



**County Offices and Ballot Measures**

---

**CALIFORNIA COUNTY, CITY, AND  
SCHOOL DISTRICT ELECTION OUTCOMES:**

---

**CANDIDATES AND BALLOT MEASURES  
2020 ELECTIONS**

California State University, Sacramento  
Institute for Social Research & The Center for California Studies

CALIFORNIA COUNTY, CITY, AND SCHOOL DISTRICT  
ELECTION OUTCOMES:  
CANDIDATES AND BALLOT MEASURES, 2020 ELECTIONS

COUNTY OFFICES AND BALLOT MEASURES

Leonor Ehling, M.P.P.  
Executive Director, Center for California Studies

Shannon A. Williams, Ph.D.  
Director, Institute for Social Research

Valory Messier, M.A.  
Research Specialist, Institute for Social Research

Fleur M. Marfori, B.A.  
Graduate Research Assistant, Institute for Social Research

Sebastian Cambrey, B.A.  
Graduate Research Assistant, Institute for Social Research

Center for California Studies  
California State University, Sacramento  
6000 J Street, Sacramento, CA 95819  
(916) 278-6906 FAX: (916) 278-5199

Institute for Social Research  
California State University, Sacramento  
304 S Street, Sacramento, CA 95811  
(916) 278-4317 FAX: (916) 278-5150



## *Acknowledgements*

*The researchers would like to sincerely thank the county elections officials and staff throughout the State of California who took time to provide data to the project. Additionally, we are grateful to the Secretary of State's Office for its continuing support and interest in this project. Without their assistance, the completion of these yearly reviews and the California Elections Data Archive would not be possible.*

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

Introduction.....	i
2020 County, City, and School District Election Dates by County .....	iii
Trend Table A Number of Ballot Measures, Percent of Total Measures, and Percent Passing by Type, Jurisdiction, and Year.....	v
Trend Table B Number of Ballot Measures, Percent of Total Measures, and Percent Passing by Topic, Jurisdiction, and Year.....	x
Trend Table C Community Service District, and County Service Area Measures by County .....	xv
Trend Table D Number of Community Service District, and County Service Area Measures, Percent of Total County Measures, and Percent Passing by Type, and Year .....	xx
Trend Table E Comparison of Pass Rates for County-Wide and Community Service District/County Service Area Tax Measures by Year .....	xxii
Trend Table F Number of Community Service District, and County Service Area Measures, Percent of Total County Measures, and Percent Passing by Topic, and Year .....	xxiii
Trend Table G Number of Candidates by Jurisdiction and Year.....	xxiii
Trend Table H Number of Candidates for Major County Offices by Year.....	xxiv
Trend Table I Percent of Incumbent Candidates, and Percent of Prevailing Incumbents by Major Office, Jurisdiction, and Year.....	xxv
<b>2020 Election Series Summary:</b>	
<b>Election Outcomes for County, City, and School District Ballot Measures, and Candidates</b> .....	1
Table A Summary of Outcomes for All County, City, and School District Ballot Measures by Type of Measure, and County, 2020 .....	2
Table B Summary of Outcomes for All County, City, and School District Ballot Measures by Topic of Measure, and County, 2020 .....	4
Table C Summary of Election Outcomes for All County, City, and School District Offices, 2020 .....	6
<b>Part 1 Vote Totals, Election Outcomes and Text for County Ballot Measures</b> .....	7
Table 1.1 Vote Totals for County Ballot Measures by County, 2020.....	8
Table 1.2 Text for County Ballot Measures by County, 2020 .....	12
Table 1.3 Summary of Election Outcomes for County Ballot Measures by Type of Measure, and County, 2020 .....	22
Table 1.4 Summary of Election Outcomes for County Ballot Measures by Topic of Measure, and County, 2020 .....	24
<b>Part 2 Vote Totals for County Office Candidates</b> .....	25
Table 2.1 Vote Totals for County Office Candidates by County, and Election Date, 2020 .....	26
Table 2.2 Summary of Election Outcomes for County Offices, 2020 .....	55

# CALIFORNIA ELECTIONS DATA ARCHIVE

## INTRODUCTION

The California Elections Data Archive (CEDA) is a joint project of the Center for California Studies, and the Institute for Social Research (ISR), at the California State University, Sacramento, and the office of the California Secretary of State. The purpose of CEDA is to provide researchers, citizens, public agencies, and other interested parties with a single repository of local election data. With over 6,000 local jurisdictions in California, the task of monitoring local elections is nearly impossible for individuals. CEDA addresses this problem through the creation of a single, cost-effective, and easily accessible source of local election data. CEDA includes candidate, and ballot measure results for county, city, community college, and school district elections throughout the State. CEDA thus represents the only comprehensive repository of local election results in California and one of a very few such databases on local elections in the U.S.

### How the CEDA Data is Collected and Reported

ISR staff collects election data periodically throughout each calendar year. This enables CEDA to incorporate results from special elections as well as all regularly scheduled elections. ISR staff enters election results from counties, cities, community colleges, and school districts into the CEDA database and then uses this database to generate three standard CEDA reports. These reports include:

- County Elections: Candidates, ballot designations, and vote totals for all elected county offices; vote totals and text for county ballot measures.
- City Elections: Candidates, ballot designations, and vote totals for all elected city offices; vote totals, and text for all city ballot measures.
- Community College and School District Elections: Candidates, ballot designations, and vote totals for all elective community college and school district offices; vote totals and text for all district ballot measures.

ISR staff codes ballot measures for all jurisdictions according to type (e.g., charter amendment, taxes, bond measure, initiative, etc.) and to topic (e.g., education, public safety, governance, etc.).

## THE CEDA PARTNERSHIP

### THE CENTER FOR CALIFORNIA STUDIES

Located at California State University, Sacramento, the Center for California Studies is a public policy, public service, and curricular support unit of the California State University. The Center's location in the state Capital and its ability to draw upon the resources of the entire State University system give it a unique capacity for making contributions to public policy development, and the public life of California. Center programs cover four broad areas: administration of the nationally known Assembly, Senate, Executive, and Judicial Administration Fellowship Programs; university-state government liaison and applied policy research; civic education and community service through forums, conferences, and issue dialogues; and curricular support activity in the interdisciplinary field of California Studies.

### INSTITUTE FOR SOCIAL RESEARCH

Established in 1989, the Institute for Social Research (ISR) at California State University, Sacramento (CSUS) is a multidisciplinary institute that is committed to advancing the understanding of the social world through applied research. The Institute offers research expertise and technical assistance serving as a resource to agencies, organizations, the University, and the broader community. Utilizing quantitative and qualitative methods, ISR produces various types of assessments, program and policy evaluations, survey research, workload studies, and specialized analyses. Services include research design, sampling design, data collection and coding, computer-assisted telephone and field interviewing, mailed and online surveys, focus groups, database management, and statistical analysis. ISR has completed hundreds of projects with more than 50 federal, state and community agencies, private firms, and many academic units. Faculty affiliates of the Institute offer specific content expertise in a wide variety of disciplines, including the social sciences, health and human services, and education.

### CALIFORNIA SECRETARY OF STATE

Among their other duties, the Secretary of State acts as California's chief elections officer with the responsibility of administering the provisions of the Elections Code. The Secretary must compile state election returns, and issue certificates of election to winning candidates; compile the returns and certify the results of initiative and referendum elections; certify acts delayed by referendum, and prepare and file a statement of vote. Recent legislation permits but does not mandate that the Secretary of State compile local election results.

2020 COUNTY, CITY, AND SCHOOL DISTRICT ELECTION DATES BY COUNTY

	3/3	4/7	4/14	5/5	5/19	6/2	7/21	11/3
Alameda	●							●
Alpine	●							●
Amador	●							●
Butte	●							●
Calaveras	●							●
Colusa	●							●
Contra Costa	●			●				●
Del Norte	●							●
El Dorado	●							●
Fresno	●							●
Glenn	●							●
Humboldt	●							●
Imperial	●							●
Inyo	●							●
Kern	●							●
Kings	●							●
Lake	●							●
Lassen	●							●
Los Angeles	●		●			●	●	●
Madera	●							●
Marin	●							●
Mariposa	●							●
Mendocino	●							●
Merced	●							●
Modoc	●							●
Mono	●							●
Monterey	●							●
Napa	●							●
Nevada	●							●
Orange	●	●			●			●

## 2020 COUNTY, CITY, AND SCHOOL DISTRICT ELECTION DATES BY COUNTY

	3/3	4/7	4/14	5/5	5/19	6/2	7/21	11/3
Placer	●							●
Plumas	●							●
Riverside	●		●	●				●
Sacramento	●							●
San Benito	●							●
San Bernardino	●							●
San Diego	●							●
San Francisco	●							●
San Joaquin	●							●
San Luis Obispo	●							●
San Mateo	●							●
Santa Barbara	●							●
Santa Clara	●							●
Santa Cruz	●							●
Shasta	●							●
Sierra	●							●
Siskiyou	●							●
Solano	●							●
Sonoma	●							●
Stanislaus	●							●
Sutter	●							●
Tehama	●							●
Trinity	●							●
Tulare	●							●
Tuolumne	●							●
Ventura	●							●
Yolo	●							●
Yuba	●							●



TREND TABLE A NUMBER OF BALLOT MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TYPE, JURISDICTION, AND YEAR

	ALL MEASURES			BONDS			TAXES			ORDINANCE			RECALLS			INITIATIVES			CHARTER AMENDMENT		
	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing
<b>All Measures</b>																					
1995-2020	411	100	67	110	27	71	133	32	63	81	20	65	13	3	73	10	2	46	46	11	77
Even Years	624	100	68	177	28	73	198	32	62	125	20	68	14	2	72	15	2	48	69	11	77
Odd Years	197	100	63	42	21	61	68	34	65	38	19	62	12	6	74	5	2	41	24	12	77
<b>County</b>																					
1995-2020	65	16	59	2	3	73	28	43	50	18	28	65	2	3	76	3	4	41	7	10	68
Even Years	103	17	57	3	3	71	46	44	48	29	28	64	2	2	59	4	4	40	12	11	68
Odd Years	26	13	67	1	5	78	10	39	57	7	28	71	2	8	89	1	3	50	2	7	72
<b>City</b>																					
1995-2020	207	50	67	5	2	60	80	39	67	58	28	60	6	3	73	7	4	50	39	19	79
Even Years	313	50	67	7	2	63	124	40	67	89	28	61	8	3	72	10	3	52	57	18	79
Odd Years	100	51	65	2	2	50	36	36	66	26	26	57	4	4	76	4	4	45	22	22	78
<b>School District</b>																					
1995-2020	139	34	71	103	74	71	25	18	66	5	4	81	5	4	72	0	0	50	0	0	100
Even Years	208	33	73	166	80	73	29	14	66	7	3	84	4	2	79	0	0	0	0	0	100
Odd Years	71	36	64	39	55	61	21	30	66	4	6	77	6	9	67	0	0	100			

TREND TABLE A NUMBER OF BALLOT MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TYPE, JURISDICTION, AND YEAR (CONTINUED)

	ALL MEASURES			BONDS			TAXES			ORDINANCE			RECALLS			INITIATIVES			CHARTER AMENDMENT			
	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	
All Measures	1995	283	100	37	91	36	47	26	10	35	46	18	61	8	3	88	8	3	50	55	22	93
	1996	573	100	57	64	11	59	142	25	40	176	31	58	32	6	72	18	3	39	115	20	73
	1997	342	100	60	127	37	59	100	29	56	45	13	69	29	8	38	7	2	71	31	9	81
	1998	572	100	61	144	25	58	162	28	48	115	20	58	19	3	74	9	2	56	94	16	77
	1999	283	100	60	107	38	59	54	19	57	68	24	57	14	5	71	10	4	40	20	7	50
	2000	559	100	58	135	24	60	122	22	39	154	28	58	11	2	100	21	4	67	79	14	67
	2001	233	100	70	73	31	75	68	29	72	33	14	58	21	9	71	1	0	100	25	11	60
	2002	657	100	68	245	37	76	155	24	54	136	21	54	8	1	63	10	2	40	77	12	77
	2003	178	100	63	22	12	55	62	35	48	47	26	70	9	5	89	5	3	40	24	13	75
	2004	712	100	63	179	25	75	258	36	47	144	20	64	11	2	73	14	2	29	72	10	79
	2005	295	100	64	57	19	74	111	38	58	59	20	54	11	4	82	7	2	43	35	12	89
	2006	556	100	62	185	33	59	142	26	56	123	22	63	17	3	29	22	4	36	39	7	82
	2007	179	100	72	22	12	55	61	34	74	40	22	58	13	7	100	1	1	0	38	21	79
	2008	593	100	75	201	34	82	188	32	67	123	21	65	12	2	58	11	2	91	39	7	90
	2009	193	100	63	6	3	33	99	51	67	35	18	63	13	7	69	3	2	33	20	10	60
	2010	482	100	67	97	20	70	164	34	60	117	24	67	27	6	78	11	2	55	50	10	76
	2011	172	100	72	10	6	80	75	44	67	29	17	72	16	9	75	2	1	0	31	18	81
	2012	530	100	72	156	29	81	178	34	69	112	21	62	14	3	93	2	0	50	51	10	63
	2013	137	100	72	11	8	73	65	47	78	34	25	50	8	6	88	4	3	100	11	8	73
	2014	577	100	73	193	33	81	175	30	69	81	14	72	7	1	57	14	2	57	72	12	76
2015	120	100	74	13	11	77	47	39	79	20	17	75	14	12	100	9	8	22	11	9	64	
2016	872	100	79	294	34	91	279	32	73	138	16	72	10	1	90	37	4	41	86	10	83	
2017	113	100	63	8	7	38	73	65	64	20	18	65	1	1	100	3	3	0	7	6	86	
2018	704	100	80	182	26	81	329	47	84	98	14	66	9	1	89	11	2	36	58	8	93	
2019	67	100	79	3	4	100	43	64	88	15	22	67				4	6	0	1	1	100	
2020	722	100	63	223	31	49	283	39	68	107	15	66	11	2	73	15	2	53	64	9	81	

TREND TABLE A NUMBER OF BALLOT MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TYPE, JURISDICTION, AND YEAR  
(CONTINUED)

	ALL MEASURES			BONDS			TAXES			ORDINANCE			RECALLS			INITIATIVES			CHARTER AMENDMENT			
	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	
	County Measures	1995	17	7	53			6	35	33	2	12	0				6	35	83			
	1996	114	20	44	3	3	33	34	30	26	41	36	54	5	4	80	7	6	14	17	15	47
	1997	24	7	63	7	29	57	7	29	71	4	17	100	2	8	50				4	17	25
	1998	125	22	59	1	1	0	53	42	40	32	26	75				4	3	25	25	20	76
	1999	38	13	63	1	3	100	21	55	48	8	21	63							4	11	100
	2000	116	21	49	6	5	83	51	44	27	28	24	50				8	7	88	8	7	38
	2001	37	16	73	3	8	100	14	38	71	11	30	64	4	11	75				1	3	0
	2002	98	15	56	5	5	20	38	39	45	39	40	67	1	1	0	2	2	50	7	7	71
	2003	28	16	64				12	43	25	15	54	100	1	4	0						
	2004	140	20	54	0	2	0	60	43	45	47	34	62	1	1	0	4	3	25	18	13	56
	2005	57	19	63	3	5	67	24	42	67	16	28	56	3	5	100	3	5	67	2	4	50
	2006	95	17	52				45	47	40	30	32	60	4	4	25	2	2	50	6	6	83
	2007	29	16	76	1	3	100	3	10	67	16	55	63	8	28	100						
	2008	90	15	62	3	3	100	33	37	42	40	44	65	1	1	100	2	2	100	4	4	100
	2009	16	8	69				4	25	50	6	38	67	1	6	100				2	13	100
	2010	64	13	53	3	5	67	25	39	48	22	34	59	4	6	50	2	3	50	6	9	50
	2011	20	12	80	1	5	100	7	35	71	4	20	75	2	10	100				3	15	67
	2012	76	14	63	1	1	100	39	51	59	20	26	60	1	1	100	2	3	50	10	13	70
	2013	12	9	75				5	42	40				1	8	100	3	25	100	1	8	100
	2014	84	15	57	4	5	100	33	39	36	19	23	74	1	1	0	8	10	50	12	14	92
	2015	34	28	62	1	3	100	11	32	45	8	24	88	5	15	100	4	12	0	1	3	100
	2016	151	17	59	4	3	100	68	45	56	38	25	66	3	2	100	12	8	25	17	11	59
	2017	14	12	57				11	79	55	3	21	67									
	2018	102	14	75	3	3	67	72	71	78	11	11	82	1	1	100	2	2	0	8	8	75
	2019	16	24	88	1	6	100	10	63	90	3	19	67							1	6	100
	2020	85	12	66	5	6	80	45	53	56	13	15	77				3	4	0	13	15	85

TREND TABLE A NUMBER OF BALLOT MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TYPE, JURISDICTION, AND YEAR (CONTINUED)

	ALL MEASURES			BONDS			TAXES			ORDINANCE			RECALLS			INITIATIVES			CHARTER AMENDMENT			
	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	
City Measures	1995	119	47	71	4	3	75	7	6	29	38	32	58				7	6	43	49	41	94
	1996	374	65	60	10	3	30	100	27	43	115	31	59	24	6	79	11	3	55	98	26	78
	1997	144	42	58	2	1	50	70	49	50	28	19	54	9	6	22	7	5	71	27	19	89
	1998	283	49	60	9	3	78	99	35	47	78	28	53	7	2	43	5	2	80	69	24	77
	1999	114	40	54	4	4	75	22	19	55	48	42	48	8	7	100	10	9	40	16	14	38
	2000	297	53	60	11	4	82	65	22	45	113	38	56	6	2	100	13	4	54	71	24	70
	2001	93	40	69	8	9	63	31	33	74	18	19	61	3	3	100	1	1	100	24	26	63
	2002	309	47	60	12	4	83	102	33	58	94	30	48	5	2	60	8	3	38	70	23	77
	2003	89	50	67	2	2	50	14	16	71	29	33	55	6	7	100	5	6	40	24	27	75
	2004	337	47	59	7	2	43	147	44	46	92	27	63	6	2	67	10	3	30	54	16	87
	2005	135	46	61	2	1	0	47	35	55	37	27	51	3	2	33	4	3	25	33	24	91
	2006	253	46	64	10	4	50	82	32	70	85	34	61	6	2	17	20	8	35	33	13	82
	2007	108	60	71	2	2	0	40	37	73	19	18	53	5	5	100	1	1	0	38	35	79
	2008	258	44	73	5	2	100	111	43	71	80	31	65	8	3	38	9	3	89	35	14	89
	2009	130	67	61	1	1	0	63	48	68	28	22	61	3	2	33	3	2	33	18	14	56
	2010	270	56	71	2	1	0	95	35	69	91	34	67	16	6	94	8	3	63	44	16	80
	2011	105	61	74				37	35	65	23	22	70	10	10	100	2	2	0	28	27	82
	2012	248	47	67	5	2	20	93	38	72	83	33	58	12	5	92				41	17	61
	2013	90	66	68				44	49	77	30	33	53	3	3	67	1	1	100	10	11	70
	2014	285	49	71	5	2	100	121	42	72	60	21	70	5	2	60	6	2	67	60	21	73
2015	54	45	70	2	4	0	23	43	87	12	22	67				5	9	40	10	19	60	
2016	393	45	77	9	2	67	174	44	79	96	24	74	1	0	100	25	6	48	69	18	88	
2017	81	72	65	2	2	50	50	62	66	17	21	65	1	1	100	3	4	0	7	9	86	
2018	391	56	80	9	2	56	224	57	86	84	21	63	5	1	80	9	2	44	46	12	96	
2019	41	61	76	1	2	100	24	59	92	12	29	67				4	10	100				
2020	369	51	71	2	1	50	194	53	73	88	24	63	9	2	67	12	3	67	51	14	80	

TREND TABLE A NUMBER OF BALLOT MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TYPE, JURISDICTION, AND YEAR  
(CONTINUED)

	ALL MEASURES			BONDS			TAXES			ORDINANCE			RECALLS			INITIATIVES			CHARTER AMENDMENT			
	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	
School District Measures	1995	117	46	52	87	74	46	13	11	38	6	5	100	8	7	88	1	1	100			
	1996	85	15	62	51	60	67	8	9	63	20	24	60	3	4	0						
	1997	174	51	62	118	68	59	23	13	70	13	7	92	18	10	44						
	1998	164	29	62	134	82	57	10	6	100	5	3	40	12	7	92						
	1999	131	46	62	102	78	58	11	8	82	12	9	92	6	5	33						
	2000	146	26	63	118	81	57	6	4	67	13	9	92	5	3	100						
	2001	103	44	71	62	60	76	23	22	70	4	4	25	14	14	64						
	2002	250	38	76	228	91	77	15	6	53	3	1	100	2	1	100						
	2003	61	34	52	20	33	55	36	59	47	3	5	67	2	3	100						
	2004	235	33	73	172	73	77	51	22	53	5	2	100	4	2	100						
	2005	103	35	69	52	50	77	40	39	55	6	6	67	5	5	100						
	2006	208	37	58	175	84	59	15	7	27	8	4	88	7	3	43						
	2007	42	23	67	19	45	58	18	43	78	5	12	60									
	2008	245	41	80	193	79	81	44	18	75	3	1	67	3	1	100						
	2009	47	24	66	5	11	40	32	68	66	1	2	100	9	19	78						
	2010	148	31	64	92	62	72	44	30	45	4	3	100	7	5	57	1	1	0			
	2011	47	27	64	9	19	78	31	66	68	2	4	100	4	9	0						
	2012	206	39	82	150	73	83	46	22	72	9	4	100	1	0	100						
	2013	35	26	80	11	31	73	16	46	94	4	11	25	4	11	100						
	2014	208	36	83	184	88	80	21	10	100	2	1	100	1	0	100						
2015	32	27	94	10	31	90	13	41	92				9	28	100							
2016	328	38	90	281	86	92	37	11	78	4	0	0	6	2	83							
2017	18	16	56	6	33	33	12	67	67													
2018	211	30	84	170	81	82	31	15	87	3	0	0	3	1	100					4	2	100
2019	10	15	80	1	10	100	9	90	78													
2020	268	37	51	216	81	48	44	16	59	6	0	0	2	1	100							



TREND TABLE B NUMBER OF BALLOT MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TOPIC, JURISDICTION, AND YEAR  
(CONTINUED)

	ALL MEASURES			EDUCATION			GOVERNANCE			LAND USE			PUBLIC SAFETY			PUBLIC FACILITIES			GENERAL SERVICES			TRANSPORTATION			REVENUE			
	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	
All Measures	1995	253	100	61	121	48	54	63	25	84	16	6	63	12	5	50	14	6	50				2	1	0	5	2	60
	1996	573	100	57	87	15	64	214	37	66	54	9	56	39	7	51	38	7	37	10	2	40	8	1	50	87	15	46
	1997	342	100	60	175	51	62	43	13	67	19	6	68	12	4	42	15	4	40	38	11	61	4	1	50	10	3	70
	1998	572	100	60	158	28	63	131	23	64	46	8	70	41	7	49	32	6	56	28	5	82	23	4	70	75	13	43
	1999	283	100	59	119	42	59	62	22	63	29	10	41	14	5	57	4	1	75	14	5	57	8	3	88	23	8	65
	2000	559	100	59	151	27	63	141	25	64	73	13	55	32	6	50	39	7	67	20	4	55	21	4	43	5	1	20
	2001	233	100	70	105	45	71	46	20	67	7	3	71	11	5	73	19	8	58	7	3	71	4	2	25	31	13	87
	2002	657	100	65	250	38	76	144	22	66	44	7	43	42	6	57	35	5	49	20	3	60	10	2	40	85	13	62
	2003	178	100	62	61	34	52	52	29	73	15	8	60	12	7	50	5	3	60	6	3	100	8	4	38	13	7	62
	2004	712	100	62	238	33	72	139	20	73	58	8	52	55	8	47	37	5	38	23	3	70	25	4	76	110	15	47
	2005	295	100	64	102	35	70	61	21	70	28	9	39	18	6	44	14	5	64	18	6	67	13	4	62	33	11	70
	2006	556	100	60	208	37	58	109	20	60	51	9	61	37	7	73	22	4	41	12	2	58	22	4	50	61	11	62
	2007	179	100	71	42	23	67	63	35	81	18	10	39	5	3	100	8	4	88	7	4	86	4	2	25	31	17	68
	2008	593	100	74	246	41	80	99	17	74	43	7	72	39	7	49	32	5	66	10	2	80	14	2	50	92	16	77
	2009	193	100	63	47	24	66	42	22	64	17	9	47	10	5	60	7	4	86	8	4	25	2	1	0	56	29	71
	2010	482	100	66	149	31	64	138	29	74	30	6	47	27	6	67	12	2	75	9	2	56	7	1	71	95	20	65
	2011	172	100	72	48	28	65	59	34	81	8	5	75	9	5	56	5	3	100	4	2	50	2	1	50	34	20	74
	2012	530	100	72	209	39	82	98	18	71	23	4	57	13	2	38	23	4	57	11	2	73	12	2	50	99	19	79
	2013	137	100	72	35	26	80	28	20	71	14	10	50	9	7	67				4	3	50	4	3	50	39	28	79
	2014	577	100	73	208	36	83	114	20	75	45	8	60	33	6	70	20	3	85	13	2	85	19	3	47	104	18	64
2015	120	100	74	32	27	94	23	19	83	17	14	35	8	7	50	5	4	60	2	2	100	3	3	33	21	18	86	
2016	872	100	79	331	38	90	116	13	78	99	11	61	53	6	70	30	3	50	26	3	54	29	3	48	150	17	85	
2017	113	100	63	18	16	56	13	12	85	13	12	54	15	13	40	5	4	60	6	5	67	4	4	25	36	32	81	
2018	704	100	80	206	29	83	114	16	80	55	8	53	35	5	74	13	2	62	123	17	92	24	3	79	102	14	87	
2019	67	100	81	10	15	80	9	13	89	8	12	38	13	19	92	2	3	50	1	1	100	3	4	100	17	25	94	
2020	722	100	63	272	38	52	107	15	79	54	7	52	42	6	60	8	1	75	162	22	80	12	2	33	44	6	64	

TREND TABLE B NUMBER OF BALLOT MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TOPIC, JURISDICTION, AND YEAR  
(CONTINUED)

