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CHAIR KAUFMAN: Thank you everybody. We're going to call the meeting of the Voting Modernization Board to order. It is Wednesday, May 6, 2020 and why don't we have a call of the roll of the Board Members?

MS. KAKU: Okay, so Stephen Kaufman?

CHAIR KAUFMAN: Here.

MS. KAKU: June Lagmay?

MS. LAGMAY: Here.

MS. KAKU: Jill LaVine?

MS. LAVINE: Yeah, I'm here.

MS. KAKU: Gabriel Sandoval?

MR. SANDOVAL: Hi, there.

MS. KAKU: Okay.

CHAIR KAUFMAN: Okay. We have a quorum and are ready to proceed. I want to welcome everybody today. I'm sorry that we all cannot be together in person, but under these unusual circumstances I appreciate everybody either hopping on the phone or hopping on the video.

It's great to see everybody. This seems to be some of our only social contact these days, so it's nice to see those faces, most of them smiling. For those of you who aren't smiling, please get your smiles on and we will take care of our business at a distance today. But I want
to thank everybody for kind of cooperating and pulling
together to get this meeting in order. And I appreciate
everybody bearing with us as we try to work our way through
this process online here this morning.

We've got a few big things on our agenda, so we
want to make sure we cover everything and give everything
the time and attention that it deserves. And appreciate
those of you from the County of Los Angeles and I hear some
other counties hopping on the phone today to facilitate
your appearances, so thank you for that.

Okay let's begin by taking any public comment for
matters that are not on the agenda. Do we have any folks
on the line or on video who wish to provide public comment
on any matters not on the agenda?

(No audible response.)

CHAIR KAUFMAN: Okay, hearing none we will then
move to Item 4 on our agenda, which is the adoption of the
January 29, 2020, Actions & Meeting Minutes. We have the
action items summarized and then we have the meeting
minutes from the last meeting that were distributed. Do we
have a motion from any of my fellow Board members to
approve the action items and minutes and/or do we have any
comments about and corrections that are warranted on those?

MS. LAGMAY: Mr. Chair, June Lagmay here. Just
two tiny technical non-substantive changes, which I could
talk to staff about, otherwise the minutes look fine.

CHAIR KAUFMAN: Okay, awesome. Sounds like June is making a motion to approve the minutes subject to a couple of small technical changes, correct?

MS. LAGMAY: Correct. I make that motion.

CHAIR KAUFMAN: Okay. And do we have a second?

MS. LAVINE: This is Jill, Jill LaVine, and I will second.

CHAIR KAUFMAN: Okay. And I think just because we're all on the line and it makes it a little awkward we should probably do a roll call vote for all our votes today just so nothing gets muddled crossing the lines.

MS. KAKU: Okay. Stephen Kaufman?

CHAIR KAUFMAN: Aye.

MS. KAKU: June Lagmay?

MS. LAGMAY: Aye.

MS. KAKU: Jill LaVine?

MS. LAVINE: Aye.

MS. KAKU: Gabriel Sandoval?

MR. SANDOVAL: Aye.

MS. KAKU: Okay.

CHAIR KAUFMAN: All right. That takes care of that piece of business.

All right, next let's go to Item 5 on the agenda. So these are standing items that we have that the Board
requested a year or so ago to provide periodic updates to
the Board members on various matters, most of which were
leading up to the March election. And now that we're past
that we may see if we can potentially reconsider at least
one or two of these after we get through today's
presentations. But we would like to hear presentations on
these issues to bring us up to date on where we're at.

So Number 5A on the agenda is an Update on the
Secretary of State's Notice of Withdrawal of Certification
and Conditional Approval of Voting Systems. There were a
few counties who received waivers with respect to the March
election. So I guess we'd like to hear a staff update on
where we are with respect to what occurred in March and
where we are with those counties that received waivers
before March.

MS. ROBINSON: Good morning members of the Board,
members of the public, county officials and Secretary of
State staff. This is NaKesha Robinson with the Secretary
of State Office of Voting Systems Technology Assessments.
As of February 28, 2020, voting systems not tested and
certified to CVSS may no longer be used except for those
jurisdictions that have received a conditional approval for
extension of use by the Secretary of State.

Approximately 55 counties have implemented a CVSS
certified voting system going into the March 2020 Primary.
Two counties, Lassen and Modoc, are in the final stages of implementing a CVSS certified voting system prior to the November general election.

        One county, Yolo, will use a blended-system configuration. The county retained the use of Legacy-accessible devices in the polling place; however, the county has updated its voting system for tabulation to a CVSS certified voting system. That concludes my report.

        CHAIR KAUFMAN: Okay. It sounded to me when we started this meeting like we had representatives of at least Lassen and maybe Modoc on the phone or on video with us this morning; is that correct?

        MS. BUSTAMANTE: Yes. Lassen is on the phone.

        CHAIR KAUFMAN: Okay. I was wondering if then we could call on you to comment at all on the status on your efforts in Lassen County?

        MS. BUSTAMANTE: I'm going to the Board of Supervisors on Tuesday and asking them to approve a contract with ES&S so that we can move forward and get certified.

        CHAIR KAUFMAN: And that would be certification for November, correct?

        MS. BUSTAMANTE: Right. Correct.

        CHAIR KAUFMAN: And what system is the ES&S system? What kind of system?
MS. BUSTAMANTE: I don't have the wording in front of me, I'm sorry. I wasn't expecting to talk.

CHAIR KAUFMAN: Well okay, we're just seeking information to see what kind of a system that was being implemented in Lassen County.

MS. ROBINSON: Member Kaufman, well, this is NaKesha. I can answer that.

CHAIR KAUFMAN: Okay. Go ahead.

MS. ROBINSON: So she is planning to implement Election Systems & Software for ES&S's EVS 6.0.4.2 voting system.

CHAIR KAUFMAN: Okay. Well, maybe you can put that in language that we can understand. What kind of system is it? Is it a type of --

MS. ROBINSON: It's a voting --

CHAIR KAUFMAN: Is it assessments? I mean, like what type --

MS. ROBINSON: -- a voting system used to tabulate --

CHAIR KAUFMAN: Go ahead.

MS. ROBINSON: So it has a couple of components. It has accessible units for use in a polling place, which is a touchscreen-based system. It also has a tabulation (indiscernible: overlapping colloquy) the central office.

