



County Offices and Ballot Measures

**CALIFORNIA COUNTY, CITY, AND SCHOOL
DISTRICT ELECTION OUTCOMES:**

**CANDIDATES AND BALLOT MEASURES
2019 ELECTIONS**

California State University, Sacramento
Institute for Social Research & The Center for California Studies

CALIFORNIA COUNTY, CITY, AND SCHOOL DISTRICT
ELECTION OUTCOMES:
CANDIDATES AND BALLOT MEASURES, 2019 ELECTIONS

COUNTY OFFICES AND BALLOT MEASURES

Leonor Ehling, M.P.P.
Executive Director, Center for California Studies

Shannon A. Williams, Ph.D.
Director, Institute for Social Research

Valory Messier, M.A.
Research Specialist, Institute for Social Research

Fleur M. Marfori, B.A.
Graduate Research Assistant, Institute for Social Research

Center for California Studies
California State University, Sacramento
6000 J Street, Sacramento, CA 95819
(916) 278-6906 FAX: (916) 278-5199

Institute for Social Research
California State University, Sacramento
304 S Street, Sacramento, CA 95811
(916) 278-4317 FAX: (916) 278-5150



Acknowledgements



The researchers would like to sincerely thank the county elections officials and staff throughout the State of California who took time to provide data to the project. Additionally, we are grateful to the Secretary of State's Office for its continuing support and interest in this project. Without their assistance, the completion of these yearly reviews and the California Elections Data Archive would not be possible.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Introduction	i
2019 County, City, and School District Election Dates by County	iii
Trend Table A Number of Ballot Measures, Percent of Total Measures, and Percent Passing by Type, Jurisdiction, and Year	v
Trend Table B Number of Ballot Measures, Percent of Total Measures, and Percent Passing by Topic, Jurisdiction, and Year	x
Trend Table C Community Service District, and County Service Area Measures by County	xv
Trend Table D Number of Community Service District, and County Service Area Measures, Percent of Total County Measures, and Percent Passing by Type, and Year	xx
Trend Table E Comparison of Pass Rates for County-Wide and Community Service District/County Service Area Tax Measures by Year	xxii
Trend Table F Number of Community Service District, and County Service Area Measures, Percent of Total County Measures, and Percent Passing by Topic, and Year	xxiii
Trend Table G Number of Candidates by Jurisdiction and Year	xxiii
Trend Table H Number of Candidates for Major County Offices by Year	xxiv
Trend Table I Percent of Incumbent Candidates, and Percent of Prevailing Incumbents by Major Office, Jurisdiction, and Year	xxv
2019 Election Series Summary:	
Election Outcomes for County, City, and School District Ballot Measures, and Candidates	1
Table A Summary of Outcomes for All County, City, and School District Ballot Measures by Type of Measure, and County, 2019	2
Table B Summary of Outcomes for All County, City, and School District Ballot Measures by Type of Measure, and County, 2019	3
Table C Summary of Election Outcomes for All County, City, and School District Offices, 2019	4
Part 1 Vote Totals, Election Outcomes and Text for County Ballot Measures	5
Table 1.1 Vote Totals for County Ballot Measures by County, 2019	6
Table 1.2 Text for County Ballot Measures by County, 2019	8
Table 1.3 Summary of Election Outcomes for County Ballot Measures by Type of Measure, and County, 2019	10
Table 1.4 Summary of Election Outcomes for County Ballot Measures by Topic of Measure, and County, 2019	11
Part 2 Vote Totals for County Office Candidates	12
Table 2.1 Vote Totals for County Office Candidates by County, and Election Date, 2019	13
Table 2.2 Summary of Election Outcomes for County Offices, 2019	16

CALIFORNIA ELECTIONS DATA ARCHIVE

INTRODUCTION

The California Elections Data Archive (CEDA) is a joint project of the Center for California Studies, and the Institute for Social Research (ISR), at the California State University, Sacramento, and the office of the California Secretary of State. The purpose of CEDA is to provide researchers, citizens, public agencies, and other interested parties with a single repository of local election data. With over 6,000 local jurisdictions in California, the task of monitoring local elections is nearly impossible for individuals. CEDA addresses this problem through the creation of a single, cost-effective, and easily accessible source of local election data. CEDA includes candidate, and ballot measure results for county, city, community college, and school district elections throughout the State. CEDA thus represents the only comprehensive repository of local election results in California and one of a very few such databases on local elections in the U.S.

How the CEDA Data is Collected and Reported

ISR staff collects election data periodically throughout each calendar year. This enables CEDA to incorporate results from special elections as well as all regularly scheduled elections. ISR staff enters election results from counties, cities, community colleges, and school districts into the CEDA database and then uses this database to generate three standard CEDA reports. These reports include:

- County Elections: Candidates, ballot designations, and vote totals for all elected county offices; vote totals and text for county ballot measures.
- City Elections: Candidates, ballot designations, and vote totals for all elected city offices; vote totals, and text for all city ballot measures.
- Community College and School District Elections: Candidates, ballot designations, and vote totals for all elective community college and school district offices; vote totals and text for all district ballot measures.

ISR staff codes ballot measures for all jurisdictions according to type (e.g., charter amendment, taxes, bond measure, initiative, etc.) and to topic (e.g., education, public safety, governance, etc.).

THE CEDA PARTNERSHIP

THE CENTER FOR CALIFORNIA STUDIES

Located at California State University, Sacramento, the Center for California Studies is a public policy, public service, and curricular support unit of the California State University. The Center's location in the state Capital and its ability to draw upon the resources of the entire State University system give it a unique capacity for making contributions to public policy development, and the public life of California. Center programs cover four broad areas: administration of the nationally known Assembly, Senate, Executive, and Judicial Administration Fellowship Programs; university-state government liaison and applied policy research; civic education and community service through forums, conferences, and issue dialogues; and curricular support activity in the interdisciplinary field of California Studies.

INSTITUTE FOR SOCIAL RESEARCH

Established in 1989, the Institute for Social Research (ISR) at California State University, Sacramento (CSUS) is a multidisciplinary institute that is committed to advancing the understanding of the social world through applied research. The Institute offers research expertise and technical assistance serving as a resource to agencies, organizations, the University, and the broader community. Utilizing quantitative and qualitative methods, ISR produces various types of assessments, program and policy evaluations, survey research, workload studies, and specialized analyses. Services include research design, sampling design, data collection and coding, computer-assisted telephone and field interviewing, mailed and online surveys, focus groups, database management, and statistical analysis. ISR has completed hundreds of projects with more than 50 federal, state and community agencies, private firms, and many academic units. Faculty affiliates of the Institute offer specific content expertise in a wide variety of disciplines, including the social sciences, health and human services, and education.

CALIFORNIA SECRETARY OF STATE

Among their other duties, the Secretary of State acts as California's chief elections officer with the responsibility of administering the provisions of the Elections Code. The Secretary must compile state election returns, and issue certificates of election to winning candidates; compile the returns and certify the results of initiative and referendum elections; certify acts delayed by referendum, and prepare and file a statement of vote. Recent legislation permits but does not mandate that the Secretary of State compile local election results.

2019 COUNTY, CITY, AND SCHOOL DISTRICT ELECTION DATES BY COUNTY

	1/29	2/26	3/5	3/12	4/9	4/16	5/7	5/14	6/4	6/18	8/13	8/27	10/15	11/5
Alameda					●		●		●					●
Alpine														●
Amador														
Butte														
Calaveras														●
Colusa														
Contra Costa														●
Del Norte														
El Dorado														
Fresno			●								●			●
Glenn														
Humboldt														
Imperial														●
Inyo														
Kern														
Kings														
Lake														
Lassen							●							
Los Angeles		●	●		●	●		●	●		●		●	●
Madera														
Marin												●		●
Mariposa														
Mendocino														
Merced														
Modoc							●							
Mono														
Monterey														
Napa									●					
Nevada														
Orange	●			●										●

TREND TABLE A NUMBER OF BALLOT MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TYPE, JURISDICTION, AND YEAR

	ALL MEASURES			BONDS			TAXES			ORDINANCE			RECALLS			INITIATIVES			CHARTER AMENDMENT		
	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing
All Measures																					
1995-2019	398	100	67	105	26	72	127	32	63	80	20	62	13	3	73	10	2	46	46	11	77
Even Years	616	100	69	173	28	75	191	31	62	126	21	63	15	2	72	15	2	48	69	11	77
Odd Years	197	100	63	42	21	61	68	34	65	38	19	62	12	6	74	5	2	41	24	12	77
County																					
1995-2019	64	16	59	2	3	73	27	43	49	19	29	65	2	3	76	3	4	43	7	10	67
Even Years	105	17	57	3	3	70	46	44	47	31	29	63	2	2	59	5	4	42	12	11	66
Odd Years	26	13	67	1	5	78	10	39	57	7	28	71	2	8	89	1	3	50	2	7	72
City																					
1995-2019	200	50	67	5	2	60	75	38	66	56	28	60	6	3	74	7	3	48	39	19	79
Even Years	308	50	67	8	3	63	118	38	66	89	29	61	8	3	72	10	3	51	58	19	79
Odd Years	100	51	65	2	2	50	36	36	66	26	26	57	4	4	76	4	4	41	22	22	78
School District																					
1995-2019	134	34	72	98	73	73	24	18	67	5	4	80	5	4	71	0	0	50			
Even Years	203	33	75	162	80	76	27	13	67	7	3	82	5	2	78	0	0	0			
Odd Years	71	36	64	39	55	61	21	30	66	4	6	77	6	9	67	0	0	100			

TREND TABLE A NUMBER OF BALLOT MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TYPE, JURISDICTION, AND YEAR (CONTINUED)