	ALL MEASURES			EDUCATION			GOVERNANCE			LAND USE			PUBLIC SAFETY			PUBLIC FACILITIES			GENERAL SERVICES			TRANSPORTATION			REVENUE			
	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	
	County Measures	1995	17	7	53			7	41	71	3	18	33				3	18	67				1	6	0	3	18	33
	1996	114	20	44	1	1	100	44	39	59	12	11	33	8	7	38	16	14	13	1	1	100	4	4	75	16	14	31
	1997	24	7	63	1	4	100	5	21	60	3	13	100	2	8	0	5	21	40	5	21	80	1	4	100	1	4	0
	1998	125	22	59				25	20	76	13	10	62	14	11	36	12	10	33	18	14	72	16	13	75	12	10	25
	1999	38	13	63				5	13	80				3	8	33	3	8	67	7	18	29	8	21	88	7	18	86
	2000	116	21	49	1	1	100	22	19	64	17	15	35	14	12	36	16	14	44	8	7	63	16	14	44	3	3	33
	2001	37	16	73	2	5	100	12	32	58	1	3	100	7	19	100	6	16	67	4	11	75	1	3	0	4	11	75
	2002	98	15	56				34	35	71	7	7	71	15	15	33	11	11	36	7	7	57	5	5	40	12	12	67
	2003	28	16	64				10	36	90				5	18	40				2	7	100	2	7	50	6	21	17
	2004	140	20	54	3	2	33	32	23	66	14	10	14	22	16	50	13	9	54	4	3	50	21	15	76	17	12	41
	2005	57	19	63				12	21	67	6	11	33	6	11	33	8	14	75	9	16	78	9	16	78	4	7	50
	2006	95	17	52				28	29	54	10	11	70	11	12	55	8	8	38	2	2	50	15	16	40	12	13	33
	2007	29	16	76				14	48	93	5	17	0	0	0		5	17	100	2	7	100	2	7	50			
	2008	90	15	62	1	1	100	25	28	76	7	8	86	14	16	43	14	16	50	4	4	75	7	8	57	11	12	64
	2009	16	8	69				7	44	86	3	19	33	0	0		3	19	100				1	6	0	2	13	50
	2010	64	13	53				23	36	57	6	9	50	12	19	50	2	3	100	1	2	0	5	8	80	11	17	45
	2011	20	12	80	1	5	100	10	50	80				5	25	60	1	5	100				1	5	100	2	10	100
	2012	76	14	63	1	1	100	19	25	74	7	9	43	7	9	43	12	16	67	1	1	100	5	7	40	18	24	72
	2013	12	9	75				4	33	100	2	17	100	2	17	50							3	25	33			
	2014	84	15	57				20	24	85	15	18	60	10	12	50	9	11	78	3	4	33	11	13	27	9	11	22
	2015	34	28	62				12	35	83	4	12	25	5	15	40	2	6	50	1	3	100	3	9	33	2	6	50
	2016	151	17	59				28	19	54	44	29	34	21	14	57	7	5	57	12	8	58	18	12	33	19	13	74
	2017	14	12	57				3	21	67				1	7	0	2	14	100	3	21	67	3	21	33	2	14	50
	2018	102	14	75	1	1	100	15	15	80	12	12	58	9	9	67	4	4	75	14	14	86	18	18	89	20	20	70
	2019	16	24	88				3	19	100				7	44	86							3	19	100	1	6	100
	2020	85	12	66	1	1	100	15	18	80	7	8	29	19	22	68	1	1	100	11	13	91	10	12	30	16	19	63



TREND TABLE B NUMBER OF BALLOT MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TOPIC, JURISDICTION, AND YEAR  
(CONTINUED)

	ALL MEASURES			EDUCATION			GOVERNANCE			LAND USE			PUBLIC SAFETY			PUBLIC FACILITIES			GENERAL SERVICES			TRANSPORTATION			REVENUE			
	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	
City Measures	1995	119	47	71	4	3	100	56	47	86	13	11	69	12	10	50	11	9	45				1	1	0	2	2	100
	1996	374	65	60	2	1	100	170	45	68	42	11	62	31	8	55	22	6	55	8	2	38	4	1	25	71	19	49
	1997	144	42	58				38	26	68	16	11	63	10	7	50	10	7	40	33	23	58	3	2	33	9	6	78
	1998	283	49	60				101	36	62	33	12	73	27	10	56	20	7	70	10	4	100	7	2	57	62	22	47
	1999	114	40	54				45	39	53	29	25	41	11	10	64	1	1	100	7	6	86				16	14	56
	2000	297	53	60	7	2	71	119	40	64	56	19	61	18	6	61	23	8	83	12	4	50	5	2	40	2	1	0
	2001	93	40	69	3	3	0	33	35	73	6	6	67	4	4	25	11	12	64	3	3	67	3	3	33	27	29	89
	2002	309	47	60	1	0	0	110	36	65	37	12	38	27	9	70	24	8	54	13	4	62	5	2	40	72	23	63
	2003	89	50	67				42	47	69	15	17	60	7	8	57	5	6	60	4	4	100	6	7	33	7	8	100
	2004	337	47	59	2	1	100	107	32	75	44	13	64	33	10	45	24	7	29	19	6	74	4	1	75	91	27	47
	2005	135	46	61				48	36	73	22	16	41	12	9	50	6	4	50	9	7	56	4	3	25	29	21	72
	2006	253	46	64				81	32	62	41	16	59	26	10	81	14	6	43	10	4	60	7	3	71	49	19	69
	2007	108	60	71				49	45	78	13	12	54	5	5	100	3	3	67	5	5	80	2	2	0	31	29	68
	2008	258	44	73				74	29	73	36	14	69	25	10	52	18	7	78	6	2	83	7	3	43	81	31	79
	2009	130	67	61				35	27	60	14	11	50	10	8	60	4	3	75	8	6	25	1	1	0	54	42	72
	2010	270	56	71	1	0	100	115	43	77				15	6	80	10	4	70	8	3	63	2	1	50	84	31	68
	2011	107	62	75				51	48	82	8	7	75	4	4	50	4	4	100	4	4	50	1	1	0	32	30	72
	2012	248	47	67	2	1	100	89	36	63	16	6	63	6	2	33	11	4	45	10	4	70	7	3	57	81	33	80
	2013	90	66	68				24	27	67	12	13	42	7	8	71				4	4	50	1	1	100	39	43	79
	2014	285	49	71				94	33	72	30	11	60	23	8	78	11	4	91	10	4	100	8	3	75	95	33	68
2015	54	45	70				11	20	82	13	24	38	3	6	67	3	6	67	1	2	100				19	35	89	
2016	393	45	77	3	1	67	88	22	85	70	18	64	32	8	78	23	6	48	14	4	50	11	3	73	131	33	86	
2017	81	72	65				10	12	90	13	16	54	14	17	43	3	4	33	3	4	67	1	1	0	34	42	82	
2018	391	56	80	2	1	50	92	24	78	43	11	51	26	7	77	9	2	56	109	28	93	9	2	56	85	22	88	
2019	41	61	76				6	15	83	8	20	38	6	15	100	2	5	50	1	2	100				16	39	94	
2020	369	51	71	3	1	100	92	25	78	47	13	55	23	6	52	7	2	71	151	41	79	2	1	50	28	8	64	



## TREND TABLE C COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT, AND COUNTY SERVICE AREA MEASURES BY COUNTY

	1998			1999			2000			2001			2002		
	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing
Alpine															
Butte	2	67	100				1	100	100						
Calaveras															
Colusa															
Contra Costa	2	67	50				4	80	25	1	100	100	2	100	50
El Dorado	2	12	50				7	78	29				1	50	100
Fresno	1	50	100												
Humboldt															
Imperial															
Inyo							1	50	100						
Kern	6	100	50				2	100	0	4	100	75			
Lake															
Lassen							1	33	0				4	80	25
Los Angeles															
Marin				4	100	100	5	100	80	10	91	90	3	100	100
Mendocino				1	100	0							1	100	0
Modoc															
Monterey													1	100	0
Nevada							1	100	100						
Orange	1	100	100												
Placer	1	33	100												
Plumas							1	100	100	2	100	100			
Riverside	2	40	50	8	100	38				2	100	0	2	67	50
Sacramento	2	40	100				3	75	33						
San Bernardino	2	100	50				3	100	67				1	100	0
San Diego	10	83	30				3	60	33	1	100	100	2	40	0
San Joaquin															
San Luis Obispo				5	100	100	1	33	0				1	50	100
San Mateo															
Santa Barbara										1	100	0			
Santa Cruz															
Shasta							1	100	0						
Siskiyou							2	100	100						
Sonoma							1	20	100	1	100	100			
Stanislaus															
Sutter				1	100	0									
Trinity															
Tulare															
Tuolumne				1	100	0	1	33	0						
Yolo															
Yuba							2	67	50						
Total for CSD/CSA Measure Over All Counties	31	25	55	20	53	60	40	34	48	22	59	77	18	18	44

TREND TABLE C COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT, AND COUNTY SERVICE AREA MEASURES BY COUNTY  
(CONTINUED)

	2003			2004			2005			2006			2007		
	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing
Alpine															
Butte															
Calaveras							3	100	100				1	50	0
Colusa															
Contra Costa				3	60	67	3	100	100	1	33	100	2	100	100
El Dorado	6	100	17	2	20	100	14	88	64	2	100	0	3	100	100
Fresno															
Humboldt				1	25	100	2	100	0						
Imperial							1	50	100						
Inyo															
Kern				3	100	33				1	33	100			
Lake				1	100	0									
Lassen				1	100	100				1	100	0			
Los Angeles															
Marin	1	100	100				2	100	100	4	100	100	1	100	100
Mendocino				1	50	100									
Modoc															
Monterey															
Nevada															
Orange	1	100	100												
Placer															
Plumas	1	50	100												
Riverside	2	100	0	2	100	100									
Sacramento															
San Bernardino	1	100	0	1	50	0				1	33	100			
San Diego				3	33	33				1	20	100			
San Joaquin													1	100	100
San Luis Obispo				4	67	50	4	100	100	5	83	40			
San Mateo				1	14	100									
Santa Barbara										1	25	0			
Santa Cruz							1	100	0						
Shasta															
Siskiyou				5	83	0				2	100	0			
Sonoma	1	100	100							1	50	0			
Stanislaus										1	50	0			
Sutter										1	100	0			
Trinity										2	100	100			
Tulare				1	100	100	1	100	100						
Tuolumne															
Yolo															
Yuba				1	25	0									
Total for CSD/CSA Measure Over All Counties	13	46	38	30	21	50	31	54	74	24	25	50	8	28	88

TREND TABLE C COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT, AND COUNTY SERVICE AREA MEASURES BY COUNTY  
(CONTINUED)

	2008			2009			2010			2011			2012		
	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing
Alpine															
Butte															
Calaveras													1	50	100
Colusa															
Contra Costa	3	100	67				1	50	100	2	100	100	1	100	100
El Dorado	1	50	0	1	100	0	3	75	67				1	50	0
Fresno										2	100	100			
Humboldt	1	100	0							1	100	100			
Imperial															
Inyo															
Kern							1	100	0						
Lake	1	100	100												
Lassen													1	100	0
Los Angeles															
Marin	3	75	100	1	100	100	7	88	43	3	100	100	1	50	100
Mendocino													1	33	0
Modoc															
Monterey															
Nevada													1	100	0
Orange															
Placer	1	33	0										1	100	0
Plumas										1	100	0			
Riverside							1	25	0						
Sacramento	1	100	100												
San Bernardino	1	50	100	1	100	100				1	100	100			
San Diego															
San Joaquin															
San Luis Obispo													1	50	100
San Mateo	1	25	100										1	100	100
Santa Barbara				1	100	100							1	14	100
Santa Cruz															
Shasta				1	100	100	2	100	0						
Siskiyou	3	75	33												
Sonoma													1	100	0
Stanislaus	1	25	0												
Sutter															
Trinity															
Tulare															
Tuolumne	1	100	100										1	100	100
Yolo				1	100	100							1	100	0
Yuba															
Total for CSD/CSA Measure Over All Counties	18	20	61	6	38	83	15	23	40	10	50	90	14	18	50

TREND TABLE C COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT, AND COUNTY SERVICE AREA MEASURES BY COUNTY  
(CONTINUED)

	2013			2014			2015			2016			2017		
	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing
Alpine															
Butte															
Calaveras										1	20	0	2	100	50
Colusa													1	0	0
Contra Costa										1	33	100			
El Dorado	2	100	50	5	45	60	5	83	40	4	50	0	2	100	0
Fresno															
Humboldt	1	100	100												
Imperial				2	100	0									
Inyo															
Kern	1	25	0				1	50	100	1	50	0			
Lake															
Lassen				3	75	33	2	100	100	2	67	100			
Los Angeles	1	2	100							4	67	100			
Marin	1	11	100	1	25	100	2	22	100				1	100	0
Mendocino															
Modoc				2	0	0	2	100	0	2	100	100			
Monterey													1	100	0
Nevada				1	50	0							1	100	100
Orange															
Placer															
Plumas							4	100	100	1	100	100			
Riverside															
Sacramento															
San Bernardino	1	9	0				2	40	0	3	100	67	1	100	100
San Diego				1	100	0				1	33	0			
San Joaquin															
San Luis Obispo							1	100	100						
San Mateo										1	33	100			
Santa Barbara										2	67	50			
Santa Cruz															
Shasta															
Siskiyou				1	33	0				2	29	50			
Sonoma															
Stanislaus															
Sutter															
Trinity															
Tulare															
Tuolumne															
Yolo															
Yuba															
Total for CSD/CSA Measure Over All Counties	7	58	57	16	19	38	19	56	12	25	17	60	9	64	44

TREND TABLE C COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT, AND COUNTY SERVICE AREA MEASURES BY COUNTY (CONTINUED)

	2018			2019			2020		
	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing
Alpine				1	13	100			
Butte									
Calaveras				1	13	100			
Colusa									
Contra Costa	3	5	67	1	13	100	2	12	100
El Dorado	6	14	83				6	35	17
Fresno									
Humboldt									
Imperial				2	25	50			
Inyo									
Kern									
Lake	1	3	100						
Lassen									
Los Angeles							1	6	100
Marin	1	3	100				2	12	100
Mendocino									
Modoc									
Monterey									
Nevada									
Orange									
Placer									
Plumas				1	13	100			
Riverside									
Sacramento									
San Bernardino							1	6	0
San Diego	2	6	50				1	6	0
San Joaquin									
San Luis Obispo	1	3	0	1	13	100	1	6	0
San Mateo							1	6	100
Santa Barbara									
Santa Cruz									
Shasta									
Siskiyou							2	12	50
Sonoma				1	13	100			
Stanislaus									
Sutter									
Trinity									
Tulare	1	1	0						
Tuolumne	1	3	100						
Yolo									
Yuba									
Total for CSD/CSA Measure Over All Counties	16	16	75	8	100	88	17	100	47

TREND TABLE D NUMBER OF COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT, AND COUNTY SERVICE AREA MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL COUNTY MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TYPE, AND YEAR

	ALL CSD/CSA			TAXES			BONDS			ADVISORY			RECALLS			GANN LIMIT			ORDINANCE		
	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate
1998	31	25	55	22	18	45							1	1	0	8	6	88			
1999	20	53	60	16	42	56							3	8	100	1	3	0			
2000	40	34	48	28	24	29	1	1	100	3	3	67				6	5	100	2	2	100
2001	22	59	77	12	32	75	2	5	100				3	8	100	3	8	100	2	5	0
2002	18	18	44	14	14	36									4	4	75				
2003	13	46	38	11	39	27												2	7	100	
2004	30	21	50	24	17	42				1	1	100				2	1	100	3	2	67
2005	31	54	74	23	40	65	2	4	100				3	5	100	1	2	100	2	4	100
2006	24	25	50	15	16	47							4	4	25	1	1	100	4	4	75
2007	8	28	88	3	10	67							3	10	100	1	3	100	1	3	100
2008	18	20	61	11	12	45				1	1	0				4	4	100	2	2	100
2009	6	38	83	3	19	67							1	6	100	1	6	100	1	6	100
2010	15	23	40	11	17	36							4	6	50						
2011	10	50	90	4	20	75				1	5	100	2	10	100	2	10	100	1	5	100
2012	14	18	50	11	14	36							1	1	100				2	3	100
2013	7	58	57	5	42	40				1	0	100				1	8	100			
2014	16	19	38	13	15	31	2	0	100							1	1	0			
2015	19	56	63	9	26	56				2	0	0	5	15	100	1	3	100	2	6	50
2016	25	17	60	17	11	47				1	1	0	2	1	100	1	1	100	4	3	100
2017	9	64	44	6	43	33													3	21	67
2018	16	16	75	14	14	71										1	1	100	1	1	100
2019	8	50	88	7	44	86										1	6	100			
2020	17	20	47	12	14	33										4	5	100	1	1	0
1998-2020	419	27	58	293	19	47	7	0	100	10	1	50	28	2	82	39	3	92	42	3	79



TREND TABLE E COMPARISON OF PASS RATES FOR COUNTY-WIDE, AND COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT/ COUNTY SERVICE AREA TAX MEASURES BY YEAR

	NON-CSD/CSA COUNTY-WIDE MEASURES			CSD/CSA MEASURES		NON-CSD/CSA COUNTY-WIDE TAX MEASURES		CSD/CSA COUNTY TAX MEASURES	
	Total Number of County Measures	Number of Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	Percent Passing
1998	125	94	61	31	55	31	35	22	45
1999	38	18	67	20	60	5	20	16	56
2000	116	76	50	40	48	23	26	28	29
2001	37	15	67	22	77	2	50	12	75
2002	98	80	59	18	44	24	50	14	36
2003	28	15	87	13	38	1	0	11	27
2004	140	109	54	31	52	35	46	25	44
2005	57	26	50	31	74	1	100	23	65
2006	95	71	52	24	50	30	37	15	47
2007	29	21	71	8	88			3	67
2008	90	72	63	18	61	22	0	11	45
2009	16	9	67	7	86	1	0	4	75
2010	64	49	57	15	40	14	57	11	36
2011	20	10	70	10	90	3	67	4	75
2012	76	62	66	14	50	28	68	11	36
2013	12	5	100	7	57			5	40
2014	84	68	62	16	38	20	40	13	31
2015	34	15	60	19	63	2	0	9	56
2016	151	126	59	25	60	51	59	17	47
2017	14	5	80	9	44	5	80	6	33
2018	102	86	76	16	75	58	79	14	71
2019	16	8	88	8	88	3	100	7	86
2020	85	68	71	17	47	33	64	12	33
1998-2020	1,527	1,108	62	419	58	392	53	293	47

TREND TABLE F NUMBER OF COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT, AND COUNTY SERVICE AREA MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL COUNTY MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TOPIC, AND YEAR

	ALL CSD/CSA			LAND USE			PUBLIC SAFETY			GOVERNANCE			ENVIRONMENT			TRANSPORTATION			PUBLIC FACILITIES			GENERAL SERVICES			REVENUE		
	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Percent Passing
1998	31	25	55				12	10	42				3	2	67	2	2	50	1	1	0	8	6	88	4	3	25
1999	20	53	60				2	5	50				3	8	0	5	13	100				5	13	40	5	13	80
2000	40	34	48	2	2	0	10	9	30	2	2	100				6	5	17	5	4	40	5	4	60	1	1	100
2001	22	59	77				6	16	100	4	11	75				1	3	0	5	14	60	4	11	75	2	5	100
2002	18	18	44				11	11	45	3	3	67							3	3	33	1	1	0			
2003	13	46	38				5	18	40	2	7	100													6	21	17
2004	30	21	50				17	12	47	1	1	0				4	3	50	4	3	50	1	1	0	2	1	100
2005	31	54	74	2	4	0	1	2	100	3	5	100				6	11	100	6	11	67	9	16	78	4	7	50
2006	24	25	50				7	7	71	7	7	43				2	2	50	3	3	0	2	2	50	3	3	67
2007	8	28	88							4	14	100				1	3	0	1	3	100	2	7	100			
2008	18	20	61				8	9	50	2	2	100				2	2	50				1	1	0	5	6	80
2009	6	38	83							2	13	100				1	6	0	2	13	100				1	6	100
2010	15	23	40				7	11	43	4	6	50				1	2	0							3	5	33
2011	10	50	90				4	20	75	4	20	100													2	10	100
2012	14	18	50	1	1	100	6	8	33	2	3	100				2	3	50	3	4	33						
2013	7	58	57				2	17	50	2	17	100				3	25	33									
2014	16	19	38				7	8	29	2	2	100				3	4	0	2	2	100				2	2	0
2015	19	56	63	1	3	0	4	12	50	7	21	86				3	9	33	2	6	50	1	3	100	1	3	100
2016	25	17	60	1	1	0	10	7	70	6	4	100				3	2	0				1	1	100	4	3	25
2017	9	64	44							3	21	67				3	21	33	1	7	100	1	7	0	1	7	0
2018	16	16	88				5	5	60	2	2	100				7	7	71							2	2	100
2019	8	50	88				7	44	86							1	6	100									
2020	17	20	47				6	7	67	1	1	0				7	8	14	1	1	100	1	1	100	1	1	100
1998-2020	419	27	58	7	0	14	137	9	53	63	4	81	6	0	33	63	4	44	40	3	55	42	3	67	50	3	58

TREND TABLE G NUMBER OF CANDIDATES BY JURISDICTION,  
AND YEAR

	NUMBER OF CANDIDATES			
	ALL CANDIDATES	COUNTY CANDIDATES	CITY CANDIDATES	SCHOOL DISTRICT CANDIDATES
1995	2,354	0	732	1,622
1996	5,330	667	2,141	2,522
1997	2,476	23	736	1,717
1998	5,354	1,037	1,893	2,424
1999	2,274	135	724	1,415
2000	5,012	796	2,166	2,050
2001	2,505	189	688	1,628
2002	5,896	1,266	2,188	2,442
2003	2,086	205	566	1,315
2004	5,035	782	2,212	2,041
2005	2,546	167	979	1,400
2006	5,498	1,136	2,132	2,230
2007	2,021	207	811	1,003
2008	5,237	782	2,282	2,173
2009	2,066	143	863	1,060
2010	6,022	1,177	2,321	2,524
2011	1,602	138	734	730
2012	5,208	776	2,332	2,100
2013	1,688	152	818	768
2014	5,675	1,204	2,172	2,299
2015	1,321	114	607	600
2016	5,118	723	2,361	2,034
2017	763	78	494	191
2018	6,526	1,176	2,700	2,650
2019	304	43	193	68
2020	6,675	761	3,181	2,733
Total	96,592	13,877	39,026	43,739

\*We excluded runoffs from totals.

TREND TABLE H NUMBER OF CANDIDATES FOR MAJOR COUNTY OFFICES BY YEAR

	Total Number of Candidates	Number of County Candidates	COUNTY SUPERVISOR CANDIDATES		CSD/CSA CANDIDATES	
			Number of Candidates	% of County Candidates	Number of Candidates	% of County Candidates
1995	2,354	0	0	0	*	*
1996	5,330	667	470	70	*	*
1997	2,476	23	19	83	*	*
1998	5,354	1,037	309	30	22	2
1999	2,274	135	5	4	109	81
2000	5,012	796	441	55	174	22
2001	2,505	189	0	0	186	98
2002	5,896	1,266	306	24	127	10
2003	2,086	205	10	5	175	85
2004	5,035	782	447	57	125	16
2005	2,546	167	4	2	155	93
2006	5,498	1,136	310	27	160	14
2007	2,021	207	10	5	161	78
2008	5,237	782	441	56	174	22
2009	2,066	143	0	0	141	99
2010	6,022	1,177	331	28	170	14
2011	1,602	138	6	4	103	75
2012	5,208	776	460	59	200	26
2013	1,688	152	11	7	138	91
2014	5,675	1,204	317	26	244	20
2015	1,321	114	5	4	94	82
2016	5,118	723	431	60	158	22
2017	763	78	0	0	78	100
2018	6,526	1,176	324	28	192	16
2019	304	43	14	33	15	35
2020	6,675	761	423	56	206	27
Total	96,592	13,877	5,094	37	3,307	24

\*The California Elections Data Archive did not collect information on CSD/CSA candidates until 1998.

\*\*We excluded runoffs from totals.

TREND TABLE | PERCENT OF INCUMBENT CANDIDATES, AND PERCENT OF PREVAILING INCUMBENTS BY MAJOR OFFICE, JURISDICTION, AND YEAR

	PERCENT OF CANDIDATES WHO ARE INCUMBENTS				PERCENTAGE OF INCUMBENTS WHO WIN				PERCENTAGE OF WINNING CANDIDATES WHO ARE INCUMBENTS			
	% of All Candidates	% of County Supervisor Candidates	% of City Council Candidates	% of School District Candidates	% of All Candidates	% of County Supervisor Candidates	% of City Council Candidates	% of School District Candidates	% of All Candidates	% of County Supervisor Candidates	% of City Council Candidates	% of School District Candidates
1995	27	--	18	30	79	--	79	78	50	--	41	51
1996	27	24	23	28	79	75	74	78	48	51	41	47
1997	30	5	23	33	76	0	79	74	49	0	45	50
1998	32	30	26	32	86	87	82	83	57	63	48	53
1999	30	0	23	32	78	--	81	77	51	0	45	52
2000	30	30	27	32	79	90	80	74	52	73	51	49
2001	30	--	24	32	78	--	80	77	50	--	51	50
2002	34	34	27	36	82	81	79	79	57	63	50	56
2003	31	0	22	35	78	--	72	79	51	0	40	55
2004	33	28	28	37	81	81	81	76	55	59	51	57
2005	31	0	23	36	80	--	80	78	52	0	50	52
2006	35	29	29	36	82	90	78	78	56	68	51	55
2007	31	0	27	33	77	--	79	75	50	0	54	48
2008	34	30	30	38	76	86	80	70	56	61	55	54
2009	34	--	26	39	78	--	79	76	54	--	51	55
2010	35	28	29	39	82	83	82	79	59	61	56	59
2011	29	0	24	34	82	--	82	82	49	0	47	51
2012	27	28	25	30	74	78	74	71	43	58	41	43
2013	30	0	27	31	77	--	82	74	47	0	52	44
2014	35	31	30	38	77	89	77	71	54	64	51	51
2015	29	0	26	31	71	--	74	67	45	0	46	43
2016	32	31	28	34	74	82	75	68	49	63	47	46
2017	29	--	26	31	80	--	86	70	55	--	59	47
2018	34	30	27	36	76	84	72	69	52	60	45	49
2019	22	7	18	19	78	100	79	54	44	33	41	28
2020	30	83	25	32	71	83	73	64	47	60	45	44
1995-2020	32	30	26	34	78	83	78	75	52	61	49	51

\*We excluded runoffs from totals.