MR. HOLTZMAN: (Indiscernible) -- our Applicant
Review Panel for the California Redistricting Commission,
(indiscernible) --

CHAIR KAUFMAN: (Overlapping) I'm sorry, someone on the line needs to mute. David Holtzman you need to mute yourself.

MR. HOLTZMAN: This is David Adam Holtzman, "H-O-L-T-Z-M-A-N."

CHAIR KAUFMAN: David, can you mute yourself? You're not -- somebody else is talking right now. If you wish to -- well, we'll call -- (indiscernible)

MR. HOLTZMAN: (Overlapping) I'm calling, because I can't find the reports that were just provided to the Board online. I was wondering if someone could go on the Webcast and say how to do that.

CHAIR KAUFMAN: All right. Well the materials are posted on the website, but let us get -- let NaKesha finish her report and then we'll ask Jordan to point your attention to the information on the website.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: ((indiscernible)?)

MR. HOLTZMAN: No, no, no. I just gave you my real name. But I'm trying to -- my comment, maybe you can help me get through to them, is I can't find the documents that said would be online right now.

CHAIR KAUFMAN: David Holtzman?

MS. KAKU: Hi, Mr. Holtzman.
CHAIR KAUFMAN: David Holtzman, can you please --
can we please --

MR. HOLTZMAN: Oh, I'm sorry. I just reloaded my
page and they're there. All right, thank you. Scrap all
of that. Thank you very much.

CHAIR KAUFMAN: Jordan, are we able to mute Dave?

MS. KAKU: Sorry. Yeah, I'm trying to mute him
on here. He doesn't have the mute ability on here.

CHAIR KAUFMAN: All right. Okay. NaKesha, sorry
for the interruption. If you can just complete what you
were saying. Sorry.

MS. ROBINSON: Yes. So as I was saying the
County plans to implement Election Systems & Software, or
ES&S's EVS 6.0.4.2 Voting System. It contains an
accessible unit that they use at the polling place, which
is touchscreen-based as well as a central tabulation system
to be used at headquarters to tabulate vote-by-mail ballots
as well as precinct ballots if they do not retain the use
of a precinct tabulator.

CHAIR KAUFMAN: Okay. All right. So it sounds
like Lassen is headed towards getting approval on a
contract for a certified system and that's being
implemented. And I'm sorry, and Modoc, did you comment on
kind of where they're -- do you know where they're at on
the process? NaKesha?
MS. ROBINSON: Modoc is also -- yes. Yes, Modoc is also about in the same streamline as Lassen in terms of implementing a CVSS certified voting system. There has been some delays given the COVID-19 situation. But both counties, as Lassen mentioned earlier and Modoc as well from the last report I would see from this, are planning to implement prior to the November election.

CHAIR KAUFMAN: Good. All right, fellow Commissioners any questions of staff on this issue?

MS. LAGMAY: Commissioner Lagmay here. No questions.

CHAIR KAUFMAN: Go ahead.

MS. LAVINE: Jill LaVine, no questions.

CHAIR KAUFMAN: Thank you. Do we have any comment from members of the public an Item 5A on the agenda?

(No audible response.)

CHAIR KAUFMAN: Okay, so hearing none we'll move on to -- actually, what I want to do is go to 5C, which is an update from the status of communities with remaining VMB Funds. And I guess I would say with respect to 5A let's just keep that standing item going given that there's still a couple of counties pending, so we can get the final -- hopefully a final report at our next Board meeting leading into the November election.
Okay, so let's go to 5C and then we'll come back to 5B. And it's an update on the VMB funding allocations for the counties. And is that coming from NaKesha as well?

MS. KAKU: All right just one second, I'm going back to sharing. Okay, so on your screen should be the remaining funding allocation amounts as of this month for all of the counties.

And so just an update, I have reached out to Alameda County a few times and they have not been able to give me an answer as to when they'll be able to come forward.

El Dorado counties just submitted their payment funding requests for what they were approved last year, so that will be processed over those next couple of weeks when we should be receiving their check.

We have Los Angeles with us today, so that'll take out a very large chunk of what's remaining. And we are still waiting for a funding request from San Luis Obispo County for what they were approved last year.

CHAIR KAUFMAN: Okay. So it's approved, but just the waiting to be funded?

MS. KAKU: Yes.

CHAIR KAUFMAN: Okay. And are all the other ones on here just waiting for some kind of submission or not?

MS. KAKU: Yes.
CHAIR KAUFMAN: So no others that are funding requests that are just waiting to be paid out?

MS. KAKU: Correct. So what we'll be doing is just another round of reaching out to all of the counties with remaining funds to see when they plan to come forward and if they can have a plan to come forward anytime soon.

CHAIR KAUFMAN: Okay. Very good. Any other questions fellow Commissioners?

MS. LAGMAY: June Lagmay here. I just noticed that San Diego has a moderately large sum still waiting (indiscernible) bill. Is there any indication from them? Or they are not really rushed to get that money and/or any reason to formally ask for it?

MS. KAKU: To my knowledge, no. I haven't spoken with San Diego recently about funding requests, but I can reach out to them specifically.

MS. LAGMAY: Well, not necessarily. It's just they have money in the bank and yet they don't want to withdraw it. I was just curious, because it's a large amount. But no, that's fine. If you haven't heard anything then you haven't heard anything. Thank you so much.

MR. SANDOVAL: Hi, this is Gabriel. I don't have any questions.

MS. KAKU: Okay.
MS. LEAN: Hi, this is Jana. And I did actually talk with San Diego. They do plan to come forward. They don't have an exact date. They are going to purchase some additional equipment though for the November elections, so once I get more information from Michael Vu I will let you know. And hopefully we'll have some by the next meeting that is scheduled.

MS. LAGMAY: Great, thank you.

CHAIR KAUFMAN: Okay, thanks. Thank you Jana.

Okay, let's go back to Item 5B. And the reason I did that is LA County is going to be -- we want to hear about LA County. And I think that'll just be a good lead-in to Item 6, which will also be LA County's project documentation plan and funding request.

So I guess we'd like to start on 5B with an update on the implementation of LA County's Voting Solutions for All People, VSAP system, which we've been hearing updates for the better part of the last year and a half on this. And we know that LA County had its first election, a county-wide election, under the VSAP program, so we want to hear -- I think staff has a brief report on it.

And then I know LA County had a video presentation or a PowerPoint presentation they wanted to present to help supplement on this particular item. So I
think it would be a good lead-in for us to fully understand the system before we get to Item Number 6 on the agenda.

