
PART 1
VOTE TOTALS, ELECTION OUTCOMES
AND TEXT FOR COUNTY BALLOT MEASURES

TABLE 1.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2005

COUNTY	DATE	MEASURE TITLE	TYPE OF MEASURE	TOPIC OF MEASURE	VOTE IN FAVOR	TOTAL VOTE	PERCENT OF VOTE	PASS OR FAIL
ALAMEDA	No County Measures							
ALPINE	No County Measures							
AMADOR	No County Measures							
BUTTE	No County Measures							
CALAVERAS	6/28/2005	Measure A	Property Tax	Transport: Roads	73	88	83.0%	Pass ^T
		Measure B	Revenue Bond	Transport: Roads	74	88	84.1%	Pass
		Measure C	Property Tax	Transport: Roads	10	12	83.3%	Pass ^T
COLUSA	No County Measures							
CONTRA COSTA	2/15/2005	Measure Z	Property Tax	General Services: Flood Control/Drainage	329	446	73.8%	Pass ^T
	8/30/2005	Measure D	Property Tax	Safety: Police	1,222	1,823	67.0%	Pass ^T
	11/8/2005	Measure S	Gann Limit	General Services	3,383	5,026	67.3%	Pass
DEL NORTE	No County Measures							
EL DORADO	3/8/2005	Measure B	Ordinance	Land Use: Growth Cap/Boundary	23,415	45,969	50.9%	Pass
		Measure C	GO Bond	Facilities: Parks/Recreation	3,504	5,116	68.5%	Pass ^T
		Measure D	Charter Amendment	Transport: Traffic Regulation/Reduction	18,023	45,515	39.6%	Fail
		Measure F	Property Tax	Facilities: Libraries	3,615	4,434	81.5%	Pass ^T
		Measure G	Property Tax	Facilities: Libraries	1,850	2,582	71.6%	Pass ^T
		Measure H	Property Tax	Facilities: Libraries	14,840	22,281	66.6%	Pass ^T
		Measure M	Property Tax	General Services	22,345	40,139	55.7%	Fail ^T
	5/3/2005	Measure E	Miscellaneous Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	36	82	43.9%	Fail ^T
		Measure I1	Miscellaneous Tax	Transport: Roads	34	45	75.6%	Pass ^T
		Measure I2	Property Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	33	45	73.3%	Pass ^T
		Measure I3	Business Tax	Transport: Roads	33	45	73.3%	Pass ^T
		Measure K	Property Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	39	66	59.1%	Fail ^T
	8/2/2005	Measure N	Property Tax	Transport: Roads	17	20	85.0%	Pass ^T
		Measure O	Miscellaneous Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	112	139	80.6%	Pass ^T
	11/8/2005	Measure L	Property Tax	Facilities: Libraries	16,005	28,608	55.9%	Fail ^T
Measure S		Property Tax	General Services: Maintenance	1,386	2,629	52.7%	Fail ^T	
FRESNO	No County Measures							
GLENN	No County Measures							
HUMBOLDT	11/8/2005	Measure R	Property Tax	Land Use: Redevelopment	120	275	43.6%	Fail
		Measure S	Property Tax	Land Use: Redevelopment	55	380	14.5%	Fail
IMPERIAL	6/7/2005	Measure M	Ordinance	General Services: Wastewater/Sewage	112	223	50.2%	Pass
	11/8/2005	Measure P	Advisory	Facilities: Public Works	15,559	19,620	79.3%	Pass

^TIndicates measure required a two-thirds vote to pass. ^FIndicates measure required a 55% vote to pass. All other county measures required a majority vote.

TABLE 1.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2005

COUNTY	DATE	MEASURE TITLE	TYPE OF MEASURE	TOPIC OF MEASURE	VOTE IN FAVOR	TOTAL VOTE	PERCENT OF VOTE	PASS OR FAIL
INYO	No County Measures							
KERN	No County Measures							
KINGS	No County Measures							
LAKE	No County Measures							
LASSEN	No County Measures							
LOS ANGELES	11/8/2005	Measure J	Advisory	Governance: Incorpor./Formation/Annexation	12,799	46,317	27.6%	Fail
		Measure K	Advisory	Governance: Incorpor./Formation/Annexation	10,393	41,536	25.0%	Fail
MADERA	No County Measures							
MARIN	3/8/2005	Measure D	Property Tax	General Services: Maintenance	899	1,267	71.0%	Pass ^T
	11/8/2005	Measure C	Property Tax	General Services: Water	175	198	88.4%	Pass ^T
MARIPOSA	No County Measures							
MENDOCINO	No County Measures							
MERCED	No County Measures							
MODOC	No County Measures							
MONO	11/8/2005	Measure A	Ordinance	Governance: Organization	1,719	2,959	58.1%	Pass
		Measure B	Ordinance	Governance: Organization	1,592	2,996	53.1%	Pass
MONTEREY	11/8/2005	Measure C	Ordinance	Land Use: Voter Approval	18,958	77,864	24.3%	Fail
NAPA	No County Measures							
NEVADA	No County Measures							
ORANGE	11/8/2005	Measure B	Ordinance	Safety	204,125	592,819	34.4%	Fail
		Measure C	Ordinance	Safety	161,530	583,725	27.7%	Fail
		Measure D	Initiative	Safety: Fire	160,711	594,579	27.0%	Fail
		Measure E	Ordinance	Safety	183,130	582,380	31.4%	Fail
PLACER	11/8/2005	Measure H	Advisory	Land Use: Zoning	63,280	104,108	60.8%	Pass
PLUMAS	No County Measures							
RIVERSIDE	No County Measures							
SACRAMENTO	No County Measures							
SAN BENITO	No County Measures							
SAN BERNARDINO	No County Measures							
SAN DIEGO	No County Measures							

