



**School District Offices
and Ballot Measures**

**CALIFORNIA COUNTY, CITY, AND
SCHOOL DISTRICT ELECTION OUTCOMES:**

**CANDIDATES AND BALLOT MEASURES
2023 ELECTIONS**

California State University, Sacramento
Institute for Social Research & The Center for California Studies

CALIFORNIA COUNTY, CITY, AND SCHOOL DISTRICT
ELECTION OUTCOMES:
CANDIDATES AND BALLOT MEASURES, 2023 ELECTIONS

SCHOOL DISTRICT OFFICES AND BALLOT MEASURES

Leonor Ehling, M.P.P.
Executive Director, Center for California Studies

Shannon A. Williams, Ph.D.
Executive Director, Institute for Social Research

Valory Messier, M.A.
Research Specialist, Institute for Social Research

Sebastian Cambrey, M.A.
Research Assistant, Institute for Social Research

Center for California Studies
California State University, Sacramento
6000 J Street, Sacramento, CA 95819
(916) 278-6906 FAX: (916) 278-5199

Institute for Social Research
California State University, Sacramento
304 S Street, Sacramento, CA 95811
(916) 278-4317 FAX: (916) 278-5150



Acknowledgements

The researchers would like to sincerely thank the county elections officials and staff throughout the State of California who took time to provide data to the project. Additionally, we are grateful to the Secretary of State's Office for its continuing support and interest in this project. Without their assistance, the completion of these yearly reviews and the California Elections Data Archive would not be possible.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Introduction.....	i
2023 County, City and School District Election Dates by County	iii
Trend Table A Number of Ballot Measures, Percent of Total Measures, and Percent Passing by Type, Jurisdiction and Year.....	iv
Trend Table B Number of Ballot Measures, Percent of Total Measures, and Percent Passing by Topic, Jurisdiction and Year.....	ix
Trend Table C Community Service District and County Service Area Measures by County	xiv
Trend Table D Number of Community Service District and County Service Area Measures, Percent of Total County Measures, and Percent Passing by Type and Year	xx
Trend Table E Comparison of Pass Rates for County-Wide and Community Service District/County Service Area Tax Measures by Year	xxi
Trend Table F Number of Community Service District and County Service Area Measures, Percent of Total County Measures, and Percent Passing by Topic and Year.....	xxii
Trend Table G Number of Candidates by Jurisdiction and Year.....	xxiii
Trend Table H Number of Candidates for Major County Offices by Year.....	xxiv
Trend Table I Percent of Incumbent Candidates and Percent of Prevailing Incumbents by Major Office, Jurisdiction and Year.....	xxv
2023 Election Series Summary: Election Outcomes for County, City, and School District Ballot Measures and Candidates.....	1
Table A Summary of Outcomes for All County, City, and School District Ballot Measures by Type of Measure and County, 2023.....	2
Table B Summary of Outcomes for All County, City and School District Ballot Measures by Topic of Measure and County, 2023.....	3
Table C Summary of Election Outcomes for All County, City, and School District Offices, 2023.....	4
Part 1 Vote Totals, Election Outcomes, and Text for School District Ballot Measures.....	5
Table 1.1 Vote Totals for School District Ballot Measures by County, 2023.....	6
Table 1.2 Text for School District Ballot Measures by County, 2023.....	8
Table 1.3 Summary of Election Outcomes for School District Ballot Measures by Type of Measure and County, 2023.....	9
Part 2 Vote Totals for School District Office Candidates.....	10
Table 2.1 Vote Totals for School District Office Candidates by County and Election Date, 2023	11
Table 2.2 Summary of Election Outcomes for School District Offices, 2023	15

CALIFORNIA ELECTIONS DATA ARCHIVE

INTRODUCTION

The California Elections Data Archive (CEDA) is a joint project of the Center for California Studies, and the Institute for Social Research (ISR), at the California State University, Sacramento, and the office of the California Secretary of State. The purpose of CEDA is to provide researchers, citizens, public agencies, and other interested parties with a single repository of local election data. With over 6,000 local jurisdictions in California, the task of monitoring local elections is nearly impossible for individuals. CEDA addresses this problem through the creation of a single, cost-effective, and easily accessible source of local election data. CEDA includes candidate, and ballot measure results for county, city, community college, and school district elections throughout the State. CEDA thus represents the only comprehensive repository of local election results in California and one of a very few such databases on local elections in the U.S.

How the CEDA Data is Collected and Reported

ISR staff collects election data periodically throughout each calendar year. This enables CEDA to incorporate results from special elections as well as all regularly scheduled elections. ISR staff enters election results from counties, cities, community colleges, and school districts into the CEDA database and then uses this database to generate three standard CEDA reports. These reports include:

- County Elections: Candidates, ballot designations, and vote totals for all elected county offices; vote totals and text for county ballot measures.
- City Elections: Candidates, ballot designations, and vote totals for all elected city offices; vote totals, and text for all city ballot measures.
- Community College and School District Elections: Candidates, ballot designations, and vote totals for all elective community college and school district offices; vote totals and text for all district ballot measures.

ISR staff codes ballot measures for all jurisdictions according to type (e.g., charter amendment, taxes, bond measure, initiative, etc.) and to topic (e.g., education, public safety, governance, etc.).

THE CEDA PARTNERSHIP

THE CENTER FOR CALIFORNIA STUDIES

Located at California State University, Sacramento, the Center for California Studies is a public policy, public service, and curricular support unit of the California State University. The Center's location in the state Capital and its ability to draw upon the resources of the entire State University system give it a unique capacity for making contributions to public policy development, and the public life of California. Center programs cover four broad areas: administration of the nationally known Assembly, Senate, Executive, and Judicial Administration Fellowship Programs; university-state government liaison and applied policy research; civic education and community service through forums, conferences, and issue dialogues; and curricular support activity in the interdisciplinary field of California Studies.

INSTITUTE FOR SOCIAL RESEARCH

Established in 1989, the Institute for Social Research (ISR) at California State University, Sacramento (CSUS) is a multidisciplinary institute that is committed to advancing the understanding of the social world through applied research. The Institute offers research expertise and technical assistance serving as a resource to agencies, organizations, the University, and the broader community. Utilizing quantitative and qualitative methods, ISR produces various types of assessments, program and policy evaluations, survey research, workload studies, and specialized analyses. Services include research design, sampling design, data collection and coding, computer-assisted telephone and field interviewing, mailed and online surveys, focus groups, database management, and statistical analysis. ISR has completed hundreds of projects with more than 50 federal, state and community agencies, private firms, and many academic units. Faculty affiliates of the Institute offer specific content expertise in a wide variety of disciplines, including the social sciences, health and human services, and education.

CALIFORNIA SECRETARY OF STATE

Among their other duties, the Secretary of State acts as California's chief elections officer with the responsibility of administering the provisions of the Elections Code. The Secretary must compile state election returns, and issue certificates of election to winning candidates; compile the returns and certify the results of initiative and referendum elections; certify acts delayed by referendum, and prepare and file a statement of vote. Recent legislation permits but does not mandate that the Secretary of State compile local election results.

2023 County, City, and School District Election Dates by County

	1/31	3/7	4/4	4/11	4/18	5/2	5/9	5/23	6/27	7/11	7/25	8/15	8/29	9/12	9/19	10/3	10/24	11/7	11/14	12/19
Alameda																		●		
Contra Costa		●																		
El Dorado										●									●	
Fresno																			●	
Los Angeles	●	●	●	●		●	●	●	●		●								●	
Marin		●											●							
Mendocino																			●	
Monterey					●	●							●							
Napa		●																		
Orange	●															●			●	
Plumas																			●	
Riverside																			●	
Sacramento																			●	
San Diego												●			●				●	
San Joaquin		●																		●
San Luis Obispo					●															
San Mateo						●														
Santa Clara																			●	
Santa Cruz																	●			
Shasta																			●	
Siskiyou																			●	
Solano				●										●						●
Stanislaus		●																		
Ventura		●																		
Yolo						●													●	

TREND TABLE A NUMBER OF BALLOT MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TYPE, JURISDICTION, AND YEAR

	ALL MEASURES			BONDS			TAXES			ORDINANCE			RECALLS			INITIATIVES			CHARTER AMENDMENT		
	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing
All Measures																					
1995-2023	391	100	67	103	26	70	129	33	63	77	20	63	12	3	73	9	2	46	44	11	78
Even Years	621	100	68	174	28	72	200	32	63	125	20	63	14	2	73	14	2	47	69	11	78
Odd Years	176	100	65	37	21	61	62	35	65	33	19	62	11	6	73	4	2	42	21	12	78
County																					
1995-2023	62	16	59	2	3	72	28	45	50	17	28	65	2	3	76	2	4	39	6	10	69
Even Years	102	16	57	3	3	70	47	45	48	28	28	64	2	2	61	4	4	38	12	11	68
Odd Years	24	14	68	1	5	78	10	42	58	7	27	71	2	8	89	1	3	50	2	7	72
City																					
1995-2023	198	51	67	4	2	60	78	39	67	55	28	60	6	3	72	7	3	48	37	19	79
Even Years	314	51	68	7	2	63	126	40	68	90	29	61	8	3	72	10	3	52	57	18	79
Odd Years	90	51	65	2	2	52	33	37	66	23	26	57	4	4	72	4	4	39	19	22	78
School District																					
1995-2023	131	34	70	97	74	71	23	18	67	5	4	81	5	4	72	0	0	50	0	0	100
Even Years	204	33	72	164	80	73	28	14	67	6	3	83	4	2	80	0	0	0	0	0	100
Odd Years	62	35	64	34	54	61	19	31	66	4	6	77	5	8	67	0	0	100			

