

CALIFORNIA COUNTY, CITY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT
ELECTION OUTCOMES:
CANDIDATES AND BALLOT MEASURES, 2011 ELECTIONS

SCHOOL DISTRICT OFFICES AND BALLOT MEASURES

Edward L. Lascher, Jr., Ph.D.,
Acting Director, Center for California Studies
Associate Dean, College of Social Sciences and Interdisciplinary Studies

Ernest Cowles, Ph.D.,
Director, Institute for Social Research

Valory Logsdon
Research Analyst, Institute for Social Research

Ted Ryan
Graduate Research Assistant, Institute for Social Research

Center for California Studies
California State University, Sacramento
6000 J Street, Sacramento, CA 95819-6081
(916) 278-6906 FAX: (916) 278-5199

Institute for Social Research
California State University, Sacramento
6000 J Street, Sacramento, CA 95819-6101
(916) 278-5737 FAX: (916) 278-5150

Institute for Social Research Project Staff:

Michelle Falsken
Kelly Nelson
Michael Small
Sandra Sutherland

Acknowledgements

The researchers would like to sincerely thank the county elections officials and staff throughout the State of California who took time to provide data to the project. Additionally, we are grateful to the Secretary of State's Office for its continuing support and interest in this project. Without their assistance, the completion of these yearly reviews and the California Elections Data Archive would not be possible.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION.....		i
2011 County, City and School District Election Dates by County		xvii
Trend Table A	Number of Ballot Measures, Percent of Total Measures, and Percent Passing by Type, Jurisdiction and Year	xix
Trend Table B	Number of Ballot Measures, Percent of Total Measures, and Percent Passing by Topic, Jurisdiction and Year.....	xxiii
Trend Table C	Community Service District and County Service Area Measures by County	xxv
Trend Table D	Number of Community Service District and County Service Area Measures, Percent of Total County Measures, and Percent Passing by Type and Year	xxvi
Trend Table E	Comparison of Pass Rates for County-Wide and Community Service District/County Service Area Tax Measures, 1998-2011	xxvii
Trend Table F	Number of Community Service District and County Service Area Measures, Percent of Total County Measures, and Percent Passing by Topic and Year	xxviii
Trend Table G	Number of Candidates by Jurisdiction and Year	xxix
Trend Table H	Number of Candidates for Major County Offices by Year.....	xxix
Trend Table I	Percent of Incumbent Candidates and Percent of Prevailing Incumbents by Major Office, Jurisdiction and Year	xxx
2011 ELECTION SERIES SUMMARY: ELECTION OUTCOMES FOR COUNTY, CITY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT BALLOT MEASURES AND CANDIDATES		1
Table A	Summary of Outcomes for <i>All</i> County, City and School District Ballot Measures by Type of Measure and County, 2011	3
Table B	Summary of Outcomes for <i>All</i> County, City and School District Ballot Measures by Topic of Measure and County, 2011	5
Table C	Summary of Election Outcomes for <i>All</i> County, City and School District Offices, 2011	7

PART 1 VOTE TOTALS, ELECTION OUTCOMES & TEXT FOR SCHOOL DISTRICT BALLOT MEASURES..9

Table 1.1 Vote Totals for School District Ballot Measures by County, 2011 11

Table 1.2 Text for School District Ballot Measures by County, 2011 14

Table 1.3 Summary of Election Outcomes for School District Ballot Measures
by Type of Measure and County, 2011 19

PART 2 VOTE TOTALS FOR SCHOOL DISTRICT OFFICE CANDIDATES21

Table 2.1 Vote Totals for School District Office Candidates
by County and Election Date, 201123

Table 2.2 Summary of Election Outcomes for School District Offices, 201148

CALIFORNIA ELECTIONS DATA ARCHIVE

INTRODUCTION

The California Elections Data Archive (CEDA) is a joint project of the Center for California Studies and the Institute for Social Research, at the California State University, Sacramento and the office of the California Secretary of State. The purpose of CEDA is to provide researchers, citizens, public agencies and other interested parties with a single repository of local election data. With over 6,000 local jurisdictions in California, the task of monitoring local elections is nearly impossible for individuals. CEDA addresses this problem through the creation of a single, cost-effective and easily accessible source of local election data. CEDA includes candidate and ballot measure results for county, city, community college, and school district elections throughout the State. CEDA thus represents the only comprehensive repository of local election results in California and one of a very few such databases on local elections in the U.S.

How the CEDA Data is Collected and Reported

Election data are collected periodically throughout each calendar year. This enables CEDA to incorporate results from special elections as well as all regularly scheduled elections. Election results from counties, cities, and community college and school districts are entered in the CEDA database from which three standard CEDA reports are generated. These reports include:

- County Elections: Candidates, ballot designations, and vote totals for all elected county offices; vote totals and text for county ballot measures.
- City Elections: Candidates, ballot designations, and vote totals for all elected city offices; vote totals and text for all city ballot measures.
- Community College and School District Elections: Candidates, ballot designations, and vote totals for all elective community college and school district offices; vote totals and text for all district ballot measures.

Ballot measures for all jurisdictions are coded according to type (e.g., charter amendment, taxes, bond measure, initiative, etc.) and to topic (e.g., education, public safety, governance, etc.).

THE CEDA PARTNERSHIP

THE CENTER FOR CALIFORNIA STUDIES

Located at California State University, Sacramento, the Center for California Studies is a public policy, public service and curricular support unit of the California State University. The Center's location in the state Capital and its ability to draw upon the resources of the entire State University system give it a unique capacity for making contributions to public policy development and the public life of California. Center programs cover four broad areas: administration of the nationally known Assembly, Senate, Executive, and Judicial Administration Fellowship Programs; university-state government liaison and applied policy research; civic education and community service through forums, conferences and issue dialogues; and curricular support activity in the interdisciplinary field of California Studies.

INSTITUTE FOR SOCIAL RESEARCH

Established in 1989, the Institute for Social Research (ISR) is a multidisciplinary institute that is committed to advancing the understanding of the social world through applied research. The Institute offers research expertise and technical assistance serving as a resource to agencies, organizations, the University and the broader community. Services provided by the Institute include research and sampling design, measurement, coding and data entry, computer assisted telephone and field interviewing, mailed and Internet surveys, focus groups, data base management, statistical analysis and report production. ISR has completed numerous projects with more than 50 federal, state and community agencies, several private firms and many administrative units of the university. Faculty affiliates of the Institute offer specific content expertise in a wide variety of disciplines, including the social sciences, health and human services, engineering and education.

CALIFORNIA SECRETARY OF STATE

The Secretary of State is, among other duties, California's chief elections officer with the responsibility of administering the provisions of the Elections Code. The Secretary must compile state election returns and issue certificates of election to winning candidates; compile the returns and certify the results of initiative and referendum elections; certify acts delayed by referendum, and prepare and file a statement of vote. Recent legislation permits but does not mandate that the Secretary of State compile local election results.

TRENDS IN LOCAL ELECTIONS: 1995-2011

CEDA now encompasses 17 years of election data, including four gubernatorial election years (1998, 2002, 2006, and 2010), four presidential elections (1996, 2000, 2004, and 2008) and nine odd-numbered years devoted to local races. The 2011 election had 172 local ballot measures and 1,602 candidates in races for local offices. This report begins with an overview of some of the multi-year election trends then continues to a discussion of the 2011 contests.

BALLOT MEASURE TRENDS

Each year, California voters are asked to consider a number of governance issues and to choose among candidates vying for public office. Within local elections, there appear to be consistent features at all local election levels across elections over the 17 years of CEDA data collection. Other election characteristics seem to vary considerably from year to year, however. This variation is particularly noticeable between on-year (even-year) and off-year (odd-year) election cycles. In the following section, the patterns and trends seen in local elections during the 17 years of CEDA data collection are summarized.


Trends in the Number, Types, and Topics of Local Election Measures

As noted in previous reports, there is a clear trend with the number of local ballot measures offered to voters “piggy-backing” on state and national elections. On average, there were approximately two and one-half (2 ½) times as many ballot measures in even-years (588) as odd-years (236). The percentage of ballot measures passing increased by nine percent compared to the previous odd year election in 2009. Passing percent for ballot measures increased from 63 in 2009 to 72 percent in 2011; but the overall percentage of ballot measures passing remained at 63 percent.

- Among all the various types of ballot measures, *charter amendments* continued to have the largest percentage of measures passed, with more than three-quarters (77%) of *charter amendment* measures passing during the 17-year period. Through the first 16 years of CEDA the second best success was among *bond* measures. However, with the addition of the 2011 election cycle *recalls* have now become the second highest percent passing among all measure types at 69 percent. *Recalls* were closely followed by *bond* measures with two-thirds (67%) passing. However, during the past 17 years, *recall* measures only constitute four percent of all measures, whereas *bonds* constitute slightly more than one-quarter (26 %) of all measures. In terms of passage rates, following *bonds* were; *ordinances* (61%), *taxes* (55%), and *initiatives* (49%) (See Trend Table A).
- Across the three government levels—county, city and school district—at which data is collected, the largest average yearly number of ballot measures were seen at the city level (201; 50%), followed by the school district (136; 34%) and county (65; 16%). However, following the trend of previous years, school districts had the largest percentage of measures passing (66%), followed by cities (63%) and counties (57%) (See Trend Table A).
- Figure 1 displays the trends for ballot measures and the percent of measures passing from 1995-2011. As can be seen in the green trend line representing the number of measures (See Figure 1), the actual number of ballot measures cyclically varies substantially between odd and even years; however, the dashed green trend line in the figure reveals a slight downward trend in the number of measures over the period. By contrast, the orange line representing the percentage of measures passed shows much more stability over time and the dashed trend line reveals a movement toward an increased percentage of measures passed during this

timeframe. Thus, while we're seeing a jagged trend toward slightly fewer measures, we're also witnessing a gradual increase in the percentage of those measures passing.

Figure 1: Number of Measures and Percentage Passing, 1995-2011




During the 17 years of CEDA data collection, the number of ballot measures in odd-years peaked in 1997 with 342 measures. The current 2011 election saw 172 measures—the smallest in the history of CEDA data collection in an odd-year election. Interestingly, while the raw number of ballot measures was the lowest seen to date the passage rate for the 2011 elections cycle was 72 percent which is the second highest pass rate in the 17 years of data collection and 11 points above the average for odd-year elections and nine points higher than the passage rate of measures overall.

- Among the eight topic areas for local ballot measures, *education* issues continue to be the most common ballot measure area, with slightly more than one-third (34%) of all measures between 1995 and 2011 focused on this topic. Education measures occurred at twice the rate in even versus odd-year election cycles. The number of *education* measures has exceeded the number of measures dealing with other specific topics. (See Trend Table B).
- Earlier years' data have been re-coded to capture *revenue* measures. With this revision *revenue* represents 12 percent of the total ballot measures in local elections. In the 2009 election cycle *revenue* measures jumped to 29 percent of local election ballot measures from the mid-teens seen in the previous two years. However, in 2011 *revenue* has dropped five percent below its average rate (12%) to seven percent of all measure topics (See Trend Table B).
 - In 2011, among all county measures, *revenue* issues accounted for about 11 percent; but among city measures, revenue accounted for about 30 percent of measure topics.

- Between 1995 and 2010 61 percent of *revenue* measures passed. However, nearly three-quarters (74%) of *revenue* measures passed in 2011. The 2011 passing rate for *revenue* measures is third only to the 2001 and 2008 election cycles where 87 and 77 percent, respectively, of all *revenue* measures passed.
- Since the 2005 election year, no *revenue* issues have been seen at the school district level.

Figure 2 provides an overview of the average (mean) number of local ballot measures and the percent of those measures that passed in each of eight topic areas for the past 17 years (1995-2011). Interestingly, with the exception of *general services* measures, the rank ordering of the most frequent ballot topic areas (*education, governance, revenue, land use, public safety, public facilities, and transportation*) and the rank ordering of the passing rates for ballot measures by topic area (*governance, education, revenue, land use, public safety, transportation, and public facilities*) is nearly parallel. That is, the most frequent topics of measures are also the topics that pass most frequently.

Figure 2: Average Number of Local Ballot Measures Per Year and Percent Passing by Topic for the Past 17 Years



- The level of ballot measures also appeared to have little overall impact on the passing rate for various governmental levels. County measures continue to show the lowest passing rate at 57 percent overall, with school district measures having the best passing rate at 66 percent (See Trend Table B).
- As reflected in previous reports, county measures showed the greatest disparity in passing rates between odd and even-year elections, faring much better in odd-year elections. County elections witnessed a 17 percent better passing rate for *tax* propositions, a 34 percent better passing rate for *recall* measures, and a 25 percent better passing rate for *bond* proposals in odd-years versus even-years (See Trend Table A).
- Among the six types of ballot measures identified in the CEDA data, *charter amendments, recalls* and *bonds* had the highest pass rates, 77 percent, 69 percent and 67 percent


respectively, while *initiatives* and *taxes* had the lowest pass rates with 49 percent and 55 percent passing (See Trend Table A).

Trends in Bond and Tax Measures

Bonds and *tax* measures each make up slightly more than one-quarter of all measures at 26 and 29 percent respectively, and a little more than one-half (55%) of all ballot measures over the 17 years of election results tracked by CEDA. *Ordinances* and *charter amendments*, affecting policy shifts in local government, constituted another one-third (34%). *Initiatives* and *recalls* continue to account for only six percent of total local ballot measures (See Trend Table A).

- The overall percentage of local measures devoted to taxes during the past 17 years has been gradually trending upward. This trend continued in 2011, although the percentage of tax measures increased to 44 percent from 34 percent in 2010, although still off its all-time high of 51 percent in 2009 (See Figure 3).

Figure 3: Tax Measures as a Percentage of All Measures, with Trend Line for 1995-2011



- School districts remain responsible for the vast majority of *bonds* placed before voters—about 93 percent over 17 years of data collection. *Bonds* continue to make up slightly less than three-quarters (71%) of the six types of measures in school district elections.
- In the 17 years that CEDA has been collecting data, *bond* measures had much higher rates of passage than did *tax* measures. With the 2011 election, the average pass rate for *bonds* remained unchanged, some 12 percent above *taxes* at 67 and 55 percent respectively,
- Another trend observed during 17 years of CEDA data collection is that pass rates for *tax* measures are consistently higher in odd-years than in even-years—an average of 61 percent in odd-years compared with 52 percent for even-years. As noted above with regard to general pass rates, counties saw the biggest differences between pass rates for *taxes* in odd versus even-years, with an average pass rate of 56 percent in odd-years and 39 percent in even-years. The discrepancy for odd and even-years increased slightly for cities with the 2011 election—an average 62 percent pass rate in odd-years and a 56 percent pass rate in even-years. *Tax* measures for school districts also pass at higher rates in odd versus even-years.


Through 2011, school districts pass, on average, 58 percent of *tax* measures in even-years and 62 percent in odd-years (See Trend Table A).

- On the other hand, on average, pass rates for *bond* measures appear better in even-years than in odd-years (69% vs. 61% respectively). However, while *bond* measures are considerably more likely to pass in even-years versus odd-years for cities (64% vs. 52%) and in school districts (70% vs. 61%); they are more likely to pass in odd-years rather than even-years for counties (75% vs. 50% respectively) (See Trend Table A).

Trends in Community Services Districts and County Service Areas Measures

Community Service Districts (CSDs) and County Service Areas (CSAs) were introduced just before the turn of the new century as an accommodation to the tax restrictions posed by Proposition 13. Portions of a county could form a special district and agree to tax themselves to provide services that the larger county population as a whole might not support. CEDA began tracking community service district ballot measures in 1998. Despite considerable fluctuation in the number of CSD/CSA measures during the subsequent 14-year period, speculation that the number would increase over time has not been supported by the trend data (see the dashed trend line in Figure 3 below). As seen in Figure 3, there have been a vacillating number of CSDs/CSAs measures during this period; however, there has been a sizeable two-thirds decrease in the overall number of measures from 1998 to 2011.


Figure 4: Number of Measures and Trend Line for CSDs/CSAs, 1998-2011




- As discussed in previous trend summaries, one important question is whether CSD/CSA measures lose effectiveness in terms of their passage rate as they become a larger percentage of all county measures. This year's data provides additional information to consider in this question. First, although the percentage of measures for CSDs/CSAs varied up and down through the 2005 election, the overall trend since 2006 has been downward—essentially these measures are accounting for a smaller and smaller percentage of all county measures. However, due partially to the third smallest number of county measures in 17 years of CEDA, CSD/CSA measures accounted for 50 percent of all county measures. The 2011 percentage of CSD/CSA measures is only the fourth instance of CSD/CSA measures constituting at least 50 percent of all county measures (1999, 2001, and 2005 being the others). In all four instances

the number of county measures was less than the average of 65 county measures per election cycle (See Table A). Moreover, the percentage of these measures and their passage rates seem to be synchronized (except for 2003 and 2007) (See Figure 5 below).

Figure 5: CSD/CSA Measures as a Percentage of All County Measures and Percentage of CSD/CSA Measures Passing, 1998-2011



- In the 14 years of CEDA data on CSD/CSA elections (1998-2011), 288 ballot measures have presented CSD/CSA issues across all 58 counties. However, use of CSD/CSA measures varied widely among these counties. Eight counties accounted for over two-thirds (70%) of CSD/CSA-related measures—Contra Costa (24), El Dorado (42), Kern (17), Marin (44), Riverside (19), San Diego (20), San Luis Obispo (20) and Siskiyou (12). By contrast, 47 counties have had 5 or fewer CSD/CSA measures on their ballots during the 14-year period (See Trend Table C).
- In the years since their inception, the principal type of CSD/CSA measure has involved *taxes* (199; 69%). Interestingly, another funding mechanism, *bond* measures, has only appeared as CSD/CSA proposals five times (1% of the total measures). After *taxes-- ordinances* (29; 10%) and *Gann Limit* issues (29; 10%) were a distant second and third in terms of prevalence on the ballot. *Recalls* (20), *bonds* (5) and *advisory* measures (6) together only accounted for about 10 percent of the total number of measures during the 14-year period (See Trend Table D).
 - During the 14 years since their inception, CSD/CSA-related *tax* measures were passed slightly less than one-half (47%) of the time. As with other tax related ballot measures, CSD/CSA measures in this area were more apt to pass in the odd-year elections (60% pass) and more apt to fail in even-years (60% fail). Including this most recent year (2011) of data CSDs/CSAs have slightly higher passage rates for *tax* measures than counties, 47 and 41 percent respectively. On the other hand, cities do slightly better than CSDs/CSAs, passing 58 percent of their *tax* measures, while school districts enjoy the greatest success with these measures with a 60 percent passage rate (See Figure 6).

Figure 6: Tax Measures and Percent Passing by Jurisdiction

*Data available from 1998-2011.

- With the addition of the 2011 election year data, when we separate out CSD/CSA measures from all county measures, we see that non-CSD/CSA and CSD/CSA measures passed at an identical rate of 58 percent. However, CSDs/CSAs did much better than other county measures when the ballot measure involved *taxes*. Non-CSD/CSA county *tax* measures had a 41 percent pass rate, while CSD/CSA *tax* measures enjoyed a 47 percent passage rate (See Trend Table E).
- *Public safety* remains the most common focus of CSD/CSA measures, comprising slightly less than one-third of all measures (90 of 288 measures; 31%). *General services* (38) was the second most prevalent focus of CSD/CSA ballot measures, followed by *revenue* (38), *governance* (38), *transportation* (31), *public facilities* (31), *environment* (6), and *land use* (4) measures.
 - It is interesting to note that there were no *governance* measures in the first two years that CSDs/CSAs tracking was initiated (1998-99), but *governance* has appeared as a CSD/CSA issue in every election since then. *Transportation* measures were absent in 2011 for only the third time since 1998, and for the first time since 2003. *Public facilities* measures have appeared in all but five years (1999, 2003, 2008, 2010, and 2011). By contrast, *land use*, which also did not appear as CSD/CSA measures in 1998, has only appeared in two elections, 2000 and 2005. Similarly, *environment* measures have only appeared on CSD/CSA ballots during two election cycles, and have not appeared since 1999 (See Trend Table F).

CANDIDATE TRENDS


The addition of the 2011 data reinforces previous findings that stable patterns have emerged with regard to the number of candidates seeking offices, and distribution of candidates across the various local offices that are tracked.

- The total number of candidates for local offices (county boards of supervisors, other county offices, city councils, and local school boards) is consistently more than twice as high in even-numbered as opposed to odd-numbered years (See Trend Table G).
- In the 17 years of CEDA data collection, school district candidates have comprised just under one half (48%) of all candidates for local offices. As might be expected given the raw number of offices at various levels of local government, candidates for city offices make up about 38 percent of the local candidates, while the smallest percentage of local election candidates reflects those seeking county offices (about 14%).
- In the on-year elections, city candidates and school district candidates are fairly similar in terms of the number of candidates. However, with the exceptions of the 2011 elections, school district candidates have the largest number of candidates in the off-year election cycles where they make up more than half of the candidates on the ballot (See Trend Table G).
 - In all prior off-year elections the number of candidates for school boards was higher than the number of candidates for city offices. However, in 2011 candidates for school board contests reached their lowest level in the 17 years of CEDA and fell four candidates below the number of city candidates (730 and 734, respectively).
 - On average, the percentage of candidates running for county offices in even-years is approximately three times the percentage of candidates running for county offices in odd-years. County candidates averaged 18 percent of all local election candidates in even-years, but comprised only 6 percent of the candidates in odd-years (See Trend Table G).
- Over the 17 years of data collection, county candidates made up about 14 percent of all candidates in local elections (See Trend Table H).
 - Among candidates for county offices, 35 percent were running for county supervisor positions, while 22 percent were seeking CSD/CSA seats.
- On average, during the 17 years of CEDA data collection, slightly less than one-third (32%) of all candidates for local offices were incumbents (See Trend Table I).
 - About 34 percent of those seeking school district seats were incumbents.
 - Approximately 26 percent of those seeking city council positions were incumbents.
 - About 29 percent of those seeking county supervisor seats were incumbents, however, with the exception of 1997 (5 races) and 2011 (1 race), there are typically no races for county supervisor in odd-year elections.
- During the 17 year period, four out of every five (80%) incumbents running for reelection at the city, county, and school district levels won their respective offices (See Figure 5 and Trend Table I).
 - Eighty four percent (84%) of county supervisor¹ incumbents won reelection.
 - About 79 percent of incumbent city council office holders win their elections.

¹ This percentage is calculated on those years in which county supervisors were normally up for election. In off years there were either no candidates or a very small number running for vacated seats.

- Seventy-seven percent (77%) of incumbent school district candidates win their elections (See Trend Table I).
- In local elections, during the past 17 years, a little more than half (54%) of winning candidates are incumbents. This means that the local political arena is seeing a fresh mixture of individuals comprising local elected offices and bodies with each election cycle. Conversely, this also suggests that fears of control of these institutions by a group of long-term political incumbents may be overstated.
 - Sixty-two percent (62%) of winning candidates for county supervisor positions are incumbents.
 - About 50 percent of candidates for city council who win are incumbents.
 - Fifty-three percent (53%) of winning school district candidates were in office at the time of their reelection (See Trend Table I).

