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CHAIR KAUFMAN: Okay. Ready to rock and roll. It’s 10:30, I’d like to call the meeting of the Voting Modernization Board to order.

NaKesha, do you want to call the roll or whoever is calling the roll.

MS. ROBINSON: I’m having Stacey.


MS. JARRETT: Jill LaVine.

CHAIR KAUFMAN: Whoever’s up.

MS. LAVINE: Present.

MS. JARRETT: June Awano Lagmay.

MS. AWANO LAGMAY: Present.

MR. JARRETT: Stephen Kaufman.

CHAIR KAUFMAN: Present. Okay. We have a quorum.

All righty. Is there any public comment for items that are not on the agenda?

Okay. Seeing none.

Let’s go to the adoption of the November 15, 2019 action items and meeting minutes. I know there’s been a few exchanges with staff regarding some technical cleanup changes to the meeting minutes. But do we have a motion to approve the minutes and action items?

MS. AWANO LAGMAY: Jill, you --
MS. LAVINE: I’ll make the motion to approve them.

MS. AWANO LAGMAY: I will second.

CHAIR KAUFMAN: Okay. All in favor, say aye.

MS. AWANO LAGMAY: Aye.

MS. LAVINE: Aye.

CHAIR KAUFMAN: Aye.

Okay. Let’s get on with our business. The first thing is our standing items of which we have some substantive reports today. So why don’t we get right to it and start with the update on the Secretary of State Notice of Withdrawal of Certification and Conditional Approval of Voting Systems.

I know we are getting perilously close to the election and it looked from the report like we had some really strong compliance by counties and only a few that are left behind. So whoever on the staff is going to report on this, if you have the report.

MS. LEAN: Mr. Kaufman, can we take something out of order? We were asked by Nevada County, if you wouldn’t mind taking them first.

CHAIR KAUFMAN: Oh, that’s right. Sure.

MS. LEAN: So if we could do that and then we can go back to our standing items.

CHAIR KAUFMAN: That’s fine. Let’s get them in and out of here.
All right. Let us skip ahead to Item Number 6, which is the Project Documentation Plan Review and Funding Award Approval Request for Nevada County, Phase 3. And let’s have the staff report on that.

MS. KAKU: Okay. Good morning. So Nevada County’s Phase 3 Project Documentation Plan, I’ll go over the summary for everybody.

So originally Nevada County was allocated $866,000 with their Phase 1. They were disbursed $750,000 after a couple of changes that were made after approval.

Phase 2, they came back for some Ballot on Demand printers for $9,000. And then this time they’re coming back for some ballot marking devices which are listed below-- the total system cost is $317,000, however they only have $105,000 remaining so we are recommending paying out the $105,000 to Nevada County.

So their hardware, they purchased from Hart Voting System is the Verity Touch Writer with Access, it’s a ballot marking device. Nevada County purchased this system in June of 2019 and the equipment was delivered in July.

They completed testing of the system of October of last year and utilized their equipment for the first time at their November 5th, 2019 Assembly District 1 Special General Election.

Their Project Documentation Plan meets the
requirements for completeness. So beginning with Phase 1, Nevada County’s modernization efforts began in 2007. And at that time the County purchased an optical scan precinct central count system as well as some eSlate units from Hart InterCivic using a combination of federal, state, and county funds. And this helped them meet HAVA requirements for voters with disabilities.

Originally the county was awarded the complete allocation amount. However, the county returned twice after approval with changes for their project plan and their final approval was for $750,000.

For Phase 2, they came back having purchased the Balotar Ballot on Demand printers from Election Systems & Software to assist with their vote-by-mail and over-the-counter requests as they geared up to be one of the first five VCA counties in California.

For this final phase of voting modernization in Nevada County, the Elections Office has replaced their aging system that’s neither useful nor fit to conduct elections efficiently in the VCA model. In 2018, they started researching new voting systems that would not only meet all federal and state requirements but also work for their business needs and also be easier for voters to use.

