

**VMB Project Documentation Plan Status as of February 15, 2006**

<b>County Quarterly Status Report - January 2006 through March 2006</b>				
<b>Counties Pending Submittal of Project Documentation Plans</b>				
<b>County</b>	<b>VMB Approved Allocation Amount</b>	<b>Steps Taken to Modernize Voting Equipment</b>	<b>Election Cycle County Plans to Implement New Voting System</b>	<b>Anticipated Timeframe for County to Submit Project Documentation Plan</b>
Alpine	\$ 8,115.19	Alpine County has requested an appropriation for a new voting system in their county's 2005/2006 budget and has initiated a request for quotes for the new voting systems.	During the June 6, 2006 Primary Election	April 2006
Butte	\$ 1,469,905.57	Butte County has issued an RFP for a new voting system in September 2005; however, due lack of certified voting systems in California the RFP was extended to December 2005.	During the June 6, 2006 Primary Election	March 2006
Calaveras	\$ 319,548.93	Calaveras County has selected ES&S as their voting systems vendor and plans to upgrade their voting equipment to the ES&S optical scan equipment and to place one AutoMARK unit per polling place.	During the June 6, 2006 Primary Election	March or April 2006
El Dorado	\$ 1,095,674.94	El Dorado County submitted a Project Documentation Plan on 12/15/05; however, the plan is being held until certification of the Diebold voting system equipment.	During the June 6, 2006 Primary Election	Upon Certification of the Diebold voting system equipment
Fresno	\$ 4,266,077.65	Fresno County has selected ES&S as their voting systems vendor and plans to upgrade their voting equipment to the ES&S M100 optical scan equipment and to place one AutoMARK unit per polling place.	During the June 6, 2006 Primary Election	March or April 2006
Humboldt	\$ 986,044.95	Humboldt County's Board of Supervisors directed the Election staff to negotiate with their current vendor, Diebold Election Systems, Inc. (DESI) to acquire a HAVA-compliant voting machine. The county has not finalized the contract.	Dependent upon date of certification of the Diebold (DESI) voting system equipment	Within 60 days of Diebold voting system equipment certification
Imperial	\$ 653,218.33	Imperial County has already upgraded to the Sequoia Voting Systems AVC Edge II DRE touch screen units as their precinct based system and the Sequoia 400-C optical scan units for their absentee system.	System was implemented during the November 2005 Special Statewide Election	Before the deadline or sooner

**VMB Project Documentation Plan Status as of February 15, 2006**

<b>County Quarterly Status Report - January 2006 through March 2006</b>				
<b>Counties Pending Submittal of Project Documentation Plans</b>				
<b>County</b>	<b>VMB Approved Allocation Amount</b>	<b>Steps Taken to Modernize Voting Equipment</b>	<b>Election Cycle County Plans to Implement New Voting System</b>	<b>Anticipated Timeframe for County to Submit Project Documentation Plan</b>
Lake	\$ 417,320.58	Lake County has attended voting system demonstrations in order to make a decision on the best vendor for their county's voters.	Unknown until a voting system is chosen	Prior to the deadline
Madera	\$ 642,094.85	Madera County plans to release an RFP for their new voting system in February 2006 and anticipates making a selection by March 2006.	During the June 6, 2006 Primary Election	April 2006
Merced	\$ 1,056,294.37	Merced County entered into a lease purchase for the ES&S iVotronic DRE touch screen units as their precinct based system and the ES&S Model 650 optical scan units for their absentee system two and one-half years ago. The iVotronic DRE unit does not have an approved AVVPAT printer at this time. Merced County is currently investigating their options on how to proceed with their voting system modernization.	Dependent upon date of certification of the ES&S iVotronic DRE units with a printer component	By the End of 2006
Modoc	\$ 76,314.42	Modoc County is unwilling to commit to a system at this time. Modoc County currently uses the Diebold AccuVote optical system. The county does not want to use two different voting system vendors; however, the Diebold DRE units are pending certification for use in California.	Unknown	Unknown
Nevada	\$ 866,431.28	Nevada County initiated an RFP in December 2005; however, the county has not yet selected a voting system vendor.	Nevada County is actively pursuing an Interim Plan for June 2006	Unknown
San Luis Obispo	\$ 1,690,189.44	San Luis Obispo County has signed a contract with ES&S to purchase the AutoMARK Voter Assist Terminals. The county plans to purchase and deploy the ES&S M100 optical scan units after the November 2006 General Election.	During the June 6, 2006 Primary Election for the AutoMARK components	March 2006
San Mateo	\$ 4,569,941.98	San Mateo County has identified a vendor but has not signed a voting system equipment contract at this time.	During the June 6, 2006 Primary Election	March 2006