---

2020 ELECTION SERIES SUMMARY:  
ELECTION OUTCOMES FOR  
COUNTY, CITY, AND SCHOOL DISTRICT  
BALLOT MEASURES, AND CANDIDATES

---

TABLE A SUMMARY OF OUTCOMES FOR ALL COUNTY, CITY, AND SCHOOL DISTRICT BALLOT MEASURES BY TYPE OF MEASURE, AND COUNTY, 2020

	TAXES		BONDS		CHARTER AMENDMENT		ADVISORY		INITIATIVE		RECALL		GANN LIMIT		ORDINANCE		ALL MEASURES		
	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	TOTAL
Alameda	19	6	6	3	9	1							2	0	3	0	39	10	49
Alpine															1	0	1	0	1
Amador	1	0													0	2	1	2	3
Butte			0	1	1	1											1	2	3
Calaveras	1	0	1	1													2	1	3
Colusa	0	1	0	2													0	3	3
Contra Costa	8	0	1	1					1	0			1	0	1	1	12	2	14
Del Norte	2	0															2	0	2
El Dorado	2	5	0	4											1	0	3	9	12
Fresno	1	3	7	5											1	4	9	12	21
Glenn	0	1	0	1													0	2	2
Humboldt	4	0	3	2											3	0	10	2	12
Imperial	0	2	1	2											0	1	1	5	6
Inyo	1	0	0	1													1	1	2
Kern	0	2	2	4													2	6	8
Kings	0	1	2	3													2	4	6
Lake			0	1													0	1	1
Lassen	0	1															0	1	1
Los Angeles	39	16	10	6	6	2	0	3	0	1	3	0	1	0	14	9	73	37	110
Madera	1	0	0	3													1	3	4
Marin	5	2	1	0					1	1			3	0			10	3	13
Mendocino	2	0	4	1			1	0									7	1	8
Merced	0	1	3	2	3	0											6	3	9
Mono	1	0															1	0	1
Monterey	10	1	12	2											1	0	23	3	26
Napa	0	2					1	0									1	2	3
Nevada	3	1	0	1											1	0	4	2	6
Orange	3	1	0	9	3	0	1	0	1	0	1	3			4	2	13	15	28
Placer	0	1	0	5	11	1									1	0	12	7	19
Riverside	5	2	1	5	4	1			1	0					1	0	12	8	20

TABLE A SUMMARY OF OUTCOMES FOR ALL COUNTY, CITY, AND SCHOOL DISTRICT BALLOT MEASURES BY TYPE OF MEASURE, AND COUNTY, 2020

	TAXES		BONDS		CHARTER AMENDMENT		ADVISORY		INITIATIVE		RECALL		GANN LIMIT		ORDINANCE		ALL MEASURES		
	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	TOTAL
Sacramento	2	2	3	1	1	3											6	6	12
San Benito			2	2					0	1					0	1	2	4	6
San Bernardino	5	4	0	5	2	0							1	0	1	3	9	12	21
San Diego	3	2	5	7	3	0			1	2					11	6	23	17	40
San Francisco	6	0	3	0	5	1									3	0	17	1	18
San Joaquin	1	3	1	1											2	1	4	5	9
San Luis Obispo	6	1	2	1													8	2	10
San Mateo	9	2	6	0							1	0			1	1	17	3	20
Santa Barbara	2	0	2	1							1	0			1	0	6	1	7
Santa Clara	8	9	8	6	2	2									3	1	21	18	39
Santa Cruz	4	2	3	2	2	0					2	0					11	4	15
Shasta	0	1															0	1	1
Sierra	1	0															1	0	1
Siskiyou	2	5	1	0											2	1	5	6	11
Solano	5	1	3	1			1	0	1	0					1	1	11	3	14
Sonoma	13	1	5	0					0	1					2	0	20	2	22
Stanislaus	2	0	3	8											1	0	6	8	14
Sutter			1	0													1	0	1
Tehama	0	1	0	2													0	3	3
Trinity	2	2	0	1											2	0	4	3	7
Tulare	2	3	2	3													4	6	10
Tuolumne	2	2													2	1	4	3	7
Ventura	5	0	1	2					2	1					5	0	13	3	16
Yolo	3	0	4	3			4	0							2	1	13	4	17
Yuba	2	0	0	3													2	3	5
All Counties	193	90	109	114	52	12	8	3	8	7	8	3	8	0	71	36	457	265	722



TABLE B SUMMARY OF OUTCOMES FOR ALL COUNTY, CITY, AND SCHOOL DISTRICT BALLOT MEASURES BY TOPIC OF MEASURE AND COUNTY, 2020

	EDUCATION		LAND USE		SAFETY		GOVERNANCE		ENVIRONMENT		TRANSPORT		FACILITIES		HOUSING		GAMBLING		GENERAL SERVICES		REVENUE		OTHER		ALL MEASURES		
	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	TOTAL
Alameda	9	4	1	1	7	3	7	0					1	0	1	0			13	2					39	10	49
Alpine									1	0															1	0	1
Amador							0	2													1	0			1	2	3
Butte	0	1					1	1																	1	2	3
Calaveras	1	1																			1	0			2	1	3
Colusa	0	2																	0	1					0	3	3
Contra Costa	3	1	2	0	1	0	0	1				1	0						5	0					12	2	14
Del Norte					1	0													1	0					2	0	2
El Dorado	0	4					1	0			1	5							1	0					3	9	12
Fresno	7	6			0	2	1	2									0	2	1	0					9	12	21
Glenn	0	1			0	1																			0	2	2
Humboldt	3	2	1	0			1	0							2	0			2	0	1	0			10	2	12
Imperial	1	2					0	1											0	2					1	5	6
Inyo	0	1																	1	0					1	1	2
Kern	2	4																			0	2			2	6	8
Kings	2	3			0	1																			2	4	6
Lake	0	1																							0	1	1
Lassen					0	1																			0	1	1
Los Angeles	16	7	6	9	1	0	8	2					1	0	1	3			35	13	5	3			73	37	110
Madera	0	3																			1	0			1	3	4
Marin	4	2	0	1	3	0													3	0					10	3	13
Mendocino	4	1			1	0													1	0	1	0			7	1	8
Merced	3	2			0	1	3	0																	6	3	9
Mono	1	0																							1	0	1
Monterey	12	2	1	0															6	1	4	0			23	3	26
Napa			1	0					0	1											0	1			1	2	3
Nevada	0	1					1	0			0	1							3	0					4	2	6

TABLE B SUMMARY OF OUTCOMES FOR ALL COUNTY, CITY, AND SCHOOL DISTRICT BALLOT MEASURES BY TOPIC OF MEASURE AND COUNTY, 2020

	EDUCATION		LAND USE		SAFETY		GOVERNANCE		ENVIRONMENT		TRANSPORT		FACILITIES		HOUSING		GAMBLING		GENERAL SERVICES		REVENUE		OTHER		ALL MEASURES		
	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	TOTAL
Orange	1	9	2	1			7	3											3	1			0	1	13	15	28
Placer	0	5					12	1											0	1					12	7	19
Riverside	1	5					6	1											5	1	0	1			12	8	20
Sacramento	3	1			0	1	1	1							0	1			2	2					6	6	12
San Benito	2	2	0	2																					2	4	6
San Bernardino	0	5	1	1			2	1					0	1					5	4	1	0			9	12	21
San Diego	7	6	4	5	1	0	7	2			0	1	0	1	0	1			3	0	0	1	1	0	23	17	40
San Francisco	1	0	1	0	2	0	4	1			1	0			2	0			1	0	5	0			17	1	18
San Joaquin	2	1	0	1	0	1	1	0											1	2					4	5	9
San Luis Obispo	2	1			1	1	0	0											5	0					8	2	10
San Mateo	8	1	1	1	1	0	1	0			1	0			0	1			3	0	2	0			17	3	20
Santa Barbara	2	1					2	0											2	0					6	1	7
Santa Clara	12	14	0	1			4	1			1	1			1	1			3	0					21	18	39
Santa Cruz	6	4			1	0	3	0											1	0					11	4	15
Shasta					0	1																			0	1	1
Sierra					1	0																			1	0	1
Siskiyou	1	0	1	1	1	2	1	0											1	1	0	2			5	6	11
Solano	4	1	1	0			2	1											1	1	3	0			11	3	14
Sonoma	9	0	1	1	1	1							1	0					8	0					20	2	22
Stanislaus	3	8					1	0											1	0	1	0			6	8	14
Sutter	1	0																							1	0	1
Tehama	0	2																			0	1			0	3	3
Trinity	0	1			1	1	2	0													1	1			4	3	7
Tulare	2	4																	2	0	0	2			4	6	10
Tuolumne							2	1											2	0	0	2			4	3	7
Ventura	2	2	2	0			3	1					1	0					4	0	1	0			13	3	16
Yolo	5	3	2	1	1	0							2	0					3	0					13	4	17
Yuba	0	3											0	0					2	0					2	3	5
All Counties	142	130	28	26	25	17	84	23	1	1	4	8	6	2	8	7	0	2	130	32	28	16	1	1	457	265	722

TABLE C SUMMARY OF ELECTION OUTCOMES FOR ALL COUNTY, CITY, AND SCHOOL DISTRICT OFFICES, 2020

		COUNTY SUPERVISOR		CITY COUNCIL		SCHOOL BOARD		DIRECTOR, CSD <sup>1</sup>		OTHER COUNTY OFFICES		OTHER CITY OFFICES		TOTAL <sup>2,3</sup>	
		Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N
Incumbent Candidates	Win	83.2	104	73.3	489	64.5	566	76.9	80	86.7	13	86.3	157	71.5	1,409
	Lose	16.8	21	26.7	178	35.5	312	23.1	24	13.3	2	13.7	25	28.5	562
	Total	100.0	125	100.0	667	100.0	878	100.0	104	100.0	15	100.0	182	100.0	1,971
Non-Incumbent Candidates	Win	23.2	69	31.1	607	38.3	711	48.9	67	47.6	39	25.9	99	33.8	1,592
	Lose	76.8	229	68.9	1,343	61.7	1,144	51.1	70	52.4	43	74.1	283	66.2	3,112
	Total	100.0	298	100.0	1,950	100.0	1,855	100.0	137	100.0	82	100.0	382	100.0	4,704
Winning Candidates	Incumbent	60.1	104	44.6	489	44.3	566	54.4	80	25.0	13	61.3	157	47.0	1,409
	Non-Incumbent	39.9	69	55.4	607	55.7	711	45.6	67	75.0	39	38.7	99	53.0	1,592
	Total	100.0	173	100.0	1,096	100.0	1,277	100.0	147	100.0	52	100.0	256	100.0	3,001
Losing Candidates	Incumbent	8.4	21	11.7	178	21.4	312	25.5	24	4.4	2	8.1	25	15.3	562
	Non-Incumbent	91.6	229	88.3	1,343	78.6	1,144	74.5	70	95.6	43	91.9	283	84.7	3,112
	Total	100.0	250	100.0	1,521	100.0	1,456	100.0	94	100.0	45	100.0	308	100.0	3,674
All Candidates	Incumbent	29.6	125	25.5	667	32.1	878	43.2	104	15.5	15	32.3	182	29.5	1,971
	Non-Incumbent	70.4	298	74.5	1,950	67.9	1,855	56.8	137	84.5	82	67.7	382	70.5	4,704
	Total	100.0	423	100.0	2,617	100.0	2,733	100.0	241	100.0	97	100.0	564	100.0	6,675

<sup>1</sup>Includes Directors of Community Service Districts and County Service Areas.

<sup>2</sup>Percent may not sum to 100 due to rounding.

<sup>3</sup>We exclude runoffs from totals.

---

PART 1  
VOTE TOTALS, ELECTION OUTCOMES,  
AND TEXT FOR COUNTY BALLOT MEASURES

---

TABLE 1.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2020

COUNTY	DATE	MEASURE TITLE	TYPE OF MEASURE	TOPIC OF MEASURE	VOTE IN FAVOR	TOTAL VOTE	PERCENT OF VOTE	PASS OR FAIL*
ALAMEDA	3/3/2020	Measure C	Sales Tax	General Services: Social/Welfare	287,027	446,073	64.3%	Pass
		Measure D	GO Bond	Safety: Fire	21,188	31,923	66.4%	Fail <sup>F</sup>
	11/3/2020	Measure V	Utility Tax	General Services	470,627	681,260	69.1%	Pass
		Measure W	Sales Tax	General Services	358,123	714,935	50.1%	Pass
		Measure X	GO Bond	Safety: Fire	38,796	58,096	66.8%	Pass <sup>F</sup>
ALPINE	11/3/2020	Measure C	Ordinance	Environment: Regulation/Mitigation	626	712	87.9%	Pass
AMADOR	No County Measures							
BUTTE	No County Measures							
CALAVERAS	11/3/2020	Measure G	Business Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	16,522	25,798	64.0%	Pass
COLUSA	No County Measures							
CONTRA COSTA	5/5/2020	Measure Z	Property Tax	Safety: Police	1,690	2,298	73.5%	Pass <sup>F</sup>
	11/3/2020	Measure X	Sales Tax	General Services	323,322	553,115	58.5%	Pass
		Measure W	Gann Limit	Facilities: Parks & Recreation	6,764	9,997	67.7%	Pass
DEL NORTE	11/3/2020	Measure R	Sales Tax	Safety: Multiple Emergency Services	3,953	7,845	50.4%	Pass
EL DORADO	3/3/2020	Measure M	Property Tax	Transport: Roads	1,727	3,515	49.1%	Fail <sup>F</sup>
		Measure L	Property Tax	Transport: Roads	31	66	47.0%	Fail <sup>F</sup>
		Measure K	Property Tax	Transport: Roads	207	349	59.3%	Fail <sup>F</sup>
		Measure J	Property Tax	Transport: Roads	34	47	72.3%	Pass <sup>F</sup>
	11/3/2020	Measure N	Property Tax	Transport: Roads	46	87	52.9%	Fail <sup>F</sup>
		Measure Q	Property Tax	Transport: Roads	14	60	23.3%	Fail <sup>F</sup>
FRESNO	No County Measures							
GLENN	No County Measures							
HUMBOLDT	11/3/2020	Measure I	Ordinance	Housing: Affordable	24,249	35,979	67.4%	Pass
IMPERIAL	No County Measures							
INYO	No County Measures							
KERN	3/3/2020	Measure D	Business Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	64,488	154,546	41.7%	Fail
KERN		Measure E	Business Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	67,049	153,933	43.6%	Fail
KINGS	No County Measures							
LAKE	No County Measures							
LASSEN	No County Measures							

\*Pass or Fail results based on multi-county outcomes.

<sup>F</sup> Indicates measure required two-thirds of the vote to pass. <sup>F</sup> Indicates measure required fifty-five percent of the vote to pass. All other county measures required a majority vote.

TABLE 1.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2020

COUNTY	DATE	MEASURE	TITLE	TYPE OF MEASURE	TOPIC OF MEASURE	VOTE IN FAVOR	TOTAL VOTE	PERCENT OF VOTE	PASS OR FAIL*
LOS ANGELES	3/3/2020	Measure R		Ordinance	Safety: Police	1,334,277	1,831,563	72.8%	Pass
		Measure SP		GO Bond	Education: Bonds	12,612	18,232	69.2%	Pass <sup>F</sup>
	11/3/2020	Measure J		Charter Amendment	General Services: Social/Welfare	2,159,690	3,780,888	57.1%	Pass
		Measure W		Gann Limit	Revenues	71	97	73.2%	Pass
MADERA	No County Measures								
MARIN	3/3/2020	Measure D		Initiative	Land Use: Voter Approval	42,271	107,719	39.2%	Fail
		Measure C		Property Tax	Safety: Fire	75,638	106,838	70.8%	Pass <sup>T</sup>
		Measure G		Gann Limit	General Services: Maintenance	1,657	2,211	74.9%	Pass
		Measure H		Gann Limit	Safety: Fire	1,670	2,198	76.0%	Pass
MARIPOSA	No County Measures								
MENDOCINO	3/3/2020	Measure E		Advisory	Safety: Fire	13,688	19,796	69.1%	Pass
		Measure D		Transient Occupancy Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	11,604	20,058	57.9%	Pass
MERCED	No County Measures								
MODOC	No County Measures								
MONO	No County Measures								
MONTEREY	No County Measures								
NAPA	3/3/2020	Measure K		Sales Tax	Environment	28,667	45,445	63.1%	Fail <sup>T</sup>
NEVADA	No County Measures								
ORANGE	3/3/2020	Measure A		Charter Amendment	Governance	593,548	756,978	78.4%	Pass
PLACER	11/3/2020	Measure F		Ordinance	Governance: Personnel/Labor Relations	137,320	201,020	68.3%	Pass
		Measure G		Charter Amendment	Governance: Personnel/Labor Relations	91,131	200,918	45.4%	Fail
		Measure H		Charter Amendment	Governance: Contracting/Bidding/Leasing	130,115	196,909	66.1%	Pass
		Measure I		Charter Amendment	Governance: Elections	189,252	209,695	90.3%	Pass
PLUMAS	No County Measures								
RIVERSIDE	No County Measures								
SACRAMENTO	No County Measures								
SAN BENITO	3/3/2020	Measure K		Ordinance	Land Use: Zoning	6,802	16,915	40.2%	Fail
SAN BENITO	11/3/2020	Measure N		Initiative	Land Use: Zoning	11,372	28,080	40.5%	Fail
SAN BERNARDINO	11/3/2020	Measure J		Charter Amendment	Governance	378,964	747,188	50.7%	Pass
		Measure K		Charter Amendment	Governance: Political Reform/Term Limits	516,184	772,282	66.8%	Pass
		Measure V		Ordinance	Governance: Incorporation/Formation/Annexation	1,428	4,760	30.0%	Fail
		Measure W		Gann Limit	Revenues	1,811	2,460	73.6%	Pass

TABLE 1.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2020

COUNTY	DATE	MEASURE TITLE	TYPE OF MEASURE	TOPIC OF MEASURE	VOTE IN FAVOR	TOTAL VOTE	PERCENT OF VOTE	PASS OR FAIL*
SAN DIEGO	3/3/2020	Measure A	Initiative	Land Use: Voter Approval	407,534	831,164	49.0%	Fail
		Measure B	Ordinance	Land Use: Zoning	349,822	834,485	41.9%	Fail
SAN DIEGO	11/3/2020	Measure Z	Property Tax	Transport: Roads	66	109	60.6%	Fail <sup>†</sup>
SAN FRANCISCO	3/3/2020	Measure B	Revenue Bond	Safety	233,656	282,043	82.8%	Pass <sup>†</sup>
		Measure C	Charter Amendment	Governance: Personnel/Labor Relations	192,261	272,529	70.5%	Pass
		Measure D	Property Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	195,059	278,307	70.1%	Pass <sup>†</sup>
	11/3/2020	Measure E	Ordinance	Housing: Affordable	151,293	271,919	55.6%	Pass
		Measure A	Revenue Bond	General Services: Social/Welfare	294,117	416,431	70.6%	Pass <sup>†</sup>
		Measure B	Charter Amendment	Governance: Organization	255,653	416,831	61.3%	Pass
		Measure C	Charter Amendment	Governance: Elections	226,148	418,046	54.1%	Pass
		Measure D	Charter Amendment	Governance: Organization	276,685	413,581	66.9%	Pass
		Measure E	Charter Amendment	Safety: Fire	299,528	419,774	71.4%	Pass
		Measure F	Business Tax	Revenues	273,953	405,977	67.5%	Pass
		Measure G	Charter Amendment	Governance: Elections	207,054	420,748	49.2%	Fail
		Measure H	Ordinance	Land Use: Zoning	247,428	406,454	60.9%	Pass
		Measure I	Property Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	235,884	409,868	57.6%	Pass
		Measure J	Property Tax	Revenues: Tax Repeal/Reduction/Limit	300,775	404,090	74.4%	Pass <sup>†</sup>
Measure K	Ordinance	Housing: Affordable	303,319	412,539	73.5%	Pass		
Measure L	Business Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Incr./Contin.	268,370	412,467	65.1%	Pass		
		Measure RR <sup>1</sup>	Sales Tax	Transport: Mass Transit	300,438	409,494	73.4%	Pass <sup>†</sup>
SAN JOAQUIN	11/3/2020	Measure X	Business Tax	General Services: Social/Welfare	177,557	274,483	64.7%	Fail <sup>†</sup>
SAN LUIS OBISPO	3/3/2020	Measure A	Property Tax	Safety: Emergency Medical/Paramedic	1,294	1,957	66.1%	Fail <sup>†</sup>
SAN MATEO	6/23/2020	Measure Q	Property Tax	Safety: Multiple Emergency Services	1,053	1,314	80.1%	Pass <sup>†</sup>
	11/3/2020	Measure RR <sup>2</sup>	Sales Tax	Transport: Mass Transit	248,981	351,582	70.8%	Pass <sup>†</sup>
SANTA BARBARA	No County Measures							
SANTA CLARA	11/3/2020	Measure RR <sup>3</sup>	Sales Tax	Transport: Mass Transit	528,238	798,732	66.1%	Pass <sup>†</sup>
SANTA CRUZ	No County Measures							
SHASTA	3/3/2020	Measure A	Sales Tax	Safety: Jails/Courts	27,669	57,044	48.5%	Fail <sup>†</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Multi-county measure. We reported votes for San Mateo and Santa Clara counties separately.

<sup>2</sup> Multi-county measure. We reported votes for San Francisco and Santa Clara counties separately.

<sup>3</sup> Multi-county measure. We reported votes for San Mateo and San Francisco counties separately.

TABLE 1.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2020

COUNTY	DATE	MEASURE TITLE	TYPE OF MEASURE	TOPIC OF MEASURE	VOTE IN FAVOR	TOTAL VOTE	PERCENT OF VOTE	PASS OR FAIL*
SISKIYOU	3/3/2020	Measure A	Transient Occupancy Tax	General Services	5,053	9,352	54.0%	Pass
	11/3/2020	Measure G	Property Tax	Safety: Multiple Emergency Services	112	171	65.5%	Fail <sup>T</sup>
		Measure J	Property Tax	Safety: Multiple Emergency Services	1,018	1,443	70.5%	Pass <sup>T</sup>
SOLANO	No County Measures							
SONOMA	3/3/2020	Measure G	Sales Tax	Safety: Fire	118,297	182,443	64.8%	Fail <sup>T</sup>
	11/3/2020	Measure O	Sales Tax	General Services: Social/Welfare	178,309	261,968	68.1%	Pass <sup>T</sup>
		Measure P	Sales Tax	Safety: Police	166,483	257,172	64.7%	Pass
STANISLAUS	No County Measures							
SUTTER	No County Measures							
TEHAMA	3/3/2020	Measure G	Sales Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	2,916	18,039	16.2%	Fail
TRINITY	3/3/2020	Measure A	Business Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	2,384	4,775	49.9%	Fail
		Measure D	Ordinance	Governance: Incorporation/Formation/Annexation	2,603	4,099	63.5%	Pass
	11/3/2020	Measure G	Business Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Incr./Contin.	4,293	6,094	70.4%	Pass
		Measure H	Ordinance	Governance: Incorporation/Formation/Annexation	4,044	5,325	75.9%	Pass
		Measure I	Property Tax	Safety: Emergency Medical/Paramedic	3,852	5,324	72.4%	Pass <sup>T</sup>
		Measure K	Sales Tax	Safety: Police	3,027	6,120	49.5%	Fail <sup>T</sup>
TULARE	No County Measures							
TUOLUMNE	3/3/2020	Measure P	Sales Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	5,743	19,352	29.7%	Fail
		Measure Q	Transient Occupancy Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	9,744	21,013	46.4%	Fail
	11/3/2020	Measure U	Transient Occupancy Tax	General Services	15,854	29,610	53.5%	Pass
VENTURA	11/3/2020	Measure O	Ordinance	Land Use: Zoning	227,964	398,401	57.2%	Pass
YOLO	No County Measures							
YUBA	No County Measures							



## TABLE 1.2 TEXT FOR COUNTY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2020

ALAMEDA	3/3/2020	Measure C	Pass
To improve critical early health and education for Alameda County children by: protecting local childrens' healthcare safety net and Level 1 Pediatric Trauma Center; and increasing access to high quality, affordable childcare and preschool to improve kindergarten readiness, school success and high school graduation rates; shall a County of Alameda ordinance enacting a 20-year half-percent sales tax providing approximately \$150,000,000 annually with citizens' oversight and mandatory annual audits be adopted?			
ALAMEDA	3/3/2020	Measure D	Fail (2/3rds required)
Shall the measure authorizing the Alameda County Fire Department to issue \$90,000,000 in general obligation bonds to repair / replace outdated stations, thereby maintaining services in unincorporated communities (including medical emergency lifesaving services, fast 911 response, wildfire protection and disaster response) in an estimated levy of 1.6 cents per \$100 assessed value, raising on average \$5,200,000 annually for approximately 31 years, with oversight and audits, and no funds for salaries, benefits or pensions be adopted?			
ALAMEDA	11/3/2020	Measure V	Pass
Shall an ordinance be approved to extend until 6/30/2033, with no increase to the current 6.5% tax rate, the existing Utility Users Tax, collected only in the unincorporated areas (Ashland, Castro Valley, Cherryland, Fairview, San Lorenzo, Sunol), providing approximately \$12 million annually which may fund County Services, including services to unincorporated areas (e.g., Sheriff, Library, Planning, Code Enforcement), retaining current exemptions and exclusions, including exemptions for low income or lifeline utility users, and making clarifying/administrative changes?			
ALAMEDA	11/3/2020	Measure W	Pass
Shall a County of Alameda ordinance be adopted to establish a half percent sales tax for 10 years, to provide essential County services, including housing and services for those experiencing homelessness, mental health services, job training, social safety net and other general fund services, providing approximately \$150,000,000 annually, with annual audits and citizen oversight?			
ALAMEDA	11/3/2020	Measure X	Pass (2/3rds required)
Shall the measure authorizing the Alameda County Fire Department to issue \$90,000,000 in general obligation bonds to repair / replace outdated stations, thereby maintaining services in unincorporated communities (including medical emergency lifesaving services, fast 911 response, wildfire protection and disaster response) in an estimated levy of 1.6 cents per \$100 assessed value, raising on average \$5,200,000 annually for approximately 31 years, with oversight and audits, and no funds for salaries, benefits or pensions be adopted?			
ALPINE	11/3/2020	Measure C	Pass
Shall the Board of Supervisors of the County of Alpine enact an ordinance regulating the import of effluent into the County of Alpine from any source and set a permit fee for such imports?			
CALAVERAS	11/3/2020	Measure G	Pass
For general revenue purposes, including the improvement of essential County services such as road maintenance, crime prevention, and environmental regulation, shall the measure establishing maximum cannabis activities tax rates not to exceed \$7.00 per square-foot for cultivation canopy area and 8% of gross receipts for all other cannabis activities, in unincorporated Calaveras County, generating an estimated \$1.5 - \$3,000,000 annually, with all funds used locally and annual audits, unless/until repealed, be adopted?			
CONTRA COSTA	5/5/2020	Measure Z	Pass (2/3rds required)
Shall County of Contra Costa Ordinance No. 2019-40 be approved to increase the existing annual parcel tax on County Service Area P-2 Zone A to \$395 per parcel for residential property (single and multiple), \$2,370 per parcel for commercial/industrial/institutional property, and \$11,852 per parcel for commercial/theater property in the first year, with 3 percent increases every year thereafter, to provide additional funding for police protection services?			
CONTRA COSTA	11/3/2020	Measure X	Pass
To keep Contra Costa's regional hospital open and staffed; fund community health centers; provide timely fire and emergency response; support crucial safety-net services; invest in early childhood services; protect vulnerable populations; and for other essential county services, shall the Contra Costa County measure levying a ½ cent sales tax, exempting food sales, providing an estimated \$81,000,000 annually for 20 years that the State cannot take, with funds benefitting County residents, be adopted?			

## TABLE 1.2 TEXT FOR COUNTY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2020

CONTRA COSTA	11/3/2020	Measure W	Pass
Shall the appropriations limit under California Article XIII-B for County Service Area R-7 (Alamo Parks and Recreation) be increased to \$1,750,000 and adjusted for changes in the cost-of-living and population, with the increase effective for the Fiscal Years 2019/2020 through 2023/2024 (inclusive) to provide for the expenditure of funds that will be available to the Service Area during the stated fiscal years?			
DEL NORTE	11/3/2020	Measure R	Pass
To support vital local services including: providing support for law enforcement for emergency response; repairing potholes and maintaining streets; preparing for and responding to natural disasters and health emergencies; maintaining emergency dispatch services for fire, ambulance, and law enforcement; maintaining jail and criminal justice services; addressing blight and public nuisances; and other general services and infrastructure; shall the measure be adopted imposing a one percent sales tax in the unincorporated area of the county, until ended by voters, providing approximately \$1.2 million dollars annually, with citizen oversight and independent audits?			
EL DORADO	3/3/2020	Measure M	Fail (2/3rds required)
Shall the measure providing for an annual special tax of \$80.00 for twenty years' duration on improved parcels of land within the South Shore Snow removal Zone of benefit, and replacing the current benefit assessment of \$20.00 per parcel, to be used exclusively for acquisition of snow removal equipment to improve public safety, not salaries and benefits, and subject to annual oversight, which will generate annual revenue of \$536,720.00 commencing fiscal year 2020/2021, be adopted?			
EL DORADO	3/3/2020	Measure L	Fail (2/3rds required)
Shall the measure providing for an annual special tax of \$500.00 on each parcel of real property for an unlimited duration be levied within the Lynx Trail Road Zone of Benefit, which would be an increase to the current special tax of \$300.00 per year, to be used only for road improvements, and maintenance services and generating annual revenue of \$37,500.00, commencing fiscal year 2020/2021, be adopted?			
EL DORADO	3/3/2020	Measure K	Fail (2/3rds required)
Shall the measure providing for an annual special tax of \$450.00 on each parcel of land for an unlimited duration be levied within the Fernwood Cothrin Ranch Road Zone of Benefit, to replace the existing special tax of \$150.00, to be used only for road improvements and maintenance services and generating annual revenue of \$118,800.00, commencing fiscal year 2020/2021, be adopted?			
EL DORADO	3/3/2020	Measure J	Pass (2/3rds required)
Shall the measure providing for an annual special tax of \$270.00 on each improved parcel of land and \$120.00 on each unimproved parcel of land for an unlimited duration be levied within the Gilmore Vista Road Zone of Benefit, to replace the existing benefit assessments of \$170.00 and \$70.00, respectively, to be used only for snow removal, road improvements, and maintenance services and generating annual revenue of \$11,550.00, commencing fiscal year 2020/2021, be adopted?			
EL DORADO	11/3/2020	Measure N	Fail (2/3rds required)
Shall an amendment to the bylaws of the Hickok Road Community Services District to increase the maximum annual Special Tax by \$200 per parcel per year, such that the total Special Tax could increase from \$200 to \$400 per parcel per year, be adopted? The maximum resulting gain could increase from \$12,400 to \$24,800 per year. The increase would be in effect until amended or the board deems additional funds are no longer required to maintain, upgrade, or improve District roads.			
EL DORADO	11/3/2020	Measure Q	Fail (2/3rds required)
Shall the measure providing for an annual special tax of \$600.00 on each parcel of real property for an unlimited duration be levied within the Mortara Circle Community Services District, which would be an increase to the current special tax of \$350.00 per year, to be used only for road improvement and maintenance services and generating an annual revenue of \$18,600.00, commencing fiscal year 2021/2022, be adopted?			
HUMBOLDT	11/3/2020	Measure I	Pass
Shall a measure be approved allowing Humboldt County to obtain state and federal funding to construct, develop, or acquire housing for low income families, seniors, and people with disabilities within unincorporated areas, either directly or through assisting private projects, up to 2.5% of the total number of housing units existing in unincorporated Humboldt County, without raising taxes?			