They entered into an agreement with Hart Voting Systems and purchased the Hart Verity Voting System because
it met all of their requirements for a new system. This agreement asked for a total of $581,266 with an additional $43,594.96 in taxes. They’re seeking reimbursement for the Hart Verity Touch ballot marking devices. These machines are designed to operate in an all vote-by-mail election. The machines are equipped with best in class security features and advanced auditing capabilities to ensure accurate results. They can also customize the device to suit every voter’s needs from display text size, color contrast, language, and more. The devices also accommodate limitless ballot styles and are easy to set up and use.

Nevada County is also seeking reimbursement for the Verity Print Ballot on Demand printers acquired in this transaction, however, they were previously reimbursed for Ballot on Demand printers the last time they came so they would be ineligible under Proposition 41.

Nevada County will only receive payments once it has submitted detailed invoices for its voting equipment, and a chart for nonallowable expenses is attached detailing all ineligible expenses from the Phase 3 of their voting modernization.

And it is our recommendation Nevada County’s Phase 3 Project Documentation Plan be approved and a funding award letter be issued in the amount of $105,816.96.

CHAIR KAUFMAN: Jordan, I had a couple of questions.
MS. KAKU: Sure.

CHAIR KAUFMAN: First of all, is this system part of the Hart system that was just certified by the Secretary of State in December or is this a different part of that?

MS. KAKU: I’m going to turn to NaKesha for that.

MS. ROBINSON: No. The system that they purchased in 2019 is the Hart’s Version 3.0.1. The system that was just certified in December is Version 3.1.

CHAIR KAUFMAN: Got it. Thank you for clarifying. The other thing I was just confused about is you said in the report and just repeated that this -- these machines are designed to operate in an all vote-by-mail election. So these are ballot marking devices. So when you say all vote-by-mail election, you mean an all vote-by-mail election that still provides for vote centers for people to vote in.

MS. LEAN: Yes.

CHAIR KAUFMAN: Which is where they will use these ballot marking devices, correct?

MS. LEAN: Yes.

CHAIR KAUFMAN: Okay. Just wanted to clarify that.

Okay. Thank you.

Fellow commissioners, any questions of staff?

MS. AWANO LAGMAY: No. Until we hear from the --

MS. LAVINE: I want to hear from Greg.

CHAIR KAUFMAN: Okay.
MS. LAVINE: Thank you.

CHAIR KAUFMAN: Then why don’t we ask folks from
Nevada County to come up to the microphone. We have the
registrar Mr. Diaz. Are you going to present?

MR. DIAZ: Yes.

CHAIR KAUFMAN: Thank you. Welcome.

MR. DIAZ: Good morning, board. My name is Gregory Diaz.

MR. PETTY: Microphone on, sir.

MR. DIAZ: Oh.

CHAIR KAUFMAN: There’s a light on the bottom switch at the base.

MR. DIAZ: Okay. Good morning, members of the board. My name is Gregory Diaz, county clerk recorder and registrar of voters for Nevada County.

With me I have our outstanding assistant, Natalie Adona. And I will ask Natalie to come up for some remarks after I’m done since Natalie did the application and the lion’s share of this.

I was sitting in my office and was looking at Voting Modernization Board and some of the memos and I came across a memo dated May 28th, 2019. Memo is titled, “Guidance of Future Reimbursement Request,” authored by Mr. Robbie Anderson standing right in front of me.

CHAIR KAUFMAN: The one and only.
MR. DIAZ: The one and only.

On page 5 of his opinion, I’d like to read a paragraph that has sort of guided us through this whole process. “For the purposes of this section, if a county has a voting system in place and additional equipment is available to enhance the efficiency of the system or to provide additional services to voters through that equipment, Section 19254(c)(3) provides the VMB with the authority to reimburse a county who purchases said equipment.”