TREND TABLE A NUMBER OF BALLOT MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TYPE, JURISDICTION, AND YEAR (CONTINUED)

	ALL MEASURES			BONDS			TAXES			ORDINANCE			RECALLS			INITIATIVES			CHARTER AMENDMENT			
	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	
All Measures	1995	283	100	37	91	36	47	26	10	35	46	18	61	8	3	88	8	3	50	55	22	93
	1996	573	100	57	64	11	59	142	25	40	176	31	58	32	6	72	18	3	39	115	20	73
	1997	342	100	60	127	37	59	100	29	56	45	13	69	29	8	38	7	2	71	31	9	81
	1998	572	100	61	144	25	58	162	28	48	115	20	58	19	3	74	9	2	56	94	16	77
	1999	283	100	60	107	38	59	54	19	57	68	24	57	14	5	71	10	4	40	20	7	50
	2000	559	100	58	135	24	60	122	22	39	154	28	58	11	2	100	21	4	67	79	14	67
	2001	233	100	70	73	31	75	68	29	72	33	14	58	21	9	71	1	0	100	25	11	60
	2002	657	100	68	245	37	76	155	24	54	136	21	54	8	1	63	10	2	40	77	12	77
	2003	178	100	63	22	12	55	62	35	48	47	26	70	9	5	89	5	3	40	24	13	75
	2004	712	100	63	179	25	75	258	36	47	144	20	64	11	2	73	14	2	29	72	10	79
	2005	295	100	64	57	19	74	111	38	58	59	20	54	11	4	82	7	2	43	35	12	89
	2006	556	100	62	185	33	59	142	26	56	123	22	63	17	3	29	22	4	36	39	7	82
	2007	179	100	72	22	12	55	61	34	74	40	22	58	13	7	100	1	1	0	38	21	79
	2008	593	100	75	201	34	82	188	32	67	123	21	65	12	2	58	11	2	91	39	7	90
	2009	193	100	63	6	3	33	99	51	67	35	18	63	13	7	69	3	2	33	20	10	60
	2010	482	100	67	97	20	70	164	34	60	117	24	67	27	6	78	11	2	55	50	10	76
	2011	172	100	72	10	6	80	75	44	67	29	17	72	16	9	75	2	1	0	31	18	81
	2012	530	100	72	156	29	81	178	34	69	112	21	62	14	3	93	2	0	50	51	10	63
	2013	137	100	72	11	8	73	65	47	78	34	25	50	8	6	88	4	3	100	11	8	73
	2014	577	100	73	193	33	81	175	30	69	81	14	72	7	1	57	14	2	57	72	12	76
	2015	120	100	74	13	11	77	47	39	79	20	17	75	14	12	100	9	8	22	11	9	64
	2016	872	100	79	294	34	91	279	32	73	138	16	72	10	1	90	37	4	41	86	10	83
	2017	113	100	63	8	7	38	73	65	64	20	18	65	1	1	100	3	3	0	7	6	86
2018	704	100	80	182	26	81	329	47	84	98	14	66	9	1	89	11	2	36	58	8	93	
2019	67	100	79	3	4	100	43	64	88	15	22	67				4	6	0	1	1	100	
2020	722	100	63	223	31	49	283	39	68	107	15	66	11	2	73	15	2	53	64	9	81	
2021	44	100	68				30	68	67	4	9	75	5	11	40	1	2	100	3	7	100	
2022	580	100	70	140	24	71	227	39	71	123	21	62	7	1	86	6	1	17	67	12	81	
2023	32	100	75	1	3	100	19	59	79	5	16	40	2	6	50				5	16	100	

TREND TABLE A NUMBER OF BALLOT MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TYPE, JURISDICTION, AND YEAR (CONTINUED)

	ALL MEASURES			BONDS			TAXES			ORDINANCE			RECALLS			INITIATIVES			CHARTER AMENDMENT			
	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	
City Measures	1995	119	47	71	4	3	75	7	6	29	38	32	58				7	6	43	49	41	94
	1996	374	65	60	10	3	30	100	27	43	115	31	59	24	6	79	11	3	55	98	26	78
	1997	144	42	58	2	1	50	70	49	50	28	19	54	9	6	22	7	5	71	27	19	89
	1998	283	49	60	9	3	78	99	35	47	78	28	53	7	2	43	5	2	80	69	24	77
	1999	114	40	54	4	4	75	22	19	55	48	42	48	8	7	100	10	9	40	16	14	38
	2000	297	53	60	11	4	82	65	22	45	113	38	56	6	2	100	13	4	54	71	24	70
	2001	93	40	69	8	9	63	31	33	74	18	19	61	3	3	100	1	1	100	24	26	63
	2002	309	47	60	12	4	83	102	33	58	94	30	48	5	2	60	8	3	38	70	23	77
	2003	89	50	67	2	2	50	14	16	71	29	33	55	6	7	100	5	6	40	24	27	75
	2004	337	47	59	7	2	43	147	44	46	92	27	63	6	2	67	10	3	30	54	16	87
	2005	135	46	61	2	1	0	47	35	55	37	27	51	3	2	33	4	3	25	33	24	91
	2006	253	46	64	10	4	50	82	32	70	85	34	61	6	2	17	20	8	35	33	13	82
	2007	108	60	71	2	2	0	40	37	73	19	18	53	5	5	100	1	1	0	38	35	79
	2008	258	44	73	5	2	100	111	43	71	80	31	65	8	3	38	9	3	89	35	14	89
	2009	130	67	61	1	1	0	63	48	68	28	22	61	3	2	33	3	2	33	18	14	56
	2010	270	56	71	2	1	0	95	35	69	91	34	67	16	6	94	8	3	63	44	16	80
	2011	105	61	74				37	35	65	23	22	70	10	10	100	2	2	0	28	27	82
	2012	248	47	67	5	2	20	93	38	72	83	33	58	12	5	92				41	17	61
	2013	90	66	68				44	49	77	30	33	53	3	3	67	1	1	100	10	11	70
	2014	285	49	71	5	2	100	121	42	72	60	21	70	5	2	60	6	2	67	60	21	73
	2015	54	45	70	2	4	0	23	43	87	12	22	67				5	9	40	10	19	60
	2016	393	45	77	9	2	67	174	44	79	96	24	74	1	0	100	25	6	48	69	18	88
	2017	81	72	65	2	2	50	50	62	66	17	21	65	1	1	100	3	4	0	7	9	86
2018	391	56	80	9	2	56	224	57	86	84	21	63	5	1	80	9	2	44	46	12	96	
2019	41	61	76	1	2	100	24	59	92	12	29	67				4	10	100				
2020	369	51	71	2	1	50	194	53	73	88	24	63	9	2	67	12	3	67	51	14	80	
2021	24	55	67				12	50	58	2	8	100	5	21	40	1	4	100	3	13	100	
2022	327	56	72	3	1	67	155	47	77	102	31	60	3	1	67	3	1	33	54	17	81	
2023	18	56	61				7	39	57	4	22	25	2	11	50				5	28	100	

TREND TABLE B NUMBER OF BALLOT MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TOPIC, JURISDICTION, AND YEAR

	ALL MEASURES			EDUCATION			GOVERNANCE			LAND USE			PUBLIC SAFETY			PUBLIC FACILITIES			GENERAL SERVICES			TRANSPORTATION			REVENUE		
	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing
All Measures																											
1995-2023	391	100	67	131	34	70	82	21	71	32	8	55	23	6	59	16	4	57	25	6	76	11	3	53	52	13	69
Even Years	621	100	68	205	33	72	128	21	70	51	8	56	37	6	59	26	4	56	42	7	78	18	3	54	82	13	66
Odd Years	176	100	65	62	35	64	39	22	74	14	8	50	11	6	59	7	4	62	8	5	63	5	3	51	23	13	75
County																											
1995-2023	62	16	59	1	1	75	16	25	70	7	11	48	8	13	50	6	9	55	5	7	69	7	12	56	8	12	54
Even Years	102	16	57	1	1	67	25	24	68	12	11	49	13	13	47	9	9	49	7	7	69	12	12	53	13	13	53
Odd Years	24	14	68	0	1	100	7	30	79	2	8	41	3	14	59	3	11	74	2	10	69	3	12	64	2	9	56
City																											
1995-2023	198	51	67	1	1	71	65	33	71	25	13	56	15	8	63	10	5	59	20	10	77	4	2	49	44	22	71
Even Years	314	51	68	2	1	76	102	33	71	39	12	58	24	8	65	16	5	59	35	11	80	6	2	56	68	22	69
Odd Years	90	51	65	1	1	56	31	34	73	13	14	51	8	8	58	4	5	57	6	7	62	2	2	28	21	24	78
School District																											
1995-2023	131	34	70	130	99	70	1	1	78							0	0	0	0	0	0				0	0	60
Even Years	204	33	72	203	99	72	1	0	77										0	0	0				0	0	60
Odd Years	62	35	64	61	98	64	1	1	79							0	0	0									

TREND TABLE B NUMBER OF BALLOT MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TOPIC, JURISDICTION, AND YEAR (CONTINUED)

