for California Studies

Shannon A. Williams, Ph.D.
Director, Institute for Social Research

Valory Messier, M.A.
Research Specialist, Institute for Social Research

Eduardo Lievanos, B.A.
Graduate Research Analyst, Institute for Social Research

Center for California Studies
California State University, Sacramento
6000 J Street, Sacramento, CA 95819-6081
(916) 278-6906 FAX: (916) 278-5199

Institute for Social Research
California State University, Sacramento
6000 J Street, Sacramento, CA 95819-6101
(916) 278-5737 FAX: (916) 278-5150

Acknowledgements

The researchers would like to sincerely thank the county elections officials and staff throughout the State of California who took time to provide data to the project. Additionally, we are grateful to the Secretary of State's Office for its continuing support and interest in this project. Without their assistance, the completion of these yearly reviews and the California Elections Data Archive would not be possible.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Introduction	i
2017 County, City and School District Election Dates by County	iii
Trend Table A Number of Ballot Measures, Percent of Total Measures, and Percent Passing by Type, Jurisdiction and Year	iv
Trend Table B Number of Ballot Measures, Percent of Total Measures, and Percent Passing by Topic, Jurisdiction and Year	ix
Trend Table C Community Service District and County Service Area Measures by County	xiv
Trend Table D Number of Community Service District and County Service Area Measures, Percent of Total County Measures, and Percent Passing by Type and Year	xviii
Trend Table E Comparison of Pass Rates for County-Wide and Community Service District/County Service Area Tax Measures, 1998-2015.....	xix
Trend Table F Number of Community Service District and County Service Area Measures, Percent of Total County Measures, and Percent Passing by Topic and Year	xx
Trend Table G Number of Candidates by Jurisdiction and Year	xxi
Trend Table H Number of Candidates for Major County Offices by Year.....	xxii
Trend Table I Percent of Incumbent Candidates and Percent of Prevailing Incumbents by Major Office, Jurisdiction and Year	xxiii
2017 Election Series Summary: Election Outcomes for County, City, and School District Ballot Measures and Candidates	1
Table A Summary of Outcomes for All County, City, and School District Ballot Measures by Type of Measure and County, 2017	3
Table B Summary of Outcomes for All County, City and School District Ballot Measures by Type of Measure and County, 2017	4
Table C Summary of Election Outcomes for All County, City, and School District Offices, 2017	5
Part 1 Vote Totals, Election Outcomes, and Text for School District Ballot Measures	7
Table 1.1 Vote Totals for School District Ballot Measures by County, 2017	9
Table 1.2 Text for School District Ballot Measures by County, 2017	12
Table 1.3 Summary of Election Outcomes for School District Ballot Measures by Type of Measure and County, 2017	14
Part 2 Vote Totals for School District Office Candidates.....	15
Table 2.1 Vote Totals for School District Office Candidates by County and Election Date, 2017	17
Table 2.2 Summary of Election Outcomes for School District Offices, 2017	25

CALIFORNIA ELECTIONS DATA ARCHIVE

INTRODUCTION

The California Elections Data Archive (CEDA) is a joint project of the Center for California Studies, and the Institute for Social Research (ISR), at the California State University, Sacramento, and the office of the California Secretary of State. The purpose of CEDA is to provide researchers, citizens, public agencies, and other interested parties with a single repository of local election data. With over 6,000 local jurisdictions in California, the task of monitoring local elections is nearly impossible for individuals. CEDA addresses this problem through the creation of a single, cost-effective, and easily accessible source of local election data. CEDA includes candidate, and ballot measure results for county, city, community college, and school district elections throughout the State. CEDA thus represents the only comprehensive repository of local election results in California and one of a very few such databases on local elections in the U.S.

How the CEDA Data is Collected and Reported

ISR staff collects election data periodically throughout each calendar year. This enables CEDA to incorporate results from special elections as well as all regularly scheduled elections. ISR staff enters election results from counties, cities, community colleges, and school districts into the CEDA database and then uses this database to generate three standard CEDA reports. These reports include:

- County Elections: Candidates, ballot designations, and vote totals for all elected county offices; vote totals and text for county ballot measures.
- City Elections: Candidates, ballot designations, and vote totals for all elected city offices; vote totals, and text for all city ballot measures.
- Community College and School District Elections: Candidates, ballot designations, and vote totals for all elective community college and school district offices; vote totals and text for all district ballot measures.

ISR staff codes ballot measures for all jurisdictions according to type (e.g., charter amendment, taxes, bond measure, initiative, etc.) and to topic (e.g., education, public safety, governance, etc.).

THE CEDA PARTNERSHIP

THE CENTER FOR CALIFORNIA STUDIES

Located at California State University, Sacramento, the Center for California Studies is a public policy, public service, and curricular support unit of the California State University. The Center's location in the state Capital and its ability to draw upon the resources of the entire State University system give it a unique capacity for making contributions to public policy development, and the public life of California. Center programs cover four broad areas: administration of the nationally known Assembly, Senate, Executive, and Judicial Administration Fellowship Programs; university-state government liaison and applied policy research; civic education and community service through forums, conferences, and issue dialogues; and curricular support activity in the interdisciplinary field of California Studies.

INSTITUTE FOR SOCIAL RESEARCH

Established in 1989, the Institute for Social Research (ISR) at California State University, Sacramento (CSUS) is a multidisciplinary institute that is committed to advancing the understanding of the social world through applied research. The Institute offers research expertise and technical assistance serving as a resource to agencies, organizations, the University, and the broader community. Utilizing quantitative and qualitative methods, ISR produces various types of assessments, program and policy evaluations, survey research, workload studies, and specialized analyses. Services include research design, sampling design, data collection and coding, computer-assisted telephone and field interviewing, mailed and online surveys, focus groups, database management, and statistical analysis. ISR has completed hundreds of projects with more than 50 federal, state and community agencies, private firms, and many academic units. Faculty affiliates of the Institute offer specific content expertise in a wide variety of disciplines, including the social sciences, health and human services, and education.

CALIFORNIA SECRETARY OF STATE

Among their other duties, the Secretary of State acts as California's chief elections officer with the responsibility of administering the provisions of the Elections Code. The Secretary must compile state election returns, and issue certificates of election to winning candidates; compile the returns and certify the results of initiative and referendum elections; certify acts delayed by referendum, and prepare and file a statement of vote. Recent legislation permits but does not mandate that the Secretary of State compile local election results.

2017 COUNTY, CITY, AND SCHOOL DISTRICT ELECTION DATES BY COUNTY

	1/24	2/28	3/7	3/28	4/4	4/11	4/18	4/25	5/2	5/16	6/6	7/11	7/25	8/22	8/29	11/7
Alameda			✓						✓						✓	
Calaveras									✓							
Colusa																✓
El Dorado											✓					✓
Fresno			✓												✓	✓
Glenn																✓
Humboldt																✓
Imperial																✓
Inyo																✓
Kern											✓		✓			
Kings											✓					
Los Angeles		✓	✓		✓	✓	✓			✓	✓					✓
Madera			✓													
Marin									✓							✓
Mendocino																✓
Merced																✓
Monterey	✓								✓		✓				✓	✓
Nevada			✓													
Plumas											✓					
Riverside									✓		✓					✓
San Bernardino			✓								✓	✓				✓
San Diego																✓
San Luis Obispo														✓		
San Mateo			✓	✓	✓						✓					✓
Santa Barbara																✓
Santa Clara			✓					✓	✓							
Santa Cruz			✓													
Shasta															✓	
Siskiyou																✓
Sonoma			✓								✓					✓
Stanislaus																✓
Trinity																✓
Tulare											✓					✓

TREND TABLE A NUMBER OF BALLOT MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TYPE, JURISDICTION, AND YEAR

	All Measures			Bonds			Taxes			Ordinance			Recalls			Initiatives			Charter Amendment		
	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing
All Measures																					
1995-2017	399	100	66	106	27	72	122	31	60	82	21	62	14	4	73	10	2	47	47	12	76
Even Years	608	100	67	172	28	75	179	29	58	129	21	62	15	3	71	15	3	49	70	12	76
Odd Years	208	100	63	46	22	61	70	34	64	40	19	62	13	6	74	5	2	43	26	12	77
County																					
1995-2017	64	16	58	2	3	72	26	41	45	20	30	64	2	3	75	3	4	44	7	10	66
Even Years	105	17	55	3	3	70	44	42	43	32	31	63	2	2	57	5	5	43	12	11	65
Odd Years	27	13	66	1	5	76	10	38	54	8	29	71	2	8	89	1	3	50	2	7	71
City																					
1995-2017	199	50	65	5	2	60	71	36	63	57	29	60	6	3	73	7	4	48	40	20	78
Even Years	301	49	66	8	3	64	108	36	62	90	30	61	9	3	72	10	3	51	59	19	78
Odd Years	105	51	65	2	2	48	37	35	65	27	26	56	4	4	76	4	4	41	24	23	78
School District																					
1995-2017	136	34	71	99	73	72	25	18	65	6	4	80	6	4	71	0	0	50			
Even Years	202	33	74	162	80	75	27	13	65	7	3	82	5	2	76	0	0	0			
Odd Years	76	36	64	42	55	61	22	29	66	5	6	77	7	9	67	0	0	100			

TREND TABLE A NUMBER OF BALLOT MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TYPE, JURISDICTION, AND YEAR (CONTINUED)

	All Measures			Bonds			Taxes			Ordinance			Recalls			Initiatives			Charter Amendment			
	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	
All Measures	1995	283	100	37	91	36	47	26	10	35	46	18	61	8	3	88	8	3	50	55	22	93
	1996	573	100	57	64	11	59	142	25	40	176	31	58	32	6	72	18	3	39	115	20	73
	1997	342	100	60	127	37	59	100	29	56	45	13	69	29	8	38	7	2	71	31	9	81
	1998	572	100	61	144	25	58	162	28	48	115	20	58	19	3	74	9	2	56	94	16	77
	1999	283	100	60	107	38	59	54	19	57	68	24	57	14	5	71	10	4	40	20	7	50
	2000	559	100	58	135	24	60	122	22	39	154	28	58	11	2	100	21	4	67	79	14	67
	2001	233	100	70	73	31	75	68	29	72	33	14	58	21	9	71	1	0	100	25	11	60
	2002	657	100	68	245	37	76	155	24	54	136	21	54	8	1	63	10	2	40	77	12	77
	2003	178	100	63	22	12	55	62	35	48	47	26	70	9	5	89	5	3	40	24	13	75
	2004	712	100	63	179	25	75	258	36	47	144	20	64	11	2	73	14	2	29	72	10	79
	2005	295	100	64	57	19	74	111	38	58	59	20	54	11	4	82	7	2	43	35	12	89
	2006	556	100	62	185	33	59	142	26	56	123	22	63	17	3	29	22	4	36	39	7	82
	2007	179	100	72	22	12	55	61	34	74	40	22	58	13	7	100	1	1	0	38	21	79
	2008	593	100	75	201	34	82	188	32	67	123	21	65	12	2	58	11	2	91	39	7	90
	2009	193	100	63	6	3	33	99	51	67	35	18	63	13	7	69	3	2	33	20	10	60
	2010	482	100	67	97	20	70	164	34	60	117	24	67	27	6	78	11	2	55	50	10	76
	2011	172	100	72	10	6	80	75	44	67	29	17	72	16	9	75	2	1	0	31	18	81
	2012	530	100	72	156	29	81	178	34	69	112	21	62	14	3	93	2	0	50	51	10	63
	2013	137	100	72	11	8	73	65	47	78	34	25	50	8	6	88	4	3	100	11	8	73
2014	577	100	73	193	33	81	175	30	69	81	14	72	7	1	57	14	2	57	72	12	76	
2015	120	100	74	13	11	77	47	39	79	20	17	75	14	12	100	9	8	22	11	9	64	
2016	872	100	79	294	34	91	279	32	73	138	16	72	10	1	90	37	4	41	86	10	83	
2017	283	100	37	91	36	47	26	10	35	46	18	61	8	3	88	8	3	50	55	22	93	

