



**County Offices and Ballot Measures**

---

**CALIFORNIA COUNTY, CITY, AND  
SCHOOL DISTRICT ELECTION OUTCOMES:**

---

**CANDIDATES AND BALLOT MEASURES  
2021 ELECTIONS**

California State University, Sacramento  
Institute for Social Research & The Center for California Studies

CALIFORNIA COUNTY, CITY, AND SCHOOL DISTRICT  
ELECTION OUTCOMES:  
CANDIDATES AND BALLOT MEASURES, 2021 ELECTIONS

COUNTY OFFICES AND BALLOT MEASURES

Leonor Ehling, M.P.P.  
Executive Director, Center for California Studies

Shannon A. Williams, Ph.D.  
Director, Institute for Social Research

Valory Messier, M.A.  
Research Specialist, Institute for Social Research

Sebastian Cambrey, B.A.  
Graduate Research Assistant, Institute for Social Research

Center for California Studies  
California State University, Sacramento  
6000 J Street, Sacramento, CA 95819  
(916) 278-6906 FAX: (916) 278-5199

Institute for Social Research  
California State University, Sacramento  
304 S Street, Sacramento, CA 95811  
(916) 278-4317 FAX: (916) 278-5150



## *Acknowledgements*

*The researchers would like to sincerely thank the county elections officials and staff throughout the State of California who took time to provide data to the project. Additionally, we are grateful to the Secretary of State's Office for its continuing support and interest in this project. Without their assistance, the completion of these yearly reviews and the California Elections Data Archive would not be possible.*

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

Introduction.....	i
2021 County, City, and School District Election Dates by County .....	iii
Trend Table A Number of Ballot Measures, Percent of Total Measures, and Percent Passing by Type, Jurisdiction, and Year.....	v
Trend Table B Number of Ballot Measures, Percent of Total Measures, and Percent Passing by Topic, Jurisdiction, and Year.....	x
Trend Table C Community Service District, and County Service Area Measures by County .....	xv
Trend Table D Number of Community Service District, and County Service Area Measures, Percent of Total County Measures, and Percent Passing by Type, and Year .....	xx
Trend Table E Comparison of Pass Rates for County-Wide and Community Service District/County Service Area Tax Measures by Year .....	xxi
Trend Table F Number of Community Service District, and County Service Area Measures, Percent of Total County Measures, and Percent Passing by Topic, and Year .....	xxii
Trend Table G Number of Candidates by Jurisdiction and Year.....	xxiii
Trend Table H Number of Candidates for Major County Offices by Year.....	xxiv
Trend Table I Percent of Incumbent Candidates, and Percent of Prevailing Incumbents by Major Office, Jurisdiction, and Year.....	xxv

## 2021 Election Series Summary:

### Election Outcomes for County, City, and School District Ballot Measures, and Candidates .....

Table A Summary of Outcomes for All County, City, and School District Ballot Measures by Type of Measure, and County, 2021 .....	2
Table B Summary of Outcomes for All County, City, and School District Ballot Measures by Topic of Measure, and County, 2021 .....	3
Table C Summary of Election Outcomes for All County, City, and School District Offices, 2021 .....	4

### Part 1 Vote Totals, Election Outcomes and Text for County Ballot Measures .....

Table 1.1 Vote Totals for County Ballot Measures by County, 2021 .....	6
Table 1.2 Text for County Ballot Measures by County, 2021 .....	8
Table 1.3 Summary of Election Outcomes for County Ballot Measures by Type of Measure, and County, 2021 .....	10
Table 1.4 Summary of Election Outcomes for County Ballot Measures by Topic of Measure, and County, 2021 .....	11

### Part 2 Vote Totals for County Office Candidates .....

Table 2.1 Vote Totals for County Office Candidates by County, and Election Date, 2021 .....	13
Table 2.2 Summary of Election Outcomes for County Offices, 2021 .....	16

# CALIFORNIA ELECTIONS DATA ARCHIVE

## INTRODUCTION

The California Elections Data Archive (CEDA) is a joint project of the Center for California Studies, and the Institute for Social Research (ISR), at the California State University, Sacramento, and the office of the California Secretary of State. The purpose of CEDA is to provide researchers, citizens, public agencies, and other interested parties with a single repository of local election data. With over 6,000 local jurisdictions in California, the task of monitoring local elections is nearly impossible for individuals. CEDA addresses this problem through the creation of a single, cost-effective, and easily accessible source of local election data. CEDA includes candidate, and ballot measure results for county, city, community college, and school district elections throughout the State. CEDA thus represents the only comprehensive repository of local election results in California and one of a very few such databases on local elections in the U.S.

### How the CEDA Data is Collected and Reported

ISR staff collects election data periodically throughout each calendar year. This enables CEDA to incorporate results from special elections as well as all regularly scheduled elections. ISR staff enters election results from counties, cities, community colleges, and school districts into the CEDA database and then uses this database to generate three standard CEDA reports. These reports include:

- County Elections: Candidates, ballot designations, and vote totals for all elected county offices; vote totals and text for county ballot measures.
- City Elections: Candidates, ballot designations, and vote totals for all elected city offices; vote totals, and text for all city ballot measures.
- Community College and School District Elections: Candidates, ballot designations, and vote totals for all elective community college and school district offices; vote totals and text for all district ballot measures.

ISR staff codes ballot measures for all jurisdictions according to type (e.g., charter amendment, taxes, bond measure, initiative, etc.) and to topic (e.g., education, public safety, governance, etc.).

## THE CEDA PARTNERSHIP

### THE CENTER FOR CALIFORNIA STUDIES

Located at California State University, Sacramento, the Center for California Studies is a public policy, public service, and curricular support unit of the California State University. The Center's location in the state Capital and its ability to draw upon the resources of the entire State University system give it a unique capacity for making contributions to public policy development, and the public life of California. Center programs cover four broad areas: administration of the nationally known Assembly, Senate, Executive, and Judicial Administration Fellowship Programs; university-state government liaison and applied policy research; civic education and community service through forums, conferences, and issue dialogues; and curricular support activity in the interdisciplinary field of California Studies.

### INSTITUTE FOR SOCIAL RESEARCH

Established in 1989, the Institute for Social Research (ISR) at California State University, Sacramento (CSUS) is a multidisciplinary institute that is committed to advancing the understanding of the social world through applied research. The Institute offers research expertise and technical assistance serving as a resource to agencies, organizations, the University, and the broader community. Utilizing quantitative and qualitative methods, ISR produces various types of assessments, program and policy evaluations, survey research, workload studies, and specialized analyses. Services include research design, sampling design, data collection and coding, computer-assisted telephone and field interviewing, mailed and online surveys, focus groups, database management, and statistical analysis. ISR has completed hundreds of projects with more than 50 federal, state and community agencies, private firms, and many academic units. Faculty affiliates of the Institute offer specific content expertise in a wide variety of disciplines, including the social sciences, health and human services, and education.

### CALIFORNIA SECRETARY OF STATE

Among their other duties, the Secretary of State acts as California's chief elections officer with the responsibility of administering the provisions of the Elections Code. The Secretary must compile state election returns, and issue certificates of election to winning candidates; compile the returns and certify the results of initiative and referendum elections; certify acts delayed by referendum, and prepare and file a statement of vote. Recent legislation permits but does not mandate that the Secretary of State compile local election results.

## 2021 County, City, and School District Election Dates by County

	2/2	3/2	3/9	4/13	4/20	5/4	5/11	6/1	6/8	6/29	7/20	8/31	9/14	11/2	12/7
Alameda														●	
Calaveras						●									
Contra Costa						●									
El Dorado														●	
Fresno									●					●	
Imperial														●	
Kern														●	
Lassen													●		
Los Angeles		●		●	●		●	●		●	●		●	●	
Madera														●	
Marin		●				●								●	
Merced												●		●	
Modoc													●		
Orange			●												
Placer														●	
Plumas														●	
Riverside		●							●					●	
Sacramento														●	
San Benito														●	
San Bernardino												●			
San Diego														●	
San Mateo						●								●	
Santa Barbara													●	●	
Santa Clara						●								●	
Santa Cruz														●	●
Siskiyou														●	
Solano						●								●	
Sonoma		●				●							●	●	

### 2021 County, City, and School District Election Dates by County

	2/2	3/2	3/9	4/13	4/20	5/4	5/11	6/1	6/8	6/29	7/20	8/31	9/14	11/2	12/7
Stanislaus	●											●			
Sutter															●
Ventura														●	
Yolo													●		



TREND TABLE A NUMBER OF BALLOT MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TYPE, JURISDICTION, AND YEAR

	ALL MEASURES			BONDS			TAXES			ORDINANCE			RECALLS			INITIATIVES			CHARTER AMENDMENT		
	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing
<b>All Measures</b>																					
1995-2021	397	100	67	105	27	71	129	33	63	78	20	63	13	3	73	10	2	47	45	11	77
Even Years	624	100	68	177	28	73	198	32	62	125	20	63	14	2	72	15	2	48	69	11	77
Odd Years	186	100	63	39	21	61	65	35	65	35	19	62	12	6	73	5	2	42	22	12	78
<b>County</b>																					
1995-2021	63	16	59	2	3	73	27	44	50	18	28	65	2	3	76	3	4	41	7	10	68
Even Years	103	17	57	3	3	71	46	44	48	29	28	64	2	2	59	4	4	40	12	11	68
Odd Years	25	13	67	1	5	78	10	41	58	7	28	70	2	8	89	1	3	50	2	7	72
<b>City</b>																					
1995-2021	200	50	67	5	2	60	77	39	67	56	28	60	6	3	72	7	4	51	38	19	79
Even Years	291	50	67	7	2	63	124	40	67	89	28	61	8	3	72	10	3	52	57	18	79
Odd Years	102	51	65	2	2	50	35	36	66	24	26	57	4	4	73	4	4	46	21	22	78
<b>School District</b>																					
1995-2021	135	34	71	99	73	71	24	18	66	5	4	81	5	4	72	0	0	50	0	0	100
Even Years	208	33	73	166	80	73	29	14	66	7	3	84	4	2	79	0	0	0	0	0	100
Odd Years	76	41	57	36	47	61	21	27	66	4	5	77	6	7	67	0	0	100			