TABLE 1.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2005

COUNTY	DATE	MEASURE TITLE	TYPE OF MEASURE	TOPIC OF MEASURE	VOTE IN FAVOR	TOTAL VOTE	PERCENT OF VOTE	PASS OR FAIL
SAN FRANCISCO	11/8/2005	Measure B	GO Bond	Transport: Roads	119,095	211,047	56.4%	Fail ^T
		Measure C	Ordinance	Governance: Organization	81,672	202,640	40.3%	Fail
		Measure D	Ordinance	Governance: Organization	71,585	201,386	35.5%	Fail
		Measure E	Ordinance	Governance: Elections	138,765	197,763	70.2%	Pass
		Measure F	Initiative	Safety: Multiple Emergency Services	119,581	207,643	57.6%	Pass
		Measure G	Ordinance	Transport: Traffic Regulation/Reduction	138,069	203,931	67.7%	Pass
		Measure H	Ordinance	Governance	123,033	212,889	57.8%	Pass
		Measure I	Initiative	Other	125,581	212,304	59.2%	Pass
		SAN JOAQUIN	No County Measures					
SAN LUIS OBISPO	9/27/2005	Measure B-05	Ordinance	General Services: Wastewater/Sewage	3,289	6,558	50.2%	Pass
		Recall C-05	Recall	Governance: Recall	3,391	6,622	51.2%	Pass
		Recall D-05	Recall	Governance: Recall	3,358	6,622	50.7%	Pass
		Recall E-05	Recall	Governance: Recall	3,412	6,627	51.5%	Pass
SAN MATEO	No County Measures							
SANTA BARBARA	No County Measures							
SANTA CLARA	No County Measures							
SANTA CRUZ	5/3/2005	Measure V	Property Tax	Facilities: Parks/Recreation	708	1,129	62.7%	Fail ^T
SHASTA	No County Measures							
SIERRA	11/8/2005	Measure A	Transient Occupancy Tax	Facilities: Parks/Recreation	832	1,396	59.6%	Pass
SISKIYOU	No County Measures							
SOLANO	No County Measures							
SONOMA	11/8/2005	Measure M	Ordinance	Other	73,670	164,328	44.8%	Fail
STANISLAUS	No County Measures							
SUTTER	No County Measures							
TEHAMA	11/8/2005	Measure A	Charter Amendment	Governance: Organization	8,673	14,996	57.8%	Pass
TRINITY	No County Measures							
TULARE	11/8/2005	Measure J	Property Tax	General Services: Maintenance	20	29	69.0%	Pass ^T
TOULUMNE	11/8/2005	Measure L	Ordinance	Environment: Agencies	10,594	18,018	58.8%	Pass
VENTURA	No County Measures							
YOLO	No County Measures							
YUBA	11/8/2005	Measure G	Advisory	Land Use: Zoning	6,714	14,022	47.9%	Fail

TABLE 1.2 TEXT FOR COUNTY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2005

CALAVERAS	6/28/2005	Measure A	Pass (2/3 required)
Shall Resolution No. 05-02 of the Appaloosa Community Services District, establishing a special tax for the following properties: \$75.00 unimproved parcel, \$75.00 improved parcel, for funding construction, opening, widening, extending, straightening, surfacing, and maintaining roads within the District, and for incidental construction and improvements of bridges, culverts, curbs, gutters, drains, and works, be adopted?			
CALAVERAS	6/28/2005	Measure B	Pass
Should the Appaloosa Road Community Services District issue revenue bonds in order to borrow money in an amount not to exceed Three Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$300,000) to be repaid with assessment revenues over a period not to exceed 15 years with an annual interest rate not to exceed six and one-quarter percent (6 1/4%) for purposes of District road improvements and maintenance?			
CALAVERAS	6/28/2005	Measure C	Pass (2/3 required)
Shall Resolution No. 05-06 of the Appaloosa Community Services District, establishing a special tax for the Buckskin Zone in the amount of \$250.00 on unimproved parcel and \$250.00 on improved parcel, for funding for opening, widening, extending, straightening, surfacing, and maintaining roads within the District, and for incidental construction and improvements of bridges, culverts, curbs, gutters, drains, and works, be adopted?			
CONTRA COSTA	2/15/2005	Measure Z	Pass (2/3 required)
Shall the Knightsen Town Community Services District be formed upon as affirmative vote by two-thirds of the voters and become effective July 1, 2005 and include the territory shown in Exhibit A of the Board of Supervisors Resolution and be authorized to levy up to a \$200 annual tax for developed parcels and up to a \$100 annual tax for undeveloped parcels for the purpose of constructing, operating, maintaining, and servicing flood control and water quality improvements?			
CONTRA COSTA	8/30/2005	Measure D	Pass (2/3 required)
Shall Contra Costa County Ordinance 2005-09 be approved to increase the existing parcel tax on County Service Area P-2 (Zone A), to annual maximums of \$280 per parcel for residential property (single and multiple), \$1,680 per parcel for commercial/ industrial/institutional property, and to \$8,400 per parcel for commercial/theater property to provide funding to restore the level of police protection services to 4 officers within that area?			
CONTRA COSTA	11/8/2005	Measure S	Pass
Shall the appropriations limit under California Article XIII-B for County Service Area R-7A (Alamo Parks and Recreation) be increased to \$990,000 and adjusted for changes in the cost-of-living and population, with the increase effective for the Fiscal Years 2006/2007 through 2009/2010 (inclusive) to provide for the expenditure of funds that will be available to the Service Area during the stated fiscal years?			
EL DORADO	3/8/2005	Measure B	Pass
Shall the resolution adopting a general plan for El Dorado County, entitled "Resolution No. 235-2004 of the Board of Supervisors of the County of El Dorado Adopting the El Dorado County 2004 General Plan; a Plan for Managed Growth and Open Roads; a Plan for Quality Neighborhoods and Traffic Relief," be adopted?			
EL DORADO	3/8/2005	Measure C	Pass (2/3 required)
To construct and equip Cameron Park recreational community center, including meeting/classrooms, a youth activity area, facilities for senior meals and programs, recreational and competition pools, gym, exercise/dance room, assembly hall with a theater and stage for community productions, shall Cameron Park Community Services District issue \$8,500,000 of bonds at legal rates and appoint a Citizens' Oversight Committee to maintain financial accountability, prevent waste and ensure that no bond money is used for operating expenses?			
EL DORADO	3/8/2005	Measure D	Fail
Shall Measure D, which would amend the County Charter to add sections: (1) prohibiting the Board of Supervisors from adopting a general plan allowing traffic congestion on Highway 50 west of Placerville to reach level of service F during peak-hour commute periods at build-out using a specified basis for determining traffic capacity, and (2) requiring the Board to postpone approving single-family residential subdivisions of 3 or more parcels until Highway 50 is widened to 8 lanes between Cameron Park and the Sacramento County line, be adopted?			
EL DORADO	3/8/2005	Measure F	Pass (2/3 required)
To maintain library services in the South Lake Tahoe Zone of benefit (Zone F) within County Service Area 10, shall a \$15.00 per library special tax be imposed annually upon all parcels in the Zone to replace the expiring library assessments of \$15, for the sole purpose of providing library service within such zone, commencing in 2005/2006 for a period of ten (10) years, and with annual adjustments not exceeding 3% annually or \$5.00 for the life of the tax?			
EL DORADO	3/8/2005	Measure G	Pass (2/3 required)
To maintain library services in the Georgetown Zone of Benefit (Zone G) within county Service Area 10, shall a \$15.00 per library special tax be imposed annually upon all parcels in the Zone to replace the expiring library assessments of \$12, for the sole purpose of providing library service within such zone, commencing in 2005/2006 for a period of ten (10) years, and with annual adjustments not exceeding 3% annually or \$5.00 for the life of the tax?			
EL DORADO	3/8/2005	Measure H	Pass (2/3 required)
To maintain library services in the Placerville/Pollock Pines Zone of benefit (Zone H) within county Service Area 10, shall a \$15.00 per library special tax be imposed annually upon all parcels in the Zone to replace the expiring library assessments of \$12, for the sole purpose of providing library service within such zone, commencing in 2005/2006 for a period of ten (10) years, and with annual adjustments not exceeding 3% annually or \$5.00 for the life of the tax?			
EL DORADO	3/8/2005	Measure M	Fail (2/3 required)

TABLE 1.2 TEXT FOR COUNTY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2003

Shall an annual special tax of \$5.00 be levied per parcel of real property within County Services Area Number 7 (west slope of El Dorado County), to be assessed and collected with, other property taxes commencing with fiscal year 2005/2006, provided the special tax may be adjusted annually by the California Consumer Price Index at the beginning of each fiscal year, beginning on July 1, 2006, and that the proceeds from the special tax shall be used only for mosquito abatement services?