Figure 7: Percent of Local Contests Won and Lost by Incumbent Candidates



2011 ELECTION DATA

2011 BALLOT MEASURES

The 2011 election cycle presented California voters with 27 percent fewer measures than the average for odd-year elections. In 2011, a total of 172 county, city and school district ballot measures were presented. However, 124 of the 172 (72%) ballot measures were enacted, which is 11 percent higher than the average for odd-year elections since 1995. The high passage rate seems to coincide with the overall trend of fewer measures resulting in a higher rate of passage (See Figure 1).

Tax measures comprised the largest share of all 2011 measures. Of 172 ballot measures, 75 were *tax* measures, representing 44 percent of all measures. *Tax* measures passed at a rate of 67 percent, which is six points above the average (61%) for odd-year elections. Other types of measures in the 2011 election cycle included *charter amendments* (18%), *ordinances* (17%), *recalls* (9%), *bonds* (6%), and *initiatives* (1%).

In 2011 *bonds* constituted only six percent of all measures, the second lowest percentage in 17 years of CEDA data collection (2009; 3%). Only 10 *bond* measures, across six counties, were on ballots. However, fewer *bond* measures did not result in a lower than average passing rate. In 2011, 80 percent of all *bond* measures were enacted. The 2011 *bond* passage rate is 19 percent higher than the average for odd-year elections and 13 percent higher than the passage rate from 1995-2011 (See Trend Table A). In this instance the low number of *bond* measures demonstrates the trend presented earlier in Figure 1 of this report. Furthermore, *bonds* addressed only two topics in 2011, education and transportation. The lone transportation *bond* measure passed in San Francisco, approving \$248 million in general obligation bonds for infrastructure improvements. Of the nine education *bonds* seven passed. Education *bonds* addressed infrastructure improvements and/or program improvements and/or retention of existing programs and staff. Education *bonds* ranged from \$1.1 million for Bradley Union Elementary in Monterey, which passed, to \$564 million for San Mateo Community College, which failed.

The 2009 CEDA Report noted the unusual number and high success rates of local *tax* measures. That year, local voters faced a total of 99 *tax* measures, of which 66 or 67% were approved. This pattern of approval for local *tax* measures continued in 2010 as well as 2011. Sixty percent of *tax* measures were approved in 2010 and 67 percent were approved in 2011 (See Trend Table A). However, this trend appears to start prior to 2009. Since 2007 *tax* measures passed at least 60 percent of the time. Separating years 2007-2011 from years 1995-2006, the overall passage rate for *tax* measures has increased from 50 percent (1995-2006) to 66 percent (2007-2011). Examining the differences in passage rates for *tax* measures requiring simple majorities (50%+1) and two-thirds majorities (66%) from 1995-2006 and 2007-2012 demonstrates a sizeable increase in passage rates across both majority types. For *tax* measures requiring a simple majority, the 1995-2006 rate of passage is 57 percent versus 72 percent for years 2007-2011. For *tax* measures requiring two-thirds majorities, the 1995-2006 rate of passage is 46 percent versus 59 percent for years 2007-2011.

In the 2011 election cycle, 75 *tax* measures were presented to California voters in 27 counties accounting for 44 percent of all measures, the highest percent since 2008 (55%) and the second highest in the history of CEDA. Of these 27 counties, six (Alameda, Contra Costa, Los Angeles, Marin, Riverside, and San Mateo) accounted for 56 percent of all *tax* measures. The number of *tax* measures does not seem to correlate, positively or negatively, with the number of *tax* measures

passed in each county. For example, 19 counties presented two or fewer *tax* measures to voters. Of these counties, 11 had a passage rate of 100 percent, two had a passage rate of 50 percent, and in six counties no *tax* measures passed. Many counties presented voters with higher numbers of *tax* measures, such as San Mateo which presented ten *tax* measures, nine of which passed. Los Angeles presented nine *tax* measures, five of which passed. Alameda presented six *tax* measures to voters, three of which passed. Santa Clara presented five measures, all of which passed. Marin presented seven *tax* measures, all which passed.

Tax measures in 2011 were presented for an array of purposes and proposed to increase or reduce taxes on an array of different activities and actors. For example, the city of West Hollywood presented an ordinance which would have established a seven percent tax on “off-site advertising signs”. However, the ordinance was defeated by an 80 percent majority. The city of Holtville presented voters with two *tax* measures which would have reduced or eliminated the utility users’ tax over three years, both of which were defeated. A business *tax* measure in the city of Los Angeles proposed a \$50 tax per \$1,000 of gross receipts on marijuana dispensaries, which passed by a 59 percent majority.

Of the 75 *tax* measures, 43 were property *tax* measures, 27 (63%) of which passed. Many property *tax* measures were presented to provide additional funding for schools and to preserve government services. For instance, Davis Joint Unified school district proposed a two years property *tax* increase to offset reductions in state funding; the measure required a two-thirds majority and passed with 67 percent of the vote. Lucas Valley County Service Area No. 13 in Marin passed a property *tax* increase, to be phased in over four years, of \$10 per living unit and \$.022 per square foot of non-residential structures to maintain paramedic services. In addition to property *tax* measures, there were nine sales *tax* measures (6 passed) and seven utility *tax* measures (4 passed).

Each year California voters are presented with measures that are both interesting and unique, some examples include:

- Voters in Beverly Hills considered two measures to provide limited free parking in some city owned parking lots to residents, one of which passed.
- A city of Vernon charter amendment passed with nearly 100 percent of the vote eliminating the city council’s ability to raise their compensation beyond cost of living increases.
- A measure amending San Francisco county’s/city’s ordinances to redefine “campaign consultant”, require campaign consultants to file monthly electronic reports, and make changes to the fees paid by campaign consultants was defeated.
- The city of Vallejo passed a measure to tax marijuana dispensaries at a maximum rate of 10% and a base tax of \$500 to fund city services.

County Measures. In 2011 there were a total of 20 county ballot measures across 11 counties. Of the 20 county measures, 16 (80%) passed while four (30%) failed. The bulk of county measures were *tax* measures (7) and *ordinances* (4). The most common topic was *governance*, with 10 such measures appearing on county ballots. However, in 2011 four-fifths (80%) of county measures passed, marking the highest passing rate for county measures through 17 years of CEDA data collection.

City Measures. Voters cast ballots on a total of 105 city measures of which 78 (74%) passed. The overall total of city measures is less than the odd-year average of 115 measures. The 74 percent

pass rate is the highest in any year since 1995, again supporting the trend discussed earlier in Figure 1 of this report. The majority of city measures dealt with *governance* (49 measures or 47% of measures) and *revenue* (32 measures or 31% of measures). Common types of city measures were *taxes* (37 measures or 35% of all city measures) and *ordinances* (23 measures or 22% of city measures). There were also 28 proposed *charter amendments*, 82 percent of which passed.

School District Measures. The year saw a total of 47 school district ballot measures of which 30 (64%) were approved. In comparison, the average for odd-years since 1995 is 92 (62% pass rate) and for all years the average is 136 (66% pass rate). Therefore the passage rate for 2011 was above average for an odd-year election and comparable to the overall average. Tax measures accounted for 31 of the 47 school district measures and passed at a rate of 68 percent compared to the 62 percent average in odd-year elections.

2011 CANDIDATE ELECTIONS

A total of 1,602 Californians ran for local elected office in 2011, which is the lowest number for any year since CEDA has been active. Candidate elections took place at all levels of local government: cities, counties, and school districts.

County Races. California counties generally elect their officials in even-numbered years. Counties elect five-member board of supervisors (their four-year terms are staggered so not all seats are up each year) except the City and County of San Francisco (the boundaries of the City of San Francisco and the County of San Francisco are identical) which has an eleven member board. Counties also elect judges, sheriffs, clerks, district attorneys and members of the governing boards of community service districts (CSD), which are agencies of the county.

In 2011 counties held elections for 30 open seats across 16 counties. The most common of these were CSD/CSA Director seats, accounting for 25 (83%) of 30 contests. Of the 138 county candidates for county offices, 103 (75%) ran for CSD/CSA Director seats across 22 different CSDs/CSAs and 14 counties. However, the number of CSD/CSA candidates is the lowest total for CSD/CSA Director contests since 1998 when there were 22. Of the 103 CSD/CSA Director candidates 31 were incumbents, 23 of which won (74%).

Only five contests for county offices were held in 2011 outside of CSD/CSA Director contests. San Mateo had one County Supervisor contest, marking only the second time in 17 years of CEDA data collection that a County Supervisor contest occurred in an odd-year election. The City and County of San Francisco held three contests: mayor, district attorney, and sheriff. There were no incumbents for any of the San Francisco contests. The last of the non-CSD/CSA Director contests was held for the Midcoast communities in San Mateo, electing three members to community council offices. The Midcoast Community Council advises the San Mateo Board of Supervisors on issues relating to the Midcoast communities.

City Races. California cities elected mayors, council members, treasurers, clerks, and a handful of other officials in 2011. By far the most common contests were races for city council.

A total of 734 candidates ran for 199 city council contests, including eight recall contests. Twenty-four percent (153) of all city council candidates were incumbents and 79 percent of all incumbents won. Incumbent candidates accounted for 47 percent of all winning candidates in city council elections for 2011. Other city contests included city clerk/city assessor, city treasurer, mayor, and city attorney. Of these contests, only three of 31 (10%) incumbent candidates lost, although ten non-incumbent candidates also won.

School District Races. The 2011 election cycle had the fewest number of school district candidates in 17 years of data collection. There were 730 candidates for school board elections in 2011. Of these, approximately 34 percent were incumbents. Of the 245 incumbents, 200 or 82 percent won, while 191 or 39 percent of non-incumbent candidates won. In total, there were 203 school board contests across 19 counties. Incumbent candidates accounted for 52 percent of all winning candidates in school board contests, or 200 of 391 total winning candidates. 2011 marks the second highest percentage of winning incumbent candidates at 82 percent, with 1998 being the highest at 83 percent and the average from 1995-2011 being 77 percent.

Recall Elections

The California Constitution allows voters the possibility to remove a local elected official if a sufficient number of voters sign a recall petition and a majority approves the recall in a subsequent election. In 2011 Californians voted on 16 recalls across four counties (Contra Costa, Fresno, Los Angeles, and Mendocino) and three office types (city council, CSD director, and school board member). The number of recalls in 2011 (16) is slightly higher than the average for odd-year elections (15). The passage rate for recalls in 2011 was five points higher than average for odd-year elections (75% and 70% respectively). However, recall passage rates exceeded 70 percent eleven times in the history of CEDA.

Although the aggregate of 2011 recalls aligns well with past years of CEDA data collection, when recalls for city offices are separated from other recalls in 2011 interesting differences are observed. In 2011, ten recalls for city council offices were presented to voters in three cities: Bell, Hercules, and Point Arena. All ten (100%) of these recalls passed versus the 77 percent average rate of passage for odd-year city office recalls. Also, the number of city office recalls proposed (10) is twice the average for odd-year elections (5) and is the highest number of such recalls in an odd-year throughout the 17 year history of CEDA. Furthermore, at least 95 percent of all voters in the city of Bell approved recalls removing Oscar Hernandez, Luis Artiga, George Marabal, and Teresa Jacobo from the Bell City Council. These majorities are the highest in the 17 year history of CEDA for a recall election.

Investigations into the finances and pay of public officials in the city of Bell garnered local, state, and national attention. Among the examples of exorbitant compensation were the nearly \$800,000 salary of the City Manager and the nearly \$100,000 salaries of Bell's part-time City Council. These salaries are especially shocking considering Bell's population is 35,477 and the average household income is \$46,158 or 45 percent below California's average household income (according to American Community Survey Five-Year Estimates).

2011 COUNTY, CITY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT ELECTION DATES BY COUNTY

	1/11	1/25	2/22	3/1	3/8	3/22	4/5	4/12	4/19	5/3	5/17	6/7	6/21	7/12	8/30	11/8	11/15	11/22
Alameda					✓					✓						✓	✓	
Butte												✓						
Calaveras															✓			
Colusa								✓										
Contra Costa										✓		✓						
El Dorado					✓													
Fresno																		
Humboldt															✓			
Imperial																		
Inyo																		
Kern																		
Lake																		
Los Angeles	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		✓	✓				✓		✓
Marin										✓								
Mendocino															✓			
Merced																		
Mono																		
Monterey																		
Nevada					✓													
Orange					✓							✓						
Placer					✓													
Plumas																		
Riverside					✓							✓						
Sacramento															✓			
San Benito												✓						
San Bernardino													✓	✓	✓			
San Francisco																		
San Luis Obispo															✓			✓
San Mateo					✓					✓						✓		

2011 COUNTY, CITY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT ELECTION DATES BY COUNTY

	1/11	1/25	2/22	3/1	3/8	3/22	4/5	4/12	4/19	5/3	5/17	6/7	6/21	7/12	8/30	11/8	11/15	11/22
Santa Barbara																✓		
Santa Clara										✓							✓	
Santa Cruz										✓								
Siskiyou												✓						
Solano												✓						✓
Sonoma															✓			✓
Stanislaus					✓													✓
Tulare																		✓
Ventura																		✓
Yolo										✓				✓				

Trend Table A Number of Ballot Measures, Percent of Total Measures, and Percent Passing by Type, Jurisdiction and Year

	All Measures			Bonds			Taxes			Ordinance			Recalls			Initiatives			Charter Amendment		
	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing
All Measures																					
1995-2011	402	100	63	104	26	67	117	29	55	88	22	61	16	4	69	9	2	49	50	12	77
Even Years	588	100	64	156	27	69	167	28	52	136	23	61	17	3	69	15	2	50	71	12	76
Odd Years	236	100	61	57	24	61	73	0	61	45	19	62	15	6	70	5	2	45	31	13	78
County																					
1995-2011	65	16	57	2	3	62	26	40	41	21	33	63	2	3	69	2	3	50	7	10	64
Even Years	105	18	53	3	3	50	42	40	39	35	33	62	2	2	50	4	4	48	11	11	63
Odd Years	29	12	67	2	6	75	11	37	56	9	31	70	2	7	84	0	1	67	2	8	68
City																					
1995-2011	201	50	63	5	3	60	67	33	58	60	30	58	7	4	72	7	4	48	43	21	79
Even Years	298	51	63	8	3	64	100	34	56	94	31	59	10	3	69	11	4	51	59	20	79
Odd Years	115	49	65	3	2	52	37	32	62	30	26	56	5	5	78	4	4	43	29	25	79
School District																					
1995-2011	136	34	66	96	71	67	25	18	60	7	5	79	6	5	66	0	0	50			
Even Years	185	31	69	145	79	70	24	13	58	8	4	77	5	3	74						
Odd Years	92	39	62	53	57	61	25	28	62	6	6	81	7	8	61	0	0	100			

Trend Table A Number of Ballot Measures, Percent of Total Measures, and Percent Passing by Type, Jurisdiction and Year

	All Measures			Bonds			Taxes			Ordinance			Recalls			Initiatives			Charter Amendment			
	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	
All Measures	1995	253	100	37	91	36	47	26	10	35	46	18	61	8	3	88	8	3	50	55	22	93
	1996	573	100	57	64	11	59	142	25	40	176	31	58	32	6	72	18	3	39	115	20	73
	1997	342	100	60	127	37	59	100	29	56	45	13	69	29	8	38	7	2	71	31	9	81
	1998	572	100	61	144	25	58	162	28	48	115	20	58	19	3	74	9	2	56	94	16	77
	1999	283	100	60	107	38	59	54	19	57	68	24	57	14	5	71	10	4	40	20	7	50
	2000	559	100	58	135	24	60	122	22	39	154	28	58	11	2	100	21	4	67	79	14	67
	2001	233	100	70	73	31	75	68	29	72	33	14	58	21	9	71	1	0	100	25	11	60
	2002	657	100	68	245	37	76	155	24	54	136	21	54	8	1	63	10	2	40	77	12	77
	2003	178	100	63	22	12	55	62	35	48	47	26	70	9	5	89	5	3	40	24	13	75
	2004	712	100	63	179	25	75	258	36	47	144	20	64	11	2	73	14	2	29	72	10	79
	2005	295	100	64	57	19	74	111	38	58	59	20	54	11	4	82	7	2	43	35	12	89
	2006	556	100	62	185	33	59	142	26	56	123	22	63	17	3	29	22	4	36	39	7	82
	2007	179	100	72	22	12	55	61	34	74	40	22	58	13	7	100	1	1	0	38	21	79
	2008	593	100	75	201	34	82	188	32	67	123	21	65	12	2	58	11	2	91	39	7	90
	2009	193	100	63	6	3	33	99	51	67	35	18	63	13	7	69	3	2	33	20	10	60
2010	482	100	67	97	20	70	164	34	60	117	24	67	27	6	78	11	2	55	50	10	76	
2011	172	100	72	10	6	80	75	44	67	29	17	72	16	9	75	2	1	0	31	18	81	
County Measures	1995	17	7	53				6	35	33	2	12	0						6	35	83	
	1996	114	20	44	3	3	33	34	30	26	41	36	54	5	4	80	7	6	14	17	15	47
	1997	24	7	63	7	29	57	7	29	71	4	17	100	2	8	50				4	17	25
	1998	125	22	59	1	1	0	53	42	40	32	26	75				4	3	25	25	20	76
	1999	38	13	63	1	3	100	21	55	48	8	21	63							4	11	100
	2000	116	21	49	6	5	83	51	44	27	28	24	50				8	7	88	8	7	38
	2001	37	16	73	3	8	100	14	38	71	11	30	64	4	11	75				1	3	0
	2002	98	15	56	5	5	20	38	39	45	39	40	67	1	1	0	2	2	50	7	7	71
	2003	28	16	64				12	43	25	15	54	100	1	4	0						
	2004	140	20	54	0	2	0	60	43	45	47	34	62	1	1	0	4	3	25	18	13	56
	2005	57	19	63	3	5	67	24	42	67	16	28	56	3	5	100	3	5	67	2	4	50
	2006	95	17	52				45	47	40	30	32	60	4	4	25	2	2	50	6	6	83
	2007	29	16	76	1	3	100	3	10	67	16	55	63	8	28	100						
	2008	90	15	62	3	3	100	33	37	42	40	44	65	1	1	100	2	2	100	4	4	100
	2009	16	8	69				4	25	50	6	38	67	1	6	100				2	13	100
2010	64	13	53	3	5	67	25	39	48	22	34	59	4	6	50	2	3	50	6	9	50	
2011	18	100	78	1	6	100	7	39	71	4	22	75							3	17	67	

Trend Table A Number of Ballot Measures, Percent of Total Measures, and Percent Passing by Type, Jurisdiction and Year

	All Measures			Bonds			Taxes			Ordinance			Recalls			Initiatives			Charter Amendment			
	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	
City Measures	1995	119	47	71	4	3	75	7	6	29	38	32	58				7	6	43	49	41	94
	1996	374	65	60	10	3	30	100	27	43	115	31	59	24	6	79	11	3	55	98	26	78
	1997	144	42	58	2	1	50	70	49	50	28	19	54	9	6	22	7	5	71	27	19	89
	1998	283	49	60	9	3	78	99	35	47	78	28	53	7	2	43	5	2	80	69	24	77
	1999	114	40	54	4	4	75	22	19	55	48	42	48	8	7	100	10	9	40	16	14	38
	2000	297	53	60	11	4	82	65	22	45	113	38	56	6	2	100	13	4	54	71	24	70
	2001	93	40	69	8	9	63	31	33	74	18	19	61	3	3	100	1	1	100	24	26	63
	2002	309	47	60	12	4	83	102	33	58	94	30	48	5	2	60	8	3	38	70	23	77
	2003	89	50	67	2	2	50	14	16	71	29	33	55	6	7	100	5	6	40	24	27	75
	2004	337	47	59	7	2	43	147	44	46	92	27	63	6	2	67	10	3	30	54	16	87
	2005	135	46	61	2	1	0	47	35	55	37	27	51	3	2	33	4	3	25	33	24	91
	2006	253	46	64	10	4	50	82	32	70	85	34	61	6	2	17	20	8	35	33	13	82
	2007	108	60	71	2	2	0	40	37	73	19	18	53	5	5	100	1	1	0	38	35	79
	2008	258	44	73	5	2	100	111	43	71	80	31	65	8	3	38	9	3	89	35	14	89
	2009	130	67	61	1	1	0	63	48	68	28	22	61	3	2	33	3	2	33	18	14	56
2010	270	56	71	2	1	0	95	35	69	91	34	67	16	6	94	8	3	63	44	16	80	
2011	107	100	75				37	35	65	23	21	70	12	11	100	2	2	0	28	26	82	
School District Measures	1995	117	46	52	87	74	46	13	11	38	6	5	100	8	7	88	1	1	100			
	1996	85	15	62	51	60	67	8	9	63	20	24	60	3	4	0						
	1997	174	51	62	118	68	59	23	13	70	13	7	92	18	10	44						
	1998	164	29	62	134	82	57	10	6	100	5	3	40	12	7	92						
	1999	131	46	62	102	78	58	11	8	82	12	9	92	6	5	33						
	2000	146	26	63	118	81	57	6	4	67	13	9	92	5	3	100						
	2001	103	44	71	62	60	76	23	22	70	4	4	25	14	14	64						
	2002	250	38	76	228	91	77	15	6	53	3	1	100	2	1	100						
	2003	61	34	52	20	33	55	36	59	47	3	5	67	2	3	100						
	2004	235	33	73	172	73	77	51	22	53	5	2	100	4	2	100						
	2005	103	35	69	52	50	77	40	39	55	6	6	67	5	5	100						
	2006	208	37	58	175	84	59	15	7	27	8	4	88	7	3	43						
	2007	42	23	67	19	45	58	18	43	78	5	12	60									
	2008	245	41	80	193	79	81	44	18	75	3	1	67	3	1	100						
	2009	47	24	66	5	11	40	32	68	66	1	2	100	9	19	78						
2010	148	31	64	92	62	72	44	30	45	4	3	100	7	5	57	1	1	0				
2011	47	100	64	9	19	78	31	66	68	2	4	100	4	9	0							

Trend Table B Number of Ballot Measures, Percent of Total Measures, and Percent Passing by Topic, Jurisdiction and Year