So let me start with the staff here with respect to the staff report. And then we can segue to Dean Logan and the County.

MS. KAKU: Okay. So I will just take back over the screen with the staff report then.

(Setting up the report on WebEx.)

MS. KAKU: All right. Okay, everybody, can you see the staff report?

CHAIR KAUFMAN: Yeah. Isn't that the project documentation staff report up there?

MS. KAKU: Okay.

CHAIR KAUFMAN: Is there -- let's go on 5B, that one.

MS. KAKU: Oh. Oh, you wanted to start with his presentation. Okay. Sorry, I thought you meant this one.

CHAIR KAUFMAN: Well is there a staff report on 5B? Or are we just --

MS. KAKU: No, no. He just had a PowerPoint he wanted to share.

CHAIR KAUFMAN: Okay. Then I guess we'll go to Dean's on 5B.

MS. KAKU: Got it. Okay, apologies for that. Hold on.
MR. LOGAN: All right. Good morning, everybody this is Dean Logan In Los Angeles County. Can you see the PowerPoint?

MS. KAKU: Yes.

CHAIR KAUFMAN: Yes, we can. And thank you Dean for being here today and for preparing this presentation for us to go through.

MR. LOGAN: Certainly, so I will do this relatively briefly. As you indicated, Mr. Chair, we have been providing these reports at each of the meetings I think over the last year. So I think most of this is fairly familiar, so I'll go through this relatively quickly. And then any questions that come up during the funding item we can address those there.

So first of all, just again a reminder that what the Voting Solutions for All People Initiative or program was, was holistic. It was about the entire voting experience in Los Angeles County including the adoption of Voter's Choice Act model, included a modern tally system, the interactive sample ballot, the ballot marking device for in-person voting, early voting over a 10-day period in compliance with the Voter's Choice Act, that is taking place at vote centers. And then utilizing electronic poll books for the check-in at those locations. And then also augmented by the new Vote by Mail experience.
So the current status of that is that VSAP 2.0 was developed, certified and implemented for the March 3rd, 2020 Presidential Primary. It is now going through system refinement improvements for, and has been submitted for recertification with modifications prior to the November 2020 Presidential General Election. So some of those -- most of those components were things that were part of the conditions of certification in the initial certification. And then other things that were identified during the March election and that are being refined moving forward. So that application has been committed to the Secretary of State's Office. The Secretary of State's Office has selected a testing consultant and we are working through that process now.

Just a little bit of history and background on building the system. The VSAP Tally 2.0 was built through a combination of hardware and software manufacturing, software development and procurement of off-the-shelf systems.

So there were a number of components associated with that, the most significant the Ballot Marking devices that are used for in-person voting. Those were developed, they were designed by and with and by LA County with IDEO, who has been their system design firm. And then they were manufactured by Smartmatic, who was selected through a
competitive bid. But just a reminder that the specifications for those for that equipment and the design features of that are actually owned by LA County.

There was also the development of the Interactive Sample Ballot and Remote Accessible Vote by Mail Solution. And that software was developed based on our specifications. Also by Smartmatic. It was part of the same manufacturing contract. The Interactive Sample Ballot allows a voter to, as the title implies, to interact with their sample ballot ahead of the elections. They get them in electronic form. They can review it, mark their selections and if they so desire that creates what we refer to as a poll pass that they can take with them to the vote center and hand that in to the Ballot Marking Device. It'll pre-populate their selection into the review process before printing out and casting their ballot.

A variation or a similar program operates for to comply with the state requirements for a remote, excuse me, remote accessible Vote-by-Mail solution that's part of our accessibility features.

The Tally software was developed by contract with Digital Foundry. Again, the code belongs and is owned by Los Angeles County. We procured off-the-shelf tally servers and scanners. The servers were procured from ePlus. And tally scanners are produced through IBML,
they're high-speed ballot scanners.

Additionally, although this isn't part of the funding mechanism that you're looking at today but another component, obviously an important component, is the ePollbook. And we selected through a procurement process the Poll Pads, which were our product from KNOWiNK. And that's an off-the-shelf solution that has been tested and certified and is one of the ePollbook solutions that is authorized for use by the Secretary of State in California.

Certification, I mentioned this earlier but the VSAP 2.0 voting system, which was all inclusive, that includes the BMD and the Tally, received certification from the State on January 24th, 2020. The Interactive Sample Ballot and remote accessible Vote-by-Mail solution was certified by the Secretary of State on January 29, 2020.

Implementation, going back a little bit we implemented the Tally portion, the first version of the Tally portion, VSAP Tally 1.0 and the new VSAP Vote-by-Mail ballots in the November 2018 general election. And we use those in all subsequent election since then leading up to the March election in 2020. And voters responded well to the new VBM ballot and the tally system operated with no challenges.

We did implement the VSAP 2.0 solution in the Presidential Primary in March of 2020. And that while many
of the components performed well there were some issues
with the -- some extensive issues with the vote center
check-in process that required analysis and review. That
was presented in a report back to the L.A. County Board of
Supervisors on April 27, 2020. And as I indicated some of
those issues will be addressed in the recertification of
the VSAP solution as I referenced before. And then others
will be addressed to the ePollbook procurement relationship
with KNOWiNK.

CHAIR KAUFMAN: Dean, if you could just hold on
one sec. May I just ask, somebody is typing on a keyboard
or whatever who isn't muted. So if you can just make sure
to mute yourself so it doesn't interrupt the presentation
we'd appreciate it. Thank you.

Go ahead.

MR. LOGAN: Sure. So next steps, I just mentioned
it, the system refinement that we're doing right now based
on learning through the Presidential Primary. And to meet
the conditions of certification it does require some
further system development and recertification prior to the
November 2020 Presidential Election. So again, that
application has already been submitted attesting a
contractor has already been selected by the Secretary of
State and we are moving through that process right now.

Similarly, there are some modifications and
improvements under development for the Interactive Sample Ballot and the Tally system as well as the BMD. So that's the work that we're doing right now.

I mentioned the application for certification and the new version of that system once certified by the Secretary of State is what we intend to use for the November 2020 Presidential Election.

I should mention here that we also had special elections in April of this year in two municipalities in LA County. We have a special Congressional District Election that we're conducting right now that the election date is next Tuesday. And then we also have two special elections on June 2nd. All of those are being conducted using this system as well.