TREND TABLE A NUMBER OF BALLOT MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TYPE, JURISDICTION, AND YEAR (CONTINUED)

	All Measures			Bonds			Taxes			Ordinance			Recalls			Initiatives			Charter Amendment			
	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	
City Measures	1995	119	47	71	4	3	75	7	6	29	38	32	58				7	6	43	49	41	94
	1996	374	65	60	10	3	30	100	27	43	115	31	59	24	6	79	11	3	55	98	26	78
	1997	144	42	58	2	1	50	70	49	50	28	19	54	9	6	22	7	5	71	27	19	89
	1998	283	49	60	9	3	78	99	35	47	78	28	53	7	2	43	5	2	80	69	24	77
	1999	114	40	54	4	4	75	22	19	55	48	42	48	8	7	100	10	9	40	16	14	38
	2000	297	53	60	11	4	82	65	22	45	113	38	56	6	2	100	13	4	54	71	24	70
	2001	93	40	69	8	9	63	31	33	74	18	19	61	3	3	100	1	1	100	24	26	63
	2002	309	47	60	12	4	83	102	33	58	94	30	48	5	2	60	8	3	38	70	23	77
	2003	89	50	67	2	2	50	14	16	71	29	33	55	6	7	100	5	6	40	24	27	75
	2004	337	47	59	7	2	43	147	44	46	92	27	63	6	2	67	10	3	30	54	16	87
	2005	135	46	61	2	1	0	47	35	55	37	27	51	3	2	33	4	3	25	33	24	91
	2006	253	46	64	10	4	50	82	32	70	85	34	61	6	2	17	20	8	35	33	13	82
	2007	108	60	71	2	2	0	40	37	73	19	18	53	5	5	100	1	1	0	38	35	79
	2008	258	44	73	5	2	100	111	43	71	80	31	65	8	3	38	9	3	89	35	14	89
	2009	130	67	61	1	1	0	63	48	68	28	22	61	3	2	33	3	2	33	18	14	56
	2010	270	56	71	2	1	0	95	35	69	91	34	67	16	6	94	8	3	63	44	16	80
	2011	105	61	74				37	35	65	23	22	70	10	10	100	2	2	0	28	27	82
	2012	248	47	67	5	2	20	93	38	72	83	33	58	12	5	92				41	17	61
2013	90	66	68				44	49	77	30	33	53	3	3	67	1	1	100	10	11	70	
2014	285	49	71	5	2	100	121	42	72	60	21	70	5	2	60	6	2	67	60	21	73	
2015	54	45	70	2	4	0	23	43	87	12	22	67				5	9	40	10	19	60	
2016	393	45	77	9	2	67	174	44	79	96	24	74	1	0	100	25	6	48	69	18	88	
2017	81	72	65	2	2	50	50	62	66	17	21	65	1	1	100	3	4	0	7	9	86	

TREND TABLE B NUMBER OF BALLOT MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TOPIC, JURISDICTION, AND YEAR

	All Measures			Education			Governance			Land Use			Public Safety			Public Facilities			General Services			Transportation			Revenue		
	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing
All Measures																											
1995-2017	399	100	66	137	34	71	87	22	70	33	8	56	24	6	56	18	5	56	13	3	65	11	3	53	56	14	67
Even Years	608	100	67	203	33	74	131	22	69	51	8	58	37	6	57	29	5	54	17	3	65	17	3	55	88	14	64
Odd Years	208	100	65	75	36	64	46	22	74	17	8	50	11	5	54	8	4	62	10	5	63	5	2	47	28	13	74
County																											
1995-2017	64	16	58	0	1	82	17	27	69	8	12	44	8	12	46	7	11	53	4	6	65	7	11	54	8	12	50
Even Years	105	17	55	1	1	71	27	26	66	14	13	45	13	13	45	11	10	46	6	5	62	11	11	53	13	12	49
Odd Years	27	13	66	0	1	100	8	31	78	2	8	41	3	11	50	3	12	74	3	10	70	3	11	60	3	10	55
City																											
1995-2017	199	50	65	1	1	72	69	35	70	25	13	60	16	8	62	11	6	59	9	4	65	4	2	50	49	24	70
Even Years	301	49	66	2	1	78	104	35	70	37	12	64	24	8	64	18	6	59	11	4	68	6	2	58	74	25	67
Odd Years	105	51	65	1	1	57	37	35	73	15	14	52	8	8	56	5	5	57	7	6	60	2	2	26	25	24	77
School District																											
1995-2017	136	34	71	133	98	68	1	1	68							0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	50			
Even Years	202	33	74	197	98	70	0	0	40										0	0	0	0	0	50			
Odd Years	76	36	64	75	98	64	1	2	79							0	0	0									

TREND TABLE B NUMBER OF BALLOT MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TOPIC, JURISDICTION, AND YEAR (CONTINUED)

	All Measures			Education			Governance			Land Use			Public Safety			Public Facilities			General Services			Transportation			Revenue			
	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	
	All Measures	1995	253	100	61	121	48	54	63	25	84	16	6	63	12	5	50	14	6	50				2	1	0	5	2
	1996	573	100	57	87	15	64	214	37	66	54	9	56	39	7	51	38	7	37	10	2	40	8	1	50	87	15	46
	1997	342	100	60	175	51	62	43	13	67	19	6	68	12	4	42	15	4	40	38	11	61	4	1	50	10	3	70
	1998	572	100	60	158	28	63	131	23	64	46	8	70	41	7	49	32	6	56	28	5	82	23	4	70	75	13	43
	1999	283	100	59	119	42	59	62	22	63	29	10	41	14	5	57	4	1	75	14	5	57	8	3	88	23	8	65
	2000	559	100	59	151	27	63	141	25	64	73	13	55	32	6	50	39	7	67	20	4	55	21	4	43	5	1	20
	2001	233	100	70	105	45	71	46	20	67	7	3	71	11	5	73	19	8	58	7	3	71	4	2	25	31	13	87
	2002	657	100	65	250	38	76	144	22	66	44	7	43	42	6	57	35	5	49	20	3	60	10	2	40	85	13	62
	2003	178	100	62	61	34	52	52	29	73	15	8	60	12	7	50	5	3	60	6	3	100	8	4	38	13	7	62
	2004	712	100	62	238	33	72	139	20	73	58	8	52	55	8	47	37	5	38	23	3	70	25	4	76	110	15	47
	2005	295	100	64	102	35	70	61	21	70	28	9	39	18	6	44	14	5	64	18	6	67	13	4	62	33	11	70
	2006	556	100	60	208	37	58	109	20	60	51	9	61	37	7	73	22	4	41	12	2	58	22	4	50	61	11	62
	2007	179	100	71	42	23	67	63	35	81	18	10	39	5	3	100	8	4	88	7	4	86	4	2	25	31	17	68
	2008	593	100	74	246	41	80	99	17	74	43	7	72	39	7	49	32	5	66	10	2	80	14	2	50	92	16	77
	2009	193	100	63	47	24	66	42	22	64	17	9	47	10	5	60	7	4	86	8	4	25	2	1	0	56	29	71
	2010	482	100	66	149	31	64	138	29	74	30	6	47	27	6	67	12	2	75	9	2	56	7	1	71	95	20	65
	2011	172	100	72	48	28	65	59	34	81	8	5	75	9	5	56	5	3	100	4	2	50	2	1	50	34	20	74
	2012	530	100	72	209	39	82	98	18	71	23	4	57	13	2	38	23	4	57	11	2	73	12	2	50	99	19	79
	2013	137	100	72	35	26	80	28	20	71	14	10	50	9	7	67				4	3	50	4	3	50	39	28	79
	2014	577	100	73	208	36	83	114	20	75	45	8	60	33	6	70	20	3	85	13	2	85	19	3	47	104	18	64
	2015	120	100	74	32	27	94	23	19	83	17	14	35	8	7	50	5	4	60	2	2	100	3	3	33	21	18	86
	2016	872	100	79	331	38	90	116	13	78	99	11	61	53	6	70	30	3	50	26	3	54	29	3	48	150	17	85
	2017	113	100	63	18	16	56	13	12	85	13	12	54	15	13	40	5	4	60	6	5	67	4	4	25	36	32	81

TREND TABLE B NUMBER OF BALLOT MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TOPIC, JURISDICTION, AND YEAR (CONTINUED)

	All Measures			Education			Governance			Land Use			Public Safety			Public Facilities			General Services			Transportation			Revenue			
	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	
County Measures	1995	17	7	53			7	41	71	3	18	33			3	18	67				1	6	0	3	18	33		
	1996	114	20	44	1	1	100	44	39	59	12	11	33	8	7	38	16	14	13	1	1	100	4	4	75	16	14	31
	1997	24	7	63	1	4	100	5	21	60	3	13	100	2	8	0	5	21	40	5	21	80	1	4	100	1	4	0
	1998	125	22	59				25	20	76	13	10	62	14	11	36	12	10	33	18	14	72	16	13	75	12	10	25
	1999	38	13	63				5	13	80				3	8	33	3	8	67	7	18	29	8	21	88	7	18	86
	2000	116	21	49	1	1	100	22	19	64	17	15	35	14	12	36	16	14	44	8	7	63	16	14	44	3	3	33
	2001	37	16	73	2	5	100	12	32	58	1	3	100	7	19	100	6	16	67	4	11	75	1	3	0	4	11	75
	2002	98	15	56				34	35	71	7	7	71	15	15	33	11	11	36	7	7	57	5	5	40	12	12	67
	2003	28	16	64				10	36	90				5	18	40				2	7	100	2	7	50	6	21	17
	2004	140	20	54	3	2	33	32	23	66	14	10	14	22	16	50	13	9	54	4	3	50	21	15	76	17	12	41
	2005	57	19	63				12	21	67	6	11	33	6	11	33	8	14	75	9	16	78	9	16	78	4	7	50
	2006	95	17	52				28	29	54	10	11	70	11	12	55	8	8	38	2	2	50	15	16	40	12	13	33
	2007	29	16	76				14	48	93	5	17	0				5	17	100	2	7	100	2	7	50			
	2008	90	15	62	1	1	100	25	28	76	7	8	86	14	16	43	14	16	50	4	4	75	7	8	57	11	12	64
	2009	16	8	69				7	44	86	3	19	33				3	19	100				1	6	0	2	13	50
	2010	64	13	53				23	36	57	6	9	50	12	19	50	2	3	100	1	2	0	5	8	80	11	17	45
	2011	20	12	80	1	5	100	10	50	80				5	25	60	1	5	100				1	5	100	2	10	100
	2012	76	14	63	1	1	100	19	25	74	7	9	43	7	9	43	12	16	67	1	1	100	5	7	40	18	24	72
	2013	12	9	75				4	33	100	2	17	100	2	17	50							3	25	33			
2014	84	15	57				20	24	85	15	18	60	10	12	50	9	11	78	3	4	33	11	13	27	9	11	22	
2015	34	28	62				12	35	83	4	12	25	5	15	40	2	6	50	1	3	100	3	9	33	2	6	50	
2016	151	17	59				28	19	54	44	29	34	21	14	57	7	5	57	12	8	58	18	12	33	19	13	74	
2017	14	12	57				3	21	67				1	7	0	2	14	100	3	21	67	3	21	33	2	14	50	

