



Stephen Kaufman, Chair

June Awano Lagmay
Gabriel Sandoval

Voting Modernization Board

Modernizing Voting Equipment in California

Date: February 9, 2022

To: Voting Modernization Board

From: /s/ Paula Ritter
Acting Staff Consultant, Voting Modernization Board

Re: Staff Report– Discussion on Additional Funding Round

The purpose of this report is to examine the remaining funding allocations under the Voting Modernization Bond Act of 2002 (“Act” or “Prop 41”) and to propose a plan to establish an additional funding round and procedures for the application process for that additional round.

Originally, the Voting Modernization Board (VMB) was established to oversee the modernization of voting systems for each California county, with an allotment of \$195 million. On August 11, 2021, with a remaining allotment of \$14,259,990.91, the Board voted to implement a final deadline of December 20, 2021, for counties to request reimbursement of any unspent amounts from their original allotment.

On August 30, 2021, San Luis Obispo County was reimbursed \$561,938.36 from an award that was approved by the Board on September 25, 2019, reducing the remaining allotment to \$13,698,052.55.

The remaining allotment comprises twenty-one counties and as of December 20, 2021, nine of those counties submitted Project Documentation Plans (PDPs) for consideration. Of the nine PDPs received, staff determined five were complete and recommended funding approval totaling \$2,022,677.46 at the February 9, 2022, board meeting. The remaining four counties were notified of the revisions required to ensure their PDPs are complete and advised to resubmit their completed PDPs for consideration at the next board meeting. It is our recommendation that the Board consider the revised PDPs from these four counties as part of the final round of funding from their original allotments. The funding requests for the four remaining counties total \$923,721.20.

If the Board approves funding the reimbursements for all nine counties, there will be a remaining balance of approximately \$10,751,653.90 from the original allotments. Details are available in Table 1 below.

County	Initial VMB Formula Allocation	Total Remaining Allocation	Staff Recommended Funding Awards for 2/9/22 meeting	For consideration at future meeting	Total PDPs submitted by 12/20/2021	Remaining after final round
Alameda	\$ 8,779,360.88	\$ 652,257.66		652,257.66	652,257.66	-
Calaveras	\$ 319,548.93	\$ 125,110.88			-	125,110.88
Colusa	\$ 121,292.74	\$ 28,667.74			-	28,667.74
Fresno	\$ 4,268,077.65	\$ 582,295.70			-	582,295.70
Humboldt	\$ 986,044.95	\$ 65,891.84	89,202.38		89,202.38	(23,310.54)
Lake	\$ 417,320.58	\$ 95,930.56			-	95,930.56
Marin	\$ 1,879,587.19	\$ 658,116.98			-	658,116.98
Mendocino	\$ 620,444.80	\$ 198,748.40			-	198,748.40
Modoc	\$ 76,314.42	\$ 76,314.42			-	76,314.42
Mono	\$ 91,998.83	\$ 16,998.83			-	16,998.83
Placer	\$ 2,015,870.78	\$ 205,135.45		201,167.54	201,167.54	3,967.91
San Bernardino	\$ 7,995,027.76	\$ 112,379.00	65,891.85		65,891.85	46,487.15
San Diego	\$ 16,726,146.94	\$ 7,497,479.43	878,714.12		878,714.12	6,618,765.31
San Luis Obispo	\$ 1,890,189.44	\$ 729,062.83			-	729,062.83
Santa Barbara	\$ 2,749,794.06	\$ 1,210,342.74	982,363.00		982,363.00	227,979.74
Siskiyou	\$ 368,246.57	\$ 109,303.46		6,800.00	6,800.00	102,503.46
Solano	\$ 2,297,314.22	\$ 150,577.31		63,496.00	63,496.00	87,081.31
Sonoma	\$ 3,269,773.85	\$ 6,506.10	6,506.10		6,506.10	-
Trinity	\$ 117,000.00	\$ 117,000.00			-	117,000.00
Tulare	\$ 1,749,911.00	\$ 342,320.10			-	342,320.10
Ventura	\$ 4,576,270.14	\$ 717,613.32			-	717,613.32
TOTAL	\$ 61,113,535.72	\$ 13,698,052.55	2,022,677.45	923,721.20	2,946,398.65	10,751,653.90

Potential Future Funding Round

If the Board were to decide to offer an additional round of funding, the Board would need to make the following decisions:

1. How to allocate the remaining funds
2. How counties will apply for the remaining funds
3. Set deadlines for counties to submit their applications

1. **How to allocate the remaining funds** - staff considered the following three options for allocating the remaining funds during an additional round of funding:

- a. **Option 1: Reallocate the remaining funds to all 58 counties using the same methodology that was used in the original allocation in 2002.** The calculation for the original allocation used four different statistics: (1) the number of registered voters per county listed in the most recent (15-day) Report of Registration (ROR); (2) voter turnout per county from the last four election cycles; (3) the number of polling places per county from the most recent election; (4) the number of eligible voters per county listed in the most recent (15-day) ROR. Each of these four statistics was evenly weighted at 25% and the totals were combined to arrive at the final allocation per county.

We performed this calculation on the current remaining balance (Table 2) and noted many counties would be allocated such small amounts that it may not be worthwhile for them to apply for funding. This option does not limit the allocation to counties that have qualifying expenses for which they could be reimbursed by the Board and would most likely result in the situation we are in currently with unspent funds. ***We do not recommend this method.***

- b. **Option 2: Reallocate funds only to counties that spent their entire initial allocations.** After the final round of funding, there are nineteen counties that did not spend their entire original allotment. If we assume they did not spend their original allotments because they do not have any further qualifying expenses, then we can potentially eliminate them from an additional round of funding. We performed a calculation allocating the remaining funds to the 39 counties that spent their initial allotments using the the same four-statistic method described in Option 1 (Table 3). While this method reduces the total number of counties that could apply for additional funding, it also results in small dollar allocations to certain counties and does not limit the allocation to counties that have qualifying expenses. It may also exclude some counties with remaining allocations that do have qualifying expenses but did not apply for the final round due to certain constraints. ***We do not recommend this method.***

- c. **Option 3: Reallocate funds after applications are received from the counties.** This method gives any county with qualifying expenses the opportunity to apply for funding from the VMB without a preset allocation amount. Once the deadline for submission of applications has passed, the allocations could be calculated using the same four-statistic method described in Option 1. This method should direct funds to where they are most needed, and it has the highest likelihood of depleting the remaining balance. If the applications received under this method total less than the remaining balance of approximately \$10.8 million, we suggest the Board consider funding each request in full (taking the required county match into consideration) if there are no restrictions in place that would prevent doing so. ***We recommend this method.***

- 2. **How counties will apply for the remaining funds** – as with the initial application process in 2002, counties would be required to submit detailed plans on how they expect to spend amounts that could be reimbursed by the VMB. Those plans must be accompanied by the following documents:
 - a. Application for Funding Consideration (Appendix A-1)
 - b. An authorizing resolution or other document from the County’s governing body (Appendix B-1)

The following guidance regarding eligibility was issued in connection with the final round of funding and would also apply to an additional round.

If a county has not been reimbursed for an entire voting system by the VMB, the remaining funds may be used to either replace parts of the county's voting system, or to expand the system, as long as reimbursement was not previously requested from the VMB for that component. For example, if the county was previously reimbursed by the VMB for ballot marking devices, the county cannot be reimbursed for ballot marking devices again if the ballot marking devices are different from those which were already reimbursed by the VMB. However, if the county is expanding their voting system by buying additional ballot marking devices of the same model, then the county may be eligible for reimbursement for those additional devices.

If a county has been reimbursed for an entire voting system by the VMB, the remaining funds may be used to expand the county's voting system, but not to replace equipment for which reimbursement was previously made by the VMB.

Expenses that may be reimbursable by the VMB as part of an entire voting system typically consist of the following:

1. Client (Workstation) / Server
2. Stand Alone (Workstation)
3. Precinct Scanner for tabulation
4. Central Scanner for tabulation
5. Election Management System Software (Can have many functions - Ballot Layout, Election Programming)
6. Tabulation Software
7. Ballot Marking Devices
8. Adjudication Software
9. Ballot on Demand Workstation and Printer
10. External Supporting Peripherals (Not Subject to Certification): keyboards, mice, printers, network switch, various cables, accessibility devices (Sip & Puff, Jelly Switches, or other external controls), carrying cases for polling place equipment, ballot boxes, accessibility tables, and printer ink/cartridges.