Pursuant to the Secretary of State’s memo, decertifying and telling the counties what is available for purchase, we went ahead and we upgraded our Hart Voting System. Previously we had used a machine called the Hart eSlate Machine. This is a truly electronic machine where the voters’ choices are done and they’ll remain in an electronic state. And the machine produces what we call a VVPAT, voter verified paper audit trail. Those machines were decertified. In their place what was certified is the Hart ballot marking device.

We looked at the definition of what an expansion is. A ballot marking device is a completely different machine, different process for voters, and we felt that we’d be eligible for reimbursement as it does expand what we’ve always had.

So with that being said, I’d like to invite Natalie
Adona up here, go through the nuts and bolts since she did
most of the work. And Natalie and I will be glad to answer
any questions.

CHAIR KAUFMAN: Thank you.

MS. LEAN: Can I make a quick comment? So -- this is
Jana Lean, I’m the chief for the Elections Division.

MR. DIAZ: Yeah.

MS. LEAN: We completely agree with you. We agree
with everything you just said. Just want to let you know
that is our staff recommendation that you would be
reimbursed, if there was any -- if there was any
misconception or just for clarification.

MR. DIAZ: Great.

MS. LEAN: Okay.

MR. DIAZ: Okay. Thank you for that.

MS. ADONA: Hi, I’m Natalie Adona, I’m the assistant
registrar in Nevada County. I won’t take too long.

I don’t have any prepared remarks. You know, all
I’ll say is, you know, thank you for supporting our county in
our effort to modernize our voting systems. I hope that that
relationship will continue to grow. And, you know, I think
that -- you know, a kind of an interesting question from
Jordan, actually, about the functionality of our systems.
And I did want to share with you what I shared with her which
is, you know, I think that the Hart Verity equipment works as
advertised and they have excellent customer service, we have
a great relationship with Hart. And I was pleasantly
surprised when I was first on-boarded to the county in
October of last year how easy everything is to use and how
intuitive all of the equipment is.

And I did want to share with Jordan just a few things
that I noticed as we were sort of going through and I’m fully
confident that Hart will be partners with us in our effort to
make things even easier for voters.

So the first thing, we had a great run-through in
November of 2019 for a couple of reasons. One, because, you
know, sort of launching new equipment in a big election is
always a little nerve-racking. So we did notice that the
connectivity with printers was a little off sometimes and
it’s been corrected with the 3.1 upgrade.

The second thing is we noticed that there are a lot
of messages for voters. So sort of going into the primary,
they will get a plethora of messages about how to use the
ballot marking device. In particular, there is a message
about sort of scrolling through all of the pages to make sure
that you see all of the candidates. We are going to go
through some training with our voter center workers to make
sure that if anyone uses the ballot marking device that the
voter understands to sort of flip through all of the pages
before making a choice.
The third thing was sort of related to the public safety power shutoffs that occurred largely in October and fortunately not on Election Day. Our -- in particular with the BMDs, our printers don’t have independent power. So while the BMD itself continues to operate, the printer does not. So if you’ve ever used a BMD, you know that you get a printout of your choices. It’s sort of hard to cast a ballot if the printer does not operate. So we are working with the county to make sure that all of our vote centers, including the vote center in our main office, runs uninterrupted. And anyone who wants to use the BMDs in order to vote independently and privately can do so without us sort of having to fall back on our contingency plans which is obviously to just go to paper and preprinted stock.

That’s all I’ve got.

CHAIR KAUFMAN: Okay. Thank you for that. Thanks for discussing the November election. I did want to ask one question.

I know you, I guess you -- for what you said -- it sounded like you said you weren’t around for the twenty -- 2018 elections. I’m just wondering, that was the first election your county went to Voter’s Choice Act.

What percentage of people cast their ballots in 2018 by mail as opposed to going into a location to vote in person? And kind of what’s -- what’s your expectations for
March, you know, giving your experience in 2018 and perhaps in that special election in November?