TREND TABLE B NUMBER OF BALLOT MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TOPIC, JURISDICTION, AND YEAR (CONTINUED)

	All Measures			Education			Governance			Land Use			Public Safety			Public Facilities			General Services			Transportation			Revenue			
	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	
City Measures	1995	119	47	71	4	3	100	56	47	86	13	11	69	12	10	50	11	9	45				1	1	0	2	2	100
	1996	374	65	60	2	1	100	170	45	68	42	11	62	31	8	55	22	6	55	8	2	38	4	1	25	71	19	49
	1997	144	42	58				38	26	68	16	11	63	10	7	50	10	7	40	33	23	58	3	2	33	9	6	78
	1998	283	49	60				101	36	62	33	12	73	27	10	56	20	7	70	10	4	100	7	2	57	62	22	47
	1999	114	40	54				45	39	53	29	25	41	11	10	64	1	1	100	7	6	86				16	14	56
	2000	297	53	60	7	2	71	119	40	64	56	19	61	18	6	61	23	8	83	12	4	50	5	2	40	2	1	0
	2001	93	40	69	3	3	0	33	35	73	6	6	67	4	4	25	11	12	64	3	3	67	3	3	33	27	29	89
	2002	309	47	60	1	0	0	110	36	65	37	12	38	27	9	70	24	8	54	13	4	62	5	2	40	72	23	63
	2003	89	50	67				42	47	69	15	17	60	7	8	57	5	6	60	4	4	100	6	7	33	7	8	100
	2004	337	47	59	2	1	100	107	32	75	44	13	64	33	10	45	24	7	29	19	6	74	4	1	75	91	27	47
	2005	135	46	61				48	36	73	22	16	41	12	9	50	6	4	50	9	7	56	4	3	25	29	21	72
	2006	253	46	64				81	32	62	41	16	59	26	10	81	14	6	43	10	4	60	7	3	71	49	19	69
	2007	108	60	71				49	45	78	13	12	54	5	5	100	3	3	67	5	5	80	2	2	0	31	29	68
	2008	258	44	73				74	29	73	36	14	69	25	10	52	18	7	78	6	2	83	7	3	43	81	31	79
	2009	130	67	61				35	27	60	14	11	50	10	8	60	4	3	75	8	6	25	1	1	0	54	42	72
	2010	270	56	71	1	0	100	115	43	77				15	6	80	10	4	70	8	3	63	2	1	50	84	31	68
	2011	107	62	75				51	48	82	8	7	75	4	4	50	4	4	100	4	4	50	1	1	0	32	30	72
2012	248	47	67	2	1	100	89	36	63	16	6	63	6	2	33	11	4	45	10	4	70	7	3	57	81	33	80	
2013	90	66	68				24	27	67	12	13	42	7	8	71				4	4	50	1	1	100	39	43	79	
2014	285	49	71				94	33	72	30	11	60	23	8	78	11	4	91	10	4	100	8	3	75	95	33	68	
2015	54	45	70				11	20	82	13	24	38	3	6	67	3	6	67	1	2	100				19	35	89	
2016	393	45	77	3	1	67	88	22	85	70	18	64	32	8	78	23	6	48	14	4	50	11	3	73	131	33	86	
2017	81	72	65				10	12	90	13	16	54	14	17	43	3	4	33	3	4	67	1	1	0	34	42	82	

TREND TABLE B NUMBER OF BALLOT MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TOPIC, JURISDICTION, AND YEAR (CONTINUED)

	All Measures			Education			Governance			Land Use			Public Safety			Public Facilities			General Services			Transportation			Revenue			
	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	
School District Measures	1995	117	46	52	117	100	52																					
	1996	85	15	62	84	99	63											1	1	0								
	1997	174	51	62	174	100	62																					
	1998	164	29	62	158	96	63	5	3	40											1	1	0					
	1999	131	46	62	119	91	59	12	9	92																		
	2000	146	26	63	143	98	62																					
	2001	103	44	71	100	97	73	1	1	0				2	2	0												
	2002	250	38	76	249	100	76														1	0	0					
	2003	61	34	52	61	100	52																					
	2004	235	33	73	233	99	73														2	1	100					
	2005	103	35	69	102	99	70	1	1	0																		
	2006	208	37	58	208	100	58																					
	2007	42	23	67	42	100	67																					
	2008	245	41	80	245	100	80																					
	2009	47	24	66	47	100	66																					
	2010	148	31	64	148	100	64																					
	2011	47	27	64	47	100	64																					
	2012	206	39	82	168	82	23																					
	2013	35	26	80	35	100	80																					
2014	208	36	83	208	100	83																						
2015	32	27	94	32	100	94																						
2016	328	38	90	328	100	90																						
2017	18	16	56	18	100	56																						

TREND TABLE C COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT, AND COUNTY SERVICE AREA MEASURES BY COUNTY

	1998			1999			2000			2001			2002		
	N	%	% Passing												
Butte	2	67	100				1	100	100						
Calaveras															
Colusa															
Contra Costa	2	67	50				4	80	25	1	100	100	2	100	50
El Dorado	2	12	50				7	78	29				1	50	100
Fresno	1	50	100												
Humboldt															
Imperial															
Inyo							1	50	100						
Kern	6	100	50				2	100	0	4	100	75			
Lake															
Lassen							1	33	0				4	80	25
Los Angeles															
Marin				4	100	100	5	100	80	10	91	90	3	100	100
Mendocino				1	100	0							1	100	0
Modoc															
Monterey													1	100	0
Nevada							1	100	100						
Orange	1	100	100												
Placer	1	33	100												
Plumas							1	100	100	2	100	100			
Riverside	2	40	50	8	100	38				2	100	0	2	67	50
Sacramento	2	40	100				3	75	33						
San Bernardino	2	100	50				3	100	67				1	100	0
San Diego	10	83	30				3	60	33	1	100	100	2	40	0
San Joaquin															
San Luis Obispo				5	100	100	1	33	0				1	50	100
San Mateo															
Santa Barbara										1	100	0			
Santa Cruz															
Shasta							1	100	0						
Siskiyou							2	100	100						
Sonoma							1	20	100	1	100	100			
Stanislaus															
Sutter				1	100	0									
Trinity															
Tulare															
Tuolumne				1	100	0	1	33	0						
Yolo															
Yuba							2	67	50						
Total for CSD/CSA Measure Over All Counties	31	25	55	20	53	60	40	34	48	22	59	77	18	18	44

TREND TABLE C COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT, AND COUNTY SERVICE AREA MEASURES BY COUNTY (CONTINUED)

	2003			2004			2005			2006			2007		
	N	%	% Passing												
Butte															
Calaveras							3	100	100				1	50	0
Colusa															
Contra Costa				3	60	67	3	100	100	1	33	100	2	100	100
El Dorado	6	100	17	2	20	100	14	88	64	2	100	0	3	100	100
Fresno															
Humboldt				1	25	100	2	100	0						
Imperial							1	50	100						
Inyo															
Kern				3	100	33				1	33	100			
Lake				1	100	0									
Lassen				1	100	100				1	100	0			
Los Angeles															
Marin	1	100	100				2	100	100	4	100	100	1	100	100
Mendocino				1	50	100									
Modoc															
Monterey															
Nevada															
Orange	1	100	100												
Placer															
Plumas	1	50	100												
Riverside	2	100	0	2	100	100									
Sacramento															
San Bernardino	1	100	0	1	50	0				1	33	100			
San Diego				3	33	33				1	20	100			
San Joaquin													1	100	100
San Luis Obispo				4	67	50	4	100	100	5	83	40			
San Mateo				1	14	100									
Santa Barbara										1	25	0			
Santa Cruz							1	100	0						
Shasta															
Siskiyou				5	83	0				2	100	0			
Sonoma	1	100	100							1	50	0			
Stanislaus										1	50	0			
Sutter										1	100	0			
Trinity										2	100	100			
Tulare				1	100	100	1	100	100						
Tuolumne															
Yolo															
Yuba				1	25	0									
Total for CSD/CSA Measure Over All Counties	13	46	38	30	21	50	31	54	74	24	25	50	8	28	88

TREND TABLE C COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT, AND COUNTY SERVICE AREA MEASURES BY COUNTY (CONTINUED)

	2008			2009			2010			2011			2012		
	N	%	% Passing												
Butte															
Calaveras													1	50	100
Colusa															
Contra Costa	3	100	67				1	50	100	2	100	100	1	100	100
El Dorado	1	50	0	1	100	0	3	75	67				1	50	0
Fresno										2	100	100			
Humboldt	1	100	0							1	100	100			
Imperial															
Inyo															
Kern							1	100	0						
Lake	1	100	100												
Lassen													1	100	0
Los Angeles															
Marin	3	75	100	1	100	100	7	88	43	3	100	100	1	50	100
Mendocino													1	33	0
Modoc															
Monterey															
Nevada													1	100	0
Orange															
Placer	1	33	0										1	100	0
Plumas										1	100	0			
Riverside							1	25	0						
Sacramento	1	100	100												
San Bernardino	1	50	100	1	100	100				1	100	100			
San Diego															
San Joaquin															
San Luis Obispo													1	50	100
San Mateo	1	25	100										1	100	100
Santa Barbara				1	100	100							1	14	100
Santa Cruz															
Shasta				1	100	100	2	100	0						
Siskiyou	3	75	33												
Sonoma													1	100	0
Stanislaus	1	25	0												
Sutter															
Trinity															
Tulare															
Tuolumne	1	100	100										1	100	100
Yolo				1	100	100							1	100	0
Yuba															
Total for CSD/CSA Measure Over All Counties	18	20	61	6	38	83	15	23	40	10	50	90	14	18	50

TREND TABLE C COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT, AND COUNTY SERVICE AREA MEASURES BY COUNTY (CONTINUED)

	2013			2014			2015			2016			2017		
	N	%	% Passing												
Butte															
Calaveras										1	20	0	2	100	50
Colusa													1	0	0
Contra Costa										1	33	100			
El Dorado	2	100	50	5	45	60	5	83	40	4	50	0	2	100	0
Fresno															
Humboldt	1	100	100												
Imperial				2	100	0									
Inyo															
Kern	1	25	0				1	50	100	1	50	0			
Lake															
Lassen				3	75	33	2	100	100	2	67	100			
Los Angeles	1	2	100							4	67	100			
Marin	1	11	100	1	25	100	2	22	100				1	100	0
Mendocino															
Modoc				2	0	0	2	100	0	2	100	100			
Monterey													1	100	0
Nevada				1	50	0							1	100	100
Orange															
Placer															
Plumas							4	100	100	1	100	100			
Riverside															
Sacramento															
San Bernardino	1	9	0				2	40	0	3	100	67	1	100	100
San Diego				1	100	0				1	33	0			
San Joaquin															
San Luis Obispo							1	100	100						
San Mateo										1	33	100			
Santa Barbara										2	67	50			
Santa Cruz															
Shasta															
Siskiyou				1	33	0				2	29	50			
Sonoma															
Stanislaus															
Sutter															
Trinity															
Tulare															
Tuolumne															
Yolo															
Yuba															
Total for CSD/CSA Measure Over All Counties	7	58	57	16	19	38	19	56	12	25	17	60	9	64	44

TREND TABLE D NUMBER OF COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT, AND COUNTY SERVICE AREA MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL COUNTY MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TYPE, AND YEAR