Expenses that would be reimbursable by the VMB as an expansion of an existing system include the following:

1. Remote accessible vote-by-mail systems (RAVBM)
2. Ballot on Demand systems
3. Electronic Poll Books (e-poll books)
4. Vote-by-Mail processing equipment
5. Signature verification hardware/software

3. **Set deadlines for counties to submit their applications** -- we propose the following schedule of future board meetings and county deadlines for document submissions:

PROPOSED MEETING DATES AND DEADLINES:	
Application submission deadline	Wednesday, April 6, 2022
Board Meeting (review applications and reallocation of funds calculation)	Wednesday, April 27, 2022
PDP submission deadline	Wednesday, May 25, 2022
Board Meeting (review PDPs)	Wednesday, June 22, 2022
Board Meeting	Wednesday, August 24, 2022
Board Meeting	Wednesday, October 26, 2022
Payment request deadline	Wednesday, December 7, 2022
Board Meeting	Wednesday, December 14, 2022

Table 2
Allocation of Remaining Funds to all 58 Counties
 (rounded to \$10,750,000)

Counties	25% of Allocation Per Registered Voter (A)	25% of Allocation Per Last 4 Election Cycle Formula (B)	25% of Allocation Per Polling Place Formula (C)	25% of Allocation Per Eligible Voter Formula (D)	Allocation Amount under VMB Combined Allocation Formula	Percentage of Allocated Funds Related to Remaining Funds
Alameda	115,575	122,481	82,388	116,761	437,205	4.067%
Alpine	112	125	824	100	1,161	0.011%
Amador	3,226	3,852	824	2,904	10,806	0.101%
Butte	15,215	17,251	4,943	16,190	53,599	0.499%
Calaveras	3,965	4,665	2,472	3,901	15,003	0.140%
Colusa	1,228	1,258	7,415	1,377	11,278	0.105%
Contra Costa	86,313	91,408	126,054	82,269	386,044	3.591%
Del Norte	1,833	1,827	14,830	1,958	20,448	0.190%
El Dorado	16,797	19,399	4,943	15,828	56,967	0.530%
Fresno	61,159	55,102	37,075	65,891	219,227	2.039%
Glenn	1,785	1,810	2,472	2,072	8,139	0.076%
Humboldt	10,502	11,306	8,239	10,929	40,976	0.381%
Imperial	10,780	7,481	45,313	10,592	74,166	0.690%
Inyo	1,348	1,597	4,119	1,481	8,545	0.079%
Kern	53,271	45,059	119,463	56,901	274,694	2.555%
Kings	7,530	6,449	4,943	8,853	27,775	0.258%
Lake	4,581	4,605	18,125	5,248	32,559	0.303%
Lassen	1,929	1,987	3,296	1,726	8,938	0.083%
Los Angeles	686,701	629,247	209,264	653,870	2,179,082	20.271%
Madera	8,586	8,446	6,591	9,731	33,354	0.310%
Marin	21,172	27,315	57,672	19,265	125,424	1.167%
Mariposa	1,445	1,759	3,296	1,608	8,108	0.075%
Mendocino	6,704	7,187	30,484	6,717	51,092	0.475%
Merced	14,929	12,979	10,710	17,584	56,202	0.523%
Modoc	641	723	3,296	784	5,444	0.051%
Mono	979	1,068	4,943	983	7,973	0.074%
Monterey	25,627	25,049	70,030	26,129	146,835	1.366%
Napa	10,334	11,924	4,119	9,717	36,094	0.336%
Nevada	9,208	11,266	3,296	8,316	32,086	0.298%
Orange	220,236	233,361	52,728	212,911	719,236	6.691%
Placer	33,769	38,548	79,916	31,071	183,304	1.705%
Plumas	1,731	1,940	824	1,578	6,073	0.056%
Riverside	157,582	145,334	119,463	162,341	584,720	5.439%
Sacramento	106,390	112,278	24,716	111,788	355,172	3.304%
San Benito	4,500	4,517	3,296	4,163	16,476	0.153%
San Bernardino	138,046	119,902	265,290	143,705	666,943	6.204%
San Diego	240,126	247,632	182,078	237,254	907,090	8.438%
San Francisco	61,389	74,378	485,266	69,444	690,477	6.423%
San Joaquin	46,403	42,704	83,212	51,490	223,809	2.082%
San Luis Obispo	22,510	26,957	47,785	21,724	118,976	1.107%
San Mateo	53,709	60,293	18,125	53,878	186,005	1.730%
Santa Barbara	29,065	32,774	44,490	30,402	136,731	1.272%
Santa Clara	123,415	132,849	29,660	128,014	413,938	3.851%
Santa Cruz	20,682	24,759	15,654	18,928	80,023	0.744%
Shasta	13,803	15,075	43,666	14,526	87,070	0.810%
Sierra	273	341	824	282	1,720	0.016%
Siskiyou	3,553	3,843	13,182	3,717	24,295	0.226%
Solano	31,932	31,691	79,916	31,706	175,245	1.630%
Sonoma	37,149	45,157	56,848	36,120	175,274	1.630%
Stanislaus	34,418	32,587	23,893	37,357	128,255	1.193%
Sutter	6,406	6,672	4,943	6,836	24,857	0.231%
Tehama	4,582	4,645	16,478	4,866	30,571	0.284%
Trinity	930	1,090	824	1,214	4,058	0.038%
Tulare	25,191	21,719	31,307	29,100	107,317	0.998%
Tuolumne	4,315	5,178	4,119	4,340	17,952	0.167%
Ventura	62,115	66,064	42,018	57,607	227,804	2.119%
Yolo	14,810	16,049	10,710	15,830	57,399	0.534%
Yuba	4,995	4,538	14,830	5,623	29,986	0.279%
TOTAL	2,687,500	2,687,500	2,687,500	2,687,500	10,750,000	100.000%