MS. ADONA: Well I’d have to sort of refer to our election management system to get you an exact number but I believe that most of our voters vote by mail.

MR. DIAZ: Yes.

MS. ADONA: Probably around 70 to 80 percent of all votes cast are cast by mail.

Greg, would you say that that’s right?

MR. DIAZ: Yeah, yeah.

MS. ADONA: Yeah.

MR. DIAZ: Thanks for the question. I don’t have the exact stats.

CHAIR KAUFMAN: I don’t need the exact, but.

MR. DIAZ: Before -- before we went to the VCA model, we were over 80 percent.

MS. AWANO LAGMAY: Over 80.

MR. DIAZ: Eighty percent of our residents were already voting by mail.

CHAIR KAUFMAN: Wow.

MR. DIAZ: I believe we increased three percentage points in our first VCA election. So it’s hovering -- we’re hovering around 87 percent of our voters are now voting by mail. The effort continues. I want 100 percent voting by mail, I don’t want anyone coming to the vote centers. But --
I don’t. I don’t. I want them to vote by mail.

So I think we’ve increased participation around 3, 3.4, something like that percent.

CHAIR KAUFMAN: Wow.

MR. DIAZ: Again, previously we were already very high percentage.

CHAIR KAUFMAN: Right. So we’re talking about a system that you’re implementing for a very few number of voters that you hope to become fewer.

How many vote centers are you going to have in your county?

MR. DIAZ: For this upcoming election, we’re going to have eight vote centers. One of the vote centers is actually a one-day vote center. Area of the county is called North San Juan. So -- so we put a one-day vote center up there. We have eastern county a town called Truckee, a couple of vote centers there. And the rest are in western Nevada County, Grass Valley, Nevada City.

And we’re hoping for good weather on March 3rd. Truckee is the snow capital of the world. So. But we do have our contingency plans that we have. It’ll be fine. At least -- the good thing about Truckee, they learned a long time ago we don’t want any stake in PG&E for our power. So Truckee never goes out which is kind of cool. So at least we’re sure we’ll have power.
CHAIR KAUFMAN: Great. Fellow commissioners.

MS. LAVINE: I had a couple of questions just because I’m reading through this. And thank you very much for your update on what happened in November because that was one of my first questions but you gave, you gave me the answers already.

Okay, what’s FREED? F-R-E-E-D. You put it in here as one of your partners and I’m not familiar with that acronym.

MS. ADONA: I’m not sure what the exact acronym stands for but FREED is a nonprofit organization that advocates for persons with disabilities. I believe that the current president or at least our main contact there is a gentleman with visual impairments and he has a sort of particular stake in the game but is sort of a staunch advocate for people around Nevada County who need BMDs.

MS. LAVINE: So is it more -- this organization is more for Nevada County because I guess I haven’t heard it --

MS. ADONA: I’m not sure. Do you know if FREED --

MS. LAVINE: -- is it statewide or?

MR. DIAZ: Yeah. I --

MS. ADONA: Yeah, I think FREED is a Nevada County --

MS. LAVINE: Nevada County.

MS. ADONA: -- organization.

MR. DIAZ: You know, Mr. Paul Spencer is around all
the time and the larger group is also very visible and
present.

MS. LAVINE: Just like I say, that was a new one from
me and I hadn’t heard of him.

MR. DIAZ: Oh, okay.

MS. LAVINE: So that was just, one sec, where did
this come from?

One more question. For your accessible ballot, what
are you using for that? I didn’t -- I was kind of reading
through here and I didn’t see.

MR. DIAZ: Democracy Live.

MS. LAVINE: Democracy Live.

MR. DIAZ: That’s correct. Yeah. And yesterday we
received our first overseas ballot. Just for the record.

MS. LAVINE: Thank you.

MS. AWANO LAGMAY: Thank you. I have a couple of
questions. You do not offer the -- the ten-day series of
voting up to and including Election Day, just Election Day
itself?