## TABLE 1.2 TEXT FOR COUNTY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2020

KERN	3/3/2020	Measure D	Fail
Shall County adopt the ordinance proposed by Initiative, Medicinal Cannabis Measure, allowing medicinal cannabis retail, cultivating and manufacturing; allow medicinal cannabis retail operating before January 1, 2018 to reopen, relocate, and expand in unincorporated area, subject to state licensing requirements and 1000 foot setbacks from legal medicinal shops and schools; allow activity without conditional use permit; and allow County to levy perpetual 3.75% special business tax per every \$1000.00 of gross income {fiscal impact unknown}?			
KERN	3/3/2020	Measure E	Fail
Shall County adopt the ordinance proposed by County, Kern Medicinal Cannabis Retail Store Initiative, allowing and regulating medicinal cannabis in unincorporated area, subject to conditional use permit after public hearing, state licensing requirements, setbacks of 1000 feet from legal medicinal shops, schools, public parks, youth centers, libraries, churches, city limits, or 350 feet from any residence; and levying a perpetual 3.5% general purpose business tax per every \$1000.00 of gross income [fiscal impact unknown]?			
LOS ANGELES	3/3/2020	Measure R	Pass
Shall the measure amending Chapter 3.79 of the Los Angeles County Code to revise the duties and powers of the Sheriff Civilian Oversight Commission to investigate Sheriff-related issues, compel production of records and witnesses, review and evaluate the Office of the Inspector General's handling of complaints, and develop a recommended jail plan, be adopted?			
LOS ANGELES	3/3/2020	Measure SP	Pass (55% required)
To provide students additional opportunities for career and academic success at local schools, including Hawthorne, Lawndale, and Leuzinger High Schools, and to optimize athletic facilities for joint use with local communities, shall School Facilities Improvement District No. 2016-1 of the Local Public Schools Funding Authority issue \$125 million in bonds, at legal rates, averaging \$8.5 million annually while bonds are outstanding, at 3¢ per \$100 assessed value, to upgrade and construct classrooms, athletic facilities and fields, with mandatory audits and independent citizens oversight, and all money for local schools?			
LOS ANGELES	11/3/2020	Measure J	Pass
Shall the measure, annually allocating in the County's budget no less than ten percent (10%) of the County's locally generated unrestricted revenues in the general fund to address the disproportionate impact of racial injustice through community investment and alternatives to incarceration and prohibiting using those funds for carceral systems and law enforcement agencies as detailed in the ordinance adopting the proposed charter amendment, be adopted?			
LOS ANGELES	11/3/2020	Measure W	Pass
Shall an appropriations limit, as defined by Subdivision (h) of Section 8 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution, be established for the Wrightwood Community Services District, Counties of San Bernardino and Los Angeles, State of California, in the amount of \$660,612?			
MARIN	3/3/2020	Measure D	Fail
(INITIATIVE) Shall the measure amending the San Geronimo Valley Community Plan and the Marin County Development Code to require voter approval for any change in the primary golf course use of the San Geronimo Valley Golf Course property, and requiring that the County prepare economic and environmental analyses of the proposed change, be adopted?			
MARIN	3/3/2020	Measure C	Pass (2/3rds required)
To support coordinated wildfire prevention including early detection, warning and alerts; reducing vegetation; ensuring defensible space around homes, neighborhoods and critical infrastructure; and improving disaster evacuation routes/procedures; shall the Marin Wildfire Prevention Measure, levying up to 10¢ per building square foot tax (\$75 per multifamily unit or as described in the full measure) for ten years, providing \$19,300,000 annually, with annual inflation adjustments, independent citizen oversight/audits, and low-income senior exemptions, be adopted?			
MARIN	3/3/2020	Measure G	Pass
Shall the appropriations limit established for Marinwood Community Services District pursuant to Article XIII B of the California Constitution be increased over the appropriations limit established by said article for each of the four fiscal years 2020-2021 through 2023-2024 in the amount equal to the revenue received from the special tax for Park, Open Space and Street Landscape Maintenance services previously approved by the voters in November, 2015?			

## TABLE 1.2 TEXT FOR COUNTY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2020

MARIN	3/3/2020	Measure H	Pass
Shall the appropriations limit established for Marinwood Community Services District pursuant to Article XIII B of the California Constitution be increased over the appropriations limit established by said article for each of the four fiscal years 2020-2021 through 2023-2024 in the amount equal to the revenue received from the special tax for Fire Protection and Emergency Response services previously approved by the voters in November, 2011?			
MENDOCINO	3/3/2020	Measure E	Pass
(ADVISORY) If Mendocino County amends Chapter 5.20 to extend the Transient Occupancy Tax to private campgrounds and recreational vehicle parks, should the additional funds from the extended tax be used to fund the recognized fire agencies in Mendocino County as follows: 75% distributed evenly to the recognized Mendocino County; and 25% spent based on the recommendation of the fire chiefs of the recognized fire agencies in Mendocino County?			
MENDOCINO	3/3/2020	Measure D	Pass
Shall Mendocino County Code Chapter 5.20 be amended to authorize collection of a transient occupancy tax on short-term visitor accommodations of 30 days or less in private campgrounds and recreational vehicle parks, estimated to raise \$1,000,000 annually? The transient occupancy tax has a rate of ten percent (10%) and is effective until repealed?			
NAPA	3/3/2020	Measure K	Fail (2/3rds required)
To protect drinking water by preserving and restoring watersheds, rivers, creeks; protect natural open spaces and wildlife habitat; reduce wildfire risk; and maintain local parks and trails; shall Napa County enact a 1/4 percent sales tax for the Napa County Regional Park and Open Space District raising an estimated nine million dollars annually for fifteen years with citizen oversight, annual audits, and funds that cannot be taken by the State?			
ORANGE	3/3/2020	Measure A	Pass
Shall the Charter for the County of Orange be amended to require a two-thirds vote of the total Board of Supervisors membership to propose taxes for approval by voters at an election?			
PLACER	11/3/2020	Measure F	Pass
Shall Placer County Code Article 3.08 governing the civil service system for employees of the County be amended so that the civil service commission will serve as a hearing body for employee grievances, disciplinary appeals, and such other matters for which a hearing is provided, but that the County's human resources department shall perform all other duties beyond those hearings?			
PLACER	11/3/2020	Measure G	Fail
Shall Article V, Section 503 of the Placer County Charter be amended to give the County Executive Officer final authority for the appointment, suspension and removal of appointive department heads other than County Counsel?			
PLACER	11/3/2020	Measure H	Pass
Shall Article VI, Section 608 of the Placer County Charter be amended to remove bid threshold requirements in the Charter that contradict with State law?			
PLACER	11/3/2020	Measure I	Pass
Shall Article IV, Section 403 of the Placer County Charter be amended to require that an elected official of the County must be a resident of the County for thirty (30) days prior to filing nomination papers, and must maintain residency within the County during their entire term?			
SAN BENITO	3/3/2020	Measure K	Fail
Shall Ordinance No. 991, An Ordinance of the Board of Supervisors of the County of San Benito Amending the San Benito County Code to add text relating to the "Regional Commercial (C-3) District" be adopted?			
SAN BENITO	11/3/2020	Measure N	Fail
(INITIATIVE) Shall an initiative enacting the Strada Verde Specific Plan, and making County General Plan and Zoning Code Amendments for approximately 2,777 acres of agricultural land in northwest San Benito County, allowing various uses (including Research/Development, Automotive Testing/Tracks, Distribution, Offices, Business/Professional Services Commercial, Light Industrial, Hospitality, Retail, and Public/Private Services) and requiring the creation of a 209.5 acre Pajaro River Park and preservation of 561.7 acres exclusively for agriculture be adopted?			

## TABLE 1.2 TEXT FOR COUNTY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2020

SAN BERNARDINO	11/3/2020	Measure J	Pass
Shall the revised Charter approved by the Board of Supervisors of the County of San Bernardino at its regular meeting on July 28, 2020, for submission to the voters, be ratified and adopted as the Charter of the County of San Bernardino?			
SAN BERNARDINO	11/3/2020	Measure K	Pass
Shall the measure entitled "The San Bernardino County Supervisor Compensation Reduction and Term Limits" that will amend the County Charter to impose a term limit of one term for all Districts beginning December of 2020 and reduce the total compensation for each member of the Board of Supervisors to \$5,000 per month be adopted?			
SAN BERNARDINO	11/3/2020	Measure V	Fail
Shall the order adopted on February 19, 2020, by the Local Agency Formation Commission for San Bernardino County ordering the reorganization to include formation of the Spring Valley Lake Community Services District together with the formation of Zone A for solid waste and street sweeping and the Dissolution of County Service Area 64, known as LAFCO 3233A, be approved subject to the terms and conditions as more particularly described in the order?			
SAN BERNARDINO	11/3/2020	Measure W	Pass
Shall an appropriations limit, as defined by Subdivision (h) of Section 8 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution be established for the Wrightwood Community Services District, Counties of San Bernardino and Los Angeles, State of California, in the amount of \$660,612?			
SAN DIEGO	3/3/2020	Measure A	Fail
(INITIATIVE) Shall this Initiative be adopted for the purpose of amending the San Diego County General Plan to require voter approval for General Plan amendments that increase residential density for property designated by the General Plan as Semi-Rural or Rural?			
SAN DIEGO	3/3/2020	Measure B	Fail
Shall the San Diego County General Plan Amendment PDS2015-GPA-15-001 approved by the Board of Supervisors for the development of the Newland Sierra Project, be approved? The existing General Plan allows 99 homes and up to 2,000,000 square feet of commercial with open space. General Plan Amendment PDS2015-GPA-15-001 would authorize up to 2,199 homes and 1,777,684 square feet of commercial. The approved Newland Sierra Project includes a planned community of 2,135 homes, a school site, 81,000 square feet of retail, 36 acres of parks and 1,209 acres of open space.			
SAN DIEGO	11/3/2020	Measure Z	Fail (2/3rds required)
Shall the Rincon Community Services District be authorized to establish and levy a special tax override, on all taxable real property within its boundaries for the purpose of providing funds for repair and resurface of the roads maintained by the district, with this special tax not to exceed six dollars per acre, or portion thereof, plus one hundred seventy dollars per parcel of land per year?			
SAN FRANCISCO	3/3/2020	Measure B	Pass (2/3rds required)
To improve fire, earthquake, and emergency response by improving, constructing, and/or replacing: deteriorating cisterns, pipes, and tunnels, and related facilities to ensure firefighters a reliable water supply for fires and disasters; neighborhood fire and police stations and supporting facilities; the City's 911 Call Center; and other disaster response and public safety facilities, and to pay related costs, shall the City and County of San Francisco issue \$628,500,000 in general obligation bonds, with a duration up to 30 years from the time of issuance, an estimated average tax rate of \$0.015/\$100 of assessed property value, and projected average annual revenues of \$40,000,000, subject to citizen oversight and regular audits?			
SAN FRANCISCO	3/3/2020	Measure C	Pass
Shall the City amend the Charter to make retiree health care coverage available to certain City employees who previously worked for the San Francisco Housing Authority based on their combined years of service and date of hire?			
SAN FRANCISCO	3/3/2020	Measure D	Pass (2/3rds required)
Shall the City tax owners or tenants who keep ground floor retail or other commercial space vacant in some areas of San Francisco, at rates of between \$250 and \$1,000 per street-facing foot, starting January 1, 2021 and without any expiration date, and use the annual revenues, estimated at a range of a minimal amount to \$5 million, to assist small businesses?			

## TABLE 1.2 TEXT FOR COUNTY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2020

SAN FRANCISCO	3/3/2020	Measure E	Pass
Shall the City tie annual square-footage allotment for certain Large Office Projects to whether the City is meeting its Affordable Housing Goals, and change the criteria for approving certain office projects?			
SAN FRANCISCO	11/3/2020	Measure A	Pass (2/3rds required)
To finance the acquisition or improvement of real property, including to: stabilize, improve, and make permanent investments in supportive housing facilities, shelters, and/or facilities that deliver services to persons experiencing mental health challenges, substance use disorder, and/or homelessness; improve the accessibility, safety and quality of parks, open spaces and recreation facilities; improve the accessibility, safety and condition of the City's streets and other public right-of-way and related assets; and to pay related costs; shall the City and County of San Francisco issue \$487,500,000 in general obligation bonds with a duration of up to 30 years from the time of issuance, an estimated average tax rate of \$0.014/\$100 of assessed property value, and projected average annual revenues of \$40,000,000, subject to independent citizen oversight and regular audits? The City's current debt management policy is to keep the property tax rate for City general obligation bonds below the 2006 rate by issuing new bonds as older ones are retired and the tax base grows, though this property tax rate may vary based on other factors.			
SAN FRANCISCO	11/3/2020	Measure B	Pass
Shall the City amend the Charter to create a Department of Sanitation and Streets with oversight from a Sanitation and Streets Commission, and to establish a Public Works Commission to oversee the Department of Public Works?			
SAN FRANCISCO	11/3/2020	Measure C	Pass
Shall the City amend the Charter to remove the requirement that people serving on City boards, commissions and advisory bodies be registered voters and U.S. citizens, and continue to require those people be old enough to vote in City elections and be San Francisco residents?			
SAN FRANCISCO	11/3/2020	Measure D	Pass
Shall the City amend the Charter to create a Sheriff's Department Office of Inspector General and a Sheriff's Department Oversight Board that would make recommendations to the Sheriff and the Board of Supervisors about the operations of the Sheriff's Department?			
SAN FRANCISCO	11/3/2020	Measure E	Pass
Shall the City amend the Charter to remove the requirement that the San Francisco Police Department maintain a minimum of 1,971 full-duty sworn police officers and replace the requirement with regular evaluations of police staffing levels?			
SAN FRANCISCO	11/3/2020	Measure F	Pass
Shall the City eliminate the payroll expense tax; permanently increase the registration fee for some businesses by \$230-460, decreasing it for others; permanently increase gross receipts tax rates to 0.105-1.040%, exempting more small businesses; permanently increase the administrative office tax rate to 1.61%; if the City loses certain lawsuits, increase gross receipts tax rates on some businesses by 0.175-0.690% and the administrative office tax rate by 1.5%, and place a new 1% or 3.5% tax on gross receipts from commercial leases, for 20 years; and make other business tax changes; for estimated annual revenue of \$97 million?			
SAN FRANCISCO	11/3/2020	Measure G	Fail
Shall the City amend the Charter to allow San Francisco residents to vote for local candidates and local ballot measures if they are U.S. citizens, at least 16 years old and registered to vote?			
SAN FRANCISCO	11/3/2020	Measure H	Pass
Shall the City change the Planning Code for neighborhood commercial districts to increase permissible uses, eliminate public notification processes for new permitted uses, and require an expedited process for permits?			
SAN FRANCISCO	11/3/2020	Measure I	Pass
Shall the City permanently increase the transfer tax rate on sales and leases of 35 years or more of real estate, to 5.50% on those transactions of \$10 million to \$25 million, and to 6.00% on those transactions of \$25 million or more, for an estimated average revenue of \$196 million a year?			

## TABLE 1.2 TEXT FOR COUNTY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2020

SAN FRANCISCO	11/3/2020	Measure J	Pass (2/3rds required)
Shall the City replace its 2018 Parcel Tax for the San Francisco Unified School District with a new tax that changes the annual tax rate from \$320 per parcel to \$288 per parcel, adjusted for inflation each year, and with an exemption for people age 65 or older, until June 30, 2038, for an estimated revenue of \$48.1 million a year?			
SAN FRANCISCO	11/3/2020	Measure K	Pass
Shall the City have the authority to own, develop, construct, acquire or rehabilitate up to 10,000 units of low-income rental housing in San Francisco?			
SAN FRANCISCO	11/3/2020	Measure L	Pass
Shall the City place an additional tax permanently on some businesses in San Francisco when their highest-paid managerial employee earns more than 100 times the median compensation paid to their employees in San Francisco, where the additional tax rate would be between 0.1%–0.6% of gross receipts or between 0.4%–2.4% of payroll expense for those businesses in San Francisco, for an estimated revenue of between \$60-140 million a year?			
SAN FRANCISCO	11/3/2020	Measure RR	Pass (2/3rds required)
To preserve Caltrain service and support regional economic recovery, prevent traffic congestion, make Caltrain more affordable and accessible, reduce air pollution with cleaner and quieter electric trains, make travel times faster, and increase Caltrain frequency and capacity between Santa Clara, San Mateo and San Francisco counties, shall the Peninsula Corridor Joint Powers Board's resolution levying a 30-year one-eighth cent sales tax with oversight and audits, providing approximately \$100 million annually for Caltrain that the State cannot take away, be adopted?			
SAN JOAQUIN	11/3/2020	Measure X	Fail (2/3rds required)
Shall the measure supporting early childhood education and youth programs, including literacy, gang reduction, after-school programs, and drug prevention, with emphasis on children facing the greatest disparities, and promoting public health, homeless mitigation, and enforcing cannabis laws; imposing a special tax on commercial cannabis businesses in unincorporated San Joaquin County at a rate of 3.5% to 8% of gross receipts, including a \$2.00 cultivation Square Footage Payment be adopted? (Annual revenue estimate: \$250,000.00) (Duration: Until repealed.)			
SAN LUIS OBISPO	3/3/2020	Measure A	Fail (2/3rds required)
To maintain rapid response times for 911 medical emergency/fire protection services, provide 24-hours a day, 7-days a week staffed medical emergency/fire vehicles, shall Oceano Community Services District Ordinance No. 2020-1 levying an annual special tax of \$180.00 per parcel, providing \$422,000 a year, subject to annual maximum increases capped at 2%, until repealed by voters, and requiring independent citizen oversight and annual reports, be adopted?			
SAN MATEO	6/23/2020	Measure Q	Pass (2/3rds required)
Shall the measure set forth in Resolution No. 077299 of the County of San Mateo continuing the levy of a special tax for four years at a maximum rate of \$65 per parcel per year for extended police and structural fire protection services be adopted?			
SAN MATEO	11/3/2020	Measure RR	Pass (2/3rds required)
To preserve Caltrain service and support regional economic recovery, prevent traffic congestion, make Caltrain more affordable and accessible, reduce air pollution with cleaner and quieter electric trains, make travel times faster, and increase Caltrain frequency and capacity between Santa Clara, San Mateo and San Francisco counties, shall the Peninsula Corridor Joint Powers Board's resolution levying a 30-year one-eighth cent sales tax with oversight and audits, providing approximately \$100 million annually for Caltrain that the State cannot take away, be adopted?			
SANTA CLARA	11/3/2020	Measure RR	Pass (2/3rds required)
To preserve Caltrain service and support regional economic recovery, prevent traffic congestion, make Caltrain more affordable and accessible, reduce air pollution with cleaner and quieter electric trains, make travel times faster, and increase Caltrain frequency and capacity between Santa Clara, San Mateo and San Francisco counties, shall the Peninsula Corridor Joint Powers Board's resolution levying a 30-year one-eighth cent sales tax with oversight and audits, providing approximately \$100 million annually for Caltrain that the State cannot take away, be adopted?			

## TABLE 1.2 TEXT FOR COUNTY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2020

SHASTA	3/3/2020	Measure A	Fail (2/3rds required)
To provide approximately \$31,000,000 annually for the specific public safety purposes of (1) providing funding for County jail facilities, operations, programs, and services, including medication assisted treatment and rehabilitation programs while in custody and also after release, and alternatives to County jail incarceration, (2) providing funding for law enforcement and emergency services (including fire protection) in the unincorporated area of Shasta County and in the Cities of Redding, Anderson, and Shasta Lake, (3) providing funding for criminal prosecutions by the District Attorney, for criminal defense by the Public Defender, and for the duties of Probation as they relate to adult offenders, the measure allows the County of Shasta to enact a one percent (1%) transactions and use tax throughout the entire county. The measure creates an Oversight Committee and an annual audit requirement detailing how the funds have been spent. The tax will be in effect until reduced or repealed by the Board of Supervisors by a 4/5 vote.			
SISKIYOU	3/3/2020	Measure A	Pass
To provide funding (all funds used locally) for infrastructure and general County services, including, but not limited to, fire protection, law enforcement, rescue, emergency response and preparedness, and maintaining facilities and equipment, shall the County increase the transient occupancy tax (hotel tax) rate from 8% to 12% on July 1, 2020, with an estimated increase of \$340,000 generated annually from the resulting tax and will remain in effect until repealed or amended?			
SISKIYOU	11/3/2020	Measure G	Fail (2/3rds required)
Shall the Greater McCloud Fire and Emergency Response Zone of County Service Area No. 4 levy an annual special parcel tax of \$93.79 for improved parcels, \$66.20 for the first two unimproved parcels, \$24.83 for the third and fourth unimproved parcels, and \$8.28 for the fifth or more unimproved parcels, subject to an annual cost of living increase for the first 10 years not to exceed three percent (3%) in any year, without expiration, for funding fire and emergency response services, collecting an estimated \$86,158.14 annually?			
SISKIYOU	11/3/2020	Measure J	Pass (2/3rds required)
Shall the Lake Shastina Community Services District, to provide continued rapid response for fire protection and medical emergencies to the owners and properties within the District, increase the existing special parcel tax for fire services to \$80 annually for improved parcels and \$65 annually for unimproved parcels generating an annual amount of revenue of \$271,240 and which will continue to be levied until repealed by the Board of by the voters?			
SONOMA	3/3/2020	Measure G	Fail (2/3rds required)
To improve local fire prevention and protection by: installing emergency warning sirens and alerting systems; improving vegetation management to prevent the spread of wildfire; attracting and retaining qualified, local firefighters and emergency personnel; and, modernizing fire department equipment, facilities and stations, shall Sonoma County establish a ½-cent sales tax until ended by voters, providing approximately \$51,000,000 annually, with annual audits and citizen oversight, and increase its annual appropriations limit to allow expenditure of the proceeds?			
SONOMA	11/3/2020	Measure O	Pass (2/3rds required)
To provide local mental health and addiction services and facilities for children, adults, veterans, seniors and those experiencing homelessness including: permanent supportive housing; crisis assessment; emergency psychiatric care; early detection and intervention; suicide prevention; and opioid and substance use prevention, treatment and recovery, shall the County of Sonoma establish a countywide ¼ cent sales tax for ten years, providing approximately \$25 million annually, with annual audits and citizen oversight to ensure funds are properly spent?			
SONOMA	11/3/2020	Measure P	Pass
In order to increase law enforcement transparency and accountability and to build the public trust in County government and the Sheriff's Office, shall Article XXVII of Title 2 of the Sonoma County Code be repealed and replaced by this measure to expand the oversight authority and independence of the Independent Office of Law Enforcement Review and Outreach (IOLERO) to investigate Sheriff related issues, revise and expand the duties and powers of the Community Advisory Council, compel production of records and witnesses, and review IOLERO's performance of its duties?			
TEHAMA	3/3/2020	Measure G	Fail
Shall an ordinance be adopted authorizing the County of Tehama to collect a one percent sales tax (Transactions and Use Tax) for a period of ten years, providing approximately \$7,900,000 annually for unrestricted general revenue purposes?			



## TABLE 1.2 TEXT FOR COUNTY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2020

TRINITY	3/3/2020	Measure A	Fail
Shall the Ordinance establishing a cannabis cultivation tax of \$0.85 per square foot for 2500 square feet or less of licensed outdoor/mixed canopy area, and \$1.45 per square foot for 2501 square feet or greater of licensed outdoor/mixed canopy area; and a gross proceeds tax of (7%) on the manufacturing of cultivated cannabis; and a gross proceeds tax of (7%) on medicinal or legal cannabis storefronts and collectives for general governmental purposes be adopted?			
TRINITY	3/3/2020	Measure D	Pass
Shall the order adopted on September 17, 2019 by the Local Agency Formation Commission of the County of Trinity ordering the formation of the "Trinity Life Support Community Services District" in the territory described, known as 'lands currently served by Trinity County Life Support,' subject to terms and conditions specified in the order, be approved. Such terms and conditions, including a requirement that a board of directors be elected at-large, that the assessment be approved as a condition of formation, that the district will be authorized to provide advance life support ambulance services, including related community out-reach, training and educational programs, and other more particularly described in the order?			
TRINITY	11/3/2020	Measure G	Pass
Shall an ordinance establishing a Cannabis cultivation tax of \$15.44/lb for flowers, \$4.59/lb for leaves, \$2.16/lb for fresh plants and tax of 2.5% of gross sales by the holder of a Cannabis retail license be established? For Cannabis cultivation, the first 100 pounds shall be taxed at no more than 25% of the full rate, 100 pounds through 400 pounds shall be taxed at no more than 50% of the full rate, 400 pounds through 1,000 pounds shall be taxed at no more than 75% of the full rate and more than 1,000 pounds shall be taxed at full rate.			
TRINITY	11/3/2020	Measure H	Pass
To prevent cuts or elimination of local life-support ambulance service, including two 24/7 ambulances staffed for emergencies stationed in Weaverville and Hayfork, shall the order adopted on June 16, 2020 by the Trinity Local Agency Formation Commission ordering the formation of the "Trinity Life Support Community Services District" in the territory described, subject to terms specified in the order, including a special tax to finance district services, be approved?			
TRINITY	11/3/2020	Measure I	Pass (2/3rds required)
To prevent cuts or elimination of local life-support ambulance service, including two 24/7 ambulances staffed for emergencies stationed in Weaverville and Hayfork, shall a measure imposing a \$45 per year special tax for each parcel developed with residential or commercial uses, until repealed by voters, providing \$258,000 annually, requiring all funds to be spent locally with Citizen Oversight, be adopted in accordance with the terms of the Trinity Life Support Community Services District Formation?			
TRINITY	11/3/2020	Measure K	Fail (2/3rds required)
Shall the County of Trinity adopt an ordinance amending section 3.24 of the Trinity County Code by imposing an additional half cent sales and use tax for the purpose of providing more funding to local law enforcement? The allocation of funds collected from the additional half cent sales and use tax shall be 67% to the Sheriff, 17% to the District Attorney and 16% to Probation.			
TUOLUMNE	3/3/2020	Measure P	Fail
Shall an ordinance be adopted authorizing the County of Tuolumne to collect a one percent Transactions and Use Tax (sales tax) in the unincorporated areas of the County for unrestricted general revenue purposes, providing approximately \$4,500,000 annually until ended by voters?			
TUOLUMNE	3/3/2020	Measure Q	Fail
Shall the measure increasing the transient occupancy tax from ten percent (10%) to twelve percent (12%) and expanding application of the tax to campgrounds and recreational vehicle parks in the unincorporated areas of Tuolumne County, to address the impacts of tourism on roads, emergency response and other County services, providing approximately \$1,850,000 annually for unrestricted general revenue purposes until ended by voters, be adopted?			