Calculations on the table below, as well as the preceding table were based on the following data:

(A): Calculated using data from 8/31/2021 (15-Day) Report of Registration

(B): Calculated using data from 9/14/2021 Recall Election, 11/3/2020 General Election, 3/3/20 Primary Election and 11/6/2018 General Election

(C): Calculated using data from the September 14, 2021 Recall Election

(D): Calculated using data from 8/31/2021 (15-Day) Report of Registration

Counties		25% of Allocation Per Registered Voter (A)	25% of Allocation Per Last 4 Election Cycle Formula (B)	25% of Allocation Per Polling Place Formula (C)	25% of Allocation Per Eligible Voter Formula (D)	Allocation Amount under VMB Combined Allocation Formula	Percentage of Allocated Funds Related to Remaining Funds
Alameda	1	156,137	165,907	127,915	158,091	608,050	5.66%
Alpine	2	151	169	1,279	135	1,734	0.02%
Amador	3	4,358	5,218	1,279	3,932	14,787	0.14%
Butte	4	20,556	23,368	7,675	21,920	73,519	0.68%
Contra Costa	5	116,606	123,817	195,711	111,389	547,523	5.09%
Del Norte	6	2,476	2,475	23,025	2,652	30,628	0.28%
El Dorado	7	22,692	26,277	7,675	21,431	78,075	0.73%
Glenn	8	2,412	2,452	3,838	2,805	11,507	0.11%
Imperial	9	14,564	10,133	70,354	14,342	109,393	1.02%
Inyo	10	1,821	2,163	6,396	2,006	12,386	0.12%
Kern	11	71,966	61,036	185,477	77,042	395,521	3.68%
Kings	12	10,173	8,735	7,675	11,987	38,570	0.36%
Lassen	13	2,606	2,691	5,117	2,337	12,751	0.12%
Los Angeles	14	927,699	852,343	324,899	885,317	2,990,258	27.82%
Madera	15	11,599	11,440	10,233	13,175	46,447	0.43%
Mariposa	16	1,952	2,383	5,117	2,177	11,629	0.11%
Merced	17	20,168	17,580	16,629	23,808	78,185	0.73%
Monterey	18	34,620	33,931	108,728	35,378	212,657	1.98%
Napa	19	13,961	16,152	6,396	13,157	49,666	0.46%
Nevada	20	12,440	15,261	5,117	11,260	44,078	0.41%
Orange	21	297,529	316,099	81,866	288,275	983,769	9.15%
Plumas	22	2,338	2,628	1,279	2,136	8,381	0.08%
Riverside	23	212,886	196,863	185,477	219,805	815,031	7.58%
Sacramento	24	143,729	152,086	38,375	151,357	485,547	4.52%
San Benito	25	6,080	6,119	5,117	5,637	22,953	0.21%
San Francisco	26	82,934	100,750	753,421	94,026	1,031,131	9.59%
San Joaquin	27	62,689	57,844	129,195	69,716	319,444	2.97%
San Mateo	28	72,559	81,671	28,141	72,950	255,321	2.38%
Santa Clara	29	166,728	179,952	46,050	173,327	566,057	5.27%
Santa Cruz	30	27,941	33,537	24,304	25,628	111,410	1.04%
Shasta	31	18,648	20,420	67,795	19,668	126,531	1.18%
Sierra	32	369	462	1,279	381	2,491	0.02%
Sonoma	33	50,186	61,167	88,262	48,905	248,520	2.31%
Stanislaus	34	46,498	44,142	37,096	50,580	178,316	1.66%
Sutter	35	8,654	9,038	7,675	9,256	34,623	0.32%
Tehama	36	6,190	6,292	25,583	6,589	44,654	0.42%
Tuolumne	37	5,829	7,013	6,396	5,876	25,114	0.23%
Yolo	38	20,008	21,739	16,629	21,434	79,810	0.74%
Yuba	39	6,748	6,147	23,025	7,613	43,533	0.40%
TOTAL		2,687,500	2,687,500	2,687,500	2,687,500	10,750,000	100.000%