	ALL MEASURES			EDUCATION			GOVERNANCE			LAND USE			PUBLIC SAFETY			PUBLIC FACILITIES			GENERAL SERVICES			TRANSPORTATION			REVENUE			
	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	
All Measures	1995	253	100	61	121	48	54	63	25	84	16	6	63	12	5	50	14	6	50				2	1	0	5	2	60
	1996	573	100	57	87	15	64	214	37	66	54	9	56	39	7	51	38	7	37	10	2	40	8	1	50	87	15	46
	1997	342	100	60	175	51	62	43	13	67	19	6	68	12	4	42	15	4	40	38	11	61	4	1	50	10	3	70
	1998	572	100	60	158	28	63	131	23	64	46	8	70	41	7	49	32	6	56	28	5	82	23	4	70	75	13	43
	1999	283	100	59	119	42	59	62	22	63	29	10	41	14	5	57	4	1	75	14	5	57	8	3	88	23	8	65
	2000	559	100	59	151	27	63	141	25	64	73	13	55	32	6	50	39	7	67	20	4	55	21	4	43	5	1	20
	2001	233	100	70	105	45	71	46	20	67	7	3	71	11	5	73	19	8	58	7	3	71	4	2	25	31	13	87
	2002	657	100	65	250	38	76	144	22	66	44	7	43	42	6	57	35	5	49	20	3	60	10	2	40	85	13	62
	2003	178	100	62	61	34	52	52	29	73	15	8	60	12	7	50	5	3	60	6	3	100	8	4	38	13	7	62
	2004	712	100	62	238	33	72	139	20	73	58	8	52	55	8	47	37	5	38	23	3	70	25	4	76	110	15	47
	2005	295	100	64	102	35	70	61	21	70	28	9	39	18	6	44	14	5	64	18	6	67	13	4	62	33	11	70
	2006	556	100	60	208	37	58	109	20	60	51	9	61	37	7	73	22	4	41	12	2	58	22	4	50	61	11	62
	2007	179	100	71	42	23	67	63	35	81	18	10	39	5	3	100	8	4	88	7	4	86	4	2	25	31	17	68
	2008	593	100	74	246	41	80	99	17	74	43	7	72	39	7	49	32	5	66	10	2	80	14	2	50	92	16	77
	2009	193	100	63	47	24	66	42	22	64	17	9	47	10	5	60	7	4	86	8	4	25	2	1	0	56	29	71
	2010	482	100	66	149	31	64	138	29	74	30	6	47	27	6	67	12	2	75	9	2	56	7	1	71	95	20	65
	2011	172	100	72	48	28	65	59	34	81	8	5	75	9	5	56	5	3	100	4	2	50	2	1	50	34	20	74
	2012	530	100	72	209	39	82	98	18	71	23	4	57	13	2	38	23	4	57	11	2	73	12	2	50	99	19	79
	2013	137	100	72	35	26	80	28	20	71	14	10	50	9	7	67				4	3	50	4	3	50	39	28	79
	2014	577	100	73	208	36	83	114	20	75	45	8	60	33	6	70	20	3	85	13	2	85	19	3	47	104	18	64
2015	120	100	74	32	27	94	23	19	83	17	14	35	8	7	50	5	4	60	2	2	100	3	3	33	21	18	86	
2016	872	100	79	331	38	90	116	13	78	99	11	61	53	6	70	30	3	50	26	3	54	29	3	48	150	17	85	
2017	113	100	63	18	16	56	13	12	85	13	12	54	15	13	40	5	4	60	6	5	67	4	4	25	36	32	81	
2018	704	100	80	206	29	83	114	16	80	55	8	53	35	5	74	13	2	62	123	17	92	24	3	79	102	14	87	
2019	67	100	81	10	15	80	9	13	89	8	12	38	13	19	92	2	3	50	1	1	100	3	4	100	17	25	94	
2020	722	100	63	272	38	52	107	15	79	54	7	52	42	6	60	8	1	75	162	22	80	12	2	33	44	6	64	
2021	44	100	68	12	27	75	7	16	43	2	5	100	8	18	75				11	25	64	3	7	67	1	2	100	
2022	580	100	70	164	28	73	118	20	72	38	7	42	30	5	60	20	3	70	126	22	82	23	4	35	32	6	66	
2023	32	100	75	7	22	100	8	25	88	4	13	25	6	19	83							5	16	60	1	3	100	

TREND TABLE B NUMBER OF BALLOT MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TOPIC, JURISDICTION, AND YEAR
(CONTINUED)

		ALL MEASURES			EDUCATION			GOVERNANCE			LAND USE			PUBLIC SAFETY			PUBLIC FACILITIES			GENERAL SERVICES			TRANSPORTATION			REVENUE		
		Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing
County Measures	1995	17	7	53				7	41	71	3	18	33				3	18	67				1	6	0	3	18	33
	1996	114	20	44	1	1	100	44	39	59	12	11	33	8	7	38	16	14	13	1	1	100	4	4	75	16	14	31
	1997	24	7	63	1	4	100	5	21	60	3	13	100	2	8	0	5	21	40	5	21	80	1	4	100	1	4	0
	1998	125	22	59				25	20	76	13	10	62	14	11	36	12	10	33	18	14	72	16	13	75	12	10	25
	1999	38	13	63				5	13	80				3	8	33	3	8	67	7	18	29	8	21	88	7	18	86
	2000	116	21	49	1	1	100	22	19	64	17	15	35	14	12	36	16	14	44	8	7	63	16	14	44	3	3	33
	2001	37	16	73	2	5	100	12	32	58	1	3	100	7	19	100	6	16	67	4	11	75	1	3	0	4	11	75
	2002	98	15	56				34	35	71	7	7	71	15	15	33	11	11	36	7	7	57	5	5	40	12	12	67
	2003	28	16	64				10	36	90				5	18	40				2	7	100	2	7	50	6	21	17
	2004	140	20	54	3	2	33	32	23	66	14	10	14	22	16	50	13	9	54	4	3	50	21	15	76	17	12	41
	2005	57	19	63				12	21	67	6	11	33	6	11	33	8	14	75	9	16	78	9	16	78	4	7	50
	2006	95	17	52				28	29	54	10	11	70	11	12	55	8	8	38	2	2	50	15	16	40	12	13	33
	2007	29	16	76				14	48	93	5	17	0				5	17	100	2	7	100	2	7	50			
	2008	90	15	62	1	1	100	25	28	76	7	8	86	14	16	43	14	16	50	4	4	75	7	8	57	11	12	64
	2009	16	8	69				7	44	86	3	19	33				3	19	100				1	6	0	2	13	50
	2010	64	13	53				23	36	57	6	9	50	12	19	50	2	3	100	1	2	0	5	8	80	11	17	45
	2011	20	12	80	1	5	100	10	50	80				5	25	60	1	5	100				1	5	100	2	10	100
	2012	76	14	63	1	1	100	19	25	74	7	9	43	7	9	43	12	16	67	1	1	100	5	7	40	18	24	72
	2013	12	9	75				4	33	100	2	17	100	2	17	50							3	25	33			
	2014	84	15	57				20	24	85	15	18	60	10	12	50	9	11	78	3	4	33	11	13	27	9	11	22
	2015	34	28	62				12	35	83	4	12	25	5	15	40	2	6	50	1	3	100	3	9	33	2	6	50
	2016	151	17	59				28	19	54	44	29	34	21	14	57	7	5	57	12	8	58	18	12	33	19	13	74
	2017	14	12	57				3	21	67				1	7	0	2	14	100	3	21	67	3	21	33	2	14	50
2018	102	14	75	1	1	100	15	15	80	12	12	58	9	9	67	4	4	75	14	14	86	18	18	89	20	20	70	
2019	16	24	88				3	19	100				7	44	86							3	19	100	1	6	100	
2020	85	12	66	1	1	100	15	18	80	7	8	29	19	22	68	1	1	100	11	13	91	10	12	30	16	19	63	
2021	9	20	67				1	11	0				3	33	100				2	22	50	3	33	67				
2022	93	16	55	3	3	33	16	17	81	8	9	50	12	13	25	7	8	86	12	13	67	21	23	38	8	9	63	
2023	7	22	86				1	14	100				3	43	100							3	43	67				

TREND TABLE B NUMBER OF BALLOT MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TOPIC, JURISDICTION, AND YEAR
(CONTINUED)