	ALL MEASURES			BONDS			TAXES			ORDINANCE			RECALLS			INITIATIVES			CHARTER AMENDMENT			
	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	
All Measures	1995	283	100	37	91	36	47	26	10	35	46	18	61	8	3	88	8	3	50	55	22	93
	1996	573	100	57	64	11	59	142	25	40	176	31	58	32	6	72	18	3	39	115	20	73
	1997	342	100	60	127	37	59	100	29	56	45	13	69	29	8	38	7	2	71	31	9	81
	1998	572	100	61	144	25	58	162	28	48	115	20	58	19	3	74	9	2	56	94	16	77
	1999	283	100	60	107	38	59	54	19	57	68	24	57	14	5	71	10	4	40	20	7	50
	2000	559	100	58	135	24	60	122	22	39	154	28	58	11	2	100	21	4	67	79	14	67
	2001	233	100	70	73	31	75	68	29	72	33	14	58	21	9	71	1	0	100	25	11	60
	2002	657	100	68	245	37	76	155	24	54	136	21	54	8	1	63	10	2	40	77	12	77
	2003	178	100	63	22	12	55	62	35	48	47	26	70	9	5	89	5	3	40	24	13	75
	2004	712	100	63	179	25	75	258	36	47	144	20	64	11	2	73	14	2	29	72	10	79
	2005	295	100	64	57	19	74	111	38	58	59	20	54	11	4	82	7	2	43	35	12	89
	2006	556	100	62	185	33	59	142	26	56	123	22	63	17	3	29	22	4	36	39	7	82
	2007	179	100	72	22	12	55	61	34	74	40	22	58	13	7	100	1	1	0	38	21	79
	2008	593	100	75	201	34	82	188	32	67	123	21	65	12	2	58	11	2	91	39	7	90
	2009	193	100	63	6	3	33	99	51	67	35	18	63	13	7	69	3	2	33	20	10	60
	2010	482	100	67	97	20	70	164	34	60	117	24	67	27	6	78	11	2	55	50	10	76
	2011	172	100	72	10	6	80	75	44	67	29	17	72	16	9	75	2	1	0	31	18	81
	2012	530	100	72	156	29	81	178	34	69	112	21	62	14	3	93	2	0	50	51	10	63
	2013	137	100	72	11	8	73	65	47	78	34	25	50	8	6	88	4	3	100	11	8	73
	2014	577	100	73	193	33	81	175	30	69	81	14	72	7	1	57	14	2	57	72	12	76
2015	120	100	74	13	11	77	47	39	79	20	17	75	14	12	100	9	8	22	11	9	64	
2016	872	100	79	294	34	91	279	32	73	138	16	72	10	1	90	37	4	41	86	10	83	
2017	113	100	63	8	7	38	73	65	64	20	18	65	1	1	100	3	3	0	7	6	86	
2018	704	100	80	182	26	81	329	47	84	98	14	66	9	1	89	11	2	36	58	8	93	
2019	67	100	79	3	4	100	43	64	88	15	22	67				4	6	0	1	1	100	

TREND TABLE A NUMBER OF BALLOT MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TYPE, JURISDICTION, AND YEAR (CONTINUED)

	ALL MEASURES			BONDS			TAXES			ORDINANCE			RECALLS			INITIATIVES			CHARTER AMENDMENT			
	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	
County Measures	1995	17	7	53			6	35	33	2	12	0				6	35	83				
	1996	114	20	44	3	3	33	34	30	26	41	36	54	5	4	80	7	6	14	17	15	47
	1997	24	7	63	7	29	57	7	29	71	4	17	100	2	8	50				4	17	25
	1998	125	22	59	1	1	0	53	42	40	32	26	75				4	3	25	25	20	76
	1999	38	13	63	1	3	100	21	55	48	8	21	63							4	11	100
	2000	116	21	49	6	5	83	51	44	27	28	24	50				8	7	88	8	7	38
	2001	37	16	73	3	8	100	14	38	71	11	30	64	4	11	75				1	3	0
	2002	98	15	56	5	5	20	38	39	45	39	40	67	1	1	0	2	2	50	7	7	71
	2003	28	16	64				12	43	25	15	54	100	1	4	0						
	2004	140	20	54	0	2	0	60	43	45	47	34	62	1	1	0	4	3	25	18	13	56
	2005	57	19	63	3	5	67	24	42	67	16	28	56	3	5	100	3	5	67	2	4	50
	2006	95	17	52				45	47	40	30	32	60	4	4	25	2	2	50	6	6	83
	2007	29	16	76	1	3	100	3	10	67	16	55	63	8	28	100						
	2008	90	15	62	3	3	100	33	37	42	40	44	65	1	1	100	2	2	100	4	4	100
	2009	16	8	69				4	25	50	6	38	67	1	6	100				2	13	100
	2010	64	13	53	3	5	67	25	39	48	22	34	59	4	6	50	2	3	50	6	9	50
	2011	20	12	80	1	5	100	7	35	71	4	20	75	2	10	100				3	15	67
	2012	76	14	63	1	1	100	39	51	59	20	26	60	1	1	100	2	3	50	10	13	70
	2013	12	9	75				5	42	40				1	8	100	3	25	100	1	8	100
	2014	84	15	57	4	5	100	33	39	36	19	23	74	1	1	0	8	10	50	12	14	92
2015	34	28	62	1	3	100	11	32	45	8	24	88	5	15	100	4	12	0	1	3	100	
2016	151	17	59	4	3	100	68	45	56	38	25	66	3	2	100	12	8	25	17	11	59	
2017	14	12	57				11	79	55	3	21	67										
2018	102	14	75	3	3	67	72	71	78	11	11	82	1	1	100	2	2	0	8	8	75	
2019	16	24	88	1	6	100	10	63	90	3	19	67							1	6	100	

TREND TABLE A NUMBER OF BALLOT MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TYPE, JURISDICTION, AND YEAR (CONTINUED)

										ORDINANCE			RECALLS			INITIATIVES			CHARTER AMENDMENT			
	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	
City Measures	1995	119	47	71	4	3	75	7	6	29	38	32	58				7	6	43			94
	1996			60	10		30	100		43	115	31	59	24	6	79	11	3	55	98	26	78
	1997	144	42	58	2	1	50	70	49	50	28	19	54	9	6	22	7	5	71 ⁴⁹	27 ⁴¹		89
	1998			60	9		78	99		47	78	28	53	7	2	43	5	2	80	69	24	77
	1999	114	40	54	4	4	75	22	19	55	48	42	48	8	7	100		9	40	16 ¹⁹		38
	2000			60	11		82	65		45	113	38	56	6	2	100	13	4	54	71	24	70
	2001	93	40	69	8	9	63	31	33	74	18	19	61	3	3	100 ¹⁰	1	1	100	24 ¹⁴	26	63
	2002			60	12		83	102		58	94	30	48	5	2	60	8	3	38	70	23	77
	2003	89	50	67	2	2	50	14	16	71	29	33	55	6	7	100	5	6	40	24		75
	2004			59	7		43	147		46	92	27	63	6	2	67	10	3	30	54	16	87
	2005	135	46	61	2	1	0	47	35	55	37	27	51	3	2	33	4	3	25	33 ²⁷		91
	2006			64	10		50	82		70	85	34	61	6	2	17	20	8	35	33	13	82
	2007	108	60	71	2	2	0	40	37	73	19	18	53		5	100		1	0	38 ²⁴	35	79
	2008			73	5		100	111		71	80	31	65	8	3	38	9	3	89	35	14	89
	2009	130	67	61	1	1	0	63	48	68	28	22	61 ⁵	3	2	33 ¹	3	2	33	18		56
	2010			71	2		0	95		69	91	34	67	16	6	94	8	3	63	44	16	80
	2011	105	61	74				37	35	65	23	22	70		10	100	2	2	0	28 ¹⁴		82
	2012			67	5		20	93		72	83	33	58	12	5	92				41	17	61
	2013	90	66	68				44	49	77	30	33	53 ¹⁰	3	3	67	1	1	100	10 ²⁷		70
	2014			71	5		100	121		72	60	21	70	5	2	60	6	2	67	60	21	73
2015	54	45	70	2	4	0	23	43	87	12	22	67				5	9	40	10 ¹¹		60	
2016			77	9		67	174		79	96	24	74	1	0	100	25	6	48	69	18	88	
2017	81	72	65	2	2	50	50	62	66	17	21	65		1	100		4	0	7 ¹⁹	9	86	
2018			80	9		56	224		86	84	21	63	5	1	80	9	2	44	46	12	96	
2019	41	61	76	1	2	100	24	59	92	12	29	67 ¹			3	4	10	100				

TREND TABLE A NUMBER OF BALLOT MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TYPE, JURISDICTION, AND YEAR (CONTINUED)

	ALL MEASURES			BONDS			TAXES			ORDINANCE			RECALLS			INITIATIVES			CHARTER AMENDMENT			
	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	
School District Measures	1995	117	46	52	87	74	46	13	11	38	6	5	100	8	7	88	1	1	100			
	1996	85	15	62	51	60	67	8	9	63	20	24	60	3	4	0						
	1997	174	51	62	118	68	59	23	13	70	13	7	92	18	10	44						
	1998	164	29	62	134	82	57	10	6	100	5	3	40	12	7	92						
	1999	131	46	62	102	78	58	11	8	82	12	9	92	6	5	33						
	2000	146	26	63	118	81	57	6	4	67	13	9	92	5	3	100						
	2001	103	44	71	62	60	76	23	22	70	4	4	25	14	14	64						
	2002	250	38	76	228	91	77	15	6	53	3	1	100	2	1	100						
	2003	61	34	52	20	33	55	36	59	47	3	5	67	2	3	100						
	2004	235	33	73	172	73	77	51	22	53	5	2	100	4	2	100						
	2005	103	35	69	52	50	77	40	39	55	6	6	67	5	5	100						
	2006	208	37	58	175	84	59	15	7	27	8	4	88	7	3	43						
	2007	42	23	67	19	45	58	18	43	78	5	12	60									
	2008	245	41	80	193	79	81	44	18	75	3	1	67	3	1	100						
	2009	47	24	66	5	11	40	32	68	66	1	2	100	9	19	78						
	2010	148	31	64	92	62	72	44	30	45	4	3	100	7	5	57	1	1	0			
	2011	47	27	64	9	19	78	31	66	68	2	4	100	4	9	0						
	2012	206	39	82	150	73	83	46	22	72	9	4	100	1	0	100						
	2013	35	26	80	11	31	73	16	46	94	4	11	25	4	11	100						
	2014	208	36	83	184	88	80	21	10	100	2	1	100	1	0	100						
2015	32	27	94	10	31	90	13	41	92				9	28	100							
2016	328	38	90	281	86	92	37	11	78	4	0	0	6	2	83							
2017	18	16	56	6	33	33	12	67	67													
2018	211	30	84	170	81	82	31	15	87	3	0	0	3	1	100				4	2	100	
2019	10	15	80	1	10	100	9	90	78													

