



**School District Offices
and Ballot Measures**

**CALIFORNIA COUNTY, CITY, AND SCHOOL
DISTRICT ELECTION OUTCOMES:**

**CANDIDATES AND BALLOT MEASURES
2019 ELECTIONS**

California State University, Sacramento
Institute for Social Research & The Center for California Studies

CALIFORNIA COUNTY, CITY, AND SCHOOL DISTRICT
ELECTION OUTCOMES:
CANDIDATES AND BALLOT MEASURES, 2019 ELECTIONS

SCHOOL DISTRICT OFFICES AND BALLOT MEASURES

Leonor Ehling, M.P.P.
Executive Director, Center for California Studies

Shannon A. Williams, Ph.D.
Director, Institute for Social Research

Valory Messier, M.A.
Research Specialist, Institute for Social Research

Fleur M. Marfori, B.A.
Graduate Research Assistant, Institute for Social Research

Center for California Studies
California State University, Sacramento
6000 J Street, Sacramento, CA 95819
(916) 278-6906 FAX: (916) 278-5199

Institute for Social Research
California State University, Sacramento
304 S Street, Sacramento, CA 95811
(916) 278-4317 FAX: (916) 278-5150



Acknowledgements

The researchers would like to sincerely thank the county elections officials and staff throughout the State of California who took time to provide data to the project. Additionally, we are grateful to the Secretary of State's Office for its continuing support and interest in this project. Without their assistance, the completion of these yearly reviews and the California Elections Data Archive would not be possible.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-------|
| Introduction | i |
| 2019 County, City and School District Election Dates by County | iii |
| Trend Table A Number of Ballot Measures, Percent of Total Measures, and Percent Passing by Type, Jurisdiction and Year | v |
| Trend Table B Number of Ballot Measures, Percent of Total Measures, and Percent Passing by Topic, Jurisdiction and Year | x |
| Trend Table C Community Service District and County Service Area Measures by County | xv |
| Trend Table D Number of Community Service District and County Service Area Measures, Percent of Total County Measures, and Percent Passing by Type and Year | xx |
| Trend Table E Comparison of Pass Rates for County-Wide and Community Service District/County Service Area Tax Measures by Year | xxii |
| Trend Table F Number of Community Service District and County Service Area Measures, Percent of Total County Measures, and Percent Passing by Topic and Year | xxiii |
| Trend Table G Number of Candidates by Jurisdiction and Year | xxiii |
| Trend Table H Number of Candidates for Major County Offices by Year | xxiv |
| Trend Table I Percent of Incumbent Candidates and Percent of Prevailing Incumbents by Major Office, Jurisdiction and Year | xxv |
| 2019 Election Series Summary: Election Outcomes for County, City, and School District Ballot Measures and Candidates | 1 |
| Table A Summary of Outcomes for All County, City, and School District Ballot Measures by Type of Measure and County, 2019 | 2 |
| Table B Summary of Outcomes for All County, City and School District Ballot Measures by Type of Measure and County, 2019 | 3 |
| Table C Summary of Election Outcomes for All County, City, and School District Offices, 2019 | 4 |
| Part 1 Vote Totals, Election Outcomes, and Text for School District Ballot Measures | 5 |
| Table 1.1 Vote Totals for School District Ballot Measures by County, 2019 | 6 |
| Table 1.2 Text for School District Ballot Measures by County, 2019 | 8 |
| Table 1.3 Summary of Election Outcomes for School District Ballot Measures by Type of Measure and County, 2019 | 9 |
| Part 2 Vote Totals for School District Office Candidates | 10 |
| Table 2.1 Vote Totals for School District Office Candidates by County and Election Date, 2019 | 11 |
| Table 2.2 Summary of Election Outcomes for School District Offices, 2019 | 14 |

CALIFORNIA ELECTIONS DATA ARCHIVE

INTRODUCTION

The California Elections Data Archive (CEDA) is a joint project of the Center for California Studies, and the Institute for Social Research (ISR), at the California State University, Sacramento, and the office of the California Secretary of State. The purpose of CEDA is to provide researchers, citizens, public agencies, and other interested parties with a single repository of local election data. With over 6,000 local jurisdictions in California, the task of monitoring local elections is nearly impossible for individuals. CEDA addresses this problem through the creation of a single, cost-effective, and easily accessible source of local election data. CEDA includes candidate, and ballot measure results for county, city, community college, and school district elections throughout the State. CEDA thus represents the only comprehensive repository of local election results in California and one of a very few such databases on local elections in the U.S.

How the CEDA Data is Collected and Reported

ISR staff collects election data periodically throughout each calendar year. This enables CEDA to incorporate results from special elections as well as all regularly scheduled elections. ISR staff enters election results from counties, cities, community colleges, and school districts into the CEDA database and then uses this database to generate three standard CEDA reports. These reports include:

- County Elections: Candidates, ballot designations, and vote totals for all elected county offices; vote totals and text for county ballot measures.
- City Elections: Candidates, ballot designations, and vote totals for all elected city offices; vote totals, and text for all city ballot measures.
- Community College and School District Elections: Candidates, ballot designations, and vote totals for all elective community college and school district offices; vote totals and text for all district ballot measures.

ISR staff codes ballot measures for all jurisdictions according to type (e.g., charter amendment, taxes, bond measure, initiative, etc.) and to topic (e.g., education, public safety, governance, etc.).

THE CEDA PARTNERSHIP

THE CENTER FOR CALIFORNIA STUDIES

Located at California State University, Sacramento, the Center for California Studies is a public policy, public service, and curricular support unit of the California State University. The Center's location in the state Capital and its ability to draw upon the resources of the entire State University system give it a unique capacity for making contributions to public policy development, and the public life of California. Center programs cover four broad areas: administration of the nationally known Assembly, Senate, Executive, and Judicial Administration Fellowship Programs; university-state government liaison and applied policy research; civic education and community service through forums, conferences, and issue dialogues; and curricular support activity in the interdisciplinary field of California Studies.

INSTITUTE FOR SOCIAL RESEARCH

Established in 1989, the Institute for Social Research (ISR) at California State University, Sacramento (CSUS) is a multidisciplinary institute that is committed to advancing the understanding of the social world through applied research. The Institute offers research expertise and technical assistance serving as a resource to agencies, organizations, the University, and the broader community. Utilizing quantitative and qualitative methods, ISR produces various types of assessments, program and policy evaluations, survey research, workload studies, and specialized analyses. Services include research design, sampling design, data collection and coding, computer-assisted telephone and field interviewing, mailed and online surveys, focus groups, database management, and statistical analysis. ISR has completed hundreds of projects with more than 50 federal, state and community agencies, private firms, and many academic units. Faculty affiliates of the Institute offer specific content expertise in a wide variety of disciplines, including the social sciences, health and human services, and education.

CALIFORNIA SECRETARY OF STATE

Among their other duties, the Secretary of State acts as California's chief elections officer with the responsibility of administering the provisions of the Elections Code. The Secretary must compile state election returns, and issue certificates of election to winning candidates; compile the returns and certify the results of initiative and referendum elections; certify acts delayed by referendum, and prepare and file a statement of vote. Recent legislation permits but does not mandate that the Secretary of State compile local election results.

2019 COUNTY, CITY, AND SCHOOL DISTRICT ELECTION DATES BY COUNTY

| | 1/29 | 2/26 | 3/5 | 3/12 | 4/9 | 4/16 | 5/7 | 5/14 | 6/4 | 6/18 | 8/13 | 8/27 | 10/15 | 11/5 |
|--------------|------|------|-----|------|-----|------|-----|------|-----|------|------|------|-------|------|
| Alameda | | | | | ● | | ● | | ● | | | | | ● |
| Alpine | | | | | | | | | | | | | | ● |
| Amador | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Butte | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Calaveras | | | | | | | | | | | | | | ● |
| Colusa | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Contra Costa | | | | | | | | | | | | | | ● |
| Del Norte | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| El Dorado | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Fresno | | | ● | | | | | | | | ● | | | ● |
| Glenn | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Humboldt | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Imperial | | | | | | | | | | | | | | ● |
| Inyo | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Kern | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Kings | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lake | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lassen | | | | | | | ● | | | | | | | |
| Los Angeles | | ● | ● | | ● | ● | | ● | ● | | ● | | ● | ● |
| Madera | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Marin | | | | | | | | | | | | ● | | ● |
| Mariposa | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Mendocino | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Merced | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Modoc | | | | | | | ● | | | | | | | |
| Mono | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Monterey | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Napa | | | | | | | | | ● | | | | | |
| Nevada | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Orange | ● | | | ● | | | | | | | | | | ● |

TREND TABLE A NUMBER OF BALLOT MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TYPE, JURISDICTION, AND YEAR

| | ALL MEASURES | | | BONDS | | | TAXES | | | ORDINANCE | | | RECALLS | | | INITIATIVES | | | CHARTER AMENDMENT | | |
|------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|
| | Mean Number of Measures | % of All Measures | Percent Passing | Mean Number of Measures | % of All Measures | Percent Passing | Mean Number of Measures | % of All Measures | Percent Passing | Mean Number of Measures | % of All Measures | Percent Passing | Mean Number of Measures | % of All Measures | Percent Passing | Mean Number of Measures | % of All Measures | Percent Passing | Mean Number of Measures | % of All Measures | Percent Passing |
| All Measures | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1995-2019 | 398 | 100 | 67 | 105 | 26 | 72 | 127 | 32 | 63 | 80 | 20 | 62 | 13 | 3 | 73 | 10 | 2 | 46 | 46 | 11 | 77 |
| Even Years | 616 | 100 | 69 | 173 | 28 | 75 | 191 | 31 | 62 | 126 | 21 | 63 | 15 | 2 | 72 | 15 | 2 | 48 | 69 | 11 | 77 |
| Odd Years | 197 | 100 | 63 | 42 | 21 | 61 | 68 | 34 | 65 | 38 | 19 | 62 | 12 | 6 | 74 | 5 | 2 | 41 | 24 | 12 | 77 |
| County | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1995-2019 | 64 | 16 | 59 | 2 | 3 | 73 | 27 | 43 | 49 | 19 | 29 | 65 | 2 | 3 | 76 | 3 | 4 | 43 | 7 | 10 | 67 |
| Even Years | 105 | 17 | 57 | 3 | 3 | 70 | 46 | 44 | 47 | 31 | 29 | 63 | 2 | 2 | 59 | 5 | 4 | 42 | 12 | 11 | 66 |
| Odd Years | 26 | 13 | 67 | 1 | 5 | 78 | 10 | 39 | 57 | 7 | 28 | 71 | 2 | 8 | 89 | 1 | 3 | 50 | 2 | 7 | 72 |
| City | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1995-2019 | 200 | 50 | 67 | 5 | 2 | 60 | 75 | 38 | 66 | 56 | 28 | 60 | 6 | 3 | 74 | 7 | 3 | 48 | 39 | 19 | 79 |
| Even Years | 308 | 50 | 67 | 8 | 3 | 63 | 118 | 38 | 66 | 89 | 29 | 61 | 8 | 3 | 72 | 10 | 3 | 51 | 58 | 19 | 79 |
| Odd Years | 100 | 51 | 65 | 2 | 2 | 50 | 36 | 36 | 66 | 26 | 26 | 57 | 4 | 4 | 76 | 4 | 4 | 41 | 22 | 22 | 78 |
| School District | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1995-2019 | 134 | 34 | 72 | 98 | 73 | 73 | 24 | 18 | 67 | 5 | 4 | 80 | 5 | 4 | 71 | 0 | 0 | 50 | | | |
| Even Years | 203 | 33 | 75 | 162 | 80 | 76 | 27 | 13 | 67 | 7 | 3 | 82 | 5 | 2 | 78 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | |
| Odd Years | 71 | 36 | 64 | 39 | 55 | 61 | 21 | 30 | 66 | 4 | 6 | 77 | 6 | 9 | 67 | 0 | 0 | 100 | | | |

TREND TABLE A NUMBER OF BALLOT MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TYPE, JURISDICTION, AND YEAR (CONTINUED)

| | ALL MEASURES | | | BONDS | | | TAXES | | | ORDINANCE | | | RECALLS | | | INITIATIVES | | | CHARTER AMENDMENT | | | |
|--------------|--------------------|-------------------|-----------|--------------------|-------------------|-----------|--------------------|-------------------|-----------|--------------------|-------------------|-----------|--------------------|-------------------|-----------|--------------------|-------------------|-----------|--------------------|-------------------|-----------|----|
| | Number of Measures | % of All Measures | Pass Rate | Number of Measures | % of All Measures | Pass Rate | Number of Measures | % of All Measures | Pass Rate | Number of Measures | % of All Measures | Pass Rate | Number of Measures | % of All Measures | Pass Rate | Number of Measures | % of All Measures | Pass Rate | Number of Measures | % of All Measures | Pass Rate | |
| All Measures | 1995 | 283 | 100 | 37 | 91 | 36 | 47 | 26 | 10 | 35 | 46 | 18 | 61 | 8 | 3 | 88 | 8 | 3 | 50 | 55 | 22 | 93 |
| | 1996 | 573 | 100 | 57 | 64 | 11 | 59 | 142 | 25 | 40 | 176 | 31 | 58 | 32 | 6 | 72 | 18 | 3 | 39 | 115 | 20 | 73 |
| | 1997 | 342 | 100 | 60 | 127 | 37 | 59 | 100 | 29 | 56 | 45 | 13 | 69 | 29 | 8 | 38 | 7 | 2 | 71 | 31 | 9 | 81 |
| | 1998 | 572 | 100 | 61 | 144 | 25 | 58 | 162 | 28 | 48 | 115 | 20 | 58 | 19 | 3 | 74 | 9 | 2 | 56 | 94 | 16 | 77 |
| | 1999 | 283 | 100 | 60 | 107 | 38 | 59 | 54 | 19 | 57 | 68 | 24 | 57 | 14 | 5 | 71 | 10 | 4 | 40 | 20 | 7 | 50 |
| | 2000 | 559 | 100 | 58 | 135 | 24 | 60 | 122 | 22 | 39 | 154 | 28 | 58 | 11 | 2 | 100 | 21 | 4 | 67 | 79 | 14 | 67 |
| | 2001 | 233 | 100 | 70 | 73 | 31 | 75 | 68 | 29 | 72 | 33 | 14 | 58 | 21 | 9 | 71 | 1 | 0 | 100 | 25 | 11 | 60 |
| | 2002 | 657 | 100 | 68 | 245 | 37 | 76 | 155 | 24 | 54 | 136 | 21 | 54 | 8 | 1 | 63 | 10 | 2 | 40 | 77 | 12 | 77 |
| | 2003 | 178 | 100 | 63 | 22 | 12 | 55 | 62 | 35 | 48 | 47 | 26 | 70 | 9 | 5 | 89 | 5 | 3 | 40 | 24 | 13 | 75 |
| | 2004 | 712 | 100 | 63 | 179 | 25 | 75 | 258 | 36 | 47 | 144 | 20 | 64 | 11 | 2 | 73 | 14 | 2 | 29 | 72 | 10 | 79 |
| | 2005 | 295 | 100 | 64 | 57 | 19 | 74 | 111 | 38 | 58 | 59 | 20 | 54 | 11 | 4 | 82 | 7 | 2 | 43 | 35 | 12 | 89 |
| | 2006 | 556 | 100 | 62 | 185 | 33 | 59 | 142 | 26 | 56 | 123 | 22 | 63 | 17 | 3 | 29 | 22 | 4 | 36 | 39 | 7 | 82 |
| | 2007 | 179 | 100 | 72 | 22 | 12 | 55 | 61 | 34 | 74 | 40 | 22 | 58 | 13 | 7 | 100 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 38 | 21 | 79 |
| | 2008 | 593 | 100 | 75 | 201 | 34 | 82 | 188 | 32 | 67 | 123 | 21 | 65 | 12 | 2 | 58 | 11 | 2 | 91 | 39 | 7 | 90 |
| | 2009 | 193 | 100 | 63 | 6 | 3 | 33 | 99 | 51 | 67 | 35 | 18 | 63 | 13 | 7 | 69 | 3 | 2 | 33 | 20 | 10 | 60 |
| | 2010 | 482 | 100 | 67 | 97 | 20 | 70 | 164 | 34 | 60 | 117 | 24 | 67 | 27 | 6 | 78 | 11 | 2 | 55 | 50 | 10 | 76 |
| | 2011 | 172 | 100 | 72 | 10 | 6 | 80 | 75 | 44 | 67 | 29 | 17 | 72 | 16 | 9 | 75 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 31 | 18 | 81 |
| | 2012 | 530 | 100 | 72 | 156 | 29 | 81 | 178 | 34 | 69 | 112 | 21 | 62 | 14 | 3 | 93 | 2 | 0 | 50 | 51 | 10 | 63 |
| | 2013 | 137 | 100 | 72 | 11 | 8 | 73 | 65 | 47 | 78 | 34 | 25 | 50 | 8 | 6 | 88 | 4 | 3 | 100 | 11 | 8 | 73 |
| | 2014 | 577 | 100 | 73 | 193 | 33 | 81 | 175 | 30 | 69 | 81 | 14 | 72 | 7 | 1 | 57 | 14 | 2 | 57 | 72 | 12 | 76 |
| 2015 | 120 | 100 | 74 | 13 | 11 | 77 | 47 | 39 | 79 | 20 | 17 | 75 | 14 | 12 | 100 | 9 | 8 | 22 | 11 | 9 | 64 | |
| 2016 | 872 | 100 | 79 | 294 | 34 | 91 | 279 | 32 | 73 | 138 | 16 | 72 | 10 | 1 | 90 | 37 | 4 | 41 | 86 | 10 | 83 | |
| 2017 | 113 | 100 | 63 | 8 | 7 | 38 | 73 | 65 | 64 | 20 | 18 | 65 | 1 | 1 | 100 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 7 | 6 | 86 | |
| 2018 | 704 | 100 | 80 | 182 | 26 | 81 | 329 | 47 | 84 | 98 | 14 | 66 | 9 | 1 | 89 | 11 | 2 | 36 | 58 | 8 | 93 | |
| 2019 | 67 | 100 | 79 | 3 | 4 | 100 | 43 | 64 | 88 | 15 | 22 | 67 | | | | 4 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 100 | |