EL DORADO 5/3/2005 Measure E Fail (2/3 required)
Shall the Cosumnes River Community Services District increase the special tax by \$75.00 with a annual option by the Board of Directors to increase the Special Tax by \$15.00 per year?

EL DORADO 5/3/2005 Measure I1 Pass (2/3 required)
Shall the Nashville Trail Community Service District raise yearly road repair fees from \$200 to \$300?

EL DORADO 5/3/2005 Measure I2 Pass (2/3 required)
Presently, owners with two or more parcels of land do not pay the yearly assessment fee for more than one parcel so long as there are no dwellings on the additional parcels. Shall the Service district discontinue that dispensation and collect yearly fees for all parcels in the District?

EL DORADO 5/3/2005 Measure I3 Pass (2/3 required)
Shall the Service District raise yearly fees from \$200 to \$1000 for properties on which are housed businesses that impact road traffic and are classified and licensed by the County of El Dorado as: Wineries/Breweries (code 707), Riding stables (code 560)?

EL DORADO 5/3/2005 Measure K Fail (2/3 required)
Shall an annual special tax be levied in an amount not more than \$600.00 on each parcel of real property commencing with fiscal year 2005/06 and continuing each year thereafter? Said tax is to be assessed and collected with the El Dorado County General Taxes commencing with the forthcoming 2005/06 fiscal year and continuing each year thereafter, and shall be used only for those purposes authorized by the zone of benefit under County Services Area law.

EL DORADO 8/2/2005 Measure N Pass (2/3 required)
Shall the special tax of the West El Largo Community Services District be established at \$150 per unimproved and \$300 per improved parcel to provide funds for road maintenance and repaving, and liability insurance for the West El Largo Community Service District?

EL DORADO 8/2/2005 Measure O Pass (2/3 required)
Shall the Rising Hill Road Community Service District increase the Special Tax by \$100.00 with three year annual option by the Board of Directors to increase the Special Tax by \$50.00 per year and in addition increase the District's spending limit in an amount equal to the increase in the special tax?

EL DORADO 11/8/2005 Measure L Fail (2/3 required)
Shall a special tax be levied on real property within County Services Area 10, Zone H, to fund library services within Zone H, including the Placerville and Pollock Pines libraries, commencing in fiscal year 2006/2007 and expiring after 15 years, at annual rates (increasing by the Consumer Price Index up to 3% annually): \$15 per parcel of improved property (excluding churches, cemeteries and schools), vacant residential property up to 2.5 acres, and vacant multi-residential property; \$12 per residence for parcels with multiple residences (excluding time shares); \$7.50 per mobile home for mobile home parks?

EL DORADO 11/8/2005 Measure S Fail (2/3 required)
Shall the current \$20.00 annual benefit assessment imposed to fund snow removal services within the County Service Area No. 3 be replaced by a \$50.00 annual special tax upon all improved parcels, with specified exceptions, within the Zone commencing in the fiscal year 2006/2007, to be used exclusively for maintaining snow removal services?

HUMBOLDT 11/8/2005 Measure R Fail
Should Manila Community Services District territory be included in the County of Humboldt's Redevelopment Zone, thereby diverting for 45 years a portion of the District future property tax revenues to finance the County's redevelopment projects?

HUMBOLDT 11/8/2005 Measure S Fail
Should Redway Community Services District territory be included in the County of Humboldt's Redevelopment Zone, thereby diverting for 45 years a portion of the District future property tax revenues to finance the County's redevelopment projects?

IMPERIAL 6/7/2005 Measure M Pass
Shall Ordinance No. 2005-1-1 of the Salton Community Services District regarding the management and disposition of the Sewer Construction Fund be adopted?

IMPERIAL 11/8/2005 Measure P Pass
(ADVISORY) Do you support the placement of a new regional international airport in the County of Imperial to replace or augment those services provided by the San Diego International Airport?

LOS ANGELES 11/8/2005 Measure J Fail

TABLE 1.2 TEXT FOR COUNTY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2005

(ADVISORY) Do you support the formation of a new Antelope Valley Flood Control District, which would take three to four years to form at an ongoing average annual property assessment currently estimated to be approximately \$150 to \$200 per lot?

LOS ANGELES 11/8/2005 Measure K Fail
(ADVISORY) Do you support the annexation of Antelope Valley to the existing Los Angeles County Flood Control District, which would take two to three years to annex at an ongoing average annual property assessment currently estimated to be approximately \$125 to \$175 per lot?

MARIN 3/7/2005 Measure D Pass (2/3 required)
Shall Marinwood Community Services District Ordinance 16, which imposes an annual special tax of one hundred and fifty (\$150.00) dollars on each improved parcel within Marinwood Community Services District for park, open spaces and street landscape maintenance, and which allows for annual cost of living adjustment to the special tax, be approved and shall the Marinwood Community Services District appropriations limit be increased by the amount of this voter-approved tax?

MARIN 11/8/2005 Measure C Pass (2/3 required)
Shall Ordinance 2005-1 of the Muir Beach Community Services District levying a special tax annually for a period of four (4) years, commencing FY 2006-2007, terminating in FY 2010-2011, in the amount of \$3,250.00 per parcel for improved commercially zoned property, \$300.00 per separate habitable structure on parcels containing residential property and \$300.00 per parcel on all other parcels be adopted to provide funds for water capital improvements as specified in the Ordinance?

MONO 11/8/2005 Measure A Pass
Shall the county ordinance entitled The Fiscal Office Consolidation Act (consolidating the currently elective county offices of Auditor-Controller and Treasurer-Tax Collector into a new appointive county office of Director of Finance) be approved and enacted?

MONO 11/8/2005 Measure B Pass
Shall the county office of Clerk-Recorder become appointive instead of elective?