	All Measures			Education			Governance			Land Use			Public Safety			Public Facilities			General Services			Transportation			Revenue			
	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	
All Measures	1995	253	100	61	121	48	54	63	25	84	16	6	63	12	5	50	14	6	50				2	1	0	5	2	60
	1996	573	100	57	87	15	64	214	37	66	54	9	56	39	7	51	38	7	37	10	2	40	8	1	50	87	15	46
	1997	342	100	60	175	51	62	43	13	67	19	6	68	12	4	42	15	4	40	38	11	61	4	1	50	10	3	70
	1998	572	100	60	158	28	63	131	23	64	46	8	70	41	7	49	32	6	56	28	5	82	23	4	70	75	13	43
	1999	283	100	59	119	42	59	62	22	63	29	10	41	14	5	57	4	1	75	14	5	57	8	3	88	23	8	65
	2000	559	100	59	151	27	63	141	25	64	73	13	55	32	6	50	39	7	67	20	4	55	21	4	43	5	1	20
	2001	233	100	70	105	45	71	46	20	67	7	3	71	11	5	73	19	8	58	7	3	71	4	2	25	31	13	87
	2002	657	100	65	250	38	76	144	22	66	44	7	43	42	6	57	35	5	49	20	3	60	10	2	40	85	13	62
	2003	178	100	62	61	34	52	52	29	73	15	8	60	12	7	50	5	3	60	6	3	100	8	4	38	13	7	62
	2004	712	100	62	238	33	72	139	20	73	58	8	52	55	8	47	37	5	38	23	3	70	25	4	76	110	15	47
	2005	295	100	64	102	35	70	61	21	70	28	9	39	18	6	44	14	5	64	18	6	67	13	4	62	33	11	70
	2006	556	100	60	208	37	58	109	20	60	51	9	61	37	7	73	22	4	41	12	2	58	22	4	50	61	11	62
	2007	179	100	71	42	23	67	63	35	81	18	10	39	5	3	100	8	4	88	7	4	86	4	2	25	31	17	68
	2008	593	100	74	246	41	80	99	17	74	43	7	72	39	7	49	32	5	66	10	2	80	14	2	50	92	16	77
2009	193	100	63	47	24	66	42	22	64	17	9	47	10	5	60	7	4	86	8	4	25	2	1	0	56	29	71	
2010	482	100	66	149	31	64	138	29	74	30	6	47	27	6	67	12	2	75	9	2	56	7	1	71	95	20	65	
2011	172	100	72	48	10	65	59	12	81	8	2	75	9	2	56	5	1	100	4	1	50	2	0	50	34	7	74	
County Measures	1995	17	7	53			7	41	71	3	18	33				3	18	67				1	6	0	3	18	33	
	1996	114	20	44	1	1	100	44	39	59	12	11	33	8	7	38	16	14	13	1	1	100	4	4	75	16	14	31
	1997	24	7	63	1	4	100	5	21	60	3	13	100	2	8	0	5	21	40	5	21	80	1	4	100	1	4	0
	1998	125	22	59				25	20	76	13	10	62	14	11	36	12	10	33	18	14	72	16	13	75	12	10	25
	1999	38	13	63				5	13	80				3	8	33	3	8	67	7	18	29	8	21	88	7	18	86
	2000	116	21	49	1	1	100	22	19	64	17	15	35	14	12	36	16	14	44	8	7	63	16	14	44	3	3	33
	2001	37	16	73	2	5	100	12	32	58	1	3	100	7	19	100	6	16	67	4	11	75	1	3	0	4	11	75
	2002	98	15	56				34	35	71	7	7	71	15	15	33	11	11	36	7	7	57	5	5	40	12	12	67
	2003	28	16	64				10	36	90				5	18	40				2	7	100	2	7	50	6	21	17
	2004	140	20	54	3	2	33	32	23	66	14	10	14	22	16	50	13	9	54	4	3	50	21	15	76	17	12	41
	2005	57	19	63				12	21	67	6	11	33	6	11	33	8	14	75	9	16	78	9	16	78	4	7	50
	2006	95	17	52				28	29	54	10	11	70	11	12	55	8	8	38	2	2	50	15	16	40	12	13	33
	2007	29	16	76				14	48	93	5	17	0				5	17	100	2	7	100	2	7	50			
	2008	90	15	62	1	1	100	25	28	76	7	8	86	14	16	43	14	16	50	4	4	75	7	8	57	11	12	64
2009	16	8	69				7	44	86	3	19	33				3	19	100				1	6	0	2	13	50	
2010	64	13	53				23	36	57	6	9	50	12	19	50	2	3	100	1	2	0	5	8	80	11	17	45	
2011	18	4	78	1	6	100	8	44	75				5	28	60	1	6	100				1	6	100	2	11	100	

TREND TABLE D NUMBER OF COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT AND COUNTY SERVICE AREA MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL COUNTY MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TYPE AND YEAR

	ALL CSD/CSA			TAXES			BONDS			ADVISORY			RECALLS			GANN LIMIT			ORDINANCE		
	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate
1998	31	25	55	22	18	45									1	1	0	8	6	88	
1999	20	53	60	16	42	56									3	8	100	1	3	0	
2000	40	34	48	28	24	29	1	1	100	3	3	67			6	5	100	2	2	100	
2001	22	59	77	12	32	75	2	5	100				3	8	100	3	8	100	2	5	0
2002	18	18	44	14	14	36									4	4	75				
2003	13	46	38	11	39	27												2	7	100	
2004	30	21	50	24	17	42				1	1	100			2	1	100	3	2	67	
2005	31	54	74	23	40	65	2	4	100				3	5	100	1	2	100	2	4	100
2006	24	25	50	15	16	47							4	4	25	1	1	100	4	4	75
2007	8	28	88	3	10	67							3	10	100	1	3	100	1	3	100
2008	18	20	61	11	12	45				1	1	0			4	4	100	2	2	100	
2009	6	38	83	3	19	67							1	6	100	1	6	100	1	6	100
2010	15	23	40	11	17	36							4	6	50						
2011	10	8	90	4	20	75				1	5	100	2	10	100	2	10	100	1	5	100
1998-2011	288	30	58	199	21	47	5	1	100	6	1	67	20	2	75	29	3	93	29	3	79

TREND TABLE E COMPARISON OF PASS RATES FOR COUNTY-WIDE AND COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT/ COUNTY SERVICE AREA TAX MEASURES, 1998-2010

	NON-CSD/CSA COUNTY-WIDE MEASURES			CSD/CSA MEASURES		NON-CSD/CSA COUNTY-WIDE TAX MEASURES		CSD/CSA COUNTY TAX MEASURES	
	Total Number of County Measures	Number of Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	Percent Passing
1998	125	94	61	31	55	31	35	22	45
1999	38	18	67	20	60	5	20	16	56
2000	116	76	50	40	48	23	26	28	29
2001	37	15	67	22	77	2	50	12	75
2002	98	80	59	18	44	24	50	14	36
2003	28	15	87	13	38	1	0	11	27
2004	140	110	55	30	50	36	47	24	42
2005	57	26	50	31	74	1	100	23	65
2006	95	71	52	24	50	30	37	15	47
2007	29	21	71	8	88	0	0	3	67
2008	90	72	63	18	61	22	0	11	45
2009	16	10	60	6	83	1	0	3	67
2010	64	49	57	15	40	14	57	11	36
2011	20	10	70	10	90	3	67	4	75
1998-2011	953	667	58	288	58	193	41	199	47

Trend Table F Number of Community Service District and County Service Area Measures, Percent of Total County Measures, and Percent Passing by Topic and Year

	ALL CSD/CSA			LAND USE			PUBLIC SAFETY			GOVERNANCE			ENVIRONMENT			TRANSPORTATION			PUBLIC FACILITIES			GENERAL SERVICES			REVENUE		
	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Percent Passing
1998	31	25	55				12	10	42				3	2	67	2	2	50	1	1	0	8	6	88	4	3	25
1999	20	53	60				2	5	50				3	8	0	5	13	100				5	13	40	5	13	80
2000	40	34	48	2	2	0	10	9	30	2	2	100				6	5	17	5	4	40	5	4	60	1	1	100
2001	22	59	77				6	16	100	4	11	75				1	3	0	5	14	60	4	11	75	2	5	100
2002	18	18	44				11	11	45	3	3	67							3	3	33	1	1	0			
2003	13	46	38				5	18	40	2	7	100													6	21	17
2004	30	21	50				17	12	47	1	1	0				4	3	50	4	3	50	1	1	0	2	1	100
2005	31	54	74	2	4	0	1	2	100	3	5	100				6	11	100	6	11	67	9	16	78	4	7	50
2006	24	25	50				7	7	71	7	7	43				2	2	50	3	3	0	2	2	50	3	3	67
2007	8	28	88							4	14	100				1	3	0	1	3	100	2	7	100			
2008	18	20	61				8	9	50	2	2	100				2	2	50				1	1	0	5	6	80
2009	6	38	83							2	13	100				1	6	0	2	13	100				1	6	100
2010	15	23	40				7	11	43	4	6	50				1	2	0							3	5	33
2011	10	50	90				4	20	75	4	20	100													2	10	100
1998-2011	288	30	58	4	0	0	90	9	51	38	4	76	6	1	33	31	3	55	31	3	52	38	3	52	39	4	62

Trend Table G Number of Candidates by Jurisdiction and Year

	Number of Candidates			
	All Candidates	County Candidates	City Candidates	School District Candidates
1995	2,354	0	732	1,622
1996	5,330	667	2,141	2,522
1997	2,476	23	736	1,717
1998	5,354	1,037	1,893	2,424
1999	2,274	135	724	1,415
2000	5,012	796	2,166	2,050
2001	2,505	189	688	1,628
2002	5,896	1,266	2,188	2,442
2003	2,086	205	566	1,315
2004	5,035	782	2,212	2,041
2005	2,546	167	979	1,400
2006	5,498	1,136	2,132	2,230
2007	2,021	207	811	1,003
2008	5,237	782	2,282	2,173
2009	2,066	143	863	1,060
2010	6,022	1,177	2,321	2,524
2011	1,602	138	734	730
Total	63,314	8,850	24,168	30,296

*Runoffs are excluded from totals.

Trend Table H Number of Candidates for Major County Offices by Year

	Total Number of Candidates	Number of County Candidates	County Supervisor Candidates		CSD/CSA Candidates	
			Number of Candidates	% of County Candidates	Number of Candidates	% of County Candidates
1995	2,354	0	0	0	*	*
1996	5,330	667	470	70	*	*
1997	2,476	23	19	83	*	*
1998	5,354	1,037	309	30	22	2
1999	2,274	135	5	4	109	81
2000	5,012	796	441	55	174	22
2001	2,505	189	0	0	186	98
2002	5,896	1,266	306	24	127	10
2003	2,086	205	10	5	175	85
2004	5,035	782	447	57	125	16
2005	2,546	167	4	2	155	93
2006	5,498	1,136	310	27	160	14
2007	2,021	207	10	5	161	78
2008	5,237	782	441	56	174	22
2009	2,066	143	0	0	141	99
2010	6,022	1,177	331	28	170	14
2011	1,602	138	6	4	103	75
TOTAL	63,314	8,850	3,109	35	1,982	22

*The California Elections Data Archive did not collect information on CSD/CSA candidates until 1998.

**Runoffs are excluded from totals.

Trend Table I Percent of Incumbent Candidates and Percent of Prevailing Incumbents by Major Office, Jurisdiction and Year

		% of All Candidates	% of County Supervisor Candidates	% of City Council Candidates	% of School District Candidates
Percent of Candidates who are incumbents	1995	27	0	18	30
	1996	27	24	23	28
	1997	30	5	23	33
	1998	32	30	26	32
	1999	30	0	23	32
	2000	30	30	27	32
	2001	30	0	24	32
	2002	34	34	27	36
	2003	31	0	22	35
	2004	33	28	28	37
	2005	31	0	23	36
	2006	35	29	29	36
	2007	31	0	27	33
	2008	34	30	30	38
2009	34	0	26	39	
2010	35	28	29	39	
2011	29	0	24	34	
	1995-2011	32	29	26	34
Percentage of Incumbents Who Win	1995	79	0	79	78
	1996	79	75	74	78
	1997	76	0	79	74
	1998	86	87	82	83
	1999	78	0	81	77
	2000	79	90	80	74
	2001	78	0	80	77
	2002	82	81	79	79
	2003	78	0	72	79
	2004	81	81	81	76
	2005	80	0	80	78
	2006	82	90	78	78
	2007	77	0	79	75
	2008	76	86	80	70
2009	78	0	79	76	
2010	82	83	82	79	
2011	82	0	82	82	
	1995-2011	80	84	79	77
Percentage of Winning Candidates who are incumbents	1995	50	0	41	51
	1996	48	51	41	47
	1997	49	0	45	50
	1998	57	63	48	53
	1999	51	0	45	52
	2000	52	73	51	49
	2001	50	0	51	50
	2002	57	63	50	56
	2003	51	0	40	55
	2004	55	59	51	57
	2005	52	0	50	52
	2006	56	68	51	55
	2007	50	0	54	48
	2008	56	61	55	54
2009	54	0	51	55	
2010	59	61	56	59	
2011	49	0	47	51	
	1995-2011	54	62	50	53

*Runoffs are excluded from totals.

2011 ELECTION SERIES SUMMARY:
ELECTION OUTCOMES FOR
COUNTY, CITY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT
BALLOT MEASURES AND CANDIDATES

TABLE A SUMMARY OF OUTCOMES FOR ALL COUNTY, CITY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT BALLOT MEASURES BY TYPE OF MEASURE AND COUNTY, 2011

	TAXES		BONDS		CHARTER AMENDMENT		ADVISORY		INITIATIVE		RECALL		GANN LIMIT		ORDINANCE		POLICY/POSITION		ALL MEASURES		
	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	TOTAL
Alameda	3	3	1	0	0	2			0	1									4	6	10
Butte					0	1													0	1	1
Colusa	1	0																	1	0	1
Contra Costa	2	3					1	0			2	0	2	0	1	0			8	3	11
El Dorado	1	0																	1	0	1
Fresno											2	4							2	4	6
Humboldt															2	0			2	0	2
Imperial	1	3																	1	3	4
Kern	0	1																	0	1	1
Los Angeles	5	4	2	0	17	1			0	1	4	0			9	3			37	9	46
Marin	7	0	1	0															8	0	8
Mendocino	1	0									4	0							5	0	5
Mono	1	0																	1	0	1
Monterey	1	1	1	0	1	0													3	1	4
Nevada	1	0																	1	0	1
Orange															1	1			1	1	2
Placer	1	0																	1	0	1
Plumas	0	1																	0	1	1
Riverside	3	2					1	0							3	1			7	3	10
San Benito	0	1																	0	1	1
San Bernardino	0	1					1	0											1	1	2
San Francisco	0	1	2	0	2	1									0	1	0	1	4	4	8
San Luis Obispo					2	0									1	0			3	0	3
San Mateo	9	1	1	2	1	0									1	1			12	4	16
Santa Clara	5	0			2	1									1	0			8	1	9
Santa Cruz	1	0																	1	0	1
Siskiyou	1	0																	1	0	1
Solano	2	0													0	1			2	1	3
Sonoma	1	0																	1	0	1

TABLE A SUMMARY OF OUTCOMES FOR ALL COUNTY, CITY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT BALLOT MEASURES BY TYPE OF MEASURE AND COUNTY, 2011

	TAXES		BONDS		CHARTER AMENDMENT		ADVISORY		INITIATIVE		RECALL		GANN LIMIT		ORDINANCE		POLICY/POSITION		ALL MEASURES		
	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	TOTAL
Stanislaus	2	0					3	0							1	0			6	0	6
Tulare															1	0			1	0	1
Ventura	0	2																	0	2	2
Yolo	1	1																	1	1	2
All Counties	50	25	8	2	25	6	6	0	0	2	12	4	2	0	21	8	0	1	124	48	172

TABLE B SUMMARY OF OUTCOMES FOR ALL COUNTY, CITY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT BALLOT MEASURES BY TOPIC OF MEASURE AND COUNTY, 2011

	EDUCATION		LAND USE		SAFETY		GOVERNANCE		TRANSPORT		FACILITIES		HOUSING		GENERAL SERVICES		REVENUE		OTHER		ALL MEASURES		
	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	TOTAL
Alameda	2	2					0	3									2	1			4	6	10
Butte							0	1													0	1	1
Colusa							1	0													1	0	1
Contra Costa	1	1	1	0			2	0	0	1							4	1			8	3	11
El Dorado	1	0																			1	0	1
Fresno		4					2	0													2	4	6
Humboldt	1	0					1	0													2	0	2
Imperial																	1	3			1	3	4
Kern					0	1															0	1	1
Los Angeles	3	1	1	1	1	0	26	3			1	0					4	3	1	1	37	9	46
Marin	3	0			4	0											1	0			8	0	8
Mendocino							4	0			1	0									5	0	5
Mono	1	0																			1	0	1
Monterey	1	1	1	0													1	0			3	1	4
Nevada	1	0																			1	0	1
Orange			1	1																	1	1	2
Placer	1	0																			1	0	1
Plumas					0	1															0	1	1
Riverside			1	0	0	1	1	1			2	0			0	1	3	0			7	3	10
San Benito	0	1																			0	1	1
San Bernardino	0	1					1	0													1	1	2
San Francisco	1	1			0	1	2	2	1	0											4	4	8
San Luis Obispo							3	0													3	0	3
San Mateo	7	3	1	0									0	1			4	0			12	4	16
Santa Clara	4	0					2	1							1	0	1	0			8	1	9
Santa Cruz	1	0																			1	0	1
Siskiyou											1	0									1	0	1

TABLE B SUMMARY OF OUTCOMES FOR ALL COUNTY, CITY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT BALLOT MEASURES BY TOPIC OF MEASURE AND COUNTY, 2011

	EDUCATION		LAND USE		SAFETY		GOVERNANCE		TRANSPORT		FACILITIES		HOUSING		GENERAL SERVICES		REVENUE		OTHER		ALL MEASURES		
	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	TOTAL
Solano														0	1	2	0			2	1	3	
Sonoma	1	0																		1	0	1	
Stanislaus							3	0						1	0	2	0			6	0	6	
Tulare	1	0																		1	0	1	
Ventura	0	1														0	1			0	2	2	
Yolo	1	1																		1	1	2	
All Counties	31	17	6	2	5	4	48	11	1	1	5	0	0	1	2	2	25	9	1	1	124	48	172

TABLE C SUMMARY OF ELECTION OUTCOMES FOR ALL COUNTY, CITY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT OFFICES, 2011

		County Supervisor		Director, CSD*		Other County Offices		City Council		Other City Offices		School Board Member		Total	
		Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N
Incumbent Candidates	Win	0.0	0	74.2	23	0.0	0	82.4	126	90.3	28	81.6	200	82.0	377
	Lose	0.0	0	25.8	8	0.0	0	17.6	27	9.7	3	18.4	45	18.0	83
	Total	0.0	0	100.0	31	0.0	0	100.0	153	100.0	31	100.0	245	100.0	460
Non-Incumbent Candidates	Win	16.7	1	47.2	34	20.7	6	28.8	140	32.8	21	39.4	191	34.4	393
	Lose	83.3	5	52.8	38	79.3	23	71.2	346	67.2	43	60.6	294	65.6	749
	Total	100.0	6	100.0	72	100.0	29	100.0	486	100.0	64	100.0	485	100.0	1,142
Winning Candidates	Incumbent	0.0	0	40.4	23	0.0	0	47.4	126	57.1	28	51.2	200	49.0	377
	Non-Incumbent	100.0	1	59.6	34	100.0	6	52.6	140	42.9	21	48.8	191	51.0	393
	Total	100.0	1	100.0	57	100.0	6	100.0	266	100.0	49	100.0	391	100.0	770
Losing Candidates	Incumbent	0.0	0	17.4	8	0.0	0	7.2	27	6.5	3	13.3	45	10.0	83
	Non-Incumbent	100.0	5	82.6	38	100.0	23	92.8	346	93.5	43	86.7	294	90.0	749
	Total	100.0	5	100.0	46	100.0	23	100.0	373	100.0	46	100.0	339	100.0	832
All Candidates	Incumbent	0.0	0	30.1	31	0.0	0	23.9	153	32.6	31	33.6	245	28.7	460
	Non-Incumbent	100.0	6	69.9	72	100.0	29	76.1	486	67.4	64	66.4	485	71.3	1,142
	Total	100.0	6	100.0	103	100.0	29	100.0	639	100.0	95	100.0	730	100.0	1,602

*Directors of Community Service Districts, and Community Service Areas

**Runoffs are excluded from totals.

PART 1
VOTE TOTALS, ELECTION OUTCOMES AND
TEXT FOR SCHOOL DISTRICT BALLOT MEASURES

TABLE 1.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR SCHOOL DISTRICT BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2011

COUNTY	DATE	SCHOOL DISTRICT	MEASURE TITLE	TYPE OF MEASURE	TOPIC OF MEASURE	VOTE IN FAVOR	TOTAL VOTE	PERCENT OF VOTE	PASS OR FAIL
ALAMEDA	3/8/2011	Alameda City Unified	Measure A	Property Tax	Education	14,685	21,592	68.0%	Pass ^T
	5/3/2011	New Haven Unified	Measure B	Property Tax	Education	7,851	11,818	66.4%	Fail ^T
		Pleasanton Unified	Measure E	Property Tax	Education	13,800	21,130	65.3%	Fail ^T
	11/8/2011	Newark Unified	Measure G	GO Bond	Education: Bonds	3,286	5,878	55.9%	Pass ^F
ALPINE	No School District Measures								
AMADOR	No School District Measures								
BUTTE	No School District Measures								
CALAVERAS	No School District Measures								
COLUSA	No School District Measures								
CONTRA COSTA	5/3/2011	John Swett Unified	Measure A	Property Tax	Education	1,643	2,763	59.5%	Fail ^T
		Lafayette Elementary	Measure B	Property Tax	Education	7,786	10,494	74.2%	Pass ^T
DEL NORTE	No School District Measures								
EL DORADO	3/8/2011	Tahoe-Truckee Unified ¹	Measure A	Property Tax	Education	149	218	68.3%	Pass ^T
FRESNO	11/8/2011	Parlier Unified	Recall 1	Recall	Governance: Recall	421	1,012	41.6%	Fail
			Recall 2	Recall	Governance: Recall	416	1,006	41.4%	Fail
			Recall 3	Recall	Governance: Recall	404	1,008	40.1%	Fail
			Recall 4	Recall	Governance: Recall	408	1,010	40.4%	Fail
GLENN	No School District Measures								
HUMBOLDT	11/8/2011	Fortuna Union Elem. & Rohnerville Elem. ^P	Measure U	Ordinance	Education: Districts	1,226	2,360	51.9%	Pass
IMPERIAL	No School District Measures								
INYO	No School District Measures								
KERN	No School District Measures								
KINGS	No School District Measures								
LAKE	No School District Measures								
LASSEN	No School District Measures								
LOS ANGELES	4/5/2011	Glendale Unified	Measure S	GO Bond	Education: Bonds	13,637	19,501	69.9%	Pass ^F

* Pass or Fail results based on multi-county outcomes.

^TIndicates measure required a two-thirds vote to pass. ^FIndicates measure required a 55% vote to pass. All other school district measures required a majority vote.

¹Multi-county school district. Results for Nevada and Placer county are reported separately.

^PProposed school district.