## TABLE 1.2 TEXT FOR COUNTY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2020

TUOLUMNE                    11/3/2020                    Measure U                    Pass  
For the continued funding of general services impacted by tourism, such as fire safety, road maintenance, law enforcement, animal control, libraries and parks, shall the measure increasing the existing Transient Occupancy Tax ("Tourism Tax") from 10% to 12%, applying only to guests of hotels and other short-term lodging in the unincorporated areas of Tuolumne County, and expanding its application to campgrounds and recreational vehicle parks, generating an estimated \$1,850,000 annually, be adopted? (Duration: until repealed)

VENTURA                    11/3/2020                    Measure O                    Pass  
Shall an ordinance to allow the commercial cultivation, processing, distribution and sale of cannabis within the unincorporated area of Ventura County, limited to 500 acres for indoor general cannabis cultivation and 100 acres for indoor nursery cultivation, with sales allowed between licensed distributors but not to the general public, and to impose a tax of four percent of gross receipts on general cannabis cultivation and one percent of gross receipts on cannabis nursery cultivation, be adopted?

TABLE 1.3 SUMMARY OF ELECTION OUTCOMES FOR COUNTY BALLOT MEASURES BY TYPE OF MEASURE, AND COUNTY, 2020

	TAXES		BONDS		CHARTER AMENDMENT		ADVISORY		INITIATIVE		GANN LIMIT		ORDINANCE		ALL MEASURES		TOTAL
	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	
Alameda	3	0	1	1											4	1	5
Alpine													1	0	1	0	1
Calaveras	1	0													1	0	1
Contra Costa	2	0									1	0			3	0	3
Del Norte	1	0													1	0	1
El Dorado	1	5													1	5	6
Humboldt													1	0	1	0	1
Kern	0	2													0	2	2
Los Angeles			1	0	1	0					1	0	1	0	4	0	4
Marin	1	0							0	1	2	0			3	1	4
Mendocino	1	0					1	0							2	0	2
Napa	0	1													0	1	1
Orange					1	0									1	0	1
Placer					2	1							1	0	3	1	4
San Benito									0	1			0	1	0	2	2
San Bernardino					2	0					1	0	0	1	3	1	4
San Diego	0	1							0	1			0	1	0	3	3
San Francisco	6	0	2	0	5	1							3	0	16	1	17
San Joaquin	0	1													0	1	1
San Luis Obispo	0	1													0	1	1
San Mateo	2	0													2	0	2
Santa Clara	0	1													0	1	1
Shasta	0	1													0	1	1
Siskiyou	2	1													2	1	3
Sonoma	2	1													2	1	3
Tehama	0	1													0	1	1
Trinity	2	2											2	0	4	2	6
Tuolumne	1	2													1	2	3
Ventura													1	0	1	0	1

TABLE 1.3 SUMMARY OF ELECTION OUTCOMES FOR COUNTY BALLOT MEASURES BY TYPE OF MEASURE, AND COUNTY, 2020

	TAXES		BONDS		CHARTER AMENDMENT		ADVISORY		INITIATIVE		GANN LIMIT		ORDINANCE		ALL MEASURES		
	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	TOTAL
All Counties	25	20	4	1	11	2	1	0	0	3	5	0	10	3	56	29	85

TABLE 1.4 SUMMARY OF ELECTION OUTCOMES FOR COUNTY BALLOT MEASURES BY TOPIC OF MEASURE, AND COUNTY, 2020

	EDUCATION		LAND USE		SAFETY		GOVERNANCE		ENVIRONMENT		TRANSPORT		FACILITIES		HOUSING		GENERAL SERVICES		REVENUE		ALL MEASURES		
	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	TOTAL
Alameda					1	1											3	0			4	1	5
Alpine									1	0											1	0	1
Calaveras																			1	0	1	0	1
Contra Costa					1	0							1	0			1	0			3	0	3
Del Norte					1	0															1	0	1
El Dorado											1	5									1	5	6
Humboldt															1	0					1	0	1
Kern																			0	2	0	2	2
Los Angeles	1	0			1	0											1	0	1	0	4	0	4
Marin			0	1	2	0											1	0			3	1	4
Mendocino					1	0													1	0	2	0	2
Napa									0	1											0	1	1
Orange							1	0													1	0	1
Placer							3	1													3	1	4
San Benito			0	2																	0	2	2
San Bernardino							2	1											1	0	3	1	4
San Diego			0	2							0	1									0	3	3
San Francisco			1	0	2	0	4	1			1	0			2	0	1	0	5	0	16	1	17
San Joaquin																	0	1			0	1	1
San Luis Obispo					0	1															0	1	1
San Mateo					1	0					1	0									2	0	2
Santa Clara											0	1									0	1	1
Shasta					0	1															0	1	1
Siskiyou					1	1											1	0			2	1	3
Sonoma					1	1											1	0			2	1	3
Tehama																			0	1	0	1	1
Trinity					1	1	2	0											1	1	4	2	6
Tuolumne																	1	0	0	2	1	2	3
Ventura			1	0																	1	0	1
All Counties	1	0	2	5	13	6	12	3	1	1	3	7	1	0	3	0	10	1	10	6	56	29	85

---

PART 2  
VOTE TOTALS FOR  
COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES

---

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2020

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DISTRICT / SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT OF DESIGNATION	IN-CUM-BENT	NUMBER OF CANDIDATES	VOTES FOR CANDIDATES	TOTAL VOTES CAST	PERCENT OF VOTE	ELECTED	
ALAMEDA	3/3/2020	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	1	Full	Bacon	Vinnie	Fremont City Councilmember	No	4	18,571	68,226	27.2%	Runoff	
					Haubert	David Gregory	Mayor/Educator	No	4	17,697	68,226	25.9%	Runoff	
					Hernandez	Melissa	Dublin City Councilmember	No	4	17,214	68,226	25.2%	No	
					Wieckowski	Bob	State Senator	No	4	14,744	68,226	21.6%	No	
			4	Full	Miley	Nate	Alameda County Supervisor, District 4	Yes	2	51,714	77,214	67.0%	Yes	
					Goolsby	Esther	Environmental Community Organizer	No	2	25,500	77,214	33.0%	No	
	5	Full	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	1	Full	Carson	Keith	County, Board of Supervisors, 5th District	Yes	2	75,513	94,729	79.7%	Yes
						Pilch	Nick	City Council Member	No	2	19,216	94,729	20.3%	No
	SUPERIOR JUDGE	3/3/2020	SUPERIOR JUDGE	2	Full	Condes	Elena	Trial Attorney	No	3	147,168	357,410	41.2%	Runoff
						Fickes	Mark	Civil Rights Attorney	No	3	136,387	357,410	38.2%	Runoff
						Szelenyi	Lilla Julia	Administrative Law Judge	No	3	73,855	357,410	20.7%	No
	11/3/2020	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	1	Full	Haubert	David Gregory	Mayor/Educator	No	2	70,940	131,086	54.1%	Yes	
Bacon					Vinnie	Fremont City Councilmember	No	2	59,711	131,086	45.6%	No		
SUPERIOR JUDGE					2	Full	Condes	Elena	Trial Attorney	No	1	372,070	375,063	99.2%
	Fickes	Mark	Civil Rights Attorney	No			1	290,416	293,409	99.0%	Yes			
ALPINE	3/3/2020	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	2	Full	Hames	Ron	Incumbent	Yes	2	72	123	58.5%	Yes	
					Holdridge	James "Jim" L.	Retired Fire Officer	No	2	50	123	40.7%	No	
			3	Full	Jim	Irvin R.	Hung A Lei Ti Chairman	No	2	25	48	52.1%	Yes	
					James	Stacey L.	No Ballot Designation	No	2	23	48	47.9%	No	
			5	Full	Griffith	David	Incumbent	Yes	1	95	98	96.9%	Yes	
					SUPERIOR JUDGE	Full	Meyer	Richard D.	Incumbent	Yes	1	350	359	97.5%
AMADOR	3/3/2020	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	1	Full	Crew	Patrick	Incumbent	Yes	1	2,267	2,340	96.9%	Yes	
					2	Full	Forster	Richard M.	District 2 Supervisor/Rancher	Yes	2	2,285	3,404	67.1%
			Calhoun	Dana			Retired Restaurateur	No	2	1,098	3,404	32.3%	No	
			4	Full	Axe	Frank	Incumbent	Yes	1	2,170	2,309	94.0%	Yes	
BUTTE	3/3/2020	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	1	Full	Connelly	Bill	Small Business Owner	Yes	2	9,459	12,062	78.4%	Yes	
					Greene	Ian Joseph	Care Provider	No	2	2,603	12,062	21.6%	No	
			4	Full	Kimmelshue	Todd	Family Farmer	No	2	8,492	13,250	64.1%	Yes	
					Hilderbrand	Sue	Businesswoman/Educator	No	2	4,758	13,250	35.9%	No	
			5	Full	Teeter	Doug	Incumbent	Yes	2	4,956	7,727	64.1%	Yes	
					Schleiger	Henry	Wildland Fire Analyst	No	2	2,771	7,727	35.9%	No	

<sup>1</sup>Write-in candidate votes, when reported by the county, have been included in the total votes cast. For these contests, the sum of the candidate votes is less than the total votes cast.

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2020

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DISTRICT / SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT OF DESIGNATION	IN-CUM-BENT	NUMBER OF CANDIDATES	VOTES FOR CANDIDATES	TOTAL VOTES CAST	PERCENT OF VOTE	ELECTED
CALAVERAS	3/3/2020	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	1	Full	Tofanelli	Gary N.	Incumbent	Yes	2	1,930	3,270	59.0%	Yes
					Romano	Sharon	Retired Business Consultant	No	2	1,340	3,270	41.0%	No
			2	Full	Garamendi	John "Jack"	County Supervisor, District 2	Yes	2	1,999	3,187	62.7%	Yes
					Garza	Laree "Ree"	Homemaker	No	2	1,188	3,187	37.3%	No
			4	Full	Folendorf	Amanda	Council Member, City of Angels	No	2	2,406	4,461	53.9%	Yes
					Mills	Dennis	Incumbent	Yes	2	2,055	4,461	46.1%	No
COLUSA	3/3/2020	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	2	Full	Smith	Daurice Kalfsbeek	Local Businessperson	No	4	359	1,045	34.4%	Runoff
					Markss	Dave B.	Small Business Owner	No	4	286	1,045	27.4%	Runoff
					Moriconi	Robert T.	Rice Farmer/Businessman	No	4	257	1,045	24.6%	No
					Waters	Laurie Okland	Legal Secretary	No	4	143	1,045	13.7%	No
	3	Full	Boes	Kent S.	Incumbent	Yes	2	562	809	69.5%	Yes		
			McMullan	Jason D.	Pastor	No	2	247	809	30.5%	No		
	4	Full	Evans	Gary J.	Incumbent	Yes	1	589	589	100.0%	Yes		
			2	Full	Smith	Daurice Kalfsbeek	Local Businessperson	No	2	864	1,630	53.0%	Yes
	Markss	Dave B.			Small Business Owner	No	2	766	1,630	47.0%	No		
	CONTRA COSTA	3/3/2020	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	2	Full	Andersen	Candace	Contra Costa County Supervisor	Yes	1	63,138	63,138	100.0%
3						Full	Burgis	Diane	County Supervisor	Yes	2	32,742	48,336
				Seger	Paul		Director, Diablo Water District	No	2	15,594	48,336	32.3%	No
5				Full	Glover	Federal	Supervisor District 5	Yes	3	22,398	44,916	49.9%	Runoff
					Kramer	Gus S.	County Assessor	No	3	11,578	44,916	25.8%	Runoff
					Trambley	Sean	Businessman/Planning Commissioner	No	3	10,940	44,916	24.4%	No
SUPERIOR JUDGE		E	Full	Fenstermacher	Susanne M.	Incumbent	Yes	2	191,993	264,153	72.7%	Yes	
				Pakneshan	Pezhman	Civil Rights Attorney	No	2	72,160	264,153	27.3%	No	
11/3/2020		COUNTY SUPERVISOR	5	Full	Glover	Federal	Supervisor District 5	Yes	2	57,697	87,225	66.1%	Yes
					Kramer	Gus S.	County Assessor	No	2	29,528	87,225	33.9%	No
DIRECTOR, Discovery Bay CSD		Full	Callahan	Michael	Business Owner/Parent	No	6	3,978	14,316	27.8%	Yes		
			Graham	Carolyn	Retired Business Executive	No	6	2,731	14,316	19.1%	Yes		
			Snowden	K. Jill	Entrepreneur/Parent	No	6	2,605	14,316	18.2%	No		
			Pease	Bill	Discovery Bay Community Services Director	Yes	6	2,076	14,316	14.5%	No		
	Mayer		Bill	Discovery Bay Community Services Director	Yes	6	1,913	14,316	13.4%	No			
	Lease		Stephanie	Instructor/Negotiations Trainer	No	6	1,013	14,316	7.1%	No			



TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2020

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DISTRICT / SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT OF DESIGNATION	IN-CUM-BENT	NUMBER OF CANDIDATES	VOTES FOR CANDIDATES	TOTAL VOTES CAST	PERCENT OF VOTE	ELECTED	
CONTRA COSTA (continued)	11/3/2020	DIRECTOR, Kensington CSD		Full	Hacaj	Sylvia	CSD Board Director	Yes	4	2,287	6,470	35.3%	Yes	
					Nottoli	Eileen M.	Incumbent	Yes	4	1,941	6,470	30.0%	Yes	
					Wolter	Lynn M.	No Ballot Designation	No	4	1,160	6,470	17.9%	No	
					Stelton	Elaine	Property Manager	No	4	1,082	6,470	16.7%	No	
DEL NORTE	3/3/2020	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	1	Full	Short	Darrin	Volunteer Firefighter	No	2	598	975	61.3%	Yes	
					Pritchett	John	Businessman/Radio Announcer	No	2	377	975	38.7%	No	
			2	Full	Cowan	Lori L.	Del Norte County Supervisor	Yes	3	492	1,225	40.2%	Runoff	
					Starkey	Valerie	Retired Probation Officer	No	3	371	1,225	30.3%	Runoff	
	Ramsey	James			Harbor Commissioner/Educator	No	3	362	1,225	29.6%	No			
	5	Full	Berkowitz	Bob	Del Norte County Supervisor	Yes	2	848	1,482	57.2%	Yes			
			Hendrick	Kevin	Management Consultant	No	2	634	1,482	42.8%	No			
	11/3/2020	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	2	Full	Starkey	Valerie Ann	Retired Probation Officer	No	2	871	1,511	57.6%	Yes	
					Cowan	Lori Lyn	Del Norte County Supervisor	Yes	2	635	1,511	42.0%	No	
	EL DORADO	3/3/2020	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	1	Full	Hidahl	John	County of El Dorado, Supervisor	Yes	4	5,980	13,895	43.0%	Runoff
Briggs						Ron	Retired County Supervisor	No	4	3,426	13,895	24.7%	Runoff	
Paulsen						Benjamin	El Dorado Hills CSD, Board Member	No	4	3,037	13,895	21.9%	No	
Haug						Wayne	No Ballot Designation	No	4	1,452	13,895	10.4%	No	
2				Full	Turnboo	George	Businessman/Pastor/Rancher	No	6	4,070	15,647	26.0%	Runoff	
					Pimlott	Ken	Retired Fire Chief	No	6	3,551	15,647	22.7%	Runoff	
					Carlson	Felicity	Public Finance Specialist	No	6	3,323	15,647	21.2%	No	
					Nutting	Ray	Rancher/Business Owner	No	6	3,190	15,647	20.4%	No	
					Loewen	Kevin A	General Manager	No	6	792	15,647	5.1%	No	
					Kuskie	Tyler	Technical Marketing Engineer	No	6	491	15,647	3.1%	No	
3				Full	Thomas	Wendy	Businesswoman	No	2	7,394	12,263	60.3%	Yes	
					Deberry	Brian N	Land Survey Technician	No	2	4,869	12,263	39.7%	No	
SUPERIOR JUDGE				2	Full	Ralphs	Mark A	County of El Dorado, Superior Court Judge	Yes	1	47,199	47,199	100.0%	Yes
						Pesce	Jamie	County of El Dorado, Superior Court Judge	Yes	1	47,159	47,159	100.0%	Yes
						Mclaughlin	Michael	County of El Dorado, Superior Court Judge	Yes	1	46,259	46,259	100.0%	Yes
11/3/2020				COUNTY SUPERVISOR	1	Full	Hidahl	John	County of El Dorado, Supervisor	Yes	2	16,092	22,198	72.5%
	Briggs	Ron	Retired County Supervisor				No	2	6,106	22,198	27.5%	No		
	2	Full	Turnboo		George	Businessman/Pastor/Rancher	No	2	11,921	23,606	50.5%	Yes		
			Pimlott		Ken	Retired Fire Chief	No	2	11,685	23,606	49.5%	No		

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2020

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DISTRICT / SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT OF DESIGNATION	INCUM-BENT	NUMBER OF CANDIDATES	VOTES FOR CANDIDATES	TOTAL VOTES CAST	PERCENT OF VOTE	ELEC-TED	
EL DORADO (continued)	11/3/2020	DIRECTOR, El Dorado Hills CSD		Full	Mattock	Noelle	Incumbent	Yes	4	12,807	40,176	31.9%	Yes	
					Paulsen	Benjamin	Incumbent	Yes	4	12,499	40,176	31.1%	Yes	
					Brugess	Sita	Registered Nurse	No	4	11,379	40,176	28.3%	No	
					Sozzi	Dan	Community Banker	No	4	3,491	40,176	8.7%	No	
FRESNO	3/3/2020	COUNTY SUPERVISOR		Full	Brandau	Steve	Businessman/County Supervisor	Yes	1	39,277	39,277	100.0%	Yes	
					Quintero	Sal	Fresno County Supervisor	Yes	1	18,575	18,575	100.0%	Yes	
					Magsig	Nathan	Incumbent	Yes	1	43,536	43,536	100.0%	Yes	
					SUPERIOR JUDGE	Brickley	Gabriel L.	Chief Deputy District Attorney, Co. of Fresno	No	1	135,614	135,614	100.0%	Yes
						11	Egan	Elizabeth A.	Attorney/Businesswoman/Rancher	No	2	108,759	173,569	62.7%
	Treisman	Douglas	Senior Deputy District Attorney, Co. of Fresno	No	2		64,810	173,569	37.3%	No				
	11/3/2020	DIRECTOR, Biola CSD		Full	Madera	Martha	No Ballot Designation	No	5	100	452	22.1%	Yes	
					Lozano	Reyes	Incumbent	Yes	5	97	452	21.5%	Yes	
					Solis	Cecilia	Nurse	No	5	95	452	21.0%	No	
					Dolores	Monique	Incumbent	Yes	5	84	452	18.6%	No	
Colmenares					David Enrique	No Ballot Designation	No	5	76	452	16.8%	No		
GLENN	3/3/2020	COUNTY SUPERVISOR		Full	Olney	Richard F	Ranch Manager/Farmer	No	3	491	1,343	36.6%	Runoff	
					Carmon	Grant	K-9 Officer/Farmer	No	3	432	1,343	32.2%	Runoff	
					Irvin	Bill	Business Owner	No	3	420	1,343	31.3%	No	
					3	Arnold	Thomas	Construction Worker/Farmer	No	2	887	1,541	57.6%	Yes
						Silveira	Marlene	Farmer	No	2	654	1,541	42.4%	No
					5	Hahn	Ken	Retired	No	1	604	1,073	56.3%	Yes
	11/3/2020	COUNTY SUPERVISOR		Full	Carmon	Grant	K-9 Officer/Farmer	No	2	1,160	2,245	51.7%	Yes	
					Olney	Richard F.	Ranch Manager/Farmer	No	2	1,085	2,245	48.3%	No	
	HUMBOLDT	3/3/2020	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	1	Full	Bohn	Rex H	Humboldt County Supervisor	Yes	2	6,091	9,689	62.9%	Yes
						Berkowitz	Cliff	Radio Professional/Educator	No	2	3,598	9,689	37.1%	No
2				Full	Fennell	Estelle	Second District Supervisor	Yes	5	3,930	8,267	47.5%	Runoff	
					Bushnell	Michelle L.	Business Owner/Rancher/School Brd. Member	No	5	2,579	8,267	31.2%	Runoff	
					Mckaskle	Michael P.	Business Owner/Craftsman	No	5	1,046	8,267	12.7%	No	
					Devries	Sean	Parent	No	5	375	8,267	4.5%	No	
					French	Rick	Retired Water Manager	No	5	337	8,267	4.1%	No	
3				Full	Wilson	Mike	Supervisor/Environmental Engineer	Yes	1	8,989	8,989	100.0%	Yes	

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2020

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DISTRICT / SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT OF DESIGNATION	IN-CUM-BENT	NUMBER OF CAN-DIDATES	VOTES FOR CANDIDATES	TOTAL VOTES CAST	PERCENT OF VOTE	ELEC-TED				
HUMBOLDT	11/3/2020	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	2	Full	Bushnell	Michelle L.	Business Owner	No	2	6,201	12,043	51.5%	Yes				
					Fennell	Estelle	Second District Supervisor	Yes	2	5,785	12,043	48.0%	No				
		DIRECTOR, Humboldt CSD	Full	Benzonelli	Heidi	Nonprofit Board President	No	6	4,492	18,866	23.8%	Yes					
				Matteoli	Joe	Realtor	No	6	3,933	18,866	20.8%	Yes					
				Hansen	Michael P.	Utilities Deputy Director	No	6	3,552	18,866	18.8%	Yes					
				Saunderson	David	Incumbent	Yes	6	3,363	18,866	17.8%	No					
				Haynie	David	Business Person	No	6	1,777	18,866	9.4%	No					
				Sehon	Josh	Operational Vice President	No	6	1,660	18,866	8.8%	No					
		DIRECTOR, McKinleyville CSD	Full	Orsini	Greg	Retired Public Employee	No	3	4,315	12,012	35.9%	Yes					
				Binder	Scott	Retired Wholesale Distributor	No	3	4,055	12,012	33.8%	Yes					
				McBroome	William	Water/Wastewater/Operator	No	3	3,589	12,012	29.9%	No					
				Short	Clark-Peterson	Joellen	Communications Specialist	No	3	4,408	7,069	62.4%	Yes				
				Martin	Wesley	Small Businessman	No	3	1,869	7,069	26.4%	No					
				Vance	Jimmy	No Ballot Designation	No	3	752	7,069	10.6%	No					
		DIRECTOR, Willow Creek CSD	Full	Gower	Judy M.	Incumbent	Yes	6	367	1,562	23.5%	Yes					
				Hughes	Shannon	Compliance Consultant/Bookkeeper	No	6	324	1,562	20.7%	Yes					
				Morrison	Riley	Parent/Business Owner	No	6	275	1,562	17.6%	Yes					
				Holmes	Tyler L.	Appointed Incumbent	Yes	6	259	1,562	16.6%	No					
				Kennedy	Bob	Retired	No	6	190	1,562	12.2%	No					
				Holloway	Richard E.	Retired School Teacher	No	6	143	1,562	9.2%	No					
IMPERIAL	3/3/2020	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	2	Full	Plancarte	Luis A.	No Ballot Designation	Yes	2	2,926	5,284	55.4%	Yes				
					Camarena	Claudia M.	Retired Program Manager	No	2	2,358	5,284	44.6%	No				
					3	Full	Kelley	Michael W.	No Ballot Designation	Yes	1	4,306	4,306	100.0%	Yes		
					4	Full	Kelley	Ryan E.	No Ballot Designation	Yes	1	3,813	3,813	100.0%	Yes		
INYO	3/3/2020	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	2	Full	Griffiths	Jeff	2nd District Inyo County Supervisor	Yes	2	770	1,247	61.7%	Yes				
					Lind	Heather F.	Bishop Planning Commissioner	No	2	477	1,247	38.3%	No				
					4	Full	Roeser	Jen	Wilderness Outfitter/farmer	No	3	519	1,252	41.5%	Runoff		
							Bright	Donald	Retired Military/Rancher	No	3	497	1,252	39.7%	Runoff		
							Conway	Deena Davenport	Small Business Owner	No	3	236	1,252	18.8%	No		
					5	Full	Kingsley	Matt	5th District Supervisor	Yes	1	704	704	100.0%	Yes		
							SUPERIOR JUDGE	Full	Rizo	Susanne Marie	Attorney, Regional Director	No	3	3,202	6,437	49.7%	Yes
									Lamb	Brian	Superior Court Judge	Yes	3	2,550	6,437	39.6%	No
Ashworth	Philip T.	Attorney	No	3	685	6,437			10.6%	No							

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2020

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DISTRICT / SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT OF DESIGNATION	IN-CUM-BENT	NUMBER OF CANDIDATES	VOTES FOR CANDIDATES	TOTAL VOTES CAST	PERCENT OF VOTE	ELECTED
INYO (continued)	11/3/2020	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	4	Full	Roeser	Jen	Wilderness Outfitter/Farmer	No	2	902	1,656	54.5%	Yes
					Bright	Donald	Retired Military/Rancher	No	2	754	1,656	45.5%	No
KERN	3/3/2020	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	4	Full	Couch	David	Civil Rights Attorney	No	2	9,891	18,704	52.9%	Yes
					Huerta	Emilio J.	County Supervisor	Yes	2	8,813	18,704	47.1%	No
			1	Full	Peters	Phillip	Businessman/District Director	No	3	25,062	47,974	52.2%	Yes
					Fluhart	David J.	Farmer	No	3	11,697	47,974	24.4%	No
					Stephens	Daures F.	Business Owner/Trustee	No	3	11,215	47,974	23.4%	No
			5	Full	Perez	Leticia	County Supervisor	Yes	5	7,882	13,489	58.4%	Yes
					Abbasi	David	Businessman/Entrepreneur/Coordinator	No	5	1,720	13,489	12.8%	No
	Herrera	Ricardo			Business Owner	No	5	1,660	13,489	12.3%	No		
	Cruz	Ronnie			Account Manager	No	5	1,117	13,489	8.3%	No		
	11/3/2020	DIRECTOR, Arvin CSD	Full	Pantoja	Maria Moreno	School Employee	No	4	1,603	4,895	32.7%	Yes	
				Alvarez	Maria	Incumbent	Yes	4	1,324	4,895	27.0%	Yes	
				Moreno	Cesar	Store Manager	No	4	1,209	4,895	24.7%	No	
				Rodriguez	Robert	Incumbent	Yes	4	759	4,895	15.5%	No	
				DIRECTOR, Bear Valley CSD	Full	Jensen	Charles	Peace Officer	No	5	1,784	6,006	29.7%
Grace						John I.	Development Manager	No	5	1,435	6,006	23.9%	Yes
Kneer						Kathy	Retired Nonprofit CEO	No	5	1,157	6,006	19.3%	No
Carlyn	Jay	Incumbent	Yes			5	976	6,006	16.3%	No			
Miles	Steve	Retired Marketing Manager	No			5	652	6,006	10.9%	No			
DIRECTOR, East Niles CSD	Full	Aguilar	Steven A.	Educational Teacher	No	4	5,537	14,856	37.3%	Yes			
		Powell	Laurel	Incumbent	Yes	4	3,556	14,856	23.9%	Yes			
		McCalla	William C.	Board Director	No	4	3,101	14,856	20.9%	Yes			
		Harger	Richard H.	Incumbent	Yes	4	2,662	14,856	17.9%	No			
DIRECTOR, Rosamond CSD	Full	Glennan	Byron	Retired Educator	No	3	3,410	8,326	41.0%	Yes			
		Wallis	Alfred Eugene	Electrician	No	3	2,555	8,326	30.7%	Yes			
		Washington	Gregory C.	Appointed Incumbent	Yes	3	2,361	8,326	28.4%	No			

