

An aerial photograph of San Francisco, showing the dense urban landscape of the city. In the background, the skyline is dominated by several prominent skyscrapers, including the Transamerica Pyramid and the Salesforce Tower. The foreground shows a dense grid of residential and commercial buildings, with many featuring flat roofs and small courtyards. The sky is clear and blue, suggesting a bright day.

City Offices and Ballot Measures

CALIFORNIA COUNTY, CITY, AND SCHOOL DISTRICT ELECTION OUTCOMES:

CANDIDATES AND BALLOT MEASURES 2025 ELECTIONS

California State University, Sacramento
Institute for Social Research & The Center for California Studies

CALIFORNIA COUNTY, CITY, AND SCHOOL DISTRICT
ELECTION OUTCOMES:
CANDIDATES AND BALLOT MEASURES, 2025 ELECTIONS

CITY OFFICES AND BALLOT MEASURES

Leonor Ehling, M.P.P.
Executive Director, Center for California Studies

Shannon A. Williams, Ph.D.
Executive Director, Institute for Social Research

Valory Messier, M.A.
Research Specialist, Institute for Social Research

Sebastian Cambrey, M.A.
Research Associate, Institute for Social Research

Center for California Studies
California State University, Sacramento
6000 J Street, Sacramento, CA 95819
(916) 278-6906 FAX: (916) 278-5199

Institute for Social Research
California State University, Sacramento
304 S Street, Sacramento, CA 95811
(916) 278-4317 FAX: (916) 278-5150



**Institute for
Social Research**

Acknowledgements

The researchers would like to sincerely thank the county elections officials and staff throughout the State of California who took time to provide data to the project. Additionally, we are grateful to the Secretary of State's Office for its continuing support and interest in this project. Without their assistance, the completion of these yearly reviews and the California Elections Data Archive would not be possible.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Introduction	i
2025 County, City, and School District Election Dates by County	iii
Trend Table A Number of Ballot Measures, Percent of Total Measures, and Percent Passing by Type, Jurisdiction, and Year	iv
Trend Table B Number of Ballot Measures, Percent of Total Measures, and Percent Passing by Topic, Jurisdiction, and Year	ix
Trend Table C Community Service District, and County Service Area Measures by County	xiv
Trend Table D Number of Community Service District, and County Service Area Measures, Percent of Total County Measures, and Percent Passing by Type, and Year	xx
Trend Table E Comparison of Pass Rates for County-Wide and Community Service District/County Service Area Tax Measures by Year.....	xxi
Trend Table F Number of Community Service District, and County Service Area Measures, Percent of Total County Measures, and Percent Passing by Topic, and Year	xxii
Trend Table G Number of Candidates by Jurisdiction and Year	xxiii
Trend Table H Number of Candidates for Major County Offices by Year.....	xxiv
Trend Table I Percent of Incumbent Candidates, and Percent of Prevailing Incumbents by Major Office, Jurisdiction, and Year	xxv
2025 Election Series Summary: Election Outcomes for County, City, and School District Ballot Measures and Candidates	1
Table A Summary of Outcomes for All County, City, and School District Ballot Measures by Type of Measure and County, 2025.....	2
Table B Summary of Outcomes for All County, City, and School District Ballot Measures by Topic of Measure and County, 2025.....	3
Table C Summary of Election Outcomes for All County, City, and School District Offices, 2025.....	4
Part 1 Vote Totals, Election Outcomes and Text for City Ballot Measures	5
Table 1.1 Vote Totals for City Ballot Measures by County, 2025	6
Table 1.2 Text for City Ballot Measures by County, 2025	9
Table 1.3 Summary of Election Outcomes for City Ballot Measures by Type of Measure and County, 2025	12
Table 1.4 Summary of Election Outcomes for City Ballot Measures by Topic of Measure and County, 2025	13
Part 2 Vote Totals for City Office Candidates	14
Table 2.1 Vote Totals for City Office Candidates by County and Election Date, 2025	15
Table 2.2 Summary of Election Outcomes for City Offices, 2025	19

CALIFORNIA ELECTIONS DATA ARCHIVE

INTRODUCTION

The California Elections Data Archive (CEDA) is a joint project of the Center for California Studies, and the Institute for Social Research (ISR), at the California State University, Sacramento, and the office of the California Secretary of State. The purpose of CEDA is to provide researchers, citizens, public agencies, and other interested parties with a single repository of local election data. With over 6,000 local jurisdictions in California, the task of monitoring local elections is nearly impossible for individuals. CEDA addresses this problem through the creation of a single, cost-effective, and easily accessible source of local election data. CEDA includes candidate and ballot measure results for county, city, community college, and school district elections throughout the State. CEDA thus represents the only comprehensive repository of local election results in California and one of a very few such databases on local elections in the U.S.

How the CEDA Data is Collected and Reported

ISR staff collects election data periodically throughout each calendar year. This enables CEDA to incorporate results from special elections as well as all regularly scheduled elections. ISR staff enters election results from counties, cities, community colleges, and school districts into the CEDA database and then uses this database to generate three standard CEDA reports. These reports include:

- County Elections: Candidates, ballot designations, and vote totals for all elected county offices; vote totals and text for county ballot measures.
- City Elections: Candidates, ballot designations, and vote totals for all elected city offices; vote totals, and text for all city ballot measures.
- Community College and School District Elections: Candidates, ballot designations, and vote totals for all elective community college and school district offices; vote totals and text for all district ballot measures.

ISR staff codes ballot measures for all jurisdictions according to type (e.g., charter amendment, taxes, bond measure, initiative, etc.) and to topic (e.g., education, public safety, governance, etc.).

THE CEDA PARTNERSHIP

THE CENTER FOR CALIFORNIA STUDIES

Located at California State University, Sacramento, the Center for California Studies is a public policy, public service, and curricular support unit of the California State University. The Center's location in the state Capital and its ability to draw upon the resources of the entire State University system give it a unique capacity for making contributions to public policy development, and the public life of California. Center programs cover four broad areas: administration of the nationally known Assembly, Senate, Executive, and Judicial Administration Fellowship Programs; university-state government liaison and applied policy research; civic education and community service through forums, conferences, and issue dialogues; and curricular support activity in the interdisciplinary field of California Studies.

INSTITUTE FOR SOCIAL RESEARCH

Established in 1989, the Institute for Social Research (ISR) at California State University, Sacramento (CSUS) is a multidisciplinary institute that is committed to advancing the understanding of the social world through applied research. The Institute offers research expertise and technical assistance serving as a resource to agencies, organizations, the University, and the broader community. Utilizing quantitative and qualitative methods, ISR produces various types of assessments, program and policy evaluations, survey research, workload studies, and specialized analyses. Services include research design, sampling design, data collection and coding, computer-assisted telephone and field interviewing, mailed and online surveys, focus groups, database management, and statistical analysis. ISR has completed hundreds of projects with more than 50 federal, state and community agencies, private firms, and many academic units. Faculty affiliates of the Institute offer specific content expertise in a wide variety of disciplines, including the social sciences, health and human services, and education.

CALIFORNIA SECRETARY OF STATE

Among their other duties, the Secretary of State acts as California's chief elections officer with the responsibility of administering the provisions of the Elections Code. The Secretary must compile state election returns, and issue certificates of election to winning candidates; compile the returns and certify the results of initiative and referendum elections; certify acts delayed by referendum, and prepare and file a statement of vote. Recent legislation permits but does not mandate that the Secretary of State compile local election results.

2025 COUNTY, CITY, AND SCHOOL DISTRICT ELECTION DATES BY COUNTY

	3/4	3/18	4/8	4/15	5/6	6/3	6/10	6/17	6/24	7/1	8/6	8/26	9/16	11/4	12/30
ALAMEDA				●											
CALAVERAS					●										
CONTRA COSTA					●			●							
EL DORADO					●									●	
FRESNO		●												●	
IMPERIAL	●														
KERN												●		●	
KINGS														●	
LOS ANGELES	●		●			●						●		●	
MARIN	●				●									●	
MERCED	●										●				
MODOC					●										
NEVADA														●	
ORANGE				●			●								
PLACER														●	
PLUMAS														●	
SAN DIEGO			●							●				●	
SAN FRANCISCO													●		
SAN MATEO	●														
SANTA BARBARA												●			
SANTA CLARA			●		●				●					●	●
SANTA CRUZ														●	
SHASTA														●	
SISKIYOU														●	
SONOMA														●	

TREND TABLE A NUMBER OF BALLOT MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TYPE, JURISDICTION, AND YEAR

	ALL MEASURES			BONDS			TAXES			ORDINANCE			RECALLS			INITIATIVES			CHARTER AMENDMENT		
	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing
All Measures																					
1995-2025	395	100	68	108	27	72	131	33	65	76	19	63	12	3	74	9	2	46	44	11	78
Even Years	637	100	69	186	29	74	206	32	65	123	19	63	14	2	75	14	2	48	70	11	78
Odd Years	168	100	66	35	21	62	60	36	65	32	19	63	11	6	72	4	2	42	21	12	79
County																					
1995-2025	62	16	60	2	3	72	28	45	51	17	27	66	2	3	75	2	4	40	7	11	68
Even Years	103	16	58	3	3	70	47	46	49	28	27	64	2	2	60	4	4	39	12	12	68
Odd Years	23	14	67	1	5	78	10	43	58	6	26	71	2	8	89	1	3	50	2	7	73
City																					
1995-2025	198	50	68	4	2	61	79	40	68	54	28	61	6	3	72	6	3	48	37	19	79
Even Years	317	50	69	7	2	63	130	41	69	89	28	62	8	3	73	10	3	52	57	18	80
Odd Years	86	51	66	2	2	53	31	36	66	22	25	57	4	4	70	3	4	39	19	22	79
School																					
1995-2025	136	34	72	101	75	73	24	17	68	5	4	83	5	3	74	0	0	50	0	0	100
Even Years	217	34	75	176	81	75	29	13	69	6	3	85	5	2	82	0	0	0	0	0	100
Odd Years	59	35	65	31	53	62	19	32	66	4	6	81	5	8	67	0	0	100			

TREND TABLE A NUMBER OF BALLOT MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TYPE, JURISDICTION, AND YEAR (CONTINUED)