TABLE 1.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR SCHOOL DISTRICT BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2011

COUNTY	DATE	SCHOOL DISTRICT	MEASURE TITLE	TYPE OF MEASURE	TOPIC OF MEASURE	VOTE IN FAVOR	TOTAL VOTE	PERCENT OF VOTE	PASS OR FAIL
LOS ANGELES (continued)	11/8/2011	Las Virgenes Unified ²	Measure K	Property Tax	Education	4,290	7,580	56.6%	Fail ^T
		Newhall Elementary	Measure E	GO Bond	Education: Bonds	3,706	5,556	66.7%	Pass ^F
		Palos Verdes Peninsula Unified	Measure M	Property Tax	Education	9,499	13,797	68.8%	Pass ^T
MADERA	No School District Measures								
MARIN	5/3/2011	Dixie Elementary	Measure A	Property Tax	Education	5,843	7,292	80.1%	Pass ^T
	11/8/2011	Larkspur-Corte Madera Unified	Measure A	GO Bond	Education: Bonds	3,243	4,574	70.9%	Pass ^F
		Tamalpais Union High	Measure B	Property Tax	Education	22,146	30,186	73.4%	Pass ^T
MARIPOSA	No School District Measures								
MENDOCINO	No School District Measures								
MERCED	No School District Measures								
MODOC	No School District Measures								
MONO	11/8/2011	Mammoth Unified	Measure S	Property Tax	Education	1,213	1,612	75.2%	Pass ^T
MONTEREY	11/8/2011	Bradley Union Elementary	Measure T	GO Bond	Education: Bonds	33	54	61.1%	Pass ^F
		Pacific Grove Unified	Measure V	Property Tax	Education	2,972	4,761	62.4%	Fail ^T
NAPA	No School District Measures								
NEVADA	3/8/2011	Tahoe-Truckee Unified ³	Measure A	Property Tax	Education	2,784	3,994	69.7%	Pass ^T
ORANGE	No School District Measures								
PLACER	3/8/2011	Tahoe-Truckee Unified ⁴	Measure A	Property Tax	Education	1,911	2,839	67.3%	Pass ^T
PLUMAS	No School District Measures								
RIVERSIDE	No School District Measures								
SACRAMENTO	No School District Measures								
SAN BENITO	6/7/2011	Hollister Elementary	Measure A	Property Tax	Education	2,724	5,263	51.8%	Fail ^T
SAN BERNARDINO	6/21/2011	Fontana Unified	Measure E	Property Tax	Education	4,153	7,973	52.1%	Fail ^T
SAN DIEGO	No School District Measures								
SAN FRANCISCO	11/8/2011	San Francisco Unified	Measure A	GO Bond	Education: Bonds	134,695	189,445	71.1%	Pass ^F
			Measure H	Policy/Position	Education: Districts	91,514	183,143	50.0%	Fail
SAN JOAQUIN	No School District Measures								
SAN LUIS OBISPO	No School District Measures								
SAN MATEO	3/8/2011	Brisbane Elementary	Measure W	Property Tax	Education	967	1,379	70.1%	Pass ^T

²Multi-county school district. Results for Ventura county are reported separately.

³Multi-county school district. Results for El Dorado and Placer county are reported separately.

⁴Multi-county school district. Results for El Dorado and Nevada county are reported separately.

TABLE 1.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR SCHOOL DISTRICT BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2011

COUNTY	DATE	SCHOOL DISTRICT	MEASURE TITLE	TYPE OF MEASURE	TOPIC OF MEASURE	VOTE IN FAVOR	TOTAL VOTE	PERCENT OF VOTE	PASS OR FAIL
SAN MATEO (continued)	5/3/2011	San Carlos	Measure A	Property Tax	Education	6,373	7,824	81.5%	Pass ^T
		Ravenswood	Measure B	Property Tax	Education	782	1,152	67.9%	Pass ^T
		Jefferson Union High	Measure C	Property Tax	Education	8,733	14,592	59.8%	Fail ^T
	11/8/2011	Burlingame Elementary	Measure E	Property Tax	Education	4,337	6,320	68.6%	Pass ^T
		San Mateo Community College	Measure H	GO Bond	Education: Bonds	48,933	92,171	53.1%	Fail ^F
		Pacifica Unified	Measure L	Property Tax	Education	5,652	8,154	69.3%	Pass ^T
		Millbrae Elementary	Measure N	GO Bond	Education: Bonds	2,550	4,361	58.5%	Pass ^F
		San Bruno Park Elementary	Measure O	GO Bond	Education: Bonds	2,368	4,607	51.4%	Fail ^F
SANTA BARBARA	No School District Measures								
SANTA CLARA	5/3/2011	Los Gatos-Saratoga Joint ⁵	Measure A	Property Tax	Education	10,718	14,752	72.7%	Pass ^T
		Sunnyvale Elementary	Measure B	Property Tax	Education	7,640	10,961	69.7%	Pass ^T
		Cupertino Union Elementary	Measure C	Property Tax	Education	20,122	28,720	70.1%	Pass ^T
		Los Altos Elementary	Measure E	Property Tax	Education	9,187	13,699	67.1%	Pass ^T
SANTA CRUZ	5/3/2011	Los Gatos-Saratoga Joint ⁶	Measure A	Property Tax	Education	961	1,490	64.5%	Pass ^T
SHASTA	No School District Measures								
SIERRA	No School District Measures								
SISKIYOU	No School District Measures								
SOLANO	No School District Measures								
SONOMA	8/30/2011	Kenwood Elementary	Measure A	Property Tax	Education	1,388	1,714	81.0%	Pass ^T
STANISLAUS	No School District Measures								
SUTTER	No School District Measures								
TEHAMA	No School District Measures								
TRINITY	No School District Measures								
TULARE	11/8/2011	Woodlake Unified ^P	Measure X	Ordinance	Education: Districts	1,056	1,296	81.5%	Pass
TUOLUMNE	No School District Measures								
VENTURA	11/8/2011	Las Virgenes Unified ⁷	Measure K	Property Tax	Education	170	288	59.0%	Fail ^T
YOLO	5/3/2011	Davis Joint Unified	Measure A	Property Tax	Education	11,073	16,476	67.2%	Pass ^T
	7/12/2011	Esparto Unified	Measure B	Property Tax	Education	286	957	29.9%	Fail ^T
YUBA	No School District Measures								

⁵Multi-county school district. Results for Santa Cruz county are reported separately.

⁶Multi-county school district. Results for Santa Clara county are reported separately.

^PProposed school district.

⁷Multi-county school district. Results for Los Angeles county are reported separately.

TABLE 1.2 TEXT FOR SCHOOL DISTRICT BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2011

ALAMEDA	3/8/2011	Alameda City Unified	Measure A	Pass (2/3 required)
To maintain high-quality Alameda schools by protecting small class sizes; core academic, art, music and athletic programs; neighborhood schools; and retaining excellent teachers, shall Alameda Unified School District replace two existing parcel taxes with one annual parcel tax for 7 years in the amounts described in the voter pamphlet, with an exception for seniors, strict accountability measures including oversight by an independent citizens' committee and an annual audit, and every dollar staying in Alameda schools?				
ALAMEDA	5/3/2011	New Haven Unified	Measure B	Fail (2/3 required)
To protect local schools from state budget cuts and provide stable local funding that cannot be taken by the state - to preserve quality instructional time for students, maintain education and after-school activities, and minimize increases in class sizes and reductions to the school year - shall the New Haven Unified School District levy a tax, \$180 per parcel for four years, with exemptions for seniors and disabled, citizen oversight, no money for administrators' salaries and all funds staying local?				
ALAMEDA	5/3/2011	Pleasanton Unified	Measure E	Fail (2/3 required)
To protect local schools from State budget cuts, provide local funding that cannot be taken away by the State, and preserve quality education by: emphasizing core academic instruction in math, science, and reading; attracting and retaining highly-qualified teachers; supporting specialized science and reading instruction, supporting school libraries; and minimizing class size increases, shall Pleasanton Unified School District levy \$98 per parcel annually for four years, with a senior exemption, independent oversight and no money for administrators salaries?				
ALAMEDA	11/8/2011	Newark Unified	Measure G	Pass (55% required)
To preserve quality education, provide safe and modern schools, and qualify for matching funds, shall Newark Unified School District update aging classrooms, libraries, and science labs to meet earthquake/fire/safety standards; improve access for students with disabilities, remove asbestos, lead and hazardous materials; and improve energy/operational efficiency to maximize funding for instructional programs; by issuing \$63 million in bonds at legal rates, with independent oversight, no money for administrators' salaries, and all funds staying in Newark?				
CONTRA COSTA	5/3/2011	John Swett Unified	Measure A	Fail (2/3 required)
To maintain academic programs including math, science, reading, writing, music, art, and computer technology and retain teachers and other staff, except administrators, at Rodeo Hills Elementary, Carquinez Middle and John Swett and Willow High Schools; shall John Swett Unified School District levy a special tax of \$60 per parcel for four years, except industrial/commercial parcels levied at \$0.0085 per square foot (see voter pamphlet) with citizens' oversight and other applicable accountability measures?				
CONTRA COSTA	5/3/2011	Lafayette Elementary	Measure B	Pass (2/3 required)
To protect the quality of schools in Lafayette by reducing deep cuts in programs due to the State budget crisis, to maintain core academic programs in math, science, art and music, attract and retain the best qualified teachers, and maintain classroom technology and science labs, shall the Lafayette School District levy an emergency tax of \$176 per parcel for four years only, with exemptions for seniors and all funds staying in Lafayette to benefit our schools?				
EL DORADO	3/8/2011	Tahoe-Truckee Unified	Measure A	Pass (2/3 required)
To reduce the impact of budget cuts and ensure all students receive a high quality education by preventing reductions in science, music, art, technology, physical education, college preparation, honors/advanced placement classes and vocational education, retaining librarians, counselors and nurses, and maintaining funding for school equipment and supplies, shall Tahoe Truckee Unified School District renew its expiring parcel tax for 7 years, at 135 dollars per year, with senior exemptions, citizens oversight, and annual audits?				
FRESNO	11/8/2011	Parlier Unified	Recall 1	Fail
Shall Mary Villanueva be recalled as board member; Parlier Unified School District?				
FRESNO	11/8/2011	Parlier Unified	Recall 2	Fail
Shall Enrique Maldonado be recalled as board member; Parlier Unified School District?				
FRESNO	11/8/2011	Parlier Unified	Recall 3	Fail
Shall David Torrez be recalled as board member; Parlier Unified School District?				
FRESNO	11/8/2011	Parlier Unified	Recall 4	Fail
Shall Melissa Cano be recalled as board member; Parlier Unified School District?				
HUMBOLDT	11/8/2011	Fortuna Union and Rohnerville	Measure U	Pass
Proposed reorganization of Fortuna Union Elementary School District and Rohnerville School District.				

TABLE 1.2 TEXT FOR SCHOOL DISTRICT BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2011

LOS ANGELES	4/5/2011	Glendale Unified	Measure S	Pass (55% required)
To protect quality education at local schools, provide safe and modern school facilities, and qualify for matching funds, shall the Glendale Unified School District upgrade classrooms, science labs and libraries; update computers/ technology; provide facilities/equipment for career training; improve campus safety and access for students with disabilities; increase energy efficiency; and make funding available for classroom instruction by issuing \$270 million in bonds with legal interest rates, independent oversight, all funds staying local, and without increasing tax rates?				
LOS ANGELES	11/8/2011	Las Virgenes Unified	Measure K	Fail (2/3 required)
To provide local school funding that cannot be taken by state government; retain high-quality teachers; preserve smaller class sizes; minimize teacher layoffs; and protect academic opportunities and high achievement in science, math, reading and the arts; shall the Las Virgenes Unified School District establish a local parcel tax of \$95 annually for eight years, with exemptions for seniors, independent fiscal oversight, no funds for administrator salaries, and guaranteeing every dollar stays within our community preserving quality education?				
LOS ANGELES	11/8/2011	Newhall Elementary	Measure E	Pass (55% required)
Without increasing local tax rates and to protect the quality of education in neighborhood elementary schools, shall School Facilities Improvement District No. 2011-1 of the Newhall School District, repair/replace deteriorated roofs, lighting, and electrical systems; upgrade classrooms, science labs, libraries and technology; and improve energy efficiency, using savings to maintain instruction; by issuing \$60 million in bonds at legal interest rates, with mandatory audits, independent citizen oversight, no money for administrators, and all funds staying in our community benefiting local children grades K-6?				
LOS ANGELES	11/8/2011	Palos Verdes Peninsula Unified	Measure M	Pass (2/3 required)
Without increasing existing taxes and to protect the quality of education and promote student achievement shall Palos Verdes Peninsula Unified School District extend the existing school parcel taxes to fund advanced programs in math, science and technology, keep classroom technology up-to-date, attract and retain highly qualified teachers, and maintain manageable class sizes, with an exemption for seniors, a cost of living adjustment for inflation, with all money staying in our community to benefit local schools?				
MARIN	5/3/2011	Dixie Elementary	Measure A	Pass (2/3 required)
To protect local elementary and middle schools, minimize the impact of state budget cuts, maintain stable local funding the State can't take away, and preserve quality education by: Retaining qualified teachers; Emphasizing reading, writing and math skills; Providing instructional materials for science programs; and Keeping school libraries open, Shall Dixie School District increase its expiring school parcel tax by \$107 per parcel for 8 years, with exemptions for seniors, independent oversight/audits and all funds staying local?				
MARIN	11/8/2011	Larkspur-Corte Madera Unified	Measure A	Pass (55% required)
To relieve overcrowding in the Larkspur-Corte Madera School District by reopening the San Clemente School site; make essential fire and earthquake safety upgrades to existing facilities; improve access for the disabled; repair or replace outdated heating, ventilation and electrical systems; and enhance classroom technology infrastructure, shall the Larkspur-Corte Madera School District be authorized to issue up to twenty-six million dollars in bonds and establish a citizens' oversight committee to ensure all expenditures are made according to approved plans?				
MARIN	11/8/2011	Tamalpais Union High	Measure B	Pass (2/3 required)
To maintain quality public high school education including small class sizes and exceptional instructional programs in Belvedere, Bolinas, Corte Madera, Fairfax, Greenbrae, Kentfield, Larkspur, Marin City, Mill Valley, Nicasio, Ross, San Anselmo, San Geronimo, Sausalito, Stinson Beach, and Tiburon, shall Tamalpais Union High School District be authorized to renew a parcel tax for ten years commencing July 1, 2012, at the current rate plus an annual 3% increase (\$245.94 + tax year 2012-2013), with an exemption for parcels owned and occupied by persons 65 and older?				
MONO	11/8/2011	Mammoth Unified	Measure S	Pass (2/3 required)
To protect our local schools from ongoing state budget cuts and to maintain high quality academic programs by preserving smaller classes, attracting and retaining excellent teachers, strengthening core curriculum, and enhancing technology, art, music and athletics, shall Mammoth Unified School District extend the current \$59 annual education parcel tax for five years with an exemption for senior citizens' primary residences, overseen by an independent citizen's oversight committee, and every dollar spent on Mammoth Schools?				
MONTEREY	11/8/2011	Bradley Union Elementary	Measure T	Pass (55% required)
To improve the quality of education at Bradley School, make health and safety improvements; modernize classrooms and restrooms; repair leaky roofs; improve student access to computers and modern technology; upgrade outdated electrical systems; and replace old heating, ventilation and air-conditioning systems, shall the Bradley Union Elementary School District issue \$1,100,000 of bonds at legal interest rates, have an independent citizens' oversight committee and have NO money used for administrative or teacher salaries to be taken by the State?				
MONTEREY	11/8/2011	Pacific Grove Unified	Measure V	Fail (2/3 required)
To preserve the educational quality of our schools in the face of Statewide budget cuts, including but not limited to science, math, music, art, computers and libraries; maintain small class sized; and retain qualified teachers; shall Pacific Grove Unified School District levy \$60 per parcel for four years to replace and extend the previously approved tax, with an independent citizens' oversight committee, and all funds spent locally and no money used for administrative salaries or taken by the State?				
NEVADA	3/8/2011	Tahoe-Truckee Unified	Measure A	Pass (2/3 required)
To reduce the impact of budget cuts and ensure all students receive a high quality education by preventing reductions in science, music, art, technology, physical education, college preparation, honors/advanced placement classes and vocational education, retaining librarians, counselors and nurses, and maintaining funding for school equipment and supplies, shall Tahoe Truckee Unified School District renew its expiring parcel tax for 7 years, at 135 dollars per year, with senior exemptions, citizens oversight, and annual audits?				

TABLE 1.2 TEXT FOR SCHOOL DISTRICT BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2011

PLACER	3/8/2011	Tahoe-Truckee Unified	Measure A	Pass (2/3 required)
To reduce the impact of budget cuts and ensure all students receive a high quality education by preventing reductions in science, music, art, technology, physical education, college preparation, honors/advanced placement classes and vocational education, retaining librarians, counselors and nurses, and maintaining funding for school equipment and supplies, shall Tahoe Truckee Unified School District renew its expiring parcel tax for 7 years, at 135 dollars per year, with senior exemptions, citizens oversight, and annual audits?				
SAN BENITO	6/7/2011	Hollister Elementary	Measure A	Fail (2/3 required)
To maintain and improve academic programs including reading, writing, science and math; improve student access to computer labs and technology; help keep school libraries open and minimize future classroom overcrowding; shall Hollister School District levy \$96 per parcel annually for four years (see voter pamphlet) with senior exemptions, no money for administrators' salaries, independent community oversight, and all funds used for neighborhood schools and not taken by the State?				
SAN BERNARDINO	6/21/2011	Fontana Unified	Measure E	Fail (2/3 required)
To maintain and improve academic programs including reading, writing, science and math; improve student access to computer labs and technology; retain teachers and counselors; help keep school libraries open and minimize future classroom overcrowding; shall Fontana Unified School District levy \$96 per parcel annually for four years (see voter pamphlet) with senior exemptions, no money for administrators' salaries, independent community oversight, and all funds used for neighborhood schools and not taken by the State?				
SAN FRANCISCO	11/8/2011	San Francisco Unified	Measure A	Pass (55% required)
Shall San Francisco Unified School District repair and rehabilitate facilities to current accessibility, health, safety and instructional standards, replace worn out plumbing, electrical and other major building systems, replace aging heating, ventilation and air handling systems, renovate outdated classrooms and training facilities, construct facilities to replace aging modular classrooms, by issuing bonds in an amount not to exceed \$531,000,000, at legal interest rates, with guaranteed annual audits, citizens' oversight and no money for school administrators' salaries?				
SAN FRANCISCO	11/8/2011	San Francisco Unified	Measure H	Fail
Shall it be City policy to encourage the San Francisco Unified School District to change its student assignment system so that it places the highest priority on assigning each student to the school closest to home, after placing siblings in the same school?				
SAN MATEO	3/8/2011	Brisbane Elementary	Measure W	Pass (2/3 required)
Shall Brisbane School District renew its current parcel tax with an inflation increase by levying a special tax of not more than \$119 annually per parcel to provide teachers/instructional aides for reading, music, and art; providing exemptions for eligible senior citizens? Shall District's annual appropriation limit be raised between 7/1/2011 and 6/30/2016 in an amount equal to levy of said tax, with funds spent exclusively on schools within Brisbane School District and not used for other purposes?				
SAN MATEO	5/3/2011	San Carlos	Measure A	Pass (2/3 required)
To protect quality education for San Carlos elementary and middle school students; maintain educational programs including math, reading, and writing, and hands-on science instruction; attract and retain qualified teachers; maintain art and music classes, and keep school libraries open, shall San Carlos School District replace an expiring local school funding measure by extending --- without increasing --- the current tax rate of \$110.60 per parcel per year for eight years, with citizen oversight and an exemption for seniors?				
SAN MATEO	5/3/2011	Ravenswood	Measure B	Pass (2/3 required)
To improve local elementary students' reading, writing, math, computer/technology, and other educational programs; increase safety for our children; maintain reduced class sizes; attract and retain highly qualified staff, shall the Ravenswood City School District levy a parcel tax of \$98 for seven (7) years and extend the current parcel tax for the same seven-year period; with exemptions for seniors aged 65 and older; and within the District's total legal appropriations limit?				
SAN MATEO	5/3/2011	Jefferson Union High	Measure C	Fail (2/3 required)
To maintain/improve academic programs, including reading, writing, science, and math; retain highly qualified teachers; and fund computer technology, vocational training, adult education, arts and athletics at Jefferson, Oceana, Terra Nova, Thornton, and Westmoor High Schools; shall Jefferson Union High School District levy \$96 per parcel annually for four years with senior exemptions, no money for administrators' salaries, independent community oversight, and all funds used for neighborhood schools and not taken by the State?				
SAN MATEO	11/8/2011	Burlingame Elementary	Measure E	Pass (2/3 required)
To maintain quality education in Burlingame schools, restore and protect critical math, science, reading and writing classes, including: hands-on science labs, attract/retain qualified teachers, maintain smaller Elementary class size, support art/music, and provide instructional materials/classroom supplies, shall Burlingame Elementary School District levy an education parcel tax of \$76 per parcel for four years, exempting senior citizens with no funds for administrators' salaries, independent citizen oversight, and all funds going to Burlingame District schools?				
SAN MATEO	11/8/2011	San Mateo Community College	Measure H	Fail (55% required)
To prepare College of San Mateo, Canada College and Skyline College students for universities and high-demand jobs; modernize math and science classrooms and labs; upgrade classroom technology, computer and job training labs; increase earthquake, fire and accessibility safety; and replace aging systems with energy efficient models, shall San Mateo County Community College District issue \$564,000,000 in bonds at interest rates within legal limits, with annual independent audits, local control over proceeds, and a Citizens' Committee providing oversight?				