**VMB Project Documentation Plan Status as of February 15, 2006**

<b>County Quarterly Status Report - January 2006 through March 2006</b>				
<b>Counties Pending Submittal of Project Documentation Plans</b>				
<b>County</b>	<b>VMB Approved Allocation Amount</b>	<b>Steps Taken to Modernize Voting Equipment</b>	<b>Election Cycle County Plans to Implement New Voting System</b>	<b>Anticipated Timeframe for County to Submit Project Documentation Plan</b>
Sierra	\$ 43,825.14	Sierra is currently working with Diebold on a contract for voting system equipment.	Dependent upon date of certification of the Diebold (DESI) voting system equipment	March or April 2006
Sonoma	\$ 3,269,773.85	Sonoma County has initiated and is continuing its RFP planning process and anticipates making a selection by March 2006. It is unknown at this time as to which voting system Sonoma County intends to upgrade.	Phase 1 During the June 6, 2006 Primary Election	Prior to April 2006
Trinity	\$ 117,000.00	Trinity County plans to upgrade to the Diebold TSx touch screen units once the system is certified to fulfill the federal and state accessibility requirement	During the June 6, 2006 Primary Election	Upon Certification of the Diebold voting system equipment
Tuolumne	\$ 410,726.23	Tuolumne County has selected ES&S as their voting systems vendor and plans to upgrade their voting equipment to the ES&S M100 optical scan equipment and to place one AutoMARK unit per polling place.	During the June 6, 2006 Primary Election	March 2006
Yolo	\$ 1,085,882.12	Yolo County is currently negotiating with Hart InterCivic and VotePad assistive device.	During the June 6, 2006 Primary Election	Unknown
<b>Project Documentation Plans for February 15, 2006 VMB Meeting</b>				
<b>County</b>	<b>Initial VMB Approved Formula Allocation Amount</b>	<b>Comments</b>		
Colusa	\$ 121,292.74	Pending Phase 2 Plan		
Santa Barbara	\$ 2,749,794.06	VMB Approved Phase 1 Plan on January 15, 2003 and issued funding award in the amount of \$1,080,148.00		

**VMB Project Documentation Plan Status as of February 15, 2006**

<b>County Quarterly Status Report - January 2006 through March 2006</b>				
<b>Counties with Approved Phased Approach Project Documentation Plans</b>				
<b>County</b>	<b>VMB Approved Allocation Amount</b>	<b>Meeting Date VMB Approved Project Documentation Plan and Phase 1 Funding Award Allocation</b>	<b>Remaining Phase 2 Formula Allocation</b>	<b>Anticipated Timeframe for County to Submit Next Phase</b>
Contra Costa	\$ 4,959,984.00	November 16, 2005 (Phase 1) - \$4,959,984.00	\$ 1,776,405.70	Unknown
Lassen	\$ 207,796.11	July 16, 2003 (Phase 1) - \$105,635.48	\$ 102,160.63	Dependent upon certification of a paper-based accessible system compatible with current Diebold AccuVote optical scan system.
Los Angeles	\$ 49,636,590.28	February 9, 2004 (Phase 1) - \$639,071.25	\$ 48,997,519.03	Dependent upon certification of the InkaVote enhanced system with Precinct Ballot Readers (PBRs).
Marin	\$ 1,879,587.19	July 27, 2005 (Phase 1) - \$667,091.21	\$ 1,212,495.98	Dependent upon certification of more accessible voting systems.
Mariposa	\$ 145,591.18	January 15, 2003 (Phase 1) - \$98,644.12	\$ 46,947.06	March or April 2006
Placer	\$ 2,015,870.78	May 10, 2004 (Phase 1) - \$1,514,901.08	\$ 500,969.70	Once a state-certified accessible voting system has been identified for use by Placer County.
San Francisco	\$ 5,742,339.82	March 19, 2003 (Phase 1) - \$2,431,303.50	\$ 3,311,036.32	March 2006
Siskiyou	\$ 368,246.57	May 14, 2003 (Phase 1) - \$258,956.58	\$ 109,289.99	Early 2006
Solano	\$ 2,297,314.00	September 23, 2005 (Phase 1) - \$1,318,533.00	\$ 978,781.00	August 2006
Stanislaus	\$ 2,438,812.87	June 17, 2005 (Phase 1) - \$1,126,459.50	\$ 1,312,353.37	In the near future.
			\$ 58,347,958.78	