		ALL MEASURES			BONDS			TAXES			ORDINANCE			RECALLS			INITIATIVES			CHARTER AMENDMENT		
		Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate
All Measures	1995	283	100	37	91	36	47	26	10	35	46	18	61	8	3	88	8	3	50	55	22	93
	1996	573	100	57	64	11	59	142	25	40	176	31	58	32	6	72	18	3	39	115	20	73
	1997	342	100	60	127	37	59	100	29	56	45	13	69	29	8	38	7	2	71	31	9	81
	1998	572	100	61	144	25	58	162	28	48	115	20	58	19	3	74	9	2	56	94	16	77
	1999	283	100	60	107	38	59	54	19	57	68	24	57	14	5	71	10	4	40	20	7	50
	2000	559	100	58	135	24	60	122	22	39	154	28	58	11	2	100	21	4	67	79	14	67
	2001	233	100	70	73	31	75	68	29	72	33	14	58	21	9	71	1	0	100	25	11	60
	2002	657	100	68	245	37	76	155	24	54	136	21	54	8	1	63	10	2	40	77	12	77
	2003	178	100	63	22	12	55	62	35	48	47	26	70	9	5	89	5	3	40	24	13	75
	2004	712	100	63	179	25	75	258	36	47	144	20	64	11	2	73	14	2	29	72	10	79
	2005	295	100	64	57	19	74	111	38	58	59	20	54	11	4	82	7	2	43	35	12	89
	2006	556	100	62	185	33	59	142	26	56	123	22	63	17	3	29	22	4	36	39	7	82
	2007	179	100	72	22	12	55	61	34	74	40	22	58	13	7	100	1	1	0	38	21	79
	2008	593	100	75	201	34	82	188	32	67	123	21	65	12	2	58	11	2	91	39	7	90
	2009	193	100	63	6	3	33	99	51	67	35	18	63	13	7	69	3	2	33	20	10	60
	2010	482	100	67	97	20	70	164	34	60	117	24	67	27	6	78	11	2	55	50	10	76
	2011	172	100	72	10	6	80	75	44	67	29	17	72	16	9	75	2	1	0	31	18	81
	2012	530	100	72	156	29	81	178	34	69	112	21	62	14	3	93	2	0	50	51	10	63
	2013	137	100	72	11	8	73	65	47	78	34	25	50	8	6	88	4	3	100	11	8	73
	2014	577	100	73	193	33	81	175	30	69	81	14	72	7	1	57	14	2	57	72	12	76
	2015	120	100	74	13	11	77	47	39	79	20	17	75	14	12	100	9	8	22	11	9	64
	2016	872	100	79	294	34	91	279	32	73	138	16	72	10	1	90	37	4	41	86	10	83
	2017	113	100	63	8	7	38	73	65	64	20	18	65	1	1	100	3	3	0	7	6	86
	2018	704	100	80	182	26	81	329	47	84	98	14	66	9	1	89	11	2	36	58	8	93
	2019	67	100	79	3	4	100	43	64	88	15	22	67				4	6	0	1	1	100
	2020	722	100	63	223	31	49	283	39	68	107	15	66	11	2	73	15	2	53	64	9	81
	2021	44	100	68				30	68	67	4	9	75	5	11	40	1	2	100	3	7	100
	2022	580	100	70	140	24	71	227	39	71	123	21	62	7	1	86	6	1	17	67	12	81
	2023	32	100	75	1	3	100	19	59	79	5	16	40	2	6	50				5	16	100
	2024	866	100	76	352	41	76	282	33	79	105	12	70	22	3	91	6	1	67	81	9	77
	2025	48	100	69	1	2	100	28	58	54	4	8	100	4	8	50				11	23	100

TREND TABLE A NUMBER OF BALLOT MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TYPE, JURISDICTION, AND YEAR (CONTINUED)

		ALL MEASURES			BONDS			TAXES			ORDINANCE			RECALLS			INITIATIVES			CHARTER AMENDMENT		
		Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate
County Measures	1995	17	7	53				6	35	33	2	12	0							6	35	83
	1996	114	20	44	3	3	33	34	30	26	41	36	54	5	4	80	7	6	14	17	15	47
	1997	24	7	63	7	29	57	7	29	71	4	17	100	2	8	50				4	17	25
	1998	125	22	59	1	1	0	53	42	40	32	26	75				4	3	25	25	20	76
	1999	38	13	63	1	3	100	21	55	48	8	21	63							4	11	100
	2000	116	21	49	6	5	83	51	44	27	28	24	50				8	7	88	8	7	38
	2001	37	16	73	3	8	100	14	38	71	11	30	64	4	11	75				1	3	0
	2002	98	15	56	5	5	20	38	39	45	39	40	67	1	1	0	2	2	50	7	7	71
	2003	28	16	64				12	43	25	15	54	100	1	4	0						
	2004	140	20	54				60	43	45	47	34	62	1	1	0	4	3	25	18	13	56
	2005	57	19	63	3	5	67	24	42	67	16	28	56	3	5	100	3	5	67	2	4	50
	2006	95	17	52				45	47	40	30	32	60	4	4	25	2	2	50	6	6	83
	2007	29	16	76	1	3	100	3	10	67	16	55	63	8	28	100						
	2008	90	15	62	3	3	100	33	37	42	40	44	65	1	1	100	2	2	100	4	4	100
	2009	16	8	69				4	25	50	6	38	67	1	6	100				2	13	100
	2010	64	13	53	3	5	67	25	39	48	22	34	59	4	6	50	2	3	50	6	9	50
	2011	20	12	80	1	5	100	7	35	71	4	20	75	2	10	100				3	15	67
	2012	76	14	63	1	1	100	39	51	59	20	26	60	1	1	100	2	3	50	10	13	70
	2013	12	9	75				5	42	40				1	8	100	3	25	100	1	8	100
	2014	84	15	57	4	5	100	33	39	36	19	23	74	1	1	0	8	10	50	12	14	92
	2015	34	28	62	1	3	100	11	32	45	8	24	88	5	15	100	4	12	0	1	3	100
	2016	151	17	59	4	3	100	68	45	56	38	25	66	3	2	100	12	8	25	17	11	59
	2017	14	12	57				11	79	55	3	21	67									
	2018	102	14	75	3	3	67	72	71	78	11	11	82	1	1	100	2	2	0	8	8	75
	2019	16	24	88	1	6	100	10	63	90	3	19	67							1	6	100
	2020	85	12	66	5	6	80	45	53	56	13	15	77				3	4	0	13	15	85
	2021	9	20	67				8	89	75	1	11	0									
	2022	93	16	55	2	2	50	55	59	47	17	18	71	1	1	100	3	3	0	12	13	75
	2023	7	22	86				6	86	83	1	14	100									
	2024	107	12	67	3	3	67	50	47	68	24	22	71	2	2	50	1	1	100	17	16	65
	2025	15	31	60				13	87	54				1	7	100				1	7	100

TREND TABLE A NUMBER OF BALLOT MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TYPE, JURISDICTION, AND YEAR (CONTINUED)

		ALL MEASURES			BONDS			TAXES			ORDINANCE			RECALLS			INITIATIVES			CHARTER AMENDMENT		
		Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate
City Measures	1995	119	47	71	4	3	75	7	6	29	38	32	58				7	6	43	49	41	94
	1996	374	65	60	10	3	30	100	27	43	115	31	59	24	6	79	11	3	55	98	26	78
	1997	144	42	58	2	1	50	70	49	50	28	19	54	9	6	22	7	5	71	27	19	89
	1998	283	49	60	9	3	78	99	35	47	78	28	53	7	2	43	5	2	80	69	24	77
	1999	114	40	54	4	4	75	22	19	55	48	42	48	8	7	100	10	9	40	16	14	38
	2000	297	53	60	11	4	82	65	22	45	113	38	56	6	2	100	13	4	54	71	24	70
	2001	93	40	69	8	9	63	31	33	74	18	19	61	3	3	100	1	1	100	24	26	63
	2002	309	47	60	12	4	83	102	33	58	94	30	48	5	2	60	8	3	38	70	23	77
	2003	89	50	67	2	2	50	14	16	71	29	33	55	6	7	100	5	6	40	24	27	75
	2004	337	47	59	7	2	43	147	44	46	92	27	63	6	2	67	10	3	30	54	16	87
	2005	135	46	61	2	1	0	47	35	55	37	27	51	3	2	33	4	3	25	33	24	91
	2006	253	46	64	10	4	50	82	32	70	85	34	61	6	2	17	20	8	35	33	13	82
	2007	108	60	71	2	2	0	40	37	73	19	18	53	5	5	100	1	1	0	38	35	79
	2008	258	44	73	5	2	100	111	43	71	80	31	65	8	3	38	9	3	89	35	14	89
	2009	130	67	61	1	1	0	63	48	68	28	22	61	3	2	33	3	2	33	18	14	56
	2010	270	56	71	2	1	0	95	35	69	91	34	67	16	6	94	8	3	63	44	16	80
	2011	105	61	74				37	35	65	23	22	70	10	10	100	2	2	0	28	27	82
	2012	248	47	67	5	2	20	93	38	72	83	33	58	12	5	92				41	17	61
	2013	90	66	68				44	49	77	30	33	53	3	3	67	1	1	100	10	11	70
	2014	285	49	71	5	2	100	121	42	72	60	21	70	5	2	60	6	2	67	60	21	73
	2015	54	45	70	2	4	0	23	43	87	12	22	67				5	9	40	10	19	60
	2016	393	45	77	9	2	67	174	44	79	96	24	74	1	0	100	25	6	48	69	18	88
	2017	81	72	65	2	2	50	50	62	66	17	21	65	1	1	100	3	4	0	7	9	86
	2018	391	56	80	9	2	56	224	57	86	84	21	63	5	1	80	9	2	44	46	12	96
	2019	41	61	76	1	2	100	24	59	92	12	29	67				4	10	100			
	2020	369	51	71	2	1	50	194	53	73	88	24	63	9	2	67	12	3	67	51	14	80
	2021	24	55	67				12	50	58	2	8	100	5	21	40	1	4	100	3	13	100
	2022	327	56	72	3	1	67	155	47	77	102	31	60	3	1	67	3	1	33	54	17	81
	2023	18	56	61				7	39	57	4	22	25	2	11	50				5	28	100
	2024	361	42	78	6	2	67	190	53	80	79	22	70	11	3	91	5	1	60	64	18	80
	2025	26	54	88	1	4	100	8	31	88	4	15	100	3	12	33				19	38	100

TREND TABLE B NUMBER OF BALLOT MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TOPIC, JURISDICTION, AND YEAR

	ALL MEASURES			EDUCATION			GOVERNANCE			LAND USE			PUBLIC SAFETY			PUBLIC FACILITIES			GENERAL SERVICES			TRANSPORTATION			REVENUE		
	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing
All Measures																											
1995-2025	395	100	68	136	34	72	81	21	71	31	8	55	23	6	59	16	4	58	29	7	77	11	3	54	49	12	69
Even Years	637	100	69	218	34	75	128	20	71	50	8	56	37	6	59	25	4	57	51	8	80	18	3	55	78	12	66
Odd Years	168	100	66	59	35	65	37	22	74	14	8	50	10	6	59	7	4	64	8	5	64	5	3	50	22	13	75
County																											
1995-2025	62	16	60	1	1	72	15	25	70	6	10	48	8	13	51	6	9	56	5	8	71	8	12	55	7	12	54
Even Years	103	16	58	1	1	64	25	24	67	11	11	49	14	13	49	9	9	51	8	8	73	12	12	53	13	13	54
Odd Years	23	14	67	0	1	100	7	29	80	2	7	41	3	14	59	3	11	76	2	10	67	3	13	61	2	9	56
City																											
1995-2025	198	50	68	1	1	71	65	33	72	25	12	56	15	8	64	10	5	60	24	12	79	4	2	52	42	21	71
Even Years	317	50	69	2	1	77	103	32	71	38	12	58	24	8	66	16	5	60	43	14	81	6	2	59	65	21	69
Odd Years	86	51	66	1	1	56	30	35	73	12	14	52	7	8	59	4	5	59	6	7	63	2	2	28	20	23	78
School																											
1995-2025	136	34	72	134	99	72	1	1	78							0	0	0	0	0	0				0	0	50
Even Years	217	34	75	216	99	75	1	0	77										0	0	0				0	0	50
Odd Years	59	35	65	58	98	65	1	1	79							0	0	0									

TREND TABLE B NUMBER OF BALLOT MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TOPIC, JURISDICTION, AND YEAR
(CONTINUED)