And just a review as far as specific to the Voting Modernization Board we've been through two rounds of funding, two rounds of successful funding with your Board. And those are highlighted here on the screen. Round 1 was in May of 2019. That was funding for reimbursement for the VSAP Tally 1.0, the Ballot on Demand equipment and Peripherals.

Round 2 was in May 6th of this year which covered extensive portion of the Ballot Marking Devices, the Interactive Sample Ballot and the development of the 2.0 version of the Tally system.
And then we have the Round 3, which is before you today. At the end of that, not to jump in front of the staff report, but there will be a small balance remaining that we will be submitting an application to you by the end of this month for one final round of funding. And then you will be done with us at that point. (Laughter.)

CHAIR KAUFMAN: He says with confidence. Thank you for that, Dean. I guess I have a couple of questions before we then go ahead on the actual project implementation plan. You mentioned that the ePollbooks are not part of today's submission. Is that basically, because you had enough - basically you had enough in there that we didn't even get to that. Or is there a reason that those are not part of this submission?

MR. LOGAN: Yeah, that was just an option on our part or for prioritizing the funding. And so the funding available to the Voting Modernization Board was targeted towards the development of the Tally system and the Ballot Marking Device.

CHAIR KAUFMAN: Okay. And if down the road as a result of the fact that we just saw that there is remaining funding too that's left on the table that becomes available, we could potentially see that or other stuff down the road if there's more funding in the second round?

MR. LOGAN: Correct.
CHAIR KAUFMAN: Okay.

MR. LOGAN: All right.

CHAIR KAUFMAN: And more out of curiosity than anything, I know that there is some modifications taking place that will require recertification. And I know on the Secretary of State's original conditional certification there was -- I hate to bring up past issues, but there was an issue about the "MORE" button. Have whatever issues about that been dealt with or are there any issues being dealt with on that front at all?

MR. LOGAN: That particular condition requires us to do an analysis and report back to the Secretary of State. It was not a condition that was linked to any of the provisions of the voting system standards. Nor was it a provision that required recertification. It was more just a recognition that that issue had been raised and asking for a report back. So that said, we are doing that analysis now. And it is highly likely that there will be a modification presented on that issue, but it is not one of the minimum requirements for us to address for recertification.

CHAIR KAUFMAN: Oh, okay. Well a recertification issue is the problem with issues.

MR. LOGAN: Yes.

CHAIR KAUFMAN: Okay. Fellow Board members do
you have questions of Mr. Logan regarding the
implementation of the VSAP system? Again putting aside,
we're not getting to the funding request yet but just with
respect to the system itself?

MR. SANDOVAL: This is regarding some of the
issues you raised. Dean Logan, thank you for being here
this morning. What were some of the check-in issues that
were identified and how is the County addressing those
issues or what comes in to elections in November?

MR. LOGAN: Yeah, probably on a more
comprehensive basis, Commissioner, I would refer you to the
report that we issued to the LA County Board of
Supervisors. And I can provide a link to that. But
essentially there were issues with the synchronization, the
frequency of the synchronization of the ePollbooks to the
County's election management system through the voter
registration database. And there were also issues with the
inventory of those devices in terms of the capacity at the
vote centers with the number of functional ePollbooks and
the training on the use of those.

There was also an issue in the configuration or
the interface on the ePollbooks that made the voter look-up
feature more complex and more time-consuming than what was
originally identified to us when we selected the product.
And we need to work that out as well. So in other words,
with a database of 5.6 million registered voters it was a fairly broad look-up feature. So if you had a common name it required people would have to scroll through and do a number of other entries in order to find the voter. And that contributed to longer periods of time for voters to get checked in.

MR. SANDOVAL: Thank you.

CHAIR KAUFMAN: Commissioner Lagmay, any questions?

MS. LAGMAY: Hi, June Lagmay here. Hi Dean, how are you?

MR. LOGAN: I'm good thanks.

MS. LAGMAY: So the matter that you just expressed, that it took longer than you would have expected, the looking-up that was not discovered during like a practice run? It had to have happened during the real thing, and so it did. And then that became a problem to be addressed by systems, which will be improved on later?

MR. LOGAN: That's correct.

MS. LAGMAY: Okay. Okay, thank you.

That's all I have.

CHAIR KAUFMAN: Commissioner LaVine.

MS. LAVINE: This is Jill LaVine. And no, I don't have any questions. I've read the report. Thank you.
CHAIR KAUFMAN: Good.

Do we have any public comments on this item? Not with respect to Item 6A the County's submission, but just with respect to the presentation of the status of the implementation of the VSAP program.

MS. KAKU: Stephen, I see that Mr. Holtzman has his hand raised in the WebEx.

CHAIR KAUFMAN: Sorry, I missed that.

MS. KAKU: Yeah, there you go (overlapping colloquy)

CHAIR KAUFMAN: David Holtzman.

MR. HOLTZMAN: Hello.

CHAIR KAUFMAN: Hi there, David.

MR. HOLTZMAN: Get me off -- yeah, now I'm unmuted. Hi. Hello everybody. I just wanted to say hi. The PBM polling situation was overall pretty cool. I went to several polling places to check it out though and wanted to mention a couple of things I think Mr. Logan left out of his report.

One is where I went there was pretty consistently a 20 percent mail rate of the Ballot Marking Devices, the BMD (indiscernible) You know, one in five, two in ten, seeing those (indiscernible) so many of them were kicked out of service, because they weren't working.

I also noticed that some polling places the poll
workers were taking ballots out of the machines and putting them on the tables face up. And I could read the votes of some voters that way, so one might want to tighten up those procedures.

And also to -- well I guess that's going to be the next item -- but also wanted to point out Mr. Logan mentioned the poll pass feature. And I just wanted to let you know in case you don't already know that I wrote an article that was about the poll pass feature that was published on the LA City Watch site and on the LA Progressive site. And I encourage you to read my article before you vote to approve any more funds for anything like the poll pass feature.

So those are my comments for this item. Thank you.

CHAIR KAUFMAN: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Holtzman.

Okay, with that let us move on to Item 6A on the agenda, which is the Project Documentation Plan for Los Angeles County and the Funding Reward request. And this is Phase 3 of the County's submission. And I just wanted to note the staff report, and just for the record, that at the bottom of the first page I think it says, "Phase 2," which is Phase 3. But just to be clear we are in Phase 3 at this point.

And so let's take a staff report on this
submission that's just something you've been waiting for here for quite a long time. I know this we've (indiscernible) waiting to get to this point. And we've been waiting to get to this point, because it is a significant chunk of money that we've been holding in advance for LA County for some time. And I'm personally very glad we are finally at this place.