TREND TABLE A NUMBER OF BALLOT MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TYPE, JURISDICTION, AND YEAR (CONTINUED)

	ALL MEASURES			BONDS			TAXES			ORDINANCE			RECALLS			INITIATIVES			CHARTER AMENDMENT			
	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	
All Measures	1995	283	100	37	91	36	47	26	10	35	46	18	61	8	3	88	8	3	50	55	22	93
	1996	573	100	57	64	11	59	142	25	40	176	31	58	32	6	72	18	3	39	115	20	73
	1997	342	100	60	127	37	59	100	29	56	45	13	69	29	8	38	7	2	71	31	9	81
	1998	572	100	61	144	25	58	162	28	48	115	20	58	19	3	74	9	2	56	94	16	77
	1999	283	100	60	107	38	59	54	19	57	68	24	57	14	5	71	10	4	40	20	7	50
	2000	559	100	58	135	24	60	122	22	39	154	28	58	11	2	100	21	4	67	79	14	67
	2001	233	100	70	73	31	75	68	29	72	33	14	58	21	9	71	1	0	100	25	11	60
	2002	657	100	68	245	37	76	155	24	54	136	21	54	8	1	63	10	2	40	77	12	77
	2003	178	100	63	22	12	55	62	35	48	47	26	70	9	5	89	5	3	40	24	13	75
	2004	712	100	63	179	25	75	258	36	47	144	20	64	11	2	73	14	2	29	72	10	79
	2005	295	100	64	57	19	74	111	38	58	59	20	54	11	4	82	7	2	43	35	12	89
	2006	556	100	62	185	33	59	142	26	56	123	22	63	17	3	29	22	4	36	39	7	82
	2007	179	100	72	22	12	55	61	34	74	40	22	58	13	7	100	1	1	0	38	21	79
	2008	593	100	75	201	34	82	188	32	67	123	21	65	12	2	58	11	2	91	39	7	90
	2009	193	100	63	6	3	33	99	51	67	35	18	63	13	7	69	3	2	33	20	10	60
	2010	482	100	67	97	20	70	164	34	60	117	24	67	27	6	78	11	2	55	50	10	76
	2011	172	100	72	10	6	80	75	44	67	29	17	72	16	9	75	2	1	0	31	18	81
	2012	530	100	72	156	29	81	178	34	69	112	21	62	14	3	93	2	0	50	51	10	63
	2013	137	100	72	11	8	73	65	47	78	34	25	50	8	6	88	4	3	100	11	8	73
	2014	577	100	73	193	33	81	175	30	69	81	14	72	7	1	57	14	2	57	72	12	76
	2015	120	100	74	13	11	77	47	39	79	20	17	75	14	12	100	9	8	22	11	9	64
2016	872	100	79	294	34	91	279	32	73	138	16	72	10	1	90	37	4	41	86	10	83	
2017	113	100	63	8	7	38	73	65	64	20	18	65	1	1	100	3	3	0	7	6	86	
2018	704	100	80	182	26	81	329	47	84	98	14	66	9	1	89	11	2	36	58	8	93	
2019	67	100	79	3	4	100	43	64	88	15	22	67				4	6	0	1	1	100	
2020	722	100	63	223	31	49	283	39	68	107	15	66	11	2	73	15	2	53	64	9	81	
2021	44	100	68				30	68	67	4	9	75	5	11	40	1	2	100	3	7	100	

TREND TABLE A NUMBER OF BALLOT MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TYPE, JURISDICTION, AND YEAR  
(CONTINUED)

	ALL MEASURES			BONDS			TAXES			ORDINANCE			RECALLS			INITIATIVES			CHARTER AMENDMENT			
	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	
	County Measures	1995	17	7	53			6	35	33	2	12	0				6	35	83			
	1996	114	20	44	3	3	33	34	30	26	41	36	54	5	4	80	7	6	14	17	15	47
	1997	24	7	63	7	29	57	7	29	71	4	17	100	2	8	50				4	17	25
	1998	125	22	59	1	1	0	53	42	40	32	26	75				4	3	25	25	20	76
	1999	38	13	63	1	3	100	21	55	48	8	21	63							4	11	100
	2000	116	21	49	6	5	83	51	44	27	28	24	50				8	7	88	8	7	38
	2001	37	16	73	3	8	100	14	38	71	11	30	64	4	11	75				1	3	0
	2002	98	15	56	5	5	20	38	39	45	39	40	67	1	1	0	2	2	50	7	7	71
	2003	28	16	64				12	43	25	15	54	100	1	4	0						
	2004	140	20	54	0	2	0	60	43	45	47	34	62	1	1	0	4	3	25	18	13	56
	2005	57	19	63	3	5	67	24	42	67	16	28	56	3	5	100	3	5	67	2	4	50
	2006	95	17	52				45	47	40	30	32	60	4	4	25	2	2	50	6	6	83
	2007	29	16	76	1	3	100	3	10	67	16	55	63	8	28	100						
	2008	90	15	62	3	3	100	33	37	42	40	44	65	1	1	100	2	2	100	4	4	100
	2009	16	8	69				4	25	50	6	38	67	1	6	100				2	13	100
	2010	64	13	53	3	5	67	25	39	48	22	34	59	4	6	50	2	3	50	6	9	50
	2011	20	12	80	1	5	100	7	35	71	4	20	75	2	10	100				3	15	67
	2012	76	14	63	1	1	100	39	51	59	20	26	60	1	1	100	2	3	50	10	13	70
	2013	12	9	75				5	42	40				1	8	100	3	25	100	1	8	100
	2014	84	15	57	4	5	100	33	39	36	19	23	74	1	1	0	8	10	50	12	14	92
	2015	34	28	62	1	3	100	11	32	45	8	24	88	5	15	100	4	12	0	1	3	100
	2016	151	17	59	4	3	100	68	45	56	38	25	66	3	2	100	12	8	25	17	11	59
	2017	14	12	57				11	79	55	3	21	67									
	2018	102	14	75	3	3	67	72	71	78	11	11	82	1	1	100	2	2	0	8	8	75
	2019	16	24	88	1	6	100	10	63	90	3	19	67							1	6	100
	2020	85	12	66	5	6	80	45	53	56	13	15	77				3	4	0	13	15	85
	2021	9	20	67				8	89	75	1	11	0									

TREND TABLE A NUMBER OF BALLOT MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TYPE, JURISDICTION, AND YEAR (CONTINUED)

	ALL MEASURES			BONDS			TAXES			ORDINANCE			RECALLS			INITIATIVES			CHARTER AMENDMENT			
	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	
City Measures	1995	119	47	71	4	3	75	7	6	29	38	32	58				7	6	43	49	41	94
	1996	374	65	60	10	3	30	100	27	43	115	31	59	24	6	79	11	3	55	98	26	78
	1997	144	42	58	2	1	50	70	49	50	28	19	54	9	6	22	7	5	71	27	19	89
	1998	283	49	60	9	3	78	99	35	47	78	28	53	7	2	43	5	2	80	69	24	77
	1999	114	40	54	4	4	75	22	19	55	48	42	48	8	7	100	10	9	40	16	14	38
	2000	297	53	60	11	4	82	65	22	45	113	38	56	6	2	100	13	4	54	71	24	70
	2001	93	40	69	8	9	63	31	33	74	18	19	61	3	3	100	1	1	100	24	26	63
	2002	309	47	60	12	4	83	102	33	58	94	30	48	5	2	60	8	3	38	70	23	77
	2003	89	50	67	2	2	50	14	16	71	29	33	55	6	7	100	5	6	40	24	27	75
	2004	337	47	59	7	2	43	147	44	46	92	27	63	6	2	67	10	3	30	54	16	87
	2005	135	46	61	2	1	0	47	35	55	37	27	51	3	2	33	4	3	25	33	24	91
	2006	253	46	64	10	4	50	82	32	70	85	34	61	6	2	17	20	8	35	33	13	82
	2007	108	60	71	2	2	0	40	37	73	19	18	53	5	5	100	1	1	0	38	35	79
	2008	258	44	73	5	2	100	111	43	71	80	31	65	8	3	38	9	3	89	35	14	89
	2009	130	67	61	1	1	0	63	48	68	28	22	61	3	2	33	3	2	33	18	14	56
	2010	270	56	71	2	1	0	95	35	69	91	34	67	16	6	94	8	3	63	44	16	80
	2011	105	61	74				37	35	65	23	22	70	10	10	100	2	2	0	28	27	82
	2012	248	47	67	5	2	20	93	38	72	83	33	58	12	5	92				41	17	61
	2013	90	66	68				44	49	77	30	33	53	3	3	67	1	1	100	10	11	70
	2014	285	49	71	5	2	100	121	42	72	60	21	70	5	2	60	6	2	67	60	21	73
	2015	54	45	70	2	4	0	23	43	87	12	22	67				5	9	40	10	19	60
2016	393	45	77	9	2	67	174	44	79	96	24	74	1	0	100	25	6	48	69	18	88	
2017	81	72	65	2	2	50	50	62	66	17	21	65	1	1	100	3	4	0	7	9	86	
2018	391	56	80	9	2	56	224	57	86	84	21	63	5	1	80	9	2	44	46	12	96	
2019	41	61	76	1	2	100	24	59	92	12	29	67				4	10	100				
2020	369	51	71	2	1	50	194	53	73	88	24	63	9	2	67	12	3	67	51	14	80	
2021	24	55	67				12	50	58	2	8	100	5	21	40	1	4	100	3	13	100	