MR. DIAZ: Oh, no, no, no, no, no. Our vote centers
open at E minus 11.

MS. AWANO LAGMAY: Thank you. That wasn’t clear.

Okay.

MR. DIAZ: Right. Just on Election Day on one part
of our county, after going up there and speaking with the
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residents, they asked us to put a one-day vote center --

MR. DIAZ: -- up at North San Juan. And we complied.

And I think we had a little under 300 people. So it really served a good purpose.

MS. AWANO LAGMAY: I see. That was the exception of the rule.

MR. DIAZ: So that was just a request from them.

MS. AWANO LAGMAY: I understand. And I also enjoy learning about the different counties. Can you speak very succinctly about your general ethnic demographics and what language accessibility you provide for them, if any?

MS. ADONA: Okay. Just to be clear on the last question. All of our vote centers open on staggered schedules. So two of them open at the ten-day mark, and then we have four-day vote centers, and then the one in North San Juan.

MS. AWANO LAGMAY: Okay.

MS. ADONA: So just to give you a clear idea.

So demographics, again, I don’t have the exact numbers in front of me but it is predominantly white and largely a retirement community.

We do have, I think, pockets of voters who speak Spanish, primarily. And so we do have LanguageLine available at all of our vote centers which allows the voter to
interface with somebody in real time and offers services in 200 languages, including American Sign Language. We also offer facsimile ballots in Spanish at all our vote centers. And our Election Administration Plan is also offered in Spanish.

So I think perhaps we may have to sort of up our game after the next census but right now we -- I think have not received any sort of complaints of voters who are -- whose needs are not being met on the language side.

MS. AWANO LAGMAY: Thank you.

CHAIR KAUFMAN: Okay, with that, do we have a motion to approve the funding request and --

MS. AWANO LAGMAY: I’ll make that motion to approve the staff’s report for the funding request.

MS. LAVINE: And I’ll second that.

CHAIR KAUFMAN: Okay. Want to just take roll on this?

MS. JARRETT: Sure.

Jill LaVine.

MS. LAVINE: Yes.

MS. JARRETT: June Awano Lagmay.

MS. AWANO LAGMAY: Yes.

MS. JARRETT: Stephen Kaufman.

CHAIR KAUFMAN: Yes.

Okay. Congratulations.
MR. DIAZ: Thank you. Thank you.

CHAIR KAUFMAN: You’re welcome. Thanks for your good work.

MS. ADONA: Thank you very much.

CHAIR KAUFMAN: We look forward to hearing nothing but good reports from Nevada County following the March election.

MS. ADONA: We look forwarding to giving good reports.

CHAIR KAUFMAN: And I will be signing the -- the letter for you at the close of the meeting that you will receive from staff.

Okay.

MR. DIAZ: Thank you.

CHAIR KAUFMAN: Very good. Let us now go back to where we began to Item Number 5 on our -- on our agenda, the standing items. And start with the update on the Secretary of State’s Notice of Withdrawal of Certification and Conditional Approval of Voting Systems that I noted earlier. Seemed to be a fairly successful effort. So why don’t you go ahead and give us the update on that.

MS. ROBINSON: Good morning, members of the board and members of the public.

Fifty-five counties have implemented a CVSS certified voting system as of today. Two counties are in various
stages of procuring a CVSS certified voting system or have
submitted a request for extension. And one county will use a
blended system configuration. And what that means is the
county will retain the use of a legacy accessible device in
the polling place; however, the county has updated its voting
system for tabulation to a CVSS certified voting system.

The upcoming key dates. So as of February 27th,
2020 -- or through February 27th of 2020, elections scheduled
six months from August 27th shall not be affected by the
action of withdrawal certification. Therefore, federal,
state, and county, municipal, district, or school elections
scheduled on or before February 27th, 2020 may continue to use
voting systems not tested and certified to CVSS.