		ALL MEASURES				EDUCATION			GOVERNANCE			LAND USE			PUBLIC SAFETY			PUBLIC FACILITIES			GENERAL SERVICES			TRANSPORTATION			REVENUE		
		Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing		Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing		Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing		Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing		Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing		Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing		Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	
All Measures	1995	253	100	61	121	48	54	63	25	84	16	6	63	12	5	50	14	6	50				2	1	0	5	2	60	
	1996	573	100	57	87	15	64	214	37	66	54	9	56	39	7	51	38	7	37	10	2	40	8	1	50	87	15	46	
	1997	342	100	60	175	51	62	43	13	67	19	6	68	12	4	42	15	4	40	38	11	61	4	1	50	10	3	70	
	1998	572	100	60	158	28	63	131	23	64	46	8	70	41	7	49	32	6	56	28	5	82	23	4	70	75	13	43	
	1999	283	100	59	119	42	59	62	22	63	29	10	41	14	5	57	4	1	75	14	5	57	8	3	88	23	8	65	
	2000	559	100	59	151	27	63	141	25	64	73	13	55	32	6	50	39	7	67	20	4	55	21	4	43	5	1	20	
	2001	233	100	70	105	45	71	46	20	67	7	3	71	11	5	73	19	8	58	7	3	71	4	2	25	31	13	87	
	2002	657	100	65	250	38	76	144	22	66	44	7	43	42	6	57	35	5	49	20	3	60	10	2	40	85	13	62	
	2003	178	100	62	61	34	52	52	29	73	15	8	60	12	7	50	5	3	60	6	3	100	8	4	38	13	7	62	
	2004	712	100	62	238	33	72	139	20	73	58	8	52	55	8	47	37	5	38	23	3	70	25	4	76	110	15	47	
	2005	295	100	64	102	35	70	61	21	70	28	9	39	18	6	44	14	5	64	18	6	67	13	4	62	33	11	70	
	2006	556	100	60	208	37	58	109	20	60	51	9	61	37	7	73	22	4	41	12	2	58	22	4	50	61	11	62	
	2007	179	100	71	42	23	67	63	35	81	18	10	39	5	3	100	8	4	88	7	4	86	4	2	25	31	17	68	
	2008	593	100	74	246	41	80	99	17	74	43	7	72	39	7	49	32	5	66	10	2	80	14	2	50	92	16	77	
	2009	193	100	63	47	24	66	42	22	64	17	9	47	10	5	60	7	4	86	8	4	25	2	1	0	56	29	71	
	2010	482	100	66	149	31	64	138	29	74	30	6	47	27	6	67	12	2	75	9	2	56	7	1	71	95	20	65	
	2011	172	100	72	48	28	65	59	34	81	8	5	75	9	5	56	5	3	100	4	2	50	2	1	50	34	20	74	
	2012	530	100	72	209	39	82	98	18	71	23	4	57	13	2	38	23	4	57	11	2	73	12	2	50	99	19	79	
	2013	137	100	72	35	26	80	28	20	71	14	10	50	9	7	67				4	3	50	4	3	50	39	28	79	
	2014	577	100	73	208	36	83	114	20	75	45	8	60	33	6	70	20	3	85	13	2	85	19	3	47	104	18	64	
	2015	120	100	74	32	27	94	23	19	83	17	14	35	8	7	50	5	4	60	2	2	100	3	3	33	21	18	86	
	2016	872	100	79	331	38	90	116	13	78	99	11	61	53	6	70	30	3	50	26	3	54	29	3	48	150	17	85	
	2017	113	100	63	18	16	56	13	12	85	13	12	54	15	13	40	5	4	60	6	5	67	4	4	25	36	32	81	
	2018	704	100	80	206	29	83	114	16	80	55	8	53	35	5	74	13	2	62	123	17	92	24	3	79	102	14	87	
	2019	67	100	81	10	15	80	9	13	89	8	12	38	13	19	92	2	3	50	1	1	100	3	4	100	17	25	94	
	2020	722	100	63	272	38	52	107	15	79	54	7	52	42	6	60	8	1	75	162	22	80	12	2	33	44	6	64	
	2021	44	100	68	12	27	75	7	16	43	2	5	100	8	18	75				11	25	64	3	7	67	1	2	100	
	2022	580	100	70	164	28	73	118	20	72	38	7	42	30	5	60	20	3	70	126	22	82	23	4	35	32	6	66	
	2023	32	100	75	7	22	100	8	25	88	4	13	25	6	19	83							5	16	60	1	3	100	
	2024	866	100	76	401	46	78	134	15	75	30	3	57	43	5	67	14	2	86	172	20	84	23	3	65	29	3	69	
	2025	48	100	69	7	15	14	15	31	87	2	4	100	3	6	67	6	13	100	8	17	75	5	10	40				

TREND TABLE B NUMBER OF BALLOT MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TOPIC, JURISDICTION, AND YEAR
(CONTINUED)

		ALL MEASURES			EDUCATION			GOVERNANCE			LAND USE			PUBLIC SAFETY			PUBLIC FACILITIES			GENERAL SERVICES			TRANSPORTATION			REVENUE		
		Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing
County Measures	1995	17	7	53				7	41	71	3	18	33				3	18	67				1	6	0	3	18	33
	1996	114	20	44	1	1	100	44	39	59	12	11	33	8	7	38	16	14	13	1	1	100	4	4	75	16	14	31
	1997	24	7	63	1	4	100	5	21	60	3	13	100	2	8	0	5	21	40	5	21	80	1	4	100	1	4	0
	1998	125	22	59				25	20	76	13	10	62	14	11	36	12	10	33	18	14	72	16	13	75	12	10	25
	1999	38	13	63				5	13	80				3	8	33	3	8	67	7	18	29	8	21	88	7	18	86
	2000	116	21	49	1	1	100	22	19	64	17	15	35	14	12	36	16	14	44	8	7	63	16	14	44	3	3	33
	2001	37	16	73	2	5	100	12	32	58	1	3	100	7	19	100	6	16	67	4	11	75	1	3	0	4	11	75
	2002	98	15	56				34	35	71	7	7	71	15	15	33	11	11	36	7	7	57	5	5	40	12	12	67
	2003	28	16	64				10	36	90				5	18	40				2	7	100	2	7	50	6	21	17
	2004	140	20	54	3	2	33	32	23	66	14	10	14	22	16	50	13	9	54	4	3	50	21	15	76	17	12	41
	2005	57	19	63				12	21	67	6	11	33	6	11	33	8	14	75	9	16	78	9	16	78	4	7	50
	2006	95	17	52				28	29	54	10	11	70	11	12	55	8	8	38	2	2	50	15	16	40	12	13	33
	2007	29	16	76				14	48	93	5	17	0				5	17	100	2	7	100	2	7	50			
	2008	90	15	62	1	1	100	25	28	76	7	8	86	14	16	43	14	16	50	4	4	75	7	8	57	11	12	64
	2009	16	8	69				7	44	86	3	19	33				3	19	100				1	6	0	2	13	50
	2010	64	13	53				23	36	57	6	9	50	12	19	50	2	3	100	1	2	0	5	8	80	11	17	45
	2011	20	12	80	1	5	100	10	50	80				5	25	60	1	5	100				1	5	100	2	10	100
	2012	76	14	63	1	1	100	19	25	74	7	9	43	7	9	43	12	16	67	1	1	100	5	7	40	18	24	72
	2013	12	9	75				4	33	100	2	17	100	2	17	50							3	25	33			
	2014	84	15	57				20	24	85	15	18	60	10	12	50	9	11	78	3	4	33	11	13	27	9	11	22
	2015	34	28	62				12	35	83	4	12	25	5	15	40	2	6	50	1	3	100	3	9	33	2	6	50
	2016	151	17	59				28	19	54	44	29	34	21	14	57	7	5	57	12	8	58	18	12	33	19	13	74
	2017	14	12	57				3	21	67				1	7	0	2	14	100	3	21	67	3	21	33	2	14	50
	2018	102	14	75	1	1	100	15	15	80	12	12	58	9	9	67	4	4	75	14	14	86	18	18	89	20	20	70
	2019	16	24	88				3	19	100				7	44	86							3	19	100	1	6	100
	2020	85	12	66	1	1	100	15	18	80	7	8	29	19	22	68	1	1	100	11	13	91	10	12	30	16	19	63
	2021	9	20	67				1	11	0				3	33	100				2	22	50	3	33	67			
	2022	93	16	55	3	3	33	16	17	81	8	9	50	12	13	25	7	8	86	12	13	67	21	23	38	8	9	63
	2023	7	22	86				1	14	100				3	43	100							3	43	67			
	2024	107	12	67	2	2	50	25	23	64	6	6	50	16	15	63	4	4	100	23	21	87	15	14	53	9	8	67
	2025	15	31	60				2	13	100				2	13	50	3	20	100	1	7	0	5	33	40			

TREND TABLE B NUMBER OF BALLOT MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TOPIC, JURISDICTION, AND YEAR
(CONTINUED)

		ALL MEASURES			EDUCATION			GOVERNANCE			LAND USE			PUBLIC SAFETY			PUBLIC FACILITIES			GENERAL SERVICES			TRANSPORTATION			REVENUE		
		Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing			
City Measures	1995	119	47	71	4	3	100	56	47	86	13	11	69	12	10	50	11	9	45				1	1	0	2	2	100
	1996	374	65	60	2	1	100	170	45	68	42	11	62	31	8	55	22	6	55	8	2	38	4	1	25	71	19	49
	1997	144	42	58				38	26	68	16	11	63	10	7	50	10	7	40	33	23	58	3	2	33	9	6	78
	1998	283	49	60				101	36	62	33	12	73	27	10	56	20	7	70	10	4	100	7	2	57	62	22	47
	1999	114	40	54				45	39	53	29	25	41	11	10	64	1	1	100	7	6	86				16	14	56
	2000	297	53	60	7	2	71	119	40	64	56	19	61	18	6	61	23	8	83	12	4	50	5	2	40	2	1	0
	2001	93	40	69	3	3	0	33	35	73	6	6	67	4	4	25	11	12	64	3	3	67	3	3	33	27	29	89
	2002	309	47	60	1	0	0	110	36	65	37	12	38	27	9	70	24	8	54	13	4	62	5	2	40	72	23	63
	2003	89	50	67				42	47	69	15	17	60	7	8	57	5	6	60	4	4	100	6	7	33	7	8	100
	2004	337	47	59	2	1	100	107	32	75	44	13	64	33	10	45	24	7	29	19	6	74	4	1	75	91	27	47
	2005	135	46	61				48	36	73	22	16	41	12	9	50	6	4	50	9	7	56	4	3	25	29	21	72
	2006	253	46	64				81	32	62	41	16	59	26	10	81	14	6	43	10	4	60	7	3	71	49	19	69
	2007	108	60	71				49	45	78	13	12	54	5	5	100	3	3	67	5	5	80	2	2	0	31	29	68
	2008	258	44	73				74	29	73	36	14	69	25	10	52	18	7	78	6	2	83	7	3	43	81	31	79
	2009	130	67	61				35	27	60	14	11	50	10	8	60	4	3	75	8	6	25	1	1	0	54	42	72
	2010	270	56	71	1	0	100	115	43	77				15	6	80	10	4	70	8	3	63	2	1	50	84	31	68
	2011	107	62	75				51	48	82	8	7	75	4	4	50	4	4	100	4	4	50	1	1	0	32	30	72
	2012	248	47	67	2	1	100	89	36	63	16	6	63	6	2	33	11	4	45	10	4	70	7	3	57	81	33	80
	2013	90	66	68				24	27	67	12	13	42	7	8	71				4	4	50	1	1	100	39	43	79
	2014	285	49	71				94	33	72	30	11	60	23	8	78	11	4	91	10	4	100	8	3	75	95	33	68
	2015	54	45	70				11	20	82	13	24	38	3	6	67	3	6	67	1	2	100				19	35	89
	2016	393	45	77	3	1	67	88	22	85	70	18	64	32	8	78	23	6	48	14	4	50	11	3	73	131	33	86
	2017	81	72	65				10	12	90	13	16	54	14	17	43	3	4	33	3	4	67	1	1	0	34	42	82
	2018	391	56	80	2	1	50	92	24	78	43	11	51	26	7	77	9	2	56	109	28	93	9	2	56	85	22	88
	2019	41	61	76				6	15	83	8	20	38	6	15	100	2	5	50	1	2	100				16	39	94
	2020	369	51	71	3	1	100	92	25	78	47	13	55	23	6	52	7	2	71	151	41	79	2	1	50	28	8	64
	2021	24	55	67	1	4	100	6	25	50	2	8	100	5	21	60				9	38	67				1	4	100
	2022	327	56	72	2	1	50	101	31	70	30	9	40	18	6	83	13	4	62	114	35	83	2	1	0	24	7	67
	2023	18	56	61				7	39	86	4	22	25	3	17	67							2	11	50	1	6	100
	2024	361	42	78	1	0	100	109	30	78	24	7	58	27	7	70	10	3	80	149	41	84	8	2	88	20	6	70
	2025	26	54	88				13	50	85	2	8	100	1	4	100	3	12	100	7	27	86						