APPENDIX A-1 – APPLICATION FOR ADDITIONAL FUNDING CONSIDERATION

Voting Modernization Board APPLICATION FOR ADDITIONAL FUNDING CONSIDERATION													
County Name and Address (including zip code) <hr/> <hr/> <hr/> <hr/>	VMB Use Only: Date Received: _____ Date Reviewed: _____ Board Agenda Date: _____												
<table style="width: 100%; border: none;"> <tr> <td style="width: 30%;">Formula Allocation</td> <td style="width: 10%; text-align: right;">\$</td> <td style="width: 60%;">_____</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Amount of Funding Request</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$</td> <td>_____</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Amount of Matching Funds</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$</td> <td>_____</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Total Project Cost</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$</td> <td>_____</td> </tr> </table>	Formula Allocation	\$	_____	Amount of Funding Request	\$	_____	Amount of Matching Funds	\$	_____	Total Project Cost	\$	_____	County Elections Official or Other Contact Name _____ Title _____ Telephone _____ FAX _____ E-mail _____
Formula Allocation	\$	_____											
Amount of Funding Request	\$	_____											
Amount of Matching Funds	\$	_____											
Total Project Cost	\$	_____											
Describe the voting system under consideration (if known) and the anticipated acquisition schedule. <div style="text-align: center;"> <input type="checkbox"/> Attached </div>													
Attach an Accessibility Plan describing how your county will use voting equipment purchased with Proposition 41 monies to provide meaningful voting opportunities for persons with disabilities. <div style="text-align: center;"> <input type="checkbox"/> Attached </div>													
If at the time this application is signed Federal voting reform legislation has passed, attach a description of how the proposed voting system will meet the requirements of federal law. <div style="text-align: center;"> <input type="checkbox"/> Attached <input type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable </div>													
If your county is required by federal court order to convert to a new voting system not later than March 1, 2004, describe how the proposed voting system will meet this requirement, and provide a detailed explanation of your back up plan should the conversion process be delayed. <div style="text-align: center;"> <input type="checkbox"/> Attached <input type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable </div>													
I certify that the Project for which funds are being sought will comply with the Project Eligibility Requirements as set forth in the VMB Funding Application and Procedural Guide. Signed _____ Date _____ <div style="text-align: center; margin-left: 100px;">County Representative</div>													
Acceptance of an application for review by the VMB in no way obligates the VMB to provide the funds requested in the application.													

APPENDIX B-1 – SAMPLE GOVERNING BOARD RESOLUTION

Resolution No: _____

RESOLUTION OF THE (Title of Governing Body of the Applicant)

APPROVING THE APPLICATION FOR ADDITIONAL FUNDS

UNDER THE

VOTING MODERNIZATION BOND ACT OF 2002

(COUNTY)

(PROJECT)

WHEREAS, the people of the State of California have approved funding for the Voting Modernization Fund; and

WHEREAS, the Voting Modernization Board has been delegated the responsibility for the administration of the Voting Modernization Fund, setting up necessary procedures; and

WHEREAS, said procedures established by the Voting Modernization Board require the County to certify by resolution the approval of application(s) before submission of said application(s) to the Voting Modernization Board; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the _____

(GOVERNING BODY)

1. Approves the filing of an Application for assistance for the above project(s) to be funded from the Voting Modernization Act of 2002; and
2. Certifies the County understands the assurances and certification in the Application form; and
3. Certifies the County has reviewed and understands the Funding Application and Procedural Guide; and
4. Appoints the Chief Elections Officer or his/her designee as agent to conduct all negotiations, execute and submit all documents including, but not limited to Applications, payment requests and so on, which may be necessary for the completion of the aforementioned Project.