TABLE 1.2 TEXT FOR SCHOOL DISTRICT BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2011

SAN MATEO	11/8/2011	Pacifica Unified	Measure L	Pass (2/3 required)
To protect local elementary and middle schools from state budget cuts; maintain high quality education; protect academic programs in math, science, reading and writing; attract and retain qualified teachers; and support library services and classroom computer instruction; shall Pacifica School District replace its expiring parcel tax at \$118 a year for 5 years, with independent citizens oversight, exemptions for senior citizens, no funds used for administrator salaries, and all funds staying local to promote student achievement?				
SAN MATEO	11/8/2011	Millbrae Elementary	Measure N	Pass (55% required)
To continue to renovate and modernize its aging schools and classrooms, improve energy efficiency, update classroom equipment and technology, improve school safety and build a new cafeteria at Taylor Middle School, shall the Millbrae School District be authorized to issue \$30,000,000 in bonds at interest rates within the legal limit with no funds for administrator salaries and an appointed Independent Oversight Committee to monitor all bond expenditures?				
SAN MATEO	11/8/2011	San Bruno Park Elementary	Measure O	Fail (55% required)
To update classrooms with up-to-date computers and technology, renovate and modernize classrooms and school facilities throughout the district; replace outdated heating systems; upgrade playfields and playground equipment; and make energy cost-saving improvements; shall San Bruno Park School District be authorized to issue \$40 million of bonds within legal interest rates, with annual audits and an independent citizens' oversight committee, and all funds spent locally and no money used for administrative salaries or taken by the State?				
SANTA CLARA	5/3/2011	Los Gatos-Saratoga Joint	Measure A	Pass (2/3 required)
To provide stable funding for local high schools, maintain outstanding core academic programs in math, science and English, retain highly qualified teachers, provide programs that prepare students for college and careers, and provide classroom materials like books and science lab equipment, shall the Los Gatos-Saratoga Joint Union High School District be authorized to levy \$49 per parcel annually for six years, with citizen oversight, an exemption for seniors, no funds for administrators' salaries, and every dollar staying in local high schools?				
SANTA CLARA	5/3/2011	Sunnyvale Elementary	Measure B	Pass (2/3 required)
To support and maintain classroom programs including math, English, science and technology; to minimize the loss of teaching positions and to minimize increases in class size; shall the Sunnyvale School District be authorized to collect a qualified special tax at an annual cost of \$59 per parcel for seven years beginning July 1, 2011, with all expenditures audited and reviewed by a citizens' oversight committee, offering a senior exemption with no funds spent on administrators?				
SANTA CLARA	5/3/2011	Cupertino Union Elementary	Measure C	Pass (2/3 required)
Quality Local Schools and Academic Excellence Measure. To provide stable funding that the State cannot take away, protect outstanding core academic programs in reading, writing, math and science, retain highly qualified teachers, provide updated technology and keep libraries open, shall Cupertino Union School District be authorized to levy \$125 per parcel annually for six years with citizen oversight, no funds for administrators' salaries, an exemption available for seniors and all funds staying in our local schools?				
SANTA CLARA	5/3/2011	Los Altos Elementary	Measure E	Pass (2/3 required)
To protect excellent education in Los Altos elementary and Junior high schools; preserve core academic programs in reading, writing, math and science; retain highly qualified teachers; and provide books and classroom materials, shall Los Altos School District levy an annual education parcel tax of \$193 per parcel for six years, with independent citizen oversight, no funds for administrators' salaries, an exemption for senior citizens, and all funds used only for support of local elementary and junior high schools?				
SANTA CRUZ	5/3/2011	Los Gatos-Saratoga Joint	Measure A	Pass (2/3 required)
To provide stable funding for local high schools, maintain outstanding core academic programs in math, science and English, retain highly qualified teachers, provide programs that prepare students for college and careers, and provide classroom materials like books and science lab equipment, shall the Los Gatos-Saratoga Joint Union High School District be authorized to levy \$49 per parcel annually for six years, with citizen oversight, an exemption for seniors, no funds for administrators' salaries, and every dollar staying in local high schools?				
SONOMA	8/30/2011	Kenwood Elementary	Measure A	Pass (2/3 required)
To preserve quality education despite inadequate state funding and prevent further program cuts, shall the Kenwood School District renew - without increasing - the existing school parcel tax of \$52.00 per year for a period of five years, beginning July 1, 2012, with certain specific exemptions as set forth in the Resolution and Order of Election? Funds to be used for maintaining and enhancing core programs and essential enrichment programs and continuing our commitment to small class sizes.				
TULARE	11/8/2011	Woodlake Unified - Proposed	Measure X	Pass
Shall a new unified school district, the members of the governing board of which shall be elected from trustee areas, be formed from the existing Woodlake Union High School District and Woodlake Union (Elementary) School District, and excluding the Stone Corral School District and the Three Rivers Union School District which shall continue to feed into the high school of the new unified school district under the same terms that existed before any action to unify?				
VENTURA	11/8/2011	Las Virgenes Unified	Measure K	Fail (2/3 required)
To provide local school funding that cannot be taken by state government; retain high-quality teachers; preserve smaller class sizes; minimize teacher layoffs; and protect academic opportunities and high achievement in science, math, reading and the arts; shall Las Virgenes Unified School District serving Bell Canyon establish a parcel tax of \$95 annually for eight years, exempting seniors, and guaranteeing independent fiscal oversight, no funds for administrators, and every dollar to local schools preserving quality education?				

TABLE 1.2 TEXT FOR SCHOOL DISTRICT BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2011

YOLO 5/3/2011 Davis Joint Unified Measure A Pass (2/3 required)
Recognizing cuts in state funding, shall the Davis Joint Unified School District be authorized to levy an emergency special tax for a period of two years not to exceed the annual rate of \$20.00 per dwelling unit for multi-dwelling parcels and \$200.00 per parcel for all other parcels, to fund essential school programs including core subjects, elective classes, reduced class sizes, counselors and school site safety?

YOLO 7/12/2011 Esparto Unified Measure B Fail (2/3 required)
In order to reduce the impact of State budget cuts and provide financial stability for the Esparto Unified School District, shall the District be authorized to levy a parcel tax of \$100 per parcel for five years, adjusted for inflation, for purposes of supporting student transportation, athletics, and core and elective academic programs? Parcel tax funds will not be spent on administrator salaries and will be audited annually by independent CPA's as required by law.

TABLE 1.3 SUMMARY OF ELECTION OUTCOMES FOR SCHOOL DISTRICT BALLOT MEASURES BY TYPE* OF MEASURE AND COUNTY, 2011

	TAXES		BONDS		RECALL		ORDINANCE		POLICY/ POSITION		ALL SCHOOL MEASURES		
	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	TOTAL
Alameda	1	2	1	0							2	2	4
Contra Costa	1	1									1	1	2
El Dorado	1	0									1	0	1
Fresno					0	4					0	4	4
Humboldt							1	0			1	0	1
Los Angeles	1	1	2	0							3	1	4
Marin	2	0	1	0							3	0	3
Mono	1	0									1	0	1
Monterey	0	1	1	0							1	1	2
Nevada	1	0									1	0	1
Placer	1	0									1	0	1
San Benito	0	1									0	1	1
San Bernardino	0	1									0	1	1
San Francisco			1	0					0	1	1	1	2
San Mateo	5	1	1	2							6	3	9
Santa Clara	4	0									4	0	4
Santa Cruz	1	0									1	0	1
Sonoma	1	0									1	0	1
Tulare							1	0			1	0	1
Ventura	0	1									0	1	1
Yolo	1	1									1	1	2
All Counties	21	10	7	2	0	4	2	0	0	1	30	17	47

* Since the topic of all school district measures is Education, a table for school district measures by topic is not included.

PART 2
VOTE TOTALS FOR
SCHOOL DISTRICT OFFICE CANDIDATES

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR SCHOOL DISTRICT BOARD CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2011

COUNTY	DATE	SCHOOL DISTRICT	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM- BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELEC- TED ²		
ALAMEDA		No School District Contests													
ALPINE		No School District Contests													
AMADOR		No School District Contests													
BUTTE		No School District Contests													
CALAVERAS		No School District Contests													
COLUSA		No School District Contests													
CONTRA COSTA		No School District Contests													
DEL NORTE		No School District Contests													
EL DORADO	11/8/2011	Gold Oak Union Elementary		Full	Holtrichter	Suzy	Appointed Incumbent	No	3	909	1,692	53.7%	Yes		
					Nida	Kim	Lieutenant Police Officer	No	3	505	1,692	29.8%	Yes		
					Bachman	John A.	Psychologist	No	3	278	1,692	16.4%	No		
		Lake Tahoe Community College		Full	Borges	Karen M.	Incumbent	Yes	3	2,967	5,953	49.8%	Yes		
					Wenck, Jr.	Frederick	Incumbent	Yes	3	2,239	5,953	37.6%	Yes		
					Bischoff	Michael	Student	No	3	747	5,953	12.5%	No		
		Lake Tahoe Unified		Full	Novasel	Sue	Incumbent	Yes	4	2,667	8,153	32.7%	Yes		
					Green	Larry	Incumbent	Yes	4	2,398	8,153	29.4%	Yes		
					Doyle	Michael	Incumbent	Yes	4	2,269	8,153	27.8%	Yes		
					Bischoff	Michael	Student	No	4	819	8,153	10.0%	No		
		FRESNO	11/8/2011	Monson-Sultana Joint Union Elementary ³	1	Full	Veeh	Randy	Incumbent	Yes	2	1	1	100.0%	Yes
							DeWitt-Leal	Elsa	Retired School Administrator	No	2	0	1	0.0%	No
Parlier Unified ^R				Short	Rodriguez	Marivel P.	Medical Receptionist	No	1	429	469	91.5%	Yes		
					Pimentel	Trinidad	Retired Youth Director	No	1	424	468	90.6%	Yes		
					Iniguez-Tovar	Zonia	Teacher	No	1	417	453	92.1%	Yes		
					Leija	Robert	Truck Driver	No	2	324	470	68.9%	Yes		
							Velasco	Rick	Retired Truck Driver	No	2	118	470	25.1%	No
GLENN				No School District Contests											

¹Write-in candidate votes, when reported by the county, are included in the total votes cast. For these contests, the sum of the candidate votes is less than the total votes cast.

²All candidate information is reported separately by county, except for multi-county school districts where the elected outcome reflects the multi-county result.

³Multi-county school district. Results for Tulare county reported separately.

^RTo be elected if recall measure passes.

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR SCHOOL DISTRICT BOARD CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2011

COUNTY	DATE	SCHOOL DISTRICT	DIS- TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM- BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELEC- TED ²		
HUMBOLDT	11/8/2011	Blue Lake Union Elementary		Full	Hooven	Lisa	Pre-School Teacher/Mother	No	4	196	582	33.7%	Yes		
					Rohn	Lex	Educator	No	4	143	582	24.6%	Yes		
					Lewis	Mandi	Mother/General Manager	No	4	134	582	23.0%	No		
					Manzanita	Lana	Retired Teacher	No	4	105	582	18.0%	No		
		Eureka City Elementary	4	Full	Johnson	Susan L.	Registered Nurse	No	2	3,103	5,369	57.8%	Yes		
					Anderson	Judy	Incumbent	Yes	2	2,243	5,369	41.8%	No		
		Ferndale Unified		Full	Radelfinger	Kristina	Mother/Dairywoman/Veterinarian	No	3	500	1,125	44.4%	Yes		
					Feierabend	Emil	Incumbent	Yes	3	442	1,125	39.3%	Yes		
					Urbach	Rey	Teacher/Parent	No	3	176	1,125	15.6%	No		
		Fieldbrook Elementary		Full	Grissom	Richard	Small Business Owner	No	3	134	309	43.4%	Yes		
					Sargent	Jeremy	Small Business Owner	No	3	99	309	32.0%	Yes		
					Broadman	Linda F.	Incumbent	Yes	3	75	309	24.3%	No		
		Fortuna Union High		Full	Gage	Anita L.	Incumbent	Yes	3	1,805	5,038	35.8%	Yes		
					Giannini	Charles	Retired School Maintenance	No	3	1,681	5,038	33.4%	Yes		
					Steele	Kenneth A.	Incumbent	Yes	3	1,510	5,038	30.0%	No		
		McKinleyville Union Elementary		Full	Rynearson-Alto	Sara	Appointed Incumbent	No	3	1,081	2,970	36.4%	Yes		
					Mitchell	Brian	Incumbent	Yes	3	993	2,970	33.4%	Yes		
					McCarthy	Mary C.	Attorney/Retired Teacher	No	3	882	2,970	29.7%	No		
		IMPERIAL	11/8/2011	Brawley Elementary		Full	Burns	Yvonne R.	Retired Teacher/Principal	No	8	1,538	7,444	20.7%	Yes
							Guzman	Cesar	Incumbent	Yes	8	1,453	7,444	19.5%	Yes
Sandoval	Janette D.						Retired Educator	No	8	1,449	7,444	19.5%	Yes		
Prior	Kathy						Incumbent	Yes	8	1,171	7,444	15.7%	No		
Stiff	Omer L.						Incumbent	Yes	8	680	7,444	9.1%	No		
Hickingbottom	Kevin E.						Systems Technician, AT&T	No	8	498	7,444	6.7%	No		
Thill	Garrett						Realtor/Classroom Volunteer	No	8	340	7,444	4.6%	No		
Gaspar	Michael S.						Retired Teacher	No	8	315	7,444	4.2%	No		
Brawley Union High	Full			Dial	Cecilia "Ceci"	Incumbent	Yes	7	1,845	8,079	22.8%	Yes			
				Garcia	Rosendo G.	Incumbent	Yes	7	1,800	8,079	22.3%	Yes			
				Sassie	Carol T.	Retired Game Warden	No	7	1,337	8,079	16.5%	Yes			
				Carrasco-Butler	Sally	Work Order Scheduler	No	7	1,024	8,079	12.7%	No			
				Wood	Rosemarie E.	No Ballot Designation	No	7	1,007	8,079	12.5%	No			
Stauf Jr.	Edward "Dan"	Retired Educator	No	7	655	8,079	8.1%	No							
Greenwood	Mark D.	CDCR Facility Captain	No	7	411	8,079	5.1%	No							

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR SCHOOL DISTRICT BOARD CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2011

COUNTY	DATE	SCHOOL DISTRICT	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM- BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELEC- TED ²
IMPERIAL (continued)	11/8/2011	Calipatria Unified		Full	Fong	Michael	Incumbent	Yes	6	442	2,091	21.1%	Yes
					Widmann	Holly J.	Incumbent	Yes	6	383	2,091	18.3%	Yes
					Sampson	Glenn B.	Incumbent	Yes	6	372	2,091	17.8%	Yes
					Sadorra	Augustine G.	Incumbent	Yes	6	362	2,091	17.3%	Yes
					Hernandez	Vince D.	Retired Fire Man	No	6	299	2,091	14.3%	No
					Green	Trevor C.	Detention Officer	No	6	233	2,091	11.1%	No
		El Centro Elementary	Full	Dunnam	Patricia "Patti"	Retired Teacher	No	6	1,623	6,991	23.2%	Yes	
				Minnix	Michael R.	Retired School Principal	No	6	1,608	6,991	23.0%	Yes	
				Fisher	Charles R.	Retired Educator	No	6	1,589	6,991	22.7%	Yes	
				Rodriguez	Julio C.	Local Commission Administrator	No	6	967	6,991	13.8%	No	
				Moran	Phillip	General Contractor/Parent	No	6	695	6,991	9.9%	No	
				Aghaloo	J. "Dr. J"	Dentist	No	6	509	6,991	7.3%	No	
		Heber Elementary	Full	Valdez-Belmonte	Eduardo	Recreation Administrator Intern	No	5	151	611	24.7%	Yes	
				Tabarez, Jr.	Pompeyo	No Ballot Designation	No	5	133	611	21.8%	Yes	
				Barrios	Diana M. N.	Substance Abuse Counselor	No	5	126	611	20.6%	No	
				Soto	Martha	Teacher	Yes	5	109	611	17.8%	No	
				Becerra	Patsy	Incumbent	Yes	5	92	611	15.1%	No	
		Holtville Unified	Full	Hester	Matthew	Incumbent	Yes	3	516	1,486	34.7%	Yes	
				Garewal	Jared A.	Farmer	No	3	511	1,486	34.4%	Yes	
				Allegranza	Terry J.	Appointed Incumbent	No	3	459	1,486	30.9%	No	
		McCabe Union Elementary	Full	Corfman	Charles "Chip"	Incumbent	Yes	4	370	1,301	28.4%	Yes	
				Legakes	Chris	Incumbent	Yes	4	363	1,301	27.9%	Yes	
				McFadden	Michael T.	Incumbent	Yes	4	341	1,301	26.2%	Yes	
				Gaddis	Dave	Contractor/Vocational Instructor	No	4	227	1,301	17.4%	No	
		San Pasqual Valley Unified	Full	Jack	Michael J. V.	Child Welfare Specialist	No	5	81	336	24.1%	Yes	
				Arrow	Bernadine S.	Incumbent	Yes	5	80	336	23.8%	Yes	
				DeCorse	Mona	No Ballot Designation	No	5	64	336	19.0%	Yes	
				Ramirez	Rebecca L.	Incumbent	Yes	5	63	336	18.8%	No	
				Aguerro	Lisa	Injury Prevention Coordinator	No	5	48	336	14.3%	No	
		Westmorland Union Elementary	Full	Davis	Ron	Incumbent	Yes	4	160	519	30.8%	Yes	
Loper	Jackie			No Ballot Designation	Yes	4	140	519	27.0%	Yes			
Marquez	Thomas C.			Aviation Safety Inspector	No	4	124	519	23.9%	Yes			
Wycuff	M.B. Corky			Incumbent	Yes	4	95	519	18.3%	No			
INYO		No School District Contests											

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR SCHOOL DISTRICT BOARD CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2011

COUNTY	DATE	SCHOOL DISTRICT	DIS-TRICT/SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN-CUM-BENT	NUMBER OF CAN-DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN-DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER-CENT OF VOTE	ELEC-TED ²
KERN	11/8/2011	Antelope Valley Community College ⁴		Full	Fox	Steve	Attorney/Educator	No	5	479	1,715	27.9%	No
					Seefus	Jack D.	Incumbent	Yes	5	451	1,715	26.3%	Yes
					Stults	Lew	Small Businessman	No	5	374	1,715	21.8%	Yes
					Streeter	Marginese	Educator	No	5	259	1,715	15.1%	No
					Chavez	Margaret L.	Retired	No	5	152	1,715	8.9%	No
	Antelope Valley Joint Union High ⁵	Full	McGrady	Jill	Retired Teacher	No	4	10,952	29,342	37.3%	Yes		
			Winn	Donita J.	Incumbent	Yes	4	8,230	29,342	28.0%	Yes		
			Franklin	Kermit	Retired College Professor	No	4	6,809	29,342	23.2%	No		
			Murrell	Emmett B.	Executive Director	No	4	3,351	29,342	11.4%	No		
	KINGS	No School District Contests											
LAKE	11/8/2011	Lakeport Unified		Full	Kirby	Philip T.	Incumbent	Yes	4	836	3,022	27.7%	Yes
					Cox	Wally	Insurance Agent/Parent	No	4	814	3,022	26.9%	Yes
					Holmes	Lori	Dietitian/Parent	No	4	702	3,022	23.2%	Yes
					Teverbaugh	Renee	Parent/Hair Stylist	No	4	670	3,022	22.2%	No
	Mendocino-Lake Community College ⁶	7	Full	Geck	David	Appointed Incumbent	No	2	2,104	3,680	57.2%	Yes	
				Tippit	Derek	Retired Military	No	2	1,576	3,680	42.8%	No	
	Upper Lake Union Elementary	Full	Raetz	Ronald L.	Retired Teacher	No	3	443	1,069	41.4%	Yes		
			O'Meara	Mel	Math Teacher	No	3	401	1,069	37.5%	Yes		
			Christensen	Walt	Incumbent	Yes	3	225	1,069	21.0%	No		
	Upper Lake Union High	Full	Austin	Keith	Parent/Restaurant Sales	No	3	572	1,589	36.0%	Yes		
Swaney			Richard M.	Highway Maintenance Foreman	No	3	545	1,589	34.3%	Yes			
Quitiquit			Wanda A.	Community Volunteer	No	3	472	1,589	29.7%	No			
LASSEN	No School District Contests												
LOS ANGELES	2/22/2011	Burbank Unified		Full	Kemp	Dave L.	School Board Member	Yes	4	5,057	17,286	29.3%	Yes
					Reynolds	Roberta G.	Incumbent	Yes	4	4,508	17,286	26.1%	Yes
					Bunch	Ted	Incumbent	Yes	4	4,388	17,286	25.4%	Yes
					Bragg	Gregory	Broadcast Services Manager	No	4	3,333	17,286	19.3%	No

⁴Multi-county school district. Results for Los Angeles county reported separately.

⁵Multi-county school district. Results for Los Angeles county reported separately.

⁶ Multi-county school district. Results for Mendocino county reported separately.

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR SCHOOL DISTRICT BOARD CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2011

COUNTY	DATE	SCHOOL DISTRICT	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN-CUM-BENT	NUMBER OF CANDIDATES	VOTES FOR CANDIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER-CENT OF VOTE	ELEC-TED ²	
LOS ANGELES (continued)	3/8/2011	Los Angeles Community College	1	Full	Field	Mona	Community College Professor	Yes	5	128,617	217,809	59.1%	Yes	
					Walker	Gwen	Retired Educator	No	5	30,852	217,809	14.2%	No	
					Essavi	Jozef T.	Los Angeles County Commissioner	No	5	23,392	217,809	10.7%	No	
					Lopez	Oswaldo "Ozzie"	Community Center Director	No	5	23,074	217,809	10.6%	No	
					Mims	Derrick	L.A. City Workforce Boardmember	No	5	11,874	217,809	5.5%	No	
			3	Full	Veres	Steven	Community College Teacher	No	2	122,344	209,267	58.5%	Yes	
					Garcia	Joyce B.	University Professor	No	2	86,923	209,267	41.5%	No	
			5	Full	Svonkin	Scott	School Board Member	No	7	76,466	214,720	35.6%	Runoff	
					Gutierrez	Lydia A.	Teacher/Neighborhood Boardmember	No	7	31,884	214,720	14.8%	Runoff	
					Pescador	Octavio	University Professor	No	7	28,635	214,720	13.3%	No	
					Chase	Nicole M.	Youth Advocate/Consultant	No	7	25,955	214,720	12.1%	No	
					Bolin	Pamela R.	Treasurer, Neighborhood Council	No	7	20,620	214,720	9.6%	No	
					Lee	Mark	Neighborhood Council Boardmember	No	7	19,977	214,720	9.3%	No	
					Aldana Jr.	Manuel "Manny"	Boardmember, Neighborhood Council	No	7	11,183	214,720	5.2%	No	
			7	Full	Santiago	Miguel	Community College Boardmember	Yes	2	135,844	207,149	65.6%	Yes	
					Aguirre	Erick	Small Business Entrepreneur	No	2	71,305	207,149	34.4%	No	
			Los Angeles Unified	1	Full	Lamotte	Marguerite P.	Educator/School Boardmember	Yes	2	29,671	39,959	74.3%	Yes
						Lee	Eric	Civic Community Leader	No	2	10,288	39,959	25.7%	No
				3	Full	Galatzan	Tamar	Prosecutor/School Boardmember	Yes	2	31,891	50,606	63.0%	Yes
						Pugliese	Louis	Teacher/Educator	No	2	18,715	50,606	37.0%	No
				5	Full	Sanchez	Luis	Educator/Parent	No	3	15,942	32,959	48.4%	Yes
						Kayser	Bennett S.	Teacher	No	3	11,473	32,959	34.8%	Yes
						Fernandez	John	Retired School Teacher	No	3	5,544	32,959	16.8%	No
				7	Full	Vladovic	Richard A.	School Board Member	Yes	3	14,789	24,922	59.3%	Yes
						Love	Roye	Parent Education Activist	No	3	6,192	24,922	24.8%	No
Escandon	Jesus M.	Public Schools Advocate				No	3	3,941	24,922	15.8%	No			
Pasadena Unified	2	Full	Cooper	Renatta M.	Board Member/Educator	Yes	1	12,713	13,484	94.3%	Yes			
	4	Full	Kenne	Kimberly	Education Data Consultant	No	2	8,458	15,468	54.7%	Yes			
			Stevenson	Gene	Executive Administrator	No	2	6,886	15,468	44.5%	No			
	6	Full	Selinske	Tom	Incumbent	Yes	3	7,570	16,036	47.2%	Runoff			
			Baggett	Sean J.	Teacher/School Administrator	No	3	5,554	16,036	34.6%	Runoff			
			Christopher	Gaylaird	School Building Architect	No	3	2,821	16,036	17.6%	No			

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR SCHOOL DISTRICT BOARD CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2011