MONTEREY 11/8/2005 Measure C Fail
Shall Resolution No. 04-421 amending the Monterey County General Plan and the Greater Salinas Area Plan, including amending the Rancho San Juan Area of Development Concentration Development Guidelines and Principles, be approved?

ORANGE 11/8/2005 Measure B Fail
Shall the ordinance requiring that the County's Proposition 172 sales tax revenues be allocated by the County only to eligible public safety services, defined as public safety services provided by the County of Orange, specifically the County Probation Department, including its juvenile hall detention facilities and its adult and juvenile offenders' monitoring programs; the County Sheriff-Coroner, including its adult correctional facilities, investigative divisions, specialized patrol functions and coroner investigation teams; the County District Attorney, including its prosecution and investigative units, and County lifeguards, be adopted?

ORANGE 11/8/2005 Measure C Fail
Shall the ordinance shifting a portion of the County's Proposition 172 sales tax revenues from the County Sheriff-Coroner and District Attorney to the Orange County Homeland Security Infrastructure Fund and establishing the Homeland Security Infrastructure Oversight Committee, as described herein: commencing in fiscal year 2006-2007, \$10 million would be allocated to the Homeland Security Infrastructure Fund ("Homeland Security Fund"); in fiscal year 2009- 2010 and every fiscal year thereafter, the County's \$10 million allocation to the Homeland Security Fund will increase or decrease by the same percentage as the prior fiscal year's increase or decrease in the amount of Proposition 172 sales tax revenues the County receives that is not allocated to cities ("County's Share"); this ordinance would create the Homeland Security Infrastructure Fund Oversight Committee, consisting of the County Sheriff, County District Attorney, Board of Supervisor's Chairman, Orange County Fire Chiefs' Association President and Orange County Police Chiefs' and Sheriffs' Association President; the Oversight Committee will submit to the County an annual recommendation for allocation of the Homeland Security Fund to public safety service providers authorized by California law to receive those funds; the remainder of the County's Proposition 172 funds may be allocated by the County to eligible public safety services as defined by the ordinance to mean those provided by the County of Orange, specifically the County Probation Department, including its juvenile hall detention facilities and its adult and juvenile offenders' monitoring programs; the County Sheriff-Coroner, including its adult correctional facilities, investigative divisions, specialized patrol functions and coroner investigation teams; the County District Attorney, including its prosecution and investigative units, and County lifeguards, be adopted?

ORANGE 11/8/2005 Measure D Fail
(INITIATIVE) Shall the ordinance shifting a portion of the County's Proposition 172 sales tax revenues from the County Sheriff-Coroner and District Attorney to the Orange County Fire Authority ("OCFA"), a joint powers agency serving 43% of the County's population in 22 of 34 cities and unincorporated territory, utilizing the following formula: the amount of Proposition 172 sales tax revenues the County receives that is not allocated to cities ("County's Share") in fiscal year 2004-2005 ("Base Year Amount") would be subtracted from the County's Share, beginning with the 2005-2006 fiscal year; if the resulting figure is a positive number, 50% of that amount would be allocated to OCFA, and 50% plus the Base Year Amount would be allocated to the County for allocation to public safety providers as provided by California law; however, for any year that the Base Year Amount is greater than the County's Share, OCFA would not be entitled to receive any of the County Share; the allocation formula would change once the amount paid to OCFA in any fiscal year equals 10% of the County's Share; thereafter, 10% of the County's Share would be allocated to OCFA, and 90% would be available for allocation by the County to public safety providers as provided by California law, be adopted?

ORANGE 11/8/2005 Measure E Fail

TABLE 1.2 TEXT FOR COUNTY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2003

Shall the ordinance shifting a portion of the County's Proposition 172 sales tax revenues from the County Sheriff-Coroner and District Attorney to the County Probation Department, utilizing the following formula: commencing with the 2005-2006 fiscal year and for each fiscal year thereafter, 5% of the County's share of Proposition 172 sales tax revenues during each such fiscal year will be allocated to the Probation Department and the remaining 95% would be available for allocation by the County to public safety service providers authorized by California law to receive those funds, be adopted?

PLACER 11/8/2005 Measure H Pass
(ADVISORY) Should Placer County designate 1,136 acres adjacent to the Western boundary of Roseville as the location for a new private, accredited four-year university and community, provided that no taxpayer funds are used to build the university, all provisions of the California Environmental Quality Act are followed during all phases of the project, and that the Board of Supervisors reserves its authority to implement or amend the Placer County General Plan and development policies?

SAN FRANCISCO 11/8/2005 Measure B Fail (2/3 required)
Shall the city incur \$208,000,000 of bonded indebtedness to finance sheet reconstruction, pavement renovation, disabled access curb ramp construction, sidewalk and street improvements, street structure rehabilitation and improvements, pedestrian safety street and signal improvements, street improvements for bicycle use and all other structures and improvements necessary or convenient for the foregoing purposes?

SAN FRANCISCO 11/8/2005 Measure C Fail
Shall the City create a new budget process for the Ethics Commission that alters the role of the Mayor, and authorize the Commission to hire outside counsel under certain circumstances?

SAN FRANCISCO 11/8/2005 Measure D Fail
Shall the President of the Board of Supervisors nominate three members and the Mayor nominate four members to the Municipal Transportation Agency Board of Directors, and shall the members be prevented from serving as "hold overs"?

SAN FRANCISCO 11/8/2005 Measure E Pass
Shall the election date of the Assessor-Recorder and Public Defender be moved from the Statewide Primary election in June to the municipal election the following November?

SAN FRANCISCO 11/8/2005 Measure F Pass
(INITIATIVE) Shall the City maintain and operate all 42 firehouses and specific emergency and rescue vehicles and equipment at the same levels that were used on January 1, 2004?

SAN FRANCISCO 11/8/2005 Measure G Pass
May the underground parking garage in Golden Gate Park have one entrance-exit inside the Park without dedicated access lanes that lead outside the Park, and shall the number of lanes of automobiles traffic in parts of the Park be limited?

SAN FRANCISCO 11/8/2005 Measure H Pass
Shall the City ban the manufacture, distribution, sale and transfer of firearms and ammunition within San Francisco, and ban City residents from possessing handguns within San Francisco?

SAN FRANCISCO 11/8/2005 Measure I Pass
(INITIATIVE) Shall it be City policy to oppose military recruiting in public schools and consider funding scholarships for education and training that could provide an alternative to military service?

SAN LUIS OBISPO 9/27/2005 Measure B-05 Pass
Shall an ordinance of the Los Osos Community Services District be approved to amend the District Code relating to the siting of certain sewer and wastewater treatment facilities?

SAN LUIS OBISPO 9/27/2005 Recall C-05 Pass
Shall STAN GUSTAFSON be recalled (removed) from the office of Director of the Los Osos Community Services District?

SAN LUIS OBISPO 9/27/2005 Recall D-05 Pass
Shall GORDON HENSLEY be recalled (removed) from the office of Director of the Los Osos Community Services District?