TREND TABLE A NUMBER OF BALLOT MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TYPE, JURISDICTION, AND YEAR (CONTINUED)

| | ALL MEASURES | | | BONDS | | | TAXES | | | ORDINANCE | | | RECALLS | | | INITIATIVES | | | CHARTER AMENDMENT | | | |
|-----------------|--------------------|-------------------|-----------|--------------------|-------------------|-----------|--------------------|-------------------|-----------|--------------------|-------------------|-----------|--------------------|-------------------|-----------|--------------------|-------------------|-----------|--------------------|-------------------|-----------|-----|
| | Number of Measures | % of All Measures | Pass Rate | Number of Measures | % of All Measures | Pass Rate | Number of Measures | % of All Measures | Pass Rate | Number of Measures | % of All Measures | Pass Rate | Number of Measures | % of All Measures | Pass Rate | Number of Measures | % of All Measures | Pass Rate | Number of Measures | % of All Measures | Pass Rate | |
| County Measures | 1995 | 17 | 7 | 53 | | | 6 | 35 | 33 | 2 | 12 | 0 | | | | | | | 6 | 35 | 83 | |
| | 1996 | 114 | 20 | 44 | 3 | 3 | 33 | 34 | 30 | 26 | 41 | 36 | 54 | 5 | 4 | 80 | 7 | 6 | 14 | 17 | 15 | 47 |
| | 1997 | 24 | 7 | 63 | 7 | 29 | 57 | 7 | 29 | 71 | 4 | 17 | 100 | 2 | 8 | 50 | | | | 4 | 17 | 25 |
| | 1998 | 125 | 22 | 59 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 53 | 42 | 40 | 32 | 26 | 75 | | | | 4 | 3 | 25 | 25 | 20 | 76 |
| | 1999 | 38 | 13 | 63 | 1 | 3 | 100 | 21 | 55 | 48 | 8 | 21 | 63 | | | | | | | 4 | 11 | 100 |
| | 2000 | 116 | 21 | 49 | 6 | 5 | 83 | 51 | 44 | 27 | 28 | 24 | 50 | | | | 8 | 7 | 88 | 8 | 7 | 38 |
| | 2001 | 37 | 16 | 73 | 3 | 8 | 100 | 14 | 38 | 71 | 11 | 30 | 64 | 4 | 11 | 75 | | | | 1 | 3 | 0 |
| | 2002 | 98 | 15 | 56 | 5 | 5 | 20 | 38 | 39 | 45 | 39 | 40 | 67 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 50 | 7 | 7 | 71 |
| | 2003 | 28 | 16 | 64 | | | | 12 | 43 | 25 | 15 | 54 | 100 | 1 | 4 | 0 | | | | | | |
| | 2004 | 140 | 20 | 54 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 60 | 43 | 45 | 47 | 34 | 62 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 25 | 18 | 13 | 56 |
| | 2005 | 57 | 19 | 63 | 3 | 5 | 67 | 24 | 42 | 67 | 16 | 28 | 56 | 3 | 5 | 100 | 3 | 5 | 67 | 2 | 4 | 50 |
| | 2006 | 95 | 17 | 52 | | | | 45 | 47 | 40 | 30 | 32 | 60 | 4 | 4 | 25 | 2 | 2 | 50 | 6 | 6 | 83 |
| | 2007 | 29 | 16 | 76 | 1 | 3 | 100 | 3 | 10 | 67 | 16 | 55 | 63 | 8 | 28 | 100 | | | | | | |
| | 2008 | 90 | 15 | 62 | 3 | 3 | 100 | 33 | 37 | 42 | 40 | 44 | 65 | 1 | 1 | 100 | 2 | 2 | 100 | 4 | 4 | 100 |
| | 2009 | 16 | 8 | 69 | | | | 4 | 25 | 50 | 6 | 38 | 67 | 1 | 6 | 100 | | | | 2 | 13 | 100 |
| | 2010 | 64 | 13 | 53 | 3 | 5 | 67 | 25 | 39 | 48 | 22 | 34 | 59 | 4 | 6 | 50 | 2 | 3 | 50 | 6 | 9 | 50 |
| | 2011 | 20 | 12 | 80 | 1 | 5 | 100 | 7 | 35 | 71 | 4 | 20 | 75 | 2 | 10 | 100 | | | | 3 | 15 | 67 |
| | 2012 | 76 | 14 | 63 | 1 | 1 | 100 | 39 | 51 | 59 | 20 | 26 | 60 | 1 | 1 | 100 | 2 | 3 | 50 | 10 | 13 | 70 |
| | 2013 | 12 | 9 | 75 | | | | 5 | 42 | 40 | | | | 1 | 8 | 100 | 3 | 25 | 100 | 1 | 8 | 100 |
| | 2014 | 84 | 15 | 57 | 4 | 5 | 100 | 33 | 39 | 36 | 19 | 23 | 74 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 10 | 50 | 12 | 14 | 92 |
| 2015 | 34 | 28 | 62 | 1 | 3 | 100 | 11 | 32 | 45 | 8 | 24 | 88 | 5 | 15 | 100 | 4 | 12 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 100 | |
| 2016 | 151 | 17 | 59 | 4 | 3 | 100 | 68 | 45 | 56 | 38 | 25 | 66 | 3 | 2 | 100 | 12 | 8 | 25 | 17 | 11 | 59 | |
| 2017 | 14 | 12 | 57 | | | | 11 | 79 | 55 | 3 | 21 | 67 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2018 | 102 | 14 | 75 | 3 | 3 | 67 | 72 | 71 | 78 | 11 | 11 | 82 | 1 | 1 | 100 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 8 | 8 | 75 | |
| 2019 | 16 | 24 | 88 | 1 | 6 | 100 | 10 | 63 | 90 | 3 | 19 | 67 | | | | | | | 1 | 6 | 100 | |

TREND TABLE A NUMBER OF BALLOT MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TYPE, JURISDICTION, AND YEAR (CONTINUED)

| | | | | | | | | | | ORDINANCE | | | RECALLS | | | INITIATIVES | | | CHARTER AMENDMENT | | | |
|---------------|--------------------|-------------------|-----------|--------------------|-------------------|-----------|--------------------|-------------------|-----------|--------------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------------------|-------------------|-----------|--------------------|-------------------|-----------|--------------------|-------------------|-----------|----|
| | Number of Measures | % of All Measures | Pass Rate | Number of Measures | % of All Measures | Pass Rate | Number of Measures | % of All Measures | Pass Rate | Number of Measures | % of All Measures | Pass Rate | Number of Measures | % of All Measures | Pass Rate | Number of Measures | % of All Measures | Pass Rate | Number of Measures | % of All Measures | Pass Rate | |
| City Measures | 1995 | 119 | 47 | 71 | 4 | 3 | 75 | 7 | 6 | 29 | 38 | 32 | 58 | | | | 7 | 6 | 43 | | | 94 |
| | 1996 | | | 60 | 10 | | 30 | 100 | | 43 | 115 | 31 | 59 | 24 | 6 | 79 | 11 | 3 | 55 | 98 | 26 | 78 |
| | 1997 | 144 | 42 | 58 | 2 | 1 | 50 | 70 | 49 | 50 | 28 | 19 | 54 | 9 | 6 | 22 | 7 | 5 | 71 ⁴⁹ | 27 ⁴¹ | | 89 |
| | 1998 | | | 60 | 9 | | 78 | 99 | | 47 | 78 | 28 | 53 | 7 | 2 | 43 | 5 | 2 | 80 | 69 | 24 | 77 |
| | 1999 | 114 | 40 | 54 | 4 | 4 | 75 | 22 | 19 | 55 | 48 | 42 | 48 | 8 | 7 | 100 | | 9 | 40 | 16 ¹⁹ | | 38 |
| | 2000 | | | 60 | 11 | | 82 | 65 | | 45 | 113 | 38 | 56 | 6 | 2 | 100 | 13 | 4 | 54 | 71 | 24 | 70 |
| | 2001 | 93 | 40 | 69 | 8 | 9 | 63 | 31 | 33 | 74 | 18 | 19 | 61 | 3 | 3 | 100 ¹⁰ | 1 | 1 | 100 | 24 ¹⁴ | 26 | 63 |
| | 2002 | | | 60 | 12 | | 83 | 102 | | 58 | 94 | 30 | 48 | 5 | 2 | 60 | 8 | 3 | 38 | 70 | 23 | 77 |
| | 2003 | 89 | 50 | 67 | 2 | 2 | 50 | 14 | 16 | 71 | 29 | 33 | 55 | 6 | 7 | 100 | 5 | 6 | 40 | 24 | | 75 |
| | 2004 | | | 59 | 7 | | 43 | 147 | | 46 | 92 | 27 | 63 | 6 | 2 | 67 | 10 | 3 | 30 | 54 | 16 | 87 |
| | 2005 | 135 | 46 | 61 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 47 | 35 | 55 | 37 | 27 | 51 | 3 | 2 | 33 | 4 | 3 | 25 | 33 ²⁷ | | 91 |
| | 2006 | | | 64 | 10 | | 50 | 82 | | 70 | 85 | 34 | 61 | 6 | 2 | 17 | 20 | 8 | 35 | 33 | 13 | 82 |
| | 2007 | 108 | 60 | 71 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 40 | 37 | 73 | 19 | 18 | 53 | | 5 | 100 | | 1 | 0 | 38 ²⁴ | 35 | 79 |
| | 2008 | | | 73 | 5 | | 100 | 111 | | 71 | 80 | 31 | 65 | 8 | 3 | 38 | 9 | 3 | 89 | 35 | 14 | 89 |
| | 2009 | 130 | 67 | 61 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 63 | 48 | 68 | 28 | 22 | 61 ⁵ | 3 | 2 | 33 | 3 | 2 | 33 | 18 | | 56 |
| | 2010 | | | 71 | 2 | | 0 | 95 | | 69 | 91 | 34 | 67 | 16 | 6 | 94 | 8 | 3 | 63 | 44 | 16 | 80 |
| | 2011 | 105 | 61 | 74 | | | | 37 | 35 | 65 | 23 | 22 | 70 | | 10 | 100 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 28 ¹⁴ | | 82 |
| | 2012 | | | 67 | 5 | | 20 | 93 | | 72 | 83 | 33 | 58 | 12 | 5 | 92 | | | | 41 | 17 | 61 |
| | 2013 | 90 | 66 | 68 | | | | 44 | 49 | 77 | 30 | 33 | 53 ¹⁰ | 3 | 3 | 67 | 1 | 1 | 100 | 10 ²⁷ | | 70 |
| | 2014 | | | 71 | 5 | | 100 | 121 | | 72 | 60 | 21 | 70 | 5 | 2 | 60 | 6 | 2 | 67 | 60 | 21 | 73 |
| 2015 | 54 | 45 | 70 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 23 | 43 | 87 | 12 | 22 | 67 | | | | 5 | 9 | 40 | 10 ¹¹ | | 60 | |
| 2016 | | | 77 | 9 | | 67 | 174 | | 79 | 96 | 24 | 74 | 1 | 0 | 100 | 25 | 6 | 48 | 69 | 18 | 88 | |
| 2017 | 81 | 72 | 65 | 2 | 2 | 50 | 50 | 62 | 66 | 17 | 21 | 65 | | 1 | 100 | | 4 | 0 | 7 ¹⁹ | 9 | 86 | |
| 2018 | | | 80 | 9 | | 56 | 224 | | 86 | 84 | 21 | 63 | 5 | 1 | 80 | 9 | 2 | 44 | 46 | 12 | 96 | |
| 2019 | 41 | 61 | 76 | 1 | 2 | 100 | 24 | 59 | 92 | 12 | 29 | 67 ¹ | | | 3 | 4 | 10 | 100 | | | | |