So let's have the staff presentation on this. And then we can ask questions of staff and we can ask the counties. I know Mr. Logan is here and some other folks from the County are at the meeting so they can make some comment on their submission as well. But let's start with the staff report.

MS. KAKU: Okay, thank you, Stephen.

So if everyone can see on their screen we have the Phase 3 Project Documentation Staff Report for Los Angeles County. And I will start with the table noted here for their funding requests. I will note there was another funding request that the County came before the Board. However, it was rescinded later on so I just didn't include it because there were no funds dispersed to the County. However, the Los Angeles County was initially allocated this $49,636,590.28 for their Phase 1 funding. Back in 2004 the County was -- this was awarded $639,000 but was only disbursed $610,353.
Came back for a Phase 2 last year in May. The County came before the Board with a system cost of $4,697,952, however they were only awarded like 2,803,990.25. That was disbursed and provided to the County later on.

And today the County comes before us with a project for the second part of the VSAP. The office is recommending $46,520,245.84; out of that $46 million, $222,246. The estimated total system cost is $62 million and upon approval of the recommended funding award amount, the County would have a remaining $170,000.06.

So for this third modernization phase, that second phase of the Voting Solutions for All People, the County has submitted payment requests in the amount for some IBML Imagetrac Scanners, Ballot Marking Devices, servers as well as some software as Dean had mentioned earlier in his presentation.

The VSAP 2.0 system was conditionally certified on January 24th, 2020, by the California Secretary of State's Office. Los Angeles County's second phase of the VSAP 2.0 system was fully implemented during the March 2020 Presidential Primary Election.

Los Angeles County Phase 3 Project Documentation Plan appears the funding application requirements for completeness. The VSAP 2.0 system and corresponding
components are certified for use by Los Angeles County. The VSAP project was launched by Los Angeles County back in 2009 in response to growing voting system needs and unique challenges as a result of the increasing voting population and complexity of election administration.

The County asserts that the previous voting system did not offer the technical and functional elasticity necessary to accommodate the growing demands of the electorate's diversity and size. As such, Registrar-Recorder/County Clerk's Office sought to create a comprehensive system that could fulfill the goals of increased accuracy, transparency and security with a human-centered focus.

Los Angeles County has adopted a multi-phased approach to modernizing its voting system. Phase one funding was awarded to the County for 171 AccuVote TS Diebold units back in 2004. Phase 2 of the County's modernization strategy was the first step in implementing this VSAP system. The County was awarded funds for their new Vote-by-Mail Tally system in May of 2019. This included a redesigned Vote-by-Mail ballot, a modernization polling system and a certified ballot-on-demand system. These components were fully implemented during the November 2019 general election.

So for Phase 3 of the County's voting system
modernization Los Angeles County contracted with Digital Foundry, Smartmatic USA Corporation, IBML and E-PLUS Technologies to complete the VSAP 2.0 system. That system is the "in-person voting experience" consisting of the expansion of the Vote-by-Mail Tally system that was part of the previous Phase 2 modernization, ePollbooks, Interactive Sample Ballot and ISB Remote Accessible Vote-by-Mail systems and Ballot Marking Devices; again as Dean highlighted earlier.

So Los Angeles County contracted with Digital Foundry for services that included development, manufacturing and testing of software which directly led to the completion and acquisition of the conditionally certified VSAP 2.0 system. This includes the expansion of the tally system and ballot layout. The County partnered with Smartmatic USA Corporation for manufacturing the BMDs as well as ISB and ISB RAVBMS software solutions, the sample ballot and remote accessible Vote-by-Mail ballot. So the County purchased additional IBM tally centers in order to expand the VSAP Tally system for which they had previously been reimbursed in 2019. Finally, the County purchased servers from E-Plus Technologies to accommodate the VSAP system.

The County has established two formal advisory committees to provide insight and expertise on the
implementation of their system. The VSAP Advisory Committee continues to be an active part of the implementation of the VSAP project. And is composed of 24 members, including community leaders from language minority groups, voters with disabilities and various ethnic communities to advise LA County on the creation and implementation process.

So the County also established the VSAP Technical Advisory Committee to provide oversight on the testing and implementation of the new software and hardware components. Los Angeles County contends that maintaining these committees helps the County maintain its goals and ensure in open, transparent, and data-driven process for modernizing its elections.

The County will only receive the VMB payments once they have submitted a payment request with detailed invoices for its certified voting equipment and software. Please note that the staff proposed funding award amount is based on allowable reimbursement under Proposition 41 for a certified voting equipment hardware and software. BMDs for vote center lab testing as well as activities related to future stewardship of the VSAP are not allowable for reimbursement under Proposition 41. Please note that other funding mechanisms are available to the County to seek reimbursement of these items.
A chart of non-allowable expenses is attached detailing all ineligible expenses from Los Angeles's Phase 3 Voting Modernizations.

So it is our recommendation that Los Angeles County's Phase 3 Project Documentation Plan be approved, and a Funding Award Letter be issued in the amount of $46,520,245.84.

And there is the Chart of Non-Allowable Expenses.

CHAIR KAUFMAN: Okay. Thank you for that, Jordan. I think well let's start with some just clarifying questions of staff. Then we'll have Dean Logan and the County comment. Then we can ask questions of them, we can take public comment and then move forward with this.

I had a question for clarification on the non-allowable expenses. Well, first of all I just wanted to say I know we were provided with substantial documentation from the County to support their request. And that the staff did a rigorous review of all of the documentation to try and sort through and determine the allowable and non-allowable expenses. So I'm wondering, Jordan, if you could just kind of just perhaps comment on that process and just comment on the -- a little further on the non-allowable expenses. And one of my questions I know it's with regard to the BMDs for the vote center lab testing. I wondered, and I could pose this to the County as well, but were those
BMDs strictly used for -- did we determine that those BMDs were used strictly for testing and not for other purposes?

MS. KAKU: So when I reached out to the County I asked if these were for certification of the system. And was told that they were for internal testing and they were not for certification. So we just ruled it out. However, beyond that it's we don't know what they have used those for. But that was what the invoice was for, was for lab testing. So we just ruled it out.

CHAIR KAUFMAN: Okay, we can direct further questions on that to the County. And appreciate that you guys have gone through and really tried to suss out to make sure that the requested amounts all fall within the scope of allowable payments under the VMB's mandate.