SAN LUIS OBISPO 9/27/2005 Recall E-05 Pass
Shall RICHARD LEGROS be recalled (removed) from the office of Director of the Los Osos Community Services District?

SANTA CRUZ 5/3/2005 Measure V Fail (2/3 required)
Special Tax to Support Parks, Recreation, and Cultural Facilities in County Service Area No. 11, McGregor Community Zone of Benefit. Shall the County of Santa Cruz be authorized to acquire and develop the McGregor Property for park property, and to operate and maintain such park property to the extent funds are

TABLE 1.2 TEXT FOR COUNTY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2005

available, for children, adults, and seniors in County Service Area No. 11, McGregor Community Zone of Benefit, by levying a special tax of \$98.00 per residential unit effective July 1, 2005 through June 20, 2035?

SONOMA 11/8/2005 Measure M Fail
 Shall the "Sonoma County Genetically Engineered Organism Nuisance Abatement Ordinance" which would, for at least for the next ten years: (1) prohibit the raising, growing, propagation, cultivation, sale, or distribution of most genetically engineered organisms; (2) require the Sonoma County Agricultural Commissioner to enforce the ordinance by, after a hearing, confiscating and destroying the genetically engineered organisms; and (3) provide for fines for each violation, be adopted?

TEHAMA 11/8/2005 Measure A Pass
 Shall the Tehama County Charter be amended, effective January 8, 2007 to: (1) separate the elected office of Tehama County Public Administrator from elected offices of Sheriff and Coroner; (2) make the office of Tehama County Public Administrator an appointed office; and (3) consolidate the office of Tehama County Public Administrator with the office of Tehama County Public Guardian?

TOULUMNE 11/8/2005 Measure L Pass
 Shall the order adopted June 27, 2005 by Local Agency Formation Commission Tuolumne County Ordering formation of Tuolumne County Resource Conservation District, funded by grants, donations, and fees for service, to manage conservation projects including soil/water conservation projects, wildlife habitat enhancement, weed control, watershed restoration, conservation planning, forest and rangeland stewardship, and education be approved, subject to the terms and conditions specified in the order, including nine Directors appointed by the Board of Supervisors and the City Council?

TULARE 11/8/2005 Measure J Pass (2/3 required)
 Ponderosa Community Services District Snow Removal Measure. Shall the Ponderosa Community Service be permitted to increase, on an annual basis starting in the 2006-2007 fiscal year, the annual snow removal fee paid by each District property owner, in accordance with the Los Angeles Engineering News Record as set forth in the May edition of each year, in order for the District to maintain its ability to continue to provide and maintain snow removal service?

YUBA 11/8/2005 Measure G Fail
 (ADVISORY) Should a destination resort/hotel and American Indian gaming casino be located within the sports/entertainment zone on Forty Mile Road in the County of Yuba?

TABLE 1.3 SUMMARY OF OUTCOMES FOR COUNTY BALLOT MEASURES BY TYPE OF MEASURE AND COUNTY, 2005

	TAXES		BONDS		CHARTER AMENDMENT		ADVISORY		INITIATIVE		RECALL		GANN LIMIT		ORDINANCE		ALL COUNTY MEASURES		
	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	TOTAL
Calaveras	2	0	1	0													3	0	3
Contra Costa	2	0											1	0			3	0	3
El Dorado	8	5	1	0	0	1									1	0	10	6	16
Humboldt	0	2															0	2	2
Imperial							1	0							1	0	2	0	2
Los Angeles							0	2									0	2	2
Marin	2	0															2	0	2
Mono															2	0	2	0	2
Monterey															0	1	0	1	1
Orange									0	1					0	3	0	4	4
Placer							1	0									1	0	1
San Francisco			0	1					2	0					3	2	5	3	8
San Luis Obispo											3	0			1	0	4	0	4
Santa Cruz	0	1															0	1	1
Sierra	1	0															1	0	1
Sonoma															0	1	0	1	1
Tehama					1	0											1	0	1
Tuolumne															1	0	1	0	1
Tulare	1	0															1	0	1
Yuba							0	1									0	1	1
All Counties	16	8	2	1	1	1	2	3	2	1	3	0	1	0	9	7	36	21	57

TABLE 1.4 SUMMARY OF ELECTION OUTCOMES FOR COUNTY BALLOT MEASURES BY TOPIC OF MEASURE AND COUNTY, 2005

	LAND USE		PUB SAFETY		GOVERNANCE		ENVIRONMENT		TRANSPORTATION		PUBLIC FACILITIES		GENERAL SERVICES		REVENUE		OTHER		ALL COUNTY MEASURES		
	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	TOTAL
Calaveras									3	0									3	0	3
Contra Costa			1	0									2	0					3	0	3
El Dorado	1	0							3	1	4	1	1	2	2	2			10	6	16
Humboldt	0	2																	0	2	2
Imperial													2	0					2	0	2
Los Angeles					0	2													0	2	2
Marin																			2	0	2
Mono					2	0													2	0	2
Monterey	0	1																	0	1	1
Orange			0	4															0	4	4
Placer	1	0																	1	0	1
San Francisco			1	0	2	2			1	1	1	1					1	0	5	3	8
San Luis Obispo					3	0							1	0					4	0	4
Santa Cruz					1	0													0	1	1
Sierra											1	0							1	0	1
Sonoma																	0	1	0	1	1
Tehama																			1	0	1
Tuolumne							1	0											1	0	1
Tulare													1	0					1	0	1
Yuba	0	1																	0	1	1
All Counties	2	4	2	4	8	4	1	0	7	2	6	2	7	2	2	2	1	1	36	21	57

