

REBUTTAL TO ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF
PROPOSITION 2

REBUTTAL TO THE ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION 2

The supporters of Proposition 2 want you to believe this measure is about fiscal responsibility and protecting funding for education, public safety, and healthcare. Within 24 hours of introducing this measure, many of the same politicians who praised it as a way to build reserves also supported legislation to suspend more than \$5 billion in required deposits into the state's savings account.

Proposition 2 asks Californians to double the size of the savings account while making it less likely that taxpayers will receive the rebates they are constitutionally owed.

PROP 2 MAKES TAXPAYER REBATES LESS LIKELY

State revenues that exceed constitutional spending limits must be returned to taxpayers. Proposition 2 creates a loophole, allowing politicians to shift billions into reserve accounts that no longer count towards the spending limit, making your rebate far less likely.

PROP 2 DOES NOT GUARANTEE ANY NEW FUNDING FOR HEALTHCARE, EDUCATION OR PUBLIC SAFETY

Supporters claim Prop 2 will protect education, healthcare, and public safety. Currently, it doesn't dedicate any new dollars to any of those priorities. Sacramento politicians will continue to decide how much to spend each year, not you. Prop 2 only allows for politicians to spend more on pet projects.

Sacramento doesn't need another budget gimmick or wasteful spending. It needs the discipline to live within the constitutional limits that voters have already approved.

Vote NO on Proposition 2.

David Tangipa
Vice Chair, Assembly Budget Committee

Carl DeMaio
Chairman, Reform California

Steven Choi
Vice Chair, Senate Elections and Constitutional Amendments Committee

SUBJECT TO COURT
ORDERED CHANGES