TREND TABLE B NUMBER OF BALLOT MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TOPIC, JURISDICTION, AND YEAR (CONTINUED)

	ALL MEASURES			EDUCATION			GOVERNANCE			LAND USE			PUBLIC SAFETY			PUBLIC FACILITIES			GENERAL SERVICES			TRANSPORTATION			REVENUE			
	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	
	All Measures	1995	253	100	61	121	48	54	63	25	84	16	6	63	12	5	50	14	6	50				2	1	0	5	2
1996		573	100	57	87	15	64	214	37	66	54	9	56	39	7	51	38	7	37	10	2	40	8	1	50	87	15	46
1997		342	100	60	175	51	62	43	13	67	19	6	68	12	4	42	15	4	40	38	11	61	4	1	50	10	3	70
1998		572	100	60	158	28	63	131	23	64	46	8	70	41	7	49	32	6	56	28	5	82	23	4	70	75	13	43
1999		283	100	59	119	42	59	62	22	63	29	10	41	14	5	57	4	1	75	14	5	57	8	3	88	23	8	65
2000		559	100	59	151	27	63	141	25	64	73	13	55	32	6	50	39	7	67	20	4	55	21	4	43	5	1	20
2001		233	100	70	105	45	71	46	20	67	7	3	71	11	5	73	19	8	58	7	3	71	4	2	25	31	13	87
2002		657	100	65	250	38	76	144	22	66	44	7	43	42	6	57	35	5	49	20	3	60	10	2	40	85	13	62
2003		178	100	62	61	34	52	52	29	73	15	8	60	12	7	50	5	3	60	6	3	100	8	4	38	13	7	62
2004		712	100	62	238	33	72	139	20	73	58	8	52	55	8	47	37	5	38	23	3	70	25	4	76	110	15	47
2005		295	100	64	102	35	70	61	21	70	28	9	39	18	6	44	14	5	64	18	6	67	13	4	62	33	11	70
2006		556	100	60	208	37	58	109	20	60	51	9	61	37	7	73	22	4	41	12	2	58	22	4	50	61	11	62
2007		179	100	71	42	23	67	63	35	81	18	10	39	5	3	100	8	4	88	7	4	86	4	2	25	31	17	68
2008		593	100	74	246	41	80	99	17	74	43	7	72	39	7	49	32	5	66	10	2	80	14	2	50	92	16	77
2009		193	100	63	47	24	66	42	22	64	17	9	47	10	5	60	7	4	86	8	4	25	2	1	0	56	29	71
2010		482	100	66	149	31	64	138	29	74	30	6	47	27	6	67	12	2	75	9	2	56	7	1	71	95	20	65
2011		172	100	72	48	28	65	59	34	81	8	5	75	9	5	56	5	3	100	4	2	50	2	1	50	34	20	74
2012		530	100	72	209	39	82	98	18	71	23	4	57	13	2	38	23	4	57	11	2	73	12	2	50	99	19	79
2013		137	100	72	35	26	80	28	20	71	14	10	50	9	7	67				4	3	50	4	3	50	39	28	79
2014		577	100	73	208	36	83	114	20	75	45	8	60	33	6	70	20	3	85	13	2	85	19	3	47	104	18	64
2015	120	100	74	32	27	94	23	19	83	17	14	35	8	7	50	5	4	60	2	2	100	3	3	33	21	18	86	
2016	872	100	79	331	38	90	116	13	78	99	11	61	53	6	70	30	3	50	26	3	54	29	3	48	150	17	85	
2017	113	100	63	18	16	56	13	12	85	13	12	54	15	13	40	5	4	60	6	5	67	4	4	25	36	32	81	
2018	704	100	80	206	29	83	114	16	80	55	8	53	35	5	74	13	2	62	123	17	92	24	3	79	102	14	87	
2019	67	100	81	10	15	80	9	13	89	8	12	38	13	19	92	2	3	50	1	1	100	3	4	100	17	25	94	

TREND TABLE B NUMBER OF BALLOT MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TOPIC, JURISDICTION, AND YEAR (CONTINUED)

				EDUCATION			GOVERNANCE			LAND USE			PUBLIC SAFETY			PUBLIC FACILITIES			GENERAL SERVICES			TRANSPORTATION			REVENUE		
	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing
	1995																										
1996	114	20	44	1	1	100	44	39	59	12	11	33	8	7	38	16	14	13	1	1	100	4	4	75	16	14	31
1997				1	4	100	5	21	60	3	13	100	2	8	0	5	21	40	5	21	80	1	4	100	1	4	0
1998	125	22	59				25	20	76	13	10	62	14	11	36	12	10	33	18	14	72	16	13	75	12	10	25
1999							5	13	80				3	8	33	3	8	67	7	18	29	8	21	88	7	18	86
2000	116	21	49	1	1	100	22	19	64	17	15	35	14	12	36	16	14	44	8	7	63	16	14	44	3	3	33
2001				2	5	100	12	32	58	1	3	100	7	19	100	6	16	67	4	11	75	1	3	0	4	11	75
2002	98	15	56				34	35	71	7	7	71	15	15	33	11	11	36	7	7	57	5	5	40	12	12	67
2003							10	36	90				5	18	40				2	7	100	2	7	50	6	21	17
2004	140	20	54	3	2	33	32	23	66	14	10	14	22	16	50	13	9	54	4	3	50	21	15	76	17	12	41
2005							12	21	67	6	11	33	6	11	33	8	14	75	9	16	78	9	16	78	4	7	50
2006	95	17	52				28	29	54	10	11	70	11	12	55	8	8	38	2	2	50	15	16	40	12	13	33
2007							14	48	93	5	17	0	0	0					2	7	100	2	7	50			
2008	90	15	62	1	1	100	25	28	76	7	8	86	14	16	43	14	16	50	4	4	75	7	8	57	11	12	64
2009							7	44	86	3	19	33	0	0								1	6	0	2	13	50
2010	64	13	53				23	36	57	6	9	50	12	19	50	2	3	100	1	2	0	5	8	80	11	17	45
2011	20	12	80	1	5	100	10	50	80				5	25	60	1	5	100				1	5	100	2	10	100
2012	76	14	63	1	1	100	19	25	74	7	9	43	7	9	43	12	16	67	1	1	100	5	7	40	18	24	72
2013	12	9	75				4	33	100	2	17	100	2	17	50							3	25	33			
2014	84	15	57				20	24	85	15	18	60	10	12	50	9	11	78	3	4	33	11	13	27	9	11	22
2015							12	35	83	4	12	25	5	15	40	2	6	50	1	3	100	3	9	33	2	6	50
2016	151	17	59				28	19	54	44	29	34	21	14	57	7	5	57	12	8	58	18	12	33	19	13	74
2017							3	21	67				1	7	0	2	14	100	3	21	67	3	21	33	2	14	50
2018	102	14	75	1	1	100	15	15	80	12	12	58	9	9	67	4	4	75	14	14	86	18	18	89	20	20	70
2019	16	24	88				3	19	100				7	44	86							3	19		1	6	100

County Measures

TREND TABLE B NUMBER OF BALLOT MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TOPIC, JURISDICTION, AND YEAR (CONTINUED)

	ALL MEASURES			EDUCATION			GOVERNANCE			LAND USE			PUBLIC SAFETY			PUBLIC FACILITIES			GENERAL SERVICES			TRANSPORTATION			REVENUE			
	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	
City Measures	1995	119	47	71	4	3	100	56	47	86	13	11	69	12	10	50	11	9	45				1	1	0	2	2	100
	1996	374	65	60	2	1	100	170	45	68	42	11	62	31	8	55	22	6	55	8	2	38	4	1	25	71	19	49
	1997	144	42	58				38	26	68	16	11	63	10	7	50	10	7	40	33	23	58	3	2	33	9	6	78
	1998	283	49	60				101	36	62	33	12	73	27	10	56	20	7	70	10	4	100	7	2	57	62	22	47
	1999	114	40	54				45	39	53	29	25	41	11	10	64	1	1	100	7	6	86				16	14	56
	2000	297	53	60	7	2	71	119	40	64	56	19	61	18	6	61	23	8	83	12	4	50	5	2	40	2	1	0
	2001	93	40	69	3	3	0	33	35	73	6	6	67	4	4	25	11	12	64	3	3	67	3	3	33	27	29	89
	2002	309	47	60	1	0	0	110	36	65	37	12	38	27	9	70	24	8	54	13	4	62	5	2	40	72	23	63
	2003	89	50	67				42	47	69	15	17	60	7	8	57	5	6	60	4	4	100	6	7	33	7	8	100
	2004	337	47	59	2	1	100	107	32	75	44	13	64	33	10	45	24	7	29	19	6	74	4	1	75	91	27	47
	2005		46	61				48	36	73	22	16	41	12	9	50	6	4	50	9	7	56	4	3	25	29	21	72
	2006	253	46	64				81	32	62	41	16	59	26	10	81	14	6	43	10	4	60	7	3	71	49	19	69
	2007	108	60	71				49	45	78	13	12	54	5	5	100	3	3	67	5	5	80	2	2	0	31	29	68
	2008	258	44	73				74	29	73	36	14	69	25	10	52	18	7	78	6	2	83	7	3	43	81	31	79
	2009	130	67	61				35	27	60	14	11	50	10	8	60	4	3	75	8	6	25	1	1	0	54	42	72
	2010	270	56	71	1	0	100	115	43	77				15	6	80	10	4	70	8	3	63	2	1	50	84	31	68
	2011	107	62	75				51	48	82	8	7	75	4	4	50	4	4	100	4	4	50	1	1	0	32	30	72
	2012	248	47	67	2	1	100	89	36	63	16	6	63	6	2	33	11	4	45	10	4	70	7	3	57	81	33	80
	2013	90	66	68				24	27	67	12	13	42	7	8	71				4	4	50	1	1	100	39	43	79
	2014	285	49	71				94	33	72	30	11	60	23	8	78	11	4	91	10	4	100	8	3	75	95	33	68
2015	54	45	70				11	20	82	13	24	38	3	6	67	3	6	67	1	2	100				19	35	89	
2016	393	45	77	3	1	67	88	22	85	70	18	64	32	8	78	23	6	48	14	4	50	11	3	73	131	33	86	
2017	81	72	65				10	12	90	13	16	54	14	17	43	3	4	33	3	4	67	1	1	0	34	42	82	
2018	391	56	80	2	1	50	92	24	78	43	11	51	26	7	77	9	2	56	109	28	93	9	2	56	85	22	88	
2019	41	61	76				6	15	83	8	20	38	6	15	100	2	5	50	1	2	100				16	39	94	