PART 2

VOTE TOTALS FOR
COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2005

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	Dis- TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED
ALAMEDA	No County Contests												
ALPINE	No County Contests												
AMADOR	No County Contests												
BUTTE	No County Contests												
CALAVERAS	No County Contests												
COLUSA	No County Contests												
CONTRA COSTA	2/15/2005	DIRECTOR, Knightsen Town CSD ^P		Full	Stacy	Ron	Retired	No	5	294	1,407	20.9%	Yes
					Hines	Jerry G.	Semi-retired Construction Worker	No	5	287	1,407	20.4%	Yes
					Simas, Jr.	Alvin J.	Local Business Owner	No	5	284	1,407	20.2%	Yes
					Weekes	Linda	Local Business Owner	No	5	277	1,407	19.7%	Yes
					Freeman	Niles Owen	Self Employed	No	5	265	1,407	18.8%	Yes
DEL NORTE	No County Contests												
EL DORADO	11/8/2005	COUNTY SUPERVISOR	5	Full	Santiago	Norma	Healthcare Administrator	No	4	2,138	6,323	33.8%	Yes
					Crabb	Dennis	City Attorney	No	4	1,921	6,323	30.4%	No
					Pierini	Lou J.	Business Owner	No	4	1,813	6,323	28.7%	No
					Reinhard	Stephen C.	Business Owner	No	4	451	6,323	7.1%	No
		DIRECTOR, Cosumnes River CSD			Montez	Dominic	Incumbent	Yes	4	86	252	34.1%	Yes
					Johansing	David	Attorney	No	4	69	252	27.4%	Yes
					Howe	Ripley	Journalist	No	4	50	252	19.8%	Yes
					Juliff	Michael F.	Engineer	No	4	47	252	18.7%	No
		DIRECTOR, Fallen Leaf Lake CSD			Kraft	Mike	Senior Project Manager	No	5	68	219	31.1%	Yes
					Bates	Lesley A.	Caretaker/Carpenter	No	5	67	219	30.6%	Yes
					Stephens	Sara Callander	Homemaker	No	5	37	219	16.9%	No
					Ramsey	Linda L.	Incumbent	Yes	5	36	219	16.4%	No
					Neidig	Stephanie	College Student	No	5	11	219	5.0%	No
		DIRECTOR, Grizzly Flats CSD			Englefield	Richard L.	Retired Facility manager	No	4	229	751	30.5%	Yes
					Dawdy	Richard	Appointed Incumbent	Yes	4	217	751	28.9%	Yes
					Strauss	Fred A.	Incumbent	Yes	4	189	751	25.2%	Yes
Cherry	Donald		Retired Mechanics/Bartender	No	4	116	751	15.4%	No				
FRESNO	11/8/2005		DIRECTOR, Easton CSD		Full	Gardner	Josh	Optometrist	No	5	187	733	25.5%
Nielsen		Scott				Teacher	No	5	160	733	21.8%	Yes	
Ramirez		Orlando B.				Associate Planner	No	5	140	733	19.1%	Yes	
Archuleta		Lucky J.				Appointed Incumbent	Yes	5	132	733	18.0%	No	
Koop		Richard R.				Retired/Engineer	No	5	111	733	15.1%	No	
GLENN	No County Contests												

¹Write-in candidate votes, when reported by the county, have been included in the total votes cast. For these contests, the sum of the candidate votes is less than the total votes cast.

^PProposed Community Service District.

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2005

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED					
HUMBOLDT	11/8/2005	DIRECTOR, Humboldt CSD		Full	Bongio	Alan	Incumbent	Yes	3	4,061	8,469	48.0%	Yes					
					Frost	Verne	Incumbent	Yes	3	3,242	8,469	38.3%	Yes					
					Day	Charles I.	Incumbent, Developer, Landlord	Yes	3	1,137	8,469	13.4%	No					
		DIRECTOR, Manila CSD				Full	Petti	Tara	Natural Resource Planner	No	4	132	406	32.5%	Yes			
							McDaniels	Charles	Appointed Incumbent	Yes	4	120	406	29.6%	Yes			
							Weaver	Wilathi	Distribution Manager	No	4	83	406	20.4%	No			
							Wilder	Olivia Snow	Fiscal Assistant	No	4	68	406	16.7%	No			
		DIRECTOR, McKinleyville CSD				Full	Dunk	Jeff	University Lecturer, Ecologist	No	7	2,277	10,535	21.6%	Yes			
							Wennerholm	Bill	Incumbent, Chiropractor	Yes	7	2,104	10,535	20.0%	Yes			
							Reid	Javan	Minister	No	7	1,869	10,535	17.7%	Yes			
							Mayo	Dennis	Livestock, Farm Production	No	7	1,842	10,535	17.5%	No			
							Fritz	Jim	Retired	No	7	1,130	10,535	10.7%	No			
							Scherer	Jim	Plumbing Contractor	No	7	880	10,535	8.4%	No			
							Christopher	Jaime	Retail Sales	No	7	417	10,535	4.0%	No			
		DIRECTOR, Ruth Lake CSD				Full	Fleming	Lorin Wayne	Incumbent	Yes	4	14	49	28.6%	Yes			
							Frasier	Russ	Incumbent	Yes	4	13	49	26.5%	Yes			
							Canale	Steve	Incumbent	Yes	4	11	49	22.4%	No			
							Branham	Kathleen J.	Business Owner	No	4	11	49	22.4%	No			
							Short	Jeans	Rick	Incumbent	Yes	2	13	21	61.9%	Yes		
							Davis	John R.	No Ballot Designation	No	2	8	21	38.1%	No			
		IMPERIAL	11/8/2005	DIRECTOR, Salton CSD		Full	Rouhe, MD	Stanley	Physician	No	11	147	856	17.2%	Yes			
Barrett	James G.						Journeyman Electrician	No	11	107	856	12.5%	Yes					
Urbanoski	David J.						Incumbent	Yes	11	107	856	12.5%	Yes					
Kent	Frank A.						Incumbent	Yes	11	99	856	11.6%	No					
Bigelow	Judson Allen						Businessman	No	11	82	856	9.6%	No					
Erskine	E. David "Dave"						Retired	No	11	81	856	9.5%	No					
Kariotis	John						Building Inspector	No	11	61	856	7.1%	No					
Nuyen	Sherrie						Firefighter, EMS Minister	No	11	51	856	6.0%	No					
Frayre	Paula T.						Businesswoman	No	11	44	856	5.1%	No					
Olivarez	Lorenzo						Business Owner	No	11	41	856	4.8%	No					
Kach	Mary						Community Volunteer	No	11	36	856	4.2%	No					
INYO	No County Contests																	
KERN	No County Contests																	
KINGS	11/8/2005	DIRECTOR, Home Garden CSD		Full	Reed	Harold	Incumbent	Yes	4	89	300	29.7%	Yes					
					Curry	Haywood	Appointed Incumbent	Yes	4	76	300	25.3%	Yes					
					Ruff	Daniel	Appointed Incumbent	Yes	4	74	300	24.7%	No					
					Payne, Jr.	Ike	Landlord	No	4	61	300	20.3%	No					

