# Canvass Activities Summary March 3, 2020 Presidential Primary Election 

Auditing of the Precincts

Each Inspector is responsible to complete a variety of forms and certifications after the close of the polls on Election Day. Elections staff then audits those forms to account for all ballots issued and returned. On March 3; 2020, there were 138 precinct boards at 92 polling places: 56 were single, 30 were doubles, 3 were triples, 2 locations were a quadruple, and 1 location was a quintuple. All precincts were audited and where the paperwork reflected discrepancies, those discrepancies were thoroughly researched and resolved. In all cases these were poll worker errors such as simple mathematical errors, transcription errors, or recording information in multiple places on the same form (i.e. counting a spoiled surrendered vote-by-mail ballot as both a spoiled ballot and a surrendered vote-by-mail ballot therefore recording it as two ballots when only one existed).

## 1\% Manual Tally

Per Elections Code Section 15360, 1\% of precincts must be hand tallied to determine that the voting system is reading and tabulating votes correctly. For March 3, 2020 there were 199 voting precincts, which meant that only 2 precincts needed to be counted. Additionally, there were 19 other contests that were not captured in the 2 precincts drawn, so 10 other precincts were selected (some of the precincts drawn had less than 10 votes cast so additional precinct were drawn to have a reasonable amount of ballots to tally.) Santa Cruz County has a policy of tallying a precinct from each of the 5 supervisorial districts, so all five districts were represented.

Polling place precincts were randomly selected on Thursday, March 5 at 10am by staff. All precincts are randomly selected by pulling a precinct number from a bowl. The first 2 precincts drawn were deemed "full count" precincts, where all contests on the ballot would be tallied. Then other precincts were pulled in order to capture all contests. All votes cast in those polling place precincts were tallied including preprinted paper ballots and votes cast on the tablets. Blank ballots that were encountered (in any media) were tallied as undervotes for each contest. Spoiled, cancelled and otherwise invalidated ballots were not tallied as they are not valid ballots.

Vote-by-mail ballots were tallied by batches that were randomly selected on Tuesday, March 24 at 9 am by staff. The batches are randomly selected by pulling a batch number from a bowl. The first 8 batches drawn were deemed "full count" precincts, where all contests on the ballot would be tallied. Then other batches were pulled in order to capture all contests. All votes cast in those vote-by-mail batches were tallied including preprinted paper ballots and votes cast on the tablets. Blank ballots. that were encountered (in any media) were tallied as undervotes for each contest.

Vote-by-mail and paper ballots used at the polling places were tallied by audit teams of 3 auditors. Duties were divided among team members with one-member reading from the ballot, and the other two members keeping independent, simultaneous tallies. Once all the ballots for that precinct had been read, then the totals on the tally sheets were compared against each other. When more than
one contest was being tallied in a precinct, each one was done individually. The results were later compared to the central tally count and if the totals did not match, then the hand tally began again.

Materials used to complete the tallies were minimal, but included specialized tally sheets, pencils, calculators and the central count reports. Below are the contests and precincts that were drawn for the $1 \%$ tally:

|  | 1st | 2nd | 3rd | 4th | 5th |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Full Ballot | 10201 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 31020 \\ & 31630 \end{aligned}$ |  | . |
| President (all parties) | 10201 |  | $\begin{array}{r} 31020 \\ 31630 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |
| $1{ }^{\text {st }}$ District DEM Central Committee | $\begin{aligned} & 10170 \\ & 10201 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $1^{\text {st }}$ District REP Central Committee | $\begin{aligned} & 10170 \\ & 10201 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $2{ }^{\text {nd }}$ District DEM Central Committee |  | $\begin{aligned} & 20013 \\ & 20150 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| $3{ }^{\text {rd }}$ District DEM Central Committee |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 31020 \\ & 31630 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ District DEM Central Committee |  |  |  |  | 50143 |
| Congressional District 18 | 10201 |  |  |  |  |
| Congressional District 20 | $\begin{aligned} & 10170 \\ & 10201 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | . | $\begin{aligned} & 31020 \\ & 31630 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Assembly District 29 | $\begin{aligned} & 10170 \\ & 10201 \end{aligned}$ | - | $\begin{aligned} & 31020 \\ & 31630 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | 50143 |
| Assembly District 30 |  | 23311 |  |  |  |
| $1^{\text {st }}$ District Supervisor | $\begin{aligned} & 10170 \\ & 10201 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $2^{\text {nd }}$ District Supervisor |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 20013 \\ & 20061 \\ & 20150 \\ & 23311 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\cdots$ |  |  |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ District Supervisor |  |  |  |  | 50143 |
| Santa Cruz City Recall |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 31020 \\ & 31630 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Measure M |  |  |  | 40230 |  |
| Measure R | 10170 | 20150 | $\begin{array}{r} 31020 \\ 31630 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | 50143 |
| Measure S |  |  |  |  | 50143 |
| Measure T | 10170 |  | $\begin{array}{r} 31020 \\ 31630 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |
| Measure U | $\because$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 31020 \\ & 31630 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Measure V | 12023 |  |  |  |  |
| Measure W |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 31020 \\ & 31630 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Measure $X$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 31020 \\ & 31630 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Measure Y |  | 23311 |  | 43501 |  |
| Measure Z | 14121 |  |  |  | . |

Due to the shelter in place orders, not many observers were present during the canvass. Our office always welcome observers and fielded many calls about the status of the activities. Because the audit was conducted at central elections, no additional security measures were required above and beyond normal security precautions in place.

The $1 \%$ was conducted by new staff using our new voting system which had new printed ballots and new tabulation methods, so it took some time for the teams to get into a good rhythm and the initial contest was often recounted. In the end, all contests matched the results tallied except for instances of voter intent (not marking the ballot correctly) where the ballot was corrected, and the results updated.

In the end, the results from the manual tally matched the machine results with $100 \%$ accuracy.

## Search for Live Ballots

After searching through all the supplies and equipment that were returned from the polling sites, we found 4 ballots each from precinct 10110 that remained in the auxiliary bin. Through the precinct audit and a quick tally of a few contests, we found that those ballots had been read into the scanner and the results were already added to the tally for the precinct.

## Equipment Incidents

2 incidents were logged for voting equipment. Of those:

- 1 incident involved the Tablet, which was replaced
- 1 incident involved a printer, which was replaced

The chart below details the incidents and the responses to those incidents.

## ELECTION DAY EQUIPMENT ISSUES

|  | Precinct | Equipment Type | Issue | Error | Action Taken |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 50191 | Tablet | Would not activate a ballot - wrong code input 3 times so it locked up | Poll worker error | Replaced Tablet |
| 2 | 43741 | Printer | Toner low | Mechanical | Replaced printer |

