Certification of Contest Results and Reporting of Audit Results
As outlined in 20125 of the Risk Limiting Audits (Emergency Regulations) from CA SOS

1. Type of RLA conducted (e.g. ballot comparison or ballot polling):

   Ballot Comparison

2. Date and time the RLA commenced:

   Date: November 24, 2020   Time: 9:00 am

3. Date and time the RLA finished:

   Date: November 24, 2020   Time: 4:30 pm

   Number of rounds of sampling:   One

4. List of contests audited, with final reported results and final measured risk for each contest:

   See attached audit report for full details of which contests were audited and the corresponding data.

5. Link to the website where the ballot manifest can be found:

   https://elections.inyocounty.us/news/

6. Random seed(s) the elections official generated for use with the RLA software tool, and when and how the random seed(s) was generated:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Seed</th>
<th>When</th>
<th>How</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01685534362931444020</td>
<td>November 24, 2020</td>
<td>10 sided die, thrown 20 times</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6. Number of ballot card(s) examined for each contest under audit:

   See attached audit report for full details of which contests were audited and corresponding data.
If the audit was conducted in stages or continued beyond the first sample, describe how the sample was drawn and the number of ballot card(s) selected at each stage:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>How Sample Drawn?</th>
<th>Number of Ballots</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7. Notes regarding any ballot card(s) for which the audit board could not determine the voter's intent, as described in subdivision (c) of section 20123:

There were no discrepancies between the RLA Audit Board interpretation of the ballots and the machine tabulation. No arbitration was required.

8. Link to the website where the list of the particular ballot card(s) can be found:

   https://elections.inyocounty.us/news/

9. For ballot-level comparison audits:

   a. Number of discrepancies between ballot card(s) and the cast vote record: Zero

   b. Link to the website where the cast vote record file only for the ballot card(s) can be found:

      https://elections.inyocounty.us/news/

10. Person-hours required to prepare for the audit, and number of people involved:

    Hours: 10 hours combined  People: 2 elections departmental staff

11. Person-hours required to conduct the audit, and number of people involved:

    Hours: 7.5 hours  People: 6

    It took 6 Audit Board members a total of 2 hours each to pull all of the required ballot cards and 3 Audit Board members a total of 5.5 hours to input the data
into the software tool provided by the California Secretary of State’s office.

12. Number of members of the public who observed the audit: One member of the public observed the entire process.

13. Notes on anything unusual or problematic, or useful to improve the process, or of value to the SOS or other elections officials:

We have provided feedback to the vendor to improve their software tool, including making sure that all lists are producible in container order and that the audit boards only have to report information for target contests.

14. I, Kammi Foote, County Clerk of Inyo County, State of California, do hereby attest that the Risk Limited Audit was conducted in accordance with Title 2, Division 7, Chapter 2 of the California Code of Regulations:

[Signature]