	ALL CSD/CSA			TAXES			BONDS			ADVISORY			RECALLS			GANN LIMIT			ORDINANCE		
	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate
1998	31	25	55	22	18	45									1	1	0	8	6	88	
1999	20	53	60	16	42	56									3	8	100	1	3	0	
2000	40	34	48	28	24	29	1	1	100	3	3	67			6	5	100	2	2	100	
2001	22	59	77	12	32	75	2	5	100				3	8	100	3	8	100	2	5	0
2002	18	18	44	14	14	36									4	4	75				
2003	13	46	38	11	39	27												2	7	100	
2004	30	21	50	24	17	42				1	1	100			2	1	100	3	2	67	
2005	31	54	74	23	40	65	2	4	100				3	5	100	1	2	100	2	4	100
2006	24	25	50	15	16	47							4	4	25	1	1	100	4	4	75
2007	8	28	88	3	10	67							3	10	100	1	3	100	1	3	100
2008	18	20	61	11	12	45				1	1	0			4	4	100	2	2	100	
2009	6	38	83	3	19	67							1	6	100	1	6	100	1	6	100
2010	15	23	40	11	17	36							4	6	50						
2011	10	50	90	4	20	75				1	5	100	2	10	100	2	10	100	1	5	100
2012	14	18	50	11	14	36							1	1	100				2	3	100
2013	7	58	57	5	42	40				1	0	100				1	8	100			
2014	16	19	38	13	15	31	2	0	100						1	1	0				
2015	19	56	63	9	26	56				2	0	0	5	15	100	1	3	100	2	6	50
2016	25	17	60	17	11	47				1	1	0	2	1	100	1	1	100	4	3	100
2017	9	64	44	6	43	33												3	21	67	
1998-2017	378	29	57	260	20	46	7	1	100	10	1	50	28	2	82	33	2	91	40	3	80

TREND TABLE E COMPARISON OF PASS RATES FOR COUNTY-WIDE, AND COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT/ COUNTY SERVICE AREA TAX MEASURES, 1998-2017

	Total Number of County Measures	NON-CSD/CSA COUNTY-WIDE MEASURES		CSD/CSA MEASURES		NON-CSD/CSA COUNTY-WIDE TAX MEASURES		CSD/CSA COUNTY TAX MEASURES	
		Number of Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	Percent Passing
1998	125	94	61	31	55	31	35	22	45
1999	38	18	67	20	60	5	20	16	56
2000	116	76	50	40	48	23	26	28	29
2001	37	15	67	22	77	2	50	12	75
2002	98	80	59	18	44	24	50	14	36
2003	28	15	87	13	38	1	0	11	27
2004	140	110	55	30	50	36	47	24	42
2005	57	26	50	31	74	1	100	23	65
2006	95	71	52	24	50	30	37	15	47
2007	29	21	71	8	88	0	0	3	67
2008	90	72	63	18	61	22	0	11	45
2009	16	10	60	6	83	1	0	3	67
2010	64	49	57	15	40	14	57	11	36
2011	20	10	70	10	90	3	67	4	75
2012	76	62	66	14	50	28	68	11	36
2013	12	5	100	7	57	0	0	5	40
2014	84	68	62	16	38	20	40	13	31
2015	34	15	60	19	63	2	0	9	56
2016	151	126	59	25	60	51	59	17	47
2017	14	5	80	9	44	5	80	6	33
1998-2017	1,324	948	59	378	57	299	47	260	46

TREND TABLE F NUMBER OF COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT, AND COUNTY SERVICE AREA MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL COUNTY MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TOPIC, AND YEAR

	ALL CSD/CSA			LAND USE			PUBLIC SAFETY			GOVERNANCE			ENVIRONMENT			TRANSPORTATION			PUBLIC FACILITIES			GENERAL SERVICES			REVENUE		
	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Percent Passing
1998	31	25	55				12	10	42				3	2	67	2	2	50	1	1	0	8	6	88	4	3	25
1999	20	53	60				2	5	50				3	8	0	5	13	100				5	13	40	5	13	80
2000	40	34	48	2	2	0	10	9	30	2	2	100				6	5	17	5	4	40	5	4	60	1	1	100
2001	22	59	77				6	16	100	4	11	75				1	3	0	5	14	60	4	11	75	2	5	100
2002	18	18	44				11	11	45	3	3	67							3	3	33	1	1	0			
2003	13	46	38				5	18	40	2	7	100													6	21	17
2004	30	21	50				17	12	47	1	1	0				4	3	50	4	3	50	1	1	0	2	1	100
2005	31	54	74	2	4	0	1	2	100	3	5	100				6	11	100	6	11	67	9	16	78	4	7	50
2006	24	25	50				7	7	71	7	7	43				2	2	50	3	3	0	2	2	50	3	3	67
2007	8	28	88							4	14	100				1	3	0	1	3	100	2	7	100			
2008	18	20	61				8	9	50	2	2	100				2	2	50				1	1	0	5	6	80
2009	6	38	83							2	13	100				1	6	0	2	13	100				1	6	100
2010	15	23	40				7	11	43	4	6	50				1	2	0							3	5	33
2011	10	50	90				4	20	75	4	20	100													2	10	100
2012	14	18	50	1	1	100	6	8	33	2	3	100				2	3	50	3	4	33						
2013	7	58	57				2	17	50	2	17	100				3	25	33									
2014	16	19	38				7	8	29	2	2	100				3	4	0	2	2	100				2	2	0
2015	19	56	63	1	3	0	4	12	50	7	21	86				3	9	33	2	6	50	1	3	100	1	3	100
2016	25	17	60	1	1	0	10	7	70	6	4	100				3	2	0				1	1	100	4	3	25
2017	9	64	44							3	21	67				3	21	33	1	7	100	1	7	0	1	7	0
1998-2017	378	29	57	7	1	14	119	9	50	60	4	82	6	0	33	48	4	44	39	3	54	41	3	66	47	4	55

TREND TABLE G NUMBER OF CANDIDATES BY JURISDICTION, AND YEAR

	NUMBER OF CANDIDATES			
	ALL CANDIDATES	COUNTY CANDIDATES	CITY CANDIDATES	SCHOOL DISTRICT CANDIDATES
1995	2,354	0	732	1,622
1996	5,330	667	2,141	2,522
1997	2,476	23	736	1,717
1998	5,354	1,037	1,893	2,424
1999	2,274	135	724	1,415
2000	5,012	796	2,166	2,050
2001	2,505	189	688	1,628
2002	5,896	1,266	2,188	2,442
2003	2,086	205	566	1,315
2004	5,035	782	2,212	2,041
2005	2,546	167	979	1,400
2006	5,498	1,136	2,132	2,230
2007	2,021	207	811	1,003
2008	5,237	782	2,282	2,173
2009	2,066	143	863	1,060
2010	6,022	1,177	2,321	2,524
2011	1,602	138	734	730
2012	5,208	776	2,332	2,100
2013	1,688	152	818	768
2014	5,675	1,204	2,172	2,299
2015	1,321	114	607	600
2016	5,118	723	2,361	2,034
2017	763	78	494	191
Total	83,087	11,897	32,952	38,288

*We excluded runoffs from totals.

TREND TABLE H NUMBER OF CANDIDATES FOR MAJOR COUNTY OFFICES BY YEAR

	Total Number of Candidates	Number of County Candidates	County Supervisor Candidates		CSD/CSA Candidates	
			Number of Candidates	% of County Candidates	Number of Candidates	% of County Candidates
1995	2,354	0	0	0	*	*
1996	5,330	667	470	70	*	*
1997	2,476	23	19	83	*	*
1998	5,354	1,037	309	30	22	2
1999	2,274	135	5	4	109	81
2000	5,012	796	441	55	174	22
2001	2,505	189	0	0	186	98
2002	5,896	1,266	306	24	127	10
2003	2,086	205	10	5	175	85
2004	5,035	782	447	57	125	16
2005	2,546	167	4	2	155	93
2006	5,498	1,136	310	27	160	14
2007	2,021	207	10	5	161	78
2008	5,237	782	441	56	174	22
2009	2,066	143	0	0	141	99
2010	6,022	1,177	331	28	170	14
2011	1,602	138	6	4	103	75
2012	5,208	776	460	59	200	26
2013	1,688	152	11	7	138	91
2014	5,675	1,204	317	26	244	20
2015	1,321	114	5	4	94	82
2016	5,118	723	431	60	158	22
2017	763	78	0	0	78	100
Total	83,087	11,897	4,333	36	2,894	24

*The California Elections Data Archive did not collect information on CSD/CSA candidates until 1998.

**We excluded runoffs from totals.

TREND TABLE I PERCENT OF INCUMBENT CANDIDATES, AND PERCENT OF PREVAILING INCUMBENTS BY MAJOR OFFICE, JURISDICTION, AND YEAR

	PERCENT OF CANDIDATES WHO ARE INCUMBENTS				PERCENTAGE OF INCUMBENTS WHO WIN				PERCENTAGE OF WINNING CANDIDATES WHO ARE INCUMBENTS			
	% of All Candidates	% of County Supervisor Candidates	% of City Council Candidates	% of School District Candidates	% of All Candidates	% of County Supervisor Candidates	% of City Council Candidates	% of School District Candidates	% of All Candidates	% of County Supervisor Candidates	% of City Council Candidates	% of School District Candidates
1995	27	--	18	30	79	--	79	78	50	--	41	51
1996	27	24	23	28	79	75	74	78	48	51	41	47
1997	30	5	23	33	76	0	79	74	49	0	45	50
1998	32	30	26	32	86	87	82	83	57	63	48	53
1999	30	0	23	32	78	--	81	77	51	0	45	52
2000	30	30	27	32	79	90	80	74	52	73	51	49
2001	30	--	24	32	78	--	80	77	50	--	51	50
2002	34	34	27	36	82	81	79	79	57	63	50	56
2003	31	0	22	35	78	--	72	79	51	0	40	55
2004	33	28	28	37	81	81	81	76	55	59	51	57
2005	31	0	23	36	80	--	80	78	52	0	50	52
2006	35	29	29	36	82	90	78	78	56	68	51	55
2007	31	0	27	33	77	--	79	75	50	0	54	48
2008	34	30	30	38	76	86	80	70	56	61	55	54
2009	34	--	26	39	78	--	79	76	54	--	51	55
2010	35	28	29	39	82	83	82	79	59	61	56	59
2011	29	0	24	34	82	--	82	82	49	0	47	51
2012	27	28	25	30	74	78	74	71	43	58	41	43
2013	30	0	27	31	77	--	82	74	47	0	52	44
2014	35	31	30	38	77	89	77	71	54	64	51	51
2015	29	0	26	31	71	--	74	67	45	0	46	43
2016	32	31	28	34	74	82	75	68	49	63	47	46
2017	29	--	26	31	80	--	86	70	55	--	59	47
1995-2017	32	29	27	34	79	83	79	76	53	62	49	52

*We excluded runoffs from totals.