TREND TABLE B NUMBER OF BALLOT MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TOPIC, JURISDICTION, AND YEAR
(CONTINUED)

		ALL MEASURES			EDUCATION			GOVERNANCE			LAND USE			PUBLIC SAFETY			PUBLIC FACILITIES			GENERAL SERVICES			TRANSPORTATION			REVENUE		
		Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing
School District Measures	1995	117	46	52	117	100	52																					
	1996	85	15	62	84	99	63												1	1	0							
	1997	174	51	62	174	100	62																					
	1998	164	29	62	158	96	63	5	3	40												1	1	0				
	1999	131	46	62	119	91	59	12	9	92																		
	2000	146	26	63	143	98	62																					
	2001	103	44	71	100	97	73	1	1	0							2	2	0									
	2002	250	38	76	249	100	76															1	0	0				
	2003	61	34	52	61	100	52																					
	2004	235	33	73	233	99	73															2	1	100				
	2005	103	35	69	102	99	70	1	1	0																		
	2006	208	37	58	208	100	58																					
	2007	42	23	67	42	100	67																					
	2008	245	41	80	245	100	80																					
	2009	47	24	66	47	100	66																					
	2010	148	31	64	148	100	64																					
	2011	47	27	64	47	100	64																					
	2012	206	39	82	168	82	23																					
	2013	35	26	80	35	100	80																					
	2014	208	36	83	208	100	83																					
	2015	32	27	94	32	100	94																					
	2016	328	38	90	328	100	90																					
	2017	18	16	56	18	100	56																					
	2018	211	30	84	203	96	83	7	3	100																		
	2019	10	15	80	10	100	80																					
	2020	268	37	51	268	100	51																					
	2021	11	25	73	11	100	73																					
	2022	160	28	74	159	99	74	1	1	100															0	0	0	
	2023	7	22	100	7	100	100																					
	2024	398	46	78	398	100	78																					
	2025	7	15	14	7	100	14																					

TREND TABLE C COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT, AND COUNTY SERVICE AREA MEASURES BY COUNTY

	1998			1999			2000			2001			2002		
	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing
Alpine															
Butte	2	67	100				1	100	100						
Calaveras															
Colusa															
Contra Costa	2	67	50				4	80	25	1	100	100	2	100	50
El Dorado	2	12	50				7	78	29				1	50	100
Fresno	1	50	100												
Humboldt															
Imperial															
Inyo							1	50	100						
Kern	6	100	50				2	100	0	4	100	75			
Lake															
Lassen							1	33	0				4	80	25
Los Angeles															
Marin				4	100	100	5	100	80	10	91	90	3	100	100
Mendocino				1	100	0							1	100	0
Modoc															
Monterey													1	100	0
Nevada							1	100	100						
Orange	1	100	100												
Placer	1	33	100												
Plumas							1	100	100	2	100	100			
Riverside	2	40	50	8	100	38				2	100	0	2	67	50
Sacramento	2	40	100				3	75	33						
San Bernardino	2	100	50				3	100	67				1	100	0
San Diego	10	83	30				3	60	33	1	100	100	2	40	0
San Joaquin															
San Luis Obispo				5	100	100	1	33	0				1	50	100
San Mateo															
Santa Barbara										1	100	0			
Santa Cruz															
Shasta							1	100	0						
Siskiyou							2	100	100						
Sonoma							1	20	100	1	100	100			
Stanislaus															
Sutter				1	100	0									
Trinity															
Tulare															
Tuolumne				1	100	0	1	33	0						
Yolo															
Yuba							2	67	50						
Total for CSD/CSA Measure Over All Counties	31	25	55	20	53	60	40	34	48	22	59	77	18	18	44

TREND TABLE C COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT, AND COUNTY SERVICE AREA MEASURES BY COUNTY (CONTINUED)

	2003			2004			2005			2006			2007		
	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing
Alpine															
Butte															
Calaveras							3	100	100				1	50	0
Colusa															
Contra Costa				3	60	67	3	100	100	1	33	100	2	100	100
El Dorado	6	100	17	2	20	100	14	88	64	2	100	0	3	100	100
Fresno															
Humboldt				1	25	100	2	100	0						
Imperial							1	50	100						
Inyo															
Kern				3	100	33				1	33	100			
Lake				1	100	0									
Lassen				1	100	100				1	100	0			
Los Angeles															
Marin	1	100	100				2	100	100	4	100	100	1	100	100
Mendocino				1	50	100									
Modoc															
Monterey															
Nevada															
Orange	1	100	100												
Placer															
Plumas	1	50	100												
Riverside	2	100	0	2	100	100									
Sacramento															
San Bernardino	1	100	0	1	50	0				1	33	100			
San Diego				3	33	33				1	20	100			
San Joaquin													1	100	100
San Luis Obispo				4	67	50	4	100	100	5	83	40			
San Mateo				1	14	100									
Santa Barbara										1	25	0			
Santa Cruz							1	100	0						
Shasta															
Siskiyou				5	83	0				2	100	0			
Sonoma	1	100	100							1	50	0			
Stanislaus										1	50	0			
Sutter										1	100	0			
Trinity										2	100	100			
Tulare				1	100	100	1	100	100						
Tuolumne															
Yolo															
Yuba				1	25	0									
Total for CSD/CSA Measure Over All Counties	13	46	38	30	21	50	31	54	74	24	25	50	8	28	88

TREND TABLE C COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT, AND COUNTY SERVICE AREA MEASURES BY COUNTY (CONTINUED)

	2008			2009			2010			2011			2012		
	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing
Alpine															
Butte															
Calaveras													1	50	100
Colusa															
Contra Costa	3	100	67				1	50	100	2	100	100	1	100	100
El Dorado	1	50	0	1	100	0	3	75	67				1	50	0
Fresno										2	100	100			
Humboldt	1	100	0							1	100	100			
Imperial															
Inyo															
Kern							1	100	0						
Lake	1	100	100												
Lassen													1	100	0
Los Angeles															
Marin	3	75	100	1	100	100	7	88	43	3	100	100	1	50	100
Mendocino													1	33	0
Modoc															
Monterey															
Nevada													1	100	0
Orange															
Placer	1	33	0										1	100	0
Plumas										1	100	0			
Riverside							1	25	0						
Sacramento	1	100	100												
San Bernardino	1	50	100	1	100	100				1	100	100			
San Diego															
San Joaquin															
San Luis Obispo													1	50	100
San Mateo	1	25	100										1	100	100
Santa Barbara				1	100	100							1	14	100
Santa Cruz															
Shasta				1	100	100	2	100	0						
Siskiyou	3	75	33												
Sonoma													1	100	0
Stanislaus	1	25	0												
Sutter															
Trinity															
Tulare															
Tuolumne	1	100	100										1	100	100
Yolo				1	100	100							1	100	0
Yuba															
Total for CSD/CSA Measure Over All Counties	18	20	61	6	38	83	15	23	40	10	50	90	14	18	50

TREND TABLE C COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT, AND COUNTY SERVICE AREA MEASURES BY COUNTY
(CONTINUED)

	2013			2014			2015			2016			2017		
	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing
Alpine															
Butte															
Calaveras										1	20	0	2	100	50
Colusa													1	0	0
Contra Costa										1	33	100			
El Dorado	2	100	50	5	45	60	5	83	40	4	50	0	2	100	0
Fresno															
Humboldt	1	100	100												
Imperial				2	100	0									
Inyo															
Kern	1	25	0				1	50	100	1	50	0			
Lake															
Lassen				3	75	33	2	100	100	2	67	100			
Los Angeles	1	2	100							4	67	100			
Marin	1	11	100	1	25	100	2	22	100				1	100	0
Mendocino															
Modoc				2	0	0	2	100	0	2	100	100			
Monterey													1	100	0
Nevada				1	50	0							1	100	100
Orange															
Placer															
Plumas							4	100	100	1	100	100			
Riverside															
Sacramento															
San Bernardino	1	9	0				2	40	0	3	100	67	1	100	100
San Diego				1	100	0				1	33	0			
San Joaquin															
San Luis Obispo							1	100	100						
San Mateo										1	33	100			
Santa Barbara										2	67	50			
Santa Cruz															
Shasta															
Siskiyou				1	33	0				2	29	50			
Sonoma															
Stanislaus															
Sutter															
Trinity															
Tulare															
Tuolumne															
Yolo															
Yuba															
Total for CSD/CSA Measure Over All Counties	7	58	57	16	19	38	19	56	12	25	17	60	9	64	44

TREND TABLE C COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT, AND COUNTY SERVICE AREA MEASURES BY COUNTY
(CONTINUED)

	2018			2019			2020			2021			2022		
	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing
Alpine				1	100	100									
Butte															
Calaveras				1	100	100				1	100	100	2	67	50
Colusa															
Contra Costa	3	60	67	1	100	100	2	67	100	1	100	100	3	75	100
El Dorado	6	43	83				6	100	17	1	50	100	9	82	56
Fresno															
Humboldt															
Imperial				2	100	50									
Inyo															
Kern													2	50	0
Lake	1	33	100												
Lassen															
Los Angeles							1	25	100						
Marin	1	33	100				2	50	100				1	25	100
Mendocino															
Modoc															
Monterey													1	50	0
Nevada															
Orange															
Placer										1	100	100			
Plumas				1	100	100				1	100	100			
Riverside															
Sacramento													1	20	0
San Bernardino							1	25	0	1	100	0			
San Diego	2	33	50				1	33	0						
San Joaquin															
San Luis Obispo	1	33	0	1	100	100	1	100	0				1	100	0
San Mateo							1	50	100						
Santa Barbara															
Santa Cruz															
Shasta															
Siskiyou							2	67	50						
Sonoma				1	100	100									
Stanislaus															
Sutter															
Trinity															
Tulare	1	100	0												
Tuolumne	1	33	100												
Yolo															
Yuba															
Total for CSD/CSA Measure Over All Counties	16	16	75	8	50	88	17	20	47	6	67	83	20	22	50

TREND TABLE C COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT, AND COUNTY SERVICE AREA MEASURES BY COUNTY (CONTINUED)

	2023			2024			2025		
	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing
Alpine									
Butte									
Calaveras				1	25	100			
Colusa									
Contra Costa									
El Dorado	3	100	67	4	31	100			
Fresno									
Humboldt				2	40	100			
Imperial									
Inyo									
Kern				2	100	100	1	100	100
Lake									
Lassen									
Los Angeles									
Marin				3	25	100			
Mendocino									
Modoc							2	100	0
Monterey	1	100	100						
Nevada				1	100	100			
Orange									
Placer									
Plumas	1	100	100						
Riverside									
Sacramento									
San Bernardino									
San Diego				1	50	100			
San Joaquin									
San Luis Obispo									
San Mateo				1	50	100			
Santa Barbara									
Santa Cruz									
Shasta	2	100	100						
Siskiyou									
Sonoma									
Stanislaus									
Sutter									
Trinity									
Tulare									
Tuolumne									
Yolo									
Yuba									
Total for CSD/CSA Measure Over All Counties	7	100	86	15	14	100	3	20	33

TREND TABLE D NUMBER OF COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT, AND COUNTY SERVICE AREA MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL COUNTY MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TYPE, AND YEAR