	ALL MEASURES			EDUCATION			GOVERNANCE			LAND USE			PUBLIC SAFETY			PUBLIC FACILITIES			GENERAL SERVICES			TRANSPORTATION			REVENUE			
	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	
	City Measures	1995	119	47	71	4	3	100	56	47	86	13	11	69	12	10	50	11	9	45				1	1	0	2	2
	1996	374	65	60	2	1	100	170	45	68	42	11	62	31	8	55	22	6	55	8	2	38	4	1	25	71	19	49
	1997	144	42	58				38	26	68	16	11	63	10	7	50	10	7	40	33	23	58	3	2	33	9	6	78
	1998	283	49	60				101	36	62	33	12	73	27	10	56	20	7	70	10	4	100	7	2	57	62	22	47
	1999	114	40	54				45	39	53	29	25	41	11	10	64	1	1	100	7	6	86				16	14	56
	2000	297	53	60	7	2	71	119	40	64	56	19	61	18	6	61	23	8	83	12	4	50	5	2	40	2	1	0
	2001	93	40	69	3	3	0	33	35	73	6	6	67	4	4	25	11	12	64	3	3	67	3	3	33	27	29	89
	2002	309	47	60	1	0	0	110	36	65	37	12	38	27	9	70	24	8	54	13	4	62	5	2	40	72	23	63
	2003	89	50	67				42	47	69	15	17	60	7	8	57	5	6	60	4	4	100	6	7	33	7	8	100
	2004	337	47	59	2	1	100	107	32	75	44	13	64	33	10	45	24	7	29	19	6	74	4	1	75	91	27	47
	2005	135	46	61				48	36	73	22	16	41	12	9	50	6	4	50	9	7	56	4	3	25	29	21	72
	2006	253	46	64				81	32	62	41	16	59	26	10	81	14	6	43	10	4	60	7	3	71	49	19	69
	2007	108	60	71				49	45	78	13	12	54	5	5	100	3	3	67	5	5	80	2	2	0	31	29	68
	2008	258	44	73				74	29	73	36	14	69	25	10	52	18	7	78	6	2	83	7	3	43	81	31	79
	2009	130	67	61				35	27	60	14	11	50	10	8	60	4	3	75	8	6	25	1	1	0	54	42	72
	2010	270	56	71	1	0	100	115	43	77				15	6	80	10	4	70	8	3	63	2	1	50	84	31	68
	2011	107	62	75				51	48	82	8	7	75	4	4	50	4	4	100	4	4	50	1	1	0	32	30	72
	2012	248	47	67	2	1	100	89	36	63	16	6	63	6	2	33	11	4	45	10	4	70	7	3	57	81	33	80
	2013	90	66	68				24	27	67	12	13	42	7	8	71				4	4	50	1	1	100	39	43	79
	2014	285	49	71				94	33	72	30	11	60	23	8	78	11	4	91	10	4	100	8	3	75	95	33	68
	2015	54	45	70				11	20	82	13	24	38	3	6	67	3	6	67	1	2	100				19	35	89
	2016	393	45	77	3	1	67	88	22	85	70	18	64	32	8	78	23	6	48	14	4	50	11	3	73	131	33	86
	2017	81	72	65				10	12	90	13	16	54	14	17	43	3	4	33	3	4	67	1	1	0	34	42	82
	2018	391	56	80	2	1	50	92	24	78	43	11	51	26	7	77	9	2	56	109	28	93	9	2	56	85	22	88
	2019	41	61	76				6	15	83	8	20	38	6	15	100	2	5	50	1	2	100				16	39	94
	2020	369	51	71	3	1	100	92	25	78	47	13	55	23	6	52	7	2	71	151	41	79	2	1	50	28	8	64
	2021	24	55	67	1	4	100	6	25	50	2	8	100	5	21	60				9	38	67				1	4	100
	2022	327	56	72	2	1	50	101	31	70	30	9	40	18	6	83	13	4	62	114	35	83	2	1	0	24	7	67
	2023	18	56	61				7	39	86	4	22	25	3	17	67							2	11	50	1	6	100

TREND TABLE B NUMBER OF BALLOT MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TOPIC, JURISDICTION, AND YEAR
(CONTINUED)

	ALL MEASURES			EDUCATION			GOVERNANCE			LAND USE			PUBLIC SAFETY			PUBLIC FACILITIES			GENERAL SERVICES			TRANSPORTATION			REVENUE		
	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing
School District Measures	1995	117	46	52	117	100	52																				
	1996	85	15	62	84	99	63												1	1	0						
	1997	174	51	62	174	100	62																				
	1998	164	29	62	158	96	63	5	3	40												1	1	0			
	1999	131	46	62	119	91	59	12	9	92																	
	2000	146	26	63	143	98	62																				
	2001	103	44	71	100	97	73	1	1	0						2	2	0									
	2002	250	38	76	249	100	76															1	0	0			
	2003	61	34	52	61	100	52																				
	2004	235	33	73	233	99	73															2	1	100			
	2005	103	35	69	102	99	70	1	1	0																	
	2006	208	37	58	208	100	58																				
	2007	42	23	67	42	100	67																				
	2008	245	41	80	245	100	80																				
	2009	47	24	66	47	100	66																				
	2010	148	31	64	148	100	64																				
	2011	47	27	64	47	100	64																				
	2012	206	39	82	168	82	23																				
	2013	35	26	80	35	100	80																				
	2014	208	36	83	208	100	83																				
	2015	32	27	94	32	100	94																				
	2016	328	38	90	328	100	90																				
	2017	18	16	56	18	100	56																				
2018	211	30	84	203	96	83	7	3	100																		
2019	10	15	80	10	100	80																					
2020	268	37	51	268	100	51																					
2021	11	25	73	11	100	73																					
2022	160	28	74	159	99	74	1	1	100															0	0	0	
2023	7	22	100	7	100	100																					

TREND TABLE C COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT, AND COUNTY SERVICE AREA MEASURES BY COUNTY

	1998			1999			2000			2001			2002		
	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing
Alpine															
Butte	2	67	100				1	100	100						
Calaveras															
Colusa															
Contra Costa	2	67	50				4	80	25	1	100	100	2	100	50
El Dorado	2	12	50				7	78	29				1	50	100
Fresno	1	50	100												
Humboldt															
Imperial															
Inyo							1	50	100						
Kern	6	100	50				2	100	0	4	100	75			
Lake															
Lassen							1	33	0				4	80	25
Los Angeles															
Marin				4	100	100	5	100	80	10	91	90	3	100	100
Mendocino				1	100	0							1	100	0
Modoc															
Monterey													1	100	0
Nevada							1	100	100						
Orange	1	100	100												
Placer	1	33	100												
Plumas							1	100	100	2	100	100			
Riverside	2	40	50	8	100	38				2	100	0	2	67	50
Sacramento	2	40	100				3	75	33						
San Bernardino	2	100	50				3	100	67				1	100	0
San Diego	10	83	30				3	60	33	1	100	100	2	40	0
San Joaquin															
San Luis Obispo				5	100	100	1	33	0				1	50	100
San Mateo															
Santa Barbara										1	100	0			
Santa Cruz															
Shasta							1	100	0						
Siskiyou							2	100	100						
Sonoma							1	20	100	1	100	100			
Stanislaus															
Sutter				1	100	0									
Trinity															
Tulare															
Tuolumne				1	100	0	1	33	0						
Yolo															
Yuba							2	67	50						
Total for CSD/CSA Measure Over All Counties	31	25	55	20	53	60	40	34	48	22	59	77	18	18	44

TREND TABLE C COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT, AND COUNTY SERVICE AREA MEASURES BY COUNTY
(CONTINUED)

	2003			2004			2005			2006			2007		
	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing
Alpine															
Butte															
Calaveras							3	100	100				1	50	0
Colusa															
Contra Costa				3	60	67	3	100	100	1	33	100	2	100	100
El Dorado	6	100	17	2	20	100	14	88	64	2	100	0	3	100	100
Fresno															
Humboldt				1	25	100	2	100	0						
Imperial							1	50	100						
Inyo															
Kern				3	100	33				1	33	100			
Lake				1	100	0									
Lassen				1	100	100				1	100	0			
Los Angeles															
Marin	1	100	100				2	100	100	4	100	100	1	100	100
Mendocino				1	50	100									
Modoc															
Monterey															
Nevada															
Orange	1	100	100												
Placer															
Plumas	1	50	100												
Riverside	2	100	0	2	100	100									
Sacramento															
San Bernardino	1	100	0	1	50	0				1	33	100			
San Diego				3	33	33				1	20	100			
San Joaquin													1	100	100
San Luis Obispo				4	67	50	4	100	100	5	83	40			
San Mateo				1	14	100									
Santa Barbara										1	25	0			
Santa Cruz							1	100	0						
Shasta															
Siskiyou				5	83	0				2	100	0			
Sonoma	1	100	100							1	50	0			
Stanislaus										1	50	0			
Sutter										1	100	0			
Trinity										2	100	100			
Tulare				1	100	100	1	100	100						
Tuolumne															
Yolo															
Yuba				1	25	0									
Total for CSD/CSA Measure Over All Counties	13	46	38	30	21	50	31	54	74	24	25	50	8	28	88

TREND TABLE C COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT, AND COUNTY SERVICE AREA MEASURES BY COUNTY
(CONTINUED)

	2008			2009			2010			2011			2012		
	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing
Alpine															
Butte															
Calaveras													1	50	100
Colusa															
Contra Costa	3	100	67				1	50	100	2	100	100	1	100	100
El Dorado	1	50	0	1	100	0	3	75	67				1	50	0
Fresno										2	100	100			
Humboldt	1	100	0							1	100	100			
Imperial															
Inyo															
Kern							1	100	0						
Lake	1	100	100												
Lassen													1	100	0
Los Angeles															
Marin	3	75	100	1	100	100	7	88	43	3	100	100	1	50	100
Mendocino													1	33	0
Modoc															
Monterey															
Nevada													1	100	0
Orange															
Placer	1	33	0										1	100	0
Plumas										1	100	0			
Riverside							1	25	0						
Sacramento	1	100	100												
San Bernardino	1	50	100	1	100	100				1	100	100			
San Diego															
San Joaquin															
San Luis Obispo													1	50	100
San Mateo	1	25	100										1	100	100
Santa Barbara				1	100	100							1	14	100
Santa Cruz															
Shasta				1	100	100	2	100	0						
Siskiyou	3	75	33												
Sonoma													1	100	0
Stanislaus	1	25	0												
Sutter															
Trinity															
Tulare															
Tuolumne	1	100	100										1	100	100
Yolo				1	100	100							1	100	0
Yuba															
Total for CSD/CSA Measure Over All Counties	18	20	61	6	38	83	15	23	40	10	50	90	14	18	50

TREND TABLE C COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT, AND COUNTY SERVICE AREA MEASURES BY COUNTY
(CONTINUED)