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2020

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DISTRICT / SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT OF DESIGNATION	IN-CUM-BENT	NUMBER OF CANDIDATES	VOTES FOR CANDIDATES	TOTAL VOTES CAST	PERCENT OF VOTE	ELECTED	
KINGS	3/3/2020	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	2	Full	Valle	Richard	Supervisor, County of Kings	Yes	1	1,719	1,719	100.0%	Yes	
			5	Full	Fagundes	Richard L.	Supervisor, County of Kings	Yes	2	3,538	5,283	67.0%	Yes	
					Mendoza	Michael P.	Retired Educators	No	2	1,745	5,283	33.0%	No	
		SUPERIOR JUDGE	1	Full	Reinhart	Michael J.	Superior Court Judge	Yes	1	16,573	16,573	100.0%	Yes	
			2	Full	Edwards	Randy	Superior Court Judge	Yes	1	16,367	16,367	100.0%	Yes	
			3	Full	Chrissakis	Valerie R.	Superior Court Judge	Yes	1	16,220	16,220	100.0%	Yes	
LAKE	3/3/2020	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	1	Full	Simon, III	Jose Moke	County Supervisor	Yes	2	2,795	3,546	78.8%	Yes	
					Bono	Julia Mary	No Ballot Designation	No	2	751	3,546	21.2%	No	
			4	Full	Scott	Tina	Incumbent, Supervisor District Four	Yes	2	2,506	3,996	62.7%	Yes	
				Almind	Chris	Water Treatment - Operating Engineer	No	2	1,490	3,996	37.3%	No		
		5	Full	Pyska	Jessica	Educator	No	4	1,923	4,036	47.6%	Runoff		
				Kearney	Bill	Retired Pharmacist	No	4	1,120	4,036	27.8%	Runoff		
	SUPERIOR JUDGE					Woll	Lily	Teacher	No	4	898	4,036	22.2%	No
						Ahajianian	Kevin	Activist	No	4	95	4,036	2.4%	No
		2	Full	Markham	J. David	Write-in candidate	Yes	1	2,435	2,629	92.6%	Yes		
		5	Full	Pyska	Jessica	Educator	No	2	3,893	6,148	63.3%	Yes		
11/3/2020	COUNTY SUPERVISOR				Kearney	Bill	Retired Pharmacist	No	2	2,226	6,148	36.2%	No	
LASSEN	3/3/2020	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	1	Full	Gallagher	Chris	District 1 Supervisor	Yes	3	723	1,748	41.4%	Runoff	
					McBride	Nicholas	Business Owner	No	3	512	1,748	29.3%	Runoff	
					Darrow	Randy	Retired Contractor	No	3	507	1,748	29.0%	No	
		2	Full	Bridges	Gary	Retired Timberfalling Contractor	No	2	629	1,206	52.2%	Yes		
				Teeter	David	Supervisor/Bookstore Owner	Yes	2	572	1,206	47.4%	No		
		4	Full	Albaugh	Aaron	Rancher	Yes	1	1,262	1,310	96.3%	Yes		
	11/3/2020	COUNTY SUPERVISOR				Gallagher	Chris	District 1 Supervisor	Yes	2	1,270	2,495	50.9%	Yes
						McBride	Nicholas	Business Owner	No	2	1,208	2,495	48.4%	No
		DIRECTOR, Spalding CSD		Full	Thomas	Ted	Retired Construction Superintendent	Yes	3	79	214	36.9%	Yes	
					Doss	Larry A.	Retired General Contractor	Yes	3	77	214	36.0%	Yes	
			Willard	Barbara	Retired County Manager	No	3	56	214	26.2%	No			

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2020

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DISTRICT / SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT OF DESIGNATION	IN-CUM-BENT	NUMBER OF CANDIDATES	VOTES FOR CANDIDATES	TOTAL VOTES CAST	PERCENT OF VOTE	ELECTED	
LOS ANGELES	3/3/2020	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	2	Full	Wesson Jr.	Herb J.	Los Angeles Council President	No	7	90,597	302,728	29.9%	Runoff	
					Mitchell	Holly J.	State Senator	No	7	87,914	302,728	29.0%	Runoff	
					Perry	Jan C.	Economic Development Director	No	7	36,099	302,728	11.9%	No	
					Robles	Albert	Mayor/Constitutional Attorney	No	7	32,305	302,728	10.7%	No	
					Nuño	Jorge	Social Entrepreneur	No	7	19,850	302,728	6.6%	No	
					Jeong	Jake	Community Advocate/Attorney	No	7	19,511	302,728	6.4%	No	
					Rigard	René Lorenzo	Investment Advisor/Educator	No	7	16,452	302,728	5.4%	No	
		4	Full	Hahn	Janice	Los Angeles County Supervisor	Yes	2	291,618	382,970	76.1%	Yes		
				Washington	Desirre T.	Attorney	No	2	91,352	382,970	23.9%	No		
		5	Full	Barger	Kathryn	Los Angeles County Supervisor	Yes	3	240,403	409,213	58.7%	Yes		
				Park	Darrell	Educator/Environmental Entrepreneur	No	3	84,611	409,213	20.7%	No		
				Harabedian	John C.	Mayor of Sierra Madre	No	3	84,199	409,213	20.6%	No		
		DISTRICT ATTORNEY	Full	Lacey	Jackie	Los Angeles County District Attorney	Yes	3	869,127	1,786,446	48.7%	Runoff		
				Gascón	George	Justice Reform Advocate	No	3	504,088	1,786,446	28.2%	Runoff		
				Rossi	Rachel A.	Public Defender, Federal	No	3	413,231	1,786,446	23.1%	No		
		SUPERIOR JUDGE		17	Full	Cooley	Shannon Kathleen	Deputy District Attorney, County of Los Angeles	No	1	1,415,124	1,415,124	100.0%	Yes
						42	Full	Sun	Linda L.	Deputy Attorney General, State of California	No	2	935,772	1,631,842
				Villa	Robert "Bob"	Deputy District Attorney, Los Angeles County		No	2	696,070	1,631,842	42.7%	No	
				72	Full	Morgan	Steve	Deputy District Attorney, County of Los Angeles	No	3	776,425	1,579,393	49.2%	Runoff
						Dellinger	Myanna	Law Professor/Attorney	No	3	624,600	1,579,393	39.5%	Runoff
						Jacobs	Robert F.	Attorney at Law	No	3	178,368	1,579,393	11.3%	No
76	Full			Cole	Emily	Deputy District Attorney, County of Los Angeles	No	2	1,326,626	1,560,868	85.0%	Yes		
				Cummins	Judge Mike	Retired Counselor-at-Law	No	2	234,242	1,560,868	15.0%	No		
80	Full			Berger	David	Deputy District Attorney, County of Los Angeles	No	3	688,321	1,530,399	45.0%	Runoff		
				McKay	Klint James	ALJ, California Department of Social Services	No	3	574,846	1,530,399	37.6%	Runoff		
				Rini	Nick C.	Deputy District Attorney, County of Los Angeles	No	3	267,232	1,530,399	17.5%	No		
97	Full			Powell	Sherry L.	Deputy District Attorney, County of Los Angeles	No	2	989,380	1,571,984	62.9%	Yes		
				Reuben	Timothy D.	Attorney/Business Owner	No	2	582,604	1,571,984	37.1%	No		
129	Full			Fuller	Kenneth M.	Deputy District Attorney, County of Los Angeles	No	3	1,073,400	1,516,098	70.8%	Yes		
		Moss	Bruce A.	Attorney at Law	No	3	225,419	1,516,098	14.9%	No				
		Maccarley	Mark	Lawyer	No	3	217,279	1,516,098	14.3%	No				
131	Full	Kelley	Michelle	Deputy District Attorney, County of Los Angeles	No	1	1,365,438	1,365,438	100.0%	Yes				
141	Full	Kim	Lana	Deputy District Attorney, County of Los Angeles	No	1	1,368,214	1,368,214	100.0%	Yes				

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2020

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DISTRICT / SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT OF DESIGNATION	IN-CUM-BENT	NUMBER OF CANDIDATES	VOTES FOR CANDIDATES	TOTAL VOTES CAST	PERCENT OF VOTE	ELECTED		
LOS ANGELES (continued)	3/3/2020	SUPERIOR JUDGE	145	Full	Montalban	Adan	Deputy District Attorney, County of Los Angeles	No	2	960,425	1,521,075	63.1%	Yes		
					Slaten	Troy	Attorney/Legal Commentator	No	2	560,650	1,521,075	36.9%	No		
			150	Full	Almada	Manuel Alejandro	Deputy District Attorney, County of Los Angeles	No	3	812,706	1,556,499	52.2%	Yes		
					Parsekian	Tom	Attorney/Mediator	No	3	418,129	1,556,499	26.9%	No		
					Valle Cole	Sherri Onica	Attorney-at-Law	No	3	325,664	1,556,499	20.9%	No		
			162	Full	Yang	Scott Andrew	Deputy District Attorney, County of Los Angeles	No	3	766,898	1,565,140	49.0%	Runoff		
					Diamond	David D.	Attorney/Adjunct Professor	No	3	485,597	1,565,140	31.0%	Runoff		
					Harper	Caree Annette	Attorney/Radio Producer	No	3	312,645	1,565,140	20.0%	No		
			11/3/2020	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	2	Full	Mitchell	Holly J.	State Senator	No	2	387,930	640,475	60.6%	Yes
	Wesson, Jr.	Herb J.					Los Angeles City Councilmember	No	2	252,545	640,475	39.4%	No		
	DIRECTOR, Wrightwood CSD	Full		Lopiccolo	Natalie	Board Member, Wrightwood CSD	Yes	3	61	153	39.9%	Yes			
				Albers	Sadie E.	Small Business Owner	No	3	50	153	32.7%	Yes			
				Zuber	Wes	Incumbent	Yes	3	42	153	27.5%	No			
				Franklin	Chuck	Appointed Incumbent	Yes	3	35	80	43.8%	Yes			
				Keel	Chad	No Ballot Designation	No	3	32	80	40.0%	No			
Blough				Kimberly	No Ballot Designation	No	3	13	80	16.3%	No				
DISTRICT ATTORNEY	Full	Gascón		George	Justice Reform Advocate	No	2	2,002,865	3,741,482	53.5%	Yes				
		Lacey		Jackie	Los Angeles County District Attorney	Yes	2	1,738,617	3,741,482	46.5%	No				
SUPERIOR JUDGE	72	Full		Morgan	Steve	Deputy District Attorney, County of Los Angeles	No	2	1,740,406	3,420,576	50.9%	Yes			
				Dellinger	Myanna	Law Professor/Attorney	No	2	1,680,170	3,420,576	49.1%	No			
	80	Full	Berger	David A.	Deputy District Attorney, County of Los Angeles	No	2	1,865,389	3,359,107	55.5%	Yes				
			McKay	Klint James	ALJ, California Department of Social Services	No	2	1,493,718	3,359,107	44.5%	No				
	162	Full	Yang	Scott Andrew	Deputy District Attorney, County of Los Angeles	No	2	1,817,514	3,387,204	53.7%	Yes				
			Diamond	David D.	Attorney/Law Professor	No	2	1,569,690	3,387,204	46.3%	No				
MADERA	3/3/2020	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	3	Full	Poythress	Robert L.	County Supervisor/Farmer	Yes	1	4,463	4,463	100.0%	Yes		
					4	Full	Gonzalez	Leticia	Chief of Staff	No	3	1,443	2,383	60.6%	Yes
							Arredondo	Ricardo	Businessman	No	3	730	2,383	30.6%	No
							Block	Eddie	College Student	No	3	210	2,383	8.8%	No

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2020

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DISTRICT / SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT OF DESIGNATION	IN-CUM-BENT	NUMBER OF CANDIDATES	VOTES FOR CANDIDATES	TOTAL VOTES CAST	PERCENT OF VOTE	ELECTED
MARIN	3/3/2020	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	2	Full	Rice	Katie	Marin County Supervisor	Yes	1	18,953	18,953	100.0%	Yes
			3	Full	Moulton-Peters	Stephanie	City Councilmember	No	3	17,187	21,063	81.6%	Yes
					Kenney	Jack	Investor	No	3	2,406	21,063	11.4%	No
					Bailey	Bill	Information Systems Administrator	No	3	1,470	21,063	7.0%	No
	11/3/2020	DIRECTOR, Muir Beach CSD	Full	Taylor	David H.	Director of Sales	No	3	233	448	52.0%	Yes	
				Jeschke	Paul	Retired Journalist	No	3	151	448	33.7%	Yes	
		DIRECTOR, Tamalpais CSD	Full	Bartschat	Steffen J.	Director, Tamalpais CSD	Yes	4	2,288	7,778	29.4%	Yes	
				Levine	Steven M.	Director, Tamalpais CSD	Yes	4	2,283	7,778	29.4%	Yes	
				McMahon	Mathew	Director, Tamalpais CSD	Yes	4	2,128	7,778	27.4%	Yes	
				Tarpey-Schwed	Mark	Community Volunteer	No	4	1,079	7,778	13.9%	No	
MARIPOSA	3/3/2020	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	2	Full	Sweeney	Thomas	Community Volunteer	No	1	972	972	100.0%	Yes
			4	Full	Forsythe	Wayne W.	Retired Ag. Educator/Rancher	No	1	1,078	1,078	100.0%	Yes
			5	Full	Menetrey	Miles	Incumbent	Yes	1	1,210	1,210	100.0%	Yes
MENDOCINO	3/3/2020	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	1	Full	McGourty	Glenn	Educator/Scientist/Farmer	No	4	2,247	4,729	47.5%	Runoff
					Kennedy	Jon	Local Business Owner	No	4	1,433	4,729	30.3%	Runoff
					Green	James	IT Engineer/Father	No	4	769	4,729	16.3%	No
					Sakowicz	John	Retired Asset Manager	No	4	280	4,729	5.9%	No
	2	Full	Mulheren	Maureen "Mo"	Local Business Owner	No	3	1,900	4,512	42.1%	Runoff		
			Rodin	Mari	Businesswoman/Grant Writer	No	3	1,602	4,512	35.5%	Runoff		
			Soinila	Joel	Real Estate Broker	No	3	1,010	4,512	22.4%	No		
	4	Full	Gjerde	Dan	Fourth District Supervisor	Yes	2	3,657	6,019	60.8%	Yes		
			Peters	Lindy	Fort Bragg Council Member	No	2	2,362	6,019	39.2%	No		
	11/3/2020	SUPERIOR JUDGE	3	Full	Pekin	Patrick M.	Attorney/Volunteer Firefighter	No	1	20,923	20,923	100.0%	Yes
COUNTY SUPERVISOR					1	Full	McGourty	Glenn	Educator/Scientist/Farmer	No	2	4,470	7,747
		Kennedy	Joe	Local Business Owner			No	2	3,277	7,747	42.3%	No	
2		Full	Mulheren	Maureen "Mo"	Local Business Owner	No	2	4,167	6,872	60.6%	Yes		
	Rodin		Mari	Businesswoman/Grant Writer	No	2	2,705	6,872	39.4%	No			



TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2020

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DISTRICT / SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT OF DESIGNATION	IN-CUM-BENT	NUMBER OF CAN-DIDATES	VOTES FOR CANDIDATES	TOTAL VOTES CAST	PERCENT OF VOTE	ELEC-TED		
MENDOCINO (continued)	11/3/2020	DIRECTOR, Anderson Valley CSD		Full	Hanelt	Valerie	Incumbent	Yes	4	899	2,584	34.8%	Yes		
					Soderman	Paul T.	Incumbent	Yes	4	756	2,584	29.3%	Yes		
					Christen	Francois	Retired	Yes	4	593	2,584	22.9%	Yes		
					Rose	Stacey	Retired Military	No	4	336	2,584	13.0%	No		
	11/3/2020	DIRECTOR, Mendocino City CSD			Full	Sullivan	James H.	Appointed Incumbent	Yes	5	226	720	31.4%	Yes	
						Murphy	Dennak	Retired Non-Profit Executive	No	5	222	720	30.8%	Yes	
						Rice	Ottopaskal D.	Operations Supervisor	Yes	5	143	720	19.9%	No	
						Gomes	Steven L.	Building Contractor	No	5	89	720	12.4%	No	
						Tetzlaff	Tom	Self Employed/Homeowner	No	5	40	720	5.6%	No	
						O'Rourke	Margaret Mary	Lawyer	No	4	329	697	47.2%	Yes	
						Aranguren	Christina	Property Manager	No	4	219	697	31.4%	Yes	
						Arden	Tom	Agricultural Consultant	No	4	85	697	12.2%	No	
	11/3/2020	COUNTY SUPERVISOR			Short	Buckle	Rob	Contractor	No	4	64	697	9.2%	No	
MERCED	3/3/2020	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	1	Full	Espinoza	Rodrigo	Incumbent	Yes	2	2,572	5,117	50.3%	Yes		
					Alshami	Sonia	Alcohol Drug Counselor	No	2	2,486	5,117	48.6%	No		
					2	Full	Lor	Lee	Incumbent	Yes	4	4,551	12,251	37.1%	Runoff
							Pedrozo	Josh	Teacher	No	4	3,627	12,251	29.6%	Runoff
	3/3/2020	COUNTY SUPERVISOR			Full	Barragan	Angel	Pastor/Educator	No	4	2,208	12,251	18.0%	No	
						Aguilera	Ricky	Business Manager	No	4	1,805	12,251	14.7%	No	
	3/3/2020	COUNTY SUPERVISOR		4	Full	Pareira	Lloyd	Incumbent	Yes	2	5,222	7,586	68.8%	Yes	
						Mendoza	Mario	College Board Trustee	No	2	2,309	7,586	30.4%	No	
	11/3/2020	COUNTY SUPERVISOR		2	Full	Pedrozo	Josh	Teacher	No	2	11,569	21,732	53.2%	Yes	
						Lor	Lee	Incumbent	Yes	2	10,163	21,732	46.8%	No	
MODOC	3/3/2020	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	2	Full	Cullins	Patricia	Incumbent	Yes	1	480	492	97.6%	Yes		
					Rhoads	Kathie	Incumbent	Yes	1	465	469	99.1%	Yes		
					4	Full	Cavasso	Elizabeth D.	Incumbent	Yes	1	492	507	97.0%	Yes
MONO	3/3/2020	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	2	Full	Duggan	Rhonda	Small Business Owner	No	2	563	1,165	48.3%	Runoff		
					Rhodes	Joshua G.	Road Maintenance Supervisor	No	2	489	1,165	42.0%	Runoff		
					3	Full	Gardner	Bob	Supervisor, District 3	Yes	1	618	618	100.0%	Yes
					4	Full	Peters	John	Incumbent	Yes	1	661	661	100.0%	Yes
	11/3/2020	COUNTY SUPERVISOR		2	Full	Duggan	Rhonda	Small Business Owner	No	2	971	1,592	61.0%	Yes	
						Rhodes	Joshua G.	Retired Maintenance Supervisor	No	2	621	1,592	39.0%	No	

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2020

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DISTRICT / SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT OF DESIGNATION	INCUM-BENT	NUMBER OF CANDIDATES	VOTES FOR CANDIDATES	TOTAL VOTES CAST	PERCENT OF VOTE	ELECTED	
MONO (continued)	11/3/2020	DIRECTOR, Hilton Creek CSD		Full	Shiple	Steve	Local General Contractor	Yes	3	357	837	42.7%	Yes	
					Czeschin	Windsor	Business Owner	Yes	3	314	837	37.5%	Yes	
					Richman	David J.	Business Owner	No	3	166	837	19.8%	No	
MONTEREY	3/3/2020	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	1	Full	Alejo	Luis A.	Monterey County Supervisor	Yes	1	6,754	6,754	100.0%	Yes	
			4	Full	Askew	Wendy Root	School Board Member	No	4	8,710	19,122	45.5%	Runoff	
					McShane	Steve	Salinas Councilman/Businessperson	No	4	6,688	19,122	35.0%	Runoff	
					Miller	Alex	Educator/Parent	No	4	2,077	19,122	10.9%	No	
	Chambliss	Wini			Retired Monterey County Administrator	No	4	1,647	19,122	8.6%	No			
	11/3/2020	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	4	Full	Askew	Wendy Root	School Board Member	No	2	18,417	34,818	52.9%	Yes	
					McShane	Steve	Salinas Councilman/Businessperson	No	2	16,401	34,818	47.1%	No	
		DIRECTOR, Pebble Beach CSD	Full	Verbanec	Richard	Incumbent	Yes	4	1,711	5,397	31.7%	Yes		
				Laska	Leo M.	Incumbent	Yes	4	1,484	5,397	27.5%	Yes		
				Gebhart	Richard B.	Incumbent	Yes	4	1,471	5,397	27.3%	Yes		
Stapleton				Chris	Attorney	No	4	731	5,397	13.5%	No			
NAPA	3/3/2020	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	2	Full	Gregory	Ryan	Napa County Supervisor	Yes	1	8,013	8,013	100.0%	Yes	
			4	Full	Pedroza	Alfredo	Napa County Supervisor	Yes	2	4,639	8,508	54.5%	Yes	
					Manfree	Amber	Geographer/Educator	No	2	3,869	8,508	45.5%	No	
			5	Full	Ramos	Belia	Supervisor, 5th District	Yes	2	4,322	8,128	53.2%	Yes	
					Aboudamous	Mariam J.	American Canyon City Councilmember	No	2	3,806	8,128	46.8%	No	
			SUPERIOR JUDGE	3	Full	Langhorne	Monique S.	Appointed Superior Court Judge	Yes	2	33,078	41,300	80.1%	Yes
	Blackman	Clifford A.				Attorney at Law	No	2	8,222	41,300	19.9%	No		
	TREASURER-TAX COLLECTOR	Full				Minahen	Bob	Assistant Auditor-Controller	No	2	25,341	39,690	63.8%	Yes
						Basayne	Mike	Financial Consultant/Businessman	No	2	14,349	39,690	36.2%	No
	NEVADA	3/3/2020	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	1	Full	Hall	Heidi	Incumbent	Yes	3	5,569	10,302	54.1%	Yes
Taylor						Michael James	Contractor/Firefighter/Farmer	No	3	2,422	10,302	23.5%	No	
Wilder						Deborah	Independent Businesswoman	No	3	2,311	10,302	22.4%	No	
2				Full	Scofield	Ed	Incumbent	Yes	1	6,664	6,664	100.0%	Yes	
5				Full	Bullock	Hardy	Director Aviation Community	No	1	4,723	4,723	100.0%	Yes	

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2020

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DISTRICT / SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT OF DESIGNATION	IN-CUM-BENT	NUMBER OF CANDIDATES	VOTES FOR CANDIDATES	TOTAL VOTES CAST	PERCENT OF VOTE	ELECTED	
ORANGE	3/3/2020	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	1	Full	Do	Andrew	Orange County Supervisor, District 1	Yes	4	40,999	96,906	42.3%	Runoff	
					Contreras	Sergio	Westminster City Councilmember	No	4	21,721	96,906	22.4%	Runoff	
					Pulido	Miguel A.	Mayor, City of Santa Ana	No	4	19,616	96,906	20.2%	No	
					Nguyen	Kim Bernice	Garden Grove City Councilwoman	No	4	14,570	96,906	15.0%	No	
		SUPERIOR JUDGE	4	Full	Wagner	Donald P.	Orange County Supervisor	Yes	2	80,544	153,878	52.3%	Yes	
					Aitken	Ashleigh	Consumer Advocate/Businesswoman	No	2	73,334	153,878	47.7%	No	
		11/3/2020	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	1	Full	Do	Andrew	Orange County Supervisor, District 1	Yes	2	106,252	204,945	51.8%	Yes
						Contreras	Sergio	Westminster City Councilmember	No	2	98,693	204,945	48.2%	No
	DIRECTOR, Capistrano Bay CSD		Full	Jenkins	Brad L.	Entrepreneur	No	3	72	160	45.0%	Yes		
				Wiersig	William	No Ballot Designation	No	3	55	160	34.4%	Yes		
				Cramer	Yuri	Housewife/Property Manager	No	3	33	160	20.6%	No		
	DIRECTOR, Rossmoor CSD		Full	Barke	Jeffrey	Appointed Director, Rossmoor CSD	Yes	5	3,242	13,122	24.7%	Yes		
		Demarco		Tony	Incumbent	Yes	5	3,101	13,122	23.6%	Yes			
		Searles		Nathan	Attorney	No	5	2,929	13,122	22.3%	Yes			
Maynard		Michael		Incumbent	Yes	5	2,647	13,122	20.2%	No				
Bloom	Joyce	No Ballot Designation	No	5	1,203	13,122	9.2%	No						
PLACER	3/3/2020	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	3	Full	Holmes	Jim	Placer County Supervisor District 3	Yes	3	12,872	23,896	53.9%	Yes	
					Murray	Mike	Rocklin Businessman	No	3	9,386	23,896	39.3%	No	
					Del Greco	Craig R.	Millwright	No	3	1,638	23,896	6.9%	No	
		4	Full	Jones	Suzanne	Trustee, Placer County Board of Education	No	2	12,809	24,760	51.7%	Yes		
				Uhler	Kirk	Placer County Supervisor/Businessman	Yes	2	11,951	24,760	48.3%	No		
		5	Full	Gustafson	Cindy	Appointed Placer County Supervisor, District 5	Yes	2	18,281	24,784	73.8%	Yes		
				Kershner	Christopher Mitchell	Auburn Business Owner	No	2	6,503	24,784	26.2%	No		
		11/3/2020	DIRECTOR, Auburn Valley CSD	Short	Imrie	John N.	Auburn Valley CSD Board Member	Yes	2	147	213	69.0%	Yes	
					Kuehene	Dale Richard	Retired Hearing Officer	No	2	66	213	31.0%	No	
	DIRECTOR, Christian Valley CSD		Full	Negus	Daniel A.	Appointed Incumbent	Yes	4	532	1,875	28.4%	Yes		
				De La Torre	Rolando	Appointed Incumbent	Yes	4	474	1,875	25.3%	Yes		
				Alessi	Diane-Louise	Nonprofit Organization Officer	No	4	459	1,875	24.5%	No		
Shepherd	Mereline-Ann	Business Owner	No	4	410	1,875	21.9%	No						

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2020

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DISTRICT / SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT OF DESIGNATION	IN-CUM-BENT	NUMBER OF CANDIDATES	VOTES FOR CANDIDATES	TOTAL VOTES CAST	PERCENT OF VOTE	ELECTED
PLUMAS	3/3/2020	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	1	Full	Ceresola	Dwight	Rancher	No	3	567	1,295	43.8%	Runoff
					Powers	Bill	City Council Member	No	3	325	1,295	25.1%	Runoff
					Christian	Jason E.	Energy Economist	No	3	155	1,295	12.0%	No
			2	Full	Goss	Kevin	Incumbent	Yes	4	761	1,745	43.6%	Runoff
					Grant	Michael	Retired Peace Officer	No	4	453	1,745	26.0%	Runoff
					Shannon	Phil	Deputy Sheriff	No	4	291	1,745	16.7%	No
	4	Full	Hagwood	Gregory J.	Retired Plumas County Sheriff	No	2	1,152	1,460	78.9%	Yes		
			Bishop	Melissa	Certified Nurse Assistant	No	2	308	1,460	21.1%	No		
	11/3/2020	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	1	Full	Ceresola	Dwight	Rancher	No	2	1,145	1,894	60.5%	Yes
					Powers	Bill	City Council Member	No	2	749	1,894	39.5%	No
			2	Full	Goss	Kevin	Incumbent	Yes	2	1,279	2,233	57.3%	Yes
					Grant	Michael	Retired Peace Officer	No	2	954	2,233	42.7%	No
RIVERSIDE	3/3/2020	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	1	Full	Jeffries	Kevin D.	Supervisor/Small Businessman	Yes	3	42,062	83,291	50.5%	Yes
					Bourbonnais	Melissa A.	Correctional Deputy/Educator	No	3	20,823	83,291	25.0%	No
					Walsh	Debbie	Small Businesswoman	No	3	20,406	83,291	24.5%	No
			3	Full	Washington	Chuck	Riverside County Supervisor	Yes	5	54,833	99,088	55.3%	Yes
					Sheehan	Courtney	Marine/Graduate Student	No	5	13,103	99,088	13.2%	No
					Scarafone	Joe	Small Business Owner	No	5	12,932	99,088	13.1%	No
	Gomez-Krauss	Edison			ESL Teacher	No	5	9,140	99,088	9.2%	No		
	Juárez	Mike			Retired Peace Officer	No	5	9,080	99,088	9.2%	No		
	11/3/2020	DIRECTOR, Rubidoux CSD	Full	Muñiz	Armando	Incumbent	Yes	4	4,491	12,654	35.5%	Yes	
				Murphy	Bernard William	Civil Engineer	Yes	4	3,255	12,654	25.7%	Yes	
				Skerbelis	John	Retired Environmental Worker	Yes	4	3,126	12,654	24.7%	Yes	
				Jackson	Hakan Honon	Operations Manager	No	4	1,782	12,654	14.1%	No	