TREND TABLE A NUMBER OF BALLOT MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TYPE, JURISDICTION, AND YEAR (CONTINUED)

| | ALL MEASURES | | | BONDS | | | TAXES | | | ORDINANCE | | | RECALLS | | | INITIATIVES | | | CHARTER AMENDMENT | | | |
|--------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|-----------|--------------------|-------------------|-----------|--------------------|-------------------|-----------|--------------------|-------------------|-----------|--------------------|-------------------|-----------|--------------------|-------------------|-----------|--------------------|-------------------|-----------|--|
| | Number of Measures | % of All Measures | Pass Rate | Number of Measures | % of All Measures | Pass Rate | Number of Measures | % of All Measures | Pass Rate | Number of Measures | % of All Measures | Pass Rate | Number of Measures | % of All Measures | Pass Rate | Number of Measures | % of All Measures | Pass Rate | Number of Measures | % of All Measures | Pass Rate | |
| School District Measures | 1995 | 117 | 46 | 52 | 87 | 74 | 46 | 13 | 11 | 38 | 6 | 5 | 100 | 8 | 7 | 88 | 1 | 1 | 100 | | | |
| | 1996 | 85 | 15 | 62 | 51 | 60 | 67 | 8 | 9 | 63 | 20 | 24 | 60 | 3 | 4 | 0 | | | | | | |
| | 1997 | 174 | 51 | 62 | 118 | 68 | 59 | 23 | 13 | 70 | 13 | 7 | 92 | 18 | 10 | 44 | | | | | | |
| | 1998 | 164 | 29 | 62 | 134 | 82 | 57 | 10 | 6 | 100 | 5 | 3 | 40 | 12 | 7 | 92 | | | | | | |
| | 1999 | 131 | 46 | 62 | 102 | 78 | 58 | 11 | 8 | 82 | 12 | 9 | 92 | 6 | 5 | 33 | | | | | | |
| | 2000 | 146 | 26 | 63 | 118 | 81 | 57 | 6 | 4 | 67 | 13 | 9 | 92 | 5 | 3 | 100 | | | | | | |
| | 2001 | 103 | 44 | 71 | 62 | 60 | 76 | 23 | 22 | 70 | 4 | 4 | 25 | 14 | 14 | 64 | | | | | | |
| | 2002 | 250 | 38 | 76 | 228 | 91 | 77 | 15 | 6 | 53 | 3 | 1 | 100 | 2 | 1 | 100 | | | | | | |
| | 2003 | 61 | 34 | 52 | 20 | 33 | 55 | 36 | 59 | 47 | 3 | 5 | 67 | 2 | 3 | 100 | | | | | | |
| | 2004 | 235 | 33 | 73 | 172 | 73 | 77 | 51 | 22 | 53 | 5 | 2 | 100 | 4 | 2 | 100 | | | | | | |
| | 2005 | 103 | 35 | 69 | 52 | 50 | 77 | 40 | 39 | 55 | 6 | 6 | 67 | 5 | 5 | 100 | | | | | | |
| | 2006 | 208 | 37 | 58 | 175 | 84 | 59 | 15 | 7 | 27 | 8 | 4 | 88 | 7 | 3 | 43 | | | | | | |
| | 2007 | 42 | 23 | 67 | 19 | 45 | 58 | 18 | 43 | 78 | 5 | 12 | 60 | | | | | | | | | |
| | 2008 | 245 | 41 | 80 | 193 | 79 | 81 | 44 | 18 | 75 | 3 | 1 | 67 | 3 | 1 | 100 | | | | | | |
| | 2009 | 47 | 24 | 66 | 5 | 11 | 40 | 32 | 68 | 66 | 1 | 2 | 100 | 9 | 19 | 78 | | | | | | |
| | 2010 | 148 | 31 | 64 | 92 | 62 | 72 | 44 | 30 | 45 | 4 | 3 | 100 | 7 | 5 | 57 | 1 | 1 | 0 | | | |
| | 2011 | 47 | 27 | 64 | 9 | 19 | 78 | 31 | 66 | 68 | 2 | 4 | 100 | 4 | 9 | 0 | | | | | | |
| | 2012 | 206 | 39 | 82 | 150 | 73 | 83 | 46 | 22 | 72 | 9 | 4 | 100 | 1 | 0 | 100 | | | | | | |
| | 2013 | 35 | 26 | 80 | 11 | 31 | 73 | 16 | 46 | 94 | 4 | 11 | 25 | 4 | 11 | 100 | | | | | | |
| | 2014 | 208 | 36 | 83 | 184 | 88 | 80 | 21 | 10 | 100 | 2 | 1 | 100 | 1 | 0 | 100 | | | | | | |
| 2015 | 32 | 27 | 94 | 10 | 31 | 90 | 13 | 41 | 92 | | | | 9 | 28 | 100 | | | | | | | |
| 2016 | 328 | 38 | 90 | 281 | 86 | 92 | 37 | 11 | 78 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 83 | | | | | | | |
| 2017 | 18 | 16 | 56 | 6 | 33 | 33 | 12 | 67 | 67 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2018 | 211 | 30 | 84 | 170 | 81 | 82 | 31 | 15 | 87 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 100 | | | | 4 | 2 | 100 | |
| 2019 | 10 | 15 | 80 | 1 | 10 | 100 | 9 | 90 | 78 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

TREND TABLE B NUMBER OF BALLOT MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TOPIC, JURISDICTION, AND YEAR (CONTINUED)

| | ALL MEASURES | | | EDUCATION | | | GOVERNANCE | | | LAND USE | | | PUBLIC SAFETY | | | PUBLIC FACILITIES | | | GENERAL SERVICES | | | TRANSPORTATION | | | REVENUE | | | |
|--------------|--------------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------------------|-------------------|-----------------|----|
| | Number of Measures | % of All Measures | Percent Passing | Number of Measures | % of All Measures | Percent Passing | Number of Measures | % of All Measures | Percent Passing | Number of Measures | % of All Measures | Percent Passing | Number of Measures | % of All Measures | Percent Passing | Number of Measures | % of All Measures | Percent Passing | Number of Measures | % of All Measures | Percent Passing | Number of Measures | % of All Measures | Percent Passing | Number of Measures | % of All Measures | Percent Passing | |
| All Measures | 1995 | 253 | 100 | 61 | 121 | 48 | 54 | 63 | 25 | 84 | 16 | 6 | 63 | 12 | 5 | 50 | 14 | 6 | 50 | | | | 2 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 60 |
| | 1996 | 573 | 100 | 57 | 87 | 15 | 64 | 214 | 37 | 66 | 54 | 9 | 56 | 39 | 7 | 51 | 38 | 7 | 37 | 10 | 2 | 40 | 8 | 1 | 50 | 87 | 15 | 46 |
| | 1997 | 342 | 100 | 60 | 175 | 51 | 62 | 43 | 13 | 67 | 19 | 6 | 68 | 12 | 4 | 42 | 15 | 4 | 40 | 38 | 11 | 61 | 4 | 1 | 50 | 10 | 3 | 70 |
| | 1998 | 572 | 100 | 60 | 158 | 28 | 63 | 131 | 23 | 64 | 46 | 8 | 70 | 41 | 7 | 49 | 32 | 6 | 56 | 28 | 5 | 82 | 23 | 4 | 70 | 75 | 13 | 43 |
| | 1999 | 283 | 100 | 59 | 119 | 42 | 59 | 62 | 22 | 63 | 29 | 10 | 41 | 14 | 5 | 57 | 4 | 1 | 75 | 14 | 5 | 57 | 8 | 3 | 88 | 23 | 8 | 65 |
| | 2000 | 559 | 100 | 59 | 151 | 27 | 63 | 141 | 25 | 64 | 73 | 13 | 55 | 32 | 6 | 50 | 39 | 7 | 67 | 20 | 4 | 55 | 21 | 4 | 43 | 5 | 1 | 20 |
| | 2001 | 233 | 100 | 70 | 105 | 45 | 71 | 46 | 20 | 67 | 7 | 3 | 71 | 11 | 5 | 73 | 19 | 8 | 58 | 7 | 3 | 71 | 4 | 2 | 25 | 31 | 13 | 87 |
| | 2002 | 657 | 100 | 65 | 250 | 38 | 76 | 144 | 22 | 66 | 44 | 7 | 43 | 42 | 6 | 57 | 35 | 5 | 49 | 20 | 3 | 60 | 10 | 2 | 40 | 85 | 13 | 62 |
| | 2003 | 178 | 100 | 62 | 61 | 34 | 52 | 52 | 29 | 73 | 15 | 8 | 60 | 12 | 7 | 50 | 5 | 3 | 60 | 6 | 3 | 100 | 8 | 4 | 38 | 13 | 7 | 62 |
| | 2004 | 712 | 100 | 62 | 238 | 33 | 72 | 139 | 20 | 73 | 58 | 8 | 52 | 55 | 8 | 47 | 37 | 5 | 38 | 23 | 3 | 70 | 25 | 4 | 76 | 110 | 15 | 47 |
| | 2005 | 295 | 100 | 64 | 102 | 35 | 70 | 61 | 21 | 70 | 28 | 9 | 39 | 18 | 6 | 44 | 14 | 5 | 64 | 18 | 6 | 67 | 13 | 4 | 62 | 33 | 11 | 70 |
| | 2006 | 556 | 100 | 60 | 208 | 37 | 58 | 109 | 20 | 60 | 51 | 9 | 61 | 37 | 7 | 73 | 22 | 4 | 41 | 12 | 2 | 58 | 22 | 4 | 50 | 61 | 11 | 62 |
| | 2007 | 179 | 100 | 71 | 42 | 23 | 67 | 63 | 35 | 81 | 18 | 10 | 39 | 5 | 3 | 100 | 8 | 4 | 88 | 7 | 4 | 86 | 4 | 2 | 25 | 31 | 17 | 68 |
| | 2008 | 593 | 100 | 74 | 246 | 41 | 80 | 99 | 17 | 74 | 43 | 7 | 72 | 39 | 7 | 49 | 32 | 5 | 66 | 10 | 2 | 80 | 14 | 2 | 50 | 92 | 16 | 77 |
| | 2009 | 193 | 100 | 63 | 47 | 24 | 66 | 42 | 22 | 64 | 17 | 9 | 47 | 10 | 5 | 60 | 7 | 4 | 86 | 8 | 4 | 25 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 56 | 29 | 71 |
| | 2010 | 482 | 100 | 66 | 149 | 31 | 64 | 138 | 29 | 74 | 30 | 6 | 47 | 27 | 6 | 67 | 12 | 2 | 75 | 9 | 2 | 56 | 7 | 1 | 71 | 95 | 20 | 65 |
| | 2011 | 172 | 100 | 72 | 48 | 28 | 65 | 59 | 34 | 81 | 8 | 5 | 75 | 9 | 5 | 56 | 5 | 3 | 100 | 4 | 2 | 50 | 2 | 1 | 50 | 34 | 20 | 74 |
| | 2012 | 530 | 100 | 72 | 209 | 39 | 82 | 98 | 18 | 71 | 23 | 4 | 57 | 13 | 2 | 38 | 23 | 4 | 57 | 11 | 2 | 73 | 12 | 2 | 50 | 99 | 19 | 79 |
| | 2013 | 137 | 100 | 72 | 35 | 26 | 80 | 28 | 20 | 71 | 14 | 10 | 50 | 9 | 7 | 67 | | | | 4 | 3 | 50 | 4 | 3 | 50 | 39 | 28 | 79 |
| | 2014 | 577 | 100 | 73 | 208 | 36 | 83 | 114 | 20 | 75 | 45 | 8 | 60 | 33 | 6 | 70 | 20 | 3 | 85 | 13 | 2 | 85 | 19 | 3 | 47 | 104 | 18 | 64 |
| 2015 | 120 | 100 | 74 | 32 | 27 | 94 | 23 | 19 | 83 | 17 | 14 | 35 | 8 | 7 | 50 | 5 | 4 | 60 | 2 | 2 | 100 | 3 | 3 | 33 | 21 | 18 | 86 | |
| 2016 | 872 | 100 | 79 | 331 | 38 | 90 | 116 | 13 | 78 | 99 | 11 | 61 | 53 | 6 | 70 | 30 | 3 | 50 | 26 | 3 | 54 | 29 | 3 | 48 | 150 | 17 | 85 | |
| 2017 | 113 | 100 | 63 | 18 | 16 | 56 | 13 | 12 | 85 | 13 | 12 | 54 | 15 | 13 | 40 | 5 | 4 | 60 | 6 | 5 | 67 | 4 | 4 | 25 | 36 | 32 | 81 | |
| 2018 | 704 | 100 | 80 | 206 | 29 | 83 | 114 | 16 | 80 | 55 | 8 | 53 | 35 | 5 | 74 | 13 | 2 | 62 | 123 | 17 | 92 | 24 | 3 | 79 | 102 | 14 | 87 | |
| 2019 | 67 | 100 | 81 | 10 | 15 | 80 | 9 | 13 | 89 | 8 | 12 | 38 | 13 | 19 | 92 | 2 | 3 | 50 | 1 | 1 | 100 | 3 | 4 | 100 | 17 | 25 | 94 | |

TREND TABLE B NUMBER OF BALLOT MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TOPIC, JURISDICTION, AND YEAR (CONTINUED)

| | | | | EDUCATION | | | GOVERNANCE | | | LAND USE | | | PUBLIC SAFETY | | | PUBLIC FACILITIES | | | GENERAL SERVICES | | | TRANSPORTATION | | | REVENUE | | | |
|-----------------|--------------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------------------|-------------------|-----------------|-----|
| | Number of Measures | % of All Measures | Percent Passing | Number of Measures | % of All Measures | Percent Passing | Number of Measures | % of All Measures | Percent Passing | Number of Measures | % of All Measures | Percent Passing | Number of Measures | % of All Measures | Percent Passing | Number of Measures | % of All Measures | Percent Passing | Number of Measures | % of All Measures | Percent Passing | Number of Measures | % of All Measures | Percent Passing | Number of Measures | % of All Measures | Percent Passing | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| County Measures | 1995 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1996 | 114 | 20 | 44 | 1 | 1 | 100 | 44 | 39 | 59 | 12 | 11 | 33 | 8 | 7 | 38 | 16 | 14 | 13 | 1 | 1 | 100 | 4 | 4 | 75 | 16 | 14 | 31 |
| | 1997 | | | | 1 | 4 | 100 | 5 | 21 | 60 | 3 | 13 | 100 | 2 | 8 | 0 | 5 | 21 | 40 | 5 | 21 | 80 | 1 | 4 | 100 | 1 | 4 | 0 |
| | 1998 | 125 | 22 | 59 | | | | 25 | 20 | 76 | 13 | 10 | 62 | 14 | 11 | 36 | 12 | 10 | 33 | 18 | 14 | 72 | 16 | 13 | 75 | 12 | 10 | 25 |
| | 1999 | | | | | | | 5 | 13 | 80 | | | | 3 | 8 | 33 | 3 | 8 | 67 | 7 | 18 | 29 | 8 | 21 | 88 | 7 | 18 | 86 |
| | 2000 | 116 | 21 | 49 | 1 | 1 | 100 | 22 | 19 | 64 | 17 | 15 | 35 | 14 | 12 | 36 | 16 | 14 | 44 | 8 | 7 | 63 | 16 | 14 | 44 | 3 | 3 | 33 |
| | 2001 | | | | 2 | 5 | 100 | 12 | 32 | 58 | 1 | 3 | 100 | 7 | 19 | 100 | 6 | 16 | 67 | 4 | 11 | 75 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 11 | 75 |
| | 2002 | 98 | 15 | 56 | | | | 34 | 35 | 71 | 7 | 7 | 71 | 15 | 15 | 33 | 11 | 11 | 36 | 7 | 7 | 57 | 5 | 5 | 40 | 12 | 12 | 67 |
| | 2003 | | | | | | | 10 | 36 | 90 | | | | 5 | 18 | 40 | | | | 2 | 7 | 100 | 2 | 7 | 50 | 6 | 21 | 17 |
| | 2004 | 140 | 20 | 54 | 3 | 2 | 33 | 32 | 23 | 66 | 14 | 10 | 14 | 22 | 16 | 50 | 13 | 9 | 54 | 4 | 3 | 50 | 21 | 15 | 76 | 17 | 12 | 41 |
| | 2005 | | | | | | | 12 | 21 | 67 | 6 | 11 | 33 | 6 | 11 | 33 | 8 | 14 | 75 | 9 | 16 | 78 | 9 | 16 | 78 | 4 | 7 | 50 |
| | 2006 | 95 | 17 | 52 | | | | 28 | 29 | 54 | 10 | 11 | 70 | 11 | 12 | 55 | 8 | 8 | 38 | 2 | 2 | 50 | 15 | 16 | 40 | 12 | 13 | 33 |
| | 2007 | | | | | | | 14 | 48 | 93 | 5 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | | 2 | 7 | 100 | 2 | 7 | 50 | | | |
| | 2008 | 90 | 15 | 62 | 1 | 1 | 100 | 25 | 28 | 76 | 7 | 8 | 86 | 14 | 16 | 43 | 14 | 16 | 50 | 4 | 4 | 75 | 7 | 8 | 57 | 11 | 12 | 64 |
| | 2009 | | | | | | | 7 | 44 | 86 | 3 | 19 | 33 | 0 | 0 | | | | | | | | 1 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 13 | 50 |
| | 2010 | 64 | 13 | 53 | | | | 23 | 36 | 57 | 6 | 9 | 50 | 12 | 19 | 50 | 2 | 3 | 100 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 8 | 80 | 11 | 17 | 45 |
| | 2011 | 20 | 12 | 80 | 1 | 5 | 100 | 10 | 50 | 80 | | | | 5 | 25 | 60 | 1 | 5 | 100 | | | | 1 | 5 | 100 | 2 | 10 | 100 |
| | 2012 | 76 | 14 | 63 | 1 | 1 | 100 | 19 | 25 | 74 | 7 | 9 | 43 | 7 | 9 | 43 | 12 | 16 | 67 | 1 | 1 | 100 | 5 | 7 | 40 | 18 | 24 | 72 |
| | 2013 | 12 | 9 | 75 | | | | 4 | 33 | 100 | 2 | 17 | 100 | 2 | 17 | 50 | | | | | | | 3 | 25 | 33 | | | |
| | 2014 | 84 | 15 | 57 | | | | 20 | 24 | 85 | 15 | 18 | 60 | 10 | 12 | 50 | 9 | 11 | 78 | 3 | 4 | 33 | 11 | 13 | 27 | 9 | 11 | 22 |
| 2015 | | | | | | | 12 | 35 | 83 | 4 | 12 | 25 | 5 | 15 | 40 | 2 | 6 | 50 | 1 | 3 | 100 | 3 | 9 | 33 | 2 | 6 | 50 | |
| 2016 | 151 | 17 | 59 | | | | 28 | 19 | 54 | 44 | 29 | 34 | 21 | 14 | 57 | 7 | 5 | 57 | 12 | 8 | 58 | 18 | 12 | 33 | 19 | 13 | 74 | |
| 2017 | | | | | | | 3 | 21 | 67 | | | | 1 | 7 | 0 | 2 | 14 | 100 | 3 | 21 | 67 | 3 | 21 | 33 | 2 | 14 | 50 | |
| 2018 | 102 | 14 | 75 | 1 | 1 | 100 | 15 | 15 | 80 | 12 | 12 | 58 | 9 | 9 | 67 | 4 | 4 | 75 | 14 | 14 | 86 | 18 | 18 | 89 | 20 | 20 | 70 | |
| 2019 | 16 | 24 | 88 | | | | 3 | 19 | 100 | | | | 7 | 44 | 86 | | | | | | | 3 | 19 | | 1 | 6 | 100 | |