2017 ELECTION SERIES SUMMARY:
ELECTION OUTCOMES FOR
COUNTY, CITY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT
BALLOT MEASURES AND CANDIDATES

TABLE A SUMMARY OF OUTCOMES FOR ALL COUNTY, CITY, AND SCHOOL DISTRICT BALLOT MEASURES BY TYPE OF MEASURE, AND COUNTY, 2017

	TAXES		BONDS		CHARTER AMENDMENT		ADVISORY		INITIATIVE		RECALL		ORDINANCE		ALL MEASURES		
	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	TOTAL
Alameda	1	1													1	1	2
Calaveras	1	1													1	1	2
Colusa												1	0		1	0	1
El Dorado	0	3													0	3	3
Fresno	0	3													0	3	3
Humboldt	1	0													1	0	1
Imperial	1	0										1	0		2	0	2
Kern	1	1	0	1											1	2	3
Kings	1	0													1	0	1
Los Angeles	9	7	1	2	4	1						5	1		19	11	30
Madera	0	1													0	1	1
Marin	2	1										1	0		3	1	4
Mendocino	1	0													1	0	1
Monterey	3	0	0	2							1	0	0	1	4	3	7
Nevada	1	0													1	0	1
Plumas	0	1													0	1	1
Riverside	3	1							0	1			1	1	4	3	7
San Bernardino	0	2	1	0									2	1	3	3	6
San Diego									0	1					0	1	1
San Luis Obispo									0	1					0	1	1
San Mateo	6	2			2	0	1	0					0	1	9	3	12
Santa Barbara	1	0													1	0	1
Santa Clara	3	1											1	1	4	2	6
Santa Cruz	1	0													1	0	1
Shasta	1	0													1	0	1
Sonoma	3	1											1	1	4	2	6
Stanislaus	2	0													2	0	2
Trinity															1	0	1
Tulare	5	0													5	0	5
All Counties	47	26	3	5	6	1	1	0	0	3	1	0	13	7	71	42	113

TABLE B SUMMARY OF OUTCOMES FOR ALL COUNTY, CITY, AND SCHOOL DISTRICT BALLOT MEASURES BY TOPIC OF MEASURE AND COUNTY, 2017

	EDUCATION		LAND USE		SAFETY		GOVERNANCE		TRANSPORT		FACILITIES		HOUSING		GENERAL SERVICES		REVENUE		ALL MEASURES		
	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	TOTAL
Alameda	1	1																	1	1	2
Calaveras											1	0					0	1	1	1	2
Colusa							1	0											1	0	1
El Dorado									0	3									0	3	3
Fresno					0	2											0	1	0	3	3
Humboldt																1	0	1	0		1
Imperial							1	0									1	0	2	0	2
Kern	0	1			0	1											1	0	1	2	3
Kings																	1	0	1	0	1
Los Angeles	2	1	3	2	1	2	5	1			0	2			1	0	7	3	19	11	30
Madera					0	1													0	1	1
Marin	1	0	1	0										0	1	1	0	3	1		4
Mendocino														1	0				1	0	1
Monterey	0	2			1	0	1	1									2	0	4	3	7
Nevada									1	0									1	0	1
Plumas					0	1													0	1	1
Riverside			0	1	2	0								1	1	1	1	4	3		7
San Bernardino			1	1	0	2	1	0						1	0			3	3		6
San Diego			0	1															0	1	1
San Luis Obispo													0	1					0	1	1
San Mateo	2	1					2	0			1	0	0	1			4	1	9	3	12
Santa Barbara																	1	0	1	0	1
Santa Clara	2	1	1	1	1	0													4	2	6
Santa Cruz	1	0																	1	0	1
Shasta					1	0													1	0	1
Sonoma	0	1	1	0									0	1			3	0	4	2	6
Stanislaus											1	0					1	0	2	0	2
Trinity	1	0																	1	0	1
Tulare																	5	0	5	0	5
All Counties	10	8	7	6	6	9	11	2	1	3	3	2	0	3	4	2	29	7	71	42	113

TABLE C SUMMARY OF ELECTION OUTCOMES FOR ALL COUNTY, CITY, AND SCHOOL DISTRICT OFFICES, 2017

		City Council		Director, CSD*		Other City Offices		School Board Member		Total**	
		Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N
Incumbent Candidates	Win	85.7	90	60.9	14	91.4	32	70.0	42	79.8	178
	Lose	14.3	15	39.1	9	8.6	3	30.0	18	20.2	45
	Total	100.0	105	100.0	23	100.0	35	100.0	60	100.0	223
Non-Incumbent Candidates	Win	20.7	62	47.3	26	18.2	10	36.6	48	27.0	146
	Lose	79.3	237	52.7	29	81.8	45	63.4	83	73.0	394
	Total	100.0	299	100.0	55	100.0	55	100.0	131	100.0	540
Winning Candidates	Incumbent	59.2	90	35.0	14	76.2	32	46.7	42	54.9	178
	Non-Incumbent	40.8	62	65.0	26	23.8	10	53.3	48	45.1	146
	Total	100.0	152	100.0	40	100.0	42	100.0	90	100.0	324
Losing Candidates	Incumbent	6.0	15	23.7	9	6.3	3	17.8	18	10.3	45
	Non-Incumbent	94.0	237	76.3	29	93.8	45	82.2	83	89.7	394
	Total	100.0	252	100.0	38	100.0	48	100.0	101	100.0	540
All Candidates	Incumbent	26.0	105	29.5	23	38.9	35	31.4	60	29.2	223
	Non-Incumbent	74.0	299	70.5	55	61.1	55	68.6	131	70.8	540
	Total	100.0	404	100.0	78	100.0	90	100.0	191	100.0	763

*Directors of Community Service Districts, and Community Service Areas.

**We excluded runoffs from the totals.

PART 1
VOTE TOTALS, ELECTION OUTCOMES, AND
TEXT FOR SCHOOL DISTRICT BALLOT MEASURES

TABLE 1.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR SCHOOL DISTRICT BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2017

COUNTY	DATE	SCHOOL DISTRICT	MEASURE TITLE	TYPE OF MEASURE	TOPIC OF MEASURE	VOTE IN FAVOR	TOTAL VOTE	PERCENT OF VOTE	PASS OR FAIL*
ALAMEDA	5/2/2017	Hayward Unified	Measure A	Property Tax	Education	9,101	12,879	70.7%	Pass ^T
	8/29/2017	San Leandro Unified	Measure B	Property Tax	Education	5,472	8,557	63.9%	Fail ^T
ALPINE	No School District Measures								
AMADOR	No School District Measures								
BUTTE	No School District Measures								
CALAVERAS	No School District Measures								
COLUSA	No School District Measures								
CONTRA COSTA	No School District Measures								
DEL NORTE	No School District Measures								
EL DORADO	No School District Measures								
FRESNO	No School District Measures								
GLENN	No School District Measures								
HUMBOLDT	No School District Measures								
IMPERIAL	No School District Measures								
INYO	No School District Measures								
KERN	7/25/2017	McFarland Unified	Measure D	GO Bond	Education	238	613	38.8%	Fail ^T
KINGS	No School District Measures								
LAKE	No School District Measures								
LASSEN	No School District Measures								
LOS ANGELES	3/7/2017	Arcadia Unified	Measure A	Property Tax	Education	4,874	7,207	67.6%	Pass ^T
	11/7/2017	Glendora Unified	Measure GG	GO Bond	Education	2,461	5,224	47.1%	Fail ^F
		La Cañada Unified	Measure LCF	GO Bond	Education	2,900	4,085	71.0%	Pass ^F
MADERA	No School District Measures								
MARIN	11/7/2017	Lagunitas Elementary	Measure A	Property Tax	Education	1,072	1,494	71.8%	Pass ^T
MARIPOSA	No School District Measures								
MENDOCINO	No School District Measures								
NERCED	No School District Measures								
MODOC	No School District Measures								
MONO	No School District Measures								
MONTEREY	11/7/2017	North Monterey County Unified	Measure E	GO Bond	Education	1,390	3,180	43.7%	Fail ^F
			Measure F	GO Bond	Education	1,432	3,176	45.1%	Fail ^F

* Pass or Fail results based on multi-county outcomes.

^T Indicates measure required two-thirds of the vote to pass. ^F Indicates measure required 55% of the vote to pass. All other school district measures required a majority vote.

TABLE 1.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR SCHOOL DISTRICT BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2017

COUNTY	DATE	SCHOOL DISTRICT	MEASURE TITLE	TYPE OF MEASURE	TOPIC OF MEASURE	VOTE IN FAVOR	TOTAL VOTE	PERCENT OF VOTE	PASS OR FAIL*
NAPA		No School District Measures							
NEVADA		No School District Measures							
ORANGE		No School District Measures							
PLACER		No School District Measures							
PLUMAS		No School District Measures							
RIVERSIDE		No School District Measures							
SACRAMENTO		No School District Measures							
SAN BENITO		No School District Measures							
SAN BERNARDINO		No School District Measures							
SAN DIEGO		No School District Measures							
SAN FRANCISCO		No School District Measures							
SAN JOAQUIN		No School District Measures							
SAN LUIS OBISPO		No School District Measures							
SAN MATEO	3/7/2017	Menlo Park City Elementary	Measure X	Property Tax	Education	5,858	7,406	79.1%	Pass ^T
	3/28/2017	San Mateo-Foster City Elementary	Measure Y	Property Tax	Education	13,039	19,990	65.2%	Fail ^T
	4/4/2017	Woodside Elementary	Measure Z	Property Tax	Education	844	1,157	72.9%	Pass ^T
SANTA BARBARA		No School District Measures							
SANTA CLARA	3/7/2017	Lakeside Joint Elementary ¹	Measure A	Property Tax	Education	182	244	74.6%	Pass ^T
	5/2/2017	Los Gatos Union Elementary	Measure A	Property Tax	Education	4,877	7,430	65.6%	Fail ^T
		Mountain View Whisman Elementary	Measure B	Property Tax	Education	7,409	10,295	72.0%	Pass ^T
SANTA CRUZ	3/7/2017	Lakeside Joint Elementary ²	Measure A	Property Tax	Education	360	512	70.3%	Pass ^T
SHASTA		No School District Measures							
SIERRA		No School District Measures							
SISKIYOU		No School District Measures							
SOLANO		No School District Measures							
SONOMA	11/7/2017	Wilmar Union Elementary	Measure F	Property Tax	Education	551	856	64.4%	Fail ^T
STANISLAUS		No School District Measures							
SUTTER		No School District Measures							
TEHAMA		No School District Measures							
TRINITY	11/7/2017	Mountain Valley Unified	Measure J	GO Bond	Education	457	701	65.2%	Pass ^F
TULARE		No School District Measures							
TUOLUMNE		No School District Measures							

¹Multi-county school district. We reported votes for Santa Cruz county separately.

²Multi-county school district. We reported votes for Santa Clara county separately.