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2020

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DISTRICT / SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT OF DESIGNATION	IN-CUM-BENT	NUMBER OF CANDIDATES	VOTES FOR CANDIDATES	TOTAL VOTES CAST	PERCENT OF VOTE	ELECTED	
SACRAMENTO	3/3/2020	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	3	Full	Desmond	Rich	Highway Patrol Chief	No	5	32,075	75,070	42.7%	Runoff	
					Fishman	Gregg	SMUD Director/Broadcaster	No	5	19,446	75,070	25.9%	Runoff	
					Mock-Goeman	Tiffany	Business Administrator	No	5	8,042	75,070	10.7%	No	
					Ceccato	Matt	Congressional Aide/Father	No	5	7,897	75,070	10.5%	No	
					Wood	Catrayel N.	Budget Policy Analyst	No	5	7,610	75,070	10.1%	No	
	11/3/2020	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	3	Full	Frost	Sue	Board of Supervisors, District 4	Yes	2	54,073	71,567	75.6%	Yes	
					Duffy	Bridget	Mother	No	2	17,494	71,567	24.4%	No	
	SAN BENITO	3/3/2020	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	1	Full	Medina	Mark	Businessman	Yes	2	2,262	3,929	57.6%	Yes
						Dirks	Betsy	Education Consultant	No	2	1,667	3,929	42.4%	No
				2	Full	Kosmicki	Kollin	Journalist	No	5	1,220	3,478	35.1%	Runoff
Norton						Wayne	Aromas Water District Director	No	5	757	3,478	21.8%	Runoff	
Barragan						Frank	Financial Controller	No	5	603	3,478	17.3%	No	
Egland						Valerie	Planning Commissioner	No	5	581	3,478	16.7%	No	
Freeman						John	City of San Juan Bautista Council Member	No	5	317	3,478	9.1%	No	
5		Full	Gonzales	Bea	Teacher	No	2	1,218	2,328	52.3%	Yes			
			De La Cruz	Jaime	Incumbent	Yes	2	1,110	2,328	47.7%	No			
11/3/2020		COUNTY SUPERVISOR	2	Full	Kosmicki	Kollin	Journalist	No	2	2,848	5,561	51.2%	Yes	
					Norton	Wayne	Water District Director	No	2	2,713	5,561	48.8%	No	
			4	Short	Tiffany	Bob	Local Business Owner	No	5	2,380	6,330	37.6%	Yes	
					Mansmith	Mike	Business Owner	No	5	2,025	6,330	32.0%	No	
					Gibson	Robert	Farmer	No	5	1,088	6,330	17.2%	No	
	Valcazar				Dan	Small Business Owner	No	5	604	6,330	9.5%	No		
	Snow				Keith	Retired Foreman Operator	No	5	233	6,330	3.7%	No		
3/3/2020	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	1	Full	Cook	Paul	United States Representative	No	4	44,700	69,130	64.7%	Yes		
				Ramirez	Rita	Victorville City Councilmember	No	4	14,911	69,130	21.6%	No		
				Garza	Marcelino "Chico"	Assistant to Superintendent	No	4	5,649	69,130	8.2%	No		
				Evans	Stevevonna Renee	Adelanto City Councilmember	No	4	3,870	69,130	5.6%	No		

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2020

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DISTRICT / SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT OF DESIGNATION	IN-CUM-BENT	NUMBER OF CANDIDATES	VOTES FOR CANDIDATES	TOTAL VOTES CAST	PERCENT OF VOTE	ELECTED	
SAN BERNARDINO (continued)	3/3/2020	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	3	Full	Rowe	Dawn	San Bernardino County Supervisor	Yes	5	46,263	84,181	55.0%	Yes	
					Tejeda	Eddie	City of Redlands Council Member	No	5	15,572	84,181	18.5%	No	
					Ahmed	Kaisar	Retired Teacher	No	5	12,808	84,181	15.2%	No	
					Ickes	Karen	Human Services Manager	No	5	8,128	84,181	9.7%	No	
					Lester	Latron	No Ballot Designation	No	5	1,410	84,181	1.7%	No	
			5	Full	Baca, Jr	Joe	Rialto Councilman/Teacher	No	4	19,948	49,595	40.2%	Runoff	
			Armendarez		Jesse	Fontana Councilmember/Businessman	No	4	13,330	49,595	26.9%	Runoff		
			Flores		Dan	Colton School Board Member	No	4	8,998	49,595	18.1%	No		
	SUPERIOR JUDGE	11/3/2020	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	1	Full	Agron	Joel S.	Superior Court Judge	Yes	2	178,467	322,511	55.3%	Yes
						Liso	Jason M.	Deputy District Attorney	No	2	144,044	322,511	44.7%	No
				16	Full	Reichert	Stanford	Judge of The Superior Court	Yes	2	173,776	323,464	53.7%	Yes
						Tulcan	David K.	Deputy District Attorney	No	2	149,688	323,464	46.3%	No
	SAN BERNARDINO (continued)	3/3/2020	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	5	Full	Baca, Jr.	Joe	Rialto Councilman / Teacher	No	2	69,710	119,181	58.5%	Yes
						Armendarez	Jesse	Fontana Councilmember / Businessman	No	2	49,471	119,181	41.5%	No
				DIRECTOR, Daggett CSD	Full	Golden	Kareen Anne	No Ballot Designation	No	4	90	278	32.4%	Yes
						Tucker	Trudie Ellen	No Ballot Designation	No	4	83	278	29.9%	Yes
Staggs						Mark Nolan	DCSD Board Member	Yes	4	61	278	21.9%	Yes	
Whipple						Robert	Incumbent	Yes	4	44	278	15.8%	No	
DIRECTOR, Helendale CSD				Full	Haas	Sandy	Incumbent	Yes	3	1,937	4,778	40.5%	Yes	
					Spiller	Henry	Incumbent	Yes	3	1,582	4,778	33.1%	Yes	
		Rosenberg	Bill Burk		Business Owner	No	3	1,259	4,778	26.3%	No			
DIRECTOR, Lake Arrowhead CSD		4	Full	Wurm	John G.	Lake Arrowhead CSD Director	Yes	2	931	1,376	67.7%	Yes		
				Swoboda	Sean L.	Journeyman Electrician	No	2	445	1,376	32.3%	No		
DIRECTOR, Morongo Valley CSD		Full	Gorke	Christina L.	Executive Assistant	No	3	747	2,171	34.4%	Yes			
			Tolbert, Jr.	Johnny G.	Incumbent	Yes	3	742	2,171	34.2%	Yes			
			Lefevre	Susan Carol	Retired	No	3	682	2,171	31.4%	No			
DIRECTOR, Newberry CSD		Full	Deel	Paula L.	Incumbent	Yes	3	489	1,112	44.0%	Yes			
			Roberts	Margie Anne	Retired	No	3	316	1,112	28.4%	Yes			
	Vanlom		Carmyn	No Ballot Designation	No	3	307	1,112	27.6%	No				
DIRECTOR, Phelan Pinon Hills CSD	Full	Roberts	Mark W.	Consulting Hydrogeologist	Yes	3	5,150	11,006	46.8%	Yes				
		Kujawa	Rebecca A.	No Ballot Designation	Yes	3	3,675	11,006	33.4%	Yes				
		Blandino	Carmen T.	No Ballot Designation	No	3	2,181	11,006	19.8%	No				

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2020

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DISTRICT / SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT OF DESIGNATION	IN-CUM-BENT	NUMBER OF CANDIDATES	VOTES FOR CANDIDATES	TOTAL VOTES CAST	PERCENT OF VOTE	ELECTED				
SAN BERNARDINO (continued)	11/3/2020	DIRECTOR, Wrightwood CSD		Full	Lopiccolo	Natalie	Board Member, Wrightwood CSD	Yes	3	1,472	3,714	39.6%	Yes				
					Albers	Sadie E.	Small Business Owner	No	3	1,178	3,714	31.7%	Yes				
					Zuber	Wes	Incumbent	Yes	3	1,064	3,714	28.6%	No				
				Short	Franklin	Chuck	Incumbent	Yes	3	962	2,380	40.4%	Yes				
					Keel	Chad	No Ballot Designation	No	3	883	2,380	37.1%	No				
					Blough	Kimberly	No Ballot Designation	No	3	535	2,380	22.5%	No				
SAN DIEGO	3/3/2020	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	1	Full	Hueso	Ben	California State Senator	No	8	32,263	110,135	29.3%	Runoff				
					Vargas	Nora	Education/Healthcare Advocate	No	8	20,767	110,135	18.9%	Runoff				
					Castellanos	Rafa	San Diego Port Commissioner	No	8	17,934	110,135	16.3%	No				
					Rodriguez	Sophia	Healthcare/Social Worker	No	8	16,634	110,135	15.1%	No				
					Galicia	Alex	Small Business Owner	No	8	13,232	110,135	12.0%	No				
					Belisle	Henry	Information Technology Professional	No	8	4,035	110,135	3.7%	No				
					Marquez	Camilo	Business Owner	No	8	2,679	110,135	2.4%	No				
					Villafranca	Tony	Business Owner	No	8	2,591	110,135	2.4%	No				
				2	Full	Anderson	Joel	Senior Citizens' Advocate	No	4	59,803	168,662	35.5%	Runoff			
						Vaus	Steve	Mayor, City of Poway	No	4	52,357	168,662	31.0%	Runoff			
						Taylor	Kenya	Marriage Family Therapist	No	4	45,037	168,662	26.7%	No			
						Sesko	Brian	Rancher/General Contractor	No	4	11,465	168,662	6.8%	No			
				3	Full	Gaspar	Kristine Diane	San Diego County Supervisor	Yes	3	72,598	169,560	42.8%	Runoff			
						Lawson-Remer	Terra	Economist/Community Organizer	No	3	52,899	169,560	31.2%	Runoff			
						Diaz	Olga	Councilmember/College Dean	No	3	44,063	169,560	26.0%	No			
				SUPERIOR JUDGE	18	Full	Mody	CJ	Deputy District Attorney, County of San Diego	No	2	393,975	729,772	54.0%	Yes		
							Winston	Roberta	Attorney at Law	No	2	335,797	729,772	46.0%	No		
							22	Full	Robinson	Alana Wong	Assistant United States Attorney	No	3	398,792	726,694	54.9%	Yes
									Skeels	Mark	Senior Chief Deputy City Attorney	No	3	224,937	726,694	31.0%	No
									Miller	Steve	Attorney at Law	No	3	102,965	726,694	14.2%	No
							30	Full	Starita	Paul	Assistant U.S. Attorney	No	4	206,374	676,416	30.5%	Runoff
				Nader	Tim	Deputy Attorney General			No	4	175,609	676,416	26.0%	Runoff			
				Murray	Pete	Deputy Attorney General			No	4	150,654	676,416	22.3%	No			
				Murphy	Mike	Deputy Attorney General			No	4	143,779	676,416	21.3%	No			
36	Full	lallegio	Michelle	Deputy District Attorney, County of San Diego	No	2	531,553	689,596	77.1%	Yes							
		McMillan	Shawn A.	Attorney/Businessman	No	2	158,043	689,596	22.9%	No							

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2020

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DISTRICT / SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT OF DESIGNATION	IN-CUM-BENT	NUMBER OF CANDIDATES	VOTES FOR CANDIDATES	TOTAL VOTES CAST	PERCENT OF VOTE	ELECTED
SAN DIEGO (continued)	11/3/2020	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	1	Full	Vargas	Nora	Healthcare/Education Advocate	No	2	131,783	232,916	56.6%	Yes
					Hueso	Ben	California State Senator	No	2	101,133	232,916	43.4%	No
			2	Full	Anderson	Joel	Senior Citizens' Advocate	No	2	145,103	289,924	50.0%	Yes
					Vaus	Steve	Mayor/Business Owner	No	2	144,821	289,924	50.0%	No
			3	Full	Lawson-Remer	Terra	Economist/Small Businesswoman	No	2	176,594	303,853	58.1%	Yes
					Gaspar	Kristin Diane	Supervisor/Business Owner	Yes	2	127,259	303,853	41.9%	No
		DIRECTOR, Rancho Sante Fe CSD	Full	Plummer	Deborah A.	Incumbent	Yes	3	2,812	6,428	43.7%	Yes	
				Salazar	John	Businessman/Educator	No	3	1,834	6,428	28.5%	Yes	
				Moul	Douglas William	Incumbent	Yes	3	1,782	6,428	27.7%	No	
		MEMBER, Fallbrook CPA	Full	Harrington	Thomas Gerald	Fire Captain	No	11	11,591	89,858	12.9%	Yes	
				Moosa	Roy	Business Owner	No	11	11,293	89,858	12.6%	Yes	
				Baxter	Stephani	Appointed Incumbent	Yes	11	10,131	89,858	11.3%	Yes	
				Strahan	Anne E.	Transportation Management Planner	No	11	9,584	89,858	10.7%	Yes	
				Wood	Jack F.	Incumbent	Yes	11	8,296	89,858	9.2%	Yes	
				De Meo	Lee J.	Incumbent	Yes	11	8,038	89,858	8.9%	Yes	
				Pike	Ross L.	Retail Manager	No	11	7,488	89,858	8.3%	Yes	
				Kaiser	Jacqueline	Western Regional Consultant	No	11	6,928	89,858	7.7%	Yes	
				Loge	James "Jim"	No Ballot Designation	Yes	11	5,623	89,858	6.3%	No	
				Kalman	Jerry L.	Appointed Incumbent	Yes	11	5,576	89,858	6.2%	No	
		MEMBER, Ramona CPA	Full	Stephens	Collin	Entrepreneur	No	11	5,310	89,858	5.9%	No	
				Summers	Dan	Retired Firefighter/Paramedic	No	11	11,310	81,250	13.9%	Yes	
				Foster	Debra	Fire Engineer	No	11	9,693	81,250	11.9%	Yes	
				Rains	Michelle M.	Business Owner/Chef	No	11	8,477	81,250	10.4%	Yes	
				Brean	Torry	Incumbent	Yes	11	8,310	81,250	10.2%	Yes	
				Simmons	Andrew Charles	Restaurant Owner	No	11	8,014	81,250	9.9%	Yes	
				Lynch	Casey	Incumbent	Yes	11	7,421	81,250	9.1%	Yes	
Rains	Matt			Local Business Owner	No	11	6,649	81,250	8.2%	Yes			
Stykel	Paul Aldrik			Incumbent	No	11	6,056	81,250	7.5%	Yes			
Phillips	Maya			Property Manager	No	11	5,937	81,250	7.3%	No			
SUPERIOR JUDGE	30	Full	Cooper	James M.	No Ballot Designation	Yes	11	4,975	81,250	6.1%	No		
			Webster	Dwight	Director Business Development	No	11	4,408	81,250	5.4%	No		
			Nader	Tim	Deputy Attorney General	No	2	717,788	1,352,885	53.1%	Yes		
			Starita	Paul	Assistant U.S. Attorney	No	2	635,097	1,352,885	46.9%	No		



TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2020

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DISTRICT / SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT OF DESIGNATION	IN-CUM-BENT	NUMBER OF CANDIDATES	VOTES FOR CANDIDATES	TOTAL VOTES CAST	PERCENT OF VOTE	ELECTED
SAN FRANCISCO	3/3/2020	SUPERIOR JUDGE	1	Full	Evangelista	Maria Elena	San Francisco Deputy Public Defender	No	2	159,502	245,794	64.9%	Yes
					Ly	Pang	Superior Court Commissioner	No	2	86,292	245,794	35.1%	No
			18	Full	Tong	Michelle	Public Defender's Office, Attorney	No	2	137,702	241,366	57.1%	Yes
					Proudfoot	Dorothy Chou	Administrative Law Judge	No	2	103,664	241,366	42.9%	No
			21	Full	Gold	Carolyn	Eviction Defense Attorney	No	2	121,026	240,037	50.4%	Yes
					Singh	Kulvindar "Rani"	Managing District Attorney	No	2	119,011	240,037	49.6%	No
	11/3/2020	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	1	Full	Chan	Connie	Legislative Policy Advisor	No	7	13,422	35,514	37.8%	Yes
					Philhour	Marjan	Richmond Business Owner	No	7	12,197	35,514	34.3%	No
					Lee	David E.	Civil Rights Leader	No	7	6,071	35,514	17.1%	No
					D'Silva	Sherman R.	Operations Manager	No	7	1,542	35,514	4.3%	No
					Shinzato	Veronica	Tax Educator, Small Business Owner, Consult.	No	7	1,287	35,514	3.6%	No
					Inocencio	Amanda	Attorney/Writer	No	7	689	35,514	1.9%	No
					Majalya	Andrew N.	Software Technology Sales	No	7	306	35,514	0.9%	No
			3	Full	Peskin	Aaron	Supervisor	Yes	4	15,293	28,588	53.5%	Yes
Sauter					Danny	Neighborhood Nonprofit Director	No	4	10,451	28,588	36.6%	No	
Simonsen					Spencer	Senior Services Provider	No	4	1,464	28,588	5.1%	No	
5	Full		Schwartz	Stephen (Lulu)	Human Rights Investigator	No	4	1,380	28,588	4.8%	No		
			Preston	Dean	Supervisor	Yes	4	21,431	41,594	51.5%	Yes		
			Brown	Vallie	Nonprofit Program Advisor	No	4	16,730	41,594	40.2%	No		
			Landry	Daniel	Director, Arts Nonprofit	No	4	2,354	41,594	5.7%	No		
7	Full		O'Meara	Nomvula	Organizer & Activist, Film Producer	No	4	1,079	41,594	2.6%	No		
			Engardio	Joel	Journalist	No	7	9,216	39,008	23.6%	Yes		
			Nguyen	Vilaska	Criminal Trial Attorney	No	7	8,195	39,008	21.0%	No		
			Melgar	Myrna	Urban Planner	No	7	7,852	39,008	20.1%	No		
			Murase	Emily	City and County Agency Director	No	7	4,851	39,008	12.4%	No		
			Martin-Pinto	Stephen W.	Firefighter/Military Reservist	No	7	4,562	39,008	11.7%	No		
			Matranga	Ben	Technology Equity Director	No	7	3,381	39,008	8.7%	No		
9	Full		Piper	Ken	Business Owner	No	7	951	39,008	2.4%	No		
			Ronen	Hillary	District 9 Supervisor	Yes	1	27,481	27,544	99.8%	Yes		
11	Full		Safai	Ahsha	District 11 Supervisor	Yes	3	15,033	31,084	48.4%	Yes		
			Avalos	John	Healthcare Union Organizer	No	3	13,335	31,084	42.9%	No		
			Colussi	Marcelo	Small Business Owner	No	3	2,716	31,084	8.7%	No		

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2020

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DISTRICT / SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT OF DESIGNATION	IN-CUM-BENT	NUMBER OF CANDIDATES	VOTES FOR CANDIDATES	TOTAL VOTES CAST	PERCENT OF VOTE	ELECTED			
SAN JOAQUIN	3/3/2020	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	1	Full	Villapudua	Miguel	San Joaquin County Supervisor	Yes	2	9,764	15,532	62.9%	Yes			
					West	Terence	Community Services Director	No	2	5,671	15,532	36.5%	No			
			3	Full	Patti	Tom	County Supervisor/Businessman	Yes	2	16,441	30,481	53.9%	Yes			
					Holman, Jr.	Elbert H.	Retired Chief Investigator	No	2	13,935	30,481	45.7%	No			
			5	Full	Rickman	Robert	Mayor/Police Sergeant	No	4	13,325	31,628	42.1%	Runoff			
					Ransom	Rhodesia	City Councilmember/Businesswoman	No	4	9,332	31,628	29.5%	Runoff			
					Vargas	Veronica	Councilmember/Small Businesswoman	No	4	5,804	31,628	18.4%	No			
					Bedolla	Mateo Morelos	Construction Manager/Carpenter	No	4	3,074	31,628	9.7%	No			
					SUPERIOR JUDGE	7	Full	Waters	Robert T.	Attorney at Law	No	1	106,232	108,274	98.1%	Yes
			11/3/2020	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	5	Full	Rickman	Robert	Mayor/Police Sergeant	No	2	34,180	67,650	50.5%	Yes	
							Ransom	Rhodesia	City Councilmember/Businesswoman	No	2	33,470	67,650	49.5%	No	
					DIRECTOR, Mountain House CSD	Full	Su	Andy K.	Incumbent	Yes	7	4,320	17,283	25.0%	Yes	
							Tingle	Bernice King	Incumbent	Yes	7	2,910	17,283	16.8%	Yes	
							Dhillon	Harry	Businessman/Pilot	No	7	2,909	17,283	16.8%	Yes	
Malapaka	Raghu	Scientist/Author					No	7	2,020	17,283	11.7%	No				
Pebble		Insurance Agent					No	7	1,954	17,283	11.3%	No				
Harrison	Daniel E.	Incumbent					Yes	7	1,847	17,283	10.7%	No				
Liew	Victor	Retired Executive Director					No	7	1,294	17,283	7.5%	No				
SAN LUIS OBISPO	3/3/2020	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	1	Full	Peschong	John	County Supervisor/Businessman	Yes	2	12,460	18,976	65.7%	Yes			
					Shakofsky	Stephanie	Farmer/Hydrologist	No	2	6,516	18,976	34.3%	No			
			3	Full	Hill	Adam	County Supervisor, 3rd District	Yes	2	11,100	21,613	51.4%	Yes			
					Korsgaden	Stacy A.	Small Business Owner	No	2	10,513	21,613	48.6%	No			
			5	Full	Arnold	Debbie	County Supervisor/Rancher	Yes	2	11,341	21,666	52.3%	Yes			
					Beraud	Ellen	Businesswoman/Healthcare Professional	No	2	10,325	21,666	47.7%	No			
			11/3/2020	DIRECTOR, Cambria CSD	Full	Farmer	Harry	Incumbent	Yes	4	2,529	8,425	30.0%	Yes		
						Dean	Karen A.	Community Volunteer	No	4	2,298	8,425	27.3%	Yes		
						Gray	Tom	Retired Journalist	No	4	1,901	8,425	22.6%	Yes		
						Pierson	David	Incumbent	Yes	4	1,697	8,425	20.1%	No		
					DIRECTOR, Ground Squirrel Hollow CSD	Full	Duckworth	Allen	Incumbent	Yes	4	436	1,434	30.4%	Yes	
							McCamy	Kevin	Incumbent	Yes	4	400	1,434	27.9%	Yes	
							Simons	Scott	Incumbent	Yes	4	397	1,434	27.7%	Yes	
							Durian	James Scott	Retired	No	4	201	1,434	14.0%	No	

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2020

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DISTRICT / SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT OF DESIGNATION	IN-CUM-BENT	NUMBER OF CANDIDATES	VOTES FOR CANDIDATES	TOTAL VOTES CAST	PERCENT OF VOTE	ELECTED	
SAN LUIS OBISPO (continued)	11/3/2020	DIRECTOR, Nipomo CSD		Full	Malvarose	Richard Eugene	Retired Military Officer	No	3	3,224	8,627	37.4%	Yes	
					Blair	Bob	Incumbent	Yes	3	2,983	8,627	34.6%	Yes	
					Henry III	Philip	Retired Project Manager	No	3	2,420	8,627	28.1%	No	
	11/3/2020	DIRECTOR, Oceano CSD			Full	Austin	Linda M.	Incumbent	Yes	6	1,441	6,414	22.5%	Yes
						Gibson	Shirley D.	Appointed Incumbent	Yes	6	1,111	6,414	17.3%	Yes
						Repogle	Cynthia	Incumbent	Yes	6	1,044	6,414	16.3%	Yes
						Cordes	Reo	Business Owner	No	6	948	6,414	14.8%	No
						Dury	April	Bookkeeper/Business Owner	No	6	937	6,414	14.6%	No
						Foster	Barney G.	No Ballot Designation	No	6	933	6,414	14.5%	No
	11/3/2020	DIRECTOR, Templeton CSD			Full	Jardini	Pamela	Incumbent	Yes	4	2,536	7,988	31.7%	Yes
						Fardanesh	Navid	Incumbent	Yes	4	2,467	7,988	30.9%	Yes
						Logan	Debra J.	Incumbent	Yes	4	2,115	7,988	26.5%	Yes
						Vonderheide	Dianna	Real Estate Broker	No	4	870	7,988	10.9%	No
SAN MATEO	3/3/2020	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	1	Full	Pine	Dave	San Mateo County Supervisor, District 1	Yes	1	30,202	30,335	99.6%	Yes	
			4	Full	Slocum	Warren	County Supervisor	Yes	1	27,112	27,112	100.0%	Yes	
			5	Full	Canepa	David J.	San Mateo County Supervisor	Yes	1	25,121	25,121	100.0%	Yes	
	11/3/2020	MEMBER, Midcoast CC			Full	Haggerty	Dan	Incumbent	Yes	4	3,516	11,851	29.7%	Yes
						Grant	Jill	Construction Compliance Manager	No	4	3,210	11,851	27.1%	Yes
SANTA BARBARA	3/3/2020	COUNTY SUPERVISOR		Full	Williams	Das	Santa Barbara County Supervisor	Yes	2	14,870	28,273	52.6%	Yes	
					Capps	Laura	School Board Member, Santa Barbara USD	No	2	13,403	28,273	47.4%	No	
	3/3/2020				Full	Hartmann	Joan	Supervisor, County of Santa Barbara	Yes	4	15,329	27,951	54.8%	Yes
						Porter	Bruce	Small Business Owner	No	4	9,167	27,951	32.8%	No
						Jones	Karen	Director, Santa Ynez CSD	No	4	1,904	27,951	6.8%	No
						Parfrey	Jessica Alvarez	Community Organizer	No	4	1,551	27,951	5.5%	No
						Nelson	Bob	Businessman	No	1	17,395	17,395	100.0%	Yes
	11/3/2020	DIRECTOR, Isla Vista CSD			Short	Flaherty	Catherine	Elected Student Representative	No	2	3,507	4,439	79.0%	Yes
						Mitchell	Daniel	Student	No	2	881	4,439	19.8%	No