TREND TABLE B NUMBER OF BALLOT MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TOPIC, JURISDICTION, AND YEAR (CONTINUED)

| | ALL MEASURES | | | EDUCATION | | | GOVERNANCE | | | LAND USE | | | PUBLIC SAFETY | | | PUBLIC FACILITIES | | | GENERAL SERVICES | | | TRANSPORTATION | | | | | | |
|--|--------------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------------------|-------------------|-----------------|-----|
| | Number of Measures | % of All Measures | Percent Passing | Number of Measures | % of All Measures | Percent Passing | Number of Measures | % of All Measures | Percent Passing | Number of Measures | % of All Measures | Percent Passing | Number of Measures | % of All Measures | Percent Passing | Number of Measures | % of All Measures | Percent Passing | Number of Measures | % of All Measures | Percent Passing | Number of Measures | % of All Measures | Percent Passing | Number of Measures | % of All Measures | Percent Passing | |
| | City Measures | 1995 | 47 | 71 | 4 | 3 | 100 | 56 | 47 | 86 | 13 | 11 | 69 | 12 | 10 | 50 | 11 | 9 | 45 | | | | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 100 |
| | 1996 | 374 | 65 | 60 | 2 | 1 | 100 | 170 | 45 | 68 | 42 | 11 | 62 | 31 | 8 | 55 | 22 | 6 | 55 | 8 | 2 | 38 | 4 | 1 | 25 | 71 | 19 | 49 |
| | 1997 | 144 | 42 | 58 | | | | 38 | 26 | 68 | 16 | 11 | 63 | 10 | 7 | 50 | 10 | 7 | 40 | 33 | 23 | 58 | 3 | 2 | 33 | 9 | 6 | 78 |
| | 1998 | 283 | 49 | 60 | | | | 101 | 36 | 62 | 33 | 12 | 73 | 27 | 10 | 56 | 20 | 7 | 70 | 10 | 4 | 100 | 7 | 2 | 57 | 62 | 22 | 47 |
| | 1999 | 114 | 40 | 54 | | | | 45 | 39 | 53 | 29 | 25 | 41 | 11 | 10 | 64 | 1 | 1 | 100 | 7 | 6 | 86 | | | | 16 | 14 | 56 |
| | 2000 | 297 | 53 | 60 | 7 | 2 | 71 | 119 | 40 | 64 | 56 | 19 | 61 | 18 | 6 | 61 | 23 | 8 | 83 | 12 | 4 | 50 | 5 | 2 | 40 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| | 2001 | 93 | 40 | 69 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 33 | 35 | 73 | 6 | 6 | 67 | 4 | 4 | 25 | 11 | 12 | 64 | 3 | 3 | 67 | 3 | 3 | 33 | 27 | 29 | 89 |
| | 2002 | 309 | 47 | 60 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 110 | 36 | 65 | 37 | 12 | 38 | 27 | 9 | 70 | 24 | 8 | 54 | 13 | 4 | 62 | 5 | 2 | 40 | 72 | 23 | 63 |
| | 2003 | 89 | 50 | 67 | | | | 42 | 47 | 69 | 15 | 17 | 60 | 7 | 8 | 57 | 5 | 6 | 60 | 4 | 4 | 100 | 6 | 7 | 33 | 7 | 8 | 100 |
| | 2004 | 337 | 47 | 59 | 2 | 1 | 100 | 107 | 32 | 75 | 44 | 13 | 64 | 33 | 10 | 45 | 24 | 7 | 29 | 19 | 6 | 74 | 4 | 1 | 75 | 91 | 27 | 47 |
| | 2005 | | 46 | 61 | | | | 48 | 36 | 73 | 22 | 16 | 41 | 12 | 9 | 50 | 6 | 4 | 50 | 9 | 7 | 56 | 4 | 3 | 25 | 29 | 21 | 72 |
| | 2006 | 253 | 46 | 64 | | | | 81 | 32 | 62 | 41 | 16 | 59 | 26 | 10 | 81 | 14 | 6 | 43 | 10 | 4 | 60 | 7 | 3 | 71 | 49 | 19 | 69 |
| | 2007 | 108 | 60 | 71 | | | | 49 | 45 | 78 | 13 | 12 | 54 | 5 | 5 | 100 | 3 | 3 | 67 | 5 | 5 | 80 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 31 | 29 | 68 |
| | 2008 | 258 | 44 | 73 | | | | 74 | 29 | 73 | 36 | 14 | 69 | 25 | 10 | 52 | 18 | 7 | 78 | 6 | 2 | 83 | 7 | 3 | 43 | 81 | 31 | 79 |
| | 2009 | 130 | 67 | 61 | | | | 35 | 27 | 60 | 14 | 11 | 50 | 10 | 8 | 60 | 4 | 3 | 75 | 8 | 6 | 25 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 54 | 42 | 72 |
| | 2010 | 270 | 56 | 71 | 1 | 0 | 100 | 115 | 43 | 77 | | | | 15 | 6 | 80 | 10 | 4 | 70 | 8 | 3 | 63 | 2 | 1 | 50 | 84 | 31 | 68 |
| | 2011 | 107 | 62 | 75 | | | | 51 | 48 | 82 | 8 | 7 | 75 | 4 | 4 | 50 | 4 | 4 | 100 | 4 | 4 | 50 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 32 | 30 | 72 |
| | 2012 | 248 | 47 | 67 | 2 | 1 | 100 | 89 | 36 | 63 | 16 | 6 | 63 | 6 | 2 | 33 | 11 | 4 | 45 | 10 | 4 | 70 | 7 | 3 | 57 | 81 | 33 | 80 |
| | 2013 | 90 | 66 | 68 | | | | 24 | 27 | 67 | 12 | 13 | 42 | 7 | 8 | 71 | | | | 4 | 4 | 50 | 1 | 1 | 100 | 39 | 43 | 79 |
| | 2014 | 285 | 49 | 71 | | | | 94 | 33 | 72 | 30 | 11 | 60 | 23 | 8 | 78 | 11 | 4 | 91 | 10 | 4 | 100 | 8 | 3 | 75 | 95 | 33 | 68 |
| | 2015 | 54 | 45 | 70 | | | | 11 | 20 | 82 | 13 | 24 | 38 | 3 | 6 | 67 | 3 | 6 | 67 | 1 | 2 | 100 | | | | 19 | 35 | 89 |
| | 2016 | 393 | 45 | 77 | 3 | 1 | 67 | 88 | 22 | 85 | 70 | 18 | 64 | 32 | 8 | 78 | 23 | 6 | 48 | 14 | 4 | 50 | 11 | 3 | 73 | 131 | 33 | 86 |
| | 2017 | 81 | 72 | 65 | | | | 10 | 12 | 90 | 13 | 16 | 54 | 14 | 17 | 43 | 3 | 4 | 33 | 3 | 4 | 67 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 34 | 42 | 82 |
| | 2018 | 391 | 56 | 80 | 2 | 1 | 50 | 92 | 24 | 78 | 43 | 11 | 51 | 26 | 7 | 77 | 9 | 2 | 56 | 109 | 28 | 93 | 9 | 2 | 56 | 85 | 22 | 88 |
| | 2019 | 41 | 61 | 76 | | | | 6 | 15 | 83 | 8 | 20 | 38 | 6 | 15 | 100 | 2 | 5 | 50 | 1 | 2 | 100 | | | | 16 | 39 | 94 |

TREND TABLE C COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT, AND COUNTY SERVICE AREA MEASURES BY COUNTY

| | 1998 | | | 1999 | | | 2000 | | | 2001 | | | 2002 | | |
|--|------|-----|-----------|------|-----|-----------|------|-----|-----------|------|-----|-----------|------|-----|-----------|
| | N | % | % Passing |
| Alpine | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Butte | 2 | 67 | 100 | | | | 1 | 100 | 100 | | | | | | |
| Calaveras | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Colusa | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Contra Costa | 2 | 67 | 50 | | | | 4 | 80 | 25 | 1 | 100 | 100 | 2 | 100 | 50 |
| El Dorado | 2 | 12 | 50 | | | | 7 | 78 | 29 | | | | 1 | 50 | 100 |
| Fresno | 1 | 50 | 100 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Humboldt | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Imperial | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Inyo | | | | | | | 1 | 50 | 100 | | | | | | |
| Kern | 6 | 100 | 50 | | | | 2 | 100 | 0 | 4 | 100 | 75 | | | |
| Lake | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lassen | | | | | | | 1 | 33 | 0 | | | | 4 | 80 | 25 |
| Los Angeles | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Marin | | | | 4 | 100 | 100 | 5 | 100 | 80 | 10 | 91 | 90 | 3 | 100 | 100 |
| Mendocino | | | | 1 | 100 | 0 | | | | | | | 1 | 100 | 0 |
| Modoc | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Monterey | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | 100 | 0 |
| Nevada | | | | | | | 1 | 100 | 100 | | | | | | |
| Orange | 1 | 100 | 100 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Placer | 1 | 33 | 100 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Plumas | | | | | | | 1 | 100 | 100 | 2 | 100 | 100 | | | |
| Riverside | 2 | 40 | 50 | 8 | 100 | 38 | | | | 2 | 100 | 0 | 2 | 67 | 50 |
| Sacramento | 2 | 40 | 100 | | | | 3 | 75 | 33 | | | | | | |
| San Bernardino | 2 | 100 | 50 | | | | 3 | 100 | 67 | | | | 1 | 100 | 0 |
| San Diego | 10 | 83 | 30 | | | | 3 | 60 | 33 | 1 | 100 | 100 | 2 | 40 | 0 |
| San Joaquin | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| San Luis Obispo | | | | 5 | 100 | 100 | 1 | 33 | 0 | | | | 1 | 50 | 100 |
| San Mateo | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Santa Barbara | | | | | | | | | | 1 | 100 | 0 | | | |
| Santa Cruz | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Shasta | | | | | | | 1 | 100 | 0 | | | | | | |
| Siskiyou | | | | | | | 2 | 100 | 100 | | | | | | |
| Sonoma | | | | | | | 1 | 20 | 100 | 1 | 100 | 100 | | | |
| Stanislaus | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sutter | | | | 1 | 100 | 0 | | | | | | | | | |
| Trinity | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Tulare | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Tuolumne | | | | 1 | 100 | 0 | 1 | 33 | 0 | | | | | | |
| Yolo | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Yuba | | | | | | | 2 | 67 | 50 | | | | | | |
| Total for CSD/CSA Measure Over All Counties | 31 | 25 | 55 | 20 | 53 | 60 | 40 | 34 | 48 | 22 | 59 | 77 | 18 | 18 | 44 |

TREND TABLE C COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT, AND COUNTY SERVICE AREA MEASURES BY COUNTY (CONTINUED)

| | 2003 | | | 2004 | | | 2005 | | | 2006 | | | 2007 | | |
|--|------|-----|-----------|------|-----|-----------|------|-----|-----------|------|-----|-----------|------|-----|-----------|
| | N | % | % Passing |
| Alpine | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Butte | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Calaveras | | | | | | | 3 | 100 | 100 | | | | 1 | 50 | 0 |
| Colusa | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Contra Costa | | | | 3 | 60 | 67 | 3 | 100 | 100 | 1 | 33 | 100 | 2 | 100 | 100 |
| El Dorado | 6 | 100 | 17 | 2 | 20 | 100 | 14 | 88 | 64 | 2 | 100 | 0 | 3 | 100 | 100 |
| Fresno | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Humboldt | | | | 1 | 25 | 100 | 2 | 100 | 0 | | | | | | |
| Imperial | | | | | | | 1 | 50 | 100 | | | | | | |
| Inyo | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Kern | | | | 3 | 100 | 33 | | | | 1 | 33 | 100 | | | |
| Lake | | | | 1 | 100 | 0 | | | | | | | | | |
| Lassen | | | | 1 | 100 | 100 | | | | 1 | 100 | 0 | | | |
| Los Angeles | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Marin | 1 | 100 | 100 | | | | 2 | 100 | 100 | 4 | 100 | 100 | 1 | 100 | 100 |
| Mendocino | | | | 1 | 50 | 100 | | | | | | | | | |
| Modoc | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Monterey | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Nevada | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Orange | 1 | 100 | 100 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Placer | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Plumas | 1 | 50 | 100 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Riverside | 2 | 100 | 0 | 2 | 100 | 100 | | | | | | | | | |
| Sacramento | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| San Bernardino | 1 | 100 | 0 | 1 | 50 | 0 | | | | 1 | 33 | 100 | | | |
| San Diego | | | | 3 | 33 | 33 | | | | 1 | 20 | 100 | | | |
| San Joaquin | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | 100 | 100 |
| San Luis Obispo | | | | 4 | 67 | 50 | 4 | 100 | 100 | 5 | 83 | 40 | | | |
| San Mateo | | | | 1 | 14 | 100 | | | | | | | | | |
| Santa Barbara | | | | | | | | | | 1 | 25 | 0 | | | |
| Santa Cruz | | | | | | | 1 | 100 | 0 | | | | | | |
| Shasta | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Siskiyou | | | | 5 | 83 | 0 | | | | 2 | 100 | 0 | | | |
| Sonoma | 1 | 100 | 100 | | | | | | | 1 | 50 | 0 | | | |
| Stanislaus | | | | | | | | | | 1 | 50 | 0 | | | |
| Sutter | | | | | | | | | | 1 | 100 | 0 | | | |
| Trinity | | | | | | | | | | 2 | 100 | 100 | | | |
| Tulare | | | | 1 | 100 | 100 | 1 | 100 | 100 | | | | | | |
| Tuolumne | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Yolo | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Yuba | | | | 1 | 25 | 0 | | | | | | | | | |
| Total for CSD/CSA Measure Over All Counties | 13 | 46 | 38 | 30 | 21 | 50 | 31 | 54 | 74 | 24 | 25 | 50 | 8 | 28 | 88 |