	2013			2014			2015			2016			2017		
	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing
Alpine															
Butte															
Calaveras										1	20	0	2	100	50
Colusa													1	0	0
Contra Costa										1	33	100			
El Dorado	2	100	50	5	45	60	5	83	40	4	50	0	2	100	0
Fresno															
Humboldt	1	100	100												
Imperial				2	100	0									
Inyo															
Kern	1	25	0				1	50	100	1	50	0			
Lake															
Lassen				3	75	33	2	100	100	2	67	100			
Los Angeles	1	2	100							4	67	100			
Marin	1	11	100	1	25	100	2	22	100				1	100	0
Mendocino															
Modoc				2	0	0	2	100	0	2	100	100			
Monterey													1	100	0
Nevada				1	50	0							1	100	100
Orange															
Placer															
Plumas							4	100	100	1	100	100			
Riverside															
Sacramento															
San Bernardino	1	9	0				2	40	0	3	100	67	1	100	100
San Diego				1	100	0				1	33	0			
San Joaquin															
San Luis Obispo							1	100	100						
San Mateo										1	33	100			
Santa Barbara										2	67	50			
Santa Cruz															
Shasta															
Siskiyou				1	33	0				2	29	50			
Sonoma															
Stanislaus															
Sutter															
Trinity															
Tulare															
Tuolumne															
Yolo															
Yuba															
Total for CSD/CSA Measure Over All Counties	7	58	57	16	19	38	19	56	12	25	17	60	9	64	44

TREND TABLE C COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT, AND COUNTY SERVICE AREA MEASURES BY COUNTY
(CONTINUED)

	2018			2019			2020			2021			2022		
	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing
Alpine				1	100	100									
Butte															
Calaveras				1	100	100				1	100	100	2	67	50
Colusa															
Contra Costa	3	60	67	1	100	100	2	67	100	1	100	100	3	75	100
El Dorado	6	43	83				6	100	17	1	50	100	9	82	56
Fresno															
Humboldt															
Imperial				2	100	50									
Inyo															
Kern													2	50	0
Lake	1	33	100												
Lassen															
Los Angeles							1	25	100						
Marin	1	33	100				2	50	100				1	25	100
Mendocino															
Modoc															
Monterey													1	50	0
Nevada															
Orange															
Placer										1	100	100			
Plumas				1	100	100				1	100	100			
Riverside															
Sacramento													1	20	0
San Bernardino							1	25	0	1	100	0			
San Diego	2	33	50				1	33	0						
San Joaquin															
San Luis Obispo	1	33	0	1	100	100	1	100	0				1	100	0
San Mateo							1	50	100						
Santa Barbara															
Santa Cruz															
Shasta															
Siskiyou							2	67	50						
Sonoma				1	100	100									
Stanislaus															
Sutter															
Trinity															
Tulare	1	100	0												
Tuolumne	1	33	100												
Yolo															
Yuba															
Total for CSD/CSA Measure Over All Counties	16	16	75	8	50	88	17	20	47	6	67	83	20	22	50

TREND TABLE C COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT, AND COUNTY SERVICE AREA MEASURES BY COUNTY
(CONTINUED)

	2023		
	N	%	% Passing
Alpine			
Butte			
Calaveras			
Colusa			
Contra Costa			
El Dorado	3	100	67
Fresno			
Humboldt			
Imperial			
Inyo			
Kern			
Lake			
Lassen			
Los Angeles			
Marin			
Mendocino			
Modoc			
Monterey	1	100	100
Nevada			
Orange			
Placer			
Plumas	1	100	100
Riverside			
Sacramento			
San Bernardino			
San Diego			
San Joaquin			
San Luis Obispo			
San Mateo			
Santa Barbara			
Santa Cruz			
Shasta	2	100	100
Siskiyou			
Sonoma			
Stanislaus			
Sutter			
Trinity			
Tulare			
Tuolumne			
Yolo			
Yuba			
Total for CSD/CSA Measure Over All Counties	7	100	86

TREND TABLE D NUMBER OF COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT, AND COUNTY SERVICE AREA MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL COUNTY MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TYPE, AND YEAR

	ALL CSD/CSA			TAXES			BONDS			ADVISORY			RECALLS			GANN LIMIT			ORDINANCE		
	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate
1998	31	25	55	22	18	45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	8	6	88
1999	20	53	60	16	42	56	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	8	100	1	3	0
2000	40	34	48	28	24	29	1	1	100	3	3	67	0	0	0	6	5	100	2	2	100
2001	22	59	77	12	32	75	2	5	100	0	0	0	3	8	100	3	8	100	2	5	0
2002	18	18	44	14	14	36	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	4	75	0	0	0
2003	13	46	38	11	39	27	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	7	100
2004	30	21	50	24	17	42	0	0	0	1	1	100	0	0	0	2	1	100	3	2	67
2005	31	54	74	23	40	65	2	4	100	0	0	0	3	5	100	1	2	100	2	4	100
2006	24	25	50	15	16	47	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	4	25	1	1	100	4	4	75
2007	8	28	88	3	10	67	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	10	100	1	3	100	1	3	100
2008	18	20	61	11	12	45	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	4	4	100	2	2	100
2009	6	38	83	3	19	67	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	6	100	1	6	100	1	6	100
2010	15	23	40	11	17	36	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	6	50	0	0	0	0	0	0
2011	10	50	90	4	20	75				1	5	100	2	10	100	2	10	100	1	5	100
2012	14	18	50	11	14	36							1	1	100				2	3	100
2013	7	58	57	5	42	40				1	0	100				1	8	100			
2014	16	19	38	13	15	31	2	0	100							1	1	0			
2015	19	56	63	9	26	56				2	0	0	5	15	100	1	3	100	2	6	50
2016	25	17	60	17	11	47				1	1	0	2	1	100	1	1	100	4	3	100
2017	9	64	44	6	43	33													3	21	67
2018	16	16	75	14	14	71										1	1	100	1	1	100
2019	8	50	88	7	44	86										1	6	100			
2020	17	20	47	12	14	33										4	5	100	1	1	0
2021	6	67	83	5	56	100													5	56	0
2022	20	22	50	17	18	47										1	1	100	2	2	50
2023	7	100	86	6	86	83													1	14	100
1998-2023	452	28	58	321	20	49	7	0	100	10	1	50	28	2	82	40	2	93	46	3	78

TREND TABLE E COMPARISON OF PASS RATES FOR COUNTY-WIDE, AND COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT/ COUNTY SERVICE AREA TAX MEASURES BY YEAR

	NON-CSD/CSA COUNTY-WIDE MEASURES			CSD/CSA MEASURES		NON-CSD/CSA COUNTY-WIDE TAX MEASURES		CSD/CSA COUNTY TAX MEASURES	
	Total Number of County Measures	Number of Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	Percent Passing
1998	125	94	61	31	55	31	35	22	45
1999	38	18	67	20	60	5	20	16	56
2000	116	76	50	40	48	23	26	28	29
2001	37	15	67	22	77	2	50	12	75
2002	98	80	59	18	44	24	50	14	36
2003	28	15	87	13	38	1	0	11	27
2004	140	109	54	31	52	35	46	25	44
2005	57	26	50	31	74	1	100	23	65
2006	95	71	52	24	50	30	37	15	47
2007	29	21	71	8	88			3	67
2008	90	72	63	18	61	22	0	11	45
2009	16	9	67	7	86	1	0	4	75
2010	64	49	57	15	40	14	57	11	36
2011	20	10	70	10	90	3	67	4	75
2012	76	62	66	14	50	28	68	11	36
2013	12	5	100	7	57			5	40
2014	84	68	62	16	38	20	40	13	31
2015	34	15	60	19	63	2	0	9	56
2016	151	126	59	25	60	51	59	17	47
2017	14	5	80	9	44	5	80	6	33
2018	102	86	76	16	75	58	79	14	71
2019	16	8	88	8	88	3	100	7	86
2020	85	68	71	17	47	33	64	12	33
2021	9	3	33	6	83	3	33	5	100
2022	93	73	56	20	50	38	47	17	47
2023	7	-		7	86	-		6	83
1998-2023	1,636	1,184	61	452	58	432	53	321	49

TREND TABLE F NUMBER OF COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT, AND COUNTY SERVICE AREA MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL COUNTY MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TOPIC, AND YEAR

	ALL CSD/CSA			LAND USE			PUBLIC SAFETY			GOVERNANCE			ENVIRONMENT			TRANSPORTATION			PUBLIC FACILITIES			GENERAL SERVICES			REVENUE		
	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Percent Passing
1998	31	25	55				12	10	42				3	2	67	2	2	50	1	1	0	8	6	88	4	3	25
1999	20	53	60				2	5	50				3	8	0	5	13	100				5	13	40	5	13	80
2000	40	34	48	2	2	0	10	9	30	2	2	100				6	5	17	5	4	40	5	4	60	1	1	100
2001	22	59	77				6	16	100	4	11	75				1	3	0	5	14	60	4	11	75	2	5	100
2002	18	18	44				11	11	45	3	3	67							3	3	33	1	1	0			
2003	13	46	38				5	18	40	2	7	100													6	21	17
2004	30	21	50				17	12	47	1	1	0				4	3	50	4	3	50	1	1	0	2	1	100
2005	31	54	74	2	4	0	1	2	100	3	5	100				6	11	100	6	11	67	9	16	78	4	7	50
2006	24	25	50				7	7	71	7	7	43				2	2	50	3	3	0	2	2	50	3	3	67
2007	8	28	88							4	14	100				1	3	0	1	3	100	2	7	100			
2008	18	20	61				8	9	50	2	2	100				2	2	50				1	1	0	5	6	80
2009	6	38	83							2	13	100				1	6	0	2	13	100				1	6	100
2010	15	23	40				7	11	43	4	6	50				1	2	0							3	5	33
2011	10	50	90				4	20	75	4	20	100													2	10	100
2012	14	18	50	1	1	100	6	8	33	2	3	100				2	3	50	3	4	33						
2013	7	58	57				2	17	50	2	17	100				3	25	33									
2014	16	19	38				7	8	29	2	2	100				3	4	0	2	2	100				2	2	0
2015	19	56	63	1	3	0	4	12	50	7	21	86				3	9	33	2	6	50	1	3	100	1	3	100
2016	25	17	60	1	1	0	10	7	70	6	4	100				3	2	0				1	1	100	4	3	25
2017	9	64	44							3	21	67				3	21	33	1	7	100	1	7	0	1	7	0
2018	16	16	88				5	5	60	2	2	100				7	7	71							2	2	100
2019	8	50	88				7	44	86							1	6	100									
2020	17	20	47				6	7	67	1	1	0				7	8	14	1	1	100	1	1	100	1	1	100
2021	6	67	83				3	33	100	1	11	0				2	22	100									
2022	20	22	50				5	5	40	1	1	0				7	8	43	1	1	0	2	2	50	4	4	100
2023	7	100	86				3	43	100	1	14	100				3	43	67									
1998-2023	452	28	58	7	0	0	148	9	18	66	4	12	6	0	0	75	5	8	41	3	5	44	3	6	54	3	7

