

VMB Project Documentation Plan Status as of May 25, 2006

| County Quarterly Status Report - April 2006 through June 2006 | | | | |
|--|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Counties Pending Submittal of Project Documentation Plans | | | | |
| County | VMB Approved Allocation Amount | Steps Taken to Modernize Voting Equipment | Election Cycle County Plans to Implement New Voting System | Anticipated Timeframe for County to Submit Project Documentation Plan |
| Humboldt | \$ 986,044.95 | Humboldt County anticipates purchasing the Vote-PAD devices and ancillary equipment to augment their existing Diebold-OS Optical Scan system. | Fully deployed in November 7, 2006 General Election | Dependant upon Vote-PAD's certification. |
| Imperial | \$ 653,218.33 | Imperial County has already upgraded to the Sequoia Voting Systems AVC Edge II DRE touch screen units as their precinct based system and the Sequoia 400-C optical scan units for their absentee system. | System was implemented during the November 2005 Special Statewide Election | Before the deadline or sooner, most likely after the June 6, 2006 Primary Election |
| Lake | \$ 417,320.58 | Lake County has purchased 50 Hart Intercivic eSlate Touch Screen units and will deploy the units in phases. Lake will be deploying 22 touch screen units during the June 6, 2006 Primary Election and the county will implement the other 28 units at the November 7, 2006 General Election. | Phase 1 During the June 6, 2006 Primary Election | After the June 6, 2006 Primary Election |
| Madera | \$ 642,094.85 | Madera County has purchased 100 Hart Intercivic eSlate Touch Screen units to be used with their existing Mark-A-Vote optical scan voting system. The county will deploy 60 touch screen units for the June 6, 2006 Primary Election and anticipate receiving the other 40 units in September 2006 to be used at the November 7, 2006 General Election. | During the June 6, 2006 Primary Election | For June 2006 Meeting |
| Merced | \$ 1,056,294.37 | Merced County entered into a lease purchase agreement with ES&S five years ago for the iVotronic DRE touch screen units. The iVotronic DRE unit does not posses a VVPAT printer and ES&S has not submitted an application to the SOS for certification of this system. Merced County has indicated that they have been forced to covert to the ES&S Model 100 Optical Scan and AutoMARK units to be compliant with state and federal accessibility requirements. | Unknown | After the June 6, 2006 Primary Election |

VMB Project Documentation Plan Status as of May 25, 2006

| County Quarterly Status Report - April 2006 through June 2006 | | | | |
|--|---------------------------------------|---|---|--|
| Counties Pending Submittal of Project Documentation Plans | | | | |
| County | VMB Approved Allocation Amount | Steps Taken to Modernize Voting Equipment | Election Cycle County Plans to Implement New Voting System | Anticipated Timeframe for County to Submit Project Documentation Plan |
| Modoc | \$ 76,314.42 | Unknown - no response received from county. | Unknown | Unknown |
| Nevada | \$ 866,431.28 | Immediately following the June 6, 2006 Primary Election, the county will either issue an RFP for a new system or sole source the purchase of a certified system. | November 2006 General Election | By the December 2006 Meeting |
| San Mateo | \$ 4,569,941.98 | San Mateo County has identified a vendor but has not signed a voting system equipment contract at this time. | November 2006 General Election | By the August 2006 Meeting |
| Sonoma | \$ 3,269,773.85 | Sonoma County has entered into an agreement to purchase Hart Intercivic eSlate Touch Screen units to be used the their existing Mark-A-Vote optical scan voting system. The county will deploy one touch screen unit per polling place for the June 6, 2006 Primary Election and anticipate receiving the other 350 units in September 2006 to be used in future elections. | During the June 6, 2006 Primary Election | By the July 2006 Meeting |
| Trinity | \$ 117,000.00 | Trinity County is looking at systems that will meet the HAVA requirements and meets the community's needs. | November 2006 General Election | By the July 2006 Meeting |
| Yolo | \$ 1,085,882.12 | Yolo County has purchased the Hart Intercivic optical scan voting system and plans to use the Vote-PAD assist device to meet the state and federal accessibility requirements. | During the June 6, 2006 Primary Election, if possible | By the July 2006 Meeting |

VMB Project Documentation Plan Status as of May 25, 2006

| County Quarterly Status Report - April 2006 through June 2006 | | | | |
|---|---------------------------------------|--|---|--|
| Counties with Approved Phased Approach Project Documentation Plans | | | | |
| County | VMB Approved Allocation Amount | Meeting Date VMB Approved Project Documentation Plan and Phase 1 Funding Award Allocation | Remaining Phase 2 Formula Allocation | Anticipated Timeframe for County to Submit Next Phase |
| Colusa | \$ 121,292.74 | February 15, 2006 (Phase 1) - \$92,625.00 | \$ 28,677.24 | After the June 6, 2006 Primary Election |
| Lassen | \$ 207,796.11 | July 16, 2003 (Phase 1) - \$105,635.48 | \$ 102,160.63 | Some time after the June 6, 2006 Primary Election, dependant upon certification of other paper based HAVA compliant systems. |
| Los Angeles | \$ 49,636,590.28 | February 9, 2004 (Phase 1) - \$639,071.25 | \$ 48,997,519.03 | Once InkaVote Plus enhanced system has been received. |
| Marin | \$ 1,879,587.19 | July 27, 2005 (Phase 1) - \$667,091.21 | \$ 1,212,495.98 | During the 3rd Quarter of 2006 |
| Mariposa | \$ 145,591.18 | January 15, 2003 (Phase 1) - \$98,644.12 | \$ 46,947.06 | By the July 2006 Meeting |
| Placer | \$ 2,015,870.78 | May 10, 2004 (Phase 1) - \$1,514,901.08 | \$ 500,969.70 | Once a state-certified accessible voting system has been identified for use by Placer County. |
| San Luis Obispo | \$ 1,690,189.44 | March 27, 2006 (Phase 1) - \$399,188.25 | \$ 1,291,001.19 | Fall of 2006 |
| Santa Barbara | \$ 2,749,794.06 | January 15, 2003 (Phase 1) - \$1,080,148.00 February 15, 2006 (Phase 2) - \$720,924.75 | \$ 1,028,642.64 | Santa Barbara indicated that they have already submitted their plan for all of their phases. The remaining \$1,028,642.64 may to be available to be reallocated during next funding round. |
| Siskiyou | \$ 368,246.57 | May 14, 2003 (Phase 1) - \$258,956.58 | \$ 109,289.99 | Early 2006 |
| Solano | \$ 2,297,314.00 | September 23, 2005 (Phase 1) - \$1,318,533.00 | \$ 978,781.00 | By the September 2006 Meeting |
| Stanislaus | \$ 2,438,812.87 | June 17, 2005 (Phase 1) - \$1,126,459.50 | \$ 1,312,353.37 | Unknown |