TREND TABLE C COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT, AND COUNTY SERVICE AREA MEASURES BY COUNTY (CONTINUED)

| | 2008 | | | 2009 | | | 2010 | | | 2011 | | | 2012 | | |
|---|------|-----|-----------|------|-----|-----------|------|-----|-----------|------|-----|-----------|------|-----|-----------|
| | N | % | % Passing |
| Alpine | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Butte | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Calaveras | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | 50 | 100 |
| Colusa | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Contra Costa | 3 | 100 | 67 | | | | 1 | 50 | 100 | 2 | 100 | 100 | 1 | 100 | 100 |
| El Dorado | 1 | 50 | 0 | 1 | 100 | 0 | 3 | 75 | 67 | | | | 1 | 50 | 0 |
| Fresno | | | | | | | | | | 2 | 100 | 100 | | | |
| Humboldt | 1 | 100 | 0 | | | | | | | 1 | 100 | 100 | | | |
| Imperial | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Inyo | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Kern | | | | | | | 1 | 100 | 0 | | | | | | |
| Lake | 1 | 100 | 100 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lassen | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | 100 | 0 |
| Los Angeles | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Marin | 3 | 75 | 100 | 1 | 100 | 100 | 7 | 88 | 43 | 3 | 100 | 100 | 1 | 50 | 100 |
| Mendocino | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | 33 | 0 |
| Modoc | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Monterey | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Nevada | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | 100 | 0 |
| Orange | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Placer | 1 | 33 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | 1 | 100 | 0 |
| Plumas | | | | | | | | | | 1 | 100 | 0 | | | |
| Riverside | | | | | | | 1 | 25 | 0 | | | | | | |
| Sacramento | 1 | 100 | 100 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| San Bernardino | 1 | 50 | 100 | 1 | 100 | 100 | | | | 1 | 100 | 100 | | | |
| San Diego | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| San Joaquin | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| San Luis Obispo | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | 50 | 100 |
| San Mateo | 1 | 25 | 100 | | | | | | | | | | 1 | 100 | 100 |
| Santa Barbara | | | | 1 | 100 | 100 | | | | | | | 1 | 14 | 100 |
| Santa Cruz | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Shasta | | | | 1 | 100 | 100 | 2 | 100 | 0 | | | | | | |
| Siskiyou | 3 | 75 | 33 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sonoma | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | 100 | 0 |
| Stanislaus | 1 | 25 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sutter | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Trinity | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Tulare | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Tuolumne | 1 | 100 | 100 | | | | | | | | | | 1 | 100 | 100 |
| Yolo | | | | 1 | 100 | 100 | | | | | | | 1 | 100 | 0 |
| Yuba | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total for CSD/CSA Measure Over All Counties | 18 | 20 | 61 | 6 | 38 | 83 | 15 | 23 | 40 | 10 | 50 | 90 | 14 | 18 | 50 |

TREND TABLE C COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT, AND COUNTY SERVICE AREA MEASURES BY COUNTY (CONTINUED)

| | 2013 | | | 2014 | | | 2015 | | | 2016 | | | 2017 | | |
|---|------|-----|-----------|------|-----|-----------|------|-----|-----------|------|-----|-----------|------|-----|-----------|
| | N | % | % Passing |
| Alpine | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Butte | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Calaveras | | | | | | | | | | 1 | 20 | 0 | 2 | 100 | 50 |
| Colusa | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Contra Costa | | | | | | | | | | 1 | 33 | 100 | | | |
| El Dorado | 2 | 100 | 50 | 5 | 45 | 60 | 5 | 83 | 40 | 4 | 50 | 0 | 2 | 100 | 0 |
| Fresno | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Humboldt | 1 | 100 | 100 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Imperial | | | | 2 | 100 | 0 | | | | | | | | | |
| Inyo | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Kern | 1 | 25 | 0 | | | | 1 | 50 | 100 | 1 | 50 | 0 | | | |
| Lake | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lassen | | | | 3 | 75 | 33 | 2 | 100 | 100 | 2 | 67 | 100 | | | |
| Los Angeles | 1 | 2 | 100 | | | | | | | 4 | 67 | 100 | | | |
| Marin | 1 | 11 | 100 | 1 | 25 | 100 | 2 | 22 | 100 | | | | 1 | 100 | 0 |
| Mendocino | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Modoc | | | | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 100 | 0 | 2 | 100 | 100 | | | |
| Monterey | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | 100 | 0 |
| Nevada | | | | 1 | 50 | 0 | | | | | | | 1 | 100 | 100 |
| Orange | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Placer | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Plumas | | | | | | | 4 | 100 | 100 | 1 | 100 | 100 | | | |
| Riverside | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sacramento | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| San Bernardino | 1 | 9 | 0 | | | | 2 | 40 | 0 | 3 | 100 | 67 | 1 | 100 | 100 |
| San Diego | | | | 1 | 100 | 0 | | | | 1 | 33 | 0 | | | |
| San Joaquin | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| San Luis Obispo | | | | | | | 1 | 100 | 100 | | | | | | |
| San Mateo | | | | | | | | | | 1 | 33 | 100 | | | |
| Santa Barbara | | | | | | | | | | 2 | 67 | 50 | | | |
| Santa Cruz | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Shasta | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Siskiyou | | | | 1 | 33 | 0 | | | | 2 | 29 | 50 | | | |
| Sonoma | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Stanislaus | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sutter | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Trinity | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Tulare | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Tuolumne | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Yolo | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Yuba | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total for CSD/CSA Measure Over All Counties | 7 | 58 | 57 | 16 | 19 | 38 | 19 | 56 | 12 | 25 | 17 | 60 | 9 | 64 | 44 |

**TREND TABLE C COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT, AND
COUNTY SERVICE AREA MEASURES BY COUNTY
(CONTINUED)**

| | 2018 | | | 2019 | | |
|--|------|----|--------------|------|-----|--------------|
| | N | % | % Passing | N | % | % Passing |
| Alpine | | | | 1 | 13 | 100 |
| Butte | | | | | | |
| Calaveras | | | | 1 | 13 | 100 |
| Colusa | | | | | | |
| Contra Costa | 3 | 5 | 67 | 1 | 13 | 100 |
| El Dorado | 6 | 14 | 83 | | | |
| Fresno | | | | | | |
| Humboldt | | | | | | |
| Imperial | | | | 2 | 25 | 50 |
| Inyo | | | | | | |
| Kern | | | | | | |
| Lake | 1 | 3 | 100 | | | |
| Lassen | | | | | | |
| Los Angeles | | | | | | |
| Marin | 1 | 3 | 100 | | | |
| Mendocino | | | | | | |
| Modoc | | | | | | |
| Monterey | | | | | | |
| Nevada | | | | | | |
| Orange | | | | | | |
| Placer | | | | | | |
| Plumas | | | | 1 | 13 | 100 |
| Riverside | | | | | | |
| Sacramento | | | | | | |
| San Bernardino | | | | | | |
| San Diego | 2 | 6 | 50 | | | |
| San Joaquin | | | | | | |
| San Luis Obispo | 1 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 13 | 100 |
| San Mateo | | | | | | |
| Santa Barbara | | | | | | |
| Santa Cruz | | | | | | |
| Shasta | | | | | | |
| Siskiyou | | | | | | |
| Sonoma | | | | 1 | 13 | 100 |
| Stanislaus | | | | | | |
| Sutter | | | | | | |
| Trinity | | | | | | |
| Tulare | 1 | 1 | 0 | | | |
| Tuolumne | 1 | 3 | 100 | | | |
| Yolo | | | | | | |
| Yuba | | | | | | |
| Total for CSD/CSA Measure Over All Counties | 16 | 16 | 75 | 8 | 100 | 88 |

TREND TABLE D NUMBER OF COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT, AND COUNTY SERVICE AREA MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL COUNTY MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TYPE, AND YEAR

| | ALL CSD/CSA | | | TAXES | | | BONDS | | | ADVISORY | | | RECALLS | | | GANN LIMIT | | | ORDINANCE | | |
|-----------|--------------------|----------------------|-----------|--------------------|----------------------|-----------|--------------------|----------------------|-----------|--------------------|----------------------|-----------|--------------------|----------------------|-----------|--------------------|----------------------|-----------|--------------------|----------------------|-----------|
| | Number of Measures | % of County Measures | Pass Rate | Number of Measures | % of County Measures | Pass Rate | Number of Measures | % of County Measures | Pass Rate | Number of Measures | % of County Measures | Pass Rate | Number of Measures | % of County Measures | Pass Rate | Number of Measures | % of County Measures | Pass Rate | Number of Measures | % of County Measures | Pass Rate |
| 1998 | 31 | 25 | 55 | 22 | 18 | 45 | | | | | | | | | 1 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 6 | 88 | |
| 1999 | 20 | 53 | 60 | 16 | 42 | 56 | | | | | | | | | 3 | 8 | 100 | 1 | 3 | 0 | |
| 2000 | 40 | 34 | 48 | 28 | 24 | 29 | 1 | 1 | 100 | 3 | 3 | 67 | | | 6 | 5 | 100 | 2 | 2 | 100 | |
| 2001 | 22 | 59 | 77 | 12 | 32 | 75 | 2 | 5 | 100 | | | | 3 | 8 | 100 | 3 | 8 | 100 | 2 | 5 | 0 |
| 2002 | 18 | 18 | 44 | 14 | 14 | 36 | | | | | | | | | 4 | 4 | 75 | | | | |
| 2003 | 13 | 46 | 38 | 11 | 39 | 27 | | | | | | | | | | | | 2 | 7 | 100 | |
| 2004 | 30 | 21 | 50 | 24 | 17 | 42 | | | | 1 | 1 | 100 | | | 2 | 1 | 100 | 3 | 2 | 67 | |
| 2005 | 31 | 54 | 74 | 23 | 40 | 65 | 2 | 4 | 100 | | | | 3 | 5 | 100 | 1 | 2 | 100 | 2 | 4 | 100 |
| 2006 | 24 | 25 | 50 | 15 | 16 | 47 | | | | | | | 4 | 4 | 25 | 1 | 1 | 100 | 4 | 4 | 75 |
| 2007 | 8 | 28 | 88 | 3 | 10 | 67 | | | | | | | 3 | 10 | 100 | 1 | 3 | 100 | 1 | 3 | 100 |
| 2008 | 18 | 20 | 61 | 11 | 12 | 45 | | | | 1 | 1 | 0 | | | | 4 | 100 | 2 | 2 | 100 | |
| 2009 | 6 | 38 | 83 | 3 | 19 | 67 | | | | | | | 1 | 6 | 100 | 1 | 6 | 100 | 1 | 6 | 100 |
| 2010 | 15 | 23 | 40 | 11 | 17 | 36 | | | | | | | 4 | 6 | 50 | | | | | | |
| 2011 | 10 | 50 | 90 | 4 | 20 | 75 | | | | 1 | 5 | 100 | 2 | 10 | 100 | 2 | 10 | 100 | 1 | 5 | 100 |
| 2012 | 14 | 18 | 50 | 11 | 14 | 36 | | | | | | | 1 | 1 | 100 | | | | 2 | 3 | 100 |
| 2013 | 7 | 58 | 57 | 5 | 42 | 40 | | | | 1 | 0 | 100 | | | | 1 | 8 | 100 | | | |
| 2014 | 16 | 19 | 38 | 13 | 15 | 31 | 2 | 0 | 100 | | | | | | | 1 | 1 | 0 | | | |
| 2015 | 19 | 56 | 63 | 9 | 26 | 56 | | | | 2 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 15 | 100 | 1 | 3 | 100 | 2 | 6 | 50 |
| 2016 | 25 | 17 | 60 | 17 | 11 | 47 | | | | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 100 | 1 | 1 | 100 | 4 | 3 | 100 |
| 2017 | 9 | 64 | 44 | 6 | 43 | 33 | | | | | | | | | | | | | 3 | 21 | 67 |
| 2018 | 16 | 16 | 75 | 14 | 14 | 71 | | | | | | | | | | 1 | 1 | 100 | 1 | 1 | 100 |
| 2019 | 8 | 50 | 88 | 7 | 44 | 86 | | | | | | | | | | 1 | 6 | 100 | | | |
| 1998-2019 | 402 | 28 | 58 | 281 | 19 | 48 | 7 | 0 | 100 | 10 | 1 | 50 | 28 | 2 | 82 | 35 | 2 | 91 | 41 | 3 | 80 |

TREND TABLE E COMPARISON OF PASS RATES FOR COUNTY-WIDE, AND COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT/ COUNTY SERVICE AREA TAX MEASURES BY YEAR

| | NON-CSD/CSA COUNTY-WIDE MEASURES | | CSD/CSA MEASURES | | NON-CSD/CSA COUNTY-WIDE TAX MEASURES | | CSD/CSA COUNTY TAX MEASURES | | |
|-----------|----------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|--------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|
| | Total Number of County Measures | Number of Measures | Percent Passing | Number of Measures | Percent Passing | Number of Measures | Percent Passing | Number of Measures | Percent Passing |
| 1998 | 125 | 94 | 61 | 31 | 55 | 31 | 35 | 22 | 45 |
| 1999 | 38 | 18 | 67 | 20 | 60 | 5 | 20 | 16 | 56 |
| 2000 | 116 | 76 | 50 | 40 | 48 | 23 | 26 | 28 | 29 |
| 2001 | 37 | 15 | 67 | 22 | 77 | 2 | 50 | 12 | 75 |
| 2002 | 98 | 80 | 59 | 18 | 44 | 24 | 50 | 14 | 36 |
| 2003 | 28 | 15 | 87 | 13 | 38 | 1 | 0 | 11 | 27 |
| 2004 | 140 | 110 | 55 | 30 | 50 | 36 | 47 | 24 | 42 |
| 2005 | 57 | 26 | 50 | 31 | 74 | 1 | 100 | 23 | 65 |
| 2006 | 95 | 71 | 52 | 24 | 50 | 30 | 37 | 15 | 47 |
| 2007 | 29 | 21 | 71 | 8 | 88 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 67 |
| 2008 | 90 | 72 | 63 | 18 | 61 | 22 | 0 | 11 | 45 |
| 2009 | 16 | 10 | 60 | 6 | 83 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 67 |
| 2010 | 64 | 49 | 57 | 15 | 40 | 14 | 57 | 11 | 36 |
| 2011 | 20 | 10 | 70 | 10 | 90 | 3 | 67 | 4 | 75 |
| 2012 | 76 | 62 | 66 | 14 | 50 | 28 | 68 | 11 | 36 |
| 2013 | 12 | 5 | 100 | 7 | 57 | | | 5 | 40 |
| 2014 | 84 | 68 | 62 | 16 | 38 | 20 | 40 | 13 | 31 |
| 2015 | 34 | 15 | 60 | 19 | 63 | 2 | 0 | 9 | 56 |
| 2016 | 151 | 126 | 59 | 25 | 60 | 51 | 59 | 17 | 47 |
| 2017 | 14 | 5 | 80 | 9 | 44 | 5 | 80 | 6 | 33 |
| 2018 | 102 | 86 | 24 | 16 | 75 | 58 | 79 | 14 | 71 |
| 2019 | 16 | 8 | 88 | 8 | 88 | 2 | 100 | 7 | 86 |
| 1998-2019 | 1,442 | 1,042 | 57 | 402 | 58 | 359 | 52 | 281 | 48 |