TREND TABLE A NUMBER OF BALLOT MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TYPE, JURISDICTION, AND YEAR  
(CONTINUED)

	ALL MEASURES			BONDS			TAXES			ORDINANCE			RECALLS			INITIATIVES			CHARTER AMENDMENT			
	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	
School District Measures	1995	117	46	52	87	74	46	13	11	38	6	5	100	8	7	88	1	1	100			
	1996	85	15	62	51	60	67	8	9	63	20	24	60	3	4	0						
	1997	174	51	62	118	68	59	23	13	70	13	7	92	18	10	44						
	1998	164	29	62	134	82	57	10	6	100	5	3	40	12	7	92						
	1999	131	46	62	102	78	58	11	8	82	12	9	92	6	5	33						
	2000	146	26	63	118	81	57	6	4	67	13	9	92	5	3	100						
	2001	103	44	71	62	60	76	23	22	70	4	4	25	14	14	64						
	2002	250	38	76	228	91	77	15	6	53	3	1	100	2	1	100						
	2003	61	34	52	20	33	55	36	59	47	3	5	67	2	3	100						
	2004	235	33	73	172	73	77	51	22	53	5	2	100	4	2	100						
	2005	103	35	69	52	50	77	40	39	55	6	6	67	5	5	100						
	2006	208	37	58	175	84	59	15	7	27	8	4	88	7	3	43						
	2007	42	23	67	19	45	58	18	43	78	5	12	60									
	2008	245	41	80	193	79	81	44	18	75	3	1	67	3	1	100						
	2009	47	24	66	5	11	40	32	68	66	1	2	100	9	19	78						
	2010	148	31	64	92	62	72	44	30	45	4	3	100	7	5	57	1	1	0			
	2011	47	27	64	9	19	78	31	66	68	2	4	100	4	9	0						
	2012	206	39	82	150	73	83	46	22	72	9	4	100	1	0	100						
	2013	35	26	80	11	31	73	16	46	94	4	11	25	4	11	100						
	2014	208	36	83	184	88	80	21	10	100	2	1	100	1	0	100						
	2015	32	27	94	10	31	90	13	41	92				9	28	100						
2016	328	38	90	281	86	92	37	11	78	4	1	100	6	2	83							
2017	18	16	56	6	33	33	12	67	67													
2018	211	30	84	170	81	82	31	15	87	3	1	100	3	1	100					4	2	100
2019	10	15	80	1	10	100	9	90	78													
2020	268	37	51	216	81	48	44	16	59	6	2	100	2	1	100							
2021	11	25	73				10	91	70	1	9	100										



TREND TABLE B NUMBER OF BALLOT MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TOPIC, JURISDICTION, AND YEAR  
(CONTINUED)

	ALL MEASURES			EDUCATION			GOVERNANCE			LAND USE			PUBLIC SAFETY			PUBLIC FACILITIES			GENERAL SERVICES			TRANSPORTATION			REVENUE			
	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	
All Measures	1995	253	100	61	121	48	54	63	25	84	16	6	63	12	5	50	14	6	50				2	1	0	5	2	60
	1996	573	100	57	87	15	64	214	37	66	54	9	56	39	7	51	38	7	37	10	2	40	8	1	50	87	15	46
	1997	342	100	60	175	51	62	43	13	67	19	6	68	12	4	42	15	4	40	38	11	61	4	1	50	10	3	70
	1998	572	100	60	158	28	63	131	23	64	46	8	70	41	7	49	32	6	56	28	5	82	23	4	70	75	13	43
	1999	283	100	59	119	42	59	62	22	63	29	10	41	14	5	57	4	1	75	14	5	57	8	3	88	23	8	65
	2000	559	100	59	151	27	63	141	25	64	73	13	55	32	6	50	39	7	67	20	4	55	21	4	43	5	1	20
	2001	233	100	70	105	45	71	46	20	67	7	3	71	11	5	73	19	8	58	7	3	71	4	2	25	31	13	87
	2002	657	100	65	250	38	76	144	22	66	44	7	43	42	6	57	35	5	49	20	3	60	10	2	40	85	13	62
	2003	178	100	62	61	34	52	52	29	73	15	8	60	12	7	50	5	3	60	6	3	100	8	4	38	13	7	62
	2004	712	100	62	238	33	72	139	20	73	58	8	52	55	8	47	37	5	38	23	3	70	25	4	76	110	15	47
	2005	295	100	64	102	35	70	61	21	70	28	9	39	18	6	44	14	5	64	18	6	67	13	4	62	33	11	70
	2006	556	100	60	208	37	58	109	20	60	51	9	61	37	7	73	22	4	41	12	2	58	22	4	50	61	11	62
	2007	179	100	71	42	23	67	63	35	81	18	10	39	5	3	100	8	4	88	7	4	86	4	2	25	31	17	68
	2008	593	100	74	246	41	80	99	17	74	43	7	72	39	7	49	32	5	66	10	2	80	14	2	50	92	16	77
	2009	193	100	63	47	24	66	42	22	64	17	9	47	10	5	60	7	4	86	8	4	25	2	1	0	56	29	71
	2010	482	100	66	149	31	64	138	29	74	30	6	47	27	6	67	12	2	75	9	2	56	7	1	71	95	20	65
	2011	172	100	72	48	28	65	59	34	81	8	5	75	9	5	56	5	3	100	4	2	50	2	1	50	34	20	74
	2012	530	100	72	209	39	82	98	18	71	23	4	57	13	2	38	23	4	57	11	2	73	12	2	50	99	19	79
	2013	137	100	72	35	26	80	28	20	71	14	10	50	9	7	67				4	3	50	4	3	50	39	28	79
	2014	577	100	73	208	36	83	114	20	75	45	8	60	33	6	70	20	3	85	13	2	85	19	3	47	104	18	64
	2015	120	100	74	32	27	94	23	19	83	17	14	35	8	7	50	5	4	60	2	2	100	3	3	33	21	18	86
2016	872	100	79	331	38	90	116	13	78	99	11	61	53	6	70	30	3	50	26	3	54	29	3	48	150	17	85	
2017	113	100	63	18	16	56	13	12	85	13	12	54	15	13	40	5	4	60	6	5	67	4	4	25	36	32	81	
2018	704	100	80	206	29	83	114	16	80	55	8	53	35	5	74	13	2	62	123	17	92	24	3	79	102	14	87	
2019	67	100	81	10	15	80	9	13	89	8	12	38	13	19	92	2	3	50	1	1	100	3	4	100	17	25	94	
2020	722	100	63	272	38	52	107	15	79	54	7	52	42	6	60	8	1	75	162	22	80	12	2	33	44	6	64	
2021	44	100	68	12	27	75	7	16	43	2	5	100	8	18	75				11	25	64	3	7	67	1	2	100	

TREND TABLE B NUMBER OF BALLOT MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TOPIC, JURISDICTION, AND YEAR  
(CONTINUED)

	ALL MEASURES			EDUCATION			GOVERNANCE			LAND USE			PUBLIC SAFETY			PUBLIC FACILITIES			GENERAL SERVICES			TRANSPORTATION			REVENUE			
	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	
	County Measures	1995	17	7	53			7	41	71	3	18	33				3	18	67				1	6	0	3	18	33
	1996	114	20	44	1	1	100	44	39	59	12	11	33	8	7	38	16	14	13	1	1	100	4	4	75	16	14	31
	1997	24	7	63	1	4	100	5	21	60	3	13	100	2	8	0	5	21	40	5	21	80	1	4	100	1	4	0
	1998	125	22	59				25	20	76	13	10	62	14	11	36	12	10	33	18	14	72	16	13	75	12	10	25
	1999	38	13	63				5	13	80				3	8	33	3	8	67	7	18	29	8	21	88	7	18	86
	2000	116	21	49	1	1	100	22	19	64	17	15	35	14	12	36	16	14	44	8	7	63	16	14	44	3	3	33
	2001	37	16	73	2	5	100	12	32	58	1	3	100	7	19	100	6	16	67	4	11	75	1	3	0	4	11	75
	2002	98	15	56				34	35	71	7	7	71	15	15	33	11	11	36	7	7	57	5	5	40	12	12	67
	2003	28	16	64				10	36	90				5	18	40				2	7	100	2	7	50	6	21	17
	2004	140	20	54	3	2	33	32	23	66	14	10	14	22	16	50	13	9	54	4	3	50	21	15	76	17	12	41
	2005	57	19	63				12	21	67	6	11	33	6	11	33	8	14	75	9	16	78	9	16	78	4	7	50
	2006	95	17	52				28	29	54	10	11	70	11	12	55	8	8	38	2	2	50	15	16	40	12	13	33
	2007	29	16	76				14	48	93	5	17	0				5	17	100	2	7	100	2	7	50			
	2008	90	15	62	1	1	100	25	28	76	7	8	86	14	16	43	14	16	50	4	4	75	7	8	57	11	12	64
	2009	16	8	69				7	44	86	3	19	33				3	19	100				1	6	0	2	13	50
	2010	64	13	53				23	36	57	6	9	50	12	19	50	2	3	100	1	2	0	5	8	80	11	17	45
	2011	20	12	80	1	5	100	10	50	80				5	25	60	1	5	100				1	5	100	2	10	100
	2012	76	14	63	1	1	100	19	25	74	7	9	43	7	9	43	12	16	67	1	1	100	5	7	40	18	24	72
	2013	12	9	75				4	33	100	2	17	100	2	17	50							3	25	33			
	2014	84	15	57				20	24	85	15	18	60	10	12	50	9	11	78	3	4	33	11	13	27	9	11	22
	2015	34	28	62				12	35	83	4	12	25	5	15	40	2	6	50	1	3	100	3	9	33	2	6	50
	2016	151	17	59				28	19	54	44	29	34	21	14	57	7	5	57	12	8	58	18	12	33	19	13	74
	2017	14	12	57				3	21	67				1	7	0	2	14	100	3	21	67	3	21	33	2	14	50
	2018	102	14	75	1	1	100	15	15	80	12	12	58	9	9	67	4	4	75	14	14	86	18	18	89	20	20	70
	2019	16	24	88				3	19	100				7	44	86							3	19	100	1	6	100
	2020	85	12	66	1	1	100	15	18	80	7	8	29	19	22	68	1	1	100	11	13	91	10	12	30	16	19	63
	2021	9	20	67				1	11	0				3	33	100				2	22	50	3	33	67			