TREND TABLE G NUMBER OF CANDIDATES BY JURISDICTION,
AND YEAR

	NUMBER OF CANDIDATES			
	ALL CANDIDATES	COUNTY CANDIDATES	CITY CANDIDATES	SCHOOL DISTRICT CANDIDATES
1995	2,354	0	732	1,622
1996	5,330	667	2,141	2,522
1997	2,476	23	736	1,717
1998	5,354	1,037	1,893	2,424
1999	2,274	135	724	1,415
2000	5,012	796	2,166	2,050
2001	2,505	189	688	1,628
2002	5,896	1,266	2,188	2,442
2003	2,086	205	566	1,315
2004	5,035	782	2,212	2,041
2005	2,546	167	979	1,400
2006	5,498	1,136	2,132	2,230
2007	2,021	207	811	1,003
2008	5,237	782	2,282	2,173
2009	2,066	143	863	1,060
2010	6,022	1,177	2,321	2,524
2011	1,587	134	729	724
2012	5,013	637	2,283	2,093
2013	1,686	150	780	756
2014	5,675	1,204	2,172	2,299
2015	1,321	114	607	600
2016	5,118	723	2,361	2,034
2017	763	78	494	191
2018	6,526	1,176	2,700	2,650
2019	304	43	193	68
2020	6,675	761	3,181	2,733
2021	203	22	144	37
2022	6,935	1,198	2,882	2,855
2023	91	7	41	43
Total	103,609	14,959	42,001	46,649

*We excluded runoffs from totals.

TREND TABLE H NUMBER OF CANDIDATES FOR MAJOR COUNTY OFFICES BY YEAR

	Total Number of Candidates	Number of County Candidates	COUNTY SUPERVISOR CANDIDATES		CSD/CSA CANDIDATES	
			Number of Candidates	% of County Candidates	Number of Candidates	% of County Candidates
1995	2,354	0	0		*	
1996	5,330	667	470	70	*	
1997	2,476	23	19	83	*	
1998	5,354	1,037	309	30	22	2
1999	2,274	135	5	4	109	81
2000	5,012	796	441	55	174	22
2001	2,505	189	0	0	186	98
2002	5,896	1,266	306	24	127	10
2003	2,086	205	10	5	175	85
2004	5,035	782	447	57	125	16
2005	2,546	167	4	2	155	93
2006	5,498	1,136	310	27	160	14
2007	2,021	207	10	5	161	78
2008	5,237	782	441	56	174	22
2009	2,066	143	0	0	141	99
2010	6,022	1,177	331	28	170	14
2011	1,587	134	6	4	103	77
2012	5,013	637	348	55	200	31
2013	1,686	150	9	6	133	89
2014	5,675	1,204	317	26	244	20
2015	1,321	114	5	4	94	82
2016	5,118	723	431	60	158	22
2017	763	78	0	0	78	100
2018	6,526	1,176	324	28	192	16
2019	304	43	14	33	15	35
2020	6,675	761	423	56	206	27
2021	203	22	5	23	15	68
2022	6,935	1,198	338	28	186	16
2023	91	7	4	57	3	43
Total	103,609	14,959	5,327	36	3,506	23

*The California Elections Data Archive did not collect information on CSD/CSA candidates until 1998.

**We excluded runoffs from totals.

TREND TABLE I PERCENT OF INCUMBENT CANDIDATES, AND PERCENT OF PREVAILING INCUMBENTS BY MAJOR OFFICE, JURISDICTION, AND YEAR

	PERCENT OF CANDIDATES WHO ARE INCUMBENTS				PERCENTAGE OF INCUMBENTS WHO WIN				PERCENTAGE OF WINNING CANDIDATES WHO ARE INCUMBENTS			
	% of All Candidates	% of County Supervisor Candidates	% of City Council Candidates	% of School District Candidates	% of All Candidates	% of County Supervisor Candidates	% of City Council Candidates	% of School District Candidates	% of All Candidates	% of County Supervisor Candidates	% of City Council Candidates	% of School District Candidates
1995	27	--	18	30	79	--	79	78	50	--	41	51
1996	27	24	23	28	79	75	74	78	48	51	41	47
1997	30	5	23	33	76	0	79	74	49	0	45	50
1998	32	30	26	32	86	87	82	83	57	63	48	53
1999	30	0	23	32	78	--	81	77	51	0	45	52
2000	30	30	27	32	79	90	80	74	52	73	51	49
2001	30	--	24	32	78	--	80	77	50	--	51	50
2002	34	34	27	36	82	81	79	79	57	63	50	56
2003	31	0	22	35	78	--	72	79	51	0	40	55
2004	33	28	28	37	81	81	81	76	55	59	51	57
2005	31	0	23	36	80	--	80	78	52	0	50	52
2006	35	29	29	36	82	90	78	78	56	68	51	55
2007	31	0	27	33	77	--	79	75	50	0	54	48
2008	34	30	30	38	76	86	80	70	56	61	55	54
2009	34	--	26	39	78	--	79	76	54	--	51	55
2010	35	28	29	39	82	83	82	79	59	61	56	59
2011	29	0	24	34	82	--	83	82	49	0	48	51
2012	28	35	25	30	75	83	74	71	43	58	41	42
2013	30	0	27	31	77	--	82	75	47	0	52	44
2014	35	31	30	38	77	89	77	71	54	64	51	51
2015	29	0	26	31	71	--	74	67	45	0	46	43
2016	32	31	28	34	74	82	75	68	49	63	47	46
2017	29	--	26	31	80	--	86	70	55	--	59	47
2018	34	30	27	36	76	84	72	69	52	60	45	49
2019	22	7	18	19	78	100	79	54	44	33	41	28
2020	30	30	25	32	71	83	73	64	47	60	45	44
2021	14	0	11	11	72	--	92	50	30	0	31	13
2022	32	23	27	34	78	83	81	71	51	50	47	48
2023	5	0	3	2	60	--	0	100	9	0	0	7
1995-2023	32	29	26	34	78	84	78	74	52	61	48	51

*We excluded runoffs from totals.

2023 ELECTION SERIES SUMMARY:
ELECTION OUTCOMES FOR
COUNTY, CITY, AND SCHOOL DISTRICT
BALLOT MEASURES, AND CANDIDATES

TABLE A SUMMARY OF OUTCOMES FOR ALL COUNTY, CITY, AND SCHOOL DISTRICT BALLOT MEASURES BY TYPE OF MEASURE AND COUNTY, 2023

	TAXES		BONDS		CHARTER AMENDMENT		RECALL		ORDINANCE		ALL MEASURES		
	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	TOTAL
Contra Costa	1	0									1	0	1
El Dorado	2	1									2	1	3
Fresno	0	1									0	1	1
Los Angeles	3	0			5	0	1	0	1	2	10	2	12
Marin	1	0									1	0	1
Mendocino	1	0									1	0	1
Monterey	1	0	1	0							2	0	2
Napa	0	1									0	1	1
Orange							0	1	0	1	0	2	2
Plumas	1	0									1	0	1
Riverside	0	1									0	1	1
San Mateo	1	0									1	0	1
Santa Clara	1	0									1	0	1
Santa Cruz	1	0									1	0	1
Shasta	1	0							1	0	2	0	2
Siskiyou	1	0									1	0	1
All Counties	15	4	1	0	5	0	1	1	2	3	24	8	32

TABLE B SUMMARY OF OUTCOMES FOR ALL COUNTY, CITY, AND SCHOOL DISTRICT BALLOT MEASURES BY TOPIC OF MEASURE AND COUNTY, 2023

	EDUCATION		LAND USE		SAFETY		GOVERNANCE		TRANSPORT		REVENUE		OTHER		ALL MEASURES		
	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	TOTAL
Contra Costa	1	0													1	0	1
El Dorado									2	1					2	1	3
Fresno					0	1									0	1	1
Los Angeles	1	0	1	2	1	0	6	0			1	0			10	2	12
Marin	1	0													1	0	1
Mendocino									1	0					1	0	1
Monterey	1	0			1	0									2	0	2
Napa			0	1											0	1	1
Orange							0	1					0	1	0	2	2
Plumas					1	0									1	0	1
Riverside									0	1					0	1	1
San Mateo	1	0													1	0	1
Santa Clara	1	0													1	0	1
Santa Cruz	1	0													1	0	1
Shasta					1	0	1	0							2	0	2
Siskiyou					1	0									1	0	1
All Counties	7	0	1	3	5	1	7	1	3	2	1	0	0	1	24	8	32