TABLE 1.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR SCHOOL DISTRICT BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2017

COUNTY	DATE	SCHOOL DISTRICT	MEASURE TITLE	TYPE OF MEASURE	TOPIC OF MEASURE	VOTE IN FAVOR	TOTAL VOTE	PERCENT OF VOTE	PASS OR FAIL*
VENTURA		No School District Measures							
YOLO		No School District Measures							
YUBA		No School District Measures							

TABLE 1.2 TEXT FOR SCHOOL DISTRICT BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2017

ALAMEDA	5/2/2017	Hayward Unified	Measure A	Pass (2/3rds required)
To protect critical education programs, with funds that cannot be taken by the State, including math, reading, writing, and hands-on science classes and labs; restore and maintain music and art programs; enhancing library services, technology and college preparation programs; and attracting and retaining qualified teachers; shall Hayward Unified School District renew its expiring voter approved education tax at \$88 per parcel annually, for 12 years, with senior exemptions, independent citizens' oversight and all money used for classrooms?				
ALAMEDA	8/29/2017	San Leandro Unified	Measure B	Fail (2/3rds required)
To attract and retain highly qualified teachers, and to continue programs to improve core education in our local schools, particularly in math, science, technology and the arts, support manageable class sizes, and school libraries, shall the San Leandro Unified School District renew its existing parcel tax for 14 years at a rate of \$78 per year, with an exemption for seniors, independent fiscal oversight, no money for administration, and all money benefiting San Leandro students?				
KERN	7/25/2017	McFarland Unified	Measure D	Fail (2/3rds required)
To build, renovate or expand new or existing school facilities and provide 21st century learning environments, reduce student overcrowding, increase classroom technology infrastructure, and upgrade vocational education facilities to prepare students for college and high-paying jobs after graduation, shall the McFarland Unified School District be authorized to issue up to \$20 million in bonds at legal interest rates, with an independent Oversight Committee, annual audits, and no money for administrator salaries?				
LOS ANGELES	3/7/2017	Arcadia Unified	Measure A	Pass (2/3rds required)
To keep Arcadia schools among the best in California by renewing approximately \$4.3 million in annual local funding the state cannot take away, shall Arcadia Unified School District continue attracting/retaining quality teachers; keeping schools safe/clean; protecting quality math, science, technology, reading, writing, art and music programs by extending the expiring school parcel tax at \$288 per parcel annually for 18 years, requiring independent oversight/audits, senior exemptions, and all funds used locally to maintain quality education?				
LOS ANGELES	11/7/2017	Glendora Unified	Measure GG	Fail (55% required)
To upgrade and modernize classrooms, science labs, career training facilities, computer systems, and instructional technology to support high quality instruction/student achievement in science, technology, engineering, math/skilled trades, acquire, construct, and repair classrooms, facilities, sites/equipment, repair aging plumbing, electrical, heating/ventilation systems, improve student safety and campus security systems, shall Glendora Unified School District issue \$98,000,000 in bonds at legal interest rates, with independent citizen oversight, no money for administrator salaries and all money staying local?				
LOS ANGELES	11/7/2017	La Cañada Unified	Measure LCF	Pass (55% required)
To repair and modernize classroom and school facilities, including fixing deteriorating roofs, plumbing and electrical systems, improve student safety and campus security systems, upgrade/expand classrooms, science labs, career-training facilities and instructional technology to support advanced programs in math, science, engineering, technology and the arts, construct, acquire, repair classrooms, sites, facilities and equipment, shall La Canada Unified School District issue \$149,000,000 in bonds at legal rates, with citizen oversight, all money staying local and without increasing current tax rates?				
MARIN	11/7/2017	Lagunitas Elementary	Measure A	Pass (2/3rds required)
Shall the Lagunitas School District maintain vital educational programs including basic educational programs, enrichment and support services for students, by levying a \$535.00 assessment per assessor's parcel per year, with 6.5% yearly cost-of-living adjustment for 8 years, beginning July 1, 2018, exempting any single parcel used as a principal place of residence by any owner 65 years of age before February 1st of that year?				
MONTEREY	11/7/2017	North Monterey County Unified	Measure E	Fail (55% required)
To reopen and rebuild Moss Landing Middle School, constructing new campus classrooms, buildings and library, replacing infrastructure, providing technology for 21st century learning, hereby allowing the current middle school to reconvert to an elementary school relieving severe overcrowding at elementary schools, shall North Monterey County Unified School District be authorized to issue \$36,000,000 in bonds at interest rates within the legal limit with no funds for administrator salaries and an appointed Independent Oversight Committee to monitor all bond expenditures?				
MONTEREY	11/7/2017	North Monterey County Unified	Measure F	Fail (55% required)
To upgrade schools by replacing old portables with new classrooms, science labs, athletic facilities at reopened middle school, relieving overcrowding, reducing traffic congestion, increasing safety, repairing or replacing inefficient heating, plumbing, electrical systems; replacing, constructing and renovating schools, shall the North Monterey County Unified School District be authorized to issue \$40,000,000 in bonds at interest rates within the legal limit with no funds for administrator salaries and an appointed Independent Oversight Committee to monitor all bond expenditures?				
SAN MATEO	3/7/2017	Menlo Park City Elementary	Measure X	Pass (2/3rds required)
To protect outstanding public schools; retain high-quality teachers, excellent programs, and reasonable class size; avoid teacher layoffs; and sustain property values, shall the Menlo Park City School District replace its expiring parcel tax at the new rate of \$360 expiring after seven years, adjusted annually for inflation, raising \$2.83 million annually to be spent only on teachers and essential educational programs at Encinal, Laurel, Oak Knoll, and Hillview schools with independent oversight, annual audit, and senior exemption?				

TABLE 1.2 TEXT FOR SCHOOL DISTRICT BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2017

SAN MATEO	3/28/2017	San Mateo-Foster City Elementary	Measure Y	Fail (2/3rds required)
To protect local schools from substantial program cuts by renewing local funding that cannot be taken by the State, protect math/science/reading/writing programs, attract/retain qualified teachers/educational staff, keep school libraries open and support art/drama/music programs, shall San Mateo-Foster City Elementary School District renew without increasing, its expiring voter approved education tax at \$209.92 per parcel annually for 9 years, with CPI adjustments, senior exemptions, annual audits, and citizens' oversight?				
SAN MATEO	4/4/2017	Woodside Elementary	Measure Z	Pass (2/3rds required)
To maintain and enhance academic excellence at Woodside School through emphasis on quality instruction, especially in math, science, reading and writing, attracting/ retaining outstanding teachers/ maintaining small class sizes, shall the Woodside Elementary School District extend its existing annual school tax without increasing the current rate of \$290.00 per parcel each year for eight years, adjusted annually, with independent citizens' oversight, an exemption for those 65 years and older, and all funds spent only in Woodside School?				
SANTA CLARA	3/7/2017	Lakeside Joint Elementary	Measure A	Pass (2/3rds required)
To preserve high quality academic programs for elementary and middle school students, attract/retain high quality teachers, keep instructional programs up to date, and provide a safe, well maintained learning environment in our mountain community, shall the Lakeside Joint School District levy a temporary 8-year education parcel tax of \$647, with citizens' oversight, exemptions available for senior citizens, no funds for administrators' salaries, every dollar spent on Lakeside students and cannot be taken away by the State?				
SANTA CLARA	5/2/2017	Los Gatos Union Elementary	Measure A	Fail (2/3rds required)
To maintain outstanding academic programs including: science, engineering, math, reading, writing, music and arts with local funding that cannot be taken by the State; attract and retain highly qualified teachers; provide safe and modern facilities for student success; shall Los Gatos Union School District authorize an annual \$290 school parcel tax for 8 years, raising approximately \$2,800,000 annually, with independent oversight, no funds for administrators, an exemption available for seniors and all funds benefiting local elementary and middle schools?				
SANTA CLARA	5/2/2017	Mt. View Whisman Elementary	Measure B	Pass (2/3rds required)
To continue local school funding, support struggling students, offer competitive compensation to attract and retain the best teachers; maintain small class sizes; enhance hands-on science, technology, math and engineering programs; maintain outstanding music and art education; and support core academics, shall Mountain View Whisman School District levy a tax of \$191 per parcel – an increase of \$64 for most homeowners – for 8 years, generating \$2,800,000 annually, with independent oversight, exemptions for seniors and funds staying local?				
SANTA CRUZ	3/7/2017	Lakeside Joint Elementary	Measure A	Pass (2/3rds required)
To preserve high quality academic programs for elementary and middle school students, attract/retain high quality teachers, keep instructional programs up to date, and provide a safe, well maintained learning environment in our mountain community, shall the Lakeside Joint School District levy a temporary 8-year education parcel tax of \$647, with citizens' oversight, exemptions available for senior citizens, no funds for administrators' salaries, every dollar spent on Lakeside students and cannot be taken away by the State?				
SONOMA	11/7/2017	Wilmar Union Elementary	Measure F	Fail (2/3rds required)
To provide local funding which the State cannot take away, keep class sizes small, protect academic excellence, vocal and instrumental music, arts, maintain counseling services, provide current technology, and keep our library open, with no funds spent on administrators' salaries, and provide a senior citizen exemption, shall Wilmar Union School District (Wilson School) be authorized to levy \$65 per parcel annually for eight (8) years (\$70,980 annual funds raised)?				
TRINITY	11/7/2017	Mountain Valley Unified	Measure J	Pass (55% required)
To improve the quality of education by modernizing 50 to 60 year-old classrooms, restrooms, and school facilities; replace leaky roofs; make health and safety improvements including major mold removal, lead and asbestos abatement; increase student access to computers/technology/ to meet 21st century educational standards; and replace deteriorating plumbing, sewer, electrical heating, and cooling systems. Mountain Valley Unified School District will issue \$5,950,000 of bonds at legal interest rates, have an independent citizens' oversight committee and have NO money for administrative or teachers' salaries.				

TABLE 1.3 SUMMARY OF ELECTION OUTCOMES FOR SCHOOL DISTRICT BALLOT MEASURES BY TYPE* OF MEASURE AND COUNTY, 2017

	TAXES		BONDS		ALL MEASURES		TOTAL
	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	
Alameda	1	1			1	1	2
Kern			0	1	0	1	1
Los Angeles	1	0	1	1	2	1	3
Marin	1	0			1	0	1
Monterey			0	2	0	2	2
San Mateo	2	1			2	1	3
Santa Clara	2	1			2	1	3
Santa Cruz	1	0			1	0	1
Sonoma	0	1			0	1	1
Trinity			1	0	1	0	1
All Counties	8	4	2	4	10	8	18

*Since the topic of all school district measures is Education, a table for school district measures by topic is not included

PART 2
VOTE TOTALS FOR
SCHOOL DISTRICT OFFICE CANDIDATES

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR SCHOOL DISTRICT BOARD CANDIDATE BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2017

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DISTRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	INC- UMB- ENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATES	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PERCENT OF VOTE	ELEC- TED ²	
ALAMEDA		No School District Contests												
ALPINE		No School District Contests												
AMADOR		No School District Contests												
BUTTE		No School District Contests												
CALAVERAS		No School District Contests												
COLUSA		No School District Contests												
CONTRA COSTA		No School District Contests												
DEL NORTE		No School District Contests												
EL DORADO		No School District Contests												
FRESNO		No School District Contests												
GLENN		No School District Contests												
HUMBOLDT	11/7/2017	College of the Redwoods	1	Full	Deister	Bonnie	Educator/Nurse	No	2	1,621	2,824	57.4%	Yes	
					Coppini	Tracy D.	Incumbent	Yes	2	1,203	2,824	42.6%	No	
			Klamath-Trinity Joint Unified ¹	2	Full	Kelley	Dan	Chief Executive Officer	No	2	1,403	2,483	56.5%	Yes
						Ross	Thomas	Incumbent	Yes	2	1,080	2,483	43.5%	No
				6	Full	Kelley	Patti	Retired	No	2	21	35	60.0%	Yes
						Wild	Rob	Incumbent	Yes	2	14	35	40.0%	No
IMPERIAL	11/7/2017	Imperial County Office of Education	1	Full	Gonzalez-Buttner	Annette	Member, Imperial County BOE, Trustee Area 1	Yes	2	914	1,615	56.6%	Yes	
					Rodriguez	Cesar R.	Businessman	No	2	701	1,615	43.4%	No	
		San Pasqual Valley Unified	Full	Jefferson	Tomas	No Ballot Designation	No	4	64	218	29.4%	Yes		
				Dominguez	Sofia	Homemaker	No	4	60	218	27.5%	Yes		
				Montague	Monica	Incumbent	Yes	4	47	218	21.6%	No		
				Ramirez	Rebecca L.	Incumbent	Yes	4	47	218	21.6%	No		
		Westmorland Union Elementary	Full	Marta	Trinidad	Training Coordinator	No	3	84	243	34.6%	Yes		
				Moreno	Elizabeth	Incumbent	Yes	3	82	243	33.7%	Yes		
				Velasco, Jr.	Salvador	No Ballot Designation	No	3	77	243	31.7%	No		
		INYO		No School District Contests										
KERN		No School District Contests												
KINGS		No School District Contests												
LAKE		No School District Contests												
LASSEN		No School District Contests												
LOS ANGELES	2/28/2017	Burbank Unified	Full	Frintner	Steven	Senior Manager Accounting	No	3	5,745	16,653	34.5%	Yes		
				Tabet	Charlene "Char"	Incumbent	Yes	3	5,510	16,653	33.1%	Yes		
				Applebaum	Larry	Incumbent	Yes	3	5,398	16,653	32.4%	No		

¹Multi-county school district. We reported votes for Trinity county separately.