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2020

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DISTRICT / SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT OF DESIGNATION	IN-CUM-BENT	NUMBER OF CANDIDATES	VOTES FOR CANDIDATES	TOTAL VOTES CAST	PERCENT OF VOTE	ELECTED		
SANTA BARBARA (continued)	11/3/2020	DIRECTOR, Mission Hills CSD		Full	Dietrich	Steve	Director, Mission Hills CSD	Yes	5	1,107	3,916	28.3%	Yes		
					Mackenzie	James S.	Retired Civil Engineer	No	5	840	3,916	21.5%	Yes		
					Heavin	Myron G.	Incumbent	Yes	5	748	3,916	19.1%	Yes		
					Fasold	Walter	Incumbent	Yes	5	673	3,916	17.2%	No		
					Murray	Tom	No Ballot Designation	No	5	521	3,916	13.3%	No		
	DIRECTOR, Vandenberg CSD	Full	Bumpass	Robert	Incumbent	Yes	5	2,347	8,997	26.1%	Yes				
			Brooks	Christopher C.	Incumbent	Yes	5	2,293	8,997	25.5%	Yes				
			Gonzales	Richard R.	Retired Fire Captain	No	5	2,080	8,997	23.1%	Yes				
			Sazani	Margaret	Retired Engineer	No	5	1,711	8,997	19.0%	No				
			Gallimore	Shane	No Ballot Designation	No	5	542	8,997	6.0%	No				
SANTA CLARA	3/3/2020	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	2	Full	Chávez	Cindy	County Supervisor/Mother	Yes	3	34,664	52,736	65.7%	Yes		
					Celaya	Jennifer M.	Health Service Representative	No	3	10,871	52,736	20.6%	No		
					Macias	Anthony	Law Student/Manager	No	3	7,201	52,736	13.7%	No		
					3	Full	Chu	Kansen	State Assemblymember	No	4	24,557	77,892	31.5%	Runoff
							Lee	Otto	Small Business Owner	No	4	22,560	77,892	29.0%	Runoff
							Carrasco	Magdalena	San José Councilmember/Mother	No	4	20,227	77,892	26.0%	No
							Leyba	John	Budget Manager/Father	No	4	10,548	77,892	13.5%	No
	5	Full	Simitian	Joe	County Supervisor	Yes	1	85,322	85,322	100.0%	Yes				
	SUPERIOR JUDGE	7	Full	Ramos	Luis	Deputy District Attorney	No	1	319,325	319,325	100.0%	Yes			
				27	Full	Garcia-Sen	Christine	Deputy District Attorney	No	1	310,289	310,289	100.0%	Yes	
	11/3/2020	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	3	Full	Lee	Otto	Small Business Owner	No	2	85,663	141,609	60.5%	Yes		
					Chu	Kansen	State Assemblymember	No	2	55,946	141,609	39.5%	No		
		SUPERIOR JUDGE	24	Full	Scott	Stuart J.	Judge of the Superior Court	Yes	1	544,151	544,151	100.0%	Yes		
SANTA CRUZ	3/3/2020	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	1	Full	Leopold	John	Incumbent	Yes	6	9,879	21,759	45.4%	Runoff		
					Koenig	Manu	Nonprofit Executive Director	No	6	6,636	21,759	30.5%	Runoff		
					Esquibel	Mark	Environmental Safety Manager	No	6	2,492	21,759	11.5%	No		
					Riker	Betsy	Medical Professional	No	6	1,122	21,759	5.2%	No		
					Cogan	Benjamin	Entrepreneur	No	6	890	21,759	4.1%	No		
					Kreutz	Donald "Kase"	Bus Driver	No	6	690	21,759	3.2%	No		
					2	Full	Friend	Zach	County Supervisor	Yes	2	14,178	20,400	69.5%	Yes
							Steinbruner	Becky	Writer/Researcher/Mom	No	2	6,116	20,400	30.0%	No
					5	Full	Mcperson	Bruce	County Supervisor	Yes	1	17,668	18,336	96.4%	Yes

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2020

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DISTRICT / SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT OF DESIGNATION	IN-CUM-BENT	NUMBER OF CANDIDATES	VOTES FOR CANDIDATES	TOTAL VOTES CAST	PERCENT OF VOTE	ELECTED
SANTA CRUZ (continued)	3/3/2020	SUPERIOR JUDGE	1	Full	de la Pena	Nancy	Assistant County Counsel	No	3	38,222	85,563	44.7%	Runoff
					Angel	Annrae	Attorney at Law	No	3	25,952	85,563	30.3%	Runoff
					Gordon	Jack D.	Attorney at Law	No	3	20,855	85,563	24.4%	No
	11/3/2020	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	1	Full	Koenig	Manu	Nonprofit Executive Director	No	2	17,967	31,682	56.7%	Yes
					Leopold	John	Incumbent	Yes	2	13,544	31,682	42.7%	No
		SUPERIOR JUDGE	Full	de la Pena	Nancy	Assistant County Counsel	No	2	82,018	118,301	69.3%	Yes	
Angel	Annrae	Attorney at Law		No	2	34,775	118,301	29.4%	No				
SHASTA	3/3/2020	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	2	Full	Moty	Leonard	Incumbent	Yes	3	5,188	10,118	51.3%	Yes
					Ball	Dale	No Ballot Designation	No	3	3,190	10,118	31.5%	No
					Pearce	Susan Wray	Business Owner	No	3	1,740	10,118	17.2%	No
			3	Full	Rickert	Mary	Incumbent/ Rancher/ Businesswoman	Yes	1	8,362	8,362	100.0%	Yes
			4	Full	Jones	Patrick Henry	Small Business Owner	No	5	4,409	10,935	40.3%	Runoff
					Morgan	Steve	Incumbent/ Electrical Contractor	Yes	5	2,424	10,935	22.2%	Runoff
					Powell	Janice	Mayor, City Of Shasta Lake	No	5	2,311	10,935	21.1%	No
					Schappell	Bill	Realtor/ Businessman	No	5	929	10,935	8.5%	No
			11/3/2020	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	4	Full	Jones	Patrick Henry	Small Business Owner	No	2	9,863	17,816
	Morgan	Steve					Incumbent/ Electrical Contractor	Yes	2	7,953	17,816	44.6%	No
	DIRECTOR, Igo-Ono CSD	Full		Tucker	Alan	Equipment Mechanic / Rancher	No	4	142	439	32.3%	Yes	
				Tucker	Charles W.	Incumbent	Yes	4	142	439	32.3%	Yes	
				Moore, Jr.	John Paul	Appointed Incumbent	Yes	4	107	439	24.4%	Yes	
	DIRECTOR, Mountain Gate CSD	Full	Kerns	Donald L.	Firechief / Retired Paramedic	No	6	532	2,156	24.7%	Yes		
			Mason	Katie	Firefighter	No	6	434	2,156	20.1%	Yes		
			Walter	Karrie	Firefighter/ First Responder	No	6	400	2,156	18.6%	Yes		
			Selby	David	No Ballot Designation	No	6	288	2,156	13.4%	No		
Kobe			Kay	Doctor of Chiropractic	Yes	6	287	2,156	13.3%	No			
Stierli	Michael D.	Engineering Building Contractor	Yes	6	215	2,156	10.0%	No					
SIERRA	3/3/2020	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	1	Full	Adams, III	Lee	Incumbent	Yes	1	194	194	100.0%	Yes
					3	Full	Roen	Paul I.	Incumbent	Yes	1	236	236
			4	Full	Leblanc	Terry	No Ballot Designation	No	2	142	258	55.0%	Yes
					Beard	James E.	Incumbent	Yes	2	116	258	45.0%	No

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2020

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DISTRICT / SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT OF DESIGNATION	IN-CUM-BENT	NUMBER OF CANDIDATES	VOTES FOR CANDIDATES	TOTAL VOTES CAST	PERCENT OF VOTE	ELECTED
SISKIYOU	3/3/2020	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	1	Full	Criss	Brandon	District One Supervisor	Yes	2	1,810	2,477	73.1%	Yes
					Cook	Angelina	Environmental Consultant	No	2	667	2,477	26.9%	No
			2	Full	Valenzuela	Ed	Incumbent	Yes	2	2,393	3,334	71.8%	Yes
					Beck	Paul C.	Planning Commissioner	No	2	941	3,334	28.2%	No
			4	Full	Ogren	Nancy	Businesswoman	No	2	1,572	2,549	61.7%	Yes
					Gilbert	Catherine	Income Tax Preparer	No	2	977	2,549	38.3%	No
			DIRECTOR, Hornbrook CSD	Full	Mellon	Elaine	No Ballot Designation	Yes	5	64	140	45.7%	Yes
					Duncan	Raegan	No Ballot Designation	No	5	13	140	9.3%	Yes
					Gifford	Roger	No Ballot Designation	No	5	6	140	4.3%	No
					Stone	Matthew	No Ballot Designation	No	5	6	140	4.3%	No
Scheimer	Randy	No Ballot Designation			No	5	5	140	3.6%	No			
SOLANO	3/3/2020	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	1	Full	Hannigan	Erin	Solano County Supervisor, District 1	Yes	2	10,486	17,410	60.2%	Yes
					McConnell	Robert H.	City of Vallejo Council Member	No	2	6,924	17,410	39.8%	No
			2	Full	Brown	Monica	Retired Teacher/Supervisor	Yes	3	11,480	22,480	51.1%	Yes
					Sherlock	Rochelle	Small Businesswoman/ Commissioner	No	3	5,640	22,480	25.1%	No
					Williams	K. Patrice	Local Businesswoman	No	3	5,360	22,480	23.8%	No
			5	Full	Mashburn	Mitch	Councilmember/ Sheriff's Commander	No	2	11,896	23,698	50.2%	Yes
					Isom	David C.	President, Fairfield Suisun USD/ Pastor	No	2	11,802	23,698	49.8%	No
			SONOMA	3/3/2020	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	1	Full	Gorin	Susan	1st District Supervisor	Yes	2	24,145
Cook	David	Sonoma City Councilmember						No	2	14,856	39,001	38.1%	No
3	Full	Coursey				Chris	Retired Newspaper Columnist, Former Mayor	No	2	14,421	27,526	52.4%	Yes
		Zane				Shirlee	Sonoma County Supervisor	Yes	2	13,105	27,526	47.6%	No
5	Full	Hopkins				Lynda	Sonoma County Supervisor	Yes	2	27,639	33,733	81.9%	Yes
		Hilber				Mike	No Ballot Designation	No	2	6,094	33,733	18.1%	No
STANISLAUS	3/3/2020	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	1	Full	Condit	Matthew "Buck"	Fire Captain	No	3	10,527	24,736	42.6%	Runoff
					Zoslocki	Bill	Councilman/Businessman	No	3	8,006	24,736	32.4%	Runoff
					Winkle	Michael Van	Electrician/Waterford Mayor	No	3	6,203	24,736	25.1%	No
			2	Full	Chiesa	Vito	No Ballot Designation	Yes	1	19,113	19,113	100.0%	Yes
					5	Full	Condit	Channcce A.	Economic Development Specialist	No	3	5,863	14,538
			Hallinan	Tom			Community Services Counsel	No	3	4,765	14,538	32.8%	Runoff
			Kline	Michael "Mike"			Councilmember/Warehouse Manager	No	3	3,910	14,538	26.9%	No
			SUPERIOR JUDGE	Full	Mangar	Jeff	Chief Prosecutor	No	2	58,097	102,603	56.6%	Yes
Egmond	Colleen Van	Attorney/Businesswoman/Arbitrator			No	2	44,506	102,603	43.4%	No			

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2020

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DISTRICT / SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT OF DESIGNATION	IN-CUM-BENT	NUMBER OF CANDIDATES	VOTES FOR CANDIDATES	TOTAL VOTES CAST	PERCENT OF VOTE	ELECTED	
STANISLAUS (continued)	3/3/2020	SUPERIOR JUDGE	5	Full	Mayne	John R.	Homicide Lead Prosecutor	No	3	45,877	98,819	46.4%	Runoff	
					Hara	Kenneth	Judicial Officer	No	3	26,541	98,819	26.9%	Runoff	
					Carillo	Jared T.	Supervising Nonprofit Attorney	No	3	26,401	98,819	26.7%	No	
			6	Full	Rees	Annette	Chief Deputy District Attorney	No	2	72,319	98,422	73.5%	Yes	
					Getrich	Samual	Attorney	No	2	26,103	98,422	26.5%	No	
	11/3/2020	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	1	Full	Condit	Matthew "Buck"	Fire Captain	No	2	25,925	44,287	58.5%	Yes	
					Zoslocki	Bill	Councilman / Small Businessman	No	2	18,187	44,287	41.1%	No	
			5	Full	Condit	Channcce A.	Ceres City Councilmember	No	2	18,616	31,236	59.6%	Yes	
					Hallinan	Tom	Local Government Attorney	No	2	12,409	31,236	39.7%	No	
			DIRECTOR, Monterey Park Tract CSD		Full	Diaz	Francisco J.	Incumbent	Yes	3	25	69	36.2%	Yes
						Stransky	Susan L.	Incumbent	Yes	3	18	69	26.1%	Yes
Gradford	Jerry Ann	Student				No	3	17	69	24.6%	No			
SUPERIOR JUDGE		5	Full	Mayne	John R.	Deputy District Attorney, County of Stanislaus	No	2	107,099	191,352	56.0%	Yes		
				Hara	Kenneth	Superior Court Commissioner, Co. of Stanislaus	No	2	83,241	191,352	43.5%	No		
SUTTER	3/3/2020	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	1	Full	Micheli	Nick	Farmer	No	2	3,272	4,698	69.6%	Yes	
					Sullenger	Ron	Incumbent	Yes	2	1,426	4,698	30.4%	No	
			4	Full	Bains	Karm	Farmer/Business Owner	No	3	2,612	5,776	45.2%	Runoff	
					Maan	Tej	Small Businessman/Farmer	No	3	1,583	5,776	27.4%	Runoff	
					Brookman	Stacy	Retired Deputy Sheriff	No	3	1,581	5,776	27.4%	No	
			5	Full	Conant	Mat	Sutter County Supervisor	Yes	3	2,523	5,296	47.6%	Runoff	
	Thiara	Sarb			Farmer/Local Businessman	No	3	1,703	5,296	32.2%	Runoff			
	Buckland	John			Retired Police Lieutenant	No	3	1,070	5,296	20.2%	No			
	11/3/2020	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	4	Full	Bains	Karm	Farmer/Business Owner	No	2	5,396	8,844	61.0%	Yes	
					Maan	Tej	Small Businessman/Farmer	No	2	3,448	8,844	39.0%	No	
			5	Full	Conant	Mat	Sutter County Supervisor	Yes	2	4,944	8,392	58.9%	Yes	
					Thiara	Sarb	Farmer/Local Businessman	No	2	3,448	8,392	41.1%	No	

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2020

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DISTRICT / SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT OF DESIGNATION	IN-CUM-BENT	NUMBER OF CAN-DIDATES	VOTES FOR CANDIDATES	TOTAL VOTES CAST	PERCENT OF VOTE	ELEC-TED
TEHAMA	3/3/2020	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	1	Full	Chamblin	Steve	Incumbent	Yes	1	3,122	3,122	100.0%	Yes
			2	Full	Carlson	K.M. 'Candy'	Incumbent	Yes	1	2,511	2,511	100.0%	Yes
			5	Full	Leach	John	Retired Business Manager	No	3	1,321	2,937	45.0%	Runoff
	Crow	Jerry			Journalist	No	3	952	2,937	32.4%	Runoff		
	Shotwell	Malinda J.			Retired Property Manager	No	3	664	2,937	22.6%	No		
	11/3/2020	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	5	Full	Leach	John	Retired Business Manager	No	2	3,040	4,614	65.9%	Yes
					Crow	Jerry	Journalist	No	2	1,574	4,614	34.1%	No
TRINITY	3/3/2020	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	2	Full	Cox	Jill	Businesswoman/Piano Teacher	No	3	671	937	71.6%	Yes
					Miller	Melanie	Retired Business Owner	No	3	180	937	19.2%	No
					Fox	Thomas "Tom" J.	No Ballot Designation	No	3	86	937	9.2%	No
	3	Full	Gogan	Liam	Store Owner/Guide	No	2	493	928	53.1%	Yes		
			Chadwick	Barbara "Bobbi"	Trinity County Supervisor	Yes	2	435	928	46.9%	No		
			5	Full	Dickey	Jeff	Code Compliance Specialist	No	3	362	811	44.6%	Runoff
	Frasier	Michael Daniel (Dan)			Logger/Planning Commissioner	No	3	331	811	40.8%	Runoff		
	Richards	Diane			Rancher/Businesswoman	No	3	118	811	14.5%	No		
	11/3/2020	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	5	Full	Frasier	Michael Daniel (Dan)	Logger/Planning Commissioner	No	2	488	966	50.5%	Yes
					Dickey	Jeff	Code Compliance Specialist	No	2	476	966	49.3%	No
		DIRECTOR, Trinity Life Support CSD	Full	Brown	Serena	Administrative Officer/Paramedic	No	5	3,576	15,248	23.5%	Yes	
				Corbett	Todd	Weaverville Fire Chief	No	5	3,344	15,248	21.9%	Yes	
				Alvord	Scott	Retired Fire Chief	No	5	3,284	15,248	21.5%	Yes	
				Kormeier	Victor (Gus)	No Ballot Designation	No	5	2,492	15,248	16.3%	Yes	
Minor				Carol Anne	No Ballot Designation	No	5	2,425	15,248	15.9%	Yes		
TULARE	3/3/2020	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	1	Full	Micari	Larry	Retired Sheriff's Captain	No	3	6,971	15,114	46.1%	Runoff
					Crocker	Kuyler	Farmer/County Supervisor	Yes	3	5,660	15,114	37.4%	Runoff
					Stearns	Robyn	Self Employed Realtor	No	3	2,483	15,114	16.4%	No
	2	Full	Vander Poel	Pete	Tulare County Supervisor, District 2	Yes	1	10,945	10,945	100.0%	Yes		
			3	Full	Shuklian	Amy	Tulare County Supervisor	Yes	2	12,212	20,170	60.5%	Yes
	Maaske	Brad			Small Business Owner	No	2	7,958	20,170	39.5%	No		
	11/3/2020	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	1	Full	Micari	Larry	Retired Sheriff's Captain	No	2	10,958	19,909	55.0%	Yes
					Crocker	Kuyler	Farmer/County Supervisor	Yes	2	8,940	19,909	44.9%	No
		DIRECTOR, Tipton CSD	Full	Cardoza	Douglas	No Ballot Designation	Yes	3	147	357	41.2%	Yes	
Mendonsa				Anthony J.	No Ballot Designation	No	3	124	357	34.7%	Yes		
Hunt	Steve	No Ballot Designation	No	3	83	357	23.2%	No					



TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2020

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DISTRICT / SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT OF DESIGNATION	IN-CUM-BENT	NUMBER OF CANDIDATES	VOTES FOR CANDIDATES	TOTAL VOTES CAST	PERCENT OF VOTE	ELECTED		
TUOLUMNE	3/3/2020	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	1	Full	Goldemberg	David	Retired Firefighter	No	3	1,932	4,005	48.2%	Runoff		
					Brennan	Sherri	Incumbent	Yes	3	1,515	4,005	37.8%	Runoff		
					Garaventa	Jim	Mayor, City of Sonora	No	3	558	4,005	13.9%	No		
			4	Full	Haff	Kathleen	Retired Senior Analyst	No	3	2,533	4,050	62.5%	Yes		
					Renault	Dameion	Teacher	No	3	1,300	4,050	32.1%	No		
					Badgley	David M.	Artist	No	3	217	4,050	5.4%	No		
	5	Full	Brandon	Jaron	Small Business Manager	No	3	2,038	4,155	49.0%	Runoff				
			Rodofer	Karl	District 5 Supervisor	Yes	3	1,429	4,155	34.4%	Runoff				
			Suess	Mike	Business Owner	No	3	688	4,155	16.6%	No				
			SUPERIOR JUDGE	2	Full	Krieg	Laura Leslie	Tuolumne County District Attorney	No	2	13,819	20,087	68.8%	Yes	
Beyersdorf						David Carl	Attorney	No	2	6,268	20,087	31.2%	No		
	11/3/2020	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	1	Full	Goldemberg	David	Retired Firefighter	No	2	2,813	5,442	51.7%	Yes		
					Brennan	Sherri	Incumbent	Yes	2	667	5,442	12.3%	No		
			5	Full	Brandon	Jaron	Small Business Manager	No	2	3,424	5,768	59.4%	Yes		
					Rodefer	Karl	District 5 Supervisor	Yes	2	2,344	5,768	40.6%	No		
VENTURA	3/3/2020	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	1	Full	Lavere	Matt	Ventura Mayor/Attorney	No	2	29,602	49,497	59.8%	Yes		
					Ketelsen	Jeff	Hardware Clerk	No	2	8,115	49,497	16.4%	No		
			3	Full	Long	Kelly	Ventura County Supervisor	Yes	2	23,464	42,097	55.7%	Yes		
					Stephenson	Kim Marra	Public School Educator	No	2	18,633	42,097	44.3%	No		
			5	Full	Flynn	Tim	Mayor of Oxnard	No	5	7,475	25,595	29.2%	Runoff		
					Ramirez	Carmen	Oxnard City Councilmember	No	5	7,137	25,595	27.9%	Runoff		
					Robles-Solis	Veronica	Gov. Board Mbr., Oxnard SD Trustee Area 3	No	5	4,257	25,595	16.6%	No		
					Herrera	Jess	Harbor Commissioner	No	5	3,792	25,595	14.8%	No		
					Burum	Jeffrey D.	Chief Financial Officer	No	5	2,934	25,595	11.5%	No		
				Full	SUPERIOR JUDGE	2	Voelker	Catherine M.	Senior Deputy District Attorney	No	2	151,030	198,816	76.0%	Yes
							Zaehring	G. Martin	Attorney at Law	No	2	47,786	198,816	24.0%	No
				Full		8	Baelly	Paul W.	Co. of Ventura Superior Court Commissioner	No	2	141,503	194,172	72.9%	Yes
							Pell	Steve	Attorney	No	2	52,669	194,172	27.1%	No

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2020

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DISTRICT / SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT OF DESIGNATION	IN-CUM-BENT	NUMBER OF CANDIDATES	VOTES FOR CANDIDATES	TOTAL VOTES CAST	PERCENT OF VOTE	ELECTED		
VENTURA (continued)	11/3/2020	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	5	Full	Ramirez	Carmen	Oxnard City Councilmember	No	2	30,734	54,487	56.4%	Yes		
					Flynn	Tim	Mayor of Oxnard	No	2	23,753	54,487	43.6%	No		
		DIRECTOR, Bell Canyon CSD	Full	Wolf	Eric	Incumbent	Yes	4	662	2,383	27.8%	Yes			
				Levy	Richard	Incumbent	Yes	4	617	2,383	25.9%	Yes			
				Roy	Dennis	Incumbent	Yes	4	561	2,383	23.5%	Yes			
				Kviatkovsky	Yossi	Retired Entrepreneur	No	4	543	2,383	22.8%	No			
		MEMBER, Oak Park MAC	Full	Nye	Jane M.	Appointed Incumbent	Yes	3	4,155	11,052	37.6%	Yes			
				Chandra	Seema	Appointed Incumbent	Yes	3	3,672	11,052	33.2%	Yes			
				Soosai	Maria	Information Systems Manager	No	3	3,225	11,052	29.2%	No			
		MEMBER, Santa Rosa Valley MAC	Full	Allison	Rosemary	Ventura County Realtor	Yes	4	1,484	3,893	38.1%	Yes			
				Cannon	Kevin	Incumbent	Yes	4	896	3,893	23.0%	Yes			
				Adams	Michael	Business Owner	No	4	826	3,893	21.2%	Yes			
				Roddy	Mark H.	Retired Business Executive	No	4	687	3,893	17.6%	No			
		YOLO	3/3/2020	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	1	Full	Villegas	Oscar E.	Yolo County Supervisor	Yes	1	8,984	8,984	100.0%	Yes
4	Full						Provenza	Jim	Yolo County Supervisor	Yes	3	7,322	15,118	48.4%	Runoff
							Deos	Linda	Consumer Protection Advocate	No	3	5,669	15,118	37.5%	Runoff
							Abramson	David	Teacher/Researcher	No	3	2,127	15,118	14.1%	No
5	Full						Barajas	Angel	Woodland City Councilmember	No	2	4,887	9,331	52.4%	Yes
			Chamberlain	Duane	County Supervisor/Farmer	Yes	2	4,444	9,331	47.6%	No				
11/3/2020	COUNTY SUPERVISOR		4	Full	Provenza	Jim	Yolo County Supervisor	Yes	2	11,673	20,042	58.2%	Yes		
		Deos			Linda	Consumer Protection Advocate	No	2	8,369	20,042	41.8%	No			

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2020

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DISTRICT / SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT OF DESIGNATION	IN-CUM-BENT	NUMBER OF CANDIDATES	VOTES FOR CANDIDATES	TOTAL VOTES CAST	PERCENT OF VOTE	ELECTED
YUBA	3/3/2020	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	2	Full	Blaser	Don	Small Business Owner	No	3	900	2,467	36.5%	Runoff
					Heter	Stephen	Businessman/Taxpayer Advocate	No	3	787	2,467	31.9%	Runoff
					Leahy	Mike	Incumbent	Yes	3	780	2,467	31.6%	No
			3	Full	Fuhrer	Seth	Small Business Owner	No	2	1,191	2,237	53.2%	Yes
					Lofton	Doug	Yolo County Supervisor	Yes	2	1,046	2,237	46.8%	No
			4	Full	Bradford	Gary	Yolo County Supervisor	Yes	2	2,147	4,039	53.2%	Yes
	Henderson	Joe			Small Businessman	No	2	1,892	4,039	46.8%	No		
	11/3/2020	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	2	Full	Bendorf	Melanie K.	Deputy District Attorney	No	2	8,569	15,412	55.6%	Yes
					Spies	Terry	Superior Court Attorney	No	2	6,843	15,412	44.4%	No
	DIRECTOR, Camptonville CSD	11/3/2020	DIRECTOR, Camptonville CSD	Full	Blaser	Don	Small Business Owner	No	2	2,570	4,607	55.8%	Yes
					Heter	Stephen	Businessman/Taxpayer Advocate	No	2	2,037	4,607	44.2%	No
					Ross	Sandy	Retired Superintendent/Principal	No	4	235	647	36.3%	Yes
Dickard					Richard	Incumbent	Yes	4	197	647	30.4%	Yes	
Deaderick					Jody	Retired Arts Director	No	4	186	647	28.7%	Yes	
Branimir	Tomislav	No Ballot Designation	No	4	29	647	4.5%	No					

TABLE 2.2 SUMMARY OF ELECTION OUTCOMES FOR COUNTY OFFICES, 2020

		COUNTY SUPERVISOR		DIRECTOR, CSD		OTHER COUNTY		SUPERIOR JUDGE		TOTAL <sup>1,2</sup>	
		Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N
Incumbent Candidates	Win	83.2	104	76.9	80	0.0	0	92.9	13	80.7	197
	Lose	16.8	21	23.1	24	100.0	1	7.1	1	19.3	47
	Total	100.0	125	100.0	104	100.0	1	100.0	14	100.0	244
Non-Incumbent Candidates	Win	23.2	69	48.9	67	50.0	2	47.4	37	33.8	175
	Lose	76.8	229	51.1	70	50.0	2	52.6	41	66.2	342
	Total	100.0	298	100.0	137	100.0	4	100.0	78	100.0	517
Winning Candidates	Incumbent	60.1	104	54.4	80	0.0	0	26.0	13	53.0	197
	Non-Incumbent	39.9	69	54.6	67	100.0	2	74.0	37	47.0	175
	Total	100.0	173	100.0	147	100.0	2	100.0	50	100.0	372
Losing Candidates	Incumbent	8.4	21	25.5	24	33.3	1	2.4	1	12.1	47
	Non-Incumbent	91.6	229	74.5	70	66.7	2	97.6	41	87.9	342
	Total	100.0	250	100.0	94	100.0	3	100.0	42	100.0	389
All Candidates	Incumbent	29.6	125	43.2	104	20.0	1	15.2	14	32.1	244
	Non-incumbent	70.4	298	56.8	137	80.0	4	84.8	78	67.9	517
	Total	100.0	423	100.0	241	100.0	5	100.0	92	100.0	761

<sup>1</sup>We exclude runoffs from totals.

<sup>2</sup>Percent may not sum to 100 due to rounding.