No On PROP 25 REBUTTAL

PROP 25: UNFAIR, UNSAFE AND COSTLY

Written by Sacramento politicians, Prop 25 eliminates the option to post bail for every Californian and replaces this right with a county-administered system of COMPUTER-BASED PROFILING to determine who goes free and who stays behind bars pending trial. Read why civil rights groups, crime victims’ advocates, law enforcement and local officials all say NO on Prop 25.

PROP 25 IS UNFAIR

Prop 25’s computer profiling has been shown to discriminate against minorities and people from neighborhoods with higher concentrations of immigrants and low-income residents, which is why civil rights groups like the NAACP and United Latinos Vote say NO on Prop 25.

PROP 25 IS UNSAFE

Bail is an important constitutional right and ensures defendants satisfy the terms of their jail release and appear for trial and holds them accountable if they don’t. California’s recent experiment with “zero bail” during the coronavirus pandemic was disastrous, with many defendants arrested, released, and rearrested multiple times in one day. Prop 25 would make zero bail permanent, which is why law enforcement and victims’ rights groups say NO on Prop 25.
REBUTTAL TO ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF

PROPOSITION 25

PROP 25 IS COSTLY

Prop 25 will require additional court hearings to overrule a computer’s decision, causing more delays in our already backlogged courts. As cities and counties face historic budget deficits and devastating cuts to essential services, Prop 25 will cost local governments and California hundreds of millions of dollars more each year to build and administer a new bureaucracy – which is why local officials and taxpayer advocates say NO on Prop 25.

VOTE NO ON PROP 25!
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