COUNTY	DATE	SCHOOL DISTRICT	DIS- TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM- BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELEC- TED ²
LOS ANGELES (continued)	3/8/2011	Redondo Beach Unified		Full	Avrick	Anita	Community Volunteer	No	3	5,245	13,058	40.2%	Yes
					Emdee	Laura	Community Volunteer	No	3	5,226	13,058	40.0%	Yes
Clark					Arda	Real Estate Agent	No	3	2,587	13,058	19.8%	No	
	4/5/2011	Glendale Community College		Full	Peroomian	Vahe	Glendale Community College Trustee	Yes	3	12,745	33,488	38.1%	Yes
Tartaglia					Tony	Glendale Community College Trustee	Yes	3	12,259	33,488	36.6%	Yes	
Gharpetian					Vartan	Entrepreneur/Businessman	No	3	8,484	33,488	25.3%	No	
		Glendale Unified		Full	Nahabedian	Nayiri	Member, Glendale Board of Education	Yes	8	10,560	43,711	24.2%	Yes
Boger					Mary	Member, Board of Education	Yes	8	8,761	43,711	20.0%	Yes	
Satoorian					Vahik	Certified Public Accountant	No	8	7,454	43,711	17.1%	No	
Hunt					Todd	Parent/Businessman	No	8	6,125	43,711	14.0%	No	
Cabrera					Daniel	Retired Teacher	No	8	3,556	43,711	8.1%	No	
Gunnell					Ingrid	Classroom Teacher	No	8	3,447	43,711	7.9%	No	
Freemon					Jennifer	Educator/Parent	No	8	3,122	43,711	7.1%	No	
Fox					Ami	Educator	No	8	686	43,711	1.6%	No	
	4/19/2011	Pasadena Unified	6	Full	Selinske	Tom	Incumbent	Yes	2	8,372	13,570	61.7%	Yes
Baggett					Sean J.	Teacher/School Administrator	No	2	5,198	13,570	38.3%	No	
	5/17/2011	Los Angeles Community College	5	Full	Svonkin	Scott	School Board Member	No	2	82,415	154,343	53.4%	Yes
Gutierrez					Lydia A.	Teacher/Neighborhood Boardmember	No	2	71,928	154,343	46.6%	No	
		Los Angeles Unified	5	Full	Kayser	Bennett S.	Teacher	No	2	10,741	20,880	51.4%	Yes
Sanchez					Luis	Educator/Parent	No	2	10,139	20,880	48.6%	No	
	11/8/2011	ABC Unified		Full	Tse	Sophia M.	Governing Boardmember/Educator	Yes	6	5,168	22,090	23.4%	Yes
Reyes					Armin	Governing Boardmember	Yes	6	4,338	22,090	19.6%	Yes	
Spitzer					Celia	Governing Board Member	Yes	6	4,147	22,090	18.8%	Yes	
Law					Maynard G.	Incumbent	Yes	6	3,983	22,090	18.0%	Yes	
Dodson					Louise	Deputy Probation Officer	No	6	2,503	22,090	11.3%	No	
Sharma					Henna	Community Volunteer	No	6	1,951	22,090	8.8%	No	
				Short	Johnson	Lynda	Los Angeles County Paralegal	No	4	3,018	9,068	33.3%	Yes
Yoo					Soo Y.	Educator/Businesswoman	No	4	2,994	9,068	33.0%	No	
Chen					Cindy Yen	Educator/Entrepreneur/Executive	No	4	2,704	9,068	29.8%	No	
Meisami					Mansour	Retired Federal Employee	No	4	352	9,068	3.9%	No	

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR SCHOOL DISTRICT BOARD CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2011

COUNTY	DATE	SCHOOL DISTRICT	DIS-TRICT/SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN-CUM-BENT	NUMBER OF CANDIDATES	VOTES FOR CANDIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER-CENT OF VOTE	ELEC-TED ²
LOS ANGELES (continued)	11/8/2011	Antelope Valley Community College ⁷		Full	Stults	Lew	Small Businessman	No	5	8,326	31,875	26.1%	Yes
					Seefus	Jack D.	Incumbent	Yes	5	7,883	31,875	24.7%	Yes
					Fox	Steve	Attorney/Educator	No	5	7,566	31,875	23.7%	No
					Streeter	Marginese	Educator	No	5	4,613	31,875	14.5%	No
					Chavez	Margaret L.	Retired	No	5	3,487	31,875	10.9%	No
		Antelope Valley Joint Union High ⁸	Full	McGrady	Jill	Retired Teacher	No	4	10,952	29,342	37.3%	Yes	
				Winn	Donita J.	Incumbent	Yes	4	8,230	29,342	28.0%	Yes	
				Franklin	Kermit	Retired College Professor	No	4	6,809	29,342	23.2%	No	
				Murrell	Emmett B.	Executive Director	No	4	3,351	29,342	11.4%	No	
		Azusa Unified	Full	Hamilton	Burke	Incumbent	Yes	4	937	3,613	25.9%	Yes	
				Pena	Yolanda R.	Retired School Employee	No	4	931	3,613	25.8%	Yes	
				Ochoa	Ilean M.	Governing Boardmember, Azusa USD	Yes	4	876	3,613	24.2%	No	
				Naccachian	Paul	Business Owner/Mediator	No	4	869	3,613	24.1%	No	
		Baldwin Park Unified	Full	Rubio	Blanca E.	Governing Board Member	Yes	6	2,212	9,946	22.2%	Yes	
				Lucero	Christina M.	Gov. Boardmember Baldwin Park USD	Yes	6	2,112	9,946	21.2%	Yes	
				White	Jack B.	Incumbent	Yes	6	1,920	9,946	19.3%	Yes	
				Ybarra	Natalie M.	Educator/Youth Director	No	6	1,877	9,946	18.9%	No	
				Ferrer	Mary L.	Retired	No	6	1,277	9,946	12.8%	No	
				Robles	Deanna C.	Daycare Provider	No	6	548	9,946	5.5%	No	
		Bassett Unified	Full	Medina	Joe M.	Retired Bassett Principal	No	6	514	1,860	27.6%	Yes	
				Santos	Laura	Gov. Boardmember, Bassett USD	Yes	6	444	1,860	23.9%	Yes	
				Acevedo	Joshua L.	Youth Program Advisor	No	6	408	1,860	21.9%	No	
				Arreola	Maria G.	Campus Monitor Security	No	6	312	1,860	16.8%	No	
				Angulo	Lizet	Compliance Supervisor	No	6	96	1,860	5.2%	No	
				Morales	Frank T.	Electrician	No	6	86	1,860	4.6%	No	
		Bellflower Unified	Full	Cleveland	Jerry L.	Board Member/Businessman	Yes	6	1,136	5,210	21.8%	Yes	
				Cuadros	Debra "Debbie"	Business Executive/Parent	No	6	1,061	5,210	20.4%	Yes	
				Trautman	Jerry	Director of Operations	No	6	821	5,210	15.8%	No	
Downing	Richard			Engineer/Educator	No	6	805	5,210	15.5%	No			
Salazar	Alejandro "Alex"			Businessman/Parent	No	6	762	5,210	14.6%	No			
Bookout	Sean H.			Police Officer/Parent	No	6	625	5,210	12.0%	No			

⁷Multi-county school district. Results for Kern county reported separately.

⁸ Multi-county school district. Results for Kern county reported separately.

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR SCHOOL DISTRICT BOARD CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2011

COUNTY	DATE	SCHOOL DISTRICT	DIS- TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM- BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELEC- TED ²
LOS ANGELES (continued)	11/8/2011	Bellflower Unified		Short	McMackin	Don	Appointed Incumbent	No	2	1,582	2,789	56.7%	Yes
					Phillips	Clifton "Cliff"	Professor/Teacher/Administrator	No	2	1,207	2,789	43.3%	No
LOS ANGELES (continued)	11/8/2011	Beverly Hills Unified		Full	Goldberg	Brian D.	Gov. Boardmember, Beverly Hills USD	Yes	4	2,220	4,878	45.5%	Yes
					Hall	Lewis C.	Educator/Producer	No	4	979	4,878	20.1%	Yes
					Bilak	Frances R.	Lawyer/Parent	No	4	918	4,878	18.8%	Yes
					Licht	Andy	Motion Picture Producer	No	4	761	4,878	15.6%	No
		Centinela Valley Union High	3	Full	Gonzalez	Lorena L.	Vice-President, Operations Manager	No	3	2,696	5,587	48.3%	Yes
					Suarez	Sandra	Incumbent	Yes	3	1,808	5,587	32.4%	No
					Everett	Joshua M.	No Ballot Designation	No	3	1,083	5,587	19.4%	No
			4	Full	Ramos	Gloria A.	Governing Board Member	Yes	2	2,892	4,988	58.0%	Yes
					Felizzola	Ugo	Finance Businessman	No	2	2,096	4,988	42.0%	No
			Charter Oak Unified		Full	Cruz	Bob	Gov. Boardmember, Charter Oak USD	Yes	5	1,508	5,852	25.8%
		Akers				Brian R.	Governing Board Member	Yes	5	1,340	5,852	22.9%	Yes
		Probst				Joseph	Governing Board Member	Yes	5	1,279	5,852	21.9%	Yes
		Rose				David	Parent	No	5	900	5,852	15.4%	No
		Shade				Lois M.	High School Secretary	No	5	825	5,852	14.1%	No
		Citrus Community College	2	Full	Keith	Sue	Incumbent	Yes	2	3,710	4,895	75.8%	Yes
					Rickman	Tracy E.	Fire Technology Coordinator	No	2	1,185	4,895	24.2%	No
5	Full		Montgomery	Joanne	Incumbent	Yes	2	1,957	3,206	61.0%	Yes		
			Carter	Bruce A.	Retired College Professor	No	2	1,249	3,206	39.0%	No		
Claremont Unified		Full	LaConte	Hilary D.	Gov. Board Member, Claremont USD	Yes	3	3,494	8,131	43.0%	Yes		
			Mowbray	Samuel L.	No Ballot Designation	No	3	2,952	8,131	36.3%	Yes		
			Farrell	Joseph D.	Parent/Attorney	No	3	1,685	8,131	20.7%	No		

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR SCHOOL DISTRICT BOARD CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2011

COUNTY	DATE	SCHOOL DISTRICT	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM- BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELEC- TED ²
LOS ANGELES (continued)	11/8/2011	Compton Unified		Full	Ali	Micah	Gov. Boardmember, Compton USD	Yes	12	2,323	11,816	19.7%	Yes
					Sharif	Emma	Incumbent	Yes	12	1,401	11,816	11.9%	Yes
					Fisher	Sky D.	Educator	No	12	1,369	11,816	11.6%	Yes
					Estrada	Joel	Business Owner	No	12	998	11,816	8.4%	No
					Alvarez	Enelida	Clinical Social Worker	No	12	928	11,816	7.9%	No
					Lewis	Joseph L.	College Professor	No	12	901	11,816	7.6%	No
					Scott	Otha R.	Retired Teacher	No	12	709	11,816	6.0%	No
					Carson	Willie D.	Retired Maintenance Director	No	12	684	11,816	5.8%	No
					Stokes	Carolyn P.	Teacher	No	12	639	11,816	5.4%	No
					Enriquez	Rodolfo	Retired District Employee	No	12	632	11,816	5.3%	No
					Hall-Ganter	Latanya	City Commissioner	No	12	623	11,816	5.3%	No
		Hefflin	Donna	Executive Director	No	12	609	11,816	5.2%	No			
		Culver City Unified	Full	Goldberg	Nancy D.	Retired English Teacher	No	5	2,489	7,252	34.3%	Yes	
				Chardiet	Laura	Educator/Program Coordinator	No	5	2,188	7,252	30.2%	Yes	
				Zeidman	C. Scott	School Board Member	Yes	5	2,151	7,252	29.7%	No	
				Zirgulis	Robert "Mr. Z"	Educator/Entrepreneur/Realtor	No	5	295	7,252	4.1%	No	
				Abrams	Gary	No Ballot Designation	No	5	129	7,252	1.8%	No	
		Duarte Unified	Full	Reyes	Tom N.	Small Business Owner	No	6	1,326	7,250	18.3%	Yes	
				Kawasaki	Pamela S.	Governing Board Member	Yes	6	1,295	7,250	17.9%	Yes	
Figueroa	Francisco			Governing Board Member	Yes	6	1,241	7,250	17.1%	Yes			
Hall	Dave			Architectural Designer	No	6	1,195	7,250	16.5%	No			
Brooks-Mitchell	Rose			Governing Board Member	Yes	6	1,175	7,250	16.2%	No			
Ortiz	Dolores T.			Production Manager	No	6	1,018	7,250	14.0%	No			
Eastside Union Elementary	Full	Pincetich	Joseph "Joe"	High School Teacher	No	3	555	1,489	37.3%	Yes			
		Foster	Peggy W.	School Boardmember	Yes	3	545	1,489	36.6%	Yes			
		Taylor, Jr.	Ronald L.	Probation Crew Instructor	No	3	389	1,489	26.1%	No			
El Camino Community College	1	Full	Brown	Kenneth A.	Appointed Incumbent	No	1	23,028	23,028	100.0%	Yes		
	2	Full	Combs	Mary E.	Incumbent	Yes	1	22,552	22,552	100.0%	Yes		
	3	Full	Beverly	William "Bill" J.	Governing Board Member	Yes	2	14,260	25,611	55.7%	Yes		
		Full	Doeh	Wendy	Registered Nurse	No	2	11,351	25,611	44.3%	No		
El Monte City Elementary	Full	Eredia	Cathi A.	Educational Technology Manager	No	3	3,228	7,259	44.5%	Yes			
		Lam	Kien	Security Analyst	No	3	2,535	7,259	34.9%	Yes			
		Kingsbury	Michael T.	Painter	No	3	1,496	7,259	20.6%	No			

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR SCHOOL DISTRICT BOARD CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2011

COUNTY	DATE	SCHOOL DISTRICT	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM- BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELEC- TED ²
LOS ANGELES (continued)	11/8/2011	El Monte Union High		Full	Gonzales	Juanita M.	Teacher	No	4	4,552	14,931	30.5%	Yes
					Ramirez	Salvador	Boardmember El Monte Union HSD	Yes	4	3,836	14,931	25.7%	Yes
					De Seigrist	Esthela Torres	Governing Board Member	Yes	4	3,297	14,931	22.1%	No
					Man	Tonson W.	Teacher	No	4	3,246	14,931	21.7%	No
		El Rancho Unified		Full	Alvidrez	Delia	El Rancho USD, Gov. Board Member	Yes	4	1,883	6,634	28.4%	Yes
					Renteria	Alfred	Parent/Local Businessman	No	4	1,873	6,634	28.2%	Yes
					Cardenas	Magdalena	Special Education Liaison	No	4	1,746	6,634	26.3%	No
					Weinman	Susan	Teacher	No	4	1,132	6,634	17.1%	No
		El Segundo Unified		Full	Gabel	Laura S.	Community Volunteer	No	4	1,251	3,497	35.8%	Yes
					Nishime	Jeanie M.	College Vice President	No	4	1,077	3,497	30.8%	Yes
					Wilkin	Lisa C.	Governing Board Member	Yes	4	826	3,497	23.6%	No
					Cook	Stephen H.	Interactive Art Director	No	4	343	3,497	9.8%	No
		Garvey Elementary		Full	Lo	Henry	Governing Boardmember	Yes	4	989	2,856	34.6%	Yes
					Chin	Janet	Governing Boardmember, Garvey SD	Yes	4	790	2,856	27.7%	Yes
					Otis	Jason A.	College Engineering Student	No	4	667	2,856	23.4%	No
					Nunez	John H.	Business Consultant	No	4	410	2,856	14.4%	No
		Glendora Unified		Full	Blum	Doris M.	Incumbent	Yes	4	1,923	6,548	29.4%	Yes
					Ferrell	Douglas R.	Incumbent	Yes	4	1,910	6,548	29.2%	Yes
					Ellenson	Cory	Accountant	No	4	1,830	6,548	27.9%	No
					Miller	Irene	Small Business Owner	No	4	885	6,548	13.5%	No
		Hacienda La Puente Unified		Full	Chen	Jay	Governing Board Member	Yes	6	4,155	12,508	33.2%	Yes
					Kwok	Gino M.	Attorney/Professor/Parent	No	6	3,272	12,508	26.2%	Yes
					Alderete	Gloria	Retired Principal	No	6	2,976	12,508	23.8%	No
					Greenup	Cindy	Community Volunteer	No	6	1,521	12,508	12.2%	No
					Keat	Sandra M.	Retired Manager	No	6	473	12,508	3.8%	No
					Obad	Rudolph C.	Retired	No	6	111	12,508	0.9%	No
		Keppel Union Elementary		Full	Gaines	Matthew	Gov. Board Member, Keppel Union SD	Yes	4	444	1,493	29.7%	Yes
					Halliman	Georgia L.	Community Volunteer	No	4	431	1,493	28.9%	Yes
					Magana	Manuel J.	Governing Board Member	Yes	4	400	1,493	26.8%	No
					Olodun	Alicia E.	No Ballot Designation	No	4	218	1,493	14.6%	No
		La Cañada Unified		Full	Multari	Ellen S.	Community Volunteer	No	4	2,109	6,753	31.2%	Yes
					Blumenfeld	Andrew "A.J."	Student	No	4	1,835	6,753	27.2%	Yes
					Broberg	Jeanne	Incumbent	Yes	4	1,825	6,753	27.0%	No
					Koeppen	Ernest J.	Technologist	No	4	984	6,753	14.6%	No

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR SCHOOL DISTRICT BOARD CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2011

COUNTY	DATE	SCHOOL DISTRICT	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM- BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELEC- TED ²
LOS ANGELES (continued)	11/8/2011	Little Lake City Elementary		Full	Martinez	Richard A.	Incumbent	Yes	5	828	3,199	25.9%	Yes
					Berg	Lynn	Incumbent	Yes	5	800	3,199	25.0%	Yes
					Rock	Janet R.	Retired	No	5	624	3,199	19.5%	Yes
					Arnold	Kenneth D.	General Contractor	No	5	565	3,199	17.7%	No
					Mumtaz	A. J.	Finance Consultant/Parent	No	5	382	3,199	11.9%	No
		Lynwood Unified	Full	Solache	Jose Luis	Gov. Board Member, Lynwood SD	Yes	5	1,658	6,701	24.7%	Yes	
				Gonzalez	Briseida	Education Consultant	No	5	1,544	6,701	23.0%	Yes	
				Lopez	Maria G.	Governing Board Member	Yes	5	1,367	6,701	20.4%	Yes	
				Ramos	Arturo	Public Servant	No	5	1,086	6,701	16.2%	No	
				Aceves	Marvin	Parent/Business Owner	No	5	1,046	6,701	15.6%	No	
		Monrovia Unified	Full	Hammond	Rob	Business Owner	No	7	1,650	7,761	21.3%	Yes	
				Wong	Bryan J.	Incumbent	Yes	7	1,613	7,761	20.8%	Yes	
				Gililand	Ed	Incumbent	Yes	7	1,607	7,761	20.7%	Yes	
				Covarrubias	Janeane L.	Escrow Officer	No	7	975	7,761	12.6%	No	
				Williams	Terrence G.	Entrepreneur/Businessman	No	7	952	7,761	12.3%	No	
				McCarthy	Ed	Businessman/Parent	No	7	692	7,761	8.9%	No	
				Crabtree	David D.	Education Leadership Consultant	No	7	272	7,761	3.5%	No	
		Mountain View Elementary	Full	Espinoza	Mary	Incumbent	Yes	3	1,399	3,225	43.4%	Yes	
				Sutley	Patsy	Appointed Incumbent	No	3	925	3,225	28.7%	Yes	
				Zamorano	Irma L.	Community Volunteer	No	3	901	3,225	27.9%	No	
Norwalk-La Mirada Unified	Full	Morrison	Karen	Incumbent	Yes	4	2,997	10,020	29.9%	Yes			
		Urquidi	Jesus G.	Businessman/Engineer	No	4	2,565	10,020	25.6%	Yes			
		Pflanzer	Chris	Business Owner/Teacher	No	4	2,425	10,020	24.2%	Yes			
		Gramajo	Diane M.	Small Business Owner	No	4	2,033	10,020	20.3%	No			
Palmdale Elementary	Full	Molina	Maria G.	Teacher	No	5	2,567	9,351	27.5%	Yes			
		Bynum	Robert "Bo"	Incumbent	Yes	5	2,276	9,351	24.3%	Yes			
		Gatling	Warren W.	Businessman	No	5	1,769	9,351	18.9%	No			
		Sanchez	MarcAnthony	Appointed Incumbent	No	5	1,523	9,351	16.3%	No			
		McElfresh	Charles M.	Retired Maintenance Director	No	5	1,216	9,351	13.0%	No			
Palos Verdes Peninsula Unified	Full	Vanden Bos	Larry	Incumbent	Yes	3	7,993	20,911	38.2%	Yes			
		La Monte	Erin G.	Retired Corporate Executive	No	3	6,790	20,911	32.5%	Yes			
		Chooljian	Dianna	Physician	No	3	6,128	20,911	29.3%	No			
Pasadena Area Community College	2	Full	Mann	Jeanette W.	Governing Board Member	Yes	2	2,920	4,274	68.3%	Yes		
			Fuller	Brian M.	Small Business Owner	No	2	1,354	4,274	31.7%	No		

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR SCHOOL DISTRICT BOARD CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2011

COUNTY	DATE	SCHOOL DISTRICT	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM- BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELEC- TED ²	
LOS ANGELES (continued)	11/8/2011	Pasadena Area Community College	4	Full	Thomson	William	Incumbent	Yes	2	2,328	2,754	84.5%	Yes	
					Enriquez-Marquez	M.A.C. "Maestro"	History Educator/Author	No	2	426	2,754	15.5%	No	
			6	Full	Martin	John H.	Boardmember, Pasadena CCD Area 6	Yes	2	1,725	2,637	65.4%	Yes	
					Cofer	Chris	Community College Teacher	No	2	912	2,637	34.6%	No	
		Pomona Unified		Full	Rothman	Jason A.	Gov. Boardmember, Pomona USD	Yes	5	2,701	9,342	28.9%	Yes	
					Guzman	Frank C.	Local Businessman/Parent	No	5	2,266	9,342	24.3%	Yes	
					Rodriguez	Richard L.	School Board Member	Yes	5	2,081	9,342	22.3%	No	
					Mollet	Hank	Teacher	No	5	1,949	9,342	20.9%	No	
					Granillo	Anthony "Tony"	Entrepreneur	No	5	345	9,342	3.7%	No	
		Rio Hondo Community College	2	Full	Santana	Vicky	Senior Legislative Deputy	No	4	1,949	4,920	39.6%	Yes	
					Centeno, Jr.	Fernando	Engineering Projects Manager	No	4	1,775	4,920	36.1%	No	
					Lautzenhiser	John G.	Attorney	No	4	602	4,920	12.2%	No	
					Reyes	Louis	Senate Field Representative	No	4	594	4,920	12.1%	No	
			4	Full	Mendez	Gary	Boardmember, Rio Hondo CCD Area 4	Yes	4	978	2,634	37.1%	Yes	
					Gonzales-Lawrence	Ronald	Local School Boardmember	No	4	927	2,634	35.2%	No	
					Mecedonio	Margarita G.	Community Development Manager	No	4	548	2,634	20.8%	No	
					Vasquez	Frank "Francis"	Business Consultant	No	4	181	2,634	6.9%	No	
		Rosemead Elementary		Full	Esquivel	Ron G.	Gov. Board Member, Rosemead SD	Yes	3	748	1,854	40.3%	Yes	
					Cantrell	Randall C.	Incumbent	Yes	3	579	1,854	31.2%	Yes	
					Nguyen	Qui D.	Financial Advisor	No	3	527	1,854	28.4%	No	
		San Gabriel Unified		Full	Ammon	Andrew L.	Teacher	No	3	1,685	3,438	49.0%	Yes	
					Eccleston	John	Field Superintendent	No	3	966	3,438	28.1%	Yes	
					Richie	Carolyn E.	School Safety Officer	No	3	787	3,438	22.9%	No	
		San Marino Unified		Full	Link	Lisa H.	Attorney	No	3	1,984	4,490	44.2%	Yes	
					Norgaard	Chris	Incumbent	Yes	3	1,954	4,490	43.5%	Yes	
					Hu	Sigang "Scott"	Business Owner/Broker	No	3	552	4,490	12.3%	No	
		Santa Clarita Community College	2	Full	Jenkins	Michele R.	Governing Board Member	Yes	1	10,895	10,895	100.0%	Yes	
					Fortine	Bruce D.	Governing Board Member	Yes	2	6,954	13,081	53.2%	Yes	
				4	Full	Shaw	Diana	Small Businesswoman/Attorney	No	2	6,127	13,081	46.8%	No
			Wilk			Scott T.	Incumbent	Yes	2	6,442	12,147	53.0%	Yes	
			Hill			Patrick D.	Doctor of Education	No	2	5,705	12,147	47.0%	No	