TREND TABLE C COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT, AND COUNTY SERVICE AREA MEASURES BY COUNTY

	1998			1999			2000			2001			2002		
	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing
Alpine															
Butte	2	67	100				1	100	100						
Calaveras															
Colusa															
Contra Costa	2	67	50				4	80	25	1	100	100	2	100	50
El Dorado	2	12	50				7	78	29				1	50	100
Fresno	1	50	100												
Humboldt															
Imperial															
Inyo							1	50	100						
Kern	6	100	50				2	100	0	4	100	75			
Lake															
Lassen							1	33	0				4	80	25
Los Angeles															
Marin				4	100	100	5	100	80	10	91	90	3	100	100
Mendocino				1	100	0							1	100	0
Modoc															
Monterey													1	100	0
Nevada							1	100	100						
Orange	1	100	100												
Placer	1	33	100												
Plumas							1	100	100	2	100	100			
Riverside	2	40	50	8	100	38				2	100	0	2	67	50
Sacramento	2	40	100				3	75	33						
San Bernardino	2	100	50				3	100	67				1	100	0
San Diego	10	83	30				3	60	33	1	100	100	2	40	0
San Joaquin															
San Luis Obispo				5	100	100	1	33	0				1	50	100
San Mateo															
Santa Barbara										1	100	0			
Santa Cruz															
Shasta							1	100	0						
Siskiyou							2	100	100						
Sonoma							1	20	100	1	100	100			
Stanislaus															
Sutter				1	100	0									
Trinity															
Tulare															
Tuolumne				1	100	0	1	33	0						
Yolo															
Yuba							2	67	50						
Total for CSD/CSA Measure Over All Counties	31	25	55	20	53	60	40	34	48	22	59	77	18	18	44

TREND TABLE C COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT, AND COUNTY SERVICE AREA MEASURES BY COUNTY (CONTINUED)

	2003			2004			2005			2006			2007		
	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing
Alpine															
Butte															
Calaveras							3	100	100				1	50	0
Colusa															
Contra Costa				3	60	67	3	100	100	1	33	100	2	100	100
El Dorado	6	100	17	2	20	100	14	88	64	2	100	0	3	100	100
Fresno															
Humboldt				1	25	100	2	100	0						
Imperial							1	50	100						
Inyo															
Kern				3	100	33				1	33	100			
Lake				1	100	0									
Lassen				1	100	100				1	100	0			
Los Angeles															
Marin	1	100	100				2	100	100	4	100	100	1	100	100
Mendocino				1	50	100									
Modoc															
Monterey															
Nevada															
Orange	1	100	100												
Placer															
Plumas	1	50	100												
Riverside	2	100	0	2	100	100									
Sacramento															
San Bernardino	1	100	0	1	50	0				1	33	100			
San Diego				3	33	33				1	20	100			
San Joaquin													1	100	100
San Luis Obispo				4	67	50	4	100	100	5	83	40			
San Mateo				1	14	100									
Santa Barbara										1	25	0			
Santa Cruz							1	100	0						
Shasta															
Siskiyou				5	83	0				2	100	0			
Sonoma	1	100	100							1	50	0			
Stanislaus										1	50	0			
Sutter										1	100	0			
Trinity										2	100	100			
Tulare				1	100	100	1	100	100						
Tuolumne															
Yolo															
Yuba				1	25	0									
Total for CSD/CSA Measure Over All Counties	13	46	38	30	21	50	31	54	74	24	25	50	8	28	88

TREND TABLE C COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT, AND COUNTY SERVICE AREA MEASURES BY COUNTY (CONTINUED)

	2008			2009			2010			2011			2012		
	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing
Alpine															
Butte															
Calaveras													1	50	100
Colusa															
Contra Costa	3	100	67				1	50	100	2	100	100	1	100	100
El Dorado	1	50	0	1	100	0	3	75	67				1	50	0
Fresno										2	100	100			
Humboldt	1	100	0							1	100	100			
Imperial															
Inyo															
Kern							1	100	0						
Lake	1	100	100												
Lassen													1	100	0
Los Angeles															
Marin	3	75	100	1	100	100	7	88	43	3	100	100	1	50	100
Mendocino													1	33	0
Modoc															
Monterey															
Nevada													1	100	0
Orange															
Placer	1	33	0										1	100	0
Plumas										1	100	0			
Riverside							1	25	0						
Sacramento	1	100	100												
San Bernardino	1	50	100	1	100	100				1	100	100			
San Diego															
San Joaquin															
San Luis Obispo													1	50	100
San Mateo	1	25	100										1	100	100
Santa Barbara				1	100	100							1	14	100
Santa Cruz															
Shasta				1	100	100	2	100	0						
Siskiyou	3	75	33												
Sonoma													1	100	0
Stanislaus	1	25	0												
Sutter															
Trinity															
Tulare															
Tuolumne	1	100	100										1	100	100
Yolo				1	100	100							1	100	0
Yuba															
Total for CSD/CSA Measure Over All Counties	18	20	61	6	38	83	15	23	40	10	50	90	14	18	50

TREND TABLE C COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT, AND COUNTY SERVICE AREA MEASURES BY COUNTY (CONTINUED)

	2013			2014			2015			2016			2017		
	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing
Alpine															
Butte															
Calaveras										1	20	0	2	100	50
Colusa													1	0	0
Contra Costa										1	33	100			
El Dorado	2	100	50	5	45	60	5	83	40	4	50	0	2	100	0
Fresno															
Humboldt	1	100	100												
Imperial				2	100	0									
Inyo															
Kern	1	25	0				1	50	100	1	50	0			
Lake															
Lassen				3	75	33	2	100	100	2	67	100			
Los Angeles	1	2	100							4	67	100			
Marin	1	11	100	1	25	100	2	22	100				1	100	0
Mendocino															
Modoc				2	0	0	2	100	0	2	100	100			
Monterey													1	100	0
Nevada				1	50	0							1	100	100
Orange															
Placer															
Plumas							4	100	100	1	100	100			
Riverside															
Sacramento															
San Bernardino	1	9	0				2	40	0	3	100	67	1	100	100
San Diego				1	100	0				1	33	0			
San Joaquin															
San Luis Obispo							1	100	100						
San Mateo										1	33	100			
Santa Barbara										2	67	50			
Santa Cruz															
Shasta															
Siskiyou				1	33	0				2	29	50			
Sonoma															
Stanislaus															
Sutter															
Trinity															
Tulare															
Tuolumne															
Yolo															
Yuba															
Total for CSD/CSA Measure Over All Counties	7	58	57	16	19	38	19	56	12	25	17	60	9	64	44

**TREND TABLE C COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT, AND
COUNTY SERVICE AREA MEASURES BY COUNTY
(CONTINUED)**

	2018			2019		
	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing
Alpine				1	13	100
Butte						
Calaveras				1	13	100
Colusa						
Contra Costa	3	5	67	1	13	100
El Dorado	6	14	83			
Fresno						
Humboldt						
Imperial				2	25	50
Inyo						
Kern						
Lake	1	3	100			
Lassen						
Los Angeles						
Marin	1	3	100			
Mendocino						
Modoc						
Monterey						
Nevada						
Orange						
Placer						
Plumas				1	13	100
Riverside						
Sacramento						
San Bernardino						
San Diego	2	6	50			
San Joaquin						
San Luis Obispo	1	3	0	1	13	100
San Mateo						
Santa Barbara						
Santa Cruz						
Shasta						
Siskiyou						
Sonoma				1	13	100
Stanislaus						
Sutter						
Trinity						
Tulare	1	1	0			
Tuolumne	1	3	100			
Yolo						
Yuba						
Total for CSD/CSA Measure Over All Counties	16	16	75	8	100	88

TREND TABLE D NUMBER OF COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT, AND COUNTY SERVICE AREA MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL COUNTY MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TYPE, AND YEAR