I, the undersigned, hereby certify that the foregoing Resolution Number _____ was duly adopted by (Governing Body) following a roll call vote:

Ayes

Noes

Absent

(Clerk)

(Date)

APPENDIX C-1 - PROJECT DOCUMENTATION PACKAGE FORM

Voting Modernization Board PROJECT DOCUMENTATION FORM	
<p>County Name and Address (including zip code)</p> <p>_____</p> <p>_____</p> <p>_____</p> <p>_____</p> <p>County Elections Official or Other Contact</p> <p>Name _____</p> <p>Title _____</p> <p>Telephone _____</p> <p>Email _____</p> <p>FAX _____</p>	<p>VMB Use Only:</p> <p>Date Received: _____</p> <p>Date Reviewed: _____</p> <p>Board Agenda Date: _____</p> <p>Comments: _____</p> <p>_____</p> <p>_____</p> <p>_____</p>
<p>The following must be submitted with this form: (See Instruction Sheets)</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Executive Summary of the Project 2. Summary of the County's Overall Voting System Modernization Strategy 3. Summary of the Project Plan 4. Project Schedule 5. Project Costs 6. Statement of County Matching Funds 7. Signed Vendor Agreement 8. For Court Ordered Decertified Counties Only (if applicable) 9. Summary of Voting System compliance with HAVA 10. One (1) complete hard copy with signature and one complete electronic copy of the Project Documentation Package 	
<p>I certify that the information contained in this form including all attachments is true and correct and that the Project for which funds are being sought complies with the Project Eligibility Requirements set forth in the VMB Funding Application and Procedural Guide.</p> <p>Name _____ Date _____</p> <p style="text-align: center;">County Representative Authorized by Resolution</p>	

**Appendix D-2
Additional
Funding Award**

(VMB Letterhead)

County Designee
And County Address

(Date)

County:
County Number:
Funding Award Number:
Funding Award Amount:
Project Completion Date:

Dear:

**Additional
Funding Award**

Please be advised that on _____, the Voting Modernization Board (VMB) approved your county's Application for Additional Funding Consideration and Project Documentation Package in the amount of \$_____. The VMB has determined that this award amount satisfies all VMB policies and procedures. The VMB has made no determination on the validity of claims in excess of this amount.

The VMB awards your county \$_____ to carry out the purposes set forth in the Voting Modernization Bond Act of 2002 as implemented by the VMB. The VMB will disburse funds in accordance with VMB policies and procedures.

The Project is to be completed by the Project Completion Date set forth above.

Sincerely,

VOTING MODERNIZATION BOARD

Chairperson

APPENDIX E-1- PAYMENT REQUEST FORM

**Voting Modernization Board
PAYMENT REQUEST FORM**

<p>County Name and Address (including zip code)</p> <p>_____</p> <p>_____</p> <p>_____</p> <p>_____</p> <p>County Elections Official or Other Contact</p> <p>Name _____</p> <p>Title _____</p> <p>Telephone _____</p> <p>FAX _____</p> <p>Email _____</p>	<p>VMB Use Only:</p> <p>VMB Funding Award Number: _____</p> <p>Funding Award Amount: _____</p> <p>Previous Payments: _____</p> <p>Balance Available: _____</p> <p>Total County Payments: _____</p> <p>Approved for Payment: _____</p> <p>New Balance: _____</p> <p>Warrant Number: _____</p> <p>Warrant Issue Date: _____</p> <p>Comments: _____</p> <p>_____</p> <p>VMB Staff Approval: _____</p> <p>Accounting Approval: _____</p>
---	---

<p>Total Amount of Invoices (attached). Invoices must include sufficient detail for the VMB to determine eligibility for payment _____</p> <p>Documentation of County's Matching Payments _____</p> <p>Funds Requested For Payment _____</p>	
--	--

I certify that the documents attached hereto are true and correct copies of invoices for voting system equipment hardware purchased for the Project referenced above and eligible for payment as defined by the VMB Agreement. I further certify that the items identified on these invoices have been received.

Signed _____ Date _____

County Representative Authorized by Resolution