VMB Project Documentation Plan Status as of February 15, 2006

County Quarterly Status Report - January 2006 through March 2006				
Counties with Approved Project Documentation Plans				
County	VMB Approved Allocation Amount	Meeting Date VMB Approved Project Documentation Plan (25 Completed as of 1/18/06)	Payments Received	Comments
Alameda	\$ 8,779,360.86	December 17, 2002	\$ 8,779,360.86	
Amador	\$ 335,363.98	January 18, 2006	\$ -	
Del Norte	\$ 164,420.41	November 16, 2005	\$ 150,000.00	
Glenn	\$ 180,968.11	June 17, 2005	\$ 180,968.11	
Kern *	\$ 3,401,866.46	December 17, 2002 (Pending recertification of Diebold TSx Units)	\$ 1,123,581.47	
Kings	\$ 581,008.11	November 16, 2005	\$ 581,008.11	
Mendocino	\$ 620,444.80	September 17, 2003	\$ 421,696.40	
Mono	\$ 91,998.83	June 17, 2005	\$ 75,000.00	
Monterey	\$ 1,991,025.00	October 17, 2005	<i>Pending Payment</i>	
Napa	\$ 891,111.44	July 16, 2003	\$ 891,111.44	
Orange	\$ 16,782,377.26	July 16, 2003	\$ 16,782,377.26	
Plumas	\$ 176,139.87	December 17, 2002	\$ 176,139.87	
Riverside	\$ 7,509,478.39	December 17, 2002	\$ 7,509,478.39	
Sacramento	\$ 7,721,635.32	October 21, 2004 (Phase 1) & October 17, 2005 (Phase 2)	\$ 3,923,320.50	
San Benito	\$ 303,222.05	November 16, 2005	<i>Pending Payment</i>	
San Bernardino	\$ 7,995,027.76	October 15, 2003	\$ 7,995,027.76	
San Diego *	\$ 16,726,146.94	February 9, 2004 (Pending recertification of Diebold TSx Units)	\$ -	<i>12/20/05 - County submitted proposed change to project plan, pending recertification of voting system.</i>
San Joaquin *	\$ 3,279,406.93	December 17, 2002 (Pending recertification of Diebold TSx Units)	\$ -	County plans to submit amendment to plan as soon as system is recertified
Santa Clara	\$ 9,503,395.64	October 15, 2003	\$ 9,503,395.64	
Shasta	\$ 1,156,557.13	September 17, 2003	\$ 1,156,557.13	
Sutter	\$ 497,078.20	January 18, 2006	\$ -	
Tehama	\$ 386,407.44	February 9, 2004	\$ 386,407.44	
Tulare	\$ 1,389,047.14	January 18, 2006	\$ -	Remaining Initial Formula Allocation \$360,863.86
Ventura	\$ 4,576,270.14	December 5, 2005	\$ 231,117.50	
Yuba	\$ 339,538.00	January 18, 2006	\$ -	