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR SCHOOL DISTRICT BOARD CANDIDATE BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2017

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DISTRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	INC- UMB- ENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATES	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PERCENT OF VOTE	ELEC- TED ²
LOS ANGELES	3/7/2017	Los Angeles Community College	2	Full	Veres	Steven	College Teacher	No	4	188,270	410,235	45.9%	Yes
					Goldstein	Steve	Community College Advocate	No	4	80,165	410,235	19.5%	No
					Norman	Thomas J.	College Professor/Business person	No	4	71,170	410,235	17.3%	No
					Vargas	Sergio	Education Advocate	No	4	70,630	410,235	17.2%	No
			4	Full	Moreno	Ernest H.	Community College Trustee	Yes	2	224,010	405,762	55.2%	Yes
					Fowler	Dallas Denise	Los Angeles/Commissioner/Educator	No	2	181,752	405,762	44.8%	No
			6	Full	Buelna	Gabriel	College Professor	No	2	245,232	406,225	60.4%	Yes
					Pearlman	Nancy	Community College Trustee	Yes	2	160,993	406,225	39.6%	No
		Los Angeles Unified	2	Full	García	Mónica	Member of the Board of Education	Yes	3	20,710	37,194	55.7%	Yes
					Alva	Lisa	Public School Teacher	No	3	12,788	37,194	34.4%	No
					Peterson	Carl J.	Businessman/Parent Advocate	No	3	3,696	37,194	9.9%	No
			4	Full	Zimmer	Steven	Teacher/School Boardmember	Yes	4	45,088	96,558	46.7%	Runoff
					Melvoín	Nick	Educator/Children's Advocate	No	4	31,771	96,558	32.9%	Runoff
					Polhill	Allison Holdorff	Educator/Parent	No	4	13,510	96,558	14.0%	No
					Martayan	Gregory	Police Specialist/Parent	No	4	6,189	96,558	6.4%	No
			6	Full	Fitzpatrick-Gonez	Kelly	Public School Teacher	No	6	15,984	43,018	37.2%	Runoff
					Padilla	Imelda	Community Youth Advocate	No	6	13,390	43,018	31.1%	Runoff
					Lopez	Patty	Assembly Member	No	6	5,159	43,018	12.0%	No
					Parseghian	Araz	Educator/Parent/Businessman	No	6	3,853	43,018	9.0%	No
					Posey	Gwendolyn R.	Education Advocate	No	6	2,483	43,018	5.8%	No
					Sandoval	Jose	Animal Rights Educator	No	6	2,149	43,018	5.0%	No
Pasadena Unified	1		Full	Kenne	Kimberly	Board Member, Pasadena Unified	Yes	2	2,046	3,282	62.3%	Yes	
		Miller		Rita	Public School Teacher	No	2	1,236	3,282	37.7%	No		
	3	Full	Bailey	Michelle Richardson	School District Secretary	No	2	1,204	2,096	57.4%	Yes		
			Mullen	Adrienne Ann	Appointed Member of the Board of Education	Yes	2	892	2,096	42.6%	No		
	5	Full	Pomeroý	Elizabeth	Member of the Board of Education	Yes	2	2,301	3,276	70.2%	Yes		
			Baron	Matthew	Teacher/Farmer/Businessman	No	2	975	3,276	29.8%	No		
	7	Full	Phelps	Scott M.	Board Member, Pasadena USD	Yes	1	4,476	4,476	100.0%	Yes		
Redondo Beach Unified	Full	Christensen	Michael	Incumbent	Yes	4	8,166	30,278	27.0%	Yes			
		Serkin	Brad	Incumbent	Yes	4	7,858	30,278	26.0%	Yes			
		Waller	Brad	Incumbent	Yes	4	7,853	30,278	25.9%	Yes			
		Kilroy	Matthew J.	Retired RBUSD Teacher	No	4	6,401	30,278	21.1%	No			
4/4/2017	Glendale Community College	2	Full	Ransford	Ann	Glendale Community College Trustee	Yes	1	4,294	4,294	100.0%	Yes	
		3	Full	Hacopian	Armine	GCC Trustee/Educator	Yes	1	3,689	3,689	100.0%	Yes	

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR SCHOOL DISTRICT BOARD CANDIDATE BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2017

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DISTRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	INC- UMB- ENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATES	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PERCENT OF VOTE	ELEC- TED ²
LOS ANGELES (continued)	4/4/2017	Glendale Community College	4	Full	Davis	Yvetter Vartanian	Educator/Business Owner	No	3	1,980	3,095	64.0%	Yes
					Werner	Rondi Lane	Construction Contract Administrator	No	3	623	3,095	20.1%	No
					Garcia	Victor E.	Community Volunteer	No	3	492	3,095	15.9%	No
		Glendale Unified	B	Full	Krikorian	Greg	Member, Board of Education	Yes	2	3,638	4,392	82.8%	Yes
					Stepanyan	Vardan	Certified Immigration Consultant	No	2	754	4,392	17.2%	No
			C	Full	Gharpetian	Armina	GUSD Board President	Yes	1	3,830	3,830	100.0%	Yes
	D		Full	Sahakian	Shant	Parent/Entrepreneur/Artist	No	2	2,254	3,918	57.5%	Yes	
		Ryan		Joal	Parent/Journalist	No	2	1,664	3,918	42.5%	No		
	Inglewood Unified	1	Full	Faulk	Dionne Y.	Financial Literacy Educator	No	2	1,977	2,990	66.1%	Yes	
				Riley, Jr.	Odest T.	Financial Consultant/instructor	No	2	1,013	2,990	33.9%	No	
		2	Full	McGhee	Carliss R.	School Board Member	Yes	1	2,475	2,475	100.0%	Yes	
		3	Full	Ngaue-Tuuholoaki	Melody O.	Incumbent	Yes	1	2,444	2,444	100.0%	Yes	
	4/18/2017	Arcadia Unified	Full	Eng	Fenton Fong	Incumbent	Yes	4	1,831	5,333	34.3%	Yes	
				Chavez	Leigh S.	Community Volunteer	No	4	1,599	5,333	30.0%	Yes	
				Yamane	Kathy	Registered Nurse/Volunteer	No	4	1,388	5,333	26.0%	No	
				Ricchiuzzi	Paul A.	Police Officer III	No	4	515	5,333	9.7%	No	
	5/16/2017	Los Angeles Unified	4	Full	Melvojn	Nick	Educator/Children's Advocate	No	2	38,673	67,570	57.2%	Yes
					Zimmer	Steven	Teacher/School Boardmember	Yes	2	28,897	67,570	42.8%	No
			6	Full	Fitzpatrick-Gonez	Kelly	Public School Teacher	No	2	16,961	32,957	51.5%	Yes
					Padilla	Imelda	Community Youth Advocate	No	2	15,996	32,957	48.5%	No
11/7/2017	ABC Unified	3	Full	Nishii	H. Ernie	Parent/Attorney	No	4	698	1,775	39.3%	Yes	
				Johnson	Lynda P.	ABC Governing Board Member	Yes	4	475	1,775	26.8%	No	
				Reyes	Armin	Labor Representative	No	4	440	1,775	24.8%	No	
				Fierro	Daniel G.	Chief Executive Officer	No	4	162	1,775	9.1%	No	
	Compton Unified	Full	Rios	Olga	Educator/Parent	No	2	292	453	64.5%	Yes		
			Dodson	Louise	Deputy Probation Officer	No	2	161	453	35.5%	No		
			Thomas	Mae	Governing Board Member, Compton USD	Yes	19	2,654	19,654	13.5%	Yes		
			Zurita	Satra D.	Governing Board Member, Compton USD	Yes	19	2,430	19,654	12.4%	Yes		
			Garrett	Margie N.	Governing Board Member, Compton USD	Yes	19	2,003	19,654	10.2%	Yes		
			Taylor-Pleasant	Alma	Appl Governing Board Member, Compton USD	Yes	19	1,517	19,654	7.7%	Yes		
Pitts	Gregory	Educator	No	19	1,497	19,654	7.6%	No					
Curry	Rodney Lonnell	Teacher	No	19	1,248	19,654	6.3%	No					
Calhoun	Barbara Jean	Community Advocate	No	19	1,218	19,654	6.2%	No					
Taylor	Jonathan	Retired Compton Teacher	No	19	1,169	19,654	5.9%	No					
Godwin	Alita L.	Compton City Clerk	No	19	998	19,654	5.1%	No					
Jackson-Freeny	Mary L.	School Social Worker	No	19	918	19,654	4.7%	No					

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR SCHOOL DISTRICT BOARD CANDIDATE BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2017

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DISTRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	INC- UMB- ENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATES	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PERCENT OF VOTE	ELEC- TED ²
LOS ANGELES (continued)	11/7/2017	Compton Unified		Full	Banks	Barbara A.	Retired Compton USD Manager	No	19	852	19,654	4.3%	No
					Landeros	Luis	Director, Sativa Los Angeles Water District	No	19	766	19,654	3.9%	No
					Lewis	Joseph L.	Doctor of Education	No	19	500	19,654	2.5%	No
					Poole-Louis	Shawn M.	Teacher	No	19	496	19,654	2.5%	No
					Carson	Willie Dewitt	Retired Maintenance Director	No	19	408	19,654	2.1%	No
					Wiggins	Tracy Shawn	Financial Professional	No	19	369	19,654	1.9%	No
					Harris	Jesse A.	Father/Doctoral Candidate	No	19	249	19,654	1.3%	No
					Hicks	Ricky E.	Financial Advisor	No	19	190	19,654	1.0%	No
					Perry	Anthony Lee	Manager	No	19	172	19,654	0.9%	No
		El Monte Union High	Full	Diaz	David	Nonprofit Youth Director	No	6	2,991	12,231	24.5%	Yes	
				Padilla	Ricardo	School Counselor/Teacher	Yes	6	2,940	12,231	24.0%	Yes	
				Briones	Florencio F.	Education Technology Specialist	No	6	2,602	12,231	21.3%	Yes	
				De Siegrist	Esthela Torres	Community College Professor	Yes	6	2,515	12,231	20.6%	No	
				Williams	Ron	Small Business Owner	No	6	724	12,231	5.9%	No	
				Trigueros	Christian	Neighborhood Developer	No	6	459	12,231	3.8%	No	
		Hughes-Elizabeth Lakes Union Elementary	Full	Trifa	Nicoleta	Teacher	No	4	188	614	30.6%	Yes	
				Skelton	Lola J.	Incumbent	Yes	4	170	614	27.7%	Yes	
				Dohn	Melanie	Incumbent	Yes	4	153	614	24.9%	Yes	
				Nadjib	Wise	Taekwondo Instructor	No	4	103	614	16.8%	No	
		La Cañada Unified	Full	Jeffries	Dan	Incumbent	Yes	5	2,532	9,560	26.5%	Yes	
Radabaugh	Joe			Self Employed	No	5	2,387	9,560	25.0%	Yes			
Puglia	Kaitzer P.			Incumbent	Yes	5	1,940	9,560	20.3%	Yes			
Salvo	Christopher G.			Aerospace Engineering Manager	No	5	1,377	9,560	14.4%	No			
Gottheim	Joshua C.			Land Use Attorney	No	5	1,324	9,560	13.8%	No			
Rosemead Elementary	Full	Pena	Veronica L.	Site Coordinator	No	2	404	741	54.5%	Yes			
		Mascorro	Frederick Santos	Retired Operations Manager	No	2	337	741	45.5%	No			
Whittier City Elementary	Full	Sandoval	Jennifer De Baca	Educator	No	7	2,364	9,884	23.9%	Yes			
		Small	Linda Lee Ann	Incumbent	Yes	7	1,866	9,884	18.9%	Yes			
		Vigil	Polly Ann	Academic Researcher	No	7	1,722	9,884	17.4%	Yes			
		Jauregui	Caro	Health Policy Manager	No	7	1,515	9,884	15.3%	No			
		Adragna	Vito J.	Retired Architect	Yes	7	1,494	9,884	15.1%	No			
		Cano	Roland	Community Volunteer	No	7	511	9,884	5.2%	No			
		Chavez	Troy Anthony	Marketing Representative	No	7	412	9,884	4.2%	No			