TABLE C SUMMARY OF ELECTION OUTCOMES FOR ALL COUNTY, CITY, AND SCHOOL DISTRICT OFFICES, 2023

		COUNTY SUPERVISOR		CITY COUNCIL		SCHOOL BOARD		DIRECTOR, CSD ¹		OTHER CITY OFFICES		TOTAL ^{2,3}	
		Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N
Incumbent Candidates	Win	0.0	0	0.0	0	100.0	1	50.0	1	100.0	1	60.0	3
	Lose	0.0	0	100.0	1	0.0	0	50.0	1	0.0	0	40.0	2
	Total	0.0	0	100.0	1	100.0	1	100.0	2	100.0	1	100.0	5
Non-Incumbent Candidates	Win	25.0	1	41.2	14	33.3	14	0.0	0	40.0	2	36.0	31
	Lose	75.0	3	58.8	20	66.7	28	100.0	1	60.0	3	64.0	55
	Total	100.0	4	100.0	34	100.0	42	100.0	1	100.0	5	100.0	86
Winning Candidates	Incumbent	0.0	0	0.0	0	6.7	1	100.0	1	33.3	1	8.8	3
	Non-Incumbent	100.0	1	100.0	14	93.3	14	0.0	0	66.7	2	91.2	31
	Total	100.0	1	100.0	14	100.0	15	100.0	1	100.0	3	100.0	34
Losing Candidates	Incumbent	0.0	0	4.8	1	0.0	0	50.0	1	0.0	0	3.5	2
	Non-Incumbent	100.0	3	95.2	20	100.0	28	50.0	1	100.0	3	96.5	55
	Total	100.0	3	100.0	21	100.0	28	100.0	2	100.0	3	100.0	57
All Candidates	Incumbent	0.0	0	2.9	1	2.3	1	66.7	2	16.7	1	5.5	5
	Non-Incumbent	100.0	4	97.1	34	97.7	42	33.3	1	83.3	5	94.5	86
	Total	100.0	4	100.0	35	100.0	43	100.0	3	100.0	6	100.0	91

¹Includes Directors of Community Service Districts and County Service Areas, and Members of Community Planning Areas.

²Percent may not sum to 100 due to rounding.

³We exclude runoffs from totals.

PART 1
VOTE TOTALS, ELECTION OUTCOMES, AND
TEXT FOR SCHOOL DISTRICT BALLOT MEASURES

TABLE 1.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR SCHOOL DISTRICT BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2023

COUNTY	DATE	SCHOOL DISTRICT	MEASURE TITLE	TYPE OF MEASURE	TOPIC OF MEASURE	VOTE IN FAVOR	TOTAL VOTE	PERCENT OF VOTE	PASS OR FAIL*
ALAMEDA		No School District Measures							
ALPINE		No School District Measures							
AMADOR		No School District Measures							
BUTTE		No School District Measures							
CALAVERAS		No School District Measures							
COLUSA		No School District Measures							
CONTRA COSTA	3/7/2023	Orinda Union Elementary	Measure Z	Property Tax	Education	5,018	6,563	76.5%	Pass [†]
DEL NORTE		No School District Measures							
EL DORADO		No School District Measures							
FRESNO		No School District Measures							
GLENN		No School District Measures							
HUMBOLDT		No School District Measures							
IMPERIAL		No School District Measures							
INYO		No School District Measures							
KERN		No School District Measures							
KINGS		No School District Measures							
LAKE		No School District Measures							
LASSEN		No School District Measures							
LOS ANGELES	5/2/2023	South Pasadena Unified	Measure S	Property Tax	Education	3,711	4,492	82.6%	Pass [†]
MADERA		No School District Measures							
MARIN	3/7/2023	Novato Unified	Measure A	Property Tax	Education	12,225	14,676	83.3%	Pass [†]
MARIPOSA		No School District Measures							
MENDOCINO		No School District Measures							
MERCED		No School District Measures							
MODOC		No School District Measures							
MONO		No School District Measures							
MONTEREY	5/2/2023	San Lucas Union Elementary	Measure S	GO Bond	Education	34	40	85.0%	Pass [†]
NAPA		No School District Measures							
NEVADA		No School District Measures							
ORANGE		No School District Measures							
PLACER		No School District Measures							

* Pass or Fail results based on multi-county outcomes.

[†] Indicates measure required two-thirds of the vote to pass. ^F Indicates measure required fifty-five percent of the vote to pass. All other school district measures required a majority vote.

TABLE 1.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR SCHOOL DISTRICT BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2023

COUNTY	DATE	SCHOOL DISTRICT	MEASURE TITLE	TYPE OF MEASURE	TOPIC OF MEASURE	VOTE IN FAVOR	TOTAL VOTE	PERCENT OF VOTE	PASS OR FAIL*
PLUMAS		No School District Measures							
RIVERSIDE		No School District Measures							
SACRAMENTO		No School District Measures							
SAN BENITO		No School District Measures							
SAN BERNARDINO		No School District Measures							
SAN DIEGO		No School District Measures							
SAN FRANCISCO		No School District Measures							
SAN JOAQUIN		No School District Measures							
SAN LUIS OBISPO		No School District Measures							
SAN MATEO	5/2/2023	Millbrae Elementary	Measure A	Property Tax	Education	3,099	4,375	70.8%	Pass [†]
SANTA BARBARA		No School District Measures							
SANTA CLARA	11/7/2023	Los Altos Elementary	Measure A	Property Tax	Education	8,167	10,916	74.8%	Pass [†]
SANTA CRUZ	10/24/2023	Scotts Valley Unified	Measure V	Property Tax	Education	3,246	4,473	72.6%	Pass [†]
SHASTA		No School District Measures							
SIERRA		No School District Measures							
SISKIYOU		No School District Measures							
SOLANO		No School District Measures							
SONOMA		No School District Measures							
STANISLAUS		No School District Measures							
SUTTER		No School District Measures							
TEHAMA		No School District Measures							
TRINITY		No School District Measures							
TUOLUMNE		No School District Measures							
VENTURA		No School District Measures							
YOLO		No School District Measures							
YUBA		No School District Measures							

TABLE 1.2 TEXT FOR SCHOOL DISTRICT BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2023

CONTRA COSTA	3/7/2023	Orinda Union Elementary	Measure Z	Pass (2/3rds required)
To support Orinda students and maintain high quality elementary/ intermediate schools, shall Orinda Union School District's measure to maintain core academic instruction in science, technology, engineering, math, arts, music, reading and writing programs; attract and retain highly qualified teachers, specialists and staff; and preserve small class sizes by levying \$295 per parcel for seven years be adopted, providing \$2,200,000 annually, with senior exemptions, an independent citizens' oversight committee, no funds for administrator salaries and all funds locally controlled?				
LOS ANGELES	5/2/2023	South Pasadena Unified	Measure S	Pass (2/3rds required)
To continue local funding to attract and retain highly qualified teachers, support advanced programs in math, science, reading, writing, engineering, technology, music and arts, and maintain manageable class sizes, shall South Pasadena Unified School District's measure renewing the current expiring school parcel tax for 7 years at the rate of \$474 per parcel be adopted, with annual inflation adjustments, senior exemptions, independent citizen oversight, and continuing \$3,000,000 in annual school funding that cannot be taken away by the State?				
MARIN	3/7/2023	Novato Unified	Measure A	Pass (2/3rds required)
To protect quality education without increasing tax rates by renewing expiring local funding that cannot be taken by the State; maintain quality core academic instruction in math, science, reading/writing; protect funding for teachers; and preserve music/art programs, shall Novato Unified School District's measure be adopted renewing the existing \$251 parcel tax at the current rate, providing \$4,000,000 annually for 8 years, with senior exemptions, independent oversight, no funds for administration and all funding for Novato students?				
MONTEREY	5/2/2023	San Lucas Union Elementary	Measure S	Pass (2/3rds required)
To construct a new track and shade structures, replace portable classrooms and other outdated infrastructure; acquire and improve school facilities; and improve the quality of education; shall San Lucas Union School District's measure be adopted to issue \$3,600,000 in bonds at legal interest rates, estimated levies of approximately 6¢ per \$100 assessed value, generating on average \$240,000 annually while bonds are outstanding, with annual reports, no money for salaries and all money improving local schools?				
SAN MATEO	5/2/2023	Millbrae Elementary	Measure A	Pass (2/3rds required)
To support students with funds that cannot be taken by the State, to maintain 21st century, hands-on science labs, technology, engineering/math instruction, strengthen reading/writing programs, attract/ retain qualified teachers; restore art, and, maintain music programs, shall the Millbrae Elementary School District measure be adopted renewing the current \$97 levy and adding \$28 per parcel, raising \$925,000 annually for 9 years, exempting seniors, requiring independent citizen oversight, no funds for administrator salaries, and all funds staying local?				
SANTA CLARA	11/7/2023	Los Altos Elementary	Measure A	Pass (2/3rds required)
To attract and retain highly qualified teachers, protect core academic programs in reading, writing, science, technology, engineering, arts, and math, and maintain high-quality neighborhood elementary and junior high schools; shall Los Altos School District's measure be adopted, renewing expiring local funding at the current \$223 rate plus \$72, per parcel, providing \$3.7 million annually for 8 years that cannot be taken by the State, with senior exemptions, annual adjustments, independent oversight, and no funds for administrators?				
SANTA CRUZ	10/24/2023	Scotts Valley Unified	Measure V	Pass (2/3rds required)
To attract and retain highly qualified teachers and staff, strengthen programs in math, science, technology, arts, and reading, and maintain counselors and mental health services, shall the Scotts Valley Unified School District measure renewing the expiring school parcel tax be adopted at the rate of \$168 per parcel for seven years, generating nearly \$1M annually of 100% locally controlled Scotts Valley school funding, with senior and disability exemptions, independent citizen oversight, and no administrative salaries?				