	ALL CSD/CSA			TAXES			BONDS			ADVISORY			RECALLS			GANN LIMIT			ORDINANCE		
	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate
1998	31	25	55	22	18	45									1	1	0	8	6	88	
1999	20	53	60	16	42	56									3	8	100	1	3	0	
2000	40	34	48	28	24	29	1	1	100	3	3	67			6	5	100	2	2	100	
2001	22	59	77	12	32	75	2	5	100				3	8	100	3	8	100	2	5	0
2002	18	18	44	14	14	36									4	4	75				
2003	13	46	38	11	39	27												2	7	100	
2004	30	21	50	24	17	42				1	1	100			2	1	100	3	2	67	
2005	31	54	74	23	40	65	2	4	100				3	5	100	1	2	100	2	4	100
2006	24	25	50	15	16	47							4	4	25	1	1	100	4	4	75
2007	8	28	88	3	10	67							3	10	100	1	3	100	1	3	100
2008	18	20	61	11	12	45				1	1	0				4	100	2	2	100	
2009	6	38	83	3	19	67							1	6	100	1	6	100	1	6	100
2010	15	23	40	11	17	36							4	6	50						
2011	10	50	90	4	20	75				1	5	100	2	10	100	2	10	100	1	5	100
2012	14	18	50	11	14	36							1	1	100				2	3	100
2013	7	58	57	5	42	40				1	0	100				1	8	100			
2014	16	19	38	13	15	31	2	0	100							1	1	0			
2015	19	56	63	9	26	56				2	0	0	5	15	100	1	3	100	2	6	50
2016	25	17	60	17	11	47				1	1	0	2	1	100	1	1	100	4	3	100
2017	9	64	44	6	43	33													3	21	67
2018	16	16	75	14	14	71										1	1	100	1	1	100
2019	8	50	88	7	44	86										1	6	100			
1998-2019	402	28	58	281	19	48	7	0	100	10	1	50	28	2	82	35	2	91	41	3	80

TREND TABLE E COMPARISON OF PASS RATES FOR COUNTY-WIDE, AND COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT/ COUNTY SERVICE AREA TAX MEASURES BY YEAR

	Total Number of County Measures	NON-CSD/CSA COUNTY-WIDE MEASURES		CSD/CSA MEASURES		NON-CSD/CSA COUNTY-WIDE TAX MEASURES		CSD/CSA COUNTY TAX MEASURES	
		Number of Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	Percent Passing
1998	125	94	61	31	55	31	35	22	45
1999	38	18	67	20	60	5	20	16	56
2000	116	76	50	40	48	23	26	28	29
2001	37	15	67	22	77	2	50	12	75
2002	98	80	59	18	44	24	50	14	36
2003	28	15	87	13	38	1	0	11	27
2004	140	110	55	30	50	36	47	24	42
2005	57	26	50	31	74	1	100	23	65
2006	95	71	52	24	50	30	37	15	47
2007	29	21	71	8	88	0	0	3	67
2008	90	72	63	18	61	22	0	11	45
2009	16	10	60	6	83	1	0	3	67
2010	64	49	57	15	40	14	57	11	36
2011	20	10	70	10	90	3	67	4	75
2012	76	62	66	14	50	28	68	11	36
2013	12	5	100	7	57			5	40
2014	84	68	62	16	38	20	40	13	31
2015	34	15	60	19	63	2	0	9	56
2016	151	126	59	25	60	51	59	17	47
2017	14	5	80	9	44	5	80	6	33
2018	102	86	24	16	75	58	79	14	71
2019	16	8	88	8	88	2	100	7	86
1998-2019	1,442	1,042	57	402	58	359	52	281	48

TREND TABLE F NUMBER OF COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT, AND COUNTY SERVICE AREA MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL COUNTY MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TOPIC, AND YEAR

Year	Community Service District			County Service Area			County Service Area			County Service Area			County Service Area			County Service Area			County Service Area								
	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Percent Passing						
1998	31	25	55				12	10	42				2	67	2	2	50	1	1	0	8	6	88	4	3	25	
2000	40	34	48	2	2	0	10	9	30	2	2	100				5	17	5	4	40	5	4	60	1	1	100	
2002	18	18	44				11	11	45	3	3	67						3	3	33	1	1	0				
2004	30	21	50				17	12	47	1	1	0				3	50	4	3	50	1	1	0	2	1	100	
2006	24	25	50				7	7	71	7	7	43				2	50	3	3	0	2	2	50	3	3	67	
2008	18	20	61				8	9	50	2	2	100				2	2	50				1	0	5	6	80	
2010	15	23	40				7	11	43	4	6	50				1	2	0									
2012	14	18	50	1	1	100	6	8	33	2	3	100				2	3	50	3	4	33						
2014	16	19	38				7	8	29	2	2	100				3	4	0	2	2	100				2	2	0
				1	3	0										3	9	33	2	6	50	1	3	100			
2016	25	17	60	1	1	0	10	7	70	6	4	100				3	2	0				1	1	100	4	3	25
																3	21	33	1	7	100	1	7	0			
2018	16	16	88				5	5	60	2	2	100				7	7	71							2	2	100
							7	44	86							1	6	100									
				7	0	14	131	9		62	4	82	6	0	33	56	4	48	39	3	54	41	3	66	49	3	57

TREND TABLE G NUMBER OF CANDIDATES BY JURISDICTION, AND YEAR

	NUMBER OF CANDIDATES			
	ALL CANDIDATES	COUNTY CANDIDATES	CITY CANDIDATES	SCHOOL DISTRICT CANDIDATES
1995	2,354	0	732	1,622
1996	5,330	667	2,141	2,522
1997	2,476	23	736	1,717
1998	5,354	1,037	1,893	2,424
1999	2,274	135	724	1,415
	5,012	796	2,166	2,050
2001	2,505	189	688	1,628
2002	5,896	1,266	2,188	2,442
2003	2,086	205	566	1,315
2004	5,035	782	2,212	2,041
2005	2,546	167	979	1,400
2006	5,498	1,136	2,132	2,230
2007	2,021	207	811	1,003
2008	5,237	782	2,282	2,173
2009	2,066	143	863	1,060
	6,022	1,177	2,321	2,524
2011	1,602	138	734	730
2012	5,208	776	2,332	2,100
2013	1,688	152	818	768
2014	5,675	1,204	2,172	2,299
2015	1,321	114	607	600
2016	5,118	723	2,361	2,034
2017	763	78	494	191
2018	6,526	1,176	2,700	2,650
2019	304	43	193	68
Total	89,917	13,116	35,845	41,006

*We excluded runoffs from totals.

TREND TABLE H NUMBER OF CANDIDATES FOR MAJOR COUNTY OFFICES BY YEAR

	Total Number of Candidates	Number of County Candidates	COUNTY SUPERVISOR CANDIDATES		CSD/CSA CANDIDATES	
			Number of Candidates	% of County Candidates	Number of Candidates	% of County Candidates
1995	2,354	0	0	0	*	*
1996	5,330	667	470	70	*	*
1997	2,476	23	19	83	*	*
1998	5,354	1,037	309	30	22	2
1999	2,274	135	5	4	109	81
	5,012	796	441	55	174	22
2001	2,505	189	0	0	186	98
2002	5,896	1,266	306	24	127	10
2003	2,086	205	10	5	175	85
2004	5,035	782	447	57	125	16
2005	2,546	167	4	2	155	93
2006	5,498	1,136	310	27	160	14
2007	2,021	207	10	5	161	78
2008	5,237	782	441	56	174	22
2009	2,066	143	0	0	141	99
	6,022	1,177	331	28	170	14
2011	1,602	138	6	4	103	75
2012	5,208	776	460	59	200	26
2013	1,688	152	11	7	138	91
2014	5,675	1,204	317	26	244	20
2015	1,321	114	5	4	94	82
2016	5,118	723	431	60	158	22
2017	763	78	0	0	78	100
2018	6,526	1,176	324	28	192	16
2019	304	43	14	33	15	35
Total	89,917	13,116	4,671	36	3,101	24

*The California Elections Data Archive did not collect information on CSD/CSA candidates until 1998.

**We excluded runoffs from totals.

TREND TABLE I PERCENT OF INCUMBENT CANDIDATES, AND PERCENT OF PREVAILING INCUMBENTS BY MAJOR OFFICE, JURISDICTION, AND YEAR

	PERCENT OF CANDIDATES WHO ARE INCUMBENTS				PERCENTAGE OF INCUMBENTS WHO WIN				PERCENTAGE OF WINNING CANDIDATES WHO ARE INCUMBENTS			
	% of All Candidates	% of County Supervisor Candidates	% of City Council Candidates	% of School District Candidates	% of All Candidates	% of County Supervisor Candidates	% of City Council Candidates	% of School District Candidates	% of All Candidates	% of County Supervisor Candidates	% of City Council Candidates	% of School District Candidates
1995	27	--	18	30	79	--	79	78	50	--	41	51
1996	27	24	23	28	79	75	74	78	48	51	41	47
1997	30	5	23	33	76	0	79	74	49	0	45	50
1998	32	30	26	32	86	87	82	83	57	63	48	53
1999	30	0	23	32	78	--	81	77	51	0	45	52
2000	30	30	27	32	79	90	80	74	52	73	51	49
2001	30	--	24	32	78	--	80	77	50	--	51	50
2002	34	34	27	36	82	81	79	79	57	63	50	56
2003	31	0	22	35	78	--	72	79	51	0	40	55
2004	33	28	28	37	81	81	81	76	55	59	51	57
2005	31	0	23	36	80	--	80	78	52	0	50	52
2006	35	29	29	36	82	90	78	78	56	68	51	55
2007	31	0	27	33	77	--	79	75	50	0	54	48
2008	34	30	30	38	76	86	80	70	56	61	55	54
2009	34	--	26	39	78	--	79	76	54	--	51	55
2010	35	28	29	39	82	83	82	79	59	61	56	59
2011	29	0	24	34	82	--	82	82	49	0	47	51
2012	27	28	25	30	74	78	74	71	43	58	41	43
2013	30	0	27	31	77	--	82	74	47	0	52	44
2014	35	31	30	38	77	89	77	71	54	64	51	51
2015	29	0	26	31	71	--	74	67	45	0	46	43
2016	32	31	28	34	74	82	75	68	49	63	47	46
2017	29	--	26	31	80	--	86	70	55	--	59	47
2018	34	30	27	36	76	84	72	69	52	60	45	49
2019	22	7	18	19	78	100	79	54	44	33	41	28
1995-2019	32	29	27	34	79	83	78	75	53	61	49	51

*We excluded runoffs from totals.