Other Board members have questions of staff before we turn to the County's presentation on this?

MR. SANDOVAL: No, I don't have. This is Gabriel.

MS. LAGMAY: June Lagmay here. Jordan, on the first page, the first table of the Phase 1, so you made a differentiation that $639,000 was awarded but only $610,000 was dispersed. So that means the County has not yet invoiced for like what, the $28,000 difference, is that correct?

MS. KAKU: Yes. What I could see was that there
wasn't another payment for that funding request. So it just from my understanding just goes back to the Board for it. And I could probably just go to staff who were here back in 2004 who could remember.

MS. LAGMAY: I'm sorry, did you say that money reverted back and so it has to be requested again from the Board?

MS. KAKU: It just goes back into the total.

MS. LEAN: Yeah. This is Jana, so that's correct. So it goes back into LA County's total allocation. So they didn't submit invoices for the full amount, so yes it is still in Los Angeles County's allocation.

MS. LAGMAY: So the $170,000 that's left, that includes that diverted $28,000?

MS. LEAN: Yes, ma'am. Yes.

MS. LAGMAY: Okay. Okay, and the last little thing is you've got it down on the first table ending in 6 cents, but on the reverse table you got it ending in 24 cents. So I think 24 cents is the correct figure if you do the subtraction. On Page 1 that $170,000.06 should maybe be .24 cents is all I'm saying.

MS. KAKU: .24 cents. Okay.

MS. LEAN: Thank you, ma'am. We will get that updated.
MS. KAKU: Yeah.

MS. LAGMAY: Just a little thing. Thank you so much. That's all I have.

CHAIR KAUFMAN: And thanks, June, for clarifying the remaining funding in that carry-over amount.

MS. LAGMAY: Great.

CHAIR KAUFMAN: Gabriel, do you have any questions of staff before we get to the County?

MR. SANDOVAL: No, I mentioned that I didn't have any staff questions at this time. Thank you.

CHAIR KAUFMAN: Sorry about that. And Jill LaVine?

MS. LAVINE: This is Jill LaVine and actually you asked my question, so I'm okay. Thank you.

CHAIR KAUFMAN: Okay, then let's turn to Mr. Logan and then anyone else from the County who may be part of this, part of the presentation.

MR. LOGAN: Thank you, Mr. Chair. So this is Dean Logan from Los Angeles County. I think most of what was covered in the staff report was also covered in my report to you.

The only thing that I would add is just to make note of, and this was covered earlier in your agenda, that what started this project it was based on the age and the deficiencies with the County's Legacy voting system. But
over the migration of the project, the County's voting system also was subject to the decertification of the system the Secretary announced prior to the 2020 election cycle.

So just so that it's on the record that there was a mandated need for a new voting system in Los Angeles County. And further there was an analysis conducted that demonstrated that the certified and viable -- or certified and available systems for use in California were not scalable or viable for Los Angeles County.

The only other thing I would add is, and I appreciate your question Mr. Chair, under the Phase 3 not-allowable expenses in the staff report. While there was considerable back-and-forth with the County on the future stewardship backlog and we do not dispute the exclusion of those expenses there was early on -- there was an inquiry about the BMDs for vote center lab testing. And we responded to that. And then we didn't hear back further on that until we saw this report, so we would dispute the exclusion of that amount.

While those the BMDs were delivered for test lab purposes they were also associated with a deliverable in the contract that very clearly shows that those are production units. So they are part of the inventory about marking devices that have been certified for use in
elections for the County. So we believe that they are viable and eligible for reimbursement. We're not asking you to rule on that today. We will resubmit that with our -- are we well consider I should say resubmitting that with our final funding request of the remaining balance of the 170,000.24. And that can be looked at that point. But like we'd want to at least get that on the record so that if that does come back as part of our future package, that there was a record that we discussed that today.

Otherwise, I just want to thank the Board and the staff at the Secretary of State's office for working so diligently and closely with us. We recognize that we've known for quite some time that this particular project was a little bit outside the box of what was contemplated when the BMD was initially constituted. And we've had to go through some growing pains together on that, but I think at the end of the day this represents what the voters in the state intended with Prop 41 and we are pleased to finally be at the point where we are near completion of the funding. Thank you.

CHAIR KAUFMAN: Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Logan. So I just wanted to, again, just so we're all clear on the record we will put the issue of the BMDs for vote center lab testing to the side today per the staff
report on this, on the presumption and assumption that there is going to be another funding request from the County.

But I do want to just clarify again on that issue one more -- again what you said. So were those BMDs actually put to use in the March election? Or are they actually going to be put to use in the November election as part of the County's general allocation of BMDs?

MR. LOGAN: Yes. Those devices are part of the full inventory of certified devices that can be deployed and used in any election conducted in LA County.

CHAIR KAUFMAN: Okay. When will they be in place?

MR. LOGAN: I suspect that they will be deployed in November. There are some changes. November is a little bit up in the air because of the COVID-19 pandemic and the County's recent decision to adopt the more generic provisions of the Voter's Choice Act, which include mailing ballots to every registered voter in the County in November.

So we are still evaluating and determining the number of vote centers that will be used in the November election. And part of that determination will be the number of ballot marking devices that are deployed in each of those units. But I guess to get to the broader question
it is our intent to have the full inventory available and functional for the November election as needed.

CHAIR KAUFMAN: Okay, so just to further clarify -- and I'm sorry for beating a dead horse here -- but just to further clarify while those BMDs were once let's say, an advanced shipment or a segregated shipment that was being used for testing, they are now part of the whole general population. And they'll be treated like every other BMD device in the County for use in elections?

MR. LOGAN: Yes, and then actually they always have been. So I guess to clarify, and maybe it's a semantics issue, is that the test labs, that is not the testing that was done for certification. The test labs were simulation exercises that the County did with election center workers and setting up mock vote centers and walking through the voting process. So those were the first delivery of production units and they were used in those test labs.

They were identified separately in the contract, because they had an earlier deliverable date. And they were associated with this deliverable for conducting those simulations. But they were not the units that's brought in for testing of the BMDs, they were brought in to test the entire model.

CHAIR KAUFMAN: Okay. Thank you.
Gabe did you have any other questions?

MR. SANDOVAL: I do not.

CHAIR KAUFMAN: Okay, June Lagmay, any questions?

MS. LAGMAY: No further questions, no.

CHAIR KAUFMAN: Okay. Jill LaVine?

MS. LAVINE: No further questions. No.