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2005

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	Dis- TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED
LAKE	No County Contests												
LASSEN	No County Contests												
LOS ANGELES	No County Contests												
MADERA	No County Contests												
MARIN	11/8/2005	DIRECTOR, Marin City CSD		Full	Harris-Green	Terrie	Incumbent	Yes	4	378	1,198	31.6%	Yes
					Atkins	Melvin	Incumbent	Yes	4	329	1,198	27.5%	Yes
					Fisher	Robert E.	Retired Engineer	No	4	279	1,198	23.3%	Yes
					Ashby	Karen Elaine	Community Volunteer	No	4	198	1,198	16.5%	No
		DIRECTOR, Marinwood CSD		Full	Mitchell	Dave	Incumbent	Yes	3	1,278	3,295	38.8%	Yes
					Anderson	Bruce A.	Appointed Incumbent	Yes	3	1,242	3,295	37.7%	Yes
					Roach	Katie	Teacher	No	3	762	3,295	23.1%	No
		DIRECTOR, Tamalpais CSD		Full	Jacobs	Jim	Appointed Incumbent	Yes	4	1,391	3,392	41.0%	Yes
					Johnson	Rick D.	Appointed Incumbent	Yes	4	1,205	3,392	35.5%	Yes
					Smith	Clayton	No Ballot Designation	No	4	617	3,392	18.2%	No
					Hasson	Thomas	No Ballot Designation	No	4	145	3,392	4.3%	No
		MARIPOSA	11/8/2005	DIRECTOR, Lake Don Pedro CSD		Full	Ross	Emery	Rancher	Yes	4	226	727
Punte	Sally						No Ballot Designation	No	4	176	727	24.2%	Yes
Walz	Peggy						Incumbent	Yes	4	164	727	22.6%	No
Collins	Cliff						Incumbent	Yes	4	161	727	22.1%	No
MENDOCINO	11/8/2005	DIRECTOR, Gualala CSD		Full	Adshade	Patricia J.	Appointed Incumbent	Yes	4	156	515	30.3%	Yes
					Derbin	James	Water/Wastewater Manager	No	4	128	515	24.9%	Yes
					Casey	Ron	Business Manager	No	4	119	515	23.1%	Yes
					Olson	Leslie H.	No Ballot Designation	No	4	112	515	21.7%	No
		DIRECTOR, Potter Valley CSD		Full	Bradbrook	Mike	Director, GCSD	No	2	153	242	63.2%	Yes
					Harris	Wayne Gordon	Business Owner	No	2	89	242	36.8%	No
					Harvey	Betty	Incumbent	Yes	3	317	839	37.8%	Yes
					Larsen	Kim R.	Retired Firefighter	No	3	314	839	37.4%	Yes
MERCED	11/8/2005	DIRECTOR, Le Grand CSD		Full	Ramirez-Bush	Felicia	Teacher	No	4	114	317	36.0%	Yes
					Hays	Evelyn J.	Incumbent	Yes	4	89	317	28.1%	Yes
					King	E. C.	No Ballot Designation	No	4	56	317	17.7%	No
					Walker	Verna	No Ballot Designation	No	4	54	317	17.0%	No
MODOC	No County Contests												
MONO	No County Contests												
MONTEREY	11/8/2005	DIRECTOR, Pebble Beach CSD		Full	Verhasselt	Gerald	No Ballot Designation	Yes	3	1,315	3,092	42.5%	Yes
					Froke	Jeffrey B.	Appointed Incumbent	Yes	3	1,107	3,092	35.8%	Yes
					Rhee	Steve D.	Patient Transporter	No	3	670	3,092	21.7%	No

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2005

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED
NAPA	No County Contests												
NEVADA	No County Contests												
ORANGE	No County Contests												
PLACER	No County Contests												
PLUMAS	No County Contests												
RIVERSIDE	No County Contests												
SACRAMENTO	No County Contests												
SAN BENITO	No County Contests												
SAN BERNARDINO	11/8/2005	DIRECTOR, Big Bear City CSD		Full	Newsome	Jeff	Highway Patrol Sergeant	Yes	9	1,423	7,774	18.3%	Yes
					Day	John	Retired	No	9	1,032	7,774	13.3%	Yes
					McDonald	Marge	Retired	Yes	9	1,029	7,774	13.2%	Yes
					Cartwright	Bob	Retired	Yes	9	947	7,774	12.2%	No
					Proffer	Denise	Retired	No	9	826	7,774	10.6%	No
					Ziegler	Al M.	Small Business Owner	No	9	752	7,774	9.7%	No
					Krueger	Bernadine "Bernie"	Business Owner	No	9	752	7,774	9.7%	No
					Nelson	Rick	Business Owner	No	9	554	7,774	7.1%	No
					Johnson	Del	Retired, Management Consultant	No	9	453	7,774	5.8%	No
		DIRECTOR, Lake Arrowhead CSD	Full		Dickinson	Mary Ann	Water Organization Manager	No	10	1,853	11,540	16.1%	Yes
				Goss	Geoffery K.	Deputy District Attorney	No	10	1,650	11,540	14.3%	Yes	
				Sievers	Stan	Appointed Incumbent	No	10	1,447	11,540	12.5%	Yes	
				Hannabach	Paul F.	Attorney	No	10	1,382	11,540	12.0%	No	
				Proctor	Charles W.	Retired	No	10	1,343	11,540	11.6%	No	
				Roberts	Bill	Business Consultant	No	10	1,262	11,540	10.9%	No	
				Clark	Ross S.	Public Administrator	No	10	908	11,540	7.9%	No	
				Xander	Peter F.	Environmental Consultant	No	10	674	11,540	5.8%	No	
				Campbell	Hugh P.	Aerospace Engineer	No	10	574	11,540	5.0%	No	
				Stuart	David W.	Non-Profit Executive	No	10	433	11,540	3.8%	No	
				DIRECTOR, Morongo Valley CSD	Full		Brewer	Richard R.	Accountant	No	5	504	1,920
	Swarat	Gayl	Sign and Graphic Artist			No	5	433	1,920	22.6%	Yes		
	Goldberg	Carol	Occupational Therapist			Yes	5	386	1,920	20.1%	Yes		
	Norton	Jim	Water Distribution Operations			No	5	341	1,920	17.8%	No		
	Churchwell	Ronald W.	Retired			No	5	246	1,920	12.8%	No		
SAN DIEGO	No County Contests												