**2019 ELECTION SERIES SUMMARY:
ELECTION OUTCOMES FOR
COUNTY, CITY, AND SCHOOL DISTRICT
BALLOT MEASURES, AND CANDIDATES**

TABLE A SUMMARY OF OUTCOMES FOR ALL COUNTY, CITY, AND SCHOOL DISTRICT BALLOT MEASURES BY TYPE OF MEASURE, AND COUNTY, 2019

	TAXES		BONDS		CHARTER AMENDMENT		INITIATIVE		GANN LIMIT		ORDINANCE		ALL MEASURES		OTAL
	PASS	AIL	ASS	AIL	ASS	AIL	ASS	AIL	ASS	AIL	ASS	AIL	ASS	AIL	
Alameda	2	0	1	0			0	1			1	0	4	1	5
Alpine	1 ^F	0 ^P	1 ^F	0 ^P			0 ^F	1 ^P			1 ^F	0 ^P	1 ^F	0 ^T	1
Calaveras	2	0									1	0	3	0	3
Contra Costa	2	0					0	1					2	1	3
Fresno	1	0											1	0	1
Imperial	0	1							1	0			1	1	2
Los Angeles	12	2	1	0			0	1			5	0	18	3	21
Marin	4	1											4	1	5
Napa											0	1	0	1	1
Orange	2	0											2	0	2
Placer											0	2	0	2	2
Plumas	1	0											1	0	1
San Diego							0	1					0	1	1
San Francisco	1	0	1	0	1	0					2	1	5	1	6
San Luis Obispo	1	0											1	0	1
San Mateo	6	1											6	1	7
Santa Clara	1	0											1	0	1
Sonoma	1	0									1	0	2	0	2
Stanislaus	1	0									0	1	1	1	2
All Counties	38	5	3	0	1	0	0	4	1	0	10	5	53	14	67

TABLE B SUMMARY OF OUTCOMES FOR ALL COUNTY, CITY, AND SCHOOL DISTRICT BALLOT MEASURES BY TOPIC OF MEASURE AND COUNTY, 2019

	EDUCATION		FACILITIES		GENERAL SERVICES		GOVERNANCE		HOUSING		LAND USE		OTHER		REVENUE		SAFETY		TRANSPORT		ALL MEASURES		
	PASS	AIL	ASS	AIL	ASS	AIL	ASS	AIL	ASS	AIL	ASS	AIL	ASS	AIL	ASS	AIL	ASS	AIL	ASS	AIL	ASS	AIL	OTAL
Alameda	3	0									1	1									4	1	5
Alpine																	1	0			1	0	1
Calaveras							1	0							1	0			1	0	3	0	3
Contra Costa			1	0							0	1					1	0			2	1	3
Fresno					1	0															1	0	1
Imperial																	1	1			1	1	2
Los Angeles	1	1					4	0			1	0	0	1	8	1	4	0			18	3	21
Marin	2	0	0	1											2	0					4	1	5
Napa									0	1											0	1	1
Orange																	2	0			2	0	2
Placer											0	2									0	2	2
Plumas																	1	0			1	0	1
San Diego											0	1									0	1	1
San Francisco							2	0	1	0			0	1					2	0	6	0	6
San Luis Obispo																	1	0			1	0	1
San Mateo	1	1					1	0							4	0					6	1	7
Santa Clara	1	0																			1	0	1
Sonoma											1	0					1	0			2	0	2
Stanislaus							0	1							1	0					1	1	2
All Counties	8	2	1	1	1	0	8	1	1	1	3	5	0	2	16	1	12	1	3	0	54	13	67

TABLE C SUMMARY OF ELECTION OUTCOMES FOR ALL COUNTY, CITY, AND SCHOOL DISTRICT OFFICES, 2019

		COUNTY SUPERVISOR		CITY COUNCIL		SCHOOL BOARD		DIRECTOR, CSD ¹		OTHER COUNTY OFFICES		OTHER CITY OFFICES		TOTAL ^{2,3}	
		Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N
Incumbent Candidates	Win	100.0	1	78.8	26	53.8	7	77.8	7	100.0	4	100.0	7	77.6	52
	Lose	0.0	0	21.2	7	46.2	6	22.2	2	0.0	0	0.0	0	22.4	15
	Total	100.0	1	100.0	33	100.0	13	100.0	9	100.0	4	100.0	7	100.0	67
Non-Incumbent Candidates	Win	15.4	2	25.3	38	32.7	18	50.0	3	20.0	2	66.7	2	27.4	65
	Lose	84.6	11	74.7	112	67.3	37	50.0	3	80.0	8	33.3	1	72.6	172
	Total	100.0	13	100.0	150	100.0	55	100.0	6	100.0	10	100.0	3	100.0	237
Wining Candidates	Incumbent	33.3	1	40.6	26	28.0	7	70.0	7	66.7	4	77.8	7	44.4	52
	Non-Incumbent	66.7	2	59.4	38	72.0	18	30.0	3	33.3	2	22.2	2	55.6	65
	Total	100.0	3	100.0	64	100.0	25	100.0	10	100.0	6	100.0	9	100.0	117
Losing Candidates	Incumbent	0.0	0	5.9	7	14.0	6	40.0	2	0.0	0	0.0	0	8.0	15
	Non-Incumbent	100.0	11	94.1	112	86.0	37	60.0	3	100.0	8	100.0	1	92.0	172
	Total	100.0	11	100.0	119	100.0	43	100.0	5	100.0	8	100.0	1	100.0	187
All Candidates	Incumbent	7.1	1	18.0	33	19.1	13	60.0	9	28.6	4	70.0	7	22.0	67
	Non-Incumbent	92.9	13	82.0	150	80.9	55	40.0	6	71.4	10	30.0	3	78.0	237
	Total	100.0	14	100.0	183	100.0	68	100.0	15	100.0	14	100.0	10	100.0	304

¹Includes Directors of Community Service Districts and County Service Areas

²Percent may not sum to 100 due to rounding.

³Runoffs are excluded from totals.

PART 1
VOTE TOTALS, ELECTION OUTCOMES,
AND TEXT FOR COUNTY BALLOT MEASURES

TABLE 1.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2019

COUNTY	DATE	MEASURE TITLE	TYPE OF MEASURE	TOPIC OF MEASURE	VOTE IN FAVOR	TOTAL VOTE	PERCENT OF VOTE	PASS OR FAIL*
ALAMEDA	No County Measures							
ALPINE	11/5/2019	Measure B-Z1	Property Tax	Safety: Multiple Emergency Services	171	231	74.0%	Pass [†]
AMADOR	No County Measures							
BUTTE	No County Measures							
CALAVERAS	5/7/2019	Measure B	Property Tax	Transport: Roads	46	56	82.1%	Pass [†]
COLUSA	No County Measures							
CONTRA COSTA	5/7/2019	Measure C	Property Tax	Safety: Police	485	636	76.3%	Pass [†]
DEL NORTE	No County Measures							
EL DORADO	No County Measures							
FRESNO	No County Measures							
GLENN	No County Measures							
HUMBOLDT	No County Measures							
IMPERIAL	11/5/2019	Measure N	Property Tax	Safety: Fire	152	272	55.9%	Fail [†]
		Measure O	Gann Limit	Safety: Fire	154	271	56.8%	Pass
INYO	No County Measures							
KERN	No County Measures							
KINGS	No County Measures							
LAKE	No County Measures							
LASSEN	No County Measures							
LOS ANGELES	No County Measures							
MADERA	No County Measures							
MARIN	8/27/2019	Measure C	Property Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	20	21	95.2%	Pass [†]
MARIPOSA	No County Measures							
MENDOCINO	No County Measures							
MERCED	No County Measures							
MODOC	No County Measures							
MONO	No County Measures							
MONTEREY	No County Measures							
NAPA	No County Measures							
NEVADA	No County Measures							
ORANGE	No County Measures							
PLACER	No County Measures							
PLUMAS	5/7/2019	Measure A	Property Tax	Safety: Multiple Emergency Services	126	146	86.3%	Pass [†]
RIVERSIDE	No County Measures							
SACRAMENTO	No County Measures							
SAN BENITO	No County Measures							
SAN BERNARDINO	No County Measures							
SAN DIEGO	No County Measures							
SAN FRANCISCO	11/5/2019	Measure A	GO Bond	Housing: Affordable	143,055	201,029	71.2%	Pass [†]
		Measure B	Charter Amendment	Governance: Organization	152,456	194,231	78.5%	Pass
		Measure C	Ordinance	Other	36,666	201,551	18.2%	Fail

[†]Indicates measure required two-thirds of the vote of pass. [‡]Indicates measure required 55% of the vote to pass. All other county measures required a majority vote.