TABLE 1.3 SUMMARY OF ELECTION OUTCOMES FOR SCHOOL DISTRICT BALLOT MEASURES BY TYPE OF MEASURE AND COUNTY, 2023*

	TAXES		BONDS		ALL MEASURES		
	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	TOTAL
Contra Costa	1	0			1	0	1
Los Angeles	1	0			1	0	1
Marin	1	0			1	0	1
Monterey			1	0	1	0	1
San Mateo	1	0			1	0	1
Santa Clara	1	0			1	0	1
Santa Cruz	1	0			1	0	1
Total	6	0	1	0	7	0	7

*Since the topic of all school district measures is Education, a table for school district measures by topic is not included

PART 2
VOTE TOTALS FOR
SCHOOL DISTRICT OFFICE CANDIDATES

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR SCHOOL DISTRICT BOARD CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2023

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DISTRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM- BENT	NUMBER OF CANDI- DATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATES	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PERCENT OF VOTE	ELEC- TED ²
ALAMEDA	11/7/2023	Oakland Unified	5	Short	Lerma	Jorge C.	Retired Teacher/Principal	No	2	2,024	3,538	57.2%	Yes
					Ritzie-Hernandez	Sasha	Coordinator	No	2	1,514	3,538	42.8%	No
ALPINE	No School District Contests												
AMADOR	No School District Contests												
BUTTE	No School District Contests												
CALAVERAS	No School District Contests												
COLUSA	No School District Contests												
CONTRA COSTA	No School District Contests												
DEL NORTE	No School District Contests												
EL DORADO	No School District Contests												
FRESNO	No School District Contests												
GLENN	No School District Contests												
HUMBOLDT	No School District Contests												
IMPERIAL	No School District Contests												
INYO	No School District Contests												
KERN	No School District Contests												
KINGS	No School District Contests												
LAKE	No School District Contests												
LASSEN	No School District Contests												
LOS ANGELES	3/7/2023	Redondo Beach Unified		Full	Flinn	Raymur	School Board Member	Yes	3	5,847	16,708	35.0%	Yes
					Cho	Byung	Businessman/Father	No	3	5,773	16,708	34.6%	Yes
					Chang	Jerome	Educator/Architect	No	3	5,088	16,708	30.5%	No
	7/25/2023	Claremont Unified	4	Short	McDonald	Alex	Family Physician	No	3	1,353	1,989	68.0%	Yes
					Peterson	Aaron	Father/Engineer/Coach	No	3	605	1,989	30.4%	No
					Rogers	Joshua	Parent/Business Owner	No	3	31	1,989	1.6%	No
MADERA	No School District Contests												
MARIN	No School District Contests												
MARIPOSA	No School District Contests												
MENDOCINO	No School District Contests												
MRECED	No School District Contests												
MODOC	No School District Contests												
MONO	No School District Contests												

¹ Write-in candidate votes, when reported by the county, have been included in the total votes cast. For these contests, the sum of the candidate votes is less than the total votes cast.

² We reported all candidate information separately by county, except for multi-county school districts, where the elected outcome reflects the multi-county result.

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR SCHOOL DISTRICT BOARD CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2023

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DISTRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM- BENT	NUMBER OF CANDI- DATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATES	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PERCENT OF VOTE	ELEC- TED ²
MONTEREY	4/18/2023	Paso Robles Joint Unified ³		Short	Enney	Kenneth	Rancher	No	2	56	75	74.7%	Yes
					Hollander	Angela	Retired Nonprofit Manager	No	2	19	75	25.3%	No
NAPA	No School District Contests												
NEVADA	No School District Contests												
ORANGE	No School District Contests												
PLACER	No School District Contests												
PLUMAS	No School District Contests												
RIVERSIDE	No School District Contests												
SACRAMENTO	11/7/2023	Natomas Unified	4	Full	Dosick	Scott	Father/Business Advocate	No	6	561	2,176	25.8%	Yes
					Khan	Amir	Parent/Business Owner	No	6	535	2,176	24.6%	No
					Borge	Brenda L.	Retired Educator	No	6	532	2,176	24.4%	No
					Hokman	Monique	Mother/Businesswoman	No	6	396	2,176	18.2%	No
					King	Krystal	Early Childhood Educator	No	6	144	2,176	6.6%	No
					Anwar	Shahzad "Shawn"	Technologist/Realtor/Parent	No	6	8	2,176	0.4%	No
		San Juan Unified	7	Full	Perez	Manuel, I.	Father/Commercial Driver	No	2	2,839	5,246	54.1%	Yes
					Sarama	Murad "Moe"	Parent/Businessman	No	2	2,407	5,246	45.9%	No
SAN BENITO	No School District Contests												
SAN BERNARDINO	No School District Contests												
SAN DIEGO	9/19/2023	Fallbrook Union High	1	Full	Rodarte	Tauna	Mother/Nonprofit Director	No	2	644	1,251	51.5%	Yes
					Hansen	Lief	Father/Firefighter	No	2	607	1,251	48.5%	No
SAN FRANCISCO	No School District Contests												
SAN JOAQUIN	3/7/2023	Oakdale Joint Unified ⁴	2	Short	Kjeldgaard	Eric	Teacher/Business Owner	No	2	22	42	52.4%	No
					Taylor	Terri	Retired School Administrator	No	2	20	42	47.6%	No
SAN JOAQUIN	12/19/2023	Vacaville Unified ⁵	6	Short	Russell	Amy Tran	Senior Assistant Dean	No	2	907	1,643	55.2%	Yes
					Stacy	Tracee	Parent/Educator	No	2	736	1,643	44.8%	No
SAN LUIS OBISPO	4/18/2023	Paso Robles Joint Unified ⁶		Short	Enney	Kenneth	Rancher	No	2	6,486	11,947	54.3%	Yes
					Hollander	Angela	Retired Nonprofit Manager	No	2	5,461	11,947	45.7%	No
SAN MATEO	No School District Contests												
SANTA BARBARA	No School District Contests												

3 Multi-county school district. We reported votes for San Luis Obispo county separately.

4 Multi-county school district. We reported votes for Stanislaus county separately.

5 Multi-county school district. We reported votes for Solano county separately.

6 Multi-county school district. We reported votes for Monterey county separately.

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR SCHOOL DISTRICT BOARD CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2023

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DISTRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM- BENT	NUMBER OF CANDI- DATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATES	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PERCENT OF VOTE	ELEC- TED ²
SANTA CLARA	11/7/2023	San Jose-Evergreen Community College	7	Short	Hale	Clay	High School Teacher	No	5	2,889	6,161	46.9%	Yes
					Dominguez	Lisanna	Educational Nonprofit Professional	No	5	2,042	6,161	33.1%	No
					Barragán	Diego R.	Father/Director	No	5	513	6,161	8.3%	No
					Eckstone	Stephen D.	Instructor/Educator	No	5	482	6,161	7.8%	No
					Macias	Anthony	Law Student	No	5	235	6,161	3.8%	No
SANTA CRUZ	No School District Contests												
SHASTA	11/7/2023	Gateway Unified	2	Short	Bowden	Casey	Parent	No	2	1,173	2,088	56.2%	Yes
					King	Camille	Business Owner/Parent	No	2	915	2,088	43.8%	No
SIERRA	No School District Contests												
SISKIYOU	No School District Contests												
SOLANO	4/11/2023	Benicia Unified	5	Full	Hirsch	Amy C.	Parent/Attorney	No	3	652	1,125	58.0%	Yes
					Martinez	Ariana A.	Social Services Staff Development Specialist	No	3	309	1,125	27.5%	No
					Mansouri	Ali	Physician	No	3	164	1,125	14.6%	No
	9/12/2023	Vacaville Unified ⁷	4	Full	Kelly	Lindsay	Insurance Claims Adjuster	No	3	487	1,048	46.5%	Yes
					McCallum	Dave	Broadcaster	No	3	436	1,048	41.6%	No
					Martin	Michael W.	No Ballot Designation	No	3	125	1,048	11.9%	No
	12/19/2023	Vacaville Unified ⁷	6	Short	Russell	Amy Tran	Senior Assistant Dean	No	2	907	1,643	55.2%	Yes
Stacy					Tracee	Parent/Educator	No	2	736	1,643	44.8%	No	
No School District Contests													
STANISLAUS	3/7/2023	Oakdale Joint Unified ⁸	2	Short	Taylor	Terri	Retired OJUSD Teacher/Administrator	No	2	482	962	50.1%	No
					Kjeldgaard	Eric	Teacher/Business Owner	No	2	480	962	49.9%	No
SUTTER	No School District Contests												
TEHAMA	No School District Contests												
TRINITY	No School District Contests												
TULARE	No School District Contests												
TUOLUMNE	No School District Contests												
VENTURA	No School District Contests												
YOLO	No School District Contests												
YUBA	No School District Contests												

⁷ Multi-county school district. We reported votes for San Joaquin county separately.

⁸ Multi-county school district. We reported votes for San Joaquin county separately.

TABLE 2.2 SUMMARY OF ELECTION OUTCOMES FOR SCHOOL BOARD MEMBER, 2023

		Percent ¹	N ²
Incumbent Candidates	Win	100.0	1
	Lose	0.0	0
	Total	100.0	1
Non-Incumbent Candidates	Win	33.3	14
	Lose	66.7	28
	Total	100.0	42
Winning Candidates	Incumbent	6.7	1
	Non-Incumbent	93.3	14
	Total	100.0	15
Losing Candidates	Incumbent	0.0	0
	Non-Incumbent	100.0	28
	Total	100.0	28
All Candidates	Incumbent	2.3	1
	Non-Incumbent	97.7	42
	Total	100.0	43

¹Percent may not sum up to 100 due to rounding.

²We excluded runoffs from totals.