CHAIR KAUFMAN: Okay. And then --

MS. LAVINE: No further questions. Thank you for that.

CHAIR KAUFMAN: Okay. Then do we have any public comment on this particular item with respect to the County, Item 6A, on the County's Project Documentation Plan? I'm seeing a nod of Mr. Holtzman's head. So you can unmute yourself.

MR. HOLTZMAN: Hello?

CHAIR KAUFMAN: So you have a couple minutes to -

MR. HOLTZMAN: Great. Thank you, Mr. Kaufman. I don't see any other documents about the LA County Phase 3 online other than the staff report that I see is up on your site now that you've been referring to. The staff report has a detailed list of non-allowable expenses at the end. But I don't think you should approve this item without a similarly detailed listing, a more detailed listing, of what allowed expenses would be under the grant that you are
considering.

And then I think you should give the public the time to review such a listing before you approve it. So maybe you should do that and put -- I think you should do that and put this off for a future meeting. And that's basically my main comment.

The only other comment has been Mr. Logan didn't point out the Vote-by-Mail issue and the coronavirus pandemic. I think you should consider -- I mean the County should reconsider perhaps revising a budget for its request, or you should look into this into revising the budget, adjusting the budget for the increased interest in Vote-by-Mail now that's been prompted by the prevalence and the incidence of COVID-19. Maybe a lot more money should go into the Vote-by-Mail stuff and getting it out to people and the County.

I don't know if you need much more money for that, but also you may find that you don't need as much money for the in-person voting equipment.

(Audio cuts in and out)

(Indiscernible) adjust the budget in reaction to what's going on with voter interest, and yeah (indiscernible).

CHAIR KAUFMAN: (Overlapping) Thank you, Mr. Holtzman, for your comments.
MR. HOLTZMAN: Thank you. Thank you for your time.

CHAIR KAUFMAN: Just to respond to one thing you raised, and just so you know there were extensive documentation that was submitted supporting the allowable expenses, there were contracts and invoices. And per the reports that were submitted I don't know whether we -- enough on this. I don't know exactly what was accessible online. And maybe, Jordan, you can just comment on that. But there was a very extensive and detailed package that supported the funding requests and which we all had the opportunity to review in detail in advance of this meeting. So, just so --

MR. HOLTZMAN: (Overlapping) I don't know if you were aware of that --

(Overlapping colloquy.)

CHAIR KAUFMAN: Just so you're aware of that. You don't necessarily need to respond, but I would ask Jordan to find out and make sure on our end what was booked in, but --

MR. HOLTZMAN: Check your documents page. Check the documents page although I didn't see much at all on there.

CHAIR KAUFMAN: Jordan, can you just --

(Overlapping colloquy)
MS. LEAN: Yeah, this is Jana Lean. So sorry, hi. We do not post the contracts online. That is not anything that we have ever done as back to the Board. So there is a lot of potential confidential information in the contracts between the counties and the vendor, so that is not anything we have done previously. What we do post online is the staff report and any expenses that we would like to propose as not being allowable.

So that is what is provided online and what has been the policy of the Board. So I just wanted to make sure that you understood that.

CHAIR KAUFMAN: Okay. And so what was done with the County of LA here is entirely consistent with what we've done with every other county funding request for the last what, 18 years?

MS. LEAN: That would be correct, sir.

CHAIR KAUFMAN: Okay. Thank you.

Okay, I guess I would turn to my fellow Commissioners. Is there anything, any issue for discussion with respect to the County's funding award request?

MS. LAGMAY: June Lagmay here, so actually I think it's significant this COVID-19 situation. Maybe Dean, you could expand a little bit about how that has entered your thinking. And how it may affect the November election in L.A. and how -- I think it's something worth
having a moment of discussion on.

    MR. LOGAN: Sure I'm happy to address that. I think it's important though to clarify on the record that the funding package that is before you is reimbursement for expenses that have already been --

    MS. LAGMAY: -- already been spent.

    MR. LOGAN: -- been spent and that, of course, there's history on that with the Board, so I think it's important to recognize that. I'm happy to address that.

    CHAIR KAUFMAN: And I'm sorry, and that's not to interrupt you, but I'm interrupting you. And that's a mandate that we require. We can't award -- we can only award funding for items that have already been spent and incurred.

    MR. LOGAN: Correct. Yeah, and so -- but I'm happy to talk about that issue. I think what is clear in Los Angeles County and I believe will be clear across the state is that there will still be demand and expectation for in-person voting. So the action that the LA County Board of Supervisors took recently to move to a system where we mailed everybody a Vote-by-Mail ballot will not eliminate the in-person for vote (recording cuts in and out) election or for any future elections beyond November. So we will still have vote centers, we will still use this voting equipment. It meets the accessibility and language
needs that are very unique and particular to Los Angeles County. So I think it's important that we maintain the viability and the utility of this equipment going forward.

We have as I mentioned earlier we have conducted elections in April, and we are conducting an election right now that follows that model where every registered voter was mailed a Vote-by-Mail ballot. That we are -- so for instance, at the March 12 or the May 12th Congressional special election that's happening right now, we are in the in-person early voting period right now. So we have six voting locations with a unit are deployed right now and functioning of access. That will continue daily for Election Day.

So I think that some of the things that we've done related to that is modified the setup of the vote centers to allow for social distancing. We have required all of our employees to have personal protective equipment, so they wear gloves. They wear face coverings. We have hand sanitizer available at the location. We spaced out the queuing of the voters so that they maintain a six-foot distance under the County's Public Health Order. Everyone in a public setting is supposed -- that we do have disposable face coverings, so if anybody does arrive to vote and is without a face covering we provide that.

Each of the pieces of equipment that are used in
the voting process are sanitized and wiped down after each use. And entire area is extensively cleaned every hour throughout the voting process too. So those are those are provisions we put in place in with the guidance and direction from the County Department of Public Health. And we will carry those forward. Our assumption is that we will carry those forward through to the November election.

Again, we expect that there will be some changes in the Public Health Orders as soon as this week. We also expect that there is likely to be an Executive Order from the Governor specifically addressing how elections might be conducted in November given the ongoing issues with COVID-19. So we're monitoring that closely. That may or may not, we don't know yet, may or may not affect the number of vote centers that will be open for November.

Of course, absent COVID-19 under the provisions of the Voter's Choice Act there are minimum ratios for the number of vote centers per registered voter for the ten-day voting period and for the final four-day voting period. Those are floors not ceilings. And even if you look back to March under the previous ratios, LA County far exceeded the minimum ratios.