TABLE 1.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2019

COUNTY	DATE	MEASURE TITLE	TYPE OF MEASURE	TOPIC OF MEASURE	VOTE IN FAVOR	TOTAL VOTE	PERCENT OF VOTE	PASS OR FAIL*
SAN FRANCISCO	11/5/2019	Measure D	Business Tax	Transport	135,721	200,617	67.7%	Pass [†]
(continued)		Measure E	Ordinance	Transport: Mass Transit	151,865	199,040	76.3%	Pass
		Measure F	Ordinance	Governance: Political Reform/Term Limits	151,023	196,407	76.9%	Pass
SAN JOAQUIN	No County Measures							
SAN LUIS OBISPO	8/27/2019	Measure A-19	Property Tax	Safety: Multiple Emergency Services	1,766	2,279	77.5%	Pass [†]
SAN MATEO	5/7/2019	Measure A	Property Tax	Governance: Incorporation/Formation/Annexation	16	16	100.0%	Pass
SANTA BARBARA	No County Measures							
SANTA CLARA	No County Measures							
SANTA CRUZ	No County Measures							
SHASTA	No County Measures							
SIERRA	No County Measures							
SISKIYOU	No County Measures							
SOLANO	No County Measures							
SONOMA	11/5/2019	Measure C	Property Tax	Safety: Multiple Emergency Services	745	894	83.3%	Pass [†]
STANISLAUS	No County Measures							
SUTTER	No County Measures							
TEHAMA	No County Measures							
TRINITY	No County Measures							
TULARE	No County Measures							
TUOLUMNE	No County Measures							
VENTURA	No County Measures							
YOLO	No County Measures							
YUBA	No County Measures							

TABLE 1.2 TEXT FOR COUNTY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2019

ALPINE	11/5/2019	Measure B-Z1	Pass (2/3rds required)
To provide needed funding for emergency medical services, fire protection and fire suppression services within the expanded Zone 1 of County Service Area No. 1 according to a cost allocation formula based on established land use categories, shall all owners of taxable parcels of real property pay an annual special tax for each of the next 10 years, as provided in Resolution No. R2019-27 of the Board of Supervisors?			
CALAVERAS	5/7/2019	Measure B	Pass (2/3rds required)
Shall the measure increasing the special tax from \$75/year to \$175/year, without expiration, for taxable parcels on Appaloosa Road, Chestnut Court, Chestnut Way, Dunn, Filly, Hunter, Paint, Shetland and Welsh Roads, constituting the Appaloosa Zone of the Appaloosa Road Community Services District, thus raising approximately \$14,000 of additional revenue annually, to restore the purchasing power of funds lost to inflation since the last tax increase in 2005, and to provide additional funding for road improvements, be adopted?			
CONTRA COSTA	5/7/2019	Measure C	Pass (2/3rds required)
Shall County of Contra Costa Ordinance No. 2019-02 be approved to authorize an increase in the special tax on property located in County Service Area P-5 by \$140 per parcel, from a maximum of \$792 to a maximum of \$932 annually for parcels used for commercial recreational purposes, and from a maximum of \$330 to a maximum of \$470 annually for all other parcels, to provide additional funding for police protection services?			
IMPERIAL	11/5/2019	Measure N	Fail (2/3rds required)
Shall the Salton Community Services District be authorized to levy an annual special tax at the rate of \$10.00 for unimproved real property, \$75.00 for improved real property per taxable parcel within the District, for the purpose of providing funds for the District's Fire Department, and (ii) annual cost of living increases based on the Consumer Price Index for Riverside-San Bernardino-Ontario, California, published by the Bureau Of Labor Statistics, United State Department Of Labor for all items not to exceed 3% for a period of ten fiscal years commencing 07/01/2020?			
IMPERIAL	11/5/2019	Measure O	Pass
Shall the Salton Community Services District be authorized to increase its California Constitution article XIII B appropriations limit by the amount of revenues received in each fiscal year from the special tax for Fire Protection Services approved by the voters for a period of four fiscal years?			
MARIN	8/27/2019	Measure C	Pass (2/3rds required)
Shall Inverness Permanent Road Division No. 2 impose a special tax on parcels within the Division of \$1,417 per improved parcel and \$708 per unimproved parcel per year for 10 years and thereafter assess on all parcels \$400 per year for ongoing maintenance, with a provision for an annual increase effective July 1 in accordance with the change in the State of California Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers for the previous calendar year?			
PLUMAS	5/7/2019	Measure A	Pass (2/3rds required)
Upon a two-thirds vote of approval, shall a special tax, replacing the current special tax of \$195.00 per year which will expire on June 30, 2019, be imposed for a period of four (4) years starting July 1, 2019 for the specific purpose of emergency medical response, fire protection and prevention and hazardous materials response, and shall this tax be authorized in the amount of \$198.00 per year, with optional annual adjustments not exceeding two percent (2%), on each parcel of real property or condominium unit within the District, excluding those parcels exempt from property tax and the following parcel numbers: 108-010-004, 108-101-008, 108-101-015, 108-010-016, 108-010-017, 108-010-021, 108-010-023, 108-053-006, 108-053-007, 108-090-002, 108-141-001, 108-211-008, 108-283-004, 108-232-001, 108-241-003, 108-320-009, 108-320-035, 108-320-042, and 108-060-011, said tax to be collected along with the Plumas County property taxes, and shall the District appropriations limit (spending limit) be raised by the amount of the annual proceeds from this special tax for the period this tax is in effect, which revenue shall be deposited into a specifically created account on which an annual report shall be made as required by Government Code Section 50075.3?			

TABLE 1.2 TEXT FOR COUNTY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2019

SAN FRANCISCO	11/5/2019	Measure A	Pass (2/3rds required)
To finance the construction, development, acquisition, and preservation of housing affordable to extremely-low, low and middle-income households through programs that will prioritize vulnerable populations such as San Francisco's working families, veterans, seniors, and persons with disabilities; to assist in the acquisition, rehabilitation, and preservation of existing affordable housing to prevent the displacement of residents; to repair and reconstruct distressed and dilapidated public housing developments and their underlying infrastructure; to assist the City's middle-income residents or workers in obtaining affordable rental or home ownership opportunities including down payment assistance and support for new construction of affordable housing for San Francisco Unified School District and City College of San Francisco employees; and to pay related costs; shall the City and County of San Francisco issue \$600,000,000 in general obligation bonds with a duration of up to 30 years from the time of issuance, an estimated average tax rate of \$0.019/\$100 of assessed property value, and projected average annual revenues of \$50,000,000, subject to independent citizen oversight and regular audits?			
SAN FRANCISCO	11/5/2019	Measure B	Pass
Shall the City amend the Charter to change the name of the Department of Aging and Adult Services to the Department of Disability and Aging Services; to change the name of the Aging and Adult Services Commission to the Disability and Aging Services Commission; and to add new qualifications for three of the seven seats on this Commission?			
SAN FRANCISCO	11/5/2019	Measure C	Fail
Shall the City overturn the law passed by the Board of Supervisors suspending the sale of electronic cigarettes until they receive FDA authorization, and adopt new regulations on the sale, manufacture, distribution and advertising of electronic cigarettes in San Francisco?			
SAN FRANCISCO	11/5/2019	Measure D	Pass (2/3rds required)
Shall the City impose a 1.5% business tax on shared rides and a 3.25% business tax on private rides for fares charged by commercial ride-share and driverless-vehicle companies until November 5, 2045, raising an estimated \$30-35 million annually, to fund improvements in Muni service and bicycle and pedestrian safety?			
SAN FRANCISCO	11/5/2019	Measure E	Pass
Shall the City amend the Planning Code to allow 100% Affordable Housing Projects and Educator Housing Projects in public zoning districts and to expedite approval of these projects?			
SAN FRANCISCO	11/5/2019	Measure F	Pass
Shall the City establish new restrictions on campaign contributions to local elected officials and candidates, and apply new disclaimer requirements to campaign advertisements?			
SAN LUIS OBISPO	8/27/2019	Measure A-19	Pass (2/3rds required)
To maintain fire protection, improve 911 emergency response times, provide 24 hours per day, seven days a week staffed fire/emergency response services instead of the current 9 hours per day, shall Templeton Community Services District Ordinance No. 2019-1 levying an annual special tax of \$180 per parcel, providing \$486,000 a year until ended by voters, subject to annual CPI adjustment capped at 2% with citizens' oversight, and annual reports be adopted?			
SAN MATEO	5/7/2019	Measure A	Pass
Shall the La Honda Road territory consisting of ten houses and 23 undeveloped parcels of the La Honda Vista Subdivision in the unincorporated area of San Mateo County be transferred from the La Honda-Pescadero Unified School District to the Portola Valley School District and Sequoia Union High School District, such that by operation of the law, persons residing in that territory would become residents of the Portola Valley School District and Sequoia Union High School District, and be subject to those districts' parcel taxes, effective July 1, 2020?			
SONOMA	11/5/2019	Measure C	Pass (2/3rds required)
In order to continue to provide fire and emergency services, shall Occidental Community Services District Ordinance No. 2019-02, authorizing the District to impose and levy a special tax based on use codes shown on Attachment "A" of the Ordinance of \$200.00 for residential properties; \$100 for agricultural land; and \$300.00 per assessor's parcel plus \$0.14 per square foot for commercial properties; raising approximately \$250,000.00 annually until repealed; and increasing the District's appropriations, be adopted?			