TREND TABLE F NUMBER OF COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT, AND COUNTY SERVICE AREA MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL COUNTY MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TOPIC, AND YEAR

| Year | Community Service District | | | County Service Area | | | Countywide | | | | | | | |
|------|----------------------------|----------------------|-----------------|---------------------|----------------------|-----------------|--------------------|----------------------|-----------------|--------------------|----------------------|-----------------|--------------------|----------------------|-----------------|--------------------|----------------------|-----------------|--------------------|----------------------|-----------------|----|-----|----|-----|----|
| | Number of Measures | % of County Measures | Percent Passing | Number of Measures | % of County Measures | Percent Passing | Number of Measures | % of County Measures | Percent Passing | Number of Measures | % of County Measures | Percent Passing | Number of Measures | % of County Measures | Percent Passing | Number of Measures | % of County Measures | Percent Passing | Number of Measures | % of County Measures | Percent Passing | | | | | |
| 1998 | 31 | 25 | 55 | | | | 12 | 10 | 42 | | | | 2 | 67 | 2 | 2 | 50 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 6 | 88 | 4 | 3 | 25 |
| 2000 | 40 | 34 | 48 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 10 | 9 | 30 | 2 | 2 | 100 | | | 5 | 17 | 5 | 4 | 40 | 5 | 4 | 60 | 1 | 1 | 100 | |
| 2002 | 18 | 18 | 44 | | | | 11 | 11 | 45 | 3 | 3 | 67 | | | | | | 3 | 3 | 33 | 1 | 1 | 0 | | | |
| 2004 | 30 | 21 | 50 | | | | 17 | 12 | 47 | 1 | 1 | 0 | | | 3 | 50 | 4 | 3 | 50 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 100 | |
| 2006 | 24 | 25 | 50 | | | | 7 | 7 | 71 | 7 | 7 | 43 | | | 2 | 50 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 50 | 3 | 3 | 67 | |
| 2008 | 18 | 20 | 61 | | | | 8 | 9 | 50 | 2 | 2 | 100 | | | 2 | 2 | 50 | | | | 1 | 0 | 5 | 6 | 80 | |
| 2010 | 15 | 23 | 40 | | | | 7 | 11 | 43 | 4 | 6 | 50 | | | 1 | 2 | 0 | | | | | | 3 | 5 | 33 | |
| 2012 | 14 | 18 | 50 | 1 | 1 | 100 | 6 | 8 | 33 | 2 | 3 | 100 | | | 2 | 3 | 50 | 3 | 4 | 33 | | | | | | |
| 2014 | 16 | 19 | 38 | | | | 7 | 8 | 29 | 2 | 2 | 100 | | | 3 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 100 | | | 2 | 2 | 0 | |
| | | | | 1 | 3 | 0 | | | | | | | | | 3 | 9 | 33 | 2 | 6 | 50 | 1 | 3 | 100 | | | |
| 2016 | 25 | 17 | 60 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 10 | 7 | 70 | 6 | 4 | 100 | | | 3 | 2 | 0 | | | | 1 | 1 | 100 | 4 | 3 | 25 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 3 | 21 | 33 | 1 | 7 | 100 | 1 | 7 | 0 | | | |
| 2018 | 16 | 16 | 88 | | | | 5 | 5 | 60 | 2 | 2 | 100 | | | 7 | 7 | 71 | | | | | | 2 | 2 | 100 | |
| | | | | | | | 7 | 44 | 86 | | | | | | 1 | 6 | 100 | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | 7 | 0 | 14 | 131 | 9 | 53 | 62 | 4 | 82 | 6 | 0 | 33 | 56 | 4 | 48 | 39 | 3 | 54 | 41 | 3 | 66 | 49 | 3 |

TREND TABLE G NUMBER OF CANDIDATES BY JURISDICTION, AND YEAR

| | NUMBER OF CANDIDATES | | | |
|--------------|----------------------|-------------------|-----------------|----------------------------|
| | ALL CANDIDATES | COUNTY CANDIDATES | CITY CANDIDATES | SCHOOL DISTRICT CANDIDATES |
| 1995 | 2,354 | 0 | 732 | 1,622 |
| 1996 | 5,330 | 667 | 2,141 | 2,522 |
| 1997 | 2,476 | 23 | 736 | 1,717 |
| 1998 | 5,354 | 1,037 | 1,893 | 2,424 |
| 1999 | 2,274 | 135 | 724 | 1,415 |
| | 5,012 | 796 | 2,166 | 2,050 |
| 2001 | 2,505 | 189 | 688 | 1,628 |
| 2002 | 5,896 | 1,266 | 2,188 | 2,442 |
| 2003 | 2,086 | 205 | 566 | 1,315 |
| 2004 | 5,035 | 782 | 2,212 | 2,041 |
| 2005 | 2,546 | 167 | 979 | 1,400 |
| 2006 | 5,498 | 1,136 | 2,132 | 2,230 |
| 2007 | 2,021 | 207 | 811 | 1,003 |
| 2008 | 5,237 | 782 | 2,282 | 2,173 |
| 2009 | 2,066 | 143 | 863 | 1,060 |
| | 6,022 | 1,177 | 2,321 | 2,524 |
| 2011 | 1,602 | 138 | 734 | 730 |
| 2012 | 5,208 | 776 | 2,332 | 2,100 |
| 2013 | 1,688 | 152 | 818 | 768 |
| 2014 | 5,675 | 1,204 | 2,172 | 2,299 |
| 2015 | 1,321 | 114 | 607 | 600 |
| 2016 | 5,118 | 723 | 2,361 | 2,034 |
| 2017 | 763 | 78 | 494 | 191 |
| 2018 | 6,526 | 1,176 | 2,700 | 2,650 |
| 2019 | 304 | 43 | 193 | 68 |
| Total | 89,917 | 13,116 | 35,845 | 41,006 |

*We excluded runoffs from totals.

TREND TABLE H NUMBER OF CANDIDATES FOR MAJOR COUNTY OFFICES BY YEAR

| | Total Number of Candidates | Number of County Candidates | COUNTY SUPERVISOR CANDIDATES | | CSD/CSA CANDIDATES | |
|--------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|
| | | | Number of Candidates | % of County Candidates | Number of Candidates | % of County Candidates |
| 1995 | 2,354 | 0 | 0 | 0 | * | * |
| 1996 | 5,330 | 667 | 470 | 70 | * | * |
| 1997 | 2,476 | 23 | 19 | 83 | * | * |
| 1998 | 5,354 | 1,037 | 309 | 30 | 22 | 2 |
| 1999 | 2,274 | 135 | 5 | 4 | 109 | 81 |
| | 5,012 | 796 | 441 | 55 | 174 | 22 |
| 2001 | 2,505 | 189 | 0 | 0 | 186 | 98 |
| 2002 | 5,896 | 1,266 | 306 | 24 | 127 | 10 |
| 2003 | 2,086 | 205 | 10 | 5 | 175 | 85 |
| 2004 | 5,035 | 782 | 447 | 57 | 125 | 16 |
| 2005 | 2,546 | 167 | 4 | 2 | 155 | 93 |
| 2006 | 5,498 | 1,136 | 310 | 27 | 160 | 14 |
| 2007 | 2,021 | 207 | 10 | 5 | 161 | 78 |
| 2008 | 5,237 | 782 | 441 | 56 | 174 | 22 |
| 2009 | 2,066 | 143 | 0 | 0 | 141 | 99 |
| | 6,022 | 1,177 | 331 | 28 | 170 | 14 |
| 2011 | 1,602 | 138 | 6 | 4 | 103 | 75 |
| 2012 | 5,208 | 776 | 460 | 59 | 200 | 26 |
| 2013 | 1,688 | 152 | 11 | 7 | 138 | 91 |
| 2014 | 5,675 | 1,204 | 317 | 26 | 244 | 20 |
| 2015 | 1,321 | 114 | 5 | 4 | 94 | 82 |
| 2016 | 5,118 | 723 | 431 | 60 | 158 | 22 |
| 2017 | 763 | 78 | 0 | 0 | 78 | 100 |
| 2018 | 6,526 | 1,176 | 324 | 28 | 192 | 16 |
| 2019 | 304 | 43 | 14 | 33 | 15 | 35 |
| Total | 89,917 | 13,116 | 4,671 | 36 | 3,101 | 24 |

*The California Elections Data Archive did not collect information on CSD/CSA candidates until 1998.

**We excluded runoffs from totals.

TREND TABLE I PERCENT OF INCUMBENT CANDIDATES, AND PERCENT OF PREVAILING INCUMBENTS BY MAJOR OFFICE, JURISDICTION, AND YEAR

| | PERCENT OF CANDIDATES WHO ARE INCUMBENTS | | | | PERCENTAGE OF INCUMBENTS WHO WIN | | | | PERCENTAGE OF WINNING CANDIDATES WHO ARE INCUMBENTS | | | |
|-----------|--|-----------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | % of All Candidates | % of County Supervisor Candidates | % of City Council Candidates | % of School District Candidates | % of All Candidates | % of County Supervisor Candidates | % of City Council Candidates | % of School District Candidates | % of All Candidates | % of County Supervisor Candidates | % of City Council Candidates | % of School District Candidates |
| 1995 | 27 | -- | 18 | 30 | 79 | -- | 79 | 78 | 50 | -- | 41 | 51 |
| 1996 | 27 | 24 | 23 | 28 | 79 | 75 | 74 | 78 | 48 | 51 | 41 | 47 |
| 1997 | 30 | 5 | 23 | 33 | 76 | 0 | 79 | 74 | 49 | 0 | 45 | 50 |
| 1998 | 32 | 30 | 26 | 32 | 86 | 87 | 82 | 83 | 57 | 63 | 48 | 53 |
| 1999 | 30 | 0 | 23 | 32 | 78 | -- | 81 | 77 | 51 | 0 | 45 | 52 |
| 2000 | 30 | 30 | 27 | 32 | 79 | 90 | 80 | 74 | 52 | 73 | 51 | 49 |
| 2001 | 30 | -- | 24 | 32 | 78 | -- | 80 | 77 | 50 | -- | 51 | 50 |
| 2002 | 34 | 34 | 27 | 36 | 82 | 81 | 79 | 79 | 57 | 63 | 50 | 56 |
| 2003 | 31 | 0 | 22 | 35 | 78 | -- | 72 | 79 | 51 | 0 | 40 | 55 |
| 2004 | 33 | 28 | 28 | 37 | 81 | 81 | 81 | 76 | 55 | 59 | 51 | 57 |
| 2005 | 31 | 0 | 23 | 36 | 80 | -- | 80 | 78 | 52 | 0 | 50 | 52 |
| 2006 | 35 | 29 | 29 | 36 | 82 | 90 | 78 | 78 | 56 | 68 | 51 | 55 |
| 2007 | 31 | 0 | 27 | 33 | 77 | -- | 79 | 75 | 50 | 0 | 54 | 48 |
| 2008 | 34 | 30 | 30 | 38 | 76 | 86 | 80 | 70 | 56 | 61 | 55 | 54 |
| 2009 | 34 | -- | 26 | 39 | 78 | -- | 79 | 76 | 54 | -- | 51 | 55 |
| 2010 | 35 | 28 | 29 | 39 | 82 | 83 | 82 | 79 | 59 | 61 | 56 | 59 |
| 2011 | 29 | 0 | 24 | 34 | 82 | -- | 82 | 82 | 49 | 0 | 47 | 51 |
| 2012 | 27 | 28 | 25 | 30 | 74 | 78 | 74 | 71 | 43 | 58 | 41 | 43 |
| 2013 | 30 | 0 | 27 | 31 | 77 | -- | 82 | 74 | 47 | 0 | 52 | 44 |
| 2014 | 35 | 31 | 30 | 38 | 77 | 89 | 77 | 71 | 54 | 64 | 51 | 51 |
| 2015 | 29 | 0 | 26 | 31 | 71 | -- | 74 | 67 | 45 | 0 | 46 | 43 |
| 2016 | 32 | 31 | 28 | 34 | 74 | 82 | 75 | 68 | 49 | 63 | 47 | 46 |
| 2017 | 29 | -- | 26 | 31 | 80 | -- | 86 | 70 | 55 | -- | 59 | 47 |
| 2018 | 34 | 30 | 27 | 36 | 76 | 84 | 72 | 69 | 52 | 60 | 45 | 49 |
| 2019 | 22 | 7 | 18 | 19 | 78 | 100 | 79 | 54 | 44 | 33 | 41 | 28 |
| 1995-2019 | 32 | 29 | 27 | 34 | 79 | 83 | 78 | 75 | 53 | 61 | 49 | 51 |

*We excluded runoffs from totals.

**2019 ELECTION SERIES SUMMARY:
ELECTION OUTCOMES FOR
COUNTY, CITY, AND SCHOOL DISTRICT
BALLOT MEASURES, AND CANDIDATES**

TABLE A SUMMARY OF OUTCOMES FOR ALL COUNTY, CITY, AND SCHOOL DISTRICT BALLOT MEASURES BY TYPE OF MEASURE, AND COUNTY, 2019

| | TAXES | | BONDS | | CHARTER AMENDMENT | | INITIATIVE | | GANN LIMIT | | ORDINANCE | | ALL MEASURES | | |
|-----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------|-----|----------------|----------------|------------|-----|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|------|
| | PASS | AIL | ASS | AIL | ASS | AIL | ASS | AIL | ASS | AIL | ASS | AIL | ASS | AIL | OTAL |
| Alameda | 2 _F | 0 _P | 1 _F | 0 _P | | | 0 _F | 1 _P | | | 1 _F | 0 _P | 4 _F | 1 _T | 5 |
| Alpine | 1 _F | 0 _P | | | | | | | | | | | 1 _F | 0 _T | 1 |
| Calaveras | 2 | 0 | | | | | | | | | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| Contra Costa | 2 | 0 | | | | | 0 | 1 | | | | | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| Fresno | 1 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Imperial | 0 | 1 | | | | | | | 1 | 0 | | | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Los Angeles | 12 | 2 | 1 | 0 | | | 0 | 1 | | | 5 | 0 | 18 | 3 | 21 |
| Marin | 4 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | 4 | 1 | 5 |
| Napa | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Orange | 2 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| Placer | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| Plumas | 1 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| San Diego | | | | | | | 0 | 1 | | | | | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| San Francisco | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | | | | | 2 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 6 |
| San Luis Obispo | 1 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| San Mateo | 6 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | 6 | 1 | 7 |
| Santa Clara | 1 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Sonoma | 1 | 0 | | | | | | | | | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| Stanislaus | 1 | 0 | | | | | | | | | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| All Counties | 38 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 10 | 5 | 53 | 14 | 67 |