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2005

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED		
SAN FRANCISCO	11/8/2005	ASSESSOR-RECORDER		Full	Ting	Phil	Former Chief Deputy Assessor-Recorder	No	3	92,729	195,501	47.4%	Yes		
					Sandoval	Gerardo	Member of Board of Supervisors	No	3	70,686	195,501	36.2%	No		
					Chun	Ronald	Assessor-Recorder	Yes	3	32,068	195,501	16.4%	No		
		CITY ATTORNEY			Full	Herrera	Dennis	City Attorney	Yes	1	182,034	185,607	98.1%	Yes	
		TREASURER			Full	Cisneros	Jose	Appointed Treasurer	Yes	4	107,632	175,398	61.4%	Yes	
					Louie	Calvin	Certified Public Accountant	No	4	43,020	175,398	24.5%	No		
					Wang	Isaac	Financial Advisor, Analyst	No	4	16,054	175,398	9.2%	No		
					Valle	Manuel B.	Accounting Manager	No	4	8,692	175,398	5.0%	No		
					SAN JOAQUIN No County Contests										
SAN LUIS OBISPO	9/27/2005	DIRECTOR, Los Osos CSD ^R	C-05	Short	Fouche	John H.	Professional Civil Engineer	No	3	3,229	3,759	85.9%	Yes		
					Barrow	Al	Retired	No	3	289	3,759	7.7%	No		
					Duggan	David B.	Sound Technician	No	3	96	3,759	2.6%	No		
					D-05	Short	Cesna	Chuck	Environmental Planner	No	1	3,496	3,655	95.6%	Yes
					E-05	Short	Senet	Steve	Professional Civil Engineer	No	1	3,545	3,703	95.7%	Yes
					11/8/2005	DIRECTOR, California Valley CSD			Full	Guide	Sal	Incumbent	Yes	5	59
	Frazier	Prince	Attorney	No						5	36	168	21.4%	Yes	
						Legaspi	Ruth J.	Appointed Incumbent	Yes	5	26	168	15.5%	No	
					Nolen	Pati	Land Surveyor	No	5	19	168	11.3%	No		
					Neal	Michael	Self Employed	No	5	7	168	4.2%	No		
SAN MATEO No County Contests															
SANTA BARBARA No County Contests															
SANTA CLARA No County Contests															
SANTA CRUZ No County Contests															
SHASTA No County Contests															
SIERRA No County Contests															
SISKIYOU No County Contests															
SOLANO No County Contests															
SONOMA No County Contests															
STANISLAUS No County Contests															
SUTTER No County Contests															
TEHAMA No County Contests															
TRINITY	11/8/2005	DIRECTOR, Lewiston CSD		Full	Covington	Tom	Business Owner	No	4	287	984	29.2%	Yes		
					Ryan	Joelyn	Incumbent	Yes	4	268	984	27.2%	Yes		
					Deardorff	Mel	Incumbent	Yes	4	246	984	25.0%	Yes		
					Kasper	Joe	Artist	No	4	177	984	18.0%	No		

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2005

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED
<i>^RTo be elected if recall measure passes.</i>													
TRINITY (continued)	11/8/2005	DIRECTOR, Ruth Lake CSD		Full	Frasier	Russell	Incumbent	Yes	4	206	757	27.2%	Yes
					Canale	Steve	Incumbent	Yes	4	200	757	26.4%	Yes
					Fleming	Lorin	Incumbent	Yes	4	182	757	24.0%	Yes
					Branham	Kathleen	Business Owner	No	4	147	757	19.4%	No
				Short	Jeans	Richard	Incumbent	Yes	2	175	279	62.7%	Yes
				Davis	John	No Ballot Designation	No	2	94	279	33.7%	No	
TULARE	11/8/2005	DIRECTOR, Richgrove CSD		Full	Ortega	Jesse	No Ballot Designation	Yes	5	113	502	22.5%	Yes
					Cervantes	Cervando	No Ballot Designation	Yes	5	105	502	20.9%	Yes
					Velasquez	Joey	No Ballot Designation	Yes	5	103	502	20.5%	Yes
					Martinez	Juan	Mechanic	No	5	93	502	18.5%	No
					Rodriguez	Rose B.	Secretary	No	5	86	502	17.1%	No
		DIRECTOR, Teviston CSD		Full	McKinney	Gladys	Incumbent	Yes	5	16	57	28.1%	Yes
					Jones	Willie	No Ballot Designation	Yes	5	14	57	24.6%	Yes
					Dukes	William Ray	General Engineering Contractor	Yes	5	13	57	22.8%	Yes
					Galaviz	Frank Francisco	Incumbent	No	5	8	57	14.0%	No
					Johnson	Oscar	No Ballot Designation	No	5	6	57	10.5%	No
		Short	Littles	Sheri Ellis	Teviston District Secretary	No	2	18	24	75.0%	Yes		
		Munoz	Maria A.	No Ballot Designation	No	2	6	24	25.0%	No			
		DIRECTOR, Tipton CSD		Full	Stover	Jerry L.	No Ballot Designation	Yes	4	90	329	27.4%	Yes
					McKay	Janice	Incumbent	Yes	4	90	329	27.4%	Yes
					Hunt, Sr.	Steven	Incumbent	Yes	4	86	329	26.1%	Yes
Perry	Loretta				No Ballot Designation	No	4	61	329	18.5%	No		
TUOLUMNE	11/8/2005	DIRECTOR, Groveland CSD		Full	Lennen	Dick	Retired Businessman/Teacher	No	6	765	3,871	19.8%	Yes
					Allegri	Gus	Retired Contractor	No	6	675	3,871	17.4%	Yes
					Johnson	Wes	Retired Law Enforcement	No	6	625	3,871	16.1%	Yes
					Marler	Gloria	School Board Trustee	No	6	610	3,871	15.8%	No
					Norris	Jennifer N.	Incumbent	Yes	6	608	3,871	15.7%	No
					Myers	Donald B.	Incumbent	Yes	6	581	3,871	15.0%	No
VENTURA	No County Contests												
YOLO	No County Contests												
YUBA	No County Contests												

TABLE 2.2 SUMMARY OF ELECTION OUTCOMES FOR COUNTY OFFICES, 2005

		County Supervisor		Director, CSD*		District Attorney		Assessor-Recorder		Treasurer		Total	
		Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N
		Incumbent Candidates	Win	0.0	0	78.6	44	100.0	1	50.0	1	100.0	1
	Lose	0.0	0	21.4	12	0.0	0	50.0	1	0.0	0	22.0	13
	Total	0.0	0	100.0	56	100.0	1	100.0	2	100.0	1	100.0	59
Non-Incumbent Candidates	Win	25.0	1	40.4	40	0.0	0	50.0	1	0.0	0	38.9	42
	Lose	75.0	3	59.6	59	0.0	0	50.0	1	100.0	3	61.1	66
	Total	100.0	4	100.0	99	0.0	0	100.0	2	100.0	3	100.0	108
Winning Candidates	Incumbent	0.0	0	52.4	44	100.0	1	0.0	0	100.0	1	52.3	46
	Non-Incumbent	100.0	1	47.6	40	0.0	0	100.0	1	0.0	0	47.7	42
	Total	100.0	1	100.0	84	100.0	1	100.0	1	100.0	1	100.0	88
Losing Candidates	Incumbent	0.0	0	16.9	12	0.0	0	50.0	1	0.0	0	16.5	13
	Non-Incumbent	100.0	3	83.1	59	0.0	0	50.0	1	100.0	3	83.5	66
	Total	100.0	3	100.0	71	0.0	0	100.0	2	100.0	3	100.0	79
All Candidates	Incumbent	0.0	0	36.1	56	100.0	1	33.3	1	25.0	1	35.3	59
	Non-Incumbent	100.0	4	63.9	99	0.0	0	66.7	2	75.0	3	64.7	108
	Total	100.0	4	100.0	155	100.0	1	100.0	3	100.0	4	100.0	167

* Directors of Community Service Districts