We are in close contact with stakeholder groups and advisory committees. And we're hearing loud and clear, and as was done and what we saw in the March election, we
recognize that for November in the Presidential Election with the expected turn-out that there is going to be high demand for in-person voting. And for conditional voter registration, which does require you -- at least for now requires you to come in, do that in-person in there. So while there will be modifications under the model with the Vote-by-Mail ballots and the impact of COVID-19 vote centers will remain a critical component of the voting experience in Los Angeles County.

MS. LAGMAY: June Lagmay, here. Excellent presentation, Dean, thank you. It sounds like you have your arms around it. Thank you for informing us of the long view to November. So again, thank you so much.

CHAIR KAUFMAN: Thank you, Dean.

MR. LOGAN: Thank you.

CHAIR KAUFMAN: He has his arms around it, but he's social distancing. So he's got his gloves on and he's keeping his distance and he's got his theoretical arms around it.

MS. LAGMAY: Okay.

CHAIR KAUFMAN: Okay. Do we have a motion to approve LA County's Funding Award request in the amount stated by the staff, $46 -- make sure I get the amount correct -- $46,052,245.84?

MR. SANDOVAL: I move that --
MS. LAGMAY: June Lagmay here, I -- oh.

(Overlapping colloquy.)

MS. LAVINE: This is Jill LaVine. I will make that motion.

CHAIR KAUFMAN: I think I heard Gabriel pipe up and make that motion, so you and June can fight out who's seconding.

MS. LAVINE: This is Jill. I'll second it.

MS. LAGMAY: Okay.

CHAIR KAUFMAN: All right. Jordan, do you want to take a roll call vote on this. Wait, I'm sorry, hold on. Any further discussions?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: No.

CHAIR KAUFMAN: Okay. Jordan, do you want to take a roll call vote on this?

MS. KAKU: Sure. Stephen Kaufman?

CHAIR KAUFMAN: Aye.

MS. KAKU: June Lagmay?

MS. LAGMAY: Aye.

MS. KAKU: Jill LaVine?

MS. LAVINE: Aye.

MS. KAKU: Gabriel Sandoval?

MR. SANDOVAL: Aye.

CHAIR KAUFMAN: Okay. We have a consensus and a unanimous vote to approve LA County's Funding Award
request. Dean, and all of you at the County, congratulations. And we appreciate all of your efforts.

And I know this has been a slog, if not a climb to get here in many ways. And there are still refinements to be done. But you're to be congratulated for implementing a system that goes a long way in getting us to an ideal in terms of our elections. I know we still have some way to go and some discoveries in the last go-round that need to be worked out. But you are to be congratulated in putting this system together and getting to the point where you're fulfilling your vision of how elections in Los Angeles County should be run.

And despite this current hiccup with our current pandemic hopefully we can get to the point in November where we all want to see our elections going and we can have an election free of obstacles for people or for whoever wants to vote be able to cast their ballots in that, in the Presidential Election. So thank you for everybody that's working to improve our system and make it as close to a perfect system as we can have.

MR. LOGAN. Thank you. I appreciate those comments. And obviously it's a group effort. It takes all of us to do this. It's complicated work and it's more complicated by the current environment. But I think working together, California is poised to deliver in
November, so appreciate the work and support of this Board.

CHAIR KAUFMAN: Let's hope we can all be a model
for the rest of the country in November. Okay. And we
will figure out the mechanics of giving you a signed
Funding Documentation Letter. We'll work with staff to get
that to the County.

All right, let's talk about other business. And
in that context I know that Mr. Logan referenced another
funding request coming from the County and we know there's
still some others sitting out there. So we should probably
talk about getting another meeting on the agenda, at least
getting a calendar at this point, at a reasonable time in
advance of November to handle both another request from the
County of LA and anybody else that may be seeking to get
their matters heard in advance of the November election.

MS. KAKU: We do have July 29th on the schedule.
Did you want to make good notes of them?

CHAIR KAUFMAN: Not necessarily. If that still
works for everybody I guess we can hold to that and see
where we are. Sounds like a reasonable period of time.

MR. SANDOVAL: Hi can we -- can I make a request
that we get the documents a week before the meeting?

MS. KAKU: Yes.

MR. SANDOVAL: Thank you.

MS. LAGMAY: So June Lagmay here, July 29th works
fine with me. And, Jordan, am I correct that there is one tentatively scheduled after that on September 30th?

   MS. KAKU: Yes, and December 16th.
   MS. LAGMAY: Okay.
   CHAIR KAUFMAN: Cool. Okay, we'll have --

(Overlapping colloquy)

   CHAIR KAUFMAN: Sorry, Jill?
   MS. LAVINE: This is Jill LaVine. Is July 29th is okay with L.A. Do they have any problem with elections or anything else, because it looks like they will be the ones coming back, correct? Do we have anything else on the horizon that might be coming in at that time?

   MS. KAKU: No.

   CHAIR KAUFMAN: I think we'll probably do the usual rounds with folks who may or may not come back. Although you all, Jana and Jordan, I guess you said that conversations were already had with Alameda. But there doesn't seem to be anything as well on that?

   MS. KAKU: Not yet.

   CHAIR KAUFMAN: Okay. Well, you'll be in touch with the County of L.A., we'll see where they're at. I don't want to pressure them to get it in if the date works for them they will settle. As we get closer we'll see where everybody's at. And if it doesn't make sense at that point we'll push it off to September. And with that
hopefully we'll go in July.

MS. LAGMAY: Sounds good. Thank you.

CHAIR KAUFMAN: Okay. Any other business we need to discuss?

(No audible response.)

CHAIR KAUFMAN: Okay, then seeing none I'll take a motion for adjournment.

MR. SANDOVAL: I move.

CHAIR KAUFMAN: Okay, we have Mr. Sandoval moved. Do we have a second?

MS. LAGMAY: June Lagmay will second it.

(Overlapping colloquy.) (Laughter.)

CHAIR KAUFMAN: All in favor of adjourning say aye, aye.

(Chorus of ayes.)

CHAIR KAUFMAN: All opposed?

(No audible response.)

CHAIR KAUFMAN: All right. I know you all want to hang on the line as long as you can, but it sounds like we're good. Thanks to you all. The Voting Modernization Board meeting is adjourned for the day. So thank you.

(Whereupon, the meeting was adjourned at 11:21 a.m.)
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