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR SCHOOL DISTRICT BOARD CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2011

COUNTY	DATE	SCHOOL DISTRICT	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM- BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELEC- TED ²
LOS ANGELES (continued)	11/8/2011	Saugus Union Elementary		Full	Koscielny	Rose	Governing Board Member	Yes	3	2,737	7,419	36.9%	Yes
					Winkler	Stephen S.	Teacher	No	3	2,518	7,419	33.9%	Yes
					Diaz	Rose S.	Incumbent	Yes	3	2,164	7,419	29.2%	No
South Pasadena Unified				Full	Loo	Joseph	Incumbent	Yes	3	2,699	7,116	37.9%	Yes
					Sonner	Richard A.	Incumbent	Yes	3	2,536	7,116	35.6%	Yes
					Figueroa	Benjamin	University Finances Administrator	No	3	1,881	7,116	26.4%	No
Sulphur Springs Union Elementary				Full	Weinstein	Rochelle "Shelley"	Governing Board Member	Yes	3	1,870	4,372	42.8%	Yes
					DeFigueiredo	Denis F.	Incumbent	Yes	3	1,399	4,372	32.0%	Yes
					Lofton	Priscilla C.	Community Volunteer	No	3	1,103	4,372	25.2%	No
Temple City Unified				Full	Ridley	Robert "Bob"	Incumbent	Yes	7	1,268	6,173	20.5%	Yes
					Tiet	Kien C.	Attorney at Law	No	7	1,196	6,173	19.4%	Yes
					Knollenberg	Kenneth	Retired Engineer	No	7	1,043	6,173	16.9%	Yes
					Rhee	Janet	Incumbent	Yes	7	850	6,173	13.8%	No
					Wong	Matthew J.	News Publisher	No	7	775	6,173	12.6%	No
					Lasota	Rachel L.	Incumbent	Yes	7	555	6,173	9.0%	No
					Cales	Emilie	Retired Teacher	No	7	486	6,173	7.9%	No
Walnut Valley Unified				Full	Chen	Phillip	Los Angeles Deputy Sheriff	No	3	3,121	7,318	42.6%	Yes
					Redinger	Larry L.	Governing Board Member	Yes	3	2,649	7,318	36.2%	Yes
					Yip	Ben	Entrepreneur	No	3	1,548	7,318	21.2%	No
West Covina Unified				Full	Cox	Steve	Governing Boardmember/CPA	Yes	6	2,229	10,096	22.1%	Yes
					Spence	Mike	Gov. Boardmember, W. Covina USD	Yes	6	2,170	10,096	21.5%	Yes
					Poulos	Camie	Governing Board Member	Yes	6	2,047	10,096	20.3%	Yes
					Jones	Ian	Attorney	No	6	1,492	10,096	14.8%	No
					Barrile	David	High School Teacher	No	6	1,182	10,096	11.7%	No
					Broviak	Stephani J.	Community Volunteer	No	6	976	10,096	9.7%	No
Westside Union Elementary				Full	DeMarzio	Steven P.	Incumbent	Yes	3	3,091	8,475	36.5%	Yes
					Farrell	Gwen	Incumbent	Yes	3	2,993	8,475	35.3%	Yes
					Petersen	Kristin L.	Community Volunteer	No	3	2,391	8,475	28.2%	No
Whittier City Elementary				Full	Perez	Irella S.	University Professor	No	3	1,619	4,448	36.4%	Yes
					Davalos	Kenia	University Instructor/Businesswoman	No	3	1,599	4,448	35.9%	Yes
					Perez	Cecilia R.	Community Volunteer	No	3	1,230	4,448	27.7%	No
William S. Hart Union High				Full	Mercado-Fortine	Gloria	Governing Boardmember/Educator	Yes	3	7,530	21,333	35.3%	Yes
					Sturgeon	Steven M.	Governing Board Member	Yes	3	7,065	21,333	33.1%	Yes
					Davis	Heather A.	Teacher	No	3	6,738	21,333	31.6%	No

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR SCHOOL DISTRICT BOARD CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2011

COUNTY	DATE	SCHOOL DISTRICT	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM- BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELEC- TED ²
LOS ANGELES (continued)	11/8/2011	Wilsona Elementary		Full	Green	Victoria L.	Parent	No	3	267	738	36.2%	Yes
					Fransen	Christine I.	Parent	No	3	258	738	35.0%	Yes
					Poirier	Linda J.	Business Owner	No	3	213	738	28.9%	No
MADERA		No School District Contests											
MARIN	11/8/2011	Dixie Elementary		Full	Hyman	Andrew R.	Incumbent	Yes	3	3,338	8,602	38.8%	Yes
					Vuillermet	Jennifer M.W.	Attorney	Yes	3	2,979	8,602	34.6%	Yes
					McDaniel	Julie	Parent/Transportation Agent	No	3	2,265	8,602	26.3%	No
		Lagunitas Elementary	Full	Santa Cruz-Bohman	Denise	Incumbent	Yes	3	720	1,683	42.8%	Yes	
				Sloan	Richard C.	Carpenter	Yes	3	677	1,683	40.2%	Yes	
				Ovetz	Robert	Community College Professor	No	3	276	1,683	16.4%	No	
		Marin Community College	Full	Long	Eva	Incumbent	Yes	7	29,698	147,877	20.1%	Yes	
				Kranenburg	Philip J.	Incumbent	Yes	7	27,279	147,877	18.4%	Yes	
				O'Brien	Stephanie	School Board Trustee	Yes	7	26,424	147,877	17.9%	Yes	
				Namnath	James	Incumbent	Yes	7	22,523	147,877	15.2%	Yes	
				Lisetor	Joan	Writer/Producer	No	7	19,806	147,877	13.4%	No	
				Wilkinson	Jack	Businessman/Educator	No	7	16,171	147,877	10.9%	No	
				Romanowsky	Peter	Minister	No	7	5,692	147,877	3.8%	No	
		Mill Valley Elementary	Full	Wachtel	Leslie A.	Community Volunteer	Yes	3	5,030	12,121	41.5%	Yes	
				Jacobs	Bob	Retired Science Teacher	Yes	3	3,563	12,121	29.4%	Yes	
				Waldeck	Clifford C.	Business Executive	No	3	3,500	12,121	28.9%	No	
		Nicasio Elementary	Full	McArthur	Holly	No Ballot Designation	Yes	2	79	145	54.5%	Yes	
				Grady	Kent H.	Preschool Owner/Firefighter	No	2	66	145	45.5%	No	
		Novato Unified	Full	Cooper	Tom	Incumbent	Yes	6	6,749	31,807	21.2%	Yes	
				Clinton	Cindi	Incumbent	Yes	6	6,674	31,807	21.0%	Yes	
				Butler	Deborah	Incumbent	Yes	6	6,613	31,807	20.8%	Yes	
				Millerick	Ross	Incumbent	Yes	6	6,140	31,807	19.3%	Yes	
				Blok	Nicole T.	Statistician/Mother	No	6	3,019	31,807	9.5%	No	
				Vaillant	Jeffrey L.	Professional Genealogist	No	6	2,479	31,807	7.8%	No	
Reed Union Elementary	Full	Steele	Dana L.	Attorney/Parent	Yes	3	2,061	5,315	38.8%	Yes			
		Peitz	Susan L.	Parent/Emergency Physician	Yes	3	2,005	5,315	37.7%	Yes			
		Keenley	Kevin	Father/Coach/Investor	No	3	1,243	5,315	23.4%	No			

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR SCHOOL DISTRICT BOARD CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2011

COUNTY	DATE	SCHOOL DISTRICT	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM- BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELEC- TED ²		
MARIN (continued)	11/8/2011	Ross Valley Elementary		Full	Capron	Anne	Community Volunteer	Yes	5	3,985	13,666	29.2%	Yes		
					Bauer	Annelise C.	Appointed Incumbent	No	5	3,777	13,666	27.6%	Yes		
					Dettmer	Hadley	Parent	Yes	5	3,130	13,666	22.9%	Yes		
					Hughes	Trevor	Retired Physician	No	5	2,313	13,666	16.9%	No		
					Peacock	Elizabeth	No Ballot Designation	No	5	423	13,666	3.1%	No		
MARIPOSA		No School District Contests													
MENDOCINO	11/8/2011	Anderson Valley Unified		Full	Anderson	Ben	No Ballot Designation	No	5	448	1,805	24.8%	Yes		
					Browning	Dick	Incumbent	Yes	5	383	1,805	21.2%	Yes		
					Bradford	Martha F.	Incumbent	Yes	5	364	1,805	20.2%	Yes		
					Harris	Don	Public Relations	No	5	307	1,805	17.0%	No		
					Pardini	Ernest D.	No Ballot Designation	No	5	303	1,805	16.8%	No		
		Arena Union Elementary	Full	Gardiner		Bob	Farmer	No	4	600	2,181	27.5%	Yes		
						De Wilder	Jim	Incumbent	Yes	4	574	2,181	26.3%	Yes	
						Miles	Ron	Appointed Incumbent	No	4	572	2,181	26.2%	Yes	
						Rush	Suzanne "Susan"	Business Administrator	No	4	435	2,181	19.9%	No	
		Mendocino County Office of Education	1	Short	Schraeder		Camille	Non-Profit Director	No	2	1,697	2,865	59.2%	Yes	
							Samples-Cline	Regina L.	Appointed Incumbent	No	2	1,168	2,865	40.8%	No
		Mendocino Unified	3	Full	Schaeffer		Michael	Incumbent	Yes	2	1,029	1,720	59.8%	Yes	
							Gagnon	Jim	Parent, Systems Analyst	No	2	691	1,720	40.2%	No
		Mendocino-Lake Community College ⁹	7	Full	Geck		David	Appointed Incumbent	No	2	4,873	8,880	54.9%	Yes	
							Tippit	Derek	Retired Military	No	2	4,007	8,880	45.1%	No
		Potter Valley Community Unified	Full	Blundell		Clay	Incumbent	Yes	5	316	1,313	24.1%	Yes		
						Preffer	Sandy	Retired School Bus Driver	No	5	301	1,313	22.9%	Yes	
						Johnson	Diane I.	Teacher	No	5	283	1,313	21.6%	Yes	
						Odneal	Ronnie	Incumbent	Yes	5	240	1,313	18.3%	No	
						Smith	Tammie J.	Parent/Community Member	No	5	173	1,313	13.2%	No	
		Ukiah Unified	C	Full	Monpere		Gail	Retired Teacher	No	4	4,018	13,741	29.2%	Yes	
							Van Sant	Megan	Program Administrator/Parent	No	4	3,856	13,741	28.1%	Yes
							Molgaard	Anne C.	Parent/Executive Director	No	4	3,796	13,741	27.6%	Yes
							Johnston	David	Incumbent	Yes	4	2,071	13,741	15.1%	No
		Willits Unified	Full	Kikpatrick		Robert K.	Incumbent	Yes	3	1,644	4,445	37.0%	Yes		
						Rodriguez	Saprina	Preschool Teacher/Parent	No	3	1,469	4,445	33.0%	Yes	
						Bashore	R. Wayne	Appointed Incumbent	No	3	1,332	4,445	30.0%	No	

⁹Multi-county school district. Results for Lake county reported separately.

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR SCHOOL DISTRICT BOARD CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2011

COUNTY	DATE	SCHOOL DISTRICT	DIS- TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM- BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELEC- TED ²	
MERCED	11/8/2011	Turlock Joint Unified ¹⁰		Full	Lima	Frank M.	Incumbent	Yes	6	30	129	23.3%	Yes	
					Hamilton	Eileen	Incumbent	Yes	6	29	129	22.5%	Yes	
					Welch	Grady L.	Parole Agent	No	6	26	129	20.2%	Yes	
					Grewal	Harinder	Senior Agricultural Inspector	No	6	17	129	13.2%	Yes	
					Johnson	Andrew	Administrative Analyst	No	6	17	129	13.2%	No	
					Galvez	Miguel A.	Real Estate Agent	No	6	10	129	7.8%	No	
MODOC		No School District Contests												
MONO		No School District Contests												
MONTEREY	11/8/2011	Carmel Unified		Full	Patel	Rita A.	Small Business Owner	No	5	3,260	10,884	30.0%	Yes	
					Steck	Annette Y.	Incumbent	Yes	5	2,588	10,884	23.8%	Yes	
					Kreitman	Richard	Financial Advisor	No	5	2,152	10,884	19.8%	Yes	
					Peitso	Mary	Paralegal/Parent	No	5	1,496	10,884	13.7%	No	
					Leatherberry	Bill	Corporate Attorney	No	5	1,388	10,884	12.8%	No	
		Chualar Union Elementary	3	Full		Moreno	Rosabella	Preschool Teacher	No	2	36	68	52.9%	Yes
						Rangel	Esperanza G.	Dental Office Clerk	No	2	32	68	47.1%	No
		Greenfield Union Elementary		Full		Salvagno	Arthur	Incumbent	Yes	3	527	1,122	47.0%	Yes
						Conle	Laura C.	Farm Workers Organizer	No	3	355	1,122	31.6%	Yes
						Roller	Jeremiah W.	No Ballot Designation	No	3	240	1,122	21.4%	No
		Hartnell Community College	1	Full		Pruneda	Demetrio	Retired School Teacher	No	2	1,578	2,903	54.4%	Yes
						Osorio	Manuel M.	NMCUSD Board Member	No	2	1,325	2,903	45.6%	No
			2	Full		Freeman	Bill	Hartnell College Trustee	Yes	2	1,391	2,323	59.9%	Yes
						Gray, Jr.	Johnny J.	Information Technology Manager	No	2	932	2,323	40.1%	No
			4	Full		Gonzalez-Castro	Elia	Incumbent	Yes	3	502	1,479	33.9%	Yes
						Garcia	Rafael	Mortgage Consultant	No	3	493	1,479	33.3%	No
					Fickas	Rosemary Y.	Parent/Financial Clerk	No	3	484	1,479	32.7%	No	
		King City Union Elementary		Full		Muncy-Silva	Michelle	Parent/Teacher	No	3	542	1,298	41.8%	Yes
						Munoz	Tige	Parent/Teacher	No	3	459	1,298	35.4%	Yes
						Vargas	Ana B.	Outreach/Publicity Coordinator	No	3	297	1,298	22.9%	No
		Monterey County Office of Education ¹¹	4	Full		Estrada	Francisco J.	Retired Teacher	No	2	1,453	2,713	53.6%	Yes
						Higgins	Brian	Businessman/Foundation VP	No	2	1,260	2,713	46.4%	No
			5	Full		Panziera	Ron	Incumbent	Yes	2	2,353	3,622	65.0%	Yes
						Acosta	Tony	Community Outreach Specialist	No	2	1,269	3,622	35.0%	No

¹⁰Multi-county school district. Results for Stanislaus county reported separately.¹¹Multi-county school district. Results for San Benito county reported separately.

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR SCHOOL DISTRICT BOARD CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2011

COUNTY	DATE	SCHOOL DISTRICT	DIS-TRICT/SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN-CUM-BENT	NUMBER OF CANDIDATES	VOTES FOR CANDIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER-CENT OF VOTE	ELEC-TED ²
MONTEREY (continued)	11/8/2011	Monterey Peninsula Community College	3	Full	Johnson	Rick	Non-Profit Executive Director	No	2	1,230	2,346	52.4%	Yes
					Savukinas	Robert	College Administrator/Faculty	No	2	1,116	2,346	47.6%	No
		Monterey Peninsula Unified	1	Full	Creasey	Diane J.	Registered Nurse/Trustee	Yes	2	952	1,761	54.1%	Yes
					Jennings	Tom	Fire Security Technician	No	2	809	1,761	45.9%	No
			3	Full	Hill	Jon	Human Resources Analyst	No	2	1,461	2,832	51.6%	Yes
					Glenn	Richard G.	Attorney/Project Manager	Yes	2	1,371	2,832	48.4%	No
		North Monterey County Unified	Full	Deckelmann	Mike	Teacher	No	5	1,722	5,355	32.2%	Yes	
				Skidgel-Clarke	Jennifer	Agriculture Education Specialist	No	5	1,117	5,355	20.9%	Yes	
				De Amaral	Gary S.	Incumbent	Yes	5	1,055	5,355	19.7%	Yes	
				Jimenez	Diana	Social Services Manager	Yes	5	894	5,355	16.7%	No	
				Munoz	Jessica	Parent	No	5	567	5,355	10.6%	No	
		Salinas City Elementary	4	Full	Lee	Daniel J.H.	Teacher/Business Owner	No	2	1,152	2,036	56.6%	Yes
					Eggers	Robert M.	Retired Police Commander	No	2	884	2,036	43.4%	No
		San Antonio Union Elementary	Full	Wilkins	Staci	Parent	No	3	164	364	45.1%	Yes	
				Arganbright	Don	Incumbent	Yes	3	115	364	31.6%	Yes	
				Folks	Dennalee D.	Medical Budget Analyst	No	3	85	364	23.4%	No	
		Santa Rita Union Elementary	Full	Spencer	Thomas J.	Incumbent	Yes	3	722	2,013	35.9%	Yes	
				Alexander	Patricia A.	Incumbent	Yes	3	675	2,013	33.5%	Yes	
				Ochoa	Mariana V.	Human Resources Director	No	3	616	2,013	30.6%	No	
		Soledad Unified	Full	Berlanga	Marie	Board Member	Yes	4	545	1,920	28.4%	Yes	
Ledesma	Gloria V.			Realtor-Broker/Businesswoman	No	4	480	1,920	25.0%	Yes			
Barrera	Fabian M.			Parent/Law Enforcement	No	4	478	1,920	24.9%	Yes			
Amaya	Albert O.			Incumbent	Yes	4	417	1,920	21.7%	No			
NAPA	No School District Contests												
NEVADA	No School District Contests												
ORANGE	No School District Contests												
PLACER	No School District Contests												
PLUMAS	No School District Contests												
RIVERSIDE	11/8/2011	Alvord Unified	Full	Wilson	Carolyn	Incumbent	Yes	4	2,783	8,114	34.3%	Yes	
				Johnson, II	Ben	Incumbent	Yes	4	2,228	8,114	27.5%	Yes	
				Jones	R. Scott	Businessman/Private Investigator	No	4	1,848	8,114	22.8%	No	
				Wandro	Donna K.	Parent	No	4	1,255	8,114	15.5%	No	

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR SCHOOL DISTRICT BOARD CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2011

COUNTY	DATE	SCHOOL DISTRICT	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM- BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELEC- TED ²
RIVERSIDE (continued)	11/8/2011	Banning Unified		Full	Ellis	Larry	Retired Science Teacher	No	7	2,331	9,512	24.5%	Yes
					Curtis	Ray "Coach"	Retired Administrator	No	7	2,113	9,512	22.2%	Yes
					Cassadas	Alejandro "Alex"	Child Care Worker	No	7	1,537	9,512	16.2%	Yes
					Dukes	Deborah A.	Incumbent	Yes	7	1,507	9,512	15.8%	No
					Vanden Heuvel	David "Mr. Van"	Retired Teacher	No	7	938	9,512	9.9%	No
					Israel	Maxine	Parent	No	7	688	9,512	7.2%	No
					Andrade	Alfredo	Para Educator	No	7	398	9,512	4.2%	No
		Menifee Union Elementary	Full	O'Donnell	Robert "Bob"	Incumbent	Yes	5	2,948	10,028	29.4%	Yes	
				Mann	Scott A.	Chief Executive Officer	No	5	2,366	10,028	23.6%	Yes	
				Peters	Rita J.	Incumbent	Yes	5	1,871	10,028	18.7%	No	
				Cahill	Patricia	Educator/Psychotherapist	No	5	1,795	10,028	17.9%	No	
				Sullivan	Carol "Red"	Business Woman	No	5	1,048	10,028	10.5%	No	
		Palo Verde Unified	Full	Jensen	Robert E.	Retired Educator	No	4	938	3,677	25.5%	Yes	
				Dean	Alice	Parent	No	4	928	3,677	25.2%	Yes	
				Hernandez	Alfonso "Sonny"	General Contractor	No	4	906	3,677	24.6%	Yes	
				Underwood	Garth D.	Incumbent	Yes	4	905	3,677	24.6%	No	
		Perris Elementary	Full	Green-Jordan	Virniecia	Educator	No	5	616	2,074	29.7%	Yes	
				Elliott	Tom	Retired Teacher, Principal	Yes	5	601	2,074	29.0%	Yes	
				Acevedo	Gilberto	Retired Custodian	No	5	346	2,074	16.7%	No	
				Martin	Ezekiel "Zeke"	Retired Mechanic	No	5	269	2,074	13.0%	No	
				Armand	Louis D.	Educator	No	5	242	2,074	11.7%	No	
		Riverside Unified	Full	Hunt	Tom	School Boardmember/Businessman	Yes	9	8,777	44,175	19.9%	Yes	
				Dawson	Patricia L.	Small Business Owner	No	9	7,556	44,175	17.1%	Yes	
				Cloud	Gayle	Incumbent	Yes	9	7,525	44,175	17.0%	Yes	
				Dale	Allison	Local Business Owner	No	9	5,355	44,175	12.1%	No	
				Walker	Gladys J.	Interim Administrator	No	9	4,220	44,175	9.6%	No	
				Dingman	Stephanie	Retired Teacher	No	9	4,135	44,175	9.4%	No	
				Sandell	Toni G.	Educator	No	9	3,048	44,175	6.9%	No	
				Murray	Arthur	Retired School Administrator	No	9	2,592	44,175	5.9%	No	
Ahmad	Dil			Toxicologist	No	9	967	44,175	2.2%	No			
Romoland Elementary	Full	Sperry	David W.	Businessman	No	4	501	1,746	28.7%	Yes			
		Tusant	Sandra A.	Classified Substitute/Parent	No	4	493	1,746	28.2%	Yes			
		McCarron	Brady A.	Radio Host, Producer	No	4	425	1,746	24.3%	No			
		Enriquez	Andrew	School Technology Assistant	No	4	327	1,746	18.7%	No			

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR SCHOOL DISTRICT BOARD CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2011

COUNTY	DATE	SCHOOL DISTRICT	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN-CUM-BENT	NUMBER OF CANDIDATES	VOTES FOR CANDIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER-CENT OF VOTE	ELEC-TED ²
SACRAMENTO		No School District Contests											
SAN BENITO	11/8/2011	Monterey County Office of Education ¹²	5	Full	Panziera	Ron	Incumbent	Yes	2	21	25	84.0%	Yes
					Acosta	Tony	Community Outreach Specialist	No	2	4	25	16.0%	No
SAN BERNARDINO		No School District Contests											
SAN DIEGO		No School District Contests											
SAN FRANCISCO		No School District Contests											
SAN JOAQUIN		No School District Contests											
SAN LUIS OBISPO		No School District Contests											
SAN MATEO	11/8/2011	Hillsborough City Elementary		Full	Power	Margi	Parent	No	4	1,284	3,338	38.5%	Yes
					Dannis	Gregory J.	Incumbent	Yes	4	1,176	3,338	35.2%	Yes
					Gans	Steven S.	Parent/CTO	No	4	516	3,338	15.5%	No
					Forbes	Michael M.	Managing Partner, LLC	No	4	362	3,338	10.8%	No
		Redwood City Elementary		Full	MacAvoy	Alisa G.	Incumbent	Yes	4	5,973	20,430	29.2%	Yes
					McBride	Dennis P.	Incumbent	Yes	4	5,941	20,430	29.1%	Yes
					Masur	Shelly	Incumbent	Yes	4	5,495	20,430	26.9%	Yes
					Cuniberti-Duran	Lea	Business Owner/Parent	No	4	3,021	20,430	14.8%	No
		San Bruno Park Elementary		Full	Martinez	Kevin J.	Board Member, San Bruno Park SD	Yes	4	2,453	7,231	33.9%	Yes
					Blanco	Jennifer M.	Board Member, San Bruno Park SD	Yes	4	2,302	7,231	31.8%	Yes
					Zelnik, Jr.	Charles "Chuck"	Parent	No	4	1,352	7,231	18.7%	No
					Capote	Joseph	Realtor	No	4	1,124	7,231	15.5%	No
		San Carlos Elementary		Full	Rosenblatt	Seth A.	Incumbent	Yes	3	3,498	8,516	41.1%	Yes
					Rak	Adam	Technology Industry Executive	No	3	3,178	8,516	37.3%	Yes
					Tzifas	Peter	Engineer/Construction Manager	No	3	1,840	8,516	21.6%	No
		San Mateo Community College		Full	Mandelkern	Dave	Boardmember, San Mateo CCD	Yes	6	51,579	196,353	26.3%	Yes
					Schwarz	Karen	Incumbent	Yes	6	46,091	196,353	23.5%	Yes
					Miljanich	Patricia	Incumbent	Yes	6	39,991	196,353	20.4%	Yes
					Ross	Joe	Education Nonprofit Director	No	6	31,296	196,353	15.9%	No
					Stogner	Michael G.	Businessman	No	6	16,801	196,353	8.6%	No
					Diaz	Jaime	No Ballot Designation	No	6	10,595	196,353	5.4%	No
		San Mateo-Foster City Elementary		Full	Sullivan	Colleen M.	Incumbent	Yes	3	9,540	24,685	38.6%	Yes
					Ng	Audrey	Market Performance Analyst	No	3	7,806	24,685	31.6%	Yes
					Amistad	Fel A.	Finance Professor/Parent	No	3	7,339	24,685	29.7%	No

¹²Multi-county school district. Results for Monterey county reported separately.