TREND TABLE B NUMBER OF BALLOT MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TOPIC, JURISDICTION, AND YEAR  
(CONTINUED)

	ALL MEASURES			EDUCATION			GOVERNANCE			LAND USE			PUBLIC SAFETY			PUBLIC FACILITIES			GENERAL SERVICES			TRANSPORTATION			REVENUE			
	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	
City Measures	1995	119	47	71	4	3	100	56	47	86	13	11	69	12	10	50	11	9	45				1	1	0	2	2	100
	1996	374	65	60	2	1	100	170	45	68	42	11	62	31	8	55	22	6	55	8	2	38	4	1	25	71	19	49
	1997	144	42	58				38	26	68	16	11	63	10	7	50	10	7	40	33	23	58	3	2	33	9	6	78
	1998	283	49	60				101	36	62	33	12	73	27	10	56	20	7	70	10	4	100	7	2	57	62	22	47
	1999	114	40	54				45	39	53	29	25	41	11	10	64	1	1	100	7	6	86				16	14	56
	2000	297	53	60	7	2	71	119	40	64	56	19	61	18	6	61	23	8	83	12	4	50	5	2	40	2	1	0
	2001	93	40	69	3	3	0	33	35	73	6	6	67	4	4	25	11	12	64	3	3	67	3	3	33	27	29	89
	2002	309	47	60	1	0	0	110	36	65	37	12	38	27	9	70	24	8	54	13	4	62	5	2	40	72	23	63
	2003	89	50	67				42	47	69	15	17	60	7	8	57	5	6	60	4	4	100	6	7	33	7	8	100
	2004	337	47	59	2	1	100	107	32	75	44	13	64	33	10	45	24	7	29	19	6	74	4	1	75	91	27	47
	2005	135	46	61				48	36	73	22	16	41	12	9	50	6	4	50	9	7	56	4	3	25	29	21	72
	2006	253	46	64				81	32	62	41	16	59	26	10	81	14	6	43	10	4	60	7	3	71	49	19	69
	2007	108	60	71				49	45	78	13	12	54	5	5	100	3	3	67	5	5	80	2	2	0	31	29	68
	2008	258	44	73				74	29	73	36	14	69	25	10	52	18	7	78	6	2	83	7	3	43	81	31	79
	2009	130	67	61				35	27	60	14	11	50	10	8	60	4	3	75	8	6	25	1	1	0	54	42	72
	2010	270	56	71	1	0	100	115	43	77				15	6	80	10	4	70	8	3	63	2	1	50	84	31	68
	2011	107	62	75				51	48	82	8	7	75	4	4	50	4	4	100	4	4	50	1	1	0	32	30	72
	2012	248	47	67	2	1	100	89	36	63	16	6	63	6	2	33	11	4	45	10	4	70	7	3	57	81	33	80
	2013	90	66	68				24	27	67	12	13	42	7	8	71				4	4	50	1	1	100	39	43	79
	2014	285	49	71				94	33	72	30	11	60	23	8	78	11	4	91	10	4	100	8	3	75	95	33	68
	2015	54	45	70				11	20	82	13	24	38	3	6	67	3	6	67	1	2	100				19	35	89
2016	393	45	77	3	1	67	88	22	85	70	18	64	32	8	78	23	6	48	14	4	50	11	3	73	131	33	86	
2017	81	72	65				10	12	90	13	16	54	14	17	43	3	4	33	3	4	67	1	1	0	34	42	82	
2018	391	56	80	2	1	50	92	24	78	43	11	51	26	7	77	9	2	56	109	28	93	9	2	56	85	22	88	
2019	41	61	76				6	15	83	8	20	38	6	15	100	2	5	50	1	2	100				16	39	94	
2020	369	51	71	3	1	100	92	25	78	47	13	55	23	6	52	7	2	71	151	41	79	2	1	50	28	8	64	
2021	24	55	67	1	4	100	6	25	50	2	8	100	5	21	60				9	38	67				1	4	100	



## TREND TABLE C COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT, AND COUNTY SERVICE AREA MEASURES BY COUNTY

	1998			1999			2000			2001			2002		
	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing
Alpine															
Butte	2	67	100				1	100	100						
Calaveras															
Colusa															
Contra Costa	2	67	50				4	80	25	1	100	100	2	100	50
El Dorado	2	12	50				7	78	29				1	50	100
Fresno	1	50	100												
Humboldt															
Imperial															
Inyo							1	50	100						
Kern	6	100	50				2	100	0	4	100	75			
Lake															
Lassen							1	33	0				4	80	25
Los Angeles															
Marin				4	100	100	5	100	80	10	91	90	3	100	100
Mendocino				1	100	0							1	100	0
Modoc															
Monterey													1	100	0
Nevada							1	100	100						
Orange	1	100	100												
Placer	1	33	100												
Plumas							1	100	100	2	100	100			
Riverside	2	40	50	8	100	38				2	100	0	2	67	50
Sacramento	2	40	100				3	75	33						
San Bernardino	2	100	50				3	100	67				1	100	0
San Diego	10	83	30				3	60	33	1	100	100	2	40	0
San Joaquin															
San Luis Obispo				5	100	100	1	33	0				1	50	100
San Mateo															
Santa Barbara										1	100	0			
Santa Cruz															
Shasta							1	100	0						
Siskiyou							2	100	100						
Sonoma							1	20	100	1	100	100			
Stanislaus															
Sutter				1	100	0									
Trinity															
Tulare															
Tuolumne				1	100	0	1	33	0						
Yolo															
Yuba							2	67	50						
Total for CSD/CSA Measure Over All Counties	31	25	55	20	53	60	40	34	48	22	59	77	18	18	44

TREND TABLE C COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT, AND COUNTY SERVICE AREA MEASURES BY COUNTY  
(CONTINUED)

	2003			2004			2005			2006			2007		
	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing
Alpine															
Butte															
Calaveras							3	100	100				1	50	0
Colusa															
Contra Costa				3	60	67	3	100	100	1	33	100	2	100	100
El Dorado	6	100	17	2	20	100	14	88	64	2	100	0	3	100	100
Fresno															
Humboldt				1	25	100	2	100	0						
Imperial							1	50	100						
Inyo															
Kern				3	100	33				1	33	100			
Lake				1	100	0									
Lassen				1	100	100				1	100	0			
Los Angeles															
Marin	1	100	100				2	100	100	4	100	100	1	100	100
Mendocino				1	50	100									
Modoc															
Monterey															
Nevada															
Orange	1	100	100												
Placer															
Plumas	1	50	100												
Riverside	2	100	0	2	100	100									
Sacramento															
San Bernardino	1	100	0	1	50	0				1	33	100			
San Diego				3	33	33				1	20	100			
San Joaquin													1	100	100
San Luis Obispo				4	67	50	4	100	100	5	83	40			
San Mateo				1	14	100									
Santa Barbara										1	25	0			
Santa Cruz							1	100	0						
Shasta															
Siskiyou				5	83	0				2	100	0			
Sonoma	1	100	100							1	50	0			
Stanislaus										1	50	0			
Sutter										1	100	0			
Trinity										2	100	100			
Tulare				1	100	100	1	100	100						
Tuolumne															
Yolo															
Yuba				1	25	0									
Total for CSD/CSA Measure Over All Counties	13	46	38	30	21	50	31	54	74	24	25	50	8	28	88

TREND TABLE C COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT, AND COUNTY SERVICE AREA MEASURES BY COUNTY  
(CONTINUED)

	2008			2009			2010			2011			2012		
	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing
Alpine															
Butte															
Calaveras													1	50	100
Colusa															
Contra Costa	3	100	67				1	50	100	2	100	100	1	100	100
El Dorado	1	50	0	1	100	0	3	75	67				1	50	0
Fresno										2	100	100			
Humboldt	1	100	0							1	100	100			
Imperial															
Inyo															
Kern							1	100	0						
Lake	1	100	100												
Lassen													1	100	0
Los Angeles															
Marin	3	75	100	1	100	100	7	88	43	3	100	100	1	50	100
Mendocino													1	33	0
Modoc															
Monterey															
Nevada													1	100	0
Orange															
Placer	1	33	0										1	100	0
Plumas										1	100	0			
Riverside							1	25	0						
Sacramento	1	100	100												
San Bernardino	1	50	100	1	100	100				1	100	100			
San Diego															
San Joaquin															
San Luis Obispo													1	50	100
San Mateo	1	25	100										1	100	100
Santa Barbara				1	100	100							1	14	100
Santa Cruz															
Shasta				1	100	100	2	100	0						
Siskiyou	3	75	33												
Sonoma													1	100	0
Stanislaus	1	25	0												
Sutter															
Trinity															
Tulare															
Tuolumne	1	100	100										1	100	100
Yolo				1	100	100							1	100	0
Yuba															
Total for CSD/CSA Measure Over All Counties	18	20	61	6	38	83	15	23	40	10	50	90	14	18	50