	ALL CSD/CSA			TAXES			BONDS			ADVISORY			RECALLS			GANN LIMIT			ORDINANCE		
	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate
1998	31	25	55	22	18	45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	8	6	88
1999	20	53	60	16	42	56	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	8	100	1	3	0
2000	40	34	48	28	24	29	1	1	100	3	3	67	0	0	0	6	5	100	2	2	100
2001	22	59	77	12	32	75	2	5	100	0	0	0	3	8	100	3	8	100	2	5	0
2002	18	18	44	14	14	36	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	4	75	0	0	0
2003	13	46	38	11	39	27	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	7	100
2004	30	21	50	24	17	42	0	0	0	1	1	100	0	0	0	2	1	100	3	2	67
2005	31	54	74	23	40	65	2	4	100	0	0	0	3	5	100	1	2	100	2	4	100
2006	24	25	50	15	16	47	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	4	25	1	1	100	4	4	75
2007	8	28	88	3	10	67	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	10	100	1	3	100	1	3	100
2008	18	20	61	11	12	45	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	4	4	100	2	2	100
2009	6	38	83	3	19	67	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	6	100	1	6	100	1	6	100
2010	15	23	40	11	17	36	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	6	50	0	0	0	0	0	0
2011	10	50	90	4	20	75				1	5	100	2	10	100	2	10	100	1	5	100
2012	14	18	50	11	14	36							1	1	100				2	3	100
2013	7	58	57	5	42	40				1	0	100				1	8	100			
2014	16	19	38	13	15	31	2	0	100							1	1	0			
2015	19	56	63	9	26	56				2	0	0	5	15	100	1	3	100	2	6	50
2016	25	17	60	17	11	47				1	1	0	2	1	100	1	1	100	4	3	100
2017	9	64	44	6	43	33													3	21	67
2018	16	16	75	14	14	71										1	1	100	1	1	100
2019	8	50	88	7	44	86										1	6	100			
2020	17	20	47	12	14	33										4	5	100	1	1	0
2021	6	67	83	5	56	100													5	56	0
2022	20	22	50	17	18	47										1	1	100	2	2	50
2023	7	100	86	6	86	83													1	14	100
2024	15	14	100	8	7	100										2	2	100	5	5	100
2025	3	20	33	3	20	33															
1998-2025	470	27	60	332	19	50	7	2	100	10	2	50	28	5	82	42	3	93	51	3	80

TREND TABLE E COMPARISON OF PASS RATES FOR COUNTY-WIDE, AND COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT/ COUNTY SERVICE AREA TAX MEASURES BY YEAR

	Total Number of County Measures	NON-CSD/CSA COUNTY-WIDE MEASURES		CSD/CSA MEASURES		NON-CSD/CSA COUNTY-WIDE TAX MEASURES		CSD/CSA COUNTY TAX MEASURES	
		Number of Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	Percent Passing
1998	125	94	61	31	55	31	35	22	45
1999	38	18	67	20	60	5	20	16	56
2000	116	76	50	40	48	23	26	28	29
2001	37	15	67	22	77	2	50	12	75
2002	98	80	59	18	44	24	50	14	36
2003	28	15	87	13	38	1	0	11	27
2004	140	109	54	31	52	35	46	25	44
2005	57	26	50	31	74	1	100	23	65
2006	95	71	52	24	50	30	37	15	47
2007	29	21	71	8	88			3	67
2008	90	72	63	18	61	22	0	11	45
2009	16	9	67	7	86	1	0	4	75
2010	64	49	57	15	40	14	57	11	36
2011	20	10	70	10	90	3	67	4	75
2012	76	62	66	14	50	28	68	11	36
2013	12	5	100	7	57			5	40
2014	84	68	62	16	38	20	40	13	31
2015	34	15	60	19	63	2	0	9	56
2016	151	126	59	25	60	51	59	17	47
2017	14	5	80	9	44	5	80	6	33
2018	102	86	76	16	75	58	79	14	71
2019	16	8	88	8	88	3	100	7	86
2020	85	68	71	17	47	33	64	12	33
2021	9	3	33	6	83	3	33	5	100
2022	93	73	56	20	50	38	47	17	47
2023	7			7	86			6	83
2024	107	92	62	15	100	42	62	8	100
2025	15	12	67	3	33	10	60	3	33
1998-2025	1,758	1,290	61	468	59	486	54	330	49

TREND TABLE F NUMBER OF COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT, AND COUNTY SERVICE AREA MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL COUNTY MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TOPIC, AND YEAR

	ALL CSD/CSA			LAND USE			PUBLIC SAFETY			GOVERNANCE			ENVIRONMENT			TRANSPORTATION			PUBLIC FACILITIES			GENERAL SERVICES			REVENUE		
	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Percent Passing
1998	31	25	55				12	10	42				3	2	67	2	2	50	1	1	0	8	6	88	4	3	25
1999	20	53	60				2	5	50				3	8	0	5	13	100				5	13	40	5	13	80
2000	40	34	48	2	2	0	10	9	30	2	2	100				6	5	17	5	4	40	5	4	60	1	1	100
2001	22	59	77				6	16	100	4	11	75				1	3	0	5	14	60	4	11	75	2	5	100
2002	18	18	44				11	11	45	3	3	67							3	3	33	1	1	0			
2003	13	46	38				5	18	40	2	7	100													6	21	17
2004	30	21	50				17	12	47	1	1	0				4	3	50	4	3	50	1	1	0	2	1	100
2005	31	54	74	2	4	0	1	2	100	3	5	100				6	11	100	6	11	67	9	16	78	4	7	50
2006	24	25	50				7	7	71	7	7	43				2	2	50	3	3	0	2	2	50	3	3	67
2007	8	28	88							4	14	100				1	3	0	1	3	100	2	7	100			
2008	18	20	61				8	9	50	2	2	100				2	2	50				1	1	0	5	6	80
2009	6	38	83							2	13	100				1	6	0	2	13	100				1	6	100
2010	15	23	40				7	11	43	4	6	50				1	2	0							3	5	33
2011	10	50	90				4	20	75	4	20	100													2	10	100
2012	14	18	50	1	1	100	6	8	33	2	3	100				2	3	50	3	4	33						
2013	7	58	57				2	17	50	2	17	100				3	25	33									
2014	16	19	38				7	8	29	2	2	100				3	4	0	2	2	100				2	2	0
2015	19	56	63	1	3	0	4	12	50	7	21	86				3	9	33	2	6	50	1	3	100	1	3	100
2016	25	17	60	1	1	0	10	7	70	6	4	100				3	2	0				1	1	100	4	3	25
2017	9	64	44							3	21	67				3	21	33	1	7	100	1	7	0	1	7	0
2018	16	16	88				5	5	60	2	2	100				7	7	71							2	2	100
2019	8	50	88				7	44	86							1	6	100									
2020	17	20	47				6	7	67	1	1	0				7	8	14	1	1	100	1	1	100	1	1	100
2021	6	67	83				3	33	100	1	11	0				2	22	100									
2022	20	22	50				5	5	40	1	1	0				7	8	43	1	1	0	2	2	50	4	4	100
2023	7	100	86				3	43	100	1	14	100				3	43	67									
2024	15	14	100	1	1	100	4	4	100	2	2	100				4	4	100				4	4	100			
2025	3	20	33				2	13	50													1	7	0			
1998-2025	470	27	60	8	1	25	154	9	55	68	4	81	6	4	33	79	5	49	41	4	54	49	4	67	54	4	61

TREND TABLE G NUMBER OF CANDIDATES BY JURISDICTION,
AND YEAR

	NUMBER OF CANDIDATES			
	ALL CANDIDATES	COUNTY CANDIDATES	CITY CANDIDATES	SCHOOL DISTRICT CANDIDATES
1995	2,354	0	732	1,622
1996	5,330	667	2,141	2,522
1997	2,476	23	736	1,717
1998	5,354	1,037	1,893	2,424
1999	2,274	135	724	1,415
2000	5,012	796	2,166	2,050
2001	2,505	189	688	1,628
2002	5,903	1,266	2,195	2,442
2003	2,086	205	566	1,315
2004	5,035	782	2,212	2,041
2005	2,546	167	979	1,400
2006	5,498	1,136	2,132	2,230
2007	2,021	207	811	1,003
2008	5,237	782	2,282	2,173
2009	2,066	143	863	1,060
2010	6,022	1,177	2,321	2,524
2011	1,587	134	729	724
2012	5,211	776	2,333	2,102
2013	1,686	150	780	756
2014	5,675	1,204	2,172	2,299
2015	1,321	114	607	600
2016	5,118	723	2,361	2,034
2017	763	78	494	191
2018	6,526	1,176	2,700	2,650
2019	304	43	193	68
2020	6,668	761	3,174	2,733
2021	203	22	144	37
2022	6,935	1,198	2,882	2,855
2023	91	7	41	43
2024	5,854	860	2,723	2,271
2025	104	30	63	11
Total	109,765	15,988	44,837	48,940

*We excluded runoffs from totals.

TREND TABLE H NUMBER OF CANDIDATES FOR MAJOR COUNTY OFFICES BY YEAR

	Total Number of Candidates	Number of County Candidates	COUNTY SUPERVISOR CANDIDATES		CSD/CSA CANDIDATES	
			Number of Candidates	% of County Candidates	Number of Candidates	% of County Candidates
1995	2,354	0	0		*	
1996	5,330	667	470	70	*	
1997	2,476	23	19	83	*	
1998	5,354	1,037	309	30	22	2
1999	2,274	135	5	4	109	81
2000	5,012	796	441	55	174	22
2001	2,505	189	0	0	186	98
2002	5,903	1,266	306	24	127	10
2003	2,086	205	10	5	175	85
2004	5,035	782	447	57	125	16
2005	2,546	167	4	2	155	93
2006	5,498	1,136	310	27	160	14
2007	2,021	207	10	5	161	78
2008	5,237	782	441	56	174	22
2009	2,066	143	0	0	141	99
2010	6,022	1,177	331	28	170	14
2011	1,587	134	6	4	103	77
2012	5,211	776	348	55	200	31
2013	1,686	150	9	6	133	89
2014	5,675	1,204	317	26	244	20
2015	1,321	114	5	4	94	82
2016	5,118	723	431	60	158	22
2017	763	78	0	0	78	100
2018	6,526	1,176	324	28	192	16
2019	304	43	14	33	15	35
2020	6,668	761	423	56	206	27
2021	203	22	5	23	15	68
2022	6,935	1,198	338	28	186	16
2023	91	7	4	57	3	43
2024	5,854	860	441	51	217	25
2025	104	30	7	23	19	63
Total	109,765	15,988	5,887	37	3,742	23

*The California Elections Data Archive did not collect information on CSD/CSA candidates until 1998.