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR SCHOOL DISTRICT BOARD CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2011

COUNTY	DATE	SCHOOL DISTRICT	DIS-TRICT/SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN-CUM-BENT	NUMBER OF CANDIDATES	VOTES FOR CANDIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER-CENT OF VOTE	ELEC-TED ²	
SAN MATEO (continued)	11/8/2011	Sequoia Union High		Full	Du Bois	Carrie B.	Gov. Board Member San Carlos SD	No	5	16,611	65,807	25.2%	Yes	
					Weiner	Allen	University Educator/Parent	No	5	14,669	65,807	22.3%	Yes	
					Martinez	Olivia G.	Incumbent	Yes	5	12,659	65,807	19.2%	Yes	
					Rumley	Lorraine	Incumbent	Yes	5	12,582	65,807	19.1%	No	
					Moody	Larry J.	Non Profit Director	No	5	9,286	65,807	14.1%	No	
		Woodside Elementary		Full	Driscoll	Rudy	Business Person/Parent	No	3	479	1,174	40.8%	Yes	
					Johnson	Kevin P.B.	Business Lawyer/Parent	No	3	382	1,174	32.5%	Yes	
					Bamford	Virginia "Ginger"	Board Member/Lawyer	Yes	3	313	1,174	26.7%	No	
		SANTA BARBARA		No School District Contests										
		SANTA CLARA	11/8/2011	Orchard Elementary		Full	Kasolas-Jacobson	Katherine	Substitute Teacher	No	4	309	1,063	29.1%
Shirley	Sheryl						Incumbent	Yes	4	282	1,063	26.5%	Yes	
Dailey	Danny G.						Incumbent	Yes	4	249	1,063	23.4%	No	
Nazari	Diana						Mother	No	4	223	1,063	21.0%	No	
Patterson Joint Unified ¹³				Full	Johnson	Kay S.	Office Manager/Parent	No	7	928	4,247	21.9%	Yes	
					Kelly	Bruce	Incumbent	Yes	7	676	4,247	15.9%	Yes	
					Hussar	Amy	Tutor	No	7	562	4,247	13.2%	Yes	
					Reynoso	Jose	Parent/Computer Engineer	No	7	550	4,247	13.0%	Yes	
					Pinedo-Medina	Elisa	Business Owner/Manicurist	No	7	543	4,247	12.8%	No	
					Saballos	Alex J.	Leadership Development Trainer	No	7	535	4,247	12.6%	No	
Sunnyvale Elementary				Full	Myers	Reid	Community Volunteer	No	3	4,928	11,649	42.3%	Yes	
					Newkirk	Nancy	Incumbent	Yes	3	4,927	11,649	42.3%	Yes	
					Mumy	John	Parent	No	3	1,794	11,649	15.4%	No	
					No School District Contests									
SANTA CRUZ		No School District Contests												
SHASTA		No School District Contests												
SIERRA		No School District Contests												
SISKIYOU		No School District Contests												
SOLANO	11/8/2011	Benicia Unified		Full	Stewart	Andre J.	Incumbent	Yes	4	3,984	12,603	31.6%	Yes	
					Wing	Gary L.	Parent/Electrical Supervisor	No	4	3,295	12,603	26.1%	Yes	
					Donahue	Matthew	Student	No	4	3,053	12,603	24.2%	No	
					Weidel	Bonnie	Incumbent	Yes	4	2,241	12,603	17.8%	No	

¹³Multi-county school district. Results for Stanislaus county reported separately.

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR SCHOOL DISTRICT BOARD CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2011

COUNTY	DATE	SCHOOL DISTRICT	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM- BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELEC- TED ²
SOLANO (continued)	11/8/2011	Dixon Unified		Full	Dipaola	Joe	Attorney/Parent	No	3	1,166	3,483	33.5%	Yes
					Garcia	Guy S.	Businessman/Coach/Parent	No	3	1,145	3,483	32.9%	Yes
					Gabby	John A.	Incumbent	Yes	3	1,115	3,483	32.0%	No
		Fairfield-Suisun Unified	Full	Honeychurch	Judi	Retired Educator	No	8	8,770	43,778	20.0%	Yes	
				Shamansky	Pat	No Ballot Designation	No	8	7,288	43,778	16.6%	Yes	
				Marianno	Kathleen P.	Incumbent	Yes	8	6,073	43,778	13.9%	Yes	
				Silva	John	Small Business Owner	No	8	5,672	43,778	13.0%	Yes	
				Nelson	Carla	Educator	No	8	4,838	43,778	11.1%	No	
				Wright	Mike	Retired City Supervisor	No	8	3,879	43,778	8.9%	No	
				Smith	Sam	Local Business Owner	No	8	3,598	43,778	8.2%	No	
				Sheeley	Alvina	Retired Teacher	No	8	3,338	43,778	7.6%	No	
		Travis Unified	2	Full	Hood	Ivery	Incumbent	Yes	4	698	2,208	31.6%	Yes
					Weinzinger	Angela D.	Parent	No	4	555	2,208	25.1%	Yes
					Sanderson	Edwin	Incumbent	Yes	4	500	2,208	22.6%	No
					Dickerson	John	Mission Planning Representative	No	4	442	2,208	20.0%	No
		Vacaville Unified	Full	Mahlberg	Sherie	Counselor/Educator/Parent	No	7	5,429	26,304	20.6%	Yes	
				Mazzuca	Larry R.	Incumbent	Yes	7	4,477	26,304	17.0%	Yes	
				Kitzes	Michael	Incumbent	Yes	7	4,372	26,304	16.6%	Yes	
				Eaton	Jerry	Educator	No	7	3,571	26,304	13.6%	Yes	
				Yerkes	Jay A.	Financial Educator/Trustee	No	7	3,461	26,304	13.2%	No	
				Stacy	Tracee	Parent/Educator/Publisher	No	7	2,644	26,304	10.1%	No	
Fletcher	Greg			Project Manager/Parent	No	7	2,288	26,304	8.7%	No			
Vallejo City Unified	Short	Ubalde	Tony	Retired Pastor/Professor	No	2	9,199	16,491	55.8%	Yes			
		DaPrato	Robert M.	College Professor	No	2	6,960	16,491	42.2%	No			
SONOMA	11/8/2011	Point Arena Joint Union High		Full	DeWilder	Jim	Incumbent	Yes	4	351	1,168	30.1%	Yes
					Miles	Ron	Appointed Incumbent	No	4	329	1,168	28.2%	Yes
					Gardiner	Bob	Farmer	No	4	274	1,168	23.5%	Yes
					Rush	Suzanne	Business Administrator	No	4	213	1,168	18.2%	No
STANISLAUS	11/8/2011	Ceres Unified		Full	Guerrero	Teresa	Executive Director Non-Profit	No	2	2,003	3,672	54.5%	Yes
					Kline	Brian	Legal Process Server	No	2	1,641	3,672	44.7%	No
		Denair Unified	Full	Plett	John E.	Retired	No	4	691	2,411	28.7%	Yes	
				Smith, Jr.	Don	Retired	No	4	641	2,411	26.6%	Yes	
				Brown	Carolyn D.	Incumbent	Yes	4	635	2,411	26.3%	Yes	
				Wren	Julian N.	Social Worker Supervisor	No	4	437	2,411	18.1%	No	

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR SCHOOL DISTRICT BOARD CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2011

COUNTY	DATE	SCHOOL DISTRICT	DIS- TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM- BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELEC- TED ²
STANISLAUS (continued)	11/8/2011	Empire Union Elementary		Full	Dovichi	Carole	Incumbent	Yes	3	1,509	4,017	37.6%	Yes
					Stein	Loretta S.	Incumbent	Yes	3	1,338	4,017	33.3%	Yes
					VanLerberghe	Mark	Financial Management Consultant	No	3	1,152	4,017	28.7%	No
Hart-Ransom Union Elementary				Full	Gonsalves	Joey	Parent/Agriculture Salesman	No	3	370	822	45.0%	Yes
					Hennings	Robin J.	Incumbent	Yes	3	356	822	43.3%	Yes
					Abell	Athens	Private Arts Instructor	No	3	95	822	11.6%	No
Hickman Community Charter				Full	Merriam	Jonathan W.	Incumbent	Yes	4	148	500	29.6%	Yes
					Thompson	Elizabeth	Incumbent	Yes	4	140	500	28.0%	Yes
					Main	Kathy	Teacher	No	4	133	500	26.6%	Yes
					Rodriguez	Fred	No Ballot Designation	No	4	78	500	15.6%	No
Keyes Union Elementary				Full	Suarez	Tammy L.	Accountant	No	3	178	458	38.9%	Yes
					Edwards	Bob	Incumbent	Yes	3	147	458	32.1%	Yes
					Jimenez	Carlos	Ag Field Representative	No	3	131	458	28.6%	No
Knights Ferry Elementary				Full	McKibban	Maureen	Incumbent	Yes	3	88	227	38.8%	Yes
					McCarthy	Sherron	Incumbent	Yes	3	70	227	30.8%	Yes
					Cassaretto	Kym	Behavior Analyst	No	3	69	227	30.4%	No
Modesto City High				Full	Hallinan	Cathy F.	Child Advocacy Attorney	No	9	11,205	69,618	16.1%	Yes
					Grenbeaux	Steven	Incumbent	Yes	9	10,128	69,618	14.5%	Yes
					Neumann	Amy E.	Attorney	No	9	8,812	69,618	12.7%	Yes
					Altman	Solange G.	Businesswoman/Attorney	No	9	8,789	69,618	12.6%	No
					Mason	Myllinda	Small Business Partner	No	9	7,903	69,618	11.4%	No
					Vander Veen	Josh	Information Technology Consultant	No	9	6,691	69,618	9.6%	No
					Mussman	Bill	Attorney/Mediator	No	9	6,565	69,618	9.4%	No
					McGill	Rickey	Educator	No	9	5,724	69,618	8.2%	No
DuBois	Brian	Unemployed	No	9	3,707	69,618	5.3%	No					
Newman-Crows Landing Unified				Full	Bazar	Timothy	Public Defender	No	7	589	2,567	22.9%	Yes
					Conforti	Janice	Incumbent	Yes	7	448	2,567	17.5%	Yes
					Elkinton	Laura	Incumbent	Yes	7	357	2,567	13.9%	No
					Hurst	Rose L.	Incumbent	Yes	7	357	2,567	13.9%	No
					Maurer	Crescencia	Development Economist/Researcher	No	7	306	2,567	11.9%	No
					Cerna	Esmeralda	Paralegal Student	No	7	259	2,567	10.1%	No
					Garcia	Socorro C.	Payroll Technician	No	7	246	2,567	9.6%	No

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR SCHOOL DISTRICT BOARD CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2011

COUNTY	DATE	SCHOOL DISTRICT	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM- BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELEC- TED ²
STANISLAUS (continued)	11/8/2011	Oakdale Joint Union High	1	Full	Tozzi	Mike	School Board Member	Yes	2	2,495	4,593	54.3%	Yes
					Jones	Synthia L.	Educational Audiologist	No	2	2,083	4,593	45.4%	No
			2	Full	Shatswell	Tina M.	Substitute Teacher/Businesswoman	No	2	2,512	4,814	52.2%	Yes
					Jones	Rick W.	Appointed Incumbent	No	2	2,287	4,814	47.5%	No
		Patterson Joint Unified ¹⁴		Full	Johnson	Kay S.	Office Manager/Parent	No	7	935	4,304	21.7%	Yes
					Kelly	Bruce	Incumbent	Yes	7	682	4,304	15.8%	Yes
					Hussar	Amy	Tutor	No	7	569	4,304	13.2%	Yes
					Reynoso	Jose	Parent/Computer Engineer	No	7	562	4,304	13.1%	Yes
					Pinedo-Medina	Elisa	Business Owner/Manicurist	No	7	557	4,304	12.9%	No
					Saballos	Alex J.	Leadership Development Trainer	No	7	539	4,304	12.5%	No
					Lujan	Gilbert F.	Incumbent	Yes	7	452	4,304	10.5%	No
		Riverbank Unified		Full	Mitchell	John	Incumbent	Yes	4	495	1,578	31.4%	Yes
					Walker	Steve	Network Administrator	No	4	424	1,578	26.9%	Yes
					Guzman	Michelle D.C.	Educator/Parent	No	4	346	1,578	21.9%	No
					Timpone	Angie	Parent/Family Advocate	No	4	297	1,578	18.8%	No
		Salida Union Elementary		Full	Thompson	Dennis	Incumbent	Yes	4	628	2,073	30.3%	Yes
					Dew	Gary	Incumbent	Yes	4	581	2,073	28.0%	Yes
					Rocha-San Nicolas	Ana	Community Advocate	No	4	500	2,073	24.1%	No
					Johnson	John "Brad"	Technology Consultant	No	4	353	2,073	17.0%	No
		Stanislaus County Office of Education		Full	Spina	Kim	Modesto City Schools Board Member	No	2	3,805	6,607	57.6%	Yes
					Lee	Norman V.	Retired Educational Administrator	No	2	2,752	6,607	41.7%	No
		Stanislaus Union Elementary		Full	Elliott	Susan S.	Retired Educator	No	4	1,627	5,207	31.2%	Yes
					McKay	Jeff	Self Employed Businessman	No	4	1,369	5,207	26.3%	Yes
					Freeman	Thomas L.	Educational Administrator	No	4	1,326	5,207	25.5%	No
					Reenstierna	Karin	Incumbent	Yes	4	873	5,207	16.8%	No
		Sylvan Union Elementary		Full	Collins	David	Incumbent	Yes	4	3,942	14,291	27.6%	Yes
					Miyakawa	Jennifer	Community Volunteer	No	4	3,696	14,291	25.9%	Yes
					Rivera	Chuck	Firefighter/Fire Engineer	No	4	3,506	14,291	24.5%	No
					Miller	Steve	Teacher Representative	No	4	3,126	14,291	21.9%	No

¹⁴Multi-county school district. Results for Santa Clara county reported separately.

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR SCHOOL DISTRICT BOARD CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2011

COUNTY	DATE	SCHOOL DISTRICT	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN-CUM-BENT	NUMBER OF CAN-DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN-DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER-CENT OF VOTE	ELEC-TED ²
STANISLAUS (continued)	11/8/2011	Turlock Joint Unified ¹⁵		Full	Lima	Frank M.	Incumbent	Yes	6	3,822	17,014	22.5%	Yes
					Hamilton	Eileen	Incumbent	Yes	6	3,770	17,014	22.2%	Yes
					Welch	Grady L.	Parole Agent	No	6	2,917	17,014	17.1%	Yes
					Grewal	Harinder	Senior Agricultural Inspector	No	6	2,646	17,014	15.6%	Yes
					Johnson	Andrew	Administrative Analyst	No	6	2,409	17,014	14.2%	No
					Galvez	Miguel A.	Real Estate Agent	No	6	1,412	17,014	8.3%	No
		Valley Home Joint Elementary	Full	Betschart	Larry	Farmer	No	4	140	473	29.6%	Yes	
				Duvall	Heather G.	Appointed Incumbent	No	4	131	473	27.7%	Yes	
				Ruddy	Shara	Homemaker	No	4	106	473	22.4%	Yes	
				Taro	Don J.	Incumbent	Yes	4	96	473	20.3%	No	
		Waterford Elementary	Full	Hawkins	Lisa	Administration Manager	No	5	558	1,768	31.6%	Yes	
				Erickson	Matt	Public Works Director	No	5	471	1,768	26.6%	Yes	
				Bennett	Matthew	Teacher	No	5	344	1,768	19.5%	No	
				West	Lorraine	Incumbent	Yes	5	274	1,768	15.5%	No	
				Munquia	Elizabeth	College Career Advisor	No	5	116	1,768	6.6%	No	
		SUTTER		No School District Contests									
TEHAMA		No School District Contests											
TRINITY		No School District Contests											
TULARE	11/8/2011	Dinuba Unified	4	Full	Villarreal	Mary H.	Incumbent	Yes	2	88	117	75.2%	Yes
					Rivera	Joe T.	Retired	No	2	29	117	24.8%	No
		Farmersville Unified	Full	Mason	Don	Incumbent	No	3	186	504	36.9%	Yes	
				Vanderslice	Alvin L.	Business Owner	No	3	186	504	36.9%	Yes	
				Vazquez	Jorge	Equipment Accounting Coordinator	No	3	126	504	25.0%	No	
		Monson-Sultana Joint Union Elementary ¹⁶	1	Full	Veeh	Randy	Incumbent	Yes	2	25	35	71.4%	Yes
					DeWitt-Leal	Elsa	Retired School Teacher	No	2	10	35	28.6%	No
			4	Full	Belknap	Jeff	Incumbent	Yes	2	25	37	67.6%	Yes
					Angangan	Sandra	Insurance Agent	No	2	12	37	32.4%	No
		Stone Corral Elementary	Full	Quintana	Rebecca	Incumbent	Yes	3	58	140	41.4%	Yes	
Esquivel	Reynold R.			Weatherization Instructor	No	3	48	140	34.3%	Yes			
Gonzalez	Erik			Incumbent	Yes	3	34	140	24.3%	No			

¹⁵Multi-county school district. Results for Merced county reported separately.

¹⁶Multi-county school district. Results for Fresno county reported separately.

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR SCHOOL DISTRICT BOARD CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2011

COUNTY	DATE	SCHOOL DISTRICT	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN-CUM-BENT	NUMBER OF CANDIDATES	VOTES FOR CANDIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER-CENT OF VOTE	ELEC-TED ²	
TULARE (continued)	11/8/2011	Visalia Unified	5	Full	Martin	Donna B.	Incumbent	Yes	3	1,281	2,146	59.7%	Yes	
					Farrelly	Desmond	Teacher/Farmer	No	3	480	2,146	22.4%	No	
					Cortes	Michael G.	Self Employed Consultant	No	3	361	2,146	16.8%	No	
			6	Full	Vazquez	Lucia D.	Consultant/Researcher	No	1	355	365	97.3%	Yes	
			7	Full	Fulmer	William A.	Incumbent	Yes	3	1,317	1,734	76.0%	Yes	
					Reid	Lita	Attorney	No	3	217	1,734	12.5%	No	
					Fierro	Miguel	Retired	No	3	180	1,734	10.4%	No	
			Woodlake Unified	A	Full	Sanchez	George	Postal Carrier	No	2	51	68	75.0%	Yes
						Baker	Debi	Woodlake Elementary Board Member	No	2	17	68	25.0%	No
		B		Full	Renteria	Helen P.	Woodlake Elementary Board Member	No	2	72	115	62.6%	Yes	
					Mills	Charles	Woodlake High School Board Member	No	2	42	115	36.5%	No	
		C		Full	Chapman	Ralph M.	Woodlake Elementary Board Member	No	1	115	121	95.0%	Yes	
		D		Full	Rochin	Richard	Woodlake High School Board Member	No	1	63	77	81.8%	Yes	
		E	Full	Hallmeyer	Joe	Woodlake Elementary Board Member	No	2	147	213	69.0%	Yes		
				Hardcastle	V. Wayne	Woodlake High School Board Member	No	2	61	213	28.6%	No		
F	Full	Pena	Edmund	Woodlake High School Board Member	No	1	188	194	96.9%	Yes				
G	Full	Owen	Kent W.	Woodlake High School Board Member	No	1	259	262	98.9%	Yes				
TUOLUMNE		No School District Contests												
VENTURA		No School District Contests												
YOLO		No School District Contests												
YUBA		No School District Contests												

TABLE 2.2 SUMMARY OF ELECTION OUTCOMES FOR
SCHOOL BOARD OFFICES, 2011

		Percent	N
Incumbent Candidates	Win	81.6	200
	Lose	18.4	45
	Total	100.0	245
Non-Incumbent Candidates	Win	39.9	191
	Lose	60.1	288
	Total	100.0	479
Winning Candidates	Incumbent	51.2	200
	Non-Incumbent	48.8	191
	Total	100.0	391
Losing Candidates	Incumbent	13.5	45
	Non-Incumbent	86.5	288
	Total	100.0	333
All Candidates	Incumbent	33.8	245
	Non-Incumbent	66.2	479
	Total	100.0	724