TREND TABLE C COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT, AND COUNTY SERVICE AREA MEASURES BY COUNTY  
(CONTINUED)

	2013			2014			2015			2016			2017		
	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing
Alpine															
Butte															
Calaveras										1	20	0	2	100	50
Colusa													1	0	0
Contra Costa										1	33	100			
El Dorado	2	100	50	5	45	60	5	83	40	4	50	0	2	100	0
Fresno															
Humboldt	1	100	100												
Imperial				2	100	0									
Inyo															
Kern	1	25	0				1	50	100	1	50	0			
Lake															
Lassen				3	75	33	2	100	100	2	67	100			
Los Angeles	1	2	100							4	67	100			
Marin	1	11	100	1	25	100	2	22	100				1	100	0
Mendocino															
Modoc				2	0	0	2	100	0	2	100	100			
Monterey													1	100	0
Nevada				1	50	0							1	100	100
Orange															
Placer															
Plumas							4	100	100	1	100	100			
Riverside															
Sacramento															
San Bernardino	1	9	0				2	40	0	3	100	67	1	100	100
San Diego				1	100	0				1	33	0			
San Joaquin															
San Luis Obispo							1	100	100						
San Mateo										1	33	100			
Santa Barbara										2	67	50			
Santa Cruz															
Shasta															
Siskiyou				1	33	0				2	29	50			
Sonoma															
Stanislaus															
Sutter															
Trinity															
Tulare															
Tuolumne															
Yolo															
Yuba															
Total for CSD/CSA Measure Over All Counties	7	58	57	16	19	38	19	56	12	25	17	60	9	64	44

TREND TABLE C COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT, AND COUNTY SERVICE AREA MEASURES BY COUNTY (CONTINUED)

	2018			2019			2020			2021		
	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing
Alpine				1	13	100						
Butte												
Calaveras				1	13	100				1	100	100
Colusa												
Contra Costa	3	5	67	1	13	100	2	12	100	1	100	100
El Dorado	6	14	83				6	35	17	1	50	100
Fresno												
Humboldt												
Imperial				2	25	50						
Inyo												
Kern												
Lake	1	3	100									
Lassen												
Los Angeles							1	6	100			
Marin	1	3	100				2	12	100			
Mendocino												
Modoc												
Monterey												
Nevada												
Orange												
Placer										1	100	100
Plumas				1	13	100				1	100	100
Riverside												
Sacramento												
San Bernardino							1	6	0	1	100	0
San Diego	2	6	50				1	6	0			
San Joaquin												
San Luis Obispo	1	3	0	1	13	100	1	6	0			
San Mateo							1	6	100			
Santa Barbara												
Santa Cruz												
Shasta												
Siskiyou							2	12	50			
Sonoma				1	13	100						
Stanislaus												
Sutter												
Trinity												
Tulare	1	1	0									
Tuolumne	1	3	100									
Yolo												
Yuba												
Total for CSD/CSA Measure Over All Counties	16	16	75	8	100	88	17	100	47	6	67	83

TREND TABLE D NUMBER OF COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT, AND COUNTY SERVICE AREA MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL COUNTY MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TYPE, AND YEAR

	ALL CSD/CSA			TAXES			BONDS			ADVISORY			RECALLS			GANN LIMIT			ORDINANCE		
	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate
1998	31	25	55	22	18	45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	8	6	88
1999	20	53	60	16	42	56	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	8	100	1	3	0
2000	40	34	48	28	24	29	1	1	100	3	3	67	0	0	0	6	5	100	2	2	100
2001	22	59	77	12	32	75	2	5	100	0	0	0	3	8	100	3	8	100	2	5	0
2002	18	18	44	14	14	36	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	4	75	0	0	0
2003	13	46	38	11	39	27	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	7	100
2004	30	21	50	24	17	42	0	0	0	1	1	100	0	0	0	2	1	100	3	2	67
2005	31	54	74	23	40	65	2	4	100	0	0	0	3	5	100	1	2	100	2	4	100
2006	24	25	50	15	16	47	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	4	25	1	1	100	4	4	75
2007	8	28	88	3	10	67	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	10	100	1	3	100	1	3	100
2008	18	20	61	11	12	45	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	4	4	100	2	2	100
2009	6	38	83	3	19	67	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	6	100	1	6	100	1	6	100
2010	15	23	40	11	17	36	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	6	50	0	0	0	0	0	0
2011	10	50	90	4	20	75				1	5	100	2	10	100	2	10	100	1	5	100
2012	14	18	50	11	14	36							1	1	100				2	3	100
2013	7	58	57	5	42	40				1	0	100				1	8	100			
2014	16	19	38	13	15	31	2	0	100							1	1	0			
2015	19	56	63	9	26	56				2	0	0	5	15	100	1	3	100	2	6	50
2016	25	17	60	17	11	47				1	1	0	2	1	100	1	1	100	4	3	100
2017	9	64	44	6	43	33													3	21	67
2018	16	16	75	14	14	71										1	1	100	1	1	100
2019	8	50	88	7	44	86										1	6	100			
2020	17	20	47	12	14	33										4	5	100	1	1	0
2021	6	67	83	5	56	100													5	56	0
1998-2021	425	28	58	298	19	48	7	0	100	10	1	50	28	2	82	39	3	92	47	3	70



TREND TABLE E COMPARISON OF PASS RATES FOR COUNTY-WIDE, AND COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT/ COUNTY SERVICE AREA TAX MEASURES BY YEAR

	NON-CSD/CSA COUNTY-WIDE MEASURES			CSD/CSA MEASURES		NON-CSD/CSA COUNTY-WIDE TAX MEASURES		CSD/CSA COUNTY TAX MEASURES	
	Total Number of County Measures	Number of Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	Percent Passing
1998	125	94	61	31	55	31	35	22	45
1999	38	18	67	20	60	5	20	16	56
2000	116	76	50	40	48	23	26	28	29
2001	37	15	67	22	77	2	50	12	75
2002	98	80	59	18	44	24	50	14	36
2003	28	15	87	13	38	1	0	11	27
2004	140	109	54	31	52	35	46	25	44
2005	57	26	50	31	74	1	100	23	65
2006	95	71	52	24	50	30	37	15	47
2007	29	21	71	8	88			3	67
2008	90	72	63	18	61	22	0	11	45
2009	16	9	67	7	86	1	0	4	75
2010	64	49	57	15	40	14	57	11	36
2011	20	10	70	10	90	3	67	4	75
2012	76	62	66	14	50	28	68	11	36
2013	12	5	100	7	57			5	40
2014	84	68	62	16	38	20	40	13	31
2015	34	15	60	19	63	2	0	9	56
2016	151	126	59	25	60	51	59	17	47
2017	14	5	80	9	44	5	80	6	33
2018	102	86	76	16	75	58	79	14	71
2019	16	8	88	8	88	3	100	7	86
2020	85	68	71	17	47	33	64	12	33
2021	9	3	33	6	83	3	33	5	100
1998-2021	1,536	1,111	61	425	58	395	53	298	48

TREND TABLE F NUMBER OF COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT, AND COUNTY SERVICE AREA MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL COUNTY MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TOPIC, AND YEAR

	ALL CSD/CSA			LAND USE			PUBLIC SAFETY			GOVERNANCE			ENVIRONMENT			TRANSPORTATION			PUBLIC FACILITIES			GENERAL SERVICES			REVENUE		
	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Percent Passing
1998	31	25	55				12	10	42				3	2	67	2	2	50	1	1	0	8	6	88	4	3	25
1999	20	53	60				2	5	50				3	8	0	5	13	100				5	13	40	5	13	80
2000	40	34	48	2	2	0	10	9	30	2	2	100				6	5	17	5	4	40	5	4	60	1	1	100
2001	22	59	77				6	16	100	4	11	75				1	3	0	5	14	60	4	11	75	2	5	100
2002	18	18	44				11	11	45	3	3	67							3	3	33	1	1	0			
2003	13	46	38				5	18	40	2	7	100													6	21	17
2004	30	21	50				17	12	47	1	1	0				4	3	50	4	3	50	1	1	0	2	1	100
2005	31	54	74	2	4	0	1	2	100	3	5	100				6	11	100	6	11	67	9	16	78	4	7	50
2006	24	25	50				7	7	71	7	7	43				2	2	50	3	3	0	2	2	50	3	3	67
2007	8	28	88							4	14	100				1	3	0	1	3	100	2	7	100			
2008	18	20	61				8	9	50	2	2	100				2	2	50				1	1	0	5	6	80
2009	6	38	83							2	13	100				1	6	0	2	13	100				1	6	100
2010	15	23	40				7	11	43	4	6	50				1	2	0							3	5	33
2011	10	50	90				4	20	75	4	20	100													2	10	100
2012	14	18	50	1	1	100	6	8	33	2	3	100				2	3	50	3	4	33						
2013	7	58	57				2	17	50	2	17	100				3	25	33									
2014	16	19	38				7	8	29	2	2	100				3	4	0	2	2	100				2	2	0
2015	19	56	63	1	3	0	4	12	50	7	21	86				3	9	33	2	6	50	1	3	100	1	3	100
2016	25	17	60	1	1	0	10	7	70	6	4	100				3	2	0				1	1	100	4	3	25
2017	9	64	44							3	21	67				3	21	33	1	7	100	1	7	0	1	7	0
2018	16	16	88				5	5	60	2	2	100				7	7	71							2	2	100
2019	8	50	88				7	44	86							1	6	100									
2020	17	20	47				6	7	67	1	1	0				7	8	14	1	1	100	1	1	100	1	1	100
2021	6	67	83				3	33	100	1	11	0				2	22	100									
1998-2021	425	28	59	7	0	14	140	9	54	64	4	80	6	0	33	65	4	46	40	3	55	42	3	67	50	3	58

