

PROPOSITION 43 LIMITS VOTERS' ABILITY TO FUND ESSENTIAL LOCAL SERVICES.

Prop. 43 makes it harder for you to fund critical services like police and fire departments, fix and repair local roads, staff our local schools or backfill federal healthcare cuts. Prop. 43 undermines the voice of voters and the majority vote by letting just one-third of voters (33.4%) block local voter decisions — and it makes it easier for corporate special interests to avoid paying their fair share.

PROP. 43 MAKES IT HARDER TO FUND FIRE AND POLICE SERVICES.

Local police and firefighters can't rely on Sacramento or Washington politicians. These services are funded at the local level. Prop. 43 wants to make it harder for local voters to direct new funding to services like police and fire. Without adequate funding, 911 response times are slower, fire stations sit understaffed, and families wait longer for help when every second counts. When a house fire breaks out — or a wildfire threatens our neighborhoods — that local funding is what protects us. Prop. 43 would let a small minority of voters block it. Even if 66% of voters say yes, Prop 43 would prevent us from funding desperately needed local services.

PROP. 43 MAKES IT HARDER TO FIX ROADS, FUND SCHOOLS, AND PROTECT
HEALTHCARE.

Across California, local voters have come together to repair crumbling roads and improve transportation, to fund their neighborhood schools, and to protect local hospitals and healthcare — especially as Washington cuts federal health funding. These are decisions communities make for themselves, by majority vote. Instead, Prop. 43 hands a small group of voters the power to veto those solutions, even when the majority supports them.

PROP. 43 EMPOWERS 33.4% OF VOTERS OVER THE WILL OF THE MAJORITY.

In America, we believe in one person, one vote — and the majority wins. Prop. 43 throws that out. It empowers just over one-third of voters to block what nearly 67% want. It puts the out-voted minority in charge — even if they are extremists. It makes their NO vote count twice as much as a YES vote.

CORPORATE DONORS BENEFIT. NOT TAXPAYERS.

Under Prop. 43, corporate donors who spend big in elections will benefit most. Right now, voters can hold these corporations and developers accountable at the ballot box, and make them pay their fair share for local needs. Prop. 43 is designed to take that power away — to protect the powerful few, at everyone else's expense.

ARGUMENT AGAINST
PROPOSITION 43

That's why these organizations are asking you to vote NO on Prop. 43:

- California Professional Firefighters
- Californians Against Waste
- California Federation of Teachers
- California School Employees Association
- Communities for a Better Environment
- AAPI Force
- Non-Profit Housing Association of Northern California
- Nurses Alliance of SEIU California
- Sierra Business Council

Vote NO to protect your vote and majority rule. Keep the power where it belongs — with you and your neighbors.

VOTE NO ON PROPOSITION 43.

www.NoProp43CA.com

SUBJECT TO COURT
ORDERED CHANGES

ARGUMENT AGAINST
PROPOSITION 43

Darrell Roberts, President

California Professional Firefighters

Chris Harkins, Executive Director

Nurse Alliance of SEIU California

Adam Weinberger, President

California School Employees Association