On February 28th, 2020, voting systems not tested and
certified to CVSS may no longer be used except for those
jurisdictions that have received a conditional approval for
extension of use by the Secretary of State’s office.

Any questions?
CHAIR KAUFMAN: I was just curious. So which
counties are requesting extensions?

MS. ROBINSON: Of the remaining two counties are
Modoc and Lassen.

CHAIR KAUFMAN: Okay.

MS. AWANO LAGMAY: And the county using the blended
system?
MS. ROBINSON: Yolo County.

MS. AWANO LAGMAY: Thank you.

CHAIR KAUFMAN: And, I mean, just out of curiosity, do you anticipate any issues with respect to their request for extension? I mean, do you really have a choice at this point?

MS. ROBINSON: At this time no, we do not expect any issues or anticipate any.

CHAIR KAUFMAN: Okay. Any other questions?

All right. Then why don’t we go on to our next report about Los Angeles County. That’s big news.

MS. ROBINSON: So the County of Los Angeles Voting Solutions for All People or VSAP Tally Version 2.0 was certified on January 24th of 2020. It has the following components: Tally, which is the hardware and software that captures and processes ballot images ensuring that votes on paper ballots are digitally represented and counted and stored as images or cast vote records.

Their ballot marking devices. It’s the essential component of the voting system and the main interface for the voter. It includes a touch screen, an audio tactile interface, a paper handler, a QR code scanner, a dual switch input, and an integrated ballot box. The BMD is used by voters to generate, verify, and cast paper ballots.

Format OS. Format OS is the application used to wipe
new ballot marking devices.

BMD BASI is the application used -- the software used to provide the functionality for the BMD. And then BMD BESI is the application for election software within the BMD.

BMD Manager is the ballot marking device manager application for managing BMDs including software, ballot configurations, and postelection data.

VSAP Ballot Layout or VBL, it defines ballot print formats for the BMD, vote by mail, remote accessible vote by mail, and Uniformed Overseas Citizens Absentee Voting Act ballots. VBL also generates data files and packages to configure the BMD, BMG, ISB, and Tally.

The Enterprise signing authority. A cryptographic substation hardware and software that ensures components of the VSAP conform to security standards and the data passed to components is secure and authenticated.

And then last, the IBML Image Track 6400 is the high-speed scanner used in conjunction with the Tally tabulation software to tabulate ballots.

Do you have any questions?

CHAIR KAUFMAN: I have one question. I know the City of Beverly Hills just filed a lawsuit on the LA County’s system. Was the certification before that lawsuit was filed or after? I don’t recall the exact timing. And does that affect -- do you know anything about the status of that
lawsuit and how the certification affects that?

   MS. ROBINSON: I am not a -- I cannot speak about that. I’m not privy to that information.

   MS. LEAN: The Secretary of State was not named so I don’t have any information on that.

   CHAIR KAUFMAN: Okay. I think it had to do with the more button which is an issue that was addressed during certification.

   Okay. I will seek that information from LA County. I don’t know what the status is today, I just know it was filed last week.

   Any questions of staff about LA County?

   MS. LAVINE: I had a couple. In the last page, it kind of talks about all the -- I don’t want to say issues but the concerns you have with the system and things that they need to look at. And most of them are easy fixes, you know, the ballot jamming and more messages, that type of thing.

   And on a couple of these it says within five months from the date of this you’ll report back or you’ll do something. Do -- does that come back to this board? Does it just go to you? How do -- how do they prove that within that five months they have done what has been requested in the certification?

   MS. ROBINSON: So if there’s any action that’s been taken on the system, that goes back to the Secretary’s Office
of Voting Systems Technology Assessment and at that time, our staff will review any fixes or mitigations they have put in place and determine if we need to do further testing.

MS. LAVINE: Okay.

MS. ROBINSON: Okay.

MS. LAVINE: Thank you.