TREND TABLE G NUMBER OF CANDIDATES BY JURISDICTION,  
AND YEAR

	NUMBER OF CANDIDATES			
	ALL CANDIDATES	COUNTY CANDIDATES	CITY CANDIDATES	SCHOOL DISTRICT CANDIDATES
1995	2,354	0	732	1,622
1996	5,330	667	2,141	2,522
1997	2,476	23	736	1,717
1998	5,354	1,037	1,893	2,424
1999	2,274	135	724	1,415
2000	5,012	796	2,166	2,050
2001	2,505	189	688	1,628
2002	5,896	1,266	2,188	2,442
2003	2,086	205	566	1,315
2004	5,035	782	2,212	2,041
2005	2,546	167	979	1,400
2006	5,498	1,136	2,132	2,230
2007	2,021	207	811	1,003
2008	5,237	782	2,282	2,173
2009	2,066	143	863	1,060
2010	6,022	1,177	2,321	2,524
2011	1,602	138	734	730
2012	5,208	776	2,332	2,100
2013	1,688	152	818	768
2014	5,675	1,204	2,172	2,299
2015	1,321	114	607	600
2016	5,118	723	2,361	2,034
2017	763	78	494	191
2018	6,526	1,176	2,700	2,650
2019	304	43	193	68
2020	6,675	761	3,181	2,733
2021	203	22	144	37
Total	96,795	13,899	39,170	43,776

\*We excluded runoffs from totals.

TREND TABLE H NUMBER OF CANDIDATES FOR MAJOR COUNTY OFFICES BY YEAR

	Total Number of Candidates	Number of County Candidates	COUNTY SUPERVISOR CANDIDATES		CSD/CSA CANDIDATES	
			Number of Candidates	% of County Candidates	Number of Candidates	% of County Candidates
1995	2,354	0	0	0	*	*
1996	5,330	667	470	70	*	*
1997	2,476	23	19	83	*	*
1998	5,354	1,037	309	30	22	2
1999	2,274	135	5	4	109	81
2000	5,012	796	441	55	174	22
2001	2,505	189	0	0	186	98
2002	5,896	1,266	306	24	127	10
2003	2,086	205	10	5	175	85
2004	5,035	782	447	57	125	16
2005	2,546	167	4	2	155	93
2006	5,498	1,136	310	27	160	14
2007	2,021	207	10	5	161	78
2008	5,237	782	441	56	174	22
2009	2,066	143	0	0	141	99
2010	6,022	1,177	331	28	170	14
2011	1,602	138	6	4	103	75
2012	5,208	776	460	59	200	26
2013	1,688	152	11	7	138	91
2014	5,675	1,204	317	26	244	20
2015	1,321	114	5	4	94	82
2016	5,118	723	431	60	158	22
2017	763	78	0	0	78	100
2018	6,526	1,176	324	28	192	16
2019	304	43	14	33	15	35
2020	6,675	761	423	56	206	27
2021	203	22	5	23	15	68
Total	96,795	13,899	5,099	37	3,322	24

\*The California Elections Data Archive did not collect information on CSD/CSA candidates until 1998.

\*\*We excluded runoffs from totals.

TREND TABLE | PERCENT OF INCUMBENT CANDIDATES, AND PERCENT OF PREVAILING INCUMBENTS BY MAJOR OFFICE, JURISDICTION, AND YEAR

	PERCENT OF CANDIDATES WHO ARE INCUMBENTS				PERCENTAGE OF INCUMBENTS WHO WIN				PERCENTAGE OF WINNING CANDIDATES WHO ARE INCUMBENTS			
	% of All Candidates	% of County Supervisor Candidates	% of City Council Candidates	% of School District Candidates	% of All Candidates	% of County Supervisor Candidates	% of City Council Candidates	% of School District Candidates	% of All Candidates	% of County Supervisor Candidates	% of City Council Candidates	% of School District Candidates
1995	27	--	18	30	79	--	79	78	50	--	41	51
1996	27	24	23	28	79	75	74	78	48	51	41	47
1997	30	5	23	33	76	0	79	74	49	0	45	50
1998	32	30	26	32	86	87	82	83	57	63	48	53
1999	30	0	23	32	78	--	81	77	51	0	45	52
2000	30	30	27	32	79	90	80	74	52	73	51	49
2001	30	--	24	32	78	--	80	77	50	--	51	50
2002	34	34	27	36	82	81	79	79	57	63	50	56
2003	31	0	22	35	78	--	72	79	51	0	40	55
2004	33	28	28	37	81	81	81	76	55	59	51	57
2005	31	0	23	36	80	--	80	78	52	0	50	52
2006	35	29	29	36	82	90	78	78	56	68	51	55
2007	31	0	27	33	77	--	79	75	50	0	54	48
2008	34	30	30	38	76	86	80	70	56	61	55	54
2009	34	--	26	39	78	--	79	76	54	--	51	55
2010	35	28	29	39	82	83	82	79	59	61	56	59
2011	29	0	24	34	82	--	82	82	49	0	47	51
2012	27	28	25	30	74	78	74	71	43	58	41	43
2013	30	0	27	31	77	--	82	74	47	0	52	44
2014	35	31	30	38	77	89	77	71	54	64	51	51
2015	29	0	26	31	71	--	74	67	45	0	46	43
2016	32	31	28	34	74	82	75	68	49	63	47	46
2017	29	--	26	31	80	--	86	70	55	--	59	47
2018	34	30	27	36	76	84	72	69	52	60	45	49
2019	22	7	18	19	78	100	79	54	44	33	41	28
2020	30	83	25	32	71	83	73	64	47	60	45	44
2021	14	0	11	11	72	--	92	50	30	0	31	13
1995-2021	32	30	26	34	78	83	78	75	52	61	48	51

\*We excluded runoffs from totals.

---

2021 ELECTION SERIES SUMMARY:  
ELECTION OUTCOMES FOR  
COUNTY, CITY, AND SCHOOL DISTRICT  
BALLOT MEASURES, AND CANDIDATES

---

TABLE A SUMMARY OF OUTCOMES FOR ALL COUNTY, CITY, AND SCHOOL DISTRICT BALLOT MEASURES BY TYPE OF MEASURE, AND COUNTY, 2021

	TAXES		CHARTER AMENDMENT		INITIATIVE		RECALL		GANN LIMIT		ORDINANCE		ALL MEASURES		
	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	TOTAL
Calaveras	1	0											1	0	1
Contra Costa	1	0											1	0	1
El Dorado	1	1											1	1	2
Fresno	1	2											1	2	3
Imperial	1	0											1	0	1
Kern	1	0											1	0	1
Los Angeles	1	4	1	0			2	2			1	0	5	6	11
Marin	3	0											3	0	3
Placer	1	0											1	0	1
Plumas	1	0											1	0	1
Riverside	2	0	1	0					1	0	1	0	5	0	5
Sacramento	1	0											1	0	1
San Bernardino											0	1	0	1	1
San Mateo	2	0			1	0							3	0	3
Santa Barbara	1	0											1	0	1
Santa Clara	2	1											2	1	3
Santa Cruz			1	0									1	0	1
Sonoma	0	2					0	1			1	0	1	3	4
All Counties	20	10	3	0	1	0	2	3	1	0	3	1	30	14	44

TABLE B SUMMARY OF OUTCOMES FOR ALL COUNTY, CITY, AND SCHOOL DISTRICT BALLOT MEASURES BY TOPIC OF MEASURE AND COUNTY, 2021

	EDUCATION		LAND USE		SAFETY		GOVERNANCE		TRANSPORT		GENERAL SERVICES		REVENUE		ALL MEASURES		
	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	TOTAL
Calaveras									1	0					1	0	1
Contra Costa					1	0									1	0	1
El Dorado									1	1					1	1	2
Fresno					1	1					0	1			1	2	3
Imperial											1	0			1	0	1
Kern											1	0			1	0	1
Los Angeles	3	1			0	1	2	2			0	2			5	6	11
Marin	2	0									1	0			3	0	3
Placer					1	0									1	0	1
Plumas					1	0									1	0	1
Riverside			1	0			1	0			3	0			5	0	5
Sacramento					1	0									1	0	1
San Bernardino							0	1							0	1	1
San Mateo	2	0	1	0											3	0	3
Santa Barbara													1	0	1	0	1
Santa Clara	2	1													2	1	3
Santa Cruz											1	0			1	0	1
Sonoma	0	1			1	0	0	1			0	1			1	3	4
All Counties	9	3	2	0	6	2	3	4	2	1	7	4	1	0	30	14	44