TABLE B SUMMARY OF OUTCOMES FOR ALL COUNTY, CITY, AND SCHOOL DISTRICT BALLOT MEASURES BY TOPIC OF MEASURE AND COUNTY, 2019

| | EDUCATION | | FACILITIES | | GENERAL SERVICES | | GOVERNANCE | | HOUSING | | LAND USE | | OTHER | | REVENUE | | SAFETY | | TRANSPORT | | ALL MEASURES | | |
|-----------------|-----------|-----|------------|-----|------------------|-----|------------|-----|---------|-----|----------|-----|-------|-----|---------|-----|--------|-----|-----------|-----|--------------|-----|------|
| | PASS | AIL | ASS | AIL | ASS | AIL | ASS | AIL | ASS | AIL | ASS | AIL | ASS | AIL | ASS | AIL | ASS | AIL | ASS | AIL | ASS | AIL | OTAL |
| Alameda | 3 | 0 | | | | | | | | | 1 | 1 | | | | | | | | | 4 | 1 | 5 |
| Alpine | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | 0 | | | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Calaveras | | | | | | | 1 | 0 | | | | | | | 1 | 0 | | | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| Contra Costa | | | 1 | 0 | | | | | | | 0 | 1 | | | | | 1 | 0 | | | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| Fresno | | | | | 1 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Imperial | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | 1 | | | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Los Angeles | 1 | 1 | | | | | 4 | 0 | | | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 1 | 4 | 0 | | | 18 | 3 | 21 |
| Marin | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | 2 | 0 | | | | | 4 | 1 | 5 |
| Napa | | | | | | | | | | 0 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Orange | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 2 | 0 | | | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| Placer | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | 2 | | | | | | | | | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| Plumas | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | 0 | | | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| San Diego | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | 1 | | | | | | | | | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| San Francisco | | | | | | | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | | | 0 | 1 | | | | | 2 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 6 |
| San Luis Obispo | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | 0 | | | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| San Mateo | 1 | 1 | | | | | 1 | 0 | | | | | | | 4 | 0 | | | | | 6 | 1 | 7 |
| Santa Clara | 1 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Sonoma | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | 0 | | | | | 1 | 0 | | | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| Stanislaus | | | | | | | 0 | 1 | | | | | | | 1 | 0 | | | | | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| All Counties | 8 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 16 | 1 | 12 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 54 | 13 | 67 |

TABLE C SUMMARY OF ELECTION OUTCOMES FOR ALL COUNTY, CITY, AND SCHOOL DISTRICT OFFICES, 2019

| | | COUNTY SUPERVISOR | | CITY COUNCIL | | SCHOOL BOARD | | DIRECTOR, CSD ¹ | | OTHER COUNTY OFFICES | | OTHER CITY OFFICES | | TOTAL ^{2,3} | |
|--------------------------|---------------|-------------------|-----------|--------------|------------|--------------|-----------|----------------------------|-----------|----------------------|-----------|--------------------|-----------|----------------------|------------|
| | | Percent | N | Percent | N | Percent | N | Percent | N | Percent | N | Percent | N | Percent | N |
| Incumbent Candidates | Win | 100.0 | 1 | 78.8 | 26 | 53.8 | 7 | 77.8 | 7 | 100.0 | 4 | 100.0 | 7 | 77.6 | 52 |
| | Lose | 0.0 | 0 | 21.2 | 7 | 46.2 | 6 | 22.2 | 2 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 22.4 | 15 |
| | Total | 100.0 | 1 | 100.0 | 33 | 100.0 | 13 | 100.0 | 9 | 100.0 | 4 | 100.0 | 7 | 100.0 | 67 |
| Non-Incumbent Candidates | Win | 15.4 | 2 | 25.3 | 38 | 32.7 | 18 | 50.0 | 3 | 20.0 | 2 | 66.7 | 2 | 27.4 | 65 |
| | Lose | 84.6 | 11 | 74.7 | 112 | 67.3 | 37 | 50.0 | 3 | 80.0 | 8 | 33.3 | 1 | 72.6 | 172 |
| | Total | 100.0 | 13 | 100.0 | 150 | 100.0 | 55 | 100.0 | 6 | 100.0 | 10 | 100.0 | 3 | 100.0 | 237 |
| Wining Candidates | Incumbent | 33.3 | 1 | 40.6 | 26 | 28.0 | 7 | 70.0 | 7 | 66.7 | 4 | 77.8 | 7 | 44.4 | 52 |
| | Non-Incumbent | 66.7 | 2 | 59.4 | 38 | 72.0 | 18 | 30.0 | 3 | 33.3 | 2 | 22.2 | 2 | 55.6 | 65 |
| | Total | 100.0 | 3 | 100.0 | 64 | 100.0 | 25 | 100.0 | 10 | 100.0 | 6 | 100.0 | 9 | 100.0 | 117 |
| Losing Candidates | Incumbent | 0.0 | 0 | 5.9 | 7 | 14.0 | 6 | 40.0 | 2 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 8.0 | 15 |
| | Non-Incumbent | 100.0 | 11 | 94.1 | 112 | 86.0 | 37 | 60.0 | 3 | 100.0 | 8 | 100.0 | 1 | 92.0 | 172 |
| | Total | 100.0 | 11 | 100.0 | 119 | 100.0 | 43 | 100.0 | 5 | 100.0 | 8 | 100.0 | 1 | 100.0 | 187 |
| All Candidates | Incumbent | 7.1 | 1 | 18.0 | 33 | 19.1 | 13 | 60.0 | 9 | 28.6 | 4 | 70.0 | 7 | 22.0 | 67 |
| | Non-Incumbent | 92.9 | 13 | 82.0 | 150 | 80.9 | 55 | 40.0 | 6 | 71.4 | 10 | 30.0 | 3 | 78.0 | 237 |
| | Total | 100.0 | 14 | 100.0 | 183 | 100.0 | 68 | 100.0 | 15 | 100.0 | 14 | 100.0 | 10 | 100.0 | 304 |

¹Includes Directors of Community Service Districts and County Service Areas.

²Percent may not sum to 100 due to rounding.

³Runoffs are excluded from totals.

PART 1
VOTE TOTALS, ELECTION OUTCOMES, AND
TEXT FOR SCHOOL DISTRICT BALLOT MEASURES

TABLE 1.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR SCHOOL DISTRICT BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2019

| COUNTY | DATE | SCHOOL DISTRICT | MEASURE TITLE | TYPE OF MEASURE | TOPIC OF MEASURE | VOTE IN FAVOR | TOTAL VOTE | PERCENT OF VOTE | PASS OR FAIL* |
|---------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|------------------|---------------|------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| ALAMEDA | 5/7/2019 | Dublin Unified | Measure E | GO Bond | Education | 5,079 | 6,815 | 74.5% | Pass [†] |
| | 11/5/2019 | Piedmont City Unified | Measure G | Property Tax | Education | 3,659 | 4,415 | 82.9% | Pass [†] |
| | | | Measure H | Development Tax | Education | 3,272 | 4,399 | 74.4% | Pass [†] |
| ALPINE | No School District Measures | | | | | | | | |
| AMADOR | No School District Measures | | | | | | | | |
| BUTTE | No School District Measures | | | | | | | | |
| CALAVERAS | No School District Measures | | | | | | | | |
| COLUSA | No School District Measures | | | | | | | | |
| CONTRA COSTA | No School District Measures | | | | | | | | |
| DEL NORTE | No School District Measures | | | | | | | | |
| EL DORADO | No School District Measures | | | | | | | | |
| FRESNO | No School District Measures | | | | | | | | |
| GLENN | No School District Measures | | | | | | | | |
| HUMBOLDT | No School District Measures | | | | | | | | |
| IMPERIAL | No School District Measures | | | | | | | | |
| INYO | No School District Measures | | | | | | | | |
| KERN | No School District Measures | | | | | | | | |
| KINGS | No School District Measures | | | | | | | | |
| LAKE | No School District Measures | | | | | | | | |
| LASSEN | No School District Measures | | | | | | | | |
| LOS ANGELES | 2/26/2019 | San Marino Unified | Measure R | Property Tax | Education | 1,806 | 2,609 | 69.2% | Pass [†] |
| | 6/4/2019 | Los Angeles Unified | Measure EE | Development Tax | Education | 181,329 | 392,763 | 46.2% | Fail [†] |
| MADERA | No School District Measures | | | | | | | | |
| MARIN | 8/27/2019 | Bolinas-Stinson Union Elementary | Measure B | Property Tax | Education | 401 | 543 | 73.8% | Pass [†] |
| | 11/5/2019 | Reed Union Elementary | Measure E | Property Tax | Education | 2,825 | 3,775 | 74.8% | Pass [†] |
| MARIPOSA | No School District Measures | | | | | | | | |
| MENDOCINO | No School District Measures | | | | | | | | |
| MERCED | No School District Measures | | | | | | | | |
| MODOC | No School District Measures | | | | | | | | |
| MONO | No School District Measures | | | | | | | | |
| MONTEREY | No School District Measures | | | | | | | | |
| NAPA | No School District Measures | | | | | | | | |
| NEVADA | No School District Measures | | | | | | | | |
| ORANGE | No School District Measures | | | | | | | | |
| PLACER | No School District Measures | | | | | | | | |
| PLUMAS | No School District Measures | | | | | | | | |
| RIVERSIDE | No School District Measures | | | | | | | | |
| SACRAMENTO | No School District Measures | | | | | | | | |
| SAN BENITO | No School District Measures | | | | | | | | |
| SAN BERNADINO | No School District Measures | | | | | | | | |
| SAN DIEGO | No School District Measures | | | | | | | | |

[†]Indicates measure required two-thirds of the vote to pass. [‡]Indicates measure required 55% of the vote to pass. All other school district measures required a majority vote.

TABLE 1.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR SCHOOL DISTRICT BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2019

| COUNTY | DATE | SCHOOL DISTRICT | MEASURE TITLE | TYPE OF MEASURE | TOPIC OF MEASURE | VOTE IN FAVOR | TOTAL VOTE | PERCENT OF VOTE | PASS OR FAIL* |
|-----------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------|-----------------|------------------|---------------|------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| SAN FRANCISCO | No School District Measures | | | | | | | | |
| SAN JOAQUIN | No School District Measures | | | | | | | | |
| SAN LUIS OBISPO | No School District Measures | | | | | | | | |
| SAN MATEO | 11/5/2019 | Cabrillo Unified | Measure I | Property Tax | Education | | 7,139 | 75.0% | Pass [†] |
| | | Redwood City Elementary | Measure H | Property Tax | Education | 10,886 | 16,438 | 66.2% | Fail [†] |
| SANTA BARBARA | No School District Measures | | | | | | | | |
| SANTA CLARA | 5/7/2019 | Saratoga Union Elementary | Measure A | Property Tax | Education | 3,504 | 4,849 | 72.3% | Pass [†] |
| SANTA CRUZ | No School District Measures | | | | | | | | |
| SHASTA | No School District Measures | | | | | | | | |
| SIERRA | No School District Measures | | | | | | | | |
| SISKIYOU | No School District Measures | | | | | | | | |
| SOLANO | No School District Measures | | | | | | | | |
| SONOMA | No School District Measures | | | | | | | | |
| STANISLAUS | No School District Measures | | | | | | | | |
| SUTTER | No School District Measures | | | | | | | | |
| TEHAMA | No School District Measures | | | | | | | | |
| TRINITY | No School District Measures | | | | | | | | |
| TULARE | No School District Measures | | | | | | | | |
| TUOLUMNE | No School District Measures | | | | | | | | |
| VENTURA | No School District Measures | | | | | | | | |
| YOLO | No School District Measures | | | | | | | | |
| YUBA | No School District Measures | | | | | | | | |

TABLE 1.2 TEXT FOR SCHOOL DISTRICT BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2019

| | | | | |
|--|-----------|----------------------------------|------------|------------------------|
| ALAMEDA | 5/7/2019 | Dublin Unified | Measure E | Pass (2/3rds required) |
| To protect quality education with funding that cannot be taken by the State, shall Dublin Unified School District update/replace aging classrooms/science labs; provide 21st century computers/technology/classrooms; ensure classrooms meet safety codes; prevent student overcrowding; and improve energy/operational efficiency and utilize savings for teachers/instruction, by issuing \$99 million in bonds at legal rates, with independent oversight, no money for administrators, and all funds staying in Dublin? | | | | |
| ALAMEDA | 11/5/2019 | Piedmont City Unified | Measure G | Pass (2/3rds required) |
| To maintain the high quality of education in Piedmont schools, continue funding programs in math, science, technology, engineering, English, music, and arts, keep textbooks and instructional technology up to date, maintain smaller class sizes, and attract and retain qualified teachers, shall the Piedmont Unified School District renew its expiring parcel tax at an annual rate of \$2,763 per parcel for 8 years, providing 10.8 million dollars annually, with independent citizen oversight and all money staying local? | | | | |
| ALAMEDA | 11/5/2019 | Piedmont City Unified | Measure H | Pass (2/3rds required) |
| To provide critically needed funding to attract and retain high quality teachers and educational support staff, shall the Piedmont Unified School District levy a tax of \$0.25 per square foot of building improvements, providing 2.6 million dollars annually in dedicated funding for Piedmont schools for 8 years, with independent citizen oversight and all money staying local? | | | | |
| LOS ANGELES | 2/26/2019 | San Marino Unified | Measure R | Pass (2/3rds required) |
| In order to continue funding quality educational programs and classroom instruction, limit certain size increases and teaching position reductions, attract and retain high quality teachers and employees, and support educational programs that enhance student achievement, shall San Marino Unified School District extend its expiring school parcel tax for six years at \$366 per parcel, collecting approximately \$1.6 million annually with exemptions for seniors, adjustment for inflation and all money staying in our community to benefit our local schools? | | | | |
| LOS ANGELES | 6/4/2019 | Los Angeles Unified | Measure EE | Fail (2/3rds required) |
| To retain/attract quality teachers; reduce class sizes; provide counseling/nursing/library services, arts, music, science, math, preschool, vocational/career education, safe/well-maintained schools, adequate instructional materials/supplies; support disadvantaged/homeless students; shall Los Angeles Unified School District levy \$0.16 per square foot of building improvements annually, exempting seniors/certain disability recipients, providing approximately \$500,000,000 annually for 12 years, requiring annual audits, oversight, and funding local schools? | | | | |
| MARIN | 8/27/2019 | Bolinas-Stinson Union Elementary | Measure B | Pass (2/3rds required) |
| Given the current parcel tax of \$300.00 will expire on June 30, 2020, shall the Bolinas-Stinson Union School District continue funding quality educational programs by levying a special tax assessment of \$318.00 per year per assessor's parcel for a limited period of five (5) years with an exemption for any beneficial owner of a parcel who has attained the age of 65 on or before May 1 of any applicable year, who uses that parcel as his or her principal residence? | | | | |
| MARIN | 11/5/2019 | Reed Union Elementary | Measure E | Pass (2/3rds required) |
| To maintain excellent academic programs and high-quality, 21st-century education by attracting and retaining highly qualified, experienced teachers; maintaining and enhancing science, technology, engineering and math for all grades; supporting art, music and library programs; minimizing class size increases; shall a Reed Union School District Measure be adopted renewing the existing \$589 annual parcel tax, providing \$2.5 million annually, for 12 years, with senior exemptions, 3% annual adjustments, no money for administrator salaries and funds staying local? | | | | |
| SAN MATEO | 11/5/2019 | Cabrillo Unified | Measure I | Pass (2/3rds required) |
| To maintain quality education in Coastside schools without increasing taxes; preserve strong academic programs in reading, writing, science, technology, engineering, arts, math; retain qualified teachers/staff; prepare students for college and careers; and maintain school safety and security; shall a Measure to renew its expiring \$150 education parcel tax for eight years be adopted, raising approximately \$1,600,000 annually with independent citizen oversight, exemptions for seniors and others, and all funds staying in Coastside schools? | | | | |
| SAN MATEO | 11/5/2019 | Redwood City Elementary | Measure H | Fail (2/3rds required) |
| To maintain quality education in Redwood City with local funding that cannot be taken by the State, to attract and retain highly qualified teachers; support quality reading and writing programs; maintain science, technology, engineering and math instruction; and reduce class sizes in kindergarten and first grade, shall a Redwood City School District parcel tax Measure of \$149 per parcel for 12 years be adopted, raising \$3,450,000 annually with citizen oversight, exemption for seniors, and all funds staying local? | | | | |
| SANTA CLARA | 5/7/2019 | Saratoga Union Elementary | Measure A | Pass (2/3rds required) |
| To maintain strong core academic programs, including math and science, attract/retain qualified teachers, limit class sizes, provide up-to-date instructional materials, protect art, music, reading/writing programs, keep libraries open, shall Saratoga Union School District's Measure renewing without increasing its expiring \$68 annual parcel tax for eight years, be approved, raising \$475,000 annually which cannot be taken by the State, with exemptions for seniors, audits, independent oversight, no funds for administrators? | | | | |