TABLE 1.3 SUMMARY OF ELECTION OUTCOMES FOR COUNTY BALLOT MEASURES BY TYPE OF MEASURE, AND COUNTY, 2019

	TAXES		BONDS		CHARTER AMENDMENT		GANN LIMIT		ORDINANCE		ALL MEASURES		
	PASS	AIL	ASS	AIL	ASS	AIL	ASS	AIL	ASS	AIL	ASS	AIL	OTAL
Alpine	1	0									1	0	1
Calaveras	1 F	0 P	F	P	F	P	F	P	F	P	1 F	0 T	1
Contra Costa	1	0									1	0	1
Imperial	0	1					1	0			1	1	2
Marin	1	0									1	0	1
Plumas	1	0									1	0	1
San Francisco	1	0	1	0	1	0			2	1	5	1	6
San Luis Obispo	1	0									1	0	1
San Mateo	1	0									1	0	1
Sonoma	1	0									1	0	1
All Counties	9	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	2	1	14	2	16

TABLE 1.4 SUMMARY OF ELECTION OUTCOMES FOR COUNTY BALLOT MEASURES BY TOPIC OF MEASURE, AND COUNTY, 2019

	GOVERNANCE		HOUSING		OTHER		REVENUE		SAFETY		TRANSPORT		ALL MEASURES		
	PASS	AIL	ASS	AIL	ASS	AIL	ASS	AIL	ASS	AIL	ASS	AIL	ASS	AIL	OTAL
Alpine									1	0			1	0	1
Calaveras	F	P	F	P	F	P	F	P	F	P	1	F	0	T	1
Contra Costa									1	0			1	0	1
Imperial									1	1			1	1	2
Marin							1	0					1	0	1
Plumas									1	0			1	0	1
San Francisco	2	0	1	0	0	1					2	0	5	1	6
San Luis Obispo									1	0			1	0	1
San Mateo	1	0											1	0	1
Sonoma									1	0			1	0	1
All Counties	3	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	6	1	3	0	14	2	16

PART 2
VOTE TOTALS FOR
COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2019

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DISTRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT OF DESIGNATION	IN- CUM- BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATES	TOTAL VOTES CAST	PERCENT OF VOTE	ELEC- TED
ALAMEDA	No County Contests												
ALPINE	No County Contests												
AMADOR	No County Contests												
BUTTE	No County Contests												
CALAVERAS	No County Contests												
COLUSA	No County Contests												
CONTRA COSTA	No County Contests												
DEL NORTE	No County Contests												
EL DORADO	No County Contests												
FRESNO	3/5/2019	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	2	Full	Brandau	Steve	Councilmember/Business Owner	No	3	15,108	27,000	56.0%	Yes
					Johnson	Nasreen M.	Marketing Director/Parent	No	3	9,115	27,000	33.8%	No
					Hosey	Steve	Small Business Owner	No	3	2,720	27,000	10.1%	No
GLENN	No County Contests												
HUMBOLDT	No County Contests												
IMPERIAL	11/5/2019	DIRECTOR, Bombay Beach CSD		Full	Knight	Louis Earl	No Ballot Designation	Yes	3	24	55	43.6%	Yes
					Burnell	Michael C.	Retired Deputy Sheriff	No	3	12	55	21.8%	Yes
					Hawkins	Ernest R.	Incumbent	Yes	3	8	55	14.5%	No
		DIRECTOR, Salton CSD		Full	Johnson	Dale R.	Incumbent	Yes	3	144	397	36.3%	Yes
					Aldridge	Mary Fran	Incumbent	Yes	3	132	397	33.2%	Yes
					Bennett	Gracie J.	Real Estate Broker	No	3	121	397	30.5%	No
INYO	No County Contests												
KERN	No County Contests												
KINGS	No County Contests												
LAKE	No County Contests												
LASSEN	No County Contests												
LOS ANGELES	No County Contests												
MADERA	No County Contests												
MARIN	No County Contests												
MARIPOSA	No County Contests												
MENDOCINO	No County Contests												
MERCED	No County Contests												
MODOC	No County Contests												
MONO	No County Contests												
MONTEREY	No County Contests												

¹Write in Candidate votes, when reported by the county, have been included in the total votes cast. For these contests, the sum of the candidate votes is less than the total votes cast.

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2019

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DISTRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT OF DESIGNATION	IN- CUM- BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATES	TOTAL VOTES CAST	PERCENT OF VOTE	ELEC- TED
NAPA	No County Contests												
NEVADA	No County Contests												
ORANGE	3/12/2019	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	3	Short	Wagner	Donald P.	Mayor of Irvine	No	7	30,240	72,008	42.0%	Yes
			3	Short	Sanchez	Loretta	Educator/Small Businesswoman	No	7	26,708	72,008	37.1%	No
			3	Short	Murray	Kristine "Kris"	Orange County Business Owner	No	7	5,338	72,008	7.4%	No
			3	Short	Bales	Larry	Retired	No	7	3,912	72,008	5.4%	No
			3	Short	Pauly	Deborah	Businesswoman	No	7	3,847	72,008	5.3%	No
			3	Short	Hoang Bayliss	Kim-thy "Katie"	Attorney/Businessowner/Mother	No	7	1,366	72,008	1.9%	No
ORANGE	3/12/2019	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	3	Short	Daigle	Katherine	Small Business Owner	No	7	597	72,008	0.8%	No
PLACER	No County Contests												
PLUMAS	No County Contests												
RIVERSIDE	No County Contests												
SACRAMENTO	No County Contests												
SAN BENITO	No County Contests												
SAN BERNARDINO	No County Contests												
SAN DIEGO	No County Contests												
SAN FRANCISCO	11/5/2019	CITY ATTORNEY	Full	Herrera	Dennis J.	City Attorney	Yes	1	161,369	161,369	100.0%	Yes	
		COUNTY SUPERVISOR	5	Full	Brown	Vallie	District 5 Supervisor	Yes	4	11,171	23,473	47.6%	Yes
			5	Full	Preston	Dean	Nonprofit Housing Attorney	No	4	11,119	23,473	47.4%	No
			5	Full	Lam	Ryan	Local Political Journalist	No	4	918	23,473	3.9%	No
			5	Full	O'Meara	Nomvula	Film Producer	No	4	265	23,473	1.1%	No
		DISTRICT ATTORNEY	Full	Boudin	Chesa	Deputy Public Defender	No	4	68,575	189,053	36.3%	Yes	
			Full	Loftus	Suzy	Legal Counsel, City/Co. of San Francisco	No	4	56,698	189,053	30.0%	No	
			Full	Tung	Nancy	Deputy District Attorney	No	4	37,022	189,053	19.6%	No	
			Full	Dautch	Leif	Deputy Attorney General	No	4	26,758	189,053	14.2%	No	
		MAYOR	Full	Breed	London N.	Mayor of San Francisco	Yes	6	125,500	177,492	70.7%	Yes	
			Full	Zhou	Ellen Lee	Behavioral Health Clinician	No	6	24,667	177,492	13.9%	No	
			Full	Ventresca	Joel	Retired Airport Analyst	No	6	12,850	177,492	7.2%	No	
			Full	Robertson	Paul Ybarra	Self Employed	No	6	7,472	177,492	4.2%	No	
			Full	Pang	Wilma	Retired Music Professor	No	6	4,675	177,492	2.6%	No	
			Full	Jordan, Jr.	Robert L.	No Ballot Designation	No	6	2,321	177,492	1.3%	No	
PUBLIC DEFENDER	Full	Raju	Manohar "Mano"	Appointed Public Defender	Yes	1	158,560	158,560	100.0%	Yes			
SHERIFF	Full	Miyamoto	Paul	Chief Deputy Sheriff	No	1	146,628	146,628	100.0%	Yes			
TREASURER	Full	Cisneros	José	San Francisco Treasurer	Yes	1	152,703	152,703	100.0%	Yes			
SAN JOAQUIN	No County Contests												
SAN LUIS OBISPO	No County Contests												
SAN MATEO	No County Contests												
SANTA BARBARA	No County Contests												
SANTA CLARA	No County Contests												

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2019

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DISTRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT OF DESIGNATION	IN- CUM- BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATES	TOTAL VOTES CAST	PERCENT OF VOTE	ELEC- TED
SANTA CRUZ	No County Contests												
SHASTA	No County Contests												
SIERRA	No County Contests												
SISKIYOU	No County Contests												
SOLANO	No County Contests												
SONOMA	11/5/2019	DIRECTOR, Occidental CSD		Full	Lunardi	Ray	Incumbent	Yes	4	668	2,044	32.7%	Yes
				Full	Mcneal	Steven	Incumbent	Yes	4	561	2,044	27.4%	Yes
				Full	Brown	Coy	Incumbent	Yes	4	436	2,044	21.3%	Yes
				Full	Schmitt	Carol	Clean Energy Maker	No	4	379	2,044	18.5%	No
STANISLAUS	11/5/2019	DIRECTOR, Keyes CSD		Full	Landers	Davie	Incumbent	Yes	3	104	304	34.2%	Yes
				Full	Knee	Cody	Water Distribution Operator	No	3	103	304	33.9%	Yes
				Full	Bernal	Mike	Incumbent	Yes	3	95	304	31.3%	No
SUTTER	No County Contests												
TEHAMA	No County Contests												
TRINITY	No County Contests												
TULARE	11/5/2019	DIRECTOR, Ponderosa CSD		Full	Benton	Beth	No Ballot Designation	No	2	17	23	73.9%	Yes
				Full	Ohnesorgen	Frank B.	No Ballot Designation	No	2	6	23	26.1%	No
TUOLUMNE	No County Contests												
VENTURA	No County Contests												
YOLO	No County Contests												
YUBA	No County Contests												

C

TABLE 2.2 SUMMARY OF ELECTION OUTCOMES FOR COUNTY OFFICES, 2019

		COUNTY SUPERVISOR		DIRECTOR, CSD ¹		OTHER COUNTY		TOTAL ²	
		Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N
Incumbent Candidates	Win	100.0	1	77.8	7	100.0	4	85.7	12
	Lose	0.0	0	22.2	2	0.0	0	14.3	2
	Total	100.0	1	100	9	100.0	4	100	14
Non- Incumbent Candidates	Win	15.4	2	50.0	3	20.0	2	24.1	7
	Lose	84.6	11	50.0	3	80.0	8	75.9	22
	Total	100.0	13	100.0	6	100.0	10	100.0	29
Winning Candidates	Incumbent	33.3	1	70.0	7	66.7	4	63.2	12
	Non-Incumbent	66.7	2	30.0	3	33.3	2	36.8	7
	Total	100	3	100	10	100	6	100	19
Losing Candidates	Incumbent	0.0	0	40.0	2	0.0	0	8.3	2
	Non-Incumbent	100.0	11	60.0	3	100.0	8	91.7	22
	Total	100.0	11	100.0	5	100.0	8	100.0	24
All Candidates	Incumbent	7.1	1	60.0	9	28.6	4	32.6	14
	Non-incumbent	92.9	13	40.0	6	71.4	10	67.4	29
	Total	100.0	14	100.0	15	100.0	14	100.0	43

¹Percent may not sum to 100 due to rounding²Runoffs are excluded from totals