TABLE C SUMMARY OF ELECTION OUTCOMES FOR ALL COUNTY, CITY, AND SCHOOL DISTRICT OFFICES, 2021

		COUNTY SUPERVISOR		CITY COUNCIL		SCHOOL BOARD		DIRECTOR, CSD <sup>1</sup>		OTHER COUNTY OFFICES		OTHER CITY OFFICES		TOTAL <sup>2,3</sup>	
		Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N
Incumbent Candidates	Win			91.7	11	50.0	2	50.0	3			71.4	5	72.4	21
	Lose			8.3	1	50.0	2	50.0	3			28.6	2	27.6	8
	Total			100.0	12	100.0	4	100.0	6			100.0	7	100.0	29
Non-Incumbent Candidates	Win	20.0	1	25.3	24	42.4	14	55.6	5	50.0	1	16.7	5	28.7	50
	Lose	80.0	4	74.7	71	57.6	19	44.4	4	50.0	1	83.3	25	71.3	124
	Total	100.0	5	100.0	95	100.0	33	100.0	9	100.0	2	100.0	30	100.0	174
Winning Candidates	Incumbent	0.0	0	31.4	11	12.5	2	37.5	3	0.0	0	50.0	5	29.6	21
	Non-Incumbent	100.0	1	68.6	24	87.5	14	62.5	5	100.0	1	50.0	5	70.4	50
	Total	100.0	1	100.0	35	100.0	16	100.0	8	100.0	1	100.0	10	100.0	71
Losing Candidates	Incumbent	0.0	0	1.4	1	9.5	2	42.9	3	0.0	0	7.4	2	6.1	8
	Non-Incumbent	100.0	4	98.6	71	90.5	19	57.1	4	100.0	1	92.6	25	93.9	124
	Total	100.0	4	100.0	72	100.0	21	100.0	7	100.0	1	100.0	27	100.0	132
All Candidates	Incumbent	0.0	0	11.2	12	10.8	4	40.0	6	0.0	0	18.9	7	14.3	29
	Non-Incumbent	100.0	5	88.8	95	89.2	33	60.0	9	100.0	2	81.1	30	85.7	174
	Total	100.0	5	100.0	107	100.0	37	100.0	15	100.0	2	100.0	37	100.0	203

<sup>1</sup>Includes Directors of Community Service Districts and County Service Areas.

<sup>2</sup>Percent may not sum to 100 due to rounding.

<sup>3</sup>We exclude runoffs from totals.

---

PART 1  
VOTE TOTALS, ELECTION OUTCOMES,  
AND TEXT FOR COUNTY BALLOT MEASURES

---

TABLE 1.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2021

COUNTY	DATE	MEASURE TITLE	TYPE OF MEASURE	TOPIC OF MEASURE	VOTE IN FAVOR	TOTAL VOTE	PERCENT OF VOTE	PASS OR FAIL
ALAMEDA	No County Measures							
ALPINE	No County Measures							
AMADOR	No County Measures							
BUTTE	No County Measures							
CALAVERAS	5/4/2021	Measure D	Property Tax	Transport: Roads	57	65	87.7%	Pass <sup>T</sup>
COLUSA	No County Measures							
CONTRA COSTA	5/4/2021	Measure K	Property Tax	Safety: Police	2,539	3,089	82.2%	Pass <sup>T</sup>
DEL NORTE	No County Measures							
EL DORADO	11/2/2021	Measure B	Property Tax	Transport: Roads	17	20	85.0%	Pass <sup>T</sup>
		Measure E	Property Tax	Transport: Roads	13	28	46.4%	Fail <sup>F</sup>
FRESNO	No County Measures							
GLENN	No County Measures							
HUMBOLDT	No County Measures							
IMPERIAL	No County Measures							
INYO	No County Measures							
KERN	No County Measures							
KINGS	No County Measures							
LAKE	No County Measures							
LASSEN	No County Measures							
LOS ANGELES	No County Measures							
MADERA	No County Measures							
MARIN	3/2/2021	Measure B	Property Tax	General Services	36	44	81.8%	Pass <sup>T</sup>
MARIPOSA	No County Measures							
MENDOCINO	No County Measures							
MERCED	No County Measures							
MODOC	No County Measures							
MONO	No County Measures							
MONTEREY	No County Measures							
NAPA	No County Measures							
NEVADA	No County Measures							
ORANGE	No County Measures							
PLACER	11/2/2021	Measure U	Miscellaneous Tax	Safety: Fire	109	125	87.2%	Pass <sup>T</sup>

<sup>T</sup> Indicates measure required two-thirds of the vote to pass. <sup>F</sup> Indicates measure required fifty-five percent of the vote to pass. All other county measures required a majority vote.

TABLE 1.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2021

COUNTY	DATE	MEASURE TITLE	TYPE OF MEASURE	TOPIC OF MEASURE	VOTE IN FAVOR	TOTAL VOTE	PERCENT OF VOTE	PASS OR FAIL
PLUMAS	11/2/2021	Measure B	Property Tax	Safety: Multiple Emergency Services	106	129	82.2%	Pass†
RIVERSIDE	No County Measures							
SACRAMENTO	No County Measures							
SAN BENITO	No County Measures							
SAN BERNARDINO	8/31/2021	Measure X	Ordinance	Governance: Incorporation/Formation/Annexation	1	14	7.1%	Fail
SAN DIEGO	No County Measures							
SAN FRANCISCO	No County Measures							
SAN JOAQUIN	No County Measures							
SAN LUIS OBISPO	No County Measures							
SAN MATEO	No County Measures							
SANTA BARBARA	No County Measures							
SANTA CLARA	No County Measures							
SANTA CRUZ	No County Measures							
SHASTA	No County Measures							
SIERRA	No County Measures							
SISKIYOU	No County Measures							
SOLANO	No County Measures							
SONOMA	3/2/2021	Measure B	Transient Occupancy Tax	General Services	10,454	16,759	62.4%	Fail†
STANISLAUS	No County Measures							
SUTTER	No County Measures							
TEHAMA	No County Measures							
TRINITY	No County Measures							
TULARE	No County Measures							
TUOLUMNE	No County Measures							
VENTURA	No County Measures							
YOLO	No County Measures							
YUBA	No County Measures							

## TABLE 1.2 TEXT FOR COUNTY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2021

CALAVERAS	5/4/2021	Measure D	Pass (2/3rds required)
Shall the measure, specifying that the Special Tax increase of \$100/parcel, adopted by voters on May 7, 2019 in the Appaloosa Zone of the ARCSO, apply, without expiration, to the 20 parcels on Pinto and Morgan roads which are currently exempt from the tax, raising an additional \$2,000 annually for road maintenance and thus increasing the District's appropriation limit commensurate with the revenue generated by this measure, be adopted?			
CONTRA COSTA	5/4/2021	Measure K	Pass (2/3rds required)
Shall County of Contra Costa Ordinance No. 2021-01 be approved to increase the existing parcel tax on County Service Area P-2 Zone B to annual maximums of \$67 per parcel for residential property and \$200 per parcel for commercial/industrial/institutional property, to provide additional funding for police protection services?			
EL DORADO	11/2/2021	Measure B	Pass (2/3rds required)
Shall an annual special tax in an amount of \$550.00 on each parcel of land within the Blanchard Road Zone of Benefit commencing fiscal year 2022/2023 and continuing for an unlimited duration, to be used only for road improvements and maintenance services and generating annual revenue of \$6,600, be adopted to replace the current benefit assessment of \$280.00?			
EL DORADO	11/2/2021	Measure E	Fail (2/3rds required)
Shall the measure authorizing the Board of Directors of the Marble Mountain Community Services District (MMCSO) to annually assess each parcel located within the MMCSO along Marble Ridge Road and Diablo Trail, but not within Road Improvement Zone "1", a special tax in an amount up to, but not exceeding the amount of \$400 annually until rescinded, per parcel, to be expended for the maintenance and improvement of Marble Ridge Road and Diablo Trail be adopted? The maximum revenue to MMCSO would be \$10,000 per year.			
MARIN	3/2/2021	Measure B	Pass (2/3rds required)
Shall Ridgewood Avenue Permanent Road Division impose an immediate special tax on parcels within the Division of \$1,620 per parcel per year for 10 years beginning Fiscal Year 2021-2022 and thereafter assess on all parcels \$200 per year for ongoing maintenance, with a provision for an annual increase effective July 1 in accordance with the change in the State of California Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers for the previous calendar year?			
PLACER	11/2/2021	Measure U	Pass (2/3rds required)
To improve local wildfire prevention by removing dry brush, dead trees, and fire hazards, improving evacuation routes, adding firebreaks to prevent the spread of wildfire, and providing homeowners with easy, inexpensive green waste disposal options, shall the Northstar Community Services District measure levying up to \$219 per year for 10 years be adopted, providing \$450,000 annually in locally-controlled funding to the Northstar Fire Department for fire protection, with annual adjustments, exemptions for low-income residents, and citizen oversight?			
PLUMAS	11/2/2021	Measure B	Pass (2/3rds required)
Shall the Long Valley Community Services District of Plumas County be authorized to adopt an increase in the District's existing special tax for the sole purpose of funding the costs of providing fire protection and prevention, rescue services and emergency medical first response to the residents of the District, which increase shall be levied annually at a rate of \$170 for each taxable parcel of real property within the Long Valley Community Services District, and which will increase annually by an amount not to exceed 2%, with the annual proceeds from said increased tax in the estimated amount of \$ 39,780 to be used solely for purposes stated herein and as authorized by state and county laws governing the Long Valley Community Services District, subject to the following: (1) the proposed special tax increase will become effective beginning fiscal year 2022-23 and (2) the proposed increased special tax will be levied and collected in the same manner as Plumas County property taxes, with the proceeds to be deposited into a special account for the benefit of the District with the Plumas County Auditor's office; and (3) the proposed special tax increase officer for the District detailing the amounts of tax proceeds collected and expended as required by Government Code Sections 50075.1 and 50075.3; and (4) the duration of the proposed increase in the special tax shall be indefinite until modified or repealed?			
SAN BERNARDINO	8/31/2021	Measure X	Fail
Shall the order adopted on January 20, 2021, by the Local Agency Formation Commission for San Bernardino County ordering a reorganization affecting Town of Apple Valley and County Service Area 70 and providing for the annexation to the Town of Apple Valley and detachment from County Service Area 70 of the territory described in that order and designated as LAFCO 3244, be confirmed subject to the terms and conditions specified in the order?			