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR SCHOOL DISTRICT BOARD CANDIDATE BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2017

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DISTRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	INC- UMB- ENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATES	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PERCENT OF VOTE	ELEC- TED ²
LOS ANGELES (continued)	11/7/2017	Whittier Union High		Full	Anderson	Leighton M.	Governing Board Member, Whittier UHSD	Yes	5	5,806	22,305	26.0%	Yes
					Calleros	Russell A.	Governing Board Member	Yes	5	5,183	22,305	23.2%	Yes
					Baird	Jeff	Retired Business Attorney	Yes	5	4,801	22,305	21.5%	Yes
					Mendez	Maria Carmenita	Educator/Parent	No	5	4,051	22,305	18.2%	No
					Portillo	Jennifer	Marketing Director/Philanthropist	No	5	2,464	22,305	11.0%	No
MADERA		No School District Contests											
MARIN		No School District Contests											
MARIPOSA		No School District Contests											
MENDOCINO		No School District Contests											
MERCED	11/7/2017	Gustine Unified	5	Full	Smith	Richard	Firefighter	No	2	110	156	70.5%	Yes
					Montgomery	Wendy	Realtor	No	2	46	156	29.5%	No
MODOC		No School District Contests											
MONO		No School District Contests											
MONTEREY	11/7/2017	North Monterey County Unified	2	Full	Samuels	Kyle	Retired Teacher	No	2	217	413	52.5%	Yes
					Lines	Linda	Incumbent	Yes	2	196	413	47.5%	No
NAPA		No School District Contests											
NEVADA		No School District Contests											
ORANGE		No School District Contests											
PLACER		No School District Contests											
PLUMAS		No School District Contests											
RIVERSIDE	11/7/2017	Banning Unified	1	Full	Price	Anne K.	Retired	No	2	1,437	1,618	88.8%	Yes
					Kalani	John Bernades	Entertainment Technician	No	2	181	1,618	11.2%	No
		Palo Verde Unified	Full	Smith	Jason	Power Line Technician	No	3	329	564	58.3%	Yes	
				Patterson	Mason Levi	Educator	No	3	154	564	27.3%	No	
				Westholder	Gabriel	Engineer Technician/Coach	No	3	81	564	14.4%	No	
				Gutierrez	Martha E.	Parent /Office Technician	No	4	819	2,466	33.2%	Yes	
				Guith	Norman Crosby	Retired Educator	Yes	4	596	2,466	24.2%	Yes	
				Ulmer	John M.	Incumbent	Yes	4	573	2,466	23.2%	No	
Palmer	Aaron	Farm Manager	No	4	478	2,466	19.4%	No					
SACRAMENTO		No School District Contests											
SAN BENITO		No School District Contests											
SAN BERNARDINO	11/7/2017	Fontana Unified		Short	Serna	Mars	Community Education Liaison	No	2	2,529	4,071	62.1%	Yes
					Gongora	Kareem	Communications Specialist/Businessman	No	2	1,542	4,071	37.9%	No

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR SCHOOL DISTRICT BOARD CANDIDATE BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2017

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DISTRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	INC- UMB- ENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATES	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PERCENT OF VOTE	ELEC- TED ²
SAN BERNARDINO (continued)	11/7/2017	San Bernardino City Unified		Full	Tillman	Danny	Incumbent	Yes	9	4,504	23,263	19.4%	Yes
					Medina	Abigail M.	Incumbent	Yes	9	4,460	23,263	19.2%	Yes
					Flores	Barbara	Incumbent	Yes	9	4,104	23,263	17.6%	Yes
					Montana	Pam	Children Services Liaison	No	9	3,785	23,263	16.3%	No
					Jones	Anthony G.	Radiology Assistant/Broker	No	9	2,334	23,263	10.0%	No
					Fick	Robert N.	Teacher	No	9	2,227	23,263	9.6%	No
					Ceballos	Mayra	No Ballot Designation	No	9	832	23,263	3.6%	No
					Valverde	Eduardo Alberto	Businessman	No	9	734	23,263	3.2%	No
					Haddad	Boulos	No Ballot Designation	No	9	283	23,263	1.2%	No
SAN DIEGO	No School District Contests												
SAN FRANCISCO	No School District Contests												
SAN JOAQUIN	No School District Contests												
SAN LUIS OBISPO	No School District Contests												
SAN MATEO	11/7/2017	Burlingame Elementary		Full	Kendall	Elizabeth	Scientist/Parent	No	4	3,531	12,309	28.7%	Yes
					Coskey	Kay	Incumbent	Yes	4	3,100	12,309	25.2%	Yes
					Drabkin	Davina	Incumbent	Yes	4	2,906	12,309	23.6%	Yes
					Luftman	Douglas B.	Appointed Incumbent	Yes	4	2,772	12,309	22.5%	No
		Portola Valley Elementary	Full	Bechtel	Karyn	Community Volunteer	No	4	794	2,584	30.7%	Yes	
				Klugman	Jeff	Retired Software Executive	No	4	773	2,584	29.9%	Yes	
				Maffia	Michael	Investor/Developer	No	4	607	2,584	23.5%	Yes	
				Tate	Karen A.	Incumbent	Yes	4	410	2,584	15.9%	No	
		San Carlos Elementary	Full	Elliot	Carol	Incumbent	Yes	4	2,779	9,107	30.5%	Yes	
				Layton	Neil A.	Attorney	No	4	2,720	9,107	29.9%	Yes	
				Farley	Kathleen	Incumbent	Yes	4	2,562	9,107	28.1%	Yes	
				Vigdal	Thomas	Lawyer	No	4	1,046	9,107	11.5%	No	
		San Mateo-Foster City Elementary	Full	Watkins	Shara	Education Consultant	No	7	10,036	39,063	25.7%	Yes	
				Corzo	Noelia	Social Worker	No	7	8,561	39,063	21.9%	Yes	
				Hitchcock	Rebecca	Early Education Director	No	7	5,934	39,063	15.2%	Yes	
				Kanga	Shiraz Zack	Real Estate Agent	No	7	4,993	39,063	12.8%	No	
Morgan	Thomas			Certified Public Accountant	No	7	4,271	39,063	10.9%	No			
Thiel	Jacob			Management Consultant	No	7	2,740	39,063	7.0%	No			
Haislip	Alexander H.			Technology Executive	No	7	2,528	39,063	6.5%	No			
SANTA BARBARA	No School District Contests												
SANTA CLARA	No School District Contests												
SANTA CRUZ	No School District Contests												
SHASTA	No School District Contests												

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR SCHOOL DISTRICT BOARD CANDIDATE BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2017

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DISTRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	INC- UMB- ENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATES	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PERCENT OF VOTE	ELEC- TED ²
SIERRA		No School District Contests											
SISKIYOU		No School District Contests											
SOLANO		No School District Contests											
SONOMA		No School District Contests											
STANISLAUS	11/7/2017	Chatom Union Elementary	2	Full	Blount	Ryan	Dairyman/Farmer	No	2	32	60	53.3%	Yes
					Machado	Rodney	Teacher	Yes	2	28	60	46.7%	No
		Denair Unified	Full	Sousa	Crystal A.	Educator/Parent	No	4	553	1,583	34.9%	Yes	
				Gomes	Regina "Reggie"	Retired School Employee	No	4	396	1,583	25.0%	Yes	
				Asbill	Charles	Retired Custodian	No	4	386	1,583	24.4%	No	
				Gresio	Tina	Self Employed Businesswoman	No	4	241	1,583	15.2%	No	
		Hart-Ransom Union Elementary	Full	Heinrich	Ashley	Parent	No	6	471	1,416	33.3%	Yes	
				Fultz	Rich	Incumbent	Yes	6	228	1,416	16.1%	Yes	
				Riggins	Sandy	Incumbent	Yes	6	222	1,416	15.7%	Yes	
				Dubbs	Matt	Assistant Manager/Farmer	No	6	218	1,416	15.4%	No	
				Bicek	Marty	Business Owner	No	6	142	1,416	10.0%	No	
				Garcia	Johnny	Insurance Business Owner	No	6	129	1,416	9.1%	No	
		Modesto City Elementary & High	5	Full	West	Charlene G.	School Counselor/Professor	No	3	2,365	4,074	58.1%	Yes
					McGill	Rickey	Retired Education Administrator	No	3	915	4,074	22.5%	No
					Scheid	Michael D.	Attorney	No	3	776	4,074	19.0%	No
			7	Full	Lopez	Adolfo	Community Outreach Coordinator	No	3	932	1,432	65.1%	Yes
					Schambers	Ryan	Substitute Teacher	No	3	281	1,432	19.6%	No
					Harrington	Matthew J.	Youth Care Provider	No	3	215	1,432	15.0%	No
		Stanislaus County Office of Education	5	Full	Jack	Chinyere Nnodim	Small Business Owner	No	2	2,367	4,825	49.1%	Yes
					Vazquez	Juan Pablo	Electric Automotive Assembler	No	2	2,340	4,825	48.5%	No
Sylvan Union Elementary	3	Full	Harvey	Christine	Science Teacher	No	2	884	1,699	52.0%	Yes		
			Zeek	Terriann	Incumbent	Yes	2	808	1,699	47.6%	No		
Valley Home Joint Elementary		Short	Wells	Erin	Registered Dental Hygienist	No	2	135	267	50.6%	Yes		
			Carpenter	Mary Beth	Student/Agricultural Foreman	No	2	132	267	49.4%	No		
SUTTER		No School District Contests											
TEHAMA		No School District Contests											
TRINITY	11/7/2017	Klamath-Trinity Joint Unified ²	1	Full	Watty	Kerry	Incumbent	Yes	2	55	82	67.1%	Yes
					Tyner-Bray	Terry	School Business Assistant	No	2	26	82	31.7%	No

²Multi-county school district. We reported the votes for Humboldt county separately.

TABLE 2.2 SUMMARY OF ELECTION OUTCOMES FOR
SCHOOL BOARD OFFICES, 2017

		Percent*	N**
Incumbent Candidates	Win	70.0	42
	Lose	30.0	18
	Total	100.0	60
Non-Incumbent Candidates	Win	36.6	48
	Lose	63.4	83
	Total	100.0	131
Winning Candidates	Incumbent	46.7	42
	Non-Incumbent	53.3	48
	Total	100.0	90
Losing Candidates	Incumbent	17.8	18
	Non-Incumbent	82.2	83
	Total	100.0	101
All Candidates	Incumbent	31.4	60
	Non-Incumbent	68.6	131
	Total	100.0	191

*Percent may not sum to 100 due to rounding.

**We excluded runoffs from totals.