**We excluded runoffs from totals.

TREND TABLE I PERCENT OF INCUMBENT CANDIDATES, AND PERCENT OF PREVAILING INCUMBENTS BY MAJOR OFFICE, JURISDICTION, AND YEAR

	PERCENT OF CANDIDATES WHO ARE INCUMBENTS				PERCENTAGE OF INCUMBENTS WHO WIN				PERCENTAGE OF WINNING CANDIDATES WHO ARE INCUMBENTS			
	% of All Candidates	% of County Supervisor Candidates	% of City Council Candidates	% of School District Candidates	% of All Candidates	% of County Supervisor Candidates	% of City Council Candidates	% of School District Candidates	% of All Candidates	% of County Supervisor Candidates	% of City Council Candidates	% of School District Candidates
1995	27	--	18	30	79	--	79	78	50	--	41	51
1996	27	24	23	28	79	75	74	78	48	51	41	47
1997	30	5	23	33	76	0	79	74	49	0	45	50
1998	32	30	26	32	86	87	82	83	57	63	48	53
1999	30	0	23	32	78	--	81	77	51	0	45	52
2000	30	30	27	32	79	90	80	74	52	73	51	49
2001	30	--	24	32	78	--	80	77	50	--	51	50
2002	34	34	27	36	82	81	79	79	57	63	50	56
2003	31	0	22	35	78	--	72	79	51	0	40	55
2004	33	28	28	37	81	81	81	76	55	59	51	57
2005	31	0	23	36	80	--	80	78	52	0	50	52
2006	35	29	29	36	82	90	78	78	56	68	51	55
2007	31	0	27	33	77	--	79	75	50	0	54	48
2008	34	30	30	38	76	86	80	70	56	61	55	54
2009	34	--	26	39	78	--	79	76	54	--	51	55
2010	35	28	29	39	82	83	82	79	59	61	56	59
2011	29	0	24	34	82	--	83	82	49	0	48	51
2012	28	35	25	30	75	83	74	71	43	58	41	42
2013	30	0	27	31	77	--	82	75	47	0	52	44
2014	35	31	30	38	77	89	77	71	54	64	51	51
2015	29	0	26	31	71	--	74	67	45	0	46	43
2016	32	31	28	34	74	82	75	68	49	63	47	46
2017	29	--	26	31	80	--	86	70	55	--	59	47
2018	34	30	27	36	76	84	72	69	52	60	45	49
2019	22	7	18	19	78	100	79	54	44	33	41	28
2020	30	30	25	32	71	83	73	64	47	60	45	44
2021	14	0	11	11	72	--	92	50	30	0	31	13
2022	32	23	27	34	78	83	81	71	51	50	47	48
2023	5	0	3	2	60	--	0	100	9	0	0	7
2024	33	27	32	34	76	87	80	67	51	59	52	47
2025	13	0	4	18	92	--	100	100	32	0	14	29
1995-2025	32	28	27	34	78	84	78	74	52	60	49	51

*We excluded runoffs from totals.

2025 ELECTION SERIES SUMMARY:
ELECTION OUTCOMES FOR
COUNTY, CITY, AND SCHOOL DISTRICT
BALLOT MEASURES AND CANDIDATES

TABLE A SUMMARY OF OUTCOMES FOR ALL COUNTY, CITY, AND SCHOOL DISTRICT BALLOT MEASURES BY TYPE OF MEASURE AND COUNTY, 2025

	TAXES		BONDS		CHARTER AMENDMENT		ORDINANCE		RECALL		ALL MEASURES		
	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	TOTAL
ALAMEDA	1	0									1	0	1
CALAVERAS	0	1									0	1	1
CONTRA COSTA	0	1									0	1	1
EL DORADO	1	3									1	3	4
KERN	3	0									3	0	3
LOS ANGELES	3	0			10	0					13	0	13
MARIN	1	2	1	0			2	0	0	2	4	4	8
MERCED	1	0									1	0	1
MODOC	0	2									0	2	2
NEVADA	1	0									1	0	1
ORANGE							2	0			2	0	2
PLACER	1	0									1	0	1
SAN DIEGO									1	0	1	0	1
SAN FRANCISCO									1	0	1	0	1
SAN MATEO					1	0					1	0	1
SANTA CLARA	1	1									1	1	2
SANTA CRUZ	1	1									1	1	2
SHASTA	0	1									0	1	1
SISKIYOU	1	0									1	0	1
SONOMA	0	1									0	1	1
TOTAL	15	13	1	0	11	0	4	0	2	2	33	15	48

TABLE B SUMMARY OF OUTCOMES FOR ALL COUNTY, CITY, AND SCHOOL DISTRICT BALLOT MEASURES BY TOPIC OF MEASURE AND COUNTY, 2025

	EDUCATION		FACILITIES		GENERAL SERVICES		GOVERNANCE		HOUSING		LAND USE		PUBLIC SAFETY		TRANSPORTATION		ALL MEASURES		
	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	TOTAL
ALAMEDA					1	0											1	0	1
CALAVERAS	0	1															0	1	1
CONTRA COSTA	0	1															0	1	1
EL DORADO															1	3	1	3	4
KERN					2	0							1	0			3	0	3
LOS ANGELES	1	0			2	0	10	0									13	0	13
MARIN	0	2	1	0			0	2			2	0			1	0	4	4	8
MERCED													1	0			1	0	1
MODOC					0	1							0	1			0	2	2
NEVADA			1	0													1	0	1
ORANGE			2	0													2	0	2
PLACER			1	0													1	0	1
SAN DIEGO							1	0									1	0	1
SAN FRANCISCO							1	0									1	0	1
SAN MATEO							1	0									1	0	1
SANTA CLARA	0	1	1	0													1	1	2
SANTA CRUZ									1	1							1	1	2
SHASTA					0	1											0	1	1
SISKIYOU					1	0											1	0	1
SONOMA	0	1															0	1	1
TOTAL	1	6	6	0	6	2	13	2	1	1	2	0	2	1	2	3	33	15	48

TABLE C SUMMARY OF ELECTION OUTCOMES FOR ALL COUNTY, CITY, AND SCHOOL DISTRICT OFFICES, 2025

		CITY ATTORNEY		CITY COUNCIL		COUNTY SUPERVISOR		DIRECTOR, CSD ¹		MAYOR		OTHER COUNTY OFFICES		SCHOOL BOARD MEMBER		TOTAL ^{2,3}	
		Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N
Incumbent Candidates	Win	-	-	100.0	2	-	-	87.5	7	100.0	1	-	-	100.0	2	92.3	12
	Lose	-	-	0.0	0	-	-	12.5	1	0.0	0	-	-	0.0	0	7.7	1
	Total	-	-	100.0	2	-	-	100.0	8	100.0	1	-	-	100.0	2	100.0	13
Non-Incumbent Candidates	Win	50.0	1	27.3	12	14.3	1	36.4	4	7.1	1	25.0	1	55.6	5	27.5	25
	Lose	50.0	1	72.7	32	85.7	6	63.6	7	92.9	13	75.0	3	44.4	4	72.5	66
	Total	100.0	2	100.0	44	100.0	7	100.0	11	100.0	14	100.0	4	100.0	9	100.0	91
Winning Candidates	Incumbent	0.0	0	14.3	2	0.0	0	63.6	7	50.0	1	0.0	0	28.6	2	32.4	12
	Non-Incumbent	100.0	1	85.7	12	100.0	1	36.4	4	50.0	1	100.0	1	71.4	5	67.6	25
	Total	100.0	1	100.0	14	100.0	1	100.0	11	100.0	2	100.0	1	100.0	7	100.0	37
Losing Candidates	Incumbent	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	12.5	1	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	1.5	1
	Non-Incumbent	100.0	1	100.0	32	100.0	6	87.5	7	100.0	13	100.0	3	100.0	4	98.5	66
	Total	100.0	1	100.0	32	100.0	6	100.0	8	100.0	13	100.0	3	100.0	4	100.0	67
All Candidates	Incumbent	0.0	0	4.3	2	0.0	0	42.1	8	6.7	1	0.0	0	18.2	2	12.5	13
	Non-incumbent	100.0	2	95.7	44	100.0	7	57.9	11	93.3	14	100.0	4	81.8	9	87.5	91
	Total	100.0	2	100.0	46	100.0	7	100.0	19	100.0	15	100.0	4	100.0	17	100.0	104

¹Includes Directors of Community Service Districts and County Service Areas, and Members of Municipal Advisory Councils and Community Planning Areas.

²We exclude runoffs from totals.

³Percent may not sum to 100 due to rounding.

PART 1
VOTE TOTALS, ELECTION OUTCOMES,
AND TEXT FOR CITY BALLOT MEASURES

TABLE 1.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2025

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	MEASURE TITLE	TYPE OF MEASURE	TOPIC OF MEASURE	VOTE IN FAVOR	TOTAL VOTE	PERCENT OF VOTE	PASS OR FAIL
ALAMEDA	4/15/2025	Oakland	Measure A	Sales Tax	General Services	57,485	88,511	65.0%	Pass
ALPINE	No City Measures								
AMADOR	No City Measures								
BUTTE	No City Measures								
CALAVERAS	No City Measures								
COLUSA	No City Measures								
CONTRA COSTA	No City Measures								
DEL NORTE	No City Measures								
EL DORADO	No City Measures								
FRESNO	No City Measures								
GLENN	No City Measures								
HUMBOLDT	No City Measures								
IMPERIAL	No City Measures								
INYO	No City Measures								
KERN	11/4/2025	Delano	Measure B	Sales Tax	General Services	3,846	5,635	68.0%	Pass
KERN	11/4/2025	McFarland	Measure C	Sales Tax	General Services	796	1,500	53.0%	Pass
KINGS	No City Measures								
LAKE	No City Measures								
LASSEN	No City Measures								
LOS ANGELES	3/4/2025	Cerritos	Measure J	Charter Amendment	Governance: Elections	4,820	7,414	65.0%	Pass
			Measure K	Charter Amendment	Governance	5,745	7,372	78.0%	Pass
		Redondo Beach	Measure CAP	Charter Amendment	Governance	8,443	9,976	85.0%	Pass
			Measure CAR	Charter Amendment	Governance: Elections	9,340	10,146	92.0%	Pass
			Measure CCD	Charter Amendment	Governance	8,624	9,954	87.0%	Pass
			Measure CCQ	Charter Amendment	Governance: Personnel/Labor Relations	8,702	10,105	86.0%	Pass
			Measure CTD	Charter Amendment	Governance: Organization	8,679	9,992	87.0%	Pass
			Measure CTQ	Charter Amendment	Governance: Personnel/Labor Relations	9,003	10,135	89.0%	Pass
	4/8/2025	Vernon	Measure Y	Charter Amendment	Governance: Elections	53	70	76.0%	Pass
			Measure Z	Charter Amendment	Governance: Elections	59	71	83.0%	Pass
	8/26/2025	Culver City	Measure CL	Sales Tax	General Services	4,327	6,447	67.0%	Pass
	11/4/2025	Santa Fe Springs	Measure L	Sales Tax	General Services	3,180	5,128	62.0%	Pass
MADERA	No City Measures								

^T - Indicates measure required two-thirds of the vote to pass. ^F - Indicates measure required fifty-five percent of the vote to pass. All other city measures required a majority vote.