TABLE 1.3 SUMMARY OF ELECTION OUTCOMES FOR SCHOOL DISTRICT BALLOT MEASURES BY TYPE OF MEASURE AND COUNTY, 2019

| | TAXES | | BONDS | | ALL MEASURES | | |
|--------------|-------|------|-------|------|--------------|------|-------|
| | PASS | FAIL | PASS | FAIL | PASS | FAIL | TOTAL |
| Alameda | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| Los Angeles | 1 | 1 | | | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Marin | 2 | 0 | | | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| San Mateo | 1 | 1 | | | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Santa Clara | 1 | 0 | | | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| All Counties | 7 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 2 | 10 |

PART 2
VOTE TOTALS FOR
SCHOOL DISTRICT OFFICE CANDIDATES

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR SCHOOL DISTRICT BOARD CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2019

| COUNTY | DATE | OFFICE | DISTRICT/ SEAT | TERM OF OFFICE | CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME | CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME | CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION | IN- CUM- BENT | NUMBER OF CANDI- DATES | VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATES | TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹ | PERCENT OF VOTE | ELEC- TED ² |
|--------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|
| ALAMEDA | 6/4/2019 | Dublin Unified | 4 | Short | Blackman | Gabrielle | Designer/Parent | No | 2 | 588 | 840 | 70.0% | Yes |
| | | | | | Natarajan | Niranjana "Nini" | Corporate Controller | No | 2 | 252 | 840 | 30.0% | No |
| | 11/5/2019 | Dublin Unified | 3 | Short | Kuo | Catherine | Parent | No | 2 | 537 | 703 | 76.4% | Yes |
| | | | | | Norrington | Malcolm | Educator/Husband/Father | No | 2 | 166 | 703 | 23.6% | No |
| ALPINE | No School District Contests | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| AMADOR | No School District Contests | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| BUTTE | No School District Contests | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| CALAVERAS | No School District Contests | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| COLUSA | No School District Contests | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| CONTRA COSTA | No School District Contests | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| DEL NORTE | No School District Contests | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| EL DORADO | No School District Contests | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FRESNO | No School District Contests | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| GLENN | No School District Contests | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| HUMBOLDT | No School District Contests | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| IMPERIAL | 11/5/2019 | Meadows Union Elementary | | Full | Lamoreaux | Margo | Self Employed | No | 7 | 48 | 221 | 21.7% | Yes |
| | | | | | Garcia | Roberto | Engineer Technician | No | 7 | 40 | 221 | 18.1% | Yes |
| | | | | | Barajas Rodriguez | Beatriz | Incumbent | Yes | 7 | 34 | 221 | 15.4% | No |
| | | | | | Villegas | Brenda | Incumbent | Yes | 7 | 34 | 221 | 15.4% | No |
| | | | | | Mayes, Jr. | Sterling E. "Arkie" | Retired Pipefitter Welder | No | 7 | 30 | 221 | 13.6% | No |
| | | | | | Mora | Sebastian O. | Trucking Owner/Operator | No | 7 | 20 | 221 | 9.0% | No |
| | | | | | Friedley | John "Jack" | Retired Business Owner | No | 7 | 15 | 221 | 6.8% | No |
| INYO | No School District Contests | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| KERN | No School District Contests | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| KINGS | No School District Contests | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| LAKE | No School District Contests | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| LASSEN | 5/7/2019 | Lassen Community College ³ | 1 | Full | Wages | Sophia A. | Incumbent/Foundation Board | Yes | 5 | 1,856 | 5,197 | 35.7% | Yes |
| | | | | | Siemer | Alan | Retired Educator | No | 5 | 1,237 | 5,197 | 23.8% | Yes |
| | | | | | Hubbard | BobbyJames "BJ" | Law Clerk | No | 5 | 810 | 5,197 | 15.6% | No |
| | | | | | Madden | Veree Joy | Teacher | No | 5 | 753 | 5,197 | 14.5% | No |
| | | | | | Westbrook | Melanie | Real Estate Broker | No | 5 | 500 | 5,197 | 9.6% | No |
| LOS ANGELES | 3/5/2019 | Los Angeles Unified | 5 | Full | Goldberg | Jackie | Teacher | No | 10 | 15,935 | 33,074 | 48.2% | Runoff |
| | | | | | Repenning | Heather | Parent/City Commissioner | No | 10 | 4,341 | 33,074 | 13.1% | Runoff |
| | | | | | Ortiz | Graciela "Grace" | Educator/City Councilmember | No | 10 | 4,310 | 33,074 | 13.0% | No |
| | | | | | Gonzalez | Cynthia | Principal/Parent | No | 10 | 3,230 | 33,074 | 9.8% | No |
| | | | | | Bajracharya | Allison Greenwood | Educator/Parent | No | 10 | 1,986 | 33,074 | 6.0% | No |
| | | | | | Cubas | Ana | Professor/Non-Profit Executive | No | 10 | 1,145 | 33,074 | 3.5% | No |
| | | | | | Valdez | David | Los Angeles County Arts Commissioner | No | 10 | 678 | 33,074 | 2.0% | No |
| | | | | | Rivas | Rocio | Mother/Educational Researcher | No | 10 | 545 | 33,074 | 1.6% | No |

¹Write-in candidate votes, when reported by the county, have been included in the total votes cast. For these contests, the sum of the candidate votes is less than the total votes cast.

²We reported all candidate information separately county, except for multi-county school districts, where the elected outcome reflects the multi-county result.

³Multi-county school district. We reported votes for Lassen county separately.

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR SCHOOL DISTRICT BOARD CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2019

| COUNTY | DATE | OFFICE | DISTRICT/ SEAT | TERM OF OFFICE | CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME | CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME | CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION | IN- CUM- BENT | NUMBER OF CANDI- DATES | VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATES | TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹ | PERCENT OF VOTE | ELEC- TED ² |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|
| LOS ANGELES (continued) | 3/5/2019 | Los Angeles Unified | 5 | Full | Sanchez | Salvador "Chamba" | Professor/Community Activist | No | 10 | 522 | 33,074 | 1.6% | No |
| | | | | | Valencia | Nestor Enrique | Councilmember/Parent | No | 10 | 382 | 33,074 | 1.2% | No |
| | 5/14/2019 | Los Angeles Unified | 5 | Full | Flinn | Raymur Elizabeth | Executive Director RBEF | No | 2 | 7,439 | 13,128 | 56.7% | Yes |
| | | | | | Witkin | David | Incumbent | Yes | 2 | 5,689 | 13,128 | 43.3% | Yes |
| | | | | | Goldberg | Jackie | Teacher | No | 2 | 20,552 | 28,805 | 71.3% | Yes |
| | | | | | Repenning | Heather | Parent/City Commissioner | No | 2 | 8,253 | 28,805 | 28.7% | No |
| MADERA | No School District Contests | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| MARIN | No School District Contests | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| MARIPOSA | No School District Contests | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| MENDOCINO | No School District Contests | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| MERCED | No School District Contests | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| MODOC | 5/7/2019 | Lassen Community College ⁴ | 1 | Full | Wages | Sophia A. | Incumbent/Foundation Board | Yes | 5 | 47 | 105 | 44.8% | Yes |
| Siemer | | | | | Alan | Retired Educator | No | 5 | 20 | 105 | 19.0% | Yes | |
| Madden | | | | | Veree Joy | Teacher | No | 5 | 19 | 105 | 18.1% | No | |
| Westbrook | | | | | Melanie | Real Estate Broker | No | 5 | 9 | 105 | 8.6% | No | |
| Hubbard | | | | | BobbyJames "BJ" | Law Clerk | No | 5 | 8 | 105 | 7.6% | No | |
| MONO | No School District Contests | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| MONTEREY | No School District Contests | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| NAPA | No School District Contests | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| NEVADA | No School District Contests | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ORANGE | 11/5/2019 | Santa Ana Unified | | Short | Torres | Carolyn | Teacher | No | 4 | 4,924 | 10,493 | 46.9% | Yes |
| | | | | | Benavides | David | Education Non-Profit Director | No | 4 | 3,226 | 10,493 | 30.7% | No |
| | | | | | Contreras | Gisela | Parent/Businesswoman | No | 4 | 1,406 | 10,493 | 13.4% | No |
| | | | | | Aguinaga | Cecilia | Businessowner/Housing Commissioner | No | 4 | 937 | 10,493 | 8.9% | No |
| PLACER | No School District Contests | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| PLUMAS | No School District Contests | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| RIVERSIDE | 5/7/2019 | Moreno Valley Unified | 5 | Short | Peeden | Darrell A. | Youth Policy Advocate | No | 5 | 770 | 2,121 | 36.3% | Yes |
| | | | | | Ashley | John | Chief Technology Officer | No | 5 | 544 | 2,121 | 25.6% | No |
| | | | | | Then | Keri A. | Educator | No | 5 | 479 | 2,121 | 22.6% | No |
| | | | | | Vargas Sanchez | Patricia | County Worker | No | 5 | 253 | 2,121 | 11.9% | No |
| | | | | | Schoelles | George F | CEO/Instructor | No | 5 | 75 | 2,121 | 3.5% | No |
| | 6/4/2019 | Palo Verde Community College | 1 | Full | Hyduke II | Ned William | General Manager | No | 3 | 404 | 933 | 43.3% | Yes |
| | | | | | Fulmer | Heather L. | Office Manager | No | 3 | 333 | 933 | 35.7% | No |
| | | | | | Schuster | Judy A. | Retired | No | 3 | 196 | 933 | 21.0% | No |
| | 11/5/2019 | Banning Unified | 1 | Short | Sattler | Leslie | ired Teacher | No | 3 | 1,259 | 1,973 | 63.8% | Yes |
| | | | | | Moyer | George Edward | Appointed Incumbent | Yes | 3 | 644 | 1,973 | 32.6% | No |
| | | | | | Kalani | John | Entertainment Technician | No | 3 | 70 | 1,973 | 3.5% | No |
| | | | | | Anguiano | | Case Manager/Parent | No | 2 | 240 | 297 | 80.8% | Yes |
| | | | | | Andrade | Alfredo ^{Ret} | Incumbent | Yes | | 57 | 297 | 19.2% | No |
| 3 | Full | Troutman | Laura | No Ballot Designation | No | 2 | 277 | 415 | 66.7% | Yes | | | |
| Mariner | Kerri | Incumbent | Yes ² | 2 | 138 | 415 | 33.3% | No | | | | | |
| SACRAMENTO | No School District Contests | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Mayra

⁴Multi-county school district. We reported votes for Modoc county separately.

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR SCHOOL DISTRICT BOARD CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2019

| COUNTY | DATE | OFFICE | DISTRICT/ SEAT | TERM OF OFFICE | CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME | CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME | CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION | IN- CUM- BENT | NUMBER OF CANDI- DATES | VOTES FOR CANDI- DATES | TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹ | PERCENT OF VOTE | ELEC- TED ² |
|-----------------|-----------|--|-------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|
| SAN BENITO | | No School District Contests | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SAN BERNARDINO | 11/5/2019 | Victor Valley Union High | 2 | Short | Castaneda | Caleb | Community College Instructor | No | 3 | 665 | 1,320 | 50.4% | Yes |
| | | | | | Crosby | Lisa Ann | Substitute Teacher | No | 3 | 418 | 1,320 | 31.7% | No |
| | | | | | Cooper | J Margaret | No Ballot Designation | No | 3 | 237 | 1,320 | 18.0% | No |
| SAN DIEGO | | No School District Contests | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SAN FRANCISCO | 11/5/2019 | San Francisco Community College | | Full | Lee | Ivy | Appointed City College Trustee | Yes | 1 | 157,858 | 157,858 | 100.0% | Yes |
| | | San Francisco County Office of Education | | Full | Lam | Jenny | School Board Member/Education Advisor | Yes | 3 | 121,154 | 164,585 | 73.6% | Yes |
| | | | | | Strobel | Kirsten | Director, Arts Nonprofit | No | 3 | 26,036 | 164,585 | 15.8% | No |
| | | | | | Coleman | Robert K. | Editor/Artist | No | 3 | 17,395 | 164,585 | 10.6% | No |
| SAN JOAQUIN | | No School District Contests | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SAN LUIS OBISPO | | No School District Contests | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SAN MATEO | 11/5/2019 | San Mateo-Foster City Elementary | | Full | Proctor | Alison | CPA/Parent | No | 3 | 8,929 | 25,369 | 35.2% | Yes |
| | | | | | Chin | Ken | Appointed Incumbent | Yes | 3 | 8,326 | 25,369 | 32.8% | Yes |
| | | | | | Tsai | Annie | Businesswoman/Parent | No | 3 | 8,114 | 25,369 | 32.0% | No |
| SANTA BARBARA | | No School District Contests | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SANTA CLARA | | No School District Contests | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SANTA CRUZ | | No School District Contests | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SHASTA | | No School District Contests | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SIERRA | | No School District Contests | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SISKIYOU | | No School District Contests | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SOLANO | | No School District Contests | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SONOMA | | No School District Contests | | | | | | | | | | | |
| STANISLAUS | 11/5/2019 | Ceres Unified | 1 | Full | De La Porte | Brian | Educator | No | 2 | 386 | 586 | 65.9% | Yes |
| | | | | | Kinard | Jim | Incumbent | Yes | | 200 | | 34.1% | No |
| | | Hart-Ransom Union Elementary | | Full | Renicker | Seth | Incumbent | Yes | 4 | 175 | 600 | 29.2% | Yes |
| | | | | | Brunk | Shawn | Utility Lineman | No ² | 4 | 167 ⁵⁸⁶ | 600 | 27.8% | Yes |
| | | | | | Alderson | Andy | Agriculture Operations Manager | No | 4 | 146 | 600 | 24.3% | No |
| | | | | | Dubbs | Matt | Salesman/Parent/Coach | No | 4 | 112 | 600 | 18.7% | No |
| SUTTER | | No School Districts | | | | | | | | | | | |
| TEHAMA | | No School Districts | | | | | | | | | | | |
| TRINITY | | No School Districts | | | | | | | | | | | |
| TULARE | | No School Districts | | | | | | | | | | | |
| TUOLUMNE | | No School Districts | | | | | | | | | | | |
| VENTURA | | No School Districts | | | | | | | | | | | |
| YOLO | | No School Districts | | | | | | | | | | | |
| YUBA | | No School Districts | | | | | | | | | | | |

TABLE 2.2 SUMMARY OF ELECTION OUTCOMES FOR SCHOOL BOARD MEMBER, 2019

| | | Percent ¹ | N ² |
|-----------------------------|---------------|----------------------|----------------|
| Incumbent Candidates | Win | 53.8 | 7 |
| | Lose | 46.2 | 6 |
| | Total | 100.0 | 13 |
| Non-Incumbent Candidates | Win | 32.7 | 18 |
| | Lose | 67.3 | 37 |
| | Total | 100.0 | 55 |
| Winning Candidates | Incumbent | 28.0 | 7 |
| | Non-Incumbent | 72.0 | 18 |
| | Total | 100.0 | 25 |
| Losing Candidates | Incumbent | 14.0 | 6 |
| | Non-Incumbent | 86.0 | 37 |
| | Total | 100.0 | 43 |
| All Candidates | Incumbent | 19.1 | 13 |
| | Non-Incumbent | 80.9 | 55 |
| | Total | 100.0 | 68 |

¹Percent may not sum up to 100 due to rounding.

²We excluded runoffs from totals.