## TABLE 1.2 TEXT FOR COUNTY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2021

SONOMA	3/2/2021	Measure B	Fail (2/3rds required)
--------	----------	-----------	------------------------

To address tourism impacts on West County communities and paid only by guests, shall the measure be adopted to add an additional 4% transient occupancy tax in West County for hotels and short-term rentals, to support sustainable paramedic emergency medical and rescue services provided by local fire agencies, and to support West County schools and education, raising approximately \$2.7 million annually, with all funds exclusively used for West County, effective until amended/repealed?

TABLE 1.3 SUMMARY OF ELECTION OUTCOMES FOR COUNTY  
BALLOT MEASURES BY TYPE OF MEASURE, AND COUNTY, 2021

	TAXES		ORDINANCE		ALL MEASURES		
	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	TOTAL
Calaveras	1	0			1	0	1
Contra Costa	1	0			1	0	1
El Dorado	1	1			1	1	2
Marin	1	0			1	0	1
Placer	1	0			1	0	1
Plumas	1	0			1	0	1
San Bernardino			0	1	0	1	1
Sonoma	0	1			0	1	1
All Counties	6	2	0	1	6	3	9

TABLE 1.4 SUMMARY OF ELECTION OUTCOMES FOR COUNTY BALLOT MEASURES BY TOPIC OF MEASURE, AND COUNTY, 2021

	SAFETY		GOVERNANCE		TRANSPORT		GENERAL SERVICES		ALL MEASURES		
	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	TOTAL
Calaveras					1	0			1	0	1
Contra Costa	1	0							1	0	1
El Dorado					1	1			1	1	2
Marin							1	0	1	0	1
Placer	1	0							1	0	1
Plumas	1	0							1	0	1
San Bernardino			0	1					0	1	1
Sonoma							0	1	0	1	1
All Counties	3	0	0	1	2	1	1	1	6	3	9



---

---

PART 2  
VOTE TOTALS FOR  
COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES

---

---

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2021

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DISTRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT OF DESIGNATION	IN- CUM- BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CANDIDATES	TOTAL VOTES CAST <sup>1</sup>	PERCENT OF VOTE	ELEC- TED
ALAMEDA		No County Contests											
ALPINE		No County Contests											
AMADOR		No County Contests											
BUTTE		No County Contests											
CALAVERAS		No County Contests											
COLUSA		No County Contests											
CONTRA COSTA		No County Contests											
DEL NORTE		No County Contests											
EL DORADO		No County Contests											
FRESNO		No County Contests											
GLENN		No County Contests											
HUMBOLDT		No County Contests											
IMPERIAL	11/2/2021	DIRECTOR, Salton CSD		Full	Ramos	Manuel H.	Retired National Guard	No	8	178	919	19.4%	Yes
					Sierra	Lidia Armida	Social Worker	No	8	172	919	18.7%	Yes
					Robles	Rogelio Flores	Communications Technician	No	8	158	919	17.2%	Yes
					Ramirez	Oscar	Construction Worker	No	8	107	919	11.6%	No
					Friese	Mike	Retired Electrical Engineer	No	8	85	919	9.2%	No
					Quiroz	Barbara M.	Incumbent	Yes	8	78	919	8.5%	No
					Klontz, Jr.	Walter Chris	Incumbent	Yes	8	76	919	8.3%	No
					Connelly	John	Appointed Incumbent	Yes	8	65	919	7.1%	No
INYO		No County Contests											
KERN		No County Contests											
KINGS		No County Contests											
LAKE		No County Contests											
LASSEN		No County Contests											
LOS ANGELES		No County Contests											
MADERA		No County Contests											
MARIN		No County Contests											
MARIPOSA		No County Contests											
MENDOCINO		No County Contests											
MERCED		No County Contests											

<sup>1</sup>Write-in candidate votes, when reported by the county, have been included in the total votes cast. For these contests, the sum of the candidate votes is less than the total votes cast.

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2021

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DISTRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT OF DESIGNATION	IN- CUM- BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CANDIDATES	TOTAL VOTES CAST <sup>1</sup>	PERCENT OF VOTE	ELEC- TED
MODOC		No County Contests											
MONO		No County Contests											
MONTEREY		No County Contests											
NAPA		No County Contests											
NEVADA		No County Contests											
ORANGE	3/9/2021	COUNTY SUPERVISOR		2 Short	Foley	Katrina	Mayor/Orange County Businessowner	No	5	48,346	110,447	43.8%	Yes
					Moorlach	John M. W.	California State Senator	No	5	34,747	110,447	31.5%	No
					Muldoon	Kevin	Councilman/Small Businessman	No	5	12,773	110,447	11.6%	No
					Vo	Michael	Mayor/Business Owner	No	5	9,886	110,447	9.0%	No
					Rappaport	Janet	Tax Attorney	No	5	4,695	110,447	4.3%	No
PLACER		No County Contests											
PLUMAS		No County Contests											
RIVERSIDE		No County Contests											
SACRAMENTO		No County Contests											
SAN BENITO		No County Contests											
SAN BERNARDINO		No County Contests											
SAN DIEGO		No County Contests											
SAN FRANCISCO		No County Contests											
SAN JOAQUIN		No County Contests											
SAN LUIS OBISPO		No County Contests											
SAN MATEO		No County Contests											
SANTA BARBARA		No County Contests											
SANTA CLARA		No County Contests											
SANTA CRUZ		No County Contests											
SHASTA		No County Contests											
SIERRA		No County Contests											
SISKIYOU	11/2/2021	DIRECTOR, Hornbrook CSD		Full	Puckett, Sr.	Robert D.	Incumbent	Yes	4	58	185	31.4%	Yes
					Schauffler	Bryant	Teacher	No	4	51	185	27.6%	Yes
					Scheimer	Randy	No Ballot Designation	No	4	20	185	10.8%	Yes
					Stillman	Billie Starr	Grandmother/Student	No	4	19	185	10.3%	No

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2021

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DISTRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT OF DESIGNATION	IN- CUM- BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CANDIDATES	TOTAL VOTES CAST <sup>1</sup>	PERCENT OF VOTE	ELEC- TED
SOLANO	No County Contests												
SONOMA	9/14/2021	DISTRICT ATTORNEY <sup>R</sup>	1	Short	Figueroa	Omar	Write-in Candidate	No	2	1,514	1,972	76.8%	Yes
					Castagnola	Joe	Write-in Candidate	No	2	458	1,972	23.2%	No
	11/2/2021	DIRECTOR, Occidental CSD	Full	Gonnella	John	No Ballot Designation	Yes	3	458	1,098	41.7%	Yes	
				Martin	Chris	No Ballot Designation	Yes	3	367	1,098	33.4%	Yes	
				Gaffney, IV	Eugene M.	No Ballot Designation	No	3	273	1,098	24.9%	No	
STANISLAUS	No County Contests												
SUTTER	No County Contests												
TEHAMA	No County Contests												
TRINITY	No County Contests												
TULARE	No County Contests												
TUOLUMNE	No County Contests												
VENTURA	No County Contests												
YOLO	No County Contests												
YUBA	No County Contests												

<sup>R</sup> Candidate to be elected if recall measure passes.

Table 2.2 Summary of Election Outcomes for County Offices, 2021

		COUNTY SUPERVISOR		DIRECTOR, CSD		DISTRICT ATTORNEY		TOTAL <sup>1,2</sup>	
		Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N
Incumbent Candidates	Win			50.0	3			50.0	3
	Lose			50.0	3			50.0	3
	<b>Total</b>			<b>100.0</b>	<b>6</b>			<b>100.0</b>	<b>6</b>
Non-Incumbent Candidates	Win	20.0	1	44.4	4	50.0	1	43.8	7
	Lose	80.0	4	55.6	5	50.0	1	56.3	9
	<b>Total</b>	<b>100.0</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>100.0</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>100.0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>100.0</b>	<b>16</b>
Winning Candidates	Incumbent	0.0	0	37.5	3	0.0	0	30.0	3
	Non-Incumbent	100.0	1	62.5	5	100.0	1	70.0	7
	<b>Total</b>	<b>100.0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>100.0</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>100.0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>100.0</b>	<b>10</b>
Losing Candidates	Incumbent	0.0	0	42.9	3	0.0	0	25.0	3
	Non-Incumbent	100.0	4	57.1	4	100.0	1	75.0	9
	<b>Total</b>	<b>100.0</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>100.0</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>100.0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>100.0</b>	<b>12</b>
All Candidates	Incumbent	0.0	0	40.0	6	0.0	0	27.3	6
	Non-incumbent	100.0	5	60.0	9	100.0	2	72.7	16
	<b>Total</b>	<b>100.0</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>100.0</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>100.0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>100.0</b>	<b>22</b>

<sup>1</sup>We exclude runoffs from totals.

<sup>2</sup>Percent may not sum to 100 due to rounding.