VOTING MODERNIZATION BOARD Statement of Plans and Projects

Statement Purpose:

With the Governor's approval of this Statement of Plans and Projects set forth by the Voting Modernization Board (Board), the Voting Modernization Finance Committee (Committee) shall determine whether to issue any bonds authorized under the Voting Modernization Act of 2002 (Act) to carry out the Board's plans and projects (Section 19240).

Allocation Criteria:

The Board will determine the amount to be allocated to each county that has submitted an acceptable Application for Funding Consideration. The county's allocation will be set aside until the county submits a complete and acceptable Project Documentation Package. Once the Project Documentation Package is completed, the Board will establish a payment schedule for the county.

If a county fails to submit the Project Documentation Package by the date specified under Application Submittal Deadlines, the Board may choose to cancel the allocation of funds set aside for that county. These funds would then be allocated to eligible counties in future funding rounds.

The Board will allocate funds to each participating county based on a formula that gives equal weight to the number of persons eligible to vote, the number of registered voters, the average number of persons who voted at the last four statewide elections, and the number of polling places. Appendix A contains the projected county allocations based on this formula.

Conditions of Funding:

Funding Agreement

Successful applicants must enter into an Agreement with the Board. No funds will be disbursed until there is a fully executed Agreement between the Board and the county.

Loss of Funding

The following may result in a loss of funding allocation:

1. The county fails to submit an Application for Funding Consideration by September 3, 2002. Failure to submit and Application for Funding Consideration will not prevent a county from participating in subsequent funding application cycles.

- 2. The county fails to execute an Agreement for its allocated amount, within a timeframe specified by the Board, from the date of appropriation.
- 3. The county elects not to use its allocation, only uses a portion of its allocation, or withdraws from the allocation program.
- 4. The county project is not consistent with the requirements of the Voting Modernization Act of 2002. In the event the county fails to complete the funded project, or withdraws from the funding program, all funds, including interest earned, shall be returned to the Board.

Agreement Review Procedures:

The agreement between the Board and the counties is self-enforcing and self-certifying at each stage of implementation. Funds may be allocated according to a schedule of deliverables.

Recommended Action:

The Board requests that the Governor approve this Statement of Plans and Projects, as required by the Voting Modernization Act of 2002.

Board Approval

John A. Pérez, Chair

Voting Modernization Board

Date:

Governor's Approval

Gray Davis Governor

State of California

Date: 12/16/02

		25% of		25% of		25% of		25% of	İ	Allocation	Percentage of
Counties	Allocation Per Registered Voter		ŧ	Allocation Per Last 4 Election Cycle Formula		Allocation Per Polling Place		Allocation Per Eligible Voter		Amount under	Allocated Funds Related to \$195
										/MB Combined	
		(A)		(B)		Formula (C)	-	Formula (D)		Allocation Formula	Million
Alameda ¹	\$	2,161,944.99	\$					<u> </u>	٠,		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Alpine	\$	2,628.79	t					\$ 2,153,921.22 \$ 2,130.66	- 1 -		
Amador	\$	60,427.02						54,710.44			
Butte	\$	363,991.39	\$					341,461.41	1 ,		,
Calavares	\$	77,348.25	\$	94,657.78							
Colusa	\$	24,163.15	\$	29,519.22		. 0, . 0 /		•			
Contra Costa	\$	1,527,357.55	\$	1,709,362.91				•			
Del Norte	\$	37,798.39	\$	42,582.46	\$ \$						
El Dorado	\$	287,293.95	\$	343,470.66	\$ \$	202,945.31	5				
Fresno	\$	1.025.383.52	\$	1.017.122.28							
Glenn	\$	37,016.78	\$	43,017.65	5 \$,	1	37,513.27	\$	180,968.11	0.093%
lumbolct	\$	234,016.34	S	267,535.13		266,365.72	: 9	218,127.76	\$	986,044.95	
mperial	\$	154,202.01	\$	148,020.93		,		170,881.44	\$	653,218.33	
nyo	\$	32,668.43	\$	39,996.45		,			\$	158,387.99	0.0819
Kern	\$	793,220.73	\$	840,912.88		,				3.401,866.46	1.745%
Kings	\$	139,561.83	\$	130,315.61	- 1	.,		,		581,008.11	0.298%
∟ake ∟assen	\$	98,955.99	\$	104,300.16	- 1 *	,		•		417,320.58	
Market and the second s	\$	45,710.28	\$	52,497.20		-,	1	. ,	1 '	207,796.11	0.107%
cos Angeles T	\$	13,210,104.79	\$	11,450,640.08		, , - , - , - , - , - , - , - , -		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	1 .	49,636.590.28	25.455%
viacera Marin	\$	130,166.46	\$	157,353.93		,				642,094.85	0.329%
viaiiiii Mariposa	\$	441,285.40 35,262.12	\$	563,340.15		,				1,879,587.19	0.964%
/endocino	1			42,059.93	i i	.,)	•		145,591.18	0.075%
Mendocino 3 4 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	\$ \$	146,759.09	\$	168,266.36		164,893.06				620,444.80	0.318%
Modoc	\$	279.854.23	\$	240,889.08		258,755.27			1	1,056,294.37	0.542%
ловос Лопо	\$	15,820.58 18,388.75	\$	21,730.12 20,748.72		22,831.35			\$	76,314.42	0.039%
Monterey	\$	481,294.66	\$	527,497.28		30,441.80		·		91,998.83	0.047%
lapa '	\$	198,888.21	\$	241,886.78		476,921.48 248,608.00			1	1,991,025.08	1.021%
levada	\$	186:025.01	Š	231,625.66		284,123.43			1 '	,	0.457%
Drange	S	4,104,730.75	\$	4,377,604.56		4,353,176.87	\$	164,657.18 3,946,865.07	\$	866,431.28	0.444%
lacer	\$	475,115.09	\$	544,207.87		563,173.23	\$	433,374.59	\$	16,782,377.26 2,015,870.78	8.606%
lumas	\$	39,154.26	\$	52,079,77	\$	48,199.51	\$	36,706.34		176,139.87	1.034%
Riverside	\$	1,970,601.78	\$	1,935,545.68	\$	1,347,049.49	\$	2,256,281.45		7.509.478.39	0.090% 3.851%
acramento 1	\$	1,908,653.00	\$	2,039,693,37	\$	1,874,707.29	\$	1,898,581.66	\$	7,721,635.32	3.960%
an Benito	\$	84,089.30	\$	77,328.45	\$	65,957.23	\$	75,847.07	\$	303,222.05	0.155%
an Bernardino 1	\$	1,981,621.00	\$	1,927,954.94	\$	1,671,761.98	\$	2,413,689.84	\$	7,995,027.76	4.100%
an Diego	\$	4,347,903.18	\$	4,284,310.09	\$	3,802,687.72	S	4,291,245.94	s	16,726,146.94	
an Francisco	\$	1,403,772.63	\$	1,384,374.70	\$	1,646.393.82	\$	1.307,798.68	\$	5,742,339.82	8.578% 2.945%
an Joaquin	\$	751,855.61	\$	758,476.07	\$	966,527.03	\$	802,548.22	\$	3,279,406.93	1.682%
an Luis Obispo	\$	432,205.88	\$	504,507.46	\$	334,859.76	\$	418,616.35	\$	1,690,189.44	0.867%
an Mateo	\$	1,060,310.67	\$	1,146,351.38	\$	1,288,702.71	3	1,074,577.22	\$	4,569,941.98	2.344%
anta Barbara	\$	665,335.32	\$	696,129.26	\$	783,876.26	\$	604,453.23	\$	2,749,794.06	1.410%
anta Clara	\$	2,338,746.91	\$	2,407,043.48	\$	2,379,533.75	\$	2,378,071.50	\$	9,503,395.64	4.874%
anta Cruz	\$	407,025.02	\$	500,270.98	\$	385,596.09	\$	405,435.70	\$	1,698,327.79	0.871%
	\$	264,429.24	\$	316,177.75	\$	301,881.15	\$	274,068.99	\$	1,156,557.13	0.593%
ierra	\$		\$	10,144.18	\$	20,294.53	\$	6,051.99	\$	43,825.14	0.022%
skiyou	\$	T .	\$	96,808.59	\$	119,230.37	\$	73,816.14	\$	368,246.57	0.189%
	\$	547,754.49	\$	578,595.64	\$	583,467.76	\$	587,496.32	\$	2,297,314.22	1.178%
	\$		\$	942,452.36	\$	854,907.11	\$	733,562.34	\$	3,269,773.85	1.677%
1	\$		\$	592,142.92	\$	545,415.52	\$	670,039.11	\$	2,438,812.87	1.251%
t e	\$		\$	126,711.20	\$	134,451.27	\$	118,133.93	\$	497,078,20	0.255%
	\$		\$	105,676.79	\$	106,546.29	\$	90,142.91	\$	386,407.44	0.198%
	\$		\$	30,601.28	\$	40,589.06	\$	22,439.96	\$	117,825.36	0.060%
	\$		\$	413,449.66	\$	484,531.92	\$	478,705.58	\$	1,768,204.25	0.907%
	\$		\$	116,275.41	\$	114,156.74	\$	83,079.99	\$	410,726.23	0.211%
			\$	1.220,182.00	\$	1,009,652.91	\$	1,117,732.21	\$	4,576,270.14	2.347%
io S ba	5		\$	293,399.60	\$	276,512.98	\$	270,378.87	\$	1,085,882.12	0.557%
iva ;	D	74,320.68	•	78,586.67	\$	98,935.84	\$	87,694.92	\$	339,538.10,	0.174%

¹ Indicates the 9 counties in which their voting systems were decertified.