CHAIR KAUFMAN: And just to be clear, it’s not the prerogative of this board to oversee or opine on the certification process with the Secretary of State but at some point LA County will be coming to us to seek their funding.

MS. LAVINE: Yeah. I was looking the process as how does this come, you know, with -- with the five-month deadline, you know, you’re tight. But like I say, most of these things are minor, just kind of, okay, need to tweak that, tweak that. But where does it go back or who -- who sees it to be sure it did get done? So thank you for that clarification.

CHAIR KAUFMAN: Right.

June, questions?

MS. AWANO LAGMAY: I don’t have a question as much as an observation. I was interested and I fault myself for not already knowing about alternate ballot order pursuant to SB25. This was one of the concerns I had early on in that with a combined ballot, local offices would appear at the very end of the ballot. But this flips the whole thing
completely over which is great.

So local offices come first and then county offices, and then way down at the end finally is the president. So it’s a -- it’s an incentive for people to really work through the -- the material and not just do president, then leave the voting booth.

So I just wanted to say that was very gratifying to read. Thank you.

CHAIR KAUFMAN: And that’s an LA County only --

MS. AWANO LAGMAY: Imagine.

CHAIR KAUFMAN: -- measure. Yes. So. We’ll see how that goes over.

All right. We have one more report. I’m assuming it’s not vastly changed from last time. But the update on counties’ remaining VMB funding. Anything that needs to be added on that?

MS. KAKU: Nothing to add. I was just going to comment on the counties that were approved for funding back in 2019.

So El Dorado County is currently waiting for the last of their invoices to come in for their system.

Fresno County just submitted their payment request and so we are processing that now.

Humboldt County’s check is with the State Controller’s Office so we’re waiting for that to come back.
San Luis Obispo is putting together their payment request.

Los Angeles and Madera Counties have been paid.

And as for --

MS. AWANO LAGMAY: I’m sorry, Los Angeles and the other one?

MS. KAKU: Madera.

MS. AWANO LAGMAY: Madera. Thank you.

CHAIR KAUFMAN: For LA’s limited request.

MS. AWANO LAGMAY: Correct.

MS. KAKU: Yes. And for Alameda County who was supposed to come before the board, I’ve reached out to them a few times and have not gotten a response from them. So I don’t anticipate them coming anytime soon.

And then Calaveras County has asked a few questions about the Project Documentation Plan. So I will reach out and find out if they have a plan as to when they would like to come forward. So those are the only updates on counties to come forward.

Do you have any questions?

CHAIR KAUFMAN: Any questions from commissioners?

MS. AWANO LAGMAY: Did you mention San Luis Obispo? You kind of went kind of fast on that list.

MS. KAKU: Oh, I’m sorry. Yes, San Luis Obispo is putting together their payment request.
MS. AWANO LAGMAY: Thank you.

CHAIR KAUFMAN: Okay. Still about 60 million sitting out there.

All righty. Thank you for those reports. Do we have any other business to discuss? I think we have other tentative dates on calendar for the year. Yes?

MS. LEAN: Yes. The next meeting is currently scheduled for April 29th.

CHAIR KAUFMAN: Okay. We’ll see if anybody gets their act together given the election between now and then.

MS. LEAN: Well, they’ll be done with the canvass, so we’ll see.

CHAIR KAUFMAN: We’ll see.

All right. Anything else anybody wishes to bring up?

UNKNOWN SPEAKER: No.

CHAIR KAUFMAN: Okay. So with that, at 11:05ish, I will look for a motion to adjourn.

MS. AWANO LAGMAY: I will motion to adjourn this meeting.

MS. LAVINE: And I second that motion.

CHAIR KAUFMAN: And I assume we will all vote yes.

All in favor.

MS. AWANO LAGMAY: Yes.

MS. LAVINE: Aye.
CHAIR KAUFMAN: Aye. Thank you. The meeting is adjourned.

(Thereupon, the hearing was adjourned at 11:05 a.m.)
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