TABLE 1.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2025

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	MEASURE TITLE	TYPE OF MEASURE	TOPIC OF MEASURE	VOTE IN FAVOR	TOTAL VOTE	PERCENT OF VOTE	PASS OR FAIL
MARIN	3/4/2025	Larkspur	Measure A	Revenue Bond	Facilities	117	135	87.0%	Pass ^T
	11/4/2025	Fairfax	Recall R1	Recall	Governance: Recall	1,936	4,371	44.0%	Fail
			R2	Recall	Governance: Recall	1,958	4,359	45.0%	Fail
		Sausalito	Measure J	Ordinance	Land Use: Zoning	2,845	3,771	75.0%	Pass
			Measure K	Ordinance	Land Use: Zoning	2,086	3,821	55.0%	Pass
MARIPOSA	No City Measures								
MENDOCINO	No City Measures								
MERCED	3/4/2025	Dos Palos	Measure S	Sales Tax	Safety: Fire	397	515	77.0%	Pass ^T
MODOC	No City Measures								
MONO	No City Measures								
MONTEREY	No City Measures								
NAPA	No City Measures								
NEVADA	No City Measures								
ORANGE	6/10/2025	Huntington Beach	Measure A	Ordinance	Facilities: Libraries	34,797	59,606	58.0%	Pass
ORANGE	6/10/2025	Huntington Beach	Measure B	Ordinance	Facilities: Libraries	36,044	59,556	61.0%	Pass
PLACER	No City Measures								
PLUMAS	No City Measures								
RIVERSIDE	No City Measures								
SACRAMENTO	No City Measures								
SAN BENITO	No City Measures								
SAN BERNARDINO	No City Measures								
SAN DIEGO	11/4/2025	Poway	Recall R1	Recall	Governance: Recall	5,017	6,241	80.0%	Pass
SAN FRANCISCO	City and County Measures included in County Report								
SAN JOAQUIN	No City Measures								
SAN LUIS OBISPO	No City Measures								
SAN MATEO	No City Measures								
SANTA BARBARA	No City Measures								
SANTA CLARA	No City Measures								
SANTA CRUZ	No City Measures								
SHASTA	11/4/2025	Redding	Measure A	Sales Tax	General Services	11,316	32,070	35.0%	Fail
SIERRA	No City Measures								
SISKIYOU	11/4/2025	Dunsmuir	Measure D	Sales Tax	General Services	290	556	52.0%	Pass
SOLANO	No City Measures								
SONOMA	No City Measures								

TABLE 1.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2025

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	MEASURE TITLE	TYPE OF MEASURE	TOPIC OF MEASURE	VOTE IN FAVOR	TOTAL VOTE	PERCENT OF VOTE	PASS OR FAIL
STANISLAUS		No City Measures							
SUTTER		No City Measures							
TEHAMA		No City Measures							
TRINITY		No City Measures							
TULARE		No City Measures							
TUOLUMNE		No City Measures							
VENTURA		No City Measures							
YOLO		No City Measures							
YUBA		No City Measures							

TABLE 1.2 TEXT FOR CITY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2025

ALAMEDA	4/15/2025	Oakland	Measure A	Pass
Shall the measure providing funding to help maintain City of Oakland programs, services, and facilities such as public safety and police services; neighborhood crime prevention; fire protection; pothole and road repaving; shelter and housing for individuals and families experiencing homelessness; library and recreation services; playground and park maintenance; youth, senior, and cultural programs; by enacting a half-cent (.5%) transaction (sales) and use tax for 10 years, providing approximately \$29,800,000 annually for general government use be adopted?				
KERN	11/4/2025	Delano	Measure B	Pass
Shall the measure for the City of Delano's existing voter-approved one percent sales tax, which funds essential general services, such as police and fire services, senior citizen programs, street improvements, and parks and recreation services be extended without being increased until repealed by the voters instead of expiring in March 2028 and resulting in elimination or reduction of these services, be adopted (generating approximately \$8.5 million annually)?				
KERN	11/4/2025	McFarland	Measure C	Pass
To provide funding for essential city services and projects in the City of McFarland such as: police patrols, crime prevention, and quick 9-1-1 emergency response; fire service; repairing roads, potholes and infrastructure, maintaining streets, revitalizing the downtown area, and improving community facilities, parks, senior services, and other general city services, shall the measure adopt a one percent sales tax providing approximately \$1,000,000 annually until ended by voters, requiring citizen oversight, independent audits, and all funds controlled locally, be adopted?				
LOS ANGELES	3/4/2025	Cerritos	Measure J	Pass
Shall the Cerritos City Charter be amended to require that voter approval must be obtained for any future change to the City's general municipal election date, currently established as the first Tuesday after the first Monday in March of each odd-numbered year, and make conforming amendments to the start date of each City Council member's term and selection date of the Mayor, relating hereto?				
LOS ANGELES	3/4/2025	Cerritos	Measure K	Pass
Shall the Cerritos City Charter be amended to remove or modify provisions based on superseding and preemptive State law, increase City-wide operational efficiency by eliminating references to outdated business practices, and correct grammatical, punctuation, and spelling errors?				
LOS ANGELES	3/4/2025	Redondo Beach	Measure CAP	Pass
Shall the publication options for matters solely pertaining to the municipal affairs of the City be expanded to provide alternatives to publication in the official newspaper of the City such as posting in three public places and on the City's online website?				
LOS ANGELES	3/4/2025	Redondo Beach	Measure CAR	Pass
Shall Sections 6.5 of Article VI, and 10.2 of Article X, be amended and Section 8.6 added to Article VIII to define the residency requirements for every Elected Official of the City and apply them to each position throughout their term of office?				
LOS ANGELES	3/4/2025	Redondo Beach	Measure CCD	Pass
Shall Section 11 (a)-(f) of Article XI, 12.3 of Article XII, and 20.1 of Article XX regarding the City Clerk's Powers and Duties be amended pertaining to overseeing all City elections, reviewing expenditures, having access to the City's financial system, approving payments, and having the authority to contest within 45-days of final disposition; and to also update appropriate language pertaining to the City Clerk's approval on demands and on the City Manager's duties for consistency be adopted?				
LOS ANGELES	3/4/2025	Redondo Beach	Measure CCQ	Pass
Shall the final paragraph of Section 11 regarding the qualifications related to eligibility for office or City Clerk be expanded to include four (4) years of experience as a County or City Clerk; or Registrar in the State of California; or a Bachelor's degree and five (5) years of experience in accounting?				
LOS ANGELES	3/4/2025	Redondo Beach	Measure CTD	Pass
Shall Section 11.1 regarding the City Treasurer's duties be amended to delete the duty to receive and be custodian of all public funds and instead add the duty maintain an autonomous role of oversight for monitoring, auditing, and serving as the Tax Administrator?				

TABLE 1.2 TEXT FOR CITY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2025

LOS ANGELES	3/4/2025	Redondo Beach	Measure CTQ	Pass
Shall Section 11.1.01 be added to establish further qualifications for eligibility for the office of City Treasurer to include a Bachelor's or Associate's Degree and two or more years of work experience in financial markets, financial analysis, portfolio management, investments, or governmental accounting; or a Bachelor's or Master's Degree in specific degrees; or four or more years of work experience in financial markets, financial analysis, portfolio management, investments, or governmental accounting?				
LOS ANGELES	4/8/2025	Vernon	Measure Y	Pass
Shall the measure amending Chapters 3.5 and 3.6 of the Vernon City Charter to state that during City Council installation following a regular municipal election, the position of Mayor shall be filled by the Council Member entering their fifth (last) year of their Council seat's term, and the position of Mayor Pro Tempore shall be filled by the Council Member entering their fourth year of their Council seat's term, and so on in descending order if necessary, be adopted?				
LOS ANGELES	4/8/2025	Vernon	Measure Z	Pass
Shall the measure amending Chapter 3.4 of the Vernon City Charter to require that election returns be canvassed beginning on the first Tuesday following a City Council election and continued in the time, form, and manner required by the City Charter, Vernon Municipal Code, and the general laws of the State governing municipal elections, and that Council Member terms shall begin at the hour election results are certified by the City Council, be adopted?				
LOS ANGELES	8/26/2025	Culver City	Measure CL	Pass
Shall an ordinance providing funding for maintaining three fully staffed fire stations/ 911 emergency response times; retaining firefighters, paramedics, police officers; homeless reduction services/ encampment prevention; improving parks/ fields; repairing potholes/ streets/ storm drains; senior/ youth services and other General Fund uses, by enacting a ¼ cent sales tax, providing approximately \$5,600,000 annually until ended by voters, requiring all funds locally controlled, audits/ public spending disclosures, be adopted?				
LOS ANGELES	11/4/2025	Santa Fe Springs	Measure L	Pass
To protect Santa Fe Springs' long-term financial stability; maintain general services such as fire, paramedic, police, hazard material spill response; help prevent property crimes; keep public areas/parks safe/clean; address homelessness; maintain library/senior services, afterschool programs, shall a measure be adopted increasing the transaction and use tax (sales tax) by a ¼¢ providing approximately \$4,000,000 annually until ended by voters, requiring audits, annual publicly available expenditure reports, funds locally controlled?				
MARIN	3/4/2025	Larkspur	Measure A	Pass (2/3rds required)
Shall Community Facilities District No. 2024-2 (Larkspur Lagoon Bulkhead Replacement Project) of the City of Larkspur be authorized to issue \$22,000,000 of bonds to finance costs of bulkhead replacement and related projects, levy annual special taxes for a period not beyond fiscal year 2060-61 at a rate of approximately \$260 per linear feet of bulkhead length fronting the Larkspur Marina Lagoon to raise approximately \$1,650,295 annually, to repay bonds, pay incidental expenses and administration, and establish an initial annual appropriations limit of \$3,000,000?				
MARIN	11/4/2025	Fairfax	Recall R1	Fail
Should Councilmember Lisel Blash be recalled (removed) from office?				
MARIN	11/4/2025	Fairfax	Recall R2	Fail
Should Councilmember Stephanie Hellman be recalled (removed) from office?				
MARIN	11/4/2025	Sausalito	Measure J	Pass
Shall the measure adopting various housing overlay zones to allow housing at various income categories, including housing for seniors/families/individuals, on twelve sites in Sausalito's commercial districts, consistent with Sausalito's publicly reviewed and adopted Housing Element – in order to maintain compliance with State Housing Element Law, preserve local land use authority, prevent state fines, and preserve historic community character, while maintaining existing required developer fees – be adopted?				

TABLE 1.2 TEXT FOR CITY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2025

MARIN	11/4/2025	Sausalito	Measure K	Pass
Shall the measure to achieve compliance with State Housing Law mandates, by adopting a housing overlay zone allowing City-directed development on limited parts of the City-owned Martin Luther King Jr. property consistent with Sausalito's publicly adopted Housing Element, providing capacity for no more than 50 units of housing prioritizing Sausalito's seniors; while maintaining existing recreational, dog park, and school uses; maintaining building height limits, be adopted?				
MERCED	3/4/2025	Dos Palos	Measure S	Pass (2/3rds required)
Shall an ordinance increasing the sales and use tax rate in the City of Dos Palos by 0.5% be approved to generate approximately \$200,000 annually to be used only for fire department expenses such as fire station upgrades, equipment, and firefighter staffing costs, until ended by voters, subject to annual audits available to the public, with all funds being used only to fund fire protection services in the City of Dos Palos and benefitting Dos Palos residents?				
ORANGE	6/10/2025	Huntington Beach	Measure A	Pass
Shall the Ordinance to Amend the Huntington Beach Municipal Code by Deleting Chapter 2.66 Thereof Entitled 'Community Parent Guardian Review Board for Procurement of Children's Library Materials' and Adding Section 2.30.090 There to Entitled 'Selection and Use of Library Materials' be adopted?				
ORANGE	6/10/2025	Huntington Beach	Measure B	Pass
Shall the Ordinance to Amend the Huntington Beach Municipal Code by Adding New Section 2.30.100, Entitled "Public Operation of Library Services" be adopted?				
SAN DIEGO	11/4/2025	Poway	Recall R1	Pass
Shall Anthony (Tony) Blain be recalled (removed) from the Office of Councilmember of District 2 of the City of Poway?				
SHASTA	11/4/2025	Redding	Measure A	Fail
Shall the measure adopting a one percent transactions and use tax in the City of Redding, for the special purpose of funding essential services, including Public Safety, Infrastructure, and Parks, ensuring that the City of Redding has the necessary resources to maintain and enhance these critical community assets, be adopted?				
SISKIYOU	11/4/2025	Dunsmuir	Measure D	Pass
To provide funding to maintain city services and facilities including: wildfire prevention, public safety, library, community revitalization, recreation, parks, roads, infrastructure, and general government uses; shall the City of Dunsmuir adopt an ordinance to amend the City's existing sales tax rate to be one-percent providing approximately \$270,000.00 annually, and to remain in effect until ended by voters, with all money staying local, subject to independent audits?				

TABLE 1.3 SUMMARY OF ELECTION OUTCOMES FOR CITY BALLOT MEASURE BY TYPE OF MEASURE AND COUNTY, 2025

	TAXES		BONDS		CHARTER AMENDMENT		ORDINANCE		RECALL		ALL MEASURES		
	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	TOTAL
ALAMEDA	1	0									1	0	1
KERN	2	0									2	0	2
LOS ANGELES	2	0			10	0					12	0	12
MARIN			1	0			2	0	0	2	3	2	5
MERCED	1	0									1	0	1
ORANGE							2	0			2	0	2
SAN DIEGO									1	0	1	0	1
SHASTA	0	1									0	1	1
SISKIYOU	1	0									1	0	1
TOTAL	7	1	1	0	10	0	4	0	1	2	23	3	26

TABLE 1.4 SUMMARY OF ELECTION OUTCOMES FOR CITY BALLOT MEASURES BY TOPIC OF MEASURE, AND COUNTY, 2025

	GENERAL SERVICES		GOVERNANCE		LAND USE		FACILITIES		SAFETY		ALL MEASURES		
	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	TOTAL
ALAMEDA	1	0									1	0	1
KERN	2	0									2	0	2
LOS ANGELES	2	0	10	0							12	0	12
MARIN			0	2	2	0	1	0			3	2	5
MERCED									1	0	1	0	1
ORANGE							2	0			2	0	2
SAN DIEGO			1	0							1	0	1
SHASTA	0	1									0	1	1
SISKIYOU	1	0									1	0	1
TOTAL	6	1	11	2	2	0	3	0	1	0	23	3	26

PART 2
VOTE TOTALS FOR
CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2025

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	OFFICE	DISTRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM- BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATES	TOTAL VOTES CAST¹	PERCENT OF VOTE	ELECTED
ALAMEDA	4/15/2025	Oakland	CITY COUNCIL	2	Short	Wang	Charlene	Civil Rights Advisor	No	6	5,845	12,465	46.9%	Yes
						Murray-Badal	Kara	Housing Policy Director	No	6	4,205	12,465	33.7%	No
						Lowe, III	Harold	Financial Planner/Consultant	No	6	1,362	12,465	10.9%	No
						Matoury	Kanitha	Proprietor/Chef	No	6	554	12,465	4.4%	No
						Thomas	Paula F.L.	Commercial Property Manager	No	6	260	12,465	2.1%	No
						Anderson	Rev Kenneth	Pastor	No	6	239	12,465	1.9%	No
			MAYOR	Short	Lee	Barbara	No Ballot Designation	No	10	47,122	94,128	50.1%	Yes	
					Taylor	Loren Manuel	Engineer/Businessperson/Educator	No	10	42,342	94,128	45.0%	No	
					Jordan	Tyron C.	Paralegal	No	10	987	94,128	1.0%	No	
					Pechenuk	Mindy Ruth	Educator/Researcher	No	10	821	94,128	0.9%	No	
					Webb	Renia Janeen	Educator/Safety Legislator	No	10	787	94,128	0.8%	No	
					Robinson	Suz	VP Governance	No	10	748	94,128	0.8%	No	
					Simpson	Eric	Union Factory Worker	No	10	578	94,128	0.6%	No	
					Swaney	Elizabeth	Olympian/Comedian/Barista	No	10	317	94,128	0.3%	No	
					Liu	Peter	Entertainer	No	10	245	94,128	0.3%	No	
					Grappo	President Cristina	Provider	No	10	181	94,128	0.2%	No	
ALPINE	No City Contests													
AMADOR	No City Contests													
BUTTE	No City Contests													
CALAVERAS	No City Contests													
COLUSA	No City Contests													
CONTRA COSTA	No City Contests													
DEL NORTE	No City Contests													
EL DORADO	No City Contests													
FRESNO	3/18/2025	Fresno	CITY COUNCIL	5	Short	Vang	Brandon	School Board Member	No	4	2,324	4,619	50.2%	Yes
						Jonasson	Elizabeth "Rosas"	Water District Manager	No	4	1,621	4,619	35.0%	No
						Barraza	Jose Leon	Chief Executive Officer	No	4	568	4,619	12.3%	No
						Condon	Paul W.	Father	No	4	106	4,619	2.3%	No
GLENN	No City Contests													
HUMBOLDT	No City Contests													
IMPERIAL	No City Contests													
INYO	No City Contests													

¹ Write-in candidate votes, when reported by the county, have been included in the total votes cast. For these contests, the sum of the candidate votes is less than the total votes cast.

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2025

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	OFFICE	DISTRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM- BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATES	TOTAL VOTES CAST¹	PERCENT OF VOTE	ELECTED
KERN	8/26/2025	Arvin	CITY COUNCIL		Short	Tarver	Tim	No Ballot Designation	No	3	468	765	61.2%	Yes
KERN	8/26/2025	Arvin	CITY COUNCIL		Short	Rubio	Anabel Quintino	No Ballot Designation	No	3	272	765	35.6%	No
KERN	8/26/2025	Arvin	CITY COUNCIL		Short	Oliver	Henry R.	No Ballot Designation	No	3	25	765	3.3%	No
KINGS	No City Contests													
LAKE	No City Contests													
LASSEN	No City Contests													
LOS ANGELES	3/4/2025	Cerritos	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Pulido	Mark E.	Retired Government Executive	No	10	3,901	19,526	20.0%	Yes
						Hong	Jennifer	Businesswoman	No	10	3,583	19,526	18.3%	Yes
						Tse	Sophia	Member, ABCUSD BOE / Nurse	No	10	3,388	19,526	17.4%	Yes
						Surti	Riaz	E-commerce Businessman	No	10	2,794	19,526	14.3%	No
						Patnaik	"Pat" Ashok	Banker	No	10	2,082	19,526	10.7%	No
						Pavone	Rocky	Businessman/Financial Educator	No	10	1,891	19,526	9.7%	No
						Hwang	Esther	Entrepreneur	No	10	779	19,526	4.0%	No
						Huynh	Hao "Ricky"	Nonprofit Program Manager	No	10	437	19,526	2.2%	No
						Choksi	"JC" Jignesh	Information Technology Technician	No	10	396	19,526	2.0%	No
						Ayub	Adrian	Small Business Owner	No	10	275	19,526	1.4%	No
	4/8/2025	Redondo Beach	CITY ATTORNEY		Full	Ford	Joy	Senior Deputy City Prosecutor	No	2	8,226	10,729	76.7%	Yes
						Colin	Steve	Senior Trial Attorney	No	2	2,503	10,729	23.3%	No
		CITY COUNCIL	1	Full	Waller	Brad	Redondo Beach Business Owner	No	3	1,245	2,562	48.6%	Yes	
					Strutzenberg	Rolf Curtis	Retired Aerospace Engineer	No	3	1,132	2,562	44.2%	No	
					King	Darin	Attorney	No	3	185	2,562	7.2%	No	
				2	Full	Castle	Chadwick	Budget & Finance Commissioner	No	2	1,556	2,072	75.1%	Yes
						Burke	Louis	No Ballot Designation	No	2	516	2,072	24.9%	No
			4	Full	Obagi, Jr.	Zein	Councilmember District 4	Yes	2	1,255	1,816	69.1%	Yes	
					Hunt	Terri E.	No Ballot Designation	No	2	561	1,816	30.9%	No	
		MAYOR		Full	Light	James A.	Appointed Mayor	Yes	5	4,882	11,070	44.1%	Yes	
					Nehrenheim	Nils	City Councilmember	No	5	3,236	11,070	29.2%	No	
					Irvine	Joan	Small Business Owner	No	5	1,194	11,070	10.8%	No	
					Gantner	Georgette	Writer/Artist	No	5	915	11,070	8.3%	No	
Ginsburg	Jeff				Small Business Owner	No	5	843	11,070	7.6%	No			
4/8/2025	Vernon	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Merlo	Judith	Incumbent	Yes	2	58	80	72.5%	Yes	
					Gonzales	Diana	No Ballot Designation	No	2	22	80	27.5%	No	
MADERA	No City Contests													
MARIN	No City Contests													
MARIPOSA	No City Contests													

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2025

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	OFFICE	DISTRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM- BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATES	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PERCENT OF VOTE	ELECTED
MENDOCINO	No City Contests													
MERCED	8/6/2025	Los Banos	CITY COUNCIL	1	Short	Perez	Mitzy	No Ballot Designation	No	2	263	485	53.9%	Yes
						Sanchez	Kalid "Virriy"	No Ballot Designation	No	2	222	485	45.5%	No
MODOC	No City Contests													
MONO	No City Contests													
MONTEREY	No City Contests													
NAPA	No City Contests													
NEVADA	No City Contests													
ORANGE	4/15/2025	Irvine	CITY COUNCIL	5	Short	Franco	Betty Martinez	Small Business Owner	No	3	3,883	7,964	48.8%	Yes
						Kuo	Anthony C.	Irvine Commissioner/Businessman	No	3	3,305	7,964	41.5%	No
						Cornelius	Dana	HOA Board Member	No	3	776	7,964	9.7%	No
PLACER	No City Contests													
PLUMAS	No City Contests													
RIVERSIDE	No City Contests													
SACRAMENTO	No City Contests													
SAN BENITO	No City Contests													
SAN BERNARDINO	No City Contests													
SAN DIEGO	No City Contests													
SAN FRANCISCO	City and County Contests included in Couty Report													
SAN JOAQUIN	No City Contests													
SAN LUIS OBISPO	No City Contests													
SAN MATEO	No City Contests													
SANTA BARBARA	8/26/2025	Buellton	CITY COUNCIL	4	Short	Mead	Carla	Community Volunteer	No	2	269	448	60.0%	Yes
						King	Dave	Retired CHP Officer	No	2	179	448	40.0%	No
SANTA CLARA	4/8/2025	San Jose	CITY COUNCIL	3	Short	Chavez-Lopez	Gabby	Executive/Working Mother	No	7	2,712	9,043	30.0%	Runoff
						Tordillos	Anthony	Planning Commissioner/Engineer	No	7	2,006	9,043	22.2%	Runoff
						Quevedo	Matthew	Deputy Chief/Father	No	7	2,000	9,043	22.1%	No
						Smith	Irene	Financial Analyst/Entrepreneur	No	7	1,443	9,043	16.0%	No
						Duran	Adam	Retired Sheriff Lieutenant	No	7	691	9,043	7.6%	No
						Wade	Tyrone	Retired Family Counselor	No	7	137	9,043	1.5%	No
						Dolan	Philip	Knife Sharpener Salesman	No	7	54	9,043	0.6%	No
	6/24/2025	San Jose	CITY COUNCIL	3	Short	Tordillos	Anthony	Planning Commissioner/Engineer	No	2	5,355	8,321	64.4%	Yes
						Chavez-Lopez	Gabby	Executive/Working Mother	No	2	2,966	8,321	35.6%	No
SANTA CRUZ	No City Contests													
SHASTA	No City Contests													

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2025

[illegible]

TABLE 2.2 SUMMARY OF ELECTION OUTCOMES FOR CITY OFFICES, 2025

		CITY ATTORNEY		CITY COUNCIL		MAYOR		TOTAL ^{1,2}	
		Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N
Incumbent Candidates	Win	-	-	100.0	2	100.0	1	100.0	3
	Lose	-	-	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0
	Total	-	-	100.0	2	100.0	1	100.0	3
Non-Incumbent Candidates	Win	50.0	1	27.3	12	7.1	1	23.3	14
	Lose	50.0	1	72.7	32	92.9	13	76.7	46
	Total	100.0	2	100.0	44	100.0	14	100.0	60
Winning Candidates	Incumbent	0.0	0	14.3	2	50.0	1	17.6	3
	Non-Incumbent	100.0	1	85.7	12	50.0	1	82.4	14
	Total	100.0	1	100.0	14	100.0	2	100.0	17
Losing Candidates	Incumbent	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0
	Non-Incumbent	100.0	1	100.0	32	100.0	13	100.0	46
	Total	100.0	1	100.0	32	100.0	13	100.0	46
All Candidates	Incumbent	0.0	0	4.3	2	6.7	1	4.8	3
	Non-incumbent	100.0	2	95.7	44	93.3	14	95.2	60
	Total	100.0	2	100.0	46	100.0	15	100.0	63

¹We exclude runoffs from totals.

²Percent